



Traditional Chinese Medicine Tanreqing Inhibits Quorum Sensing Systems in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Weifeng Yang^{1†}, Qing Wei^{2,5†}, Qian Tong³, Kaiyu Cui¹, Gaiying He¹, Longfei Lin⁴, Lvyan Z. Ma⁵, Pierre Cornelis^{6,7} and Yi Wang^{1*}

¹ Experimental Research Center, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China, ² Department of Food and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, ³ School of Biological Engineering and Food Science, Hubei University of Technology, Wuhan, China, ⁴ Institute of Chinese Materia Medica, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China, ⁵ State Key Laboratory of Microbial Resources, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, ⁶ Department of Bioengineering Sciences, Microbiology Unit, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium, ⁷ Université de Rouen Normandie, Normandie Université, Laboratoire de Microbiologie Signaux et Microenvironnement, LMSM EA4312, Évreux, France

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Ren-You Gan, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China

Reviewed by:

Gloria Soberón-Chávez, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico Liang Yang, Southern University of Science and Technology, China

*Correspondence: Yi Wang prof.wangyi@foxmail.com †These authors have contributed

Specialty section:

equally to this work

This article was submitted to Antimicrobials, Resistance and Chemotherapy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 04 December 2019 Accepted: 09 November 2020 Published: 17 December 2020

Citation:

Yang W, Wei Q, Tong Q, Cui K, He G, Lin L, Ma LZ, Cornelis P and Wang Y (2020) Traditional Chinese Medicine Tanreqing Inhibits Quorum Sensing Systems in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Front. Microbiol. 11:517462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.517462 Pseudomonas aeruginosa is an opportunistic pathogen that can infect a wide variety of hosts including humans, plants, and animals. The production of virulence factors is the determinant of the infection paradigm and is under orchestrated regulation via cell-to-cell communication process called quorum sensing (QS). To disable QS circuits and prevent bacterial infections, a large battery of anti-QS agents, particularly from traditional Chinese medicine have been developed. Here, we used P. aeruginosa as a model microorganism to investigate the effect of traditional Chinese medicine Tanreging (TRQ) formula on bacterial pathogenicity. Phenotypic analysis showed that TRQ treatment could completely inhibit the production of phenazine pyocyanin and moderately inhibit the production of virulence factors such as rhamnolipids, elastase, and alkaline protease. Further transcriptomic analyses revealed that TRQ treatment could significantly attenuate the expression of QS-regulated genes in P. aeruginosa and TRQ-treated P. aeruginosa regulon shared a large overlap with QS regulon. Component contribution to QS inhibition shed light on the indispensable role of all five components in TRQ formula. Further genetic analysis indicated that upstream regulators of QS systems, including two-component systems GacS/GacA and PprA/PprB, were both inhibited by TRQ treatment. Finally, our TRQ formula could efficiently protect Caenorhabditis elegans from killing by P. aeruginosa. Altogether, we have proved TRQ formula as an effective and specific agent to attenuate bacterial virulence and combat bacterial infections.

Keywords: Pseudomonas aeruginosa, antimicrobial agent, quorum sensing, two-component system, Tanreqing

INTRODUCTION

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is an important human opportunistic pathogen that causes a panel of acute infections such as urinary tract infections, acute ulcerative keratitis and burn wound infections as well as pulmonary infections, as often seen in cystic fibrosis patients (Lyczak et al., 2000, 2002; Haussler, 2004). This bacterium is noted for its production of a large number of extracellular virulence factors including the phenazine pigment pyocyanin, lectins, siderophores,

proteases and rhamnolipids that are required to initiate a successful acute infection (Jimenez et al., 2012). To efficiently coordinate these virulence gene expression, *P. aeruginosa* employs a ubiquitous bacterial cell-to-cell communication process called quorum sensing (QS) to produce, secrete, and detect the chemical signaling molecules termed autoinducers in response to fluctuations in cell population density (Camilli and Bassler, 2006; Papenfort and Bassler, 2016).

Two canonical LuxI/LuxR QS circuits (LasI/LasR and RhII/RhIR) exist in *P. aeruginosa* (Schuster and Greenberg, 2006; Venturi, 2006). Both LasI and RhII are autoinducer synthases that catalyze the formation of signal molecule *N*-3-(oxododecanoyl)-homoserine lactone (3-oxo-C12-HSL) and *N*-butyryl homoserine lactone (C4-HSL), respectively. LasR and RhIR function both to bind their respective autoinducers and to activate the transcription of downstream genes (Miller and Bassler, 2001). The two regulatory circuits act in tandem to control the expression of a large number of *P. aeruginosa* virulence factors (Parsek and Greenberg, 2000; Papenfort and Bassler, 2016).

In addition to classical autoinducers, *P. aeruginosa* produces quinolone signals including 2-heptyl-4-quinolone (HHQ) and 2heptyl-3-hydroxy-4-quinolone (PQS) to regulate virulence gene expression (Diggle et al., 2006, 2007; Heeb et al., 2011). Both HHQ and PQS regulate gene expression via acting as the ligand of the MvfR regulator, also known as PqsR (Deziel et al., 2005; Xiao et al., 2006; Diggle et al., 2007). Although a fourth QS signal molecule, termed IQS, has been suggested (Lee et al., 2013), it later appeared that IQS is in fact aeruginaldehyde, a byproduct of the siderophore pyochelin biosynthesis pathway and not of the AmbABCDE as proposed previously (Ye et al., 2014; Rojas Murcia et al., 2015; Cornelis, 2019). A yet uncharacterized autoinducer produced by PqsE that interacts with RhlR has been recently reported (Mukherjee et al., 2018).

In *P. aeruginosa*, QS systems not only act as central regulatory circuits for the expression of virulence factors, but also are involved in the development of biofilms, sessile communities of bacterial cells and the causative agent of chronic infections (Davies et al., 1998). Therefore, QS systems represent ideal and attractive targets for the design of novel therapeutics (Rasmussen and Givskov, 2006). Extensive studies have been undertaken to search for novel anti-QS drugs that could override bacterial communication signals and investigate their potential roles in the attenuation of virulence in *P. aeruginosa* (Hentzer et al., 2003; Muh et al., 2006; Borlee et al., 2010; Tan et al., 2013; Starkey et al., 2014).

Up to now, almost all *P. aeruginosa* QS systems have corresponding anti-QS drugs that could reduce the virulence in *in intro* and *in vivo* infection models (Fan et al., 2013; O'Loughlin et al., 2013; Starkey et al., 2014; Li et al., 2018). For example, azithromycin, a macrolide antibiotic, has been shown to inhibit the QS system in *P. aeruginosa* through several mechanisms (Nalca et al., 2006; Skindersoe et al., 2008; Perez-Martinez and Haas, 2011). However, the development of resistance to these anti-QS compounds and concerns over the safety of synthetic chemicals have been viewed as serious side effects of these types of compounds (D'Costa et al., 2011; Wright, 2011). To resolve these

global health threats and determine effective anti-QS compounds, the use of natural products, particularly traditional Chinese medicine (TCM), has become attractive due to their broad spectrum of secondary metabolites and low potential to develop resistance (Koh et al., 2013; Asfour, 2018; Chong et al., 2018).

The Tanreqing (TRQ) injection is a Chinese herbal preparation made from *Scutellariae radix* (Huang Qin in Chinese, HQ), *Lonicerae flos* (Jin Yin Hua in Chinese, JYH), *Forsythiae fructus* (Lian Qiao in Chinese, LQ), *Ursi fel* (Xiong Dan in Chinese, XD) and *Naemorhedi cornu* (Shan Yang Jiao in Chinese, SYJ). According to TCM theory, TRQ formula could clear heat, detoxify and remove phlegm and has been used in China as a treatment for respiratory tract infections, pneumonia and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Liu et al., 2016). However, the mode of action of TRQ is still not well understood in respect to its antibacterial activity.

In this study, we used *P. aeruginosa* as a model microorganism to investigate the inhibitory mechanism of TRQ against bacterial infections. We found that TRQ formula could completely inhibit the expression of the three QS systems in *P. aeruginosa* at sub-minimum inhibitory concentrations (sub-MIC) *in vitro* and *in vivo*, suggesting that TRQ is a highly specific and effective agent to attenuate bacterial virulence and combat bacterial infections.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains and Culture Conditions

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are shown in **Table 1**. Both *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* strains were grown in Lysogeny broth (LB) with aeration at 37° C. When required, LB agar plates were used to streak bacterial colonies. In addition, antibiotics were added into the growth medium at the following concentrations: $300 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}$ carbenicillin (Cb) for *P. aeruginosa*, $100 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}$ ampicillin (Amp) for *E. coli*.

Growth Curve

Overnight cultures of bacterial strains in LB were diluted (1:100) in 3 ml LB medium (30 μ l culture into 3 ml LB broth) and precultures incubated aerobically at 37°C in a shaker at 200 rpm to an OD600nm of 0.5. The precultures were further diluted (1:100) in 1 ml LB medium (10 μ l precultures in 990 μ l LB broth). Growth was then analyzed in 10 \times 10-wells microtiter plates containing 294 μ l LB medium to which 6 μ l of diluted precultures containing 10⁵ cells was added to obtain a final 1:5000 dilution. Control wells contained only the medium without preculture. TRQ treatment was prepared in a 1:4, 1:8, 1:16 dilution using LB medium. The microtiter plates were incubated for 72 h at 37°C in a Bioscreen incubator (Life Technologies, Finland) using the following settings: shaking for 20 s every 3 min and absorbance measured every 30 min at 600 nm. Each culture was prepared in triplicate.

Virulence Factors Detection

Pyocyanin production was visualized by plating the bacteria on *Pseudomonas*-agar (P-agar or King's A medium), followed by 48 h incubation. Pyocyanin production resulted in a deep

TABLE 1 | Strains and plasmids used in this study.

Strain/plasmid	Characteristics	References	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa			
PAO1	Wild-type; ATCC 15692	Wei et al. (2011)	
PAO1/pProbe-AT'	PAO1 containing promoterless gfp reporter plasmid pProbe-AT', Cb ^r	This study	
PAO1/plasR-gfp	PAO1 containing reporter plasmid pProbe-lasR, Cbr	This study	
PAO1/pmvfR-gfp	PAO1 containing reporter plasmid pProbe-mvfR, Cb ^r	This study	
PAO1/prhIR-gfp	PAO1 containing reporter plasmid pProbe-rhIR, Cbr	This study	
PAO1/pgacS-gfp	PAO1 containing reporter plasmid pProbe-gacS, Cb ^r	This study	
PAO1/pgacA-gfp	PAO1 containing reporter plasmid pProbe-gacA, Cb ^r	This study	
PAO1/pcyaB-gfp	PAO1 containing reporter plasmid pProbe-cyaB, Cb ^r	This study	
PAO1/pcupE1-gfp	PAO1 containing reporter plasmid pcupE1-PUCPgfps, Cb ^r	This study	
PAO1/ppprB-gfp	PAO1 containing reporter plasmid ppprB-PUCPgfps, Cbr	This study	
PAO1/prpoS-gfp	PAO1 containing reporter plasmid pProbe-rpoS, Cb ^r	This study	
PAO1/pdgcH-gfp	PAO1 containing reporter plasmid pProbe-dgcH, Cb ^r	Wei et al. (2019b)	
Escherichia coli			
DH5a	supE44 Δ lacU169 (Φ 80 lacZ Δ M15) recA hsdR17 recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thi-1 relA1	Lab collection	
S17-1	thi pro hsdR recA; chromosomal RP4, Tra ⁺	Lab collection	
Plasmids			
pProbe-AT'	Promoterless gfp reporter plasmid, Apr	Miller et al. (2000)	
pProbe-lasR	Promoter sequence of <i>lasR</i> inserted into <i>gfp</i> reporter plasmid pProbe-AT', Ap ^r	This study	
pProbe-mvfR	Promoter sequence of mvfR inserted into gfp reporter plasmid pProbe-AT', Ap'	This study	
pProbe-rhIR	Promoter sequence of <i>rhIR</i> inserted into <i>gfp</i> reporter plasmid pProbe-AT', Apr	This study	
pProbe-gacS	Promoter sequence of <i>gacS</i> inserted into <i>gfp</i> reporter plasmid pProbe-AT', Ap ^r	This study	
pProbe-gacA	Promoter sequence of gacA inserted into gfp reporter plasmid pProbe-AT', Apr	This study	
pProbe-cyaB	Promoter sequence of cyaB inserted into gfp reporter plasmid pProbe-AT', Apr	This study	
pProbe-rpoS	Promoter sequence of <i>rpoS</i> inserted into <i>gfp</i> reporter plasmid pProbe-AT', Ap'	This study	
p <i>cupE1-</i> PUCPgfps	Transcriptional reporter plasmid of cupE1, Gm ^r	Wang et al. (2019)	
p <i>pprB</i> -PUCPgfps	Transcriptional reporter plasmid of pprB, Gm ^r	Wang et al. (2019)	
Primers			
gacS-proFw	GGAATTCGCAGCATGATGTCCATCAGG		
gacS-proRv	CCCAAGCTTCTTGATGCCGAGATCCTTG		
gacA-proFw	GGAATTCGGAAGCAATCCTGGATCGTCG		
gacA-proRv	CCCAAGCTTGGTGCGTACCAGATCGTGGTC		
cyaB-proFw	GGAATTCCTGGGTGATGCTCAAGGACG		
cyaB-proRv	CCCAAGCTTGGTAGGCTTCATGCGCTGGA		
rpoS-proFw	GGAATTCGCGAGCGGTACTCTGATCG		
rpoS-proRv	CCCAAGCTTCTCCAGGAGGAGCACTTC		
mvfR-proFw	GGAATTCCTACACCTGAAGGCGCAAC		
mvfR-proRv	GGGGTACCGATGACCTGGAGGAACATG		
rhIR-proFw	GGGGTACCCACTGGGAGCCTTGCTGC		
rhIR-proRv	CCCAAGCTTCATCTCGCTACGCAAACC		
lasR-proFw	GGAATTCGTGTGACTGGGTATTCAGTTCG		
lasR-proRv	CCCAAGCTTCGTCAACCAAGGCCATAGC		

blue coloration of the medium. Quantification of pyocyanin was modified from previous studies (Vinckx et al., 2010). Briefly, the agar was collected in a falcon tube and 10 ml of chloroform was added per 12.5 g of agar medium. The phenazine pigment was extracted during 2 h incubation at 37° C after which 2 ml 0.5 M HCl was added and shaken vigorously. The pink top layer was recovered, and its absorbance was measured at 520 nm.

Other QS-regulated virulence factors including rhamnolipid, elastase, and alkaline protease were detected using methods

described previously (Aybey and Demirkan, 2016). TRQ was diluted with an 1/4 ratio in all assay medium and the inhibitory effect was analyzed against untreated controls. All experiments were repeated three times with three technical replicates.

Transcriptional Expression Analysis

The GFP reporter plasmid pProbe-AT' was used to construct transcriptional fusions with the corresponding tested promoters (Miller et al., 2000). The promoter region was amplified by PCR using I-5 Hi-Fi DNA Hotstart polymerase (MCLAB). The

DNA fragment was digested and cloned into digested pProbe-AT'. The resulting plasmids were mobilized into *P. aeruginosa* by conjugation using *E. coli* S17-1 as the donor strain. Bacteria with reporter fusion were growth at 37°C and data were recorded at 2 h intervals after treatment with TRQ. The promoter activity was evaluated by measuring GFP intensity values captured with Ex/Em 488/520 via calibrating with the corresponding OD₆₀₀ (Synergy H4 Hybrid Reader, BioTek). The gene expression level was expressed as relative GFP intensity.

Virulence Tests in an Animal Model

Animal virulence test was done according to the previously described method (Moy et al., 2006; Fito-Boncompte et al., 2011). Briefly, C. elegans wild-type Bristol strain N2 worms were grown at 20°C on nematode growth medium (NGM) agar plates using E. coli OP50 as the nutrient. Synchronous nematode cultures were achieved by exposure to a sodium hypochlorite-sodium hydroxide solution. The resulting eggs were then incubated at 20°C for 24 h to obtain L1 stage worms and transferred to fresh NGM plates to grow until the worms reached L4 life stage. P. aeruginosa cells were grown as described above in LB broth overnight and harvested. 109 bacteria cells were spread onto NGM plates and incubated at 37°C overnight. P. aeruginosa plates were cooled down to room temperature and 30 L4synchronized worms infected with P. aeruginosa were placed on NGM plates with or without TRQ and incubated at 20°C for bacterial survival assays. C. elegans survival data were recorded at a regular interval of each day using an Motic K-400 LED Stereo microscope. Worms were considered dead if they were unresponsive to gentle touch with a platinum wire pick. An infection assay was performed with three independent replicates and repeated three times.

RNA Extraction

Overnight cultures of P. aeruginosa in LB were used to inoculate fresh LB medium in an 1:1000 dilution in the absence and presence of TRQ (1:4 dilution ratio). After 6 h (EXP) and 12 h (STA) of incubation, one ml of culture was immediately fixed with 2 ml of RNA Protect Reagent (Qiagen), following the manufacturer's instructions, and the fixed cell pellets were frozen at -80° C until further use. All experiments were performed with three technical replicates. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol® Reagent according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen) and genomic DNA was removed using RNase-free DNase I (TaKaRa). Then RNA quality was determined using 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent) and quantified using the ND-2000 (NanoDrop Technologies). Highquality RNA sample (OD260/280 = $1.8 \sim 2.2$, OD260/230 ≥ 2.0 , RIN \geq 6.5, 28S:18S \geq 1.0, >10 µg) was used to construct the sequencing library.

Library Preparation and Illumina Hiseq Sequencing

RNA-seq strand-specific libraries were prepared following TruSeq RNA sample preparation Kit from Illumina (San Diego,

CA, United States), using 5 μ g of total RNA. Briefly, rRNA was removed by RiboZero rRNA removal kit (Epicenter), fragmented using fragmentation buffer. cDNA synthesis, end repair, A-base addition and ligation of the Illumina-indexed adaptors were performed according to Illumina's protocol. Libraries were then size selected for cDNA target fragments of 200~300 bp on 2% Low Range Ultra Agarose followed by PCR amplified using Phusion DNA polymerase (NEB) for 15 PCR cycles. After quantification by TBS380 Mini-Fluorometer, paired-end libraries were sequenced by Shanghai Biozeron Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) with the Illumina HiSeq PE 2 × 151 bp read length.

Reads Quality Control and Mapping

The raw paired end reads were trimmed and quality controlled by Trimmomatic with default parameters (Bolger et al., 2014). Then clean reads were separately aligned to the reference genome (*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, Accession number NC_002516) with orientation mode using Rockhopper software (McClure et al., 2013; Tjaden, 2015), which was a comprehensive and user-friendly system for computational analysis of bacterial RNA-seq data. As input, Rockhopper takes RNA sequencing reads generated by high-throughput sequencing technology to calculate gene expression levels with default parameters.

Differential Expression Analysis and Functional Enrichment

To identify DEGs (differential expression genes) between two different samples, the expression level for each transcript was calculated using the fragments per kilobase of read per million mapped reads (RPKM) method. The method edgeR was used for differential expression analysis (Robinson et al., 2010). The DEGs between two samples were selected using the following criteria: the logarithmic of fold change was greater than 2 and the false discovery rate (FDR) should be less than 0.05. To understand the functions of these differential expressed genes, GO functional enrichment and KEGG pathway analysis were carried out by Goatools (Klopfenstein et al., 2018) and KOBAS (Xie et al., 2011), respectively. DEGs were significantly enriched in GO terms and metabolic pathways when their Bonferroni-corrected P-value was less than 0.05. The RNA-seq datasets have been deposited in National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) with an accession number GSE141753.

Statistical Analysis

The data of virulence factor production, transcriptional analysis, and virulence test were analyzed by one-way ANOVA. Student's *t*-test was used when one-way ANOVA revealed significant differences (P < 0.05). Survival data were analyzed via the Kaplan–Meier method and the log-rank test was used to compare the significant differences between subgroups (P < 0.01). All statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism statistical software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, United States) with the assistance of Excel (Microsoft).

RESULTS

TRQ Inhibits the Production of Virulence Factors in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

It has been established that TRQ could affect the viability of bacterial cells (Yang et al., 2018). Therefore, we measured the growth of P. aeruginosa PAO1 in the presence or absence of different concentrations of TRQ (1/4, 1/8, 1/16 dilution ratio). As can be seen from Figure 1A, we found that P. aeruginosa growth kinetics were unaffected at all tested concentrations, indicating that TRO formula has no inhibitory effect on planktonic P. aeruginosa growth. It was also interesting to notice that when different concentrations of TRQ were added to P. aeruginosa cultures, we observed that the production of phenazine pyocyanin was greatly reduced. We further used Pseudomonas isolation agar as growth medium to determine the production of pyocyanin and detected that pyocyanin production was significantly decreased in both dose- and time-dependent manner by TRQ treatment (Figures 1B,C). Furthermore, we determined that virulence factors including rhamnolipid (Figure 1D), elastase (Figure 1E) and alkaline protease (Figure 1F) were all significantly repressed by TRQ treatment, indicating TRQ might function as an anti-virulence agent against P. aeruginosa.

TRQ Inhibits the Expression of QS Regulator Genes

Since the production of virulence factors such as pyocyanin are under the control of QS systems in *P. aeruginosa*, we therefore determined whether the expression of these QS systems was affected by TRQ treatment. Three QS signal receptors were chosen and their transcriptional fusions with GFP reporters were constructed to probe the relative expression level of these QS systems. As can be seen from **Figure 2**, the transcriptional expression of *lasR*, *rhlR*, and *mvfR* was all greatly repressed by TRQ treatment in a dose-dependent manner. Our results confirmed that TRQ could indeed inhibit the expression of QS systems in *P. aeruginosa* and thus inhibit the production of virulence factors such as pyocyanin.

Transcriptome Analyses Unravel the Mode of Action of TRQ

To gain insight into the regulatory breadth of TRQ treatment on *P. aeruginosa*, we carried out a global RNA-seq analysis of the transcriptional responses to determine the number of genes under both exponential (EXP) and stationary (STA) growth phases. *P. aeruginosa* cells were harvested, and RNA was extracted and processed according to the recommendations of the



FIGURE 1 TRQ inhibits the production of virulence factors in *P. aeruginosa*. (A) TRQ treatment does not affect *P. aeruginosa* growth. (B) TRQ inhibits pyocyanin production in a time-dependent manner. (D) Effect of TRQ on elastase activity. PAO1 was cultivated in LB medium at 37°C for 24 h in the presence of TRQ and then added to elastin-Congo red. Elastolytic activity was performed in elastin-Congo red buffer. (E) Effect of TRQ on rhamnolipid production. PAO1 was cultivated in fresh LB broth with and without TRQ at 37°C for 24 h and added to agar-free CTAB medium and incubated for 48 h. The amount of rhamnolipid-CTAB-methylene blue complex biomass in the presence of TRQ was determined. (F) Effect of TRQ on alkaline protease activity. The production of alkaline protease was measured using skimmed milk agar plate. A colony from overnight cultures of PAO1 was inoculated using a toothpick at the center of the plates and then incubated at 37°C for 12 h. The production of alkaline protease was confirmed by the formation of a clear zone around bacterial colony. The halo diameters were determined and shown in corresponding region (mm in unit). The upper half plate is untreated control and the bottom half plate is TRQ-containing plate. The dashed lines indicate the halo. Statistical analysis was based on pairwise comparisons (Student's *t*-test). ***P* < 0.01; ****P* < 0.001. Error bars represent the SD of three replicates.







FIGURE 3 | Transcriptome analyses of TRQ-treated *P. aeruginosa* cells. (A) Hierarchical clustering and heatmap analysis of the differentially expressed genes of TRQ-treated *P. aeruginosa* cells under exponential growth phase analysis (PAO1-EXP vs. TRQ-EXP) and stationary growth phase (PAO1-STA vs. TRQ-STA) (B). (C) Comparison of up-regulated and down-regulated genes in TRQ-treated *P. aeruginosa* cells in the exponential growth phase (EXP) and in stationary growth phase (STA). (D) Pie charts showing the relative abundance of functional classifications of differentially expressed genes of TRQ-treated *P. aeruginosa* under two growth conditions. Hypothetical genes were removed from the pies to ensure ease of reading. (E) TRQ-treated *P. aeruginosa* regulon shared overlap with custom QS regulon. Venn diagrams showing the overlap between QS regulon and TRQ-treated *P. aeruginosa* cells in the exponential growth phase and in the stationary growth phase (F).

Illumina system for RNA-seq analyses. After data qualification control and processing, we finally obtained a comprehensive data set summarized in **Supplementary Tables S1, S2**. Clearly, PAO1 and TRQ-treated PAO1 demonstrated distinct gene expression

profiles according to the heat map diagram (Figures 3A,B). A large number of genes were differentially expressed under both EXP and STA growth phases and showed overlap between these two conditions. In total, 371 genes were downregulated

and 720 genes were upregulated in EXP and STA growth phases by TRQ treatment (**Figure 3C**). Functional classification analysis showed that genes encoding secreted factors and membrane proteins were largely represented as downregulated under both EXP and STA growth phases by TRQ treatment. In contrast, genes encoding carbon compound metabolism, transport of small molecules, and translation and post-translation modification were mostly up-regulated under EXP and STA growth phases (**Figure 3D**).

As can be seen from Table 2, the expression of a large number of virulence genes was downregulated upon TRQ treatment, including these QS-regulated genes. Specifically, PQS signal synthase operon (pqsBCDE), hydrogen cyanide synthase operon (hcnABC), two phenazine biosynthesis (*phzA1B1C1D1F1G1* and *phzA2B2C2D2F2G2*), operons lasA, lasB, lecB, lecA, rhlAB, chiC, alkaline protease operon (aprXDEFAI) were highly repressed upon TRQ treatment compared to non-treatment control. This finding was confirmed by phenotypic analysis (Figure 1B) and transcriptional analyses of QS regulator genes (Figure 2B) and further showed that all three QS systems in P. aeruginosa were inhibited by TRQ treatment. In addition, genes involved in type VI secretion (T6SS) were also downregulated by TRO treatment.

Given the inhibition of QS systems, we aimed to find out the overlap between TRQ-treated *P. aeruginosa* regulon and QS regulon under typical growth conditions (LB medium in 500 mL Erlenmeyer flasks, grow at 37°C and shake at 200 rpm). We then integrated the previously identified QS regulon into a custom made QS regulon (Hentzer et al., 2003; Schuster et al., 2003) and compared it with the TRQ-treated *P. aeruginosa* regulon. We found that our determined regulons (TRQ-EXP and TRQ-STA) showed a close relationship with custom QS regulon (**Figures 3E,F**). More than 193 (TRQ-EXP) and 161 (TRQ-STA) genes were identified to overlap with the custom QS regulon (489 genes) in *P. aeruginosa*, suggesting that TRQ treatment has a considerable impact on the regulation of QS and clearly this dis-regulation of QS systems will contribute to the reduced virulent phenotypes.

Altogether, through our extensive RNA-seq analyses, we can conclude that the mode of action of TRQ is closely linked to the inhibition of QS systems in *P. aeruginosa*.

Component Analyses of TRQ Reveal Sub-inhibitory Effect on QS Regulator Genes

TRQ formula consists of five different components [Huang Qin (HQ), Jin Yin Hua (JYH), Lian Qiao (LQ), Xiong Dan (XD), and Shan Yang Jiao (SYJ)] (Yang et al., 2018). To further understand the roles played by these components, we used individual component to determine their effects on the expression of QS regulatory genes. As can be seen from **Figures 4A–D**, we showed that HQ, JYH, LQ, and XD had an inhibitory effect on the expression of QS regulator genes. To our surprise, SYJ demonstrated only little inhibitory effect on the expression of QS regulator genes (**Figure 4E**).

To further understand the exact roles of these five components within TRQ formula, we calculated the individual contribution to the inhibition of QS regulatory genes. As shown in **Figure 5**, the inhibition of *lasR* expression depended on all five components with the contribution of unknown mixing effects (indicated as others in **Figure 5A**). The inhibition of *rhlR* expression depended mainly on the unknown mixing effects, and the five components showed minor effects on *rhlR* expression (**Figure 5B**). As for *mvfR* expression, HQ demonstrated the most significant effect and the mixing only showed a marginal effect on *mvfR* expression, indicating that these five components were sufficient for *mvfR* inhibition (**Figure 5C**).

Altogether, we can conclude that all five components had inhibitory effects on QS systems in *P. aeruginosa* and, in particular HQ showed the most efficient role in QS inhibition with the assistance of the other four ingredients (**Figure 5D**).

TRQ Inhibits QS Systems via Repression of Two-Component Systems GacS/GacA and PprA/PprB in *P. aeruginosa*

Given that TRQ formula significantly attenuates the expression of QS systems in P. aeruginosa, we hypothesized whether there were upstream genetic determinants targeted by TRQ treatment. Previously, it was reported that two-component system (TCS) GacS/GacA positively regulated the expression of lasR expression in P. aeruginosa (Venturi, 2006). In addition, Vfr was also demonstrated to positively regulate the expression of global virulence factors and Vfr-dependent transcription was linked with its cofactor, cyclic AMP (cAMP), which is synthesized by two adenylate cyclases, CyaA (PA5272) and CyaB (PA3217) (Almblad et al., 2015). Based on these information, we generated transcriptional fusion reporter strains for gacS, gacA and cyaB and detected their transcriptional responses after TRQ treatment. As shown in Figures 6A-C, we could clearly observe that TRQ could reduce the expression of the TCS GacS/GacA and the cyaB adenylate cyclase gene, implying that TRQ could regulate these upstream genetic determinants of QS systems.

Additionally, the two-component response regulator PprB was demonstrated to modulate the QS-regulated genes in P. aeruginosa, especially the PQS-mediated QS system (de Bentzmann et al., 2012). Furthermore, the TCS PprAB could trigger the hyper-biofilm phenotype with a unique adhesive signature made of BapA adhesin and CupE fimbriae (Giraud et al., 2011; de Bentzmann et al., 2012). More recently, the environmental signal for the TCS PprAB was found to be carbon starvation stress in P. aeruginosa and this response was dependent on the sigma factor RpoS (Wang et al., 2019). Therefore, we checked whether the TCS PprAB could be involved in TRQ-mediated QS inhibition. As can be seen from Table 3, RNA-seq analysis indicated that a large array of genes involved in carbon source metabolism were upregulated by TRQ treatment (Table 3), while the transcriptional expression of the TCS sensor kinase gene pprA was down-regulated by TRQ treatment (-1.6fold reduction, log2 ratio, Supplementary Table S1). In addition, TRQ treatment was found to downregulate the expression of

TABLE 2 | Selected downregulated genes upon TRQ treatment.

DNP Caref* EXP STA OS ⁴ Protein description* PA022 nab/ -3.6 -2.7 + Rab/ PA023 1.6 -1.7 Highthetical protein PA0270 -1.6 -3.8 + Colin-fonding petitoria PA0377 -1.6 -3.8 + Colin-fonding petitoria PA0377 -1.6 -3.8 + Colin-fonding petitoria PA0377 -1.6 -3.8 + Page Page Page Page Page Page Page Page			Sampling time ^c				
PM022 number of the second secon	ORF ^a	Gene ^b	EXP	STA	QS ^d	Protein description ^e	
PA0284 I.8 -1.7 Hpothelical protein PA033 -1.6 -3.8 + Onth-binding protein CkpD precursor PA0882 o.b/0 -1.6 -3.8 + Unchaschtrads protein PA0882 page -1.6 -3.8 + Houthelical protein PA087 page -4.1 -1.8 + Page PA0898 page -4.1 -1.8 + Page PA0099 page -4.1 -1.8 + Page PA1216 -2.8 -1.7 + Page -1.6 PA1217 -2.6 -1.8 + Hypothelical protein PA1218 -2.3 -1.4 + Makine proteines eurotein protein AprD PA1214 aprC - -1.8 + Akaine proteines eurotein protein AprD PA1218 aprC - -1.4 + Akaine proteines eurotein protein AprD PA1219 aprC - -1.4 + Akaine inoteines protein oratein the	PA0122	rahU	-3.6	-2.7	+	RahU	
PA073-1.6-1.9+Hypothelical proteinPA08520.00-1.6-3.8	PA0284		1.8	-1.7		Hypothetical protein	
PA0852ohypotentohypotentCalific-broking proteinCalific-broking proteinCalific-brokingCal	PA0713		-1.5	-1.9	+	Hypothetical protein	
PAGRE2.1-1.6-3.8Uncharacterized proteinPAG027pqaB-4.1-1.8+PqaBPAG038pqaC-4.2-1.7+PqaCPAG049pqaC-4.2-1.7+PqaCPAG049pqaC-4.3-1.6+Quinolons signal negorals proteinPA1000pqaE-3.8-1.5+Quinolons signal negorals proteinPA1216-2.2-1.8+Photable 2 looprophynatel synthasePA1217-2.6-1.8+Abaria protessa section protein AprDPA1218aprX-1.8+Abaria protessa section protein AprDPA1248aprX-1.6+Abaria protessa section protein AprDPA1249aprX-1.4+Abaria protessa section protein AprDPA1248aprX-1.4+Abaria protessa section protein AprDPA1249aprA-1.4+Abaria protessa section protein AprDPA1249aprA-1.4+Abaria protessa section protein AprDPA1249aprA-1.4+Abaria proteinsa section protein AprDPA1249aprA-1.8+Photabia proteinPA125	PA0852	cbpD	-1.6	-3.8	+	Chitin-binding protein CbpD precursor	
PA037	PA0852.1		-1.6	-3.8		Uncharacterized protein	
PA087 pp36 -1.1 -1.8 + Pp38 PA0988 pq50 -4.2 -1.7 + Pq50 PA0989 pq50 -4.1 -1.6 + Pq50 PA1000 pq50 -3.8 -1.5 + Quinclow signal response pretrain PA117 -2.8 -2.2 + Hyborhetical protein PA1217 -2.8 -1.8 + Probable 2 hopothetical protein PA1218 aprX -1.4 + Alkaline protease scention protein AprD PA1243 aprX -1.4 + Alkaline protease scention protein AprE PA1244 aprX -1.4 + Alkaline motiogenementase proteins PA1248 aprX -1.4 + Alkaline motiogenementase scention protein AprE PA1249 aprX -1.4 + Alkaline motiogenementase proteins PA1284 aprX -1.2 -1.6 + Hyporhetical protein PA1284 aprX -2.2 + AprI AprX <td>PA0977</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-1.5</td> <td></td> <td>Hypothetical protein</td>	PA0977			-1.5		Hypothetical protein	
PA088 pqSC -4.1 -1.7 + PgC PA0899 pqSC -4.1 -1.7 + 9-Coco/-[ac/-care protein] synthase III PA080 pqSE -3.3 -1.5 + Cuinolone signal response protein PA1216 -2.6 -2.8 -1.8 + Probable 2-sponyelymaits synthase PA1217 -2.5 -1.8 + Probable 2-sponyelymaits synthase PA1245 aprX -1.4 + Akaine protesse secretion protein AprC PA1246 aprX -1.4 + Akaine protesse secretion protein AprC PA1248 aprF -1.4 + Akaine protesse secretion protein AprC PA1248 aprF -1.4 + Akaine protesse secretion protein AprC PA1248 aprF -1.4 + Akaine protesse secretion protein AprC PA1249 aprF -1.2 -1.6 + Hyporthetical protein PA1250 aprf -1.2 -1.6 + Hyporthetical protein PA1260 aprot <td>PA0997</td> <td>pgsB</td> <td>-4.1</td> <td>-1.8</td> <td>+</td> <td>PqsB</td>	PA0997	pgsB	-4.1	-1.8	+	PqsB	
PA0899 pqsD -4.1 -1.6 + S-Oxeac/-lacy/-carrier protein PA1020 pqsE -3.8 -1.5 + Oxination signal response protein PA1216 -2.6 -2.2 + Hybothtetal protein PA1217 -2.5 -1.8 + Protable 2-isopronymatter synthese PA1245 aprX -1.8 + AprX PA1246 aprX -1.8 + AprX PA1247 aprX -1.4 + Ablain protasse secretion protein AprD PA1248 aprX -1.4 + Ablain protasse secretion protein AprD PA1240 aprA -1.4 + Ablain protasse secretion protein AprD PA1240 aprA -1.4 + Ablain protasse secretion protein AprD PA1343 aprA -1.2 -1.6 + Hybothetal protein PA1349 gat2 -1.2 -1.6 + Hybothetal protein PA1349 gat2 -2.2 + Acp1 Acp1 <t< td=""><td>PA0998</td><td>pqsC</td><td>-4.2</td><td>-1.7</td><td>+</td><td>PasC</td></t<>	PA0998	pqsC	-4.2	-1.7	+	PasC	
PA1000 pqsE -2.8 -1.5 + Outrolone signal response protein PA1216 -2.6 -2.8 + Hypothecial protein PA1217 -2.3 -1.4 + Hypothecial protein PA1246 apX -1.4 + Hypothecial protein PA1246 apX -1.4 + Akalene protease secretion protein AprE PA1247 apX -1.4 + Akalene protease secretion protein AprE PA1247 apX -1.4 + Akalene protease secretion protein AprE PA1248 apX -3.1 + Akalene protease secretion protein AprE PA1248 apX -3.1 + Akalene protease secretion protein AprE PA1248 apX -3.1 + Akalene protease secretion protein AprE PA1248 apX -1.4 + Akalene protease secretion protein AprE PA1348 apX -1.3 -1.4 Hypothecical protein PA1349 apX -2.2 + App1 PA1330 <td>PA0999</td> <td>pgsD</td> <td>-4.1</td> <td>-1.6</td> <td>+</td> <td>3-Oxoacyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] synthase III</td>	PA0999	pgsD	-4.1	-1.6	+	3-Oxoacyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] synthase III	
PA1216-2.6-2.2+Hypothetical proteinPA1217-2.5-1.8+Probable 2-soprophrales synthesePA1218-2.3-1.4+Hypothetical proteinPA1246aptX-1.8+Akaline protease secretion protein AptDPA1247aptF-1.4+Akaline protease secretion protein AptDPA1248aptF-1.4+Akaline protease secretion protein AptFPA1248aptF-1.4+Akaline protease secretion protein AptFPA1248aptF-1.4+Akaline motiloproteinse protein AptFPA1249aptA-1.2-1.6+PA1249aptA-1.2-1.6+PA1284aptF-2.2+Akaline motiloproteinse inhibitor AptPA1284aptA-1.2-1.6+PA1284aptA-2.2+Akaline motiloproteinse inhibitor AptPA1284aptA-2.2+Akaline motiloprotein AptEPA1284aptA-2.2+Apothetical proteinPA1284aptA-2.2+Apothetical proteinPA1285oyal-2.4-2.2+Apothetical proteinPA1284aptA-2.2+Apothetical proteinPA1895oyal-2.1-2.8Probable phrazenic biosynthesis proteinPA1896aptA2-2.1-2.8Probable phrazenic biosynthesis proteinPA1899phzA2-3.3-3.4+PA1899phzA2	PA1000	pgsE	-3.8	-1.5	+	Quinolone signal response protein	
PA1217-2.5-1.8+Probable 2-lop proplemate synthasePA1218-2.3-1.4+Hypothetical proteinPA1246ap/X-1.4+AprXPA1246ap/Z-1.4+Akaline protases secretion protein Ap/EPA1248ap/F-1.4+Akaline protases secretion protein Ap/EPA1249ap/A-3.1+Akaline metalloproteinses inhibitor Ap/IPA1249ap/A-3.1+Akaline metalloproteinses inhibitor Ap/IPA1249ap/A-1.2-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA1384galE-1.2-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA1784-1.2-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA1784-2-3.4+Lask protases procusorPA1889ap/2-2.1-2.8YPA1871ksA-2-3.4+Lask protases proteinPA1871ksA-2-3.4+Lask protases proteinPA1899ph/22-3.1-2.8YProtase proteinsorPA1890ph/22-3.7+Protasel proteinsorPA1891ksA-2-3.4+Lask protases protein PhzCPA1892ph/22-3.7+Protasel proteinPA1904ph/22-3.7+Protasel proteinPA1905ph/22-3.7+Protasel proteinPA1904ph/22-3.7+Protasel proteinPA1905ph/22-3.7 <td>PA1216</td> <td></td> <td>-2.6</td> <td>-2.2</td> <td>+</td> <td>Hypothetical protein</td>	PA1216		-2.6	-2.2	+	Hypothetical protein	
PA1218-2.3-1.4+Hypothetical proteinPA1245 apx X-1.8+AptXPA1246 apx Z-1.4+Alkaline protease socretion protein AprEPA1247 $aprE$ -1.6+Alkaline protease socretion protein AprEPA1248 $aprF$ -1.4+Alkaline protease socretion protein AprEPA1249 $aprA$ -1.4+Alkaline protease socretion protein AprEPA1249 $aprA$ -1.4+Alkaline proteinase inhibitor AprIPA1249 $aprA$ -1.4+Alkaline proteinase inhibitor AprIPA1250 $aprI$ -1.4+Alkaline proteinase inhibitor AprIPA1251 $aprA$ -1.2-1.6+PA1754 $aprA$ -2.2+App1PA1754 $aprA$ -2.2+App1PA1858 $oytI$ -1.5Sulfite roductasePA1859 $aprA$ -2.2+App1PA1859 $aprA$ -2.4-2.2+PA1809 $phx2$ -3.3+Probable phenozine biosynthesis proteinPA1900 $phx2$ -3.8-3.7+Phenzarine biosynthesis proteinPA1900 $phx2$ -3.9-3.5+Phenzarine biosynthesis protein <t< td=""><td>PA1217</td><td></td><td>-2.5</td><td>-1.8</td><td>+</td><td>Probable 2-isopropylmalate synthase</td></t<>	PA1217		-2.5	-1.8	+	Probable 2-isopropylmalate synthase	
PA1245ap/X-1.8+AprXPA1246ap/Z-1.4+Aklaine protesse soretion protein Ap/DPA1247ap/E-1.4+Aklaine protesse soretion protein Ap/EPA1248ap/F-1.4+Aklaine protesse soretion protein Ap/EPA1249ap/A-3.1+Aklaine protesse soretion protein Ap/EPA1249ap/A-3.1+Aklaine protesse soretion protein Ap/EPA1240ap/A-3.1+Aklaine protesse soretion protein Ap/EPA1240ap/A-1.4+Aklaine protesse soretion protein Ap/EPA1343galE-1.4+Aklaine protesse soretion protein Ap/EPA1384galE-1.2-1.3UDP/Pulcose 4-spimasaePA1784-1.2-1.5Suffic reductsePA1889ap/2-2.4-2.2+PA1890pt/22-2.3-3.4+PA1890pt/22-3.2-5.6Protestie proteinPA1900pt/22-3.2-5.6Protestie protein Ph/2PA1901pt/22-3.2-5.6Protestie protein Ph/2PA1902pt/22-3.5+Protestie protein Ph/2PA1903pt/22-3.5+Protestie protein Ph/2PA1904pt/22-3.7+Phenache biosynthesis protein Ph/2PA1905pt/22-3.3+Phenache biosynthesis protein Ph/2PA1904pt/22-3.7+Phenache biosynthesis protein Ph/2PA1905 <td>PA1218</td> <td></td> <td>-2.3</td> <td>-1.4</td> <td>+</td> <td>Hypothetical protein</td>	PA1218		-2.3	-1.4	+	Hypothetical protein	
Phil246april1.44 Akaline protease secretion protein AprDPA1247 $aprF$ -1.6+Akaline protease secretion protein AprDPA1249 $aprA$ -3.1+Akaline protease secretion protein AprT procursorPA1249 $aprA$ -3.1+Akaline protease secretion protein AprTPA1250 $aprI$ -1.4+Akaline protease secretion protein AprTPA1251 $aprA$ -1.2-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA1533-2-1.5Suffic roteinProtease secretionPA1837-2-2.4-2.2+Apr1PA1838oyal-1.5Suffic roteinProteinPA1839pitz/2-2.1-2.8ProteinProteinPA1839pitz/2-2.1-2.8ProteinProteinPA1839pitz/2-2.1-2.8ProteinProteinPA1900pitz/2-2.8-3.7+Probabile phenzine biosynthesis proteinPA1901pitz/2-3.9-3.5+Probabile phenzine biosynthesis proteinPA1902pitz/2-4-4+Proteatile biosynthesis proteinPA1903pitz/2-3.9-3.5+Probabile phenzine biosynthesis proteinPA19	PA1245	aprX		-1.8	+	AprX	
NumberApplicitInt </td <td>PA1246</td> <td>aprD</td> <td></td> <td>-1.4</td> <td>+</td> <td>Alkaline protease secretion protein AprD</td>	PA1246	aprD		-1.4	+	Alkaline protease secretion protein AprD	
NumberNumb	PA1247	aprE		-1.6	+	Alkaline protease secretion protein AprE	
NumberNumb	PA1248	aprE		-1.4	+	Alkaline protease secretion outer membrane protein AprE precursor	
PA1250apr-1.1+Alcal is instandardPA1250apri-1.3+Alcal is instandardPA1261-1.2-1.3UDP-glucose 4-apimerasePA1784-1.2-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA1837-2.2-Hypothetical proteinPA1838apri-2.4-2.2+PA1899apri/2-2.1-2.2+PA1899phzA2-2.1-2.8-PA1900phzB2-3.2-5.6Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1901phzC2-2.4-4+PA1902phzD2-4-4+PA1903phzE2-3.7+Phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1904phzC2-3.7-3.5+PA1905phzG2-3.7-3.5+PA1904phzC2-3.7-3.5+PA1905phzG2-3.3-3.4+Pa1904phzC2-3.7-1.6+PA1905phzG2-1.7+Hypothetical proteinPA20311.7+Hypothetical proteinPA2042-2.6-1.9+Probable phrasesPA20522.4-2.4-2.4PA2058-2.6-1.9+Hypothetical proteinPA2069-2.8-3.7+Hypothetical proteinPA2069-2.8-3.7+Hypothetical proteinPA2069-2.6-1.9+Hypotheti	PA1249	aprA		_3.1	-		
Initial PA1384and put1-14+Andate by Decision 4 neglinerasePA1384-1.2-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA1387-2-2Hypothetical proteinPA1388oysi-1.5Suffer eductasePA1689acp1-2.4-2.2+PA1689acp1-2.4-2.2+PA1689acp1-2.4-2.2+PA1690phz/2-2.1-2.8Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1900phz/2-3.2-5.6Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1901phz/2-3.9-3.5+Phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1902phz/2-3.3-3.5+Phenazine biosynthesis protein Phz/2PA1903phz/2-3.3-3.4+Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1904phz/2-3.3-3.4+Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905phz/2-3.3-3.4+Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1907met/2-3.3-3.4+Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1908phz/2-3.3-3.4+Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905phz/2-1.3+5-PA1907met/2-1.7+Hypothetical proteinPA2068-1.1-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA2069-2.6-1.9+Probable phenolexine syntase HonAPA2069-2.6	PA1250	apiA		-1.4	+	Alkaline proteinase inhibitor Apri	
PATCPAgatInitialDurbagePAT784-1.2-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA1837-2-2.6Hypothetical proteinPA1838 αgrl -2.4-2.2+PA1838 αgrl -2.4-2.2+PA1839 αprl -2.4-2.2+PA1817 $lasA$ -2-3.4+PA1819 $\rho hzA2$ -2.1-2.8-PA1800 $\rho hzZ2$ -2.8-3.7+Phenazine biosynthesis proteinPhenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1901 $\rho hzZ2$ -2.8-3.7+Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzDPA1902 $\rho hzZ2$ -4+PA1903 $\rho hzZ2$ -3.7-3.5+Phobable phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzDPA1904 $\rho hzZ2$ -3.3-3.4+Phobable phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzDPA1905 $\rho hzZ2$ -3.3-3.4+Phobable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905 $\rho hzZ2$ -3.3-3.4+Phobable photocal photosithesis proteinPA1905 $\rho hzZ2$ -3.3-3.4+Phobable photocal photosithesis proteinPA2031+PA2052PA2054PA2055+PA2056PA2057	PA1294	apir		-1.4	+		
PATLPA-1.2-1.3+PhyDimetrical proteinPA1837-1.5Suffer reductasePA1838cysl-1.5Suffer reductasePA1839cysl-2.4-2.2+Acp1PA1671lasA-2.4-2.2+Acp1PA1899plz22-2.1-2.8Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1900plz22-3.2-5.6Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1901plz22-2.8-3.7+Phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1903plz22-3.9-3.5+Phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1904plz22-3.7-3.5+Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905plz22-3.3-3.5+Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1904plz72-3.7-3.5+Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905plz62-3.3-3.4+Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905metE-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA20301.6+Hypothetical proteinPA2068-1.1-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA2069-2.6-1.9+Probable phylosau-physiphate dependent enzymePA2069-2.6-1.9+Probable carbamoly transferasePA2069-2.6-3.7+Probable carbamoly transferasePA2069-2.6-1.9+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonBPA2069-2	PA1304	gaiL	1.0	-1.5		Upr-glucose 4-epimerase	
PA1833 -2 -2 -1 PhyDathetical proteinPA1838 oyd -1.5 Suffer reductasePA1838 $acp1$ -2.4 -2.2 $+$ Acp1PA1839 $plzZ4$ -2.1 -2.3 $+$ LasA probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1900 $plzZ2$ -2.1 -2.6 -2 Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1901 $plzZ2$ -2.8 -3.7 $+$ Phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1902 $plzZ2$ -2.8 -3.7 $+$ Phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1903 $plzZ2$ -3.3 -3.5 $+$ Phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1904 $plzZ2$ -3.7 -3.5 $+$ Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905 $plzZ2$ -3.3 -3.4 $+$ Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905 $plzZ2$ -3.3 -3.4 $+$ Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905 $plzZ2$ -3.3 -3.4 $+$ Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905 $plzZ2$ -3.7 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2030 -1.7 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2031 -2.6 -1.1 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2067 -1.4 -1.8 $+$ Probable hydrolasePA2068 -2.6 -1.9 $+$ Probable carbamoly transferasePA2069 -2.6 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2069	PA1764		-1.2	-1.0	+		
PA1850 PA1859 $cyst$ -1.3 Suffer toolusesePA1869 PA1869 $acp1$ -2.4 -2.2 $+$ $Acp1$ PA1871 $lasA$ -2 -3.4 $+$ LasA protease precursorPA1899 $plxA2$ -2.1 -2.8 -7 Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1901 $plzC2$ -2.8 -3.7 $+$ Phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1902 $plzD2$ -4 -4 $+$ Phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1903 $plzZ2$ -3.9 -3.5 $+$ Phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1904 $plzZ2$ -3.7 -3.5 $+$ Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905 $plzZ2$ -3.3 -3.4 $+$ Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1907 $metE$ -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2030 -1.7 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2056 -1.1 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2056 -1.1 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2057 -2.6 -1.9 $+$ Probable major facilitar superfamily (MFS) transporterPA2058 -2.6 -3.7 $+$ Probable proteinPA2059 -2.6 -3.7 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2059	PA1037	aval		-2			
PATREGYACD-2.4-2.2+ACDPATRETlasA-2-3.4+LasA protease precursorPATRETlasA-2-3.4+LasA protease precursorPATRETlasA-2-3.4+Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPATRETphzB2-3.2-5.6Probable phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzCPATRETphzC2-2.8-3.7+Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzDPATRETphzC2-3.9-3.5+Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzEPATRETphzG2-3.3-3.4+Probable phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzEPA1904phzC2-3.3-3.4+Probable phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzEPA1905phzG2-3.3-3.4+Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1907metE-1.3+Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA20301.6+Hypothetical proteinPA20821.6+Hypothetical proteinPA20861.1-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA20862.6-1.9+Probable hydrolasePA20872.6-1.9+Probable hydrolasePA20882.6-1.9+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonAPA20892.6-1.9+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonAPA2195hcnC-2.4-3+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonA </td <td>PA1838</td> <td>CYSI</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>-1.5</td> <td></td> <td></td>	PA1838	CYSI	0.4	-1.5			
PATIB/1IBSA -2 -3.4 $+$ LBSA protease precursorPA1899 $ph282$ -2.1 -2.8 Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1900 $ph2B2$ -3.2 -5.6 Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1901 $ph2C2$ -2.8 -3.7 $+$ Phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1902 $ph2D2$ -4 -4 $+$ Phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1903 $ph2C2$ -3.9 -3.5 $+$ Phoable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1904 $ph2F2$ -3.7 -3.5 $+$ Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905 $ph2G2$ -3.3 -3.4 $+$ Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905 $ph2G2$ -3.3 -3.4 $+$ Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA2030 -1.6 -1.1 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2030 -1.6 -1.7 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2062 -2.4 -1.7 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2063 -1.1 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2064 -1.1 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2065 -2.6 -1.9 $+$ Probable phyridoxal-phosphate dependent enzymePA2069 -2.6 -1.9 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2069 -2.8 -3.7 $+$ Probable carbamoyl transferasePA2069 -2.8 -3.7 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2069 -2.6 -1.9	PA1869	acpi	-2.4	-2.2	+		
PA1999ph282-2.1-2.8Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1900ph282-3.2-5.6Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1901ph262-2.8-3.7+Phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1902ph202-4-4+Phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1903ph252-3.9-3.5+Phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1904ph272-3.7-3.5+Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905ph262-3.3-3.4+Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905ph262-3.3-3.4+Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905ph262-3.3-3.4+Probable phydicxamine 5'-phosphate oxidasePA1905ph262-1.1-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA20301.7+Hypothetical proteinPA20621.1-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA20631.1-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA2064+Probable phydioxasePA2065+Probable phydioxasePA2066+Probable phydioxasePA2067+Probable phydioxasePA2068+Probable phydioxasePA2069+Probable phydioxasePA2193hcnA<	PA1871	lasA	-2	-3.4	+	LasA protease precursor	
PA1900 $ph252$ -3.2 -5.6 Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1901 $ph2C2$ -2.8 -3.7 $+$ Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzCPA1902 $ph2D2$ -4 -4 $+$ Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzDPA1903 $ph2E2$ -3.9 -3.5 $+$ Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzDPA1904 $ph2F2$ -3.7 -3.5 $+$ Probable phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzEPA1905 $ph2C2$ -3.3 -3.4 $+$ Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1927 $meLE$ -1.3 $+$ Probable pyridoxamine 5'-phosphate oxidasePA2030 -1.7 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2062 -1.1 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2066 -1.1 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2067 -1.4 -1.8 $+$ Probable major facilitator superfamily (MFS) transporterPA2068 -2.6 -3.7 $+$ Probable carbarnoyl transferasePA2069 -2.8 -3.7 $+$ Probable carbarnoyl transferasePA2040 -2.6 -3.7 $+$ Probable major facilitator superfamily (MFS) transporterPA2050 -2.8 -3.7 $+$ Probable carbarnoyl transferasePA2193hcnA -2.2 -2.9 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonAPA2194hcnB -2.2 -2.9 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonAPA2204 -2.6 -4.5 $+$ Chitinase <td>PA1899</td> <td>phzA2</td> <td>-2.1</td> <td>-2.8</td> <td></td> <td>Probable phenazine biosynthesis protein</td>	PA1899	phzA2	-2.1	-2.8		Probable phenazine biosynthesis protein	
PA1901 $pIzC2$ -2.8 -3.7 $+$ Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzCPA1902 $pIzD2$ -4 -4 $+$ Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzDPA1903 $pIzD2$ -3.9 -3.5 $+$ Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzEPA1904 $pIzP2$ -3.7 -3.5 $+$ Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905 $phzG2$ -3.3 -3.4 $+$ Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1907 $metE$ -1.3 $+$ 5 -methylternalydropteroyttriglutamate-homocysteine S-methyltransferasePA2030 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2062 -1.1 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2066 -1.1 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2067 -1.4 -1.8 $+$ Probable hydrolasePA2068 -2.6 -1.9 $+$ Probable hydrolasePA2069 -2.8 -3.7 $+$ Probable carbamoy transferasePA2099 -2.8 -3.7 $+$ Probable carbamoy transferasePA2193hcnA -2.3 -3 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonAPA2194hcnB -2.2 -2.9 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonAPA2195hcnC -2.4 -3 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonCPA2204 -2.6 -2.1 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonCPA2303ambE -2.6 -2.1 $+$ PA2304ambE -2.6 -2.1 <	PA1900	phzB2	-3.2	-5.6		Probable phenazine biosynthesis protein	
PA1902 $phzD2$ -4 -4 $+$ Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzDPA1903 $phzE2$ -3.9 -3.5 $+$ Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzEPA1904 $phzE2$ -3.7 -3.5 $+$ Probable phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzEPA1905 $phzE2$ -3.7 -3.5 $+$ Probable phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzEPA1905 $phzE2$ -3.7 -3.4 $+$ Probable phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzEPA1927 $metE$ -1.3 $+$ Probable phyridoxamine 5'-phosphate oxidasePA2030 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2062 -1.7 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2063 -1.1 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2064 -1.1 -1.6 $+$ Probable phyridoxal-phosphate dependent enzymePA2065 -1.4 -1.8 $+$ Probable phyridoxal-phosphate oxidasePA2068 -2.6 -1.9 $+$ Probable phyridoxal-phosphate oxidasePA2089 -2.6 -1.9 $+$ Probable phyridoxal-phosphate dependent enzymePA2193 $hcnA$ -2.3 -3.7 $+$ Probable phyridoxal-phosphate dependent enzymePA2193 $hcnA$ -2.3 -3.7 $+$ Probable phyridoxal-phosphate dependent enzymePA2194 $hcnB$ -2.2 -2.9 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonAPA2195 $hcnC$ -2.4 -3.7 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonCPA2204 -2.6 <td>PA1901</td> <td>phzC2</td> <td>-2.8</td> <td>-3.7</td> <td>+</td> <td>Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzC</td>	PA1901	phzC2	-2.8	-3.7	+	Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzC	
PA1903 $\rho hzE2$ -3.9 -3.5 $+$ Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzEPA1904 $\rho hzF2$ -3.7 -3.5 $+$ Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905 $\rho hzG2$ -3.3 -3.4 $+$ Probable pyridoxamine 5'-phosphate oxidasePA1927 $metE$ -1.3 $+$ $Formatryltertrahydropteroyttrigutamate-homocysteine S-methyltransferasePA2030-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA2062-2.4-2.4Probable pyridoxal-phosphate dependent enzymePA2066-1.1-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA2067-1.4-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA2068-2.6-1.9+Probable hydrolasePA2069-2.8-3.7+Probable carbamoyl transferasePA2193honA-2.3-3+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonAPA2194honB-2.2-2.9+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonBPA2195honC-2.6-3.3+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonCPA2204-2.6-4.5+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonCPA2302ambE-2.6-4.5+PA2303ambD-2.6-4.5+PA2304ncC-2.6-4.5+PA2305ambD-2.1+AmbEPA2306-1.5-1.8+Hypothetical protein$	PA1902	phzD2	-4	-4	+	Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzD	
PA1904 $ptzF2$ -3.7 -3.5 $+$ Probable phenazine biosynthesis proteinPA1905 $ptzG2$ -3.3 -3.4 $+$ Probable pyridoxamine 5'-phosphate oxidasePA1927 $metE$ -1.3 $+$ 5 -methylterahydropteroyltriglutamate-homocysteine S-methyltransferasePA2030 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2031 -1.7 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2062 -2.4 -2.4 Probable pyridoxal-phosphate dependent enzymePA2066 -1.1 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2067 -1.4 -1.8 $+$ Probable major facilitator superfamily (MFS) transporterPA2068 -2.6 -1.9 $+$ Probable carbamoyl transferasePA2069 -2.8 -3.7 $+$ Probable carbamoyl transferasePA2193hcnA -2.3 -3 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonAPA2194hcnB -2.2 -2.9 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonAPA2195hcnC -2.4 -3 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonCPA2204 -2.4 -3 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonCPA2302ambE -2.6 -4.5 $+$ PA2303ambE -2.6 -4.5 $+$ PA2304 -2.6 -4.5 $+$ ChitinasePA2305 $ambB$ -2.1 $+$ AmbDPA2306 $ambB$ -2.1 $+$ AmbEPA2303 $ambB$ -2.1 $+$ AmbE </td <td>PA1903</td> <td>phzE2</td> <td>-3.9</td> <td>-3.5</td> <td>+</td> <td>Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzE</td>	PA1903	phzE2	-3.9	-3.5	+	Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzE	
PA1905 $ph2G2$ -3.3 -3.4 $+$ Probable pyridoxamine 5'-phosphate oxidasePA1927 $metE$ -1.3 $+$ 5 -methylterahydropteroyltriglutamate-homocysteine S-methyltransferasePA2030 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2031 -1.7 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2062 -2.4 -7.7 $+$ PA2066 -1.1 -1.6 $+$ PA2067 -1.4 -1.8 $+$ PA2068 -2.6 -1.9 $+$ PA2069 -2.8 -3.7 $+$ PA2193 $hcnA$ -2.3 -3 $+$ PA2194 $hcnB$ -2.2 -2.9 $+$ PA2195 $hcnC$ -2.4 -3 $+$ PA2204 -2.4 -3 $+$ PA2305 $ambE$ -2.1 $+$ PA2306 -2.6 -2.1 $+$ PA2307 -2.4 -3 $+$ PA2308 -2.6 -2.1 $+$ PA2309 -2.4 -3 $+$ PA2300 $chiC$ -2.6 -4.5 $+$ PA2301 -2.6 -4.5 $+$ PA2302 $ambE$ -2.1 $+$ PA2303 $ambD$ -2.1 $+$ PA2304 $ambC$ -2.1 $+$ PA2305 $ambB$ -2.1 $+$ PA2305 $ambE$ -2.1 $+$ PA2305 $ambB$ -2.1 $+$ PA2305 $ambB$ -2.1 $+$ PA2305 $ambB$ <	PA1904	phzF2	-3.7	-3.5	+	Probable phenazine biosynthesis protein	
PA1927metE-1.3+5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate-homocysteine S-methyltransferasePA2030-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA2031-1.7+Hypothetical proteinPA2062-2.4Probable pyridoxal-phosphate dependent enzymePA2066-1.1-1.6+PA2067-1.4-1.8+PA2068-2.6-1.9+PA2069-2.8-3.7+PA2193hcnA-2.3-3+PA2194hcnB-2.2-2.9+PA2059-2.4-3+PA2194hcnC-2.4-3PA2195hcnC-2.4-3PA2060-2.1-PA2074-2.2-2.9PA2085-2.1+PA2094-2.1+PA2095-2.1+PA2096-2.6-4.5PA2097-2.6-4.5PA2198hcnC-2.6PA2199-2.1+PA2194hcnCPA2274-2.2PA2305ambDPA2306-2.6PA2307-2.6PA2308-2.6PA2308-2.6PA2309-2.6PA2309-2.6PA2309-2.6PA2309-2.6PA2309-2.6PA2309-2.6PA2309-2.6PA2309-2.6PA2309-2.6PA2309-2.6PA2309-2.6	PA1905	phzG2	-3.3	-3.4	+	Probable pyridoxamine 5'-phosphate oxidase	
PA2030-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA2031-1.7+Hypothetical proteinPA2062-2.4Probable pyridoxal-phosphate dependent enzymePA2066-1.1-1.6+PA2067-1.4-1.8+PA2068-2.6-1.9+PA2069-2.8-3.7+PA2193hcnA-2.3-3PA2194hcnB-2.2-2.9PA2195hcnC-2.4-3PA2204-2.6-2.9PA2195hcnC-2.4PA206-2.1-2.9PA207-2.4-3PA208-2.2-2.9PA209-2.4-3PA209-2.4-3PA209-2.4-3PA209-2.6-2.1PA209-2.6-2.1PA209-2.6-4.5PA209-2.6-4.5PA209-2.6-4.5PA209-2.6-4.5PA209-2.6PA209-2.6PA209-2.6PA209-2.6PA209-2.6PA209-2.6PA209-2.1PA209-2.1PA209-2.1PA209-2.5PA209-2.1PA209-2.5PA209-2.5PA209-2.5PA209-2.5PA209-2.5PA209-2.1PA209-2.5PA209-1.5<	PA1927	metE		-1.3	+	5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate-homocysteine S-methyltransferase	
PA2031 -1.7 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2062 -2.4 Probable pyridoxal-phosphate dependent enzymePA2066 -1.1 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2067 -1.4 -1.8 $+$ Probable hydrolasePA2068 -2.6 -1.9 $+$ Probable carbamoyl transferasePA2069 -2.8 -3.7 $+$ Probable carbamoyl transferasePA2193hcnA -2.2 -2.9 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonAPA2194hcnB -2.2 -2.9 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonBPA2195hcnC -2.4 -3 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonBPA2204 -2.4 -3 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonCPA2304 $ambE$ -2.6 -4.5 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2303 $ambD$ -2.1 $+$ AmbEPA2304 $ambE$ -2.1 $+$ AmbEPA2305 $ambB$ -2.1 $+$ AmbEPA2305 $ambB$ -2.1 $+$ AmbEPA2304 -2.5 -1.8 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2305 -1.5 -1.8 $+$ Hypothetical protein	PA2030			-1.6	+	Hypothetical protein	
PA2062 -2.4 Probable pyridoxal-phosphate dependent enzymePA2066 -1.1 -1.6 $+$ Hypothetical proteinPA2067 -1.4 -1.8 $+$ Probable hydrolasePA2068 -2.6 -1.9 $+$ Probable major facilitator superfamily (MFS) transporterPA2069 -2.8 -3.7 $+$ Probable carbamoyl transferasePA2193hcnA -2.3 -3 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HcnAPA2194hcnB -2.2 -2.9 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HcnBPA2204 -2.4 -3 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HcnCPA2204 -2.4 -3 $+$ Hydrogen cyanide synthase HcnCPA2300chiC -2.6 -4.5 $+$ Orbible binding protein component of ABC transporterPA2302ambE -2.1 $+$ AmbEPA2303ambD -2.1 $+$ AmbEPA2304ambC -2.1 $+$ AmbDPA2305ambB -2.1 $+$ AmbCPA2305 -2.5 -1.8 $+$ Hypothetical protein	PA2031			-1.7	+	Hypothetical protein	
PA2066-1.1-1.6+Hypothetical proteinPA2067-1.4-1.8+Probable hydrolasePA2068-2.6-1.9+Probable major facilitator superfamily (MFS) transporterPA2069-2.8-3.7+Probable carbamoyl transferasePA2193hcnA-2.3-3+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonAPA2194hcnB-2.2-2.9+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonBPA2204-1.6-2.1-3+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonCPA2274-2.6-2.1-2.2+Probable binding protein component of ABC transporterPA2300chiC-2.6-4.5+ChitinasePA2303ambE-2.1+AmbEPA2304ambC-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbEPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbEPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.5-1.8+PA2305ambB-2.5-1.8+PA2305ambB-1.5-1.8+PA2305ambB-2.5-1.8+PA2305ambB-1.5-1.8	PA2062			-2.4		Probable pyridoxal-phosphate dependent enzyme	
PA2067-1.4-1.8+Probable hydrolasePA2068-2.6-1.9+Probable major facilitator superfamily (MFS) transporterPA2069-2.8-3.7+Probable carbamoyl transferasePA2193hcnA-2.3-3+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HcnAPA2194hcnB-2.2-2.9+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HcnBPA2195hcnC-2.4-3+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HcnCPA22041.6-2.1Probable binding protein component of ABC transporterPA2300chiC-2.6-4.5+ChitinasePA2302ambE-2.1+AmbEPA2303ambD-2.1+AmbDPA2304ambD-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.5-1.8+Hypothetical protein	PA2066		-1.1	-1.6	+	Hypothetical protein	
PA2068-2.6-1.9+Probable major facilitator superfamily (MFS) transporterPA2069-2.8-3.7+Probable carbamoyl transferasePA2193hcnA-2.3-3+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HcnAPA2194hcnB-2.2-2.9+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HcnBPA2195hcnC-2.4-3+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HcnCPA22041.6-2.1Probable binding protein component of ABC transporterPA2300chiC-2.6-4.5+ChitinasePA2302ambE-2.1+AmbEPA2303ambD-2.1+AmbDPA2304ambC-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.5-1.8+Hypothetical protein	PA2067		-1.4	-1.8	+	Probable hydrolase	
PA2069-2.8-3.7+Probable carbamoyl transferasePA2193hcnA-2.3-3+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonAPA2194hcnB-2.2-2.9+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonBPA2195hcnC-2.4-3+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonCPA22041.6-2.1Probable binding protein component of ABC transporterPA2300chiC-2.6-4.5+ChitinasePA2302ambE-2.1+AmbEPA2303ambD-2.1+AmbDPA2304ambC-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.5-1.8+Hypothetical protein	PA2068		-2.6	-1.9	+	Probable major facilitator superfamily (MFS) transporter	
PA2193hcnA-2.3-3+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HcnAPA2194hcnB-2.2-2.9+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HcnBPA2195hcnC-2.4-3+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HcnCPA22041.6-2.1Probable binding protein component of ABC transporterPA2300ch/C-2.6-4.5+ChitinasePA2302ambE-2.1+AmbEPA2303ambD-2.1+AmbDPA2304ambC-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.5-1.8+Hypothetical protein	PA2069		-2.8	-3.7	+	Probable carbamoyl transferase	
PA2194hcnB-2.2-2.9+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonBPA2195hcnC-2.4-3+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonCPA22041.6-2.1Probable binding protein component of ABC transporterPA2300ch/C-2.6-4.5+ChitinasePA2302ambE-2.1+AmbEPA2303ambD-2.1+AmbDPA2304ambC-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.5-1.8+	PA2193	hcnA	-2.3	-3	+	Hydrogen cyanide synthase HcnA	
PA2195hcnC-2.4-3+Hydrogen cyanide synthase HonCPA22041.6-2.1Probable binding protein component of ABC transporterPA2304-2.6-4.5+Hypothetical proteinPA2302ambE-2.1+ChitnasePA2303ambD-2.1+AmbEPA2304ambC-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbCPA2305ambB-2.1+Hypothetical proteinPA2305ambB-2.1+Hypothetical protein	PA2194	hcnB	-2.2	-2.9	+	Hydrogen cyanide synthase HcnB	
PA22041.6-2.1Probable binding protein component of ABC transporterPA274-2.2+Hypothetical proteinPA2300chiC-2.6-4.5+ChitinasePA2302ambE-2.1+AmbEPA2303ambD-2.1+AmbDPA2304ambC-2.1+AmbCPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbDPA2305ambB-2.1+Hypothetical proteinPA2305-1.5-1.8+Hypothetical protein	PA2195	hcnC	-2.4	-3	+	Hydrogen cyanide synthase HcnC	
PA2274-2.2+Hypothetical proteinPA2300chiC-2.6-4.5+ChitinasePA2302ambE-2.1+AmbEPA2303ambD-2.1+AmbDPA2304ambC-2.1+AmbCPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2305ambB-2.1+AmbBPA2328-1.5-1.8+Hypothetical protein	PA2204		1.6	-2.1		Probable binding protein component of ABC transporter	
PA2300 chiC -2.6 -4.5 + Chitinase PA2302 ambE -2.1 + AmbE PA2303 ambD -2.1 + AmbD PA2304 ambC -2.1 + AmbC PA2305 ambB -2.1 + AmbC PA2305 -2.1 + AmbC PA2305 -2.1 + AmbC PA2305 -1.5 -1.8 + Hypothetical protein	PA2274			-2.2	+	Hypothetical protein	
PA2302 ambE -2.1 + AmbE PA2303 ambD -2.1 + AmbD PA2304 ambC -2.1 + AmbC PA2305 ambB -2 + AmbB PA2328 -1.5 -1.8 + Hypothetical protein	PA2300	chiC	-2.6	-4.5	+	Chitinase	
PA2303 ambD -2.1 + AmbD PA2304 ambC -2.1 + AmbC PA2305 ambB -2 + AmbB PA2328 -1.5 -1.8 + Hypothetical protein	PA2302	ambE		-2.1	+	AmbE	
PA2304 ambC -2.1 + AmbC PA2305 ambB -2 + AmbB PA2328 -1.5 -1.8 + Hypothetical protein	PA2303	ambD		-2.1	+	AmbD	
PA2305 ambB -2 + AmbB PA2328 -1.5 -1.8 + Hypothetical protein	PA2304	ambC		-2.1	+	AmbC	
PA2328 -1.5 -1.8 + Hypothetical protein	PA2305	ambB		-2	+	AmbB	
	PA2328		-1.5	-1.8	+	Hypothetical protein	

(Continued)

TABLE 2 | Continued

		Samp	Sampling time ^c			
ORF ^a	Gene ^b	EXP	STA	QS ^d	Protein description ^e	
PA2329		-1.6	-2.3	+	Probable ATP-binding component of ABC transporter	
PA2330		-1.7	-2.7	+	Hypothetical protein	
PA2331		-1.5	-3.3	+	Hypothetical protein	
PA2381		-1	-2.5		Hypothetical protein	
PA2459			-1.4		Hypothetical protein	
PA2564		-2.3	-1.5	+	Hypothetical protein	
PA2565		-2.3	-1.5	+	Hypothetical protein	
PA2566		-2.3	-1.7	+	Conserved hypothetical protein	
PA2570	lecA	-3.5	-3.6	+	LecA	
PA2588			-1.7	+	Probable transcriptional regulator	
PA3361	lecB	-5.6	-3.3	+	Fucose-binding lectin PA-IIL	
PA3450	IsfA		-2.1		1-Cys peroxiredoxin LsfA	
PA3478	rhlB	-3.2	-3.3	+	Rhamnosyltransferase chain B	
PA3479	rhlA	-3.7	-3.8	+	Rhamnosyltransferase chain A	
PA3520		-2.2	-2.4	+	Hypothetical protein	
PA3724	lasB	-1.7	-1.7	+	Elastase LasB	
PA3734		-2.3	-1.6	+	Hypothetical protein	
PA3813	iscU		-1.4		Probable iron-binding protein IscU	
PA3814	iscS		-1.3		L-Cysteine desulfurase (pyridoxal phosphate-dependent)	
PA3869			-1.4		Hypothetical protein	
PA3884			-1.4		Hypothetical protein	
PA3928		-1.4	-1.8		Hypothetical protein	
PA3929	cioB	-1.2	-1.9		Cyanide insensitive terminal oxidase	
PA3930	cioA	-1.4	-2.1		Cyanide insensitive terminal oxidase	
PA4078		-2.1	-3.9	+	Probable non-ribosomal peptide synthetase	
PA4079			-1.4		NaD(P)H-dependent carbonyl reductase	
PA4129		-2.1	-2.1	+	Hypothetical protein	
PA4130		-2.5	-2	+	Probable sulfite or nitrite reductase	
PA4131		-2.3	-1.9	+	Probable iron-sulfur protein	
PA4132		-1.9	-1.9	+	Conserved hypothetical protein	
PA4133		-4.2	-2.5	+	Cytochrome c oxidase subunit (cbb3-type)	
PA4134		-4 1	-2.3	+	Hypothetical protein	
PA4141		-2.5	-2.5	+	Hypothetical protein	
PA4205	mexG	1.3	-3.5	+	Hypothetical protein	
PA4206	mexH		-2	+	Probable resistance-nodulation-cell division efflux membrane protein	
PA4208	opmD		-1.3	+	Probable outer membrane protein precursor	
PA4209	nhzM	-37	-3.2	+	Probable obenazine-specific methyltransferase	
PA4210	phzM phzA1	-3.6	-2.2	+	Probable phenazine biosvothesis protein	
PA4211	phzR1	-5.8	_4.9	1 -	Probable phenazine biosynthesis protein	
PA4212	phzB1	_2.9	-3.7	1	Phenazine biosynthesis protein Phace	
PA4213	ph201	_4	_4	1	Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzD	
PA4210	phzB1	-3.0	-3.5	1	Phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzE	
PA4214	phzE1	-3.7	-3.5	+	Probable phenazine biosynthesis protein	
PA4216	ph/21 1	_3.4	_3.4	т 	Probable pyridoxamine 5'-nhosobate ovidase	
PΔ4217	ph2G1	_4 3	_3.8	т +		
PAAAO	CVSNI	17	_0.0 _1 Q	+	ATP sulfundase GTP-hinding subunit/APS kinase	
PA5220	0,014	-2.1	-1.8	+	Hypothetical protein	
-			-			

^aPA numbers are from http://www.pseudomonas.com; ORF, open reading frame.

^bGenes are obtained from http://www.pseudomonas.com.

^c The ratios (log2 ratio) represent expression levels in PAO1 cultures supplemented with 1/4 TRQ relative to that of the control at exponential phase (EXP) and stationary phase (STA).

^dThese genes were identified as QS regulated in previous transcriptome studies.

^eProteins are described from http://www.pseudomonas.com.



the expression analysis of *lasR-gfp*; the middle panel showed the expression of *rhlR-gfp*; the right panel showed the expression of *mvfR-gfp*. Five components in TRQ formula were tested individually for the gene expression analyses: (A) HQ; (B) JYH; (C) LQ; (D) XD; (E) SYJ.

several PprAB-regulated genes including the *cupE* operon and *tad* operons (**Supplementary Table S1**). Therefore, it would be interesting to determine whether excess supply of carbon sources from the TRQ formula would repress the expression of *pprAB*. To this end, we used the transcriptional fusion of *pprB*, *cupE1* and *rpoS* to verify our hypothesis. By adding TRQ to cultures of PAO1 derivatives, we could observe that the expression of these genes was significantly downregulated (**Figures 6D-F**). As a negative control, we used the previously characterized *dgcH* promoter fusion, which showed invariable under various environmental conditions and genetic backgrounds (Wei et al., 2019b). No significant change was observed in gene expression

level (**Figure 6G**), consistent with its original RNA-seq data (see GEO dataset GSE141753).

Altogether, we could draw the conclusion that TRQ formula functions through at least two TCSs to downregulate QS systems in *P. aeruginosa*.

TRQ Attenuates the Virulence of *P. aeruginosa* in an Animal Model

As we already observed that TRQ mainly targeted the QS regulatory systems in *P. aeruginosa* which mediates the pathogenesis in plant and animal models via the production of



FIGURE 5 | Contribution of five components in TRQ formula to QS inhibition. Contribution to *lasR* inhibition (A), *rh/R* inhibition (B), *mvfR* inhibition (C), and overall inhibition (D). Others indicate the mixing effect of five components in TRQ. Fixed data points were extracted from each treatment and calculated as the percentage calibrated by total inhibition (100%).



several virulence factors (Mahajan-Miklos et al., 1999; Rahme et al., 2000). We therefore checked the *in vivo* protection role of TRQ against *P. aeruginosa* infection. We fed *C. elegans* with TRQ-treated *P. aeruginosa* cells and then checked the survival rate of worms. As shown in **Figure 7**, we found that *P. aeruginosa* wild type strain was toxic to the worms since more than 50% of the population died after 4 days and 80% after 7 days. In contrast, TRQ-treated *P. aeruginosa* cells caused a significant increase in worm survival and this effect was TRQ concentration dependent.

After 7 days, there were still more than 50% worms alive. Altogether, we demonstrated that TRQ could protect *C. elegans* from killing by *P. aeruginosa*.

DISCUSSION

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is one of the notorious human opportunistic pathogens that cause significant mortality and

TABLE 3 | Selected upregulated genes upon TRQ treatment.

		Sampling time ^c			
ORF ^a	Gene ^b	EXP	STA	QS ^d	Protein description ^e
Amino acid b	iosynthesis and m	etabolism			
PA0025	aroE	1.3			Shikimate dehydrogenase
PA0245	aroQ2	3.6			3-Dehydroquinate dehydratase
PA0331	ilvA1	1.2			Threonine dehydratase, biosynthetic
PA0390	metX	1.7			Homoserine O-acetyltransferase
PA0782	putA	1.8			Proline dehydrogenase PutA
PA0895	aruC	1.4			N2-succinylornithine 5-aminotransferase (SOAT)
PA0896	aruF	1.2			Subunit I of arginine N2-succinyltransferase
PA0897	aruG	1.2			Subunit II of arginine N2-succinyltransferase
PA1818	cadA	1.2			Lysine decarboxylase
PA3082	gbt	1.2			Glycine betaine transmethylase
PA4731	panD	1.4	1.2		Aspartate 1-decarboxylase precursor
Carbon comp	oound catabolism				
PA0153	pcaH	3.9			Protocatechuate 3,4-dioxygenase, beta subunit
PA0154	pcaG	4.1			Protocatechuate 3,4-dioxygenase, alpha subunit
PA0226		3.5	1.6		Probable CoA transferase, subunit A
PA0227		3.3			Probable CoA transferase, subunit B
PA0232	pcaC	1.4	1.3		Gamma-carboxymuconolactone decarboxylase
PA0247	pobA	1.7			P-Hydroxybenzoate hydroxylase
PA2261		2.5		+	Probable 2-ketogluconate kinase
PA2323	gapN	2.7			GapN
PA2507	catA	2.1	3.4		Catechol 1,2-dioxygenase
PA2508	catC	2.0	3.3		Muconolactone delta-isomerase
PA2509	catB	1.5	1.4		Muconate cycloisomerase I
PA2515	xyIL	3.2			Cis-1,2- dihydroxycyclohexa3-,4-diene carboxylate dehydrogenase
PA2517	xylY	4.1	2.8		Toluate 1,2-dioxygenase beta subunit
PA2518	xylX	4.5	2.7		Toluate 1,2-dioxygenase alpha subunit
PA4091	hpaA	4.7			4-Hydroxyphenylacetate 3-monooxygenase large chain
PA4092	hpaC	4.5			4-Hydroxyphenylacetate 3-monooxygenase small chain
PA4123	hpcC	4.2			5-Carboxy-2-hydroxymuconate semialdehyde dehydrogenase
PA4124	hpcB	4.0			Homoprotocatechuate 2,3-dioxygenase
PA4125	hpcD	4.0			5-Carboxymethyl-2-hydroxymuconate isomerase
PA4127	hpcG	3.8			2-Oxo-hept3-ene-1,7-dioate hydratase
PA5351	rubA1	1.4			Rubredoxin 1
PA4670	prs	1.6	2.0		Ribose-phosphate pyrophosphokinase
PA2862	lipA	1.8	2.7		Lactonizing lipase precursor
PA3363	amiR	1.5	1.0		Aliphatic amidase regulator
Central interr	nediary metabolis	m			
PA0654	speD	1.5			S-Adenosylmethionine decarboxylase proenzyme
PA2393		1.6			Putative dipeptidase
PA3182	pgl	1.8		+	6-Phosphogluconolactonase
PA3582	glpK	1.5	2.2		Glycerol kinase
PA4100		1.3			Probable dehydrogenase
PA4956	rhdA	1.3			Thiosulfate:cyanide sulfurtransferase
PA5435		2.3	1.3		Probable transcarboxylase subunit
PA5436		2.7	1.1		Probable biotin carboxylase subunit of a transcarboxylase
PA4442	cysN	1.7	-1.9	+	ATP sulfurylase GTP-binding subunit/APS kinase
PA4443	cysD	2.1	-1.1	+	ATP sulfurylase small subunit
PA3181		1.6		+	2-Keto3–deoxy-6-phosphogluconate aldolase
PA4748	tpiA	1.2			Triosephosphate isomerase
PA3562	frul	1.3			Phosphotransferase system transporter enzyme I, Frul

(Continued)

TABLE 3 | Continued

		Sampling time ^c			
ORF ^a	Gene ^b	EXP	STA	QS ^d	Protein description ^e
Energy metab	olism				
PA0519	nirS	1.7	1.9		Nitrite reductase precursor
PA0521		2.0			Probable cytochrome c oxidase subunit
PA0523	norC	4.8			Nitric-oxide reductase subunit C
PA0524	norB	4.3			Nitric-oxide reductase subunit B
PA0525		3.1			Probable denitrification protein NorD
PA1317	суоА	1.5	-1.3	+	Cytochrome o ubiquinol oxidase subunit II
PA2382	lldA	2.1			L-Lactate dehydrogenase
PA2664	fhp	3.0			Flavohemoprotein
PA3392	nosZ	2.9		+	Nitrous-oxide reductase precursor
PA3393	nosD	1.9		+	NosD protein
PA3872	narl	2.0		+	Respiratory nitrate reductase gamma chain
PA3873	narJ	1.8		+	Respiratory nitrate reductase delta chain
PA3874	narH	1.8		+	Respiratory nitrate reductase beta chain
PA3875	narG	2.2		+	Respiratory nitrate reductase alpha chain
PA2321	gntK	3.1		+	GntK
PA2516	xylZ	4.1	1.7		Toluate 1,2-dioxygenase electron transfer component
PA3183	zwf	2.1		+	Glucose-6-phosphate 1-dehydrogenase
PA3194	edd	1.5		+	Phosphogluconate dehydratase
PA3195	gapA	2.5		+	Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase
PA3394	nosF	1.6		+	NosF protein

^aPA numbers are from http://www.pseudomonas.com; ORF, open reading frame.

^bGenes are obtained from http://www.pseudomonas.com.

^c The ratios (log2 ratio) represent expression levels in PAO1 cultures supplemented with 1/4 TRQ relative to that of the control at exponential phase (EXP) and stationary phase (STA).

^dThese genes were identified as QS regulated in previous transcriptome studies.

^eProteins are described from http://www.pseudomonas.com.

morbidity in immunocompromised patients. The pathogenicity of P. aeruginosa is largely dependent on the production of virulence factors such as pyocyanin, siderophores, elastases, and proteases, which are elaborately controlled by a hierarchal QS regulatory network (Papenfort and Bassler, 2016). This elegant regulatory strategy has attracted extensive attention to develop therapeutics against P. aeruginosa-related infections, particularly acute infections. A wide array of natural and synthetic compounds targeting QS systems have been developed and tested in vitro and in vivo (Starkey et al., 2014; Asfour, 2018; Dogan et al., 2019). For example, furanone C-30 (Hentzer et al., 2002), gingerol (Kim et al., 2015) and garlic extract (Rasmussen et al., 2005) have been reported to possess anti-QS activities. Recently, it has been demonstrated that ginkgolic acids from Pistacia lentiscus fruits inhibit P. aeruginosa virulence by targeting the pas system (Tahrioui et al., 2020). Particularly, many traditional Chinese medicines have been shown to exert anti-QS and anti-virulence activities. However, the exact mechanism of OS inhibition seems to be still uncertain.

Previously, we have shown that TRQ could be used in synergy with traditional antibiotics to enhance its antibacterial effects against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (Yang et al., 2018). Furthermore, we have demonstrated that TRQ formula could efficiently eradicate *S. aureus* biofilms in synergy with penicillin (Wang et al., 2011). However, the underlying





mechanisms concerning the antibacterial and anti-biofilm activities of TRQ are still poorly understood. In this study, we focused on the Gram-negative bacterium *P. aeruginosa* as



a model to investigate the mode of action of TRQ against bacterial infections. Surprisingly, we found that TRQ could target all three QS systems in *P. aeruginosa*, including *las*, *rhl*, and *pqs* (Lee et al., 2013). Through extensive bioinformatic analyses, we found that there was a significant overlap between differentially expressed genes in the exponential and stationary growth phase, suggesting that TRQ has prolonged effects on *P. aeruginosa* transcriptional response. Most of the QSregulated genes have been found to be repressed by TRQ treatment, including two phenazine biosynthesis operons, *lasA*, *lasB*, *lecA*, *lecB*, *rhlAB*, *chiC*, and the alkaline protease operon (*aprXDEFAI*). Interestingly, we also observed that the expression of pqsE was repressed in both exponential and stationary growth phases (**Table 2**). Recently, PqsE was reported to function as an alternative ligand synthase pairing with the RhlR receptor to control virulence and biofilm formation in *P. aeruginosa* (Mukherjee et al., 2018). The reduced pqsE gene expression would therefore disrupt the RhlR-mediated virulence gene expression. Additionally, the down-regulation of pqsBCDE will lead to quenching of the pqs system in *P. aeruginosa* and further contribute to reduced virulence (Deziel et al., 2005; Tahrioui et al., 2020). Collectively, all these evidences indicated that TRQ treatment could effectively target the pqs system and

subsequently contribute to the inhibition of QS systems in *P. aeruginosa.*

The inhibition of *rhl* by TRQ might involve a complex mechanism since much less contribution (less than 40%) of the five components in TRQ has been observed, while unknown mixing effects play significant roles in *rhlR* suppression. In addition, the lack of RhlR structural information has proved the difficulty to analyze the protein-ligand interaction and to develop structure-function based therapeutics for this regulatory protein. However, several progresses have been made recently. For example, it was reported that meta-bromothiolactone (mBTL) could target both LasR and RhlR in vitro, while RhlR is the relevant in vivo target (O'Loughlin et al., 2013). Furthermore, RhlR responds to a suggested PqsEencoded alternative ligand in addition to its canonical C4-HSL to promote a transcriptional program in the absence of RhlI (Mukherjee et al., 2017, 2018). Most recently, mBTLcomplexed RhlR has allowed the first purification of RhlR protein (McCready et al., 2019). All these new findings will facilitate the understanding of *rhl* QS system and the future study of its inhibition mechanism.

The component contributions to QS inhibition analyses have shed light on the underlying mechanism of each component of TRQ and showed that all five components are necessary for the efficient and complete inhibition of QS systems, since we have noticed that none of each separate component could completely inhibit the expression of QS regulator genes. In addition, the unknown mixing effects of these five components are still not well understood. Therefore, in the future, we should focus on deciphering the individual contribution of TRQ components to determine the exact chemical mechanism within these five components, although we have already obtained the results that at least the HQ played the most important role in the inhibition of QS systems in our test conditions. It is interesting to note that multiple ingredients have been characterized within HQ and their potential involvement in TCS or QS inhibition has been reported (Supplementary Table S4). For instance, one of the HQ ingredients, scutellarein, might be involved in the inhibition of protein kinase activity (You et al., 2017). It is tempting to investigate the underlying mechanisms of action in future studies.

Our RNA-seq analyses also enabled us to further understand the upstream genetic determinants that mediate the inhibition of QS systems in P. aeruginosa. We are particularly interested in the finding that the TCSs GacS/GacA and PprA/PprB were downregulated by TRQ treatment, possibly through carbon source supply due to the existence of two nutrients within TRQ (i.e., SYJ and XD), which contain excess amounts of amino acids and other nutritional ingredients. The TCS PprA/PprB was previously shown to control some QS-regulated genes, CupE fimbriae expression and thus biofilm formation (Giraud et al., 2011), although we have not systematically examined the effect of TRQ on the formation of biofilms in *P. aeruginosa* in this study. Most recently, this TCS was shown to be triggered by carbon starvation stress and this process was dependent on the sigma factor RpoS (Wang et al., 2019). As for the TCS GacS/GacA, it was previously known that certain QS inhibitors could function

through this pathway to repress the expression of QS-regulated genes in *P. aeruginosa* (Tan et al., 2014). Altogether, our results shed light on the multilevel inhibitory mechanisms of TRQ on the regulatory cascades in *P. aeruginosa*.

One of the technical issues concerning our study is that we used transcriptional fusion rather than qRT-PCR to validate our RNA-seq data. In principle, the transcriptional fusion analysis takes advantage of the accumulation of GFP fluorescence and serves as a reporter for the rate of transcription initiation from the promoter region. In contrast, RNA-seq, DNA microarray, and qRT-PCR report mRNA concentration, which is a balance between mRNA production and degradation (Zaslaver et al., 2006). We also realized that the transcriptional fusions are indeed plasmid-based constructs, therefore with increased copy number. Since the genes in fusion with GFP represent regulators which are not highly expressed (Supplementary Table S3), the advantage of using plasmid-borne fusions is evident. Specifically, the reason that these genes were not included in Table 2 and Supplementary Tables S1, S2 was due to their low fold change (between -2 and 2). Therefore, it appears that we did not detect them in the RNA-seq with stringent filtering. On the other hand, a factor two increase in transcripts of a regulator can have an effect more pronounced on the downstream genes, which is the case of the upstream targets by TRQ treatment. This is also the advantage of combining different methods and the reason we could identify the upstream genetic regulators that affect QS systems in our study.

In addition to inhibition of regulatory gene expression, TRQ might function through other mechanisms that would involve the possible interference with ligand-receptor interactions. For example, we have recently shown that one of the Chinese medicinal herb extracts (MHE) could interrupt the binding of MvfR to the *pqsA* promoter region, thus inhibiting the virulence factors production. Chemical analysis and molecular docking analysis showed that butyrolactone (BTL) and furfuryl alcohol (FA) within MHE could function as interference molecule(s) with the PQS signal to compete with MvfR (Wei et al., 2019a). Therefore, it would be interesting to identify the chemical components within TRQ to test for their performance and involvement in ligand-receptor binding interference.

Based on these facts, the complete inhibition of the three hierarchical QS systems in *P. aeruginosa* raises the possibility that TRQ is likely functioning as a multitarget inhibitor of bacterial virulence. Since we have seen that the expression of all three regulator genes (lasR, rhlR and mvfR) were completely repressed by TRQ treatment. One of the QS inhibition mechanisms might be the shutdown of las by TRQ and in turn the downregulation of pqs and rhl systems. The second hypothesis is the inhibition of the pqs system by TRQ with the consecutive shutdown of the rhl system. In addition to QS inhibition, we have unraveled that two TCSs, GacS/GacA and PprA/PprB, could be inhibited by TRQ treatment, further explaining the reason of QS inhibition and the final attenuation of *P. aeruginosa* virulence. Altogether, we summarized the conclusions from our study in **Figure 8**.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated for this study can be found in the accession number GSE141753.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YW conceived the project and supervised the study. QW, WY, QT, and KC performed the experiments and analyzed the data. GH, LL, and LM performed experiments, provided the reagents, technical assistance and interpretation of data for the project. QW, WY, PC, and YW wrote the draft. All authors added comments and corrections and approved the final version to be published.

FUNDING

This work was supported by Kaibao Pharmaceutical Company, Shanghai, and Basic Scientific Research Fund from Ministry of Finance of China (No. ZZ2017001), Office of International Cooperation, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences (GH2018001), Academician Expert Workstation, National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2019YFC1709305), and National Megaproject for Innovative Drugs (2019ZX09721001-005-002).

REFERENCES

- Almblad, H., Harrison, J. J., Rybtke, M., Groizeleau, J., Givskov, M., Parsek, M. R., et al. (2015). The cyclic AMP-Vfr signaling pathway in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is inhibited by cyclic di-GMP. *J. Bacteriol.* 197, 2190–2200. doi: 10.1128/jb. 00193-15
- Asfour, H. Z. (2018). Anti-quorum sensing natural compounds. J. Microsc. Ultrastruct. 6, 1-10. doi: 10.4103/jmau.jmau_10_18
- Aybey, A., and Demirkan, E. (2016). Inhibition of quorum sensing-controlled virulence factors in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by human serum paraoxonase. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 65, 105–113. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.000206
- Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2114–2120. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btu170
- Borlee, B. R., Geske, G. D., Blackwell, H. E., and Handelsman, J. (2010). Identification of synthetic inducers and inhibitors of the quorum-sensing regulator LasR in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by high-throughput screening. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76, 8255–8258. doi: 10.1128/aem.00499-10
- Camilli, A., and Bassler, B. L. (2006). Bacterial small-molecule signaling pathways. *Science* 311, 1113–1116. doi: 10.1126/science.1121357
- Chong, Y. M., How, K. Y., Yin, W. F., and Chan, K. G. (2018). The effects of Chinese herbal medicines on the quorum sensing-regulated virulence in *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa PAO1. Molecules 23:972. doi: 10.3390/molecules23040972
- Cornelis, P. (2019). Putting an end to the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* IQS controversy. *Microbiologyopen* 9:e962.
- Davies, D. G., Parsek, M. R., Pearson, J. P., Iglewski, B. H., Costerton, J. W., and Greenberg, E. P. (1998). The involvement of cell-to-cell signals in the development of a bacterial biofilm. *Science* 280, 295–298. doi: 10.1126/science. 280.5361.295
- D'Costa, V. M., King, C. E., Kalan, L., Morar, M., Sung, W. W., Schwarz, C., et al. (2011). Antibiotic resistance is ancient. *Nature* 477, 457–461.
- de Bentzmann, S., Giraud, C., Bernard, C. S., Calderon, V., Ewald, F., Plesiat, P., et al. (2012). Unique biofilm signature, drug susceptibility and decreased virulence in *Drosophila* through the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* two-component system PprAB. *PLoS Pathog.* 8:e1003052. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003052

QW is a CSC scholarship receiver and thanks for invitation from Prof. Per Saris in University of Helsinki, Finland.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the two reviewers for their constructive suggestions and comments.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2020.517462/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Table 1 | TRQ-treated *P. aeruginosa* regulon in exponential growth phase.

Supplementary Table 2 | TRQ-treated *P. aeruginosa* regulon in stationary growth phase.

Supplementary Table 3 | Raw expression data of selected genes from TRQ-treated *P. aeruginosa* regulon.

Supplementary Table 4 | Main components of three herbal medicines of TRQ (Ru et al., 2014).

- Deziel, E., Gopalan, S., Tampakaki, A. P., Lepine, F., Padfield, K. E., Saucier, M., et al. (2005). The contribution of MvfR to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pathogenesis and quorum sensing circuitry regulation: multiple quorum sensing-regulated genes are modulated without affecting *lasRI*, *rhlRI* or the production of *N*-acyl-L-homoserine lactones. *Mol. Microbiol.* 55, 998–1014. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2004.04448.x
- Diggle, S. P., Cornelis, P., Williams, P., and Camara, M. (2006). 4-quinolone signalling in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: old molecules, new perspectives. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* 296, 83–91. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2006.01.038
- Diggle, S. P., Matthijs, S., Wright, V. J., Fletcher, M. P., Chhabra, S. R., Lamont, I. L., et al. (2007). The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 4-quinolone signal molecules HHQ and PQS play multifunctional roles in quorum sensing and iron entrapment. *Chem. Biol.* 14, 87–96. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2006.11.014
- Dogan, S., Gokalsin, B., Senkardes, I., Dogan, A., and Sesal, N. C. (2019). Antiquorum sensing and anti-biofilm activities of *Hypericum perforatum* extracts against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J. Ethnopharmacol. 235, 293–300. doi: 10. 1016/j.jep.2019.02.020
- Fan, H., Dong, Y., Wu, D., Bowler, M. W., Zhang, L., and Song, H. (2013). QsIA disrupts LasR dimerization in antiactivation of bacterial quorum sensing. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 20765–20770. doi: 10.1073/pnas.131441 5110
- Fito-Boncompte, L., Chapalain, A., Bouffartigues, E., Chaker, H., Lesouhaitier, O., Gicquel, G., et al. (2011). Full virulence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* requires OprF. *Infect. Immun.* 79, 1176–1186.
- Giraud, C., Bernard, C. S., Calderon, V., Yang, L., Filloux, A., Molin, S., et al. (2011). The PprA-PprB two-component system activates CupE, the first non-archetypal *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* chaperone-usher pathway system assembling fimbriae. *Environ. Microbiol.* 13, 666–683. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02372.x
- Haussler, S. (2004). Biofilm formation by the small colony variant phenotype of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Environ. Microbiol.* 6, 546–551. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2004.00618.x
- Heeb, S., Fletcher, M. P., Chhabra, S. R., Diggle, S. P., Williams, P., and Camara, M. (2011). Quinolones: from antibiotics to autoinducers. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 35, 247–274. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2010.00247.x

- Hentzer, M., Riedel, K., Rasmussen, T. B., Heydorn, A., Andersen, J. B., Parsek, M. R., et al. (2002). Inhibition of quorum sensing in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm bacteria by a halogenated furanone compound. *Microbiology* 148, 87– 102. doi: 10.1099/00221287-148-1-87
- Hentzer, M., Wu, H., Andersen, J. B., Riedel, K., Rasmussen, T. B., Bagge, N., et al. (2003). Attenuation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence by quorum sensing inhibitors. *EMBO J.* 22, 3803–3815. doi: 10.1093/emboj/cdg366
- Jimenez, P. N., Koch, G., Thompson, J. A., Xavier, K. B., Cool, R. H., and Quax, W. J. (2012). The multiple signaling systems regulating virulence in *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 76, 46–65. doi: 10.1128/mmbr.05007-11
- Kim, H. S., Lee, S. H., Byun, Y., and Park, H. D. (2015). 6-Gingerol reduces *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm formation and virulence via quorum sensing inhibition. *Sci. Rep.* 5:8656.
- Klopfenstein, D. V., Zhang, L., Pedersen, B. S., Ramirez, F., Warwick Vesztrocy, A., Naldi, A., et al. (2018). GOATOOLS: a python library for gene ontology analyses. *Sci. Rep.* 8:10872.
- Koh, C. L., Sam, C. K., Yin, W. F., Tan, L. Y., Krishnan, T., Chong, Y. M., et al. (2013). Plant-derived natural products as sources of anti-quorum sensing compounds. Sensors 13, 6217–6228. doi: 10.3390/s130506217
- Lee, J., Wu, J., Deng, Y., Wang, J., Wang, C., Chang, C., et al. (2013). A cellcell communication signal integrates quorum sensing and stress response. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 9, 339–343. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.1225
- Li, S., Chen, S., Fan, J., Cao, Z., Ouyang, W., Tong, N., et al. (2018). Anti-biofilm effect of novel thiazole acid analogs against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* through IQS pathways. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 145, 64–73. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2017. 12.076
- Liu, W., Jiang, H. L., Cai, L. L., Yan, M., Dong, S. J., and Mao, B. (2016). Tanreqing injection attenuates lipopolysaccharide-induced airway inflammation through MAPK/NF-kappaB signaling pathways in rats model. *Evid. Based Complement. Alternat. Med.* 2016:5292346.
- Lyczak, J. B., Cannon, C. L., and Pier, G. B. (2000). Establishment of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa infection: lessons from a versatile opportunist. *Microbes Infect.* 2, 1051–1060. doi: 10.1016/s1286-4579(00)01259-4
- Lyczak, J. B., Cannon, C. L., and Pier, G. B. (2002). Lung infections associated with cystic fibrosis. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 15, 194–222.
- Mahajan-Miklos, S., Tan, M. W., Rahme, L. G., and Ausubel, F. M. (1999). Molecular mechanisms of bacterial virulence elucidated using a *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa-Caenorhabditis elegans pathogenesis model. Cell 96, 47–56. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80958-7
- McClure, R., Balasubramanian, D., Sun, Y., Bobrovskyy, M., Sumby, P., Genco, C. A., et al. (2013). Computational analysis of bacterial RNA-Seq data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41:e140.
- McCready, A. R., Paczkowski, J. E., Cong, J. P., and Bassler, B. L. (2019). An autoinducer-independent RhlR quorum-sensing receptor enables analysis of RhlR regulation. *PLoS Pathog.* 15:e1007820. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.10 07820
- Miller, M. B., and Bassler, B. L. (2001). Quorum sensing in bacteria. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 55, 165–199.
- Miller, W. G., Leveau, J. H., and Lindow, S. E. (2000). Improved gfp and inaZ broad-host-range promoter-probe vectors. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 13, 1243–1250. doi: 10.1094/mpmi.2000.13.11.1243
- Moy, T. I., Ball, A. R., Anklesaria, Z., Casadei, G., Lewis, K., and Ausubel, F. M. (2006). Identification of novel antimicrobials using a live-animal infection model. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103, 10414–10419. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 0604055103
- Muh, U., Schuster, M., Heim, R., Singh, A., Olson, E. R., and Greenberg, E. P. (2006). Novel *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum-sensing inhibitors identified in an ultra-high-throughput screen. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 50, 3674– 3679. doi: 10.1128/aac.00665-06
- Mukherjee, S., Moustafa, D., Smith, C. D., Goldberg, J. B., and Bassler, B. L. (2017). The RhlR quorum-sensing receptor controls *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pathogenesis and biofilm development independently of its canonical homoserine lactone autoinducer. *PLoS Pathog.* 13:e1006504. doi: 10. 1371/journal.ppat.1006504
- Mukherjee, S., Moustafa, D. A., Stergioula, V., Smith, C. D., Goldberg, J. B., and Bassler, B. L. (2018). The PqsE and RhlR proteins are an autoinducer synthasereceptor pair that control virulence and biofilm development in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 115, E9411–E9418.

- Nalca, Y., Jansch, L., Bredenbruch, F., Geffers, R., Buer, J., and Haussler, S. (2006). Quorum-sensing antagonistic activities of azithromycin in *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa PAO1: a global approach. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 50, 1680– 1688. doi: 10.1128/aac.50.5.1680-1688.2006
- O'Loughlin, C. T., Miller, L. C., Siryaporn, A., Drescher, K., Semmelhack, M. F., and Bassler, B. L. (2013). A quorum-sensing inhibitor blocks *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence and biofilm formation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 17981–17986. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1316981110
- Papenfort, K., and Bassler, B. L. (2016). Quorum sensing signal-response systems in Gram-negative bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 14, 576–588. doi: 10.1038/ nrmicro.2016.89
- Parsek, M. R., and Greenberg, E. P. (2000). Acyl-homoserine lactone quorum sensing in gram-negative bacteria: a signaling mechanism involved in associations with higher organisms. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 97, 8789–8793. doi: 10.1073/pnas.97.16.8789
- Perez-Martinez, I., and Haas, D. (2011). Azithromycin inhibits expression of the GacA-dependent small RNAs RsmY and RsmZ in *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 55, 3399–3405. doi: 10.1128/aac. 01801-10
- Rahme, L. G., Ausubel, F. M., Cao, H., Drenkard, E., Goumnerov, B. C., Lau, G. W., et al. (2000). Plants and animals share functionally common bacterial virulence factors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 97, 8815–8821. doi: 10.1073/pnas.97.16. 8815
- Rasmussen, T. B., Bjarnsholt, T., Skindersoe, M. E., Hentzer, M., Kristoffersen, P., Kote, M., et al. (2005). Screening for quorum-sensing inhibitors (QSI) by use of a novel genetic system, the QSI selector. *J. Bacteriol.* 187, 1799–1814. doi: 10.1128/jb.187.5.1799-1814.2005
- Rasmussen, T. B., and Givskov, M. (2006). Quorum-sensing inhibitors as antipathogenic drugs. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 296, 149–161. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm. 2006.02.005
- Reimmann, C., Beyeler, M., Latifi, A., Winteler, H., Foglino, M., Lazdunski, A., et al. (1997). The global activator GacA of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO positively controls the production of the autoinducer *N*-butyryl-homoserine lactone and the formation of the virulence factors pyocyanin, cyanide, and lipase. *Mol. Microbiol.* 24, 309–319. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1997.329 1701.x
- Robinson, M. D., Mccarthy, D. J., and Smyth, G. K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26, 139–140. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/ btp616
- Rojas Murcia, N., Lee, X., Waridel, P., Maspoli, A., Imker, H. J., Chai, T., et al. (2015). The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* antimetabolite L-2-amino-4-methoxy*trans*-3-butenoic acid (AMB) is made from glutamate and two alanine residues via a thiotemplate-linked tripeptide precursor. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:170. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00170
- Ru, J., Li, P., Wang, J., Zhou, W., Li, B., Huang, C., et al. (2014). TCMSP: a database of systems pharmacology for drug discovery from herbal medicines. *J. Cheminform.* 6:13. doi: 10.1186/1758-2946-6-13
- Schuster, M., and Greenberg, E. P. (2006). A network of networks: quorum-sensing gene regulation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 296, 73–81. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2006.01.036
- Schuster, M., Lostroh, C. P., Ogi, T., and Greenberg, E. P. (2003). Identification, timing, and signal specificity of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum-controlled genes: a transcriptome analysis. *J. Bacteriol.* 185, 2066–2079. doi: 10.1128/jb. 185.7.2066-2079.2003
- Skindersoe, M. E., Alhede, M., Phipps, R., Yang, L., Jensen, P. O., Rasmussen, T. B., et al. (2008). Effects of antibiotics on quorum sensing in *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 52, 3648–3663.
- Starkey, M., Lepine, F., Maura, D., Bandyopadhaya, A., Lesic, B., He, J., et al. (2014). Identification of anti-virulence compounds that disrupt quorumsensing regulated acute and persistent pathogenicity. *PLoS Pathog.* 10:e1004321. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004321
- Tahrioui, A., Ortiz, S., Azuama, O. C., Bouffartigues, E., Benalia, N., Tortuel, D., et al. (2020). Membrane-interactive compounds from *Pistacia lentiscus* L. thwart *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence. *Front. Microbiol.* 11:1068. doi: 10. 3389/fmicb.2020.01068
- Tan, S. Y., Chua, S. L., Chen, Y., Rice, S. A., Kjelleberg, S., Nielsen, T. E., et al. (2013). Identification of five structurally unrelated quorum-sensing inhibitors

of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from a natural-derivative database. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 57, 5629–5641. doi: 10.1128/aac.00955-13

- Tan, S. Y., Liu, Y., Chua, S. L., Vejborg, R. M., Jakobsen, T. H., Chew, S. C., et al. (2014). Comparative systems biology analysis to study the mode of action of the isothiocyanate compound Iberin on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 58, 6648–6659. doi: 10.1128/aac.02620-13
- Tjaden, B. (2015). De novo assembly of bacterial transcriptomes from RNA-seq data. Genome Biol. 16:1.
- Venturi, V. (2006). Regulation of quorum sensing in *Pseudomonas*. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 30, 274–291.
- Vinckx, T., Wei, Q., Matthijs, S., and Cornelis, P. (2010). The *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa oxidative stress regulator OxyR influences production of pyocyanin and rhamnolipids: protective role of pyocyanin. *Microbiology* 156, 678–686. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.031971-0
- Wang, C., Chen, W., Xia, A., Zhang, R., Huang, Y., Yang, S., et al. (2019). Carbon starvation induces the expression of PprB-regulated genes in *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 85:e01705-19.
- Wang, Y., Wang, T., Hu, J., Ren, C., Lei, H., Hou, Y., et al. (2011). Antibiofilm activity of TanReQing, a traditional Chinese medicine used for the treatment of acute pneumonia. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 134, 165–170. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2010.11.066
- Wei, Q., Bhasme, P., Wang, Z., Wang, L., Wang, S., Zeng, Y., et al. (2019a). Chinese medicinal herb extract inhibits PQS-mediated quorum sensing system in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J. Ethnopharmacol. 248:112272. doi: 10.1016/j.jep. 2019.112272
- Wei, Q., Leclercq, S., Bhasme, P., Xu, A., Zhu, B., Zhang, Y., et al. (2019b). Diguanylate cyclases and phosphodiesterases required for basal-Level c-di-GMP in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as revealed by systematic phylogenetic and transcriptomic analyses. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 85:e01194-19.
- Wei, Q., Tarighi, S., Dotsch, A., Haussler, S., Musken, M., Wright, V. J., et al. (2011). Phenotypic and genome-wide analysis of an antibiotic-resistant small colony variant (SCV) of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *PLoS One* 6:e29276. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029276
- Wright, G. D. (2011). Molecular mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. Chem. Commun. 47, 4055–4061.

- Xiao, G., Deziel, E., He, J., Lepine, F., Lesic, B., Castonguay, M. H., et al. (2006). MvfR, a key *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pathogenicity LTTR-class regulatory protein, has dual ligands. *Mol. Microbiol.* 62, 1689–1699. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05462.x
- Xie, C., Mao, X., Huang, J., Ding, Y., Wu, J., Dong, S., et al. (2011). KOBAS 2.0: a web server for annotation and identification of enriched pathways and diseases. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39, W316–W322.
- Yang, W., Liu, J., Blazekovic, B., Sun, Y., Ma, S., Ren, C., et al. (2018). In vitro antibacterial effects of Tanreqing injection combined with vancomycin or linezolid against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* 18:169. doi: 10.1186/s12906-018-2231-8
- Ye, L., Cornelis, P., Guillemyn, K., Ballet, S., and Hammerich, O. (2014). Structure revision of *N*-mercapto-4-formylcarbostyril produced by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* G308 to 2-(2-hydroxyphenyl)thiazole-4-carbaldehyde [aeruginaldehyde]. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* 9, 789–794.
- You, L., Zhu, H., Wang, C., Wang, F., Li, Y., Li, Y., et al. (2017). Scutellarin inhibits Hela cell growth and glycolysis by inhibiting the activity of pyruvate kinase M2. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 27, 5404–5408. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2017. 11.011
- Zaslaver, A., Bren, A., Ronen, M., Itzkovitz, S., Kikoin, I., Shavit, S., et al. (2006). A comprehensive library of fluorescent transcriptional reporters for *Escherichia coli*. *Nat. Methods* 3, 623–628. doi: 10.1038/nmeth895

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Yang, Wei, Tong, Cui, He, Lin, Ma, Cornelis and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.