OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Tavlor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

Characterization of oral microbiota and acetaldehyde production

Shigeyuki Yokoyama^{*}^a, Kenji Takeuchi ^{(b*a,b}, Yukie Shibata^a, Shinya Kageyama^a, Rie Matsumi^a, Toru Takeshita^{a,b} and Yoshihisa Yamashita^a

^aSection of Preventive and Public Health Dentistry, Division of Oral Health, Growth and Development, Faculty of Dental Science, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan; ^bOBT Research Center, Faculty of Dental Science, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan

ABSTRACT

Background: *Neisseria* has been reported to be a high producer of acetaldehyde (ACH), a carcinogen, from ethanol *in vitro*, but no information exists regarding whether the ACH production depends on oral microbiota profiles.

Objective and Design: To explore the salivary microbiota profiles with respect to ACH production ability in the oral cavity using a cross-sectional design.

Results: Using 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing, we classified 100 saliva samples into two types of communities (I and II). Salivary ACH production ability from ethanol was measured using gas chromatography and was found to vary over a 30-fold range. ACH production ability was significantly higher in the type I community, wherein the relative abundance of *Neisseria* species was significantly lower. Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that the subjects with the type I community exhibited significantly higher probability of high ACH production ability than those with the type II community (P = 0.014). Moreover, the relative abundance of *Neisseria* species was inversely correlated with the ACH production ability (P = 0.002).

Conclusion: The salivary microbiota profile with a lower relative abundance of *Neisseria* species was independently associated with high ACH production ability, despite *Neisseria* species are dominant producers of ACH *in vitro*.

ARTICLE HISTORY Received 20 March 2018

Accepted 14 June 2018

KEYWORDS

Alcohol; bacteria; oral cancer; oral cavity; pyrosequencing; saliva

Introduction

Acetaldehyde (ACH), the first metabolite produced during ethanol metabolism, is a carcinogen found in the oral cavity [1]. Recently, ACH production associated with the consumption of alcoholic beverages has been reclassified as highly carcinogenic (Group 1) by the International Agency for Research on Cancer of the World Health Organization [2]. In particular, increased ACH levels in saliva have been associated with increased risk for upper aerodigestive tract cancer [3–5], which was the seventh most common cancer in Japan in 2011 with one million newly diagnosed cases annually worldwide [6,7]. Increased ACH levels in saliva after ethanol consumption are suggested to be due to oxidation of alcohol by the oral microbiota [8,9].

To elucidate the contribution of the oral microbiota to ACH production in the oral cavity after ethanol consumption, researchers evaluated the capacity of oral bacteria to produce ACH from ethanol [10–12]. It has been shown that *Neisseria* species are capable of producing extremely high amounts of ACH when cultured *in vitro* in a medium containing ethanol [9]. In addition, we previously analyzed ACH production ability by the prevalent bacteria in the salivary microbiota of orally healthy subjects and found that several bacterial

species in the oral microbiota possessed the ability to produce ACH [13]. In particular, Neisseria species were confirmed to produce significant levels of ACH from ethanol *in vitro*, in agreement with the reported finding by Muto et al. [9]. However, there have been no studies correlating ACH production ability with the complexity of the oral microbiota profiles, which encompass more than 700 bacterial species, 35% of which have not yet been cultured in the laboratory [14]. Analysis by 16S rRNA gene sequencing has made it possible to more comprehensively profile the oral microbiota in detail. This study aimed to explore the salivary microbiota profiles of healthy adults, using 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing, with respect to ACH production ability in the oral cavity. We hypothesized that the salivary microbiota profile with a higher relative abundance of Neisseria species would show higher ACH production ability.

Materials and methods

Study participants

One hundred healthy men, aged 20 years or older, were recruited from the Japan Ground Self Defense Force in the Nagasaki prefecture from September to

CONTACT Yoshihisa Yamashita 🛛 yoshi@dent.kyushu-u.ac.jp 🗈 Section of Preventive and Public Health Dentistry, Division of Oral Health, Growth and Development, Faculty of Dental Science, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan

*These authors equally contributed to the work.

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

October 2015. None of the participants used antibiotics during the survey. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. This study was approved by the ethics committee of the faculty of the Kyushu University (number 27–71). Data were collected, analyzed, and reported in accordance with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology guidelines [15].

Sample size

Sample size was calculated by statistical software (nQuery Advisor, Statistical Solutions, Saugus, MA), using the two-tailed hypothesis test, with a significance level of 5% and power of 80% with an allocation ratio of 1:1. To detect a statistically significant 30 percentage point difference in the ACH production ability based on the pilot trial data between the groups, a sample of 31 participants per group (62 in total) was needed.

Oral examinations and questionnaires

All oral examinations were performed by the same dentist (S.Y.). Oral examination data included number of teeth, probing pocket depth (PPD), bleeding on probing (BOP), and plaque index score. PPD on the mesiobuccal and midbuccal sites for all teeth except the third molars was measured, and the mean PPD was recorded as a periodontal parameter. BOP was used as a measure of gingival inflammation [16]. Plaque index score was used as a measurement of oral hygiene status [17]. Examiner reliability for the oral examination was verified by intra-examiner calibration with volunteers, who had similar characteristics to the participants in the study; Cohen's κ value >0.8 indicated high intra-examiner reliability. Additional information, including tooth brushing frequency, mouth rinse use, regular dental visits, frequency of alcohol intake, and smoking habit, was obtained using a self-administered questionnaire. Tooth brushing frequency was categorized as ≤ 2 times per day or ≥ 3 times per day. Mouth rinse use was assessed using the question, 'Do you currently use mouth rinse?' to which respondents answered 'yes' or 'no'. With respect to regular visits to the dentist, the participants were categorized as those who did or did not visit the dentist for oral care at least once a year. Frequency of alcohol intake was classified as daily or non-daily drinker. Smoking habit was classified as current or non-current smoker.

Saliva collection

Saliva sample collection was performed at 10:00 and 12:00 am before the oral examination. Participants were instructed to refrain from eating, drinking, or brushing

their teeth at least 1 h prior to sampling. During sampling, participants were instructed to sit in a chair and chew gum free of sweeteners, food additives, and flavoring agents for 3 min, and stimulated saliva samples were collected in sterile plastic tubes, as previously described [18]. The saliva samples were stored at -80° C until analysis.

Method for measuring acetaldehyde production ability

Measurement of ACH production ability was carried out, according to the previously described method with minor modifications [12]. The saliva sample (400 μ L) was thawed, thoroughly mixed, and transferred into a gas chromatograph vial. Thereafter, 50 µL of 110 mM ethanol (final concentration 11 mM) was added and the vial was immediately sealed tightly. The sealed sample was incubated at 37°C for 30 min. The reaction was stopped by adding 50 µL of 6 M perchloric acid (PCA) via the polytetrafluoroethylene/silicon diaphragm of the vial. The ACH concentration in 5 mL of the vial headspace gas was determined using the sensor gas chromatograph SGEA-P2 (FIS Inc., Hyogo, Japan). To measure artefactual ACH, 50 µL of PCA was first added to the saliva sample (400 µL), followed by ethanol. The ACH concentration of this control sample was subtracted from that of the test sample. The ACH production ability in saliva (ppb/mL) was defined to be the net increment in the ACH concentration in the vial headspace per 1 mL of saliva in 30 min.

Bacterial quantification via real-time PCR

DNA was extracted from each saliva sample using a bead-beating method, as previously described [19]. Total bacterial count per mL saliva was assessed with a QuantiFast SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and a StepOne Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) with the universal bacterial primers 806F (5'-TTAGATACCCYGGTAGTCC-3') and 926R (5'-CCGTCAATTYCTTTGAGTTT-3'), as previously described [20]. The *Porphyromonas pasteri* 16S rRNA gene, which was inserted into the pBluescript SK II (+) vector plasmid (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA), was used as the real-time control.

16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing

Barcoded pyrosequencing analyses of the 16S rRNA gene were performed using Ion PGM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA), a next-generation sequencer, as previously described [21]. In brief, the V1–V2 regions of the 16S rRNA gene in each saliva sample were amplified using the following primers: 8F (5'-AGAGTTTGATYMTGGCTCAG-3') with the Ion Torrent adaptor A and a sample-specific 8-base tag sequence, and 338R (5'-TGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT-3') with the Ion Torrent adaptor trP1 sequence. Following PCR amplification, purification, and quantification, equal amounts of the purified PCR amplicon products were pooled and gel-purified. Emulsion PCR and enrichment of template-positive particles were performed using an Ion PGM Template OT2 400 Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in the Ion One Touch 2 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The enriched particle was loaded onto an Ion 318 v2 chip (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and sequencing was performed on the Ion PGM (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using an Ion PGM Hi-Q Sequencing kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Low-quality reads were excluded using a script written in R (version 3.1.1), according to the following criteria: read length of ≤ 200 bases (not including the tag sequence), average quality score ≤ 25 , correct forward primer sequence not included, correct reverse primer sequence (one mismatch allowed) not included, or the presence of a homopolymer run >6 nt. The quality-checked reads were assigned to their corresponding sample by examining the tag sequence. After removal of primer and tag sequences, similar sequences were assigned to operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using UPARSE [22], with a minimum pairwise identity of 97%. The taxonomic classification of the representative sequences was determined by performing a BLAST search against 831 oral bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences (HOMD 16S rRNA RefSeq version 14.51) from the Human Oral Microbiome Database [23]. Nearest-neighbor species with ≥98.5% identity were selected as candidates for each representative OTU. The taxonomic classification of the sequences without a hit was determined using the Ribosome Database Project (RDP) classifier with a minimum support threshold of 80%. The obtained sequence data were deposited in the DDBJ Sequence Read Archive (DRA006849).

Community type analysis

Bacterial community types were identified, as described previously [24]. The saliva samples were clustered based on the relative abundance of genera using the Jensen-Shannon divergence distance metric, and the partitioning around medoids (PAM) clustering algorithm with the pam function in the cluster library of R. The number of clusters was chosen by maximizing the Calinski–Harabasz (CH) index. The permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) was performed using the adonis function in the vegan library of R. The most discriminant OTUs were determined using the linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) method [25]. The linear discriminant analysis score (LDA score) was shown to indicate the effect size of each OTU.

Statistical analysis

The participants (n = 100) were classified into two groups designated as high ACH production ability (greater than third quartile) and non-high ACH production ability (less than third quartile), based on the magnitude of salivary ACH production ability. Characteristic differences between the participants in the high and non-high ACH production ability groups were determined using the Pearson's Chisquare test for categorical variables and the Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables. To examine the association between bacterial community types and salivary ACH production ability, we estimated the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for high ACH production ability based on bacterial community types using logistic regression models. A multivariate logistic regression model was created to adjust for possible confounding factors in the association between bacterial community types and salivary ACH production ability. The multivariate analysis included all independent variables that were significantly associated with salivary ACH production ability at a significance of P-value <0.05 in the descriptive analysis (Table 2). Additionally, a correlation between the most discriminant OTU in each bacterial community type and salivary ACH production ability was evaluated, using the Pearson's correlation coefficient. All statistical analyses were performed using R or IBM SPSS statistical software (version 24; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) and two-sided P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant in all cases.

Results

We classified the bacterial communities of the saliva samples as either type I (n = 65) or type II (n = 35) using PAM clustering (PERMANOVA $R^2 = 0.21$, *P*-value <0.001) and visualized the difference between the two types using principal component analysis (Figure 1). The type I community was dominated by Streptococcus and Rothia species (Table 1), and OTUs corresponding to Streptococcus salivarius HOT-755 and Rothia mucilaginosa HOT-681 were identified as the most differentially abundant OTUs by the LEfSe approach to detect discriminant OTUs (Figure 2). In contrast, the type II community was dominated by Neisseria, Fusobacterium, and Porphyromonas species (Table 1), and OTUs corresponding to Neisseria flavescens HOT-610, Fusobacterium periodonticum HOT-201, and Porphyromonas pasteri HOT-279 were identified as the most differentially abundant OTUs (Figure 2). In particular, the relative abundance of Neisseria species was significantly lower in the type I community $(3.9 \pm 4.3\%$ in type I vs $16.2 \pm 7.7\%$ in type II).



Figure 1. Principal component analysis showing similarity of bacterial compositions of saliva samples from each subject. The bacterial compositions belonging to each type are depicted using different colors. These two components explain the 38.8% variance. The intersection of the broken lines indicates the center of gravity for each type. The ellipse covers 67% of the samples belonging to each type.

	Relative abundance (%, Mean \pm SD)							
	Type I ($n = 65$)	Type II (<i>n</i> = 35)	P-value					
Streptococcus	43.1 ± 10.8	30.3 ± 9.7	<0.001					
Rothia	18.4 ± 9.8	12.2 ± 6.8	0.001					
Prevotella	6.4 ± 4.1	4.2 ± 3.1	0.002					
Actinomyces	9.2 ± 7.7	6.8 ± 4.2	0.126					
Veillonella	4.4 ± 2.6	3.3 ± 1.7	0.122					
Granulicatella	2.9 ± 2.0	2.7 ± 1.2	0.914					
Leptotrichia	1.3 ± 1.6	1.0 ± 1.3	0.418					
Lautropia	1.2 ± 2.8	1.5 ± 2.0	0.024					
Gemella	1.6 ± 1.2	2.7 ± 1.7	< 0.001					
Haemophilus	1.5 ± 1.5	3.2 ± 2.6	< 0.001					
Porphyromonas	1.3 ± 1.7	4.9 ± 4.1	< 0.001					
Fusobacterium	0.9 ± 0.8	5.0 ± 3.6	< 0.001					
Neisseria	3.9 ± 4.3	16.2 ± 7.7	< 0.001					

 Table 1. Mean relative abundances of bacterial genera in each community type.

SD, standard deviation.

Only 13 genera with a mean relative abundance of $\geq 1\%$ within each type are shown.

The distribution of salivary ACH production ability (ppb/mL) is shown in Figure 3 (median, 4,346; range, 547–18,231). The differences in the characteristics of participants between high ACH production ability group (n = 25; median age and range 31 and 24–45 years) and non-high ACH production ability group (n = 75; median age and range 30 and 20–50 years) are shown in Table 2. The prevalence of the type I community was significantly higher in the high ACH production ability group compared to that in

the non-high ACH production ability group. From a different perspective, the ACH production ability was significantly higher in the type I community (5,508 ± 3,658 ppb/mL in type I vs 3,180 ± 2,223 ppb/ mL in type II). Total bacterial count in the saliva of the members of the high ACH production ability group was significantly higher than that in the members of the non-high ACH production ability group. With respect to frequency of alcohol intake, the difference in the bacterial community prevalence between daily and non-daily drinkers in the high ACH production ability group was shown to be statistically significant. In contrast, there were no significant differences with respect to age, number of present teeth, PPD, BOP, plaque index score, tooth brushing frequency, mouth rinse use, regular visits to the dentist, and smoking habits between the two groups.

The results of multivariate logistic regression analysis for the association between microbiota types and high ACH production ability are shown in Table 3. After adjusting for total bacterial count in the saliva and frequency of alcohol intake, the subjects with the type I community exhibited significantly greater odds for high ACH production ability (OR = 5.34, 95% CI = 1.40– 20.29) than those with the type II community.

The association between the most discriminant OTU in each community type and the salivary ACH

	Acetaldehyde production ability					
	High ($n = 25$)	Non-high ($n = 75$)	P-value			
Age (years)	31.0 (24.0–45.0)	30.0 (20.0–50.0)	0.576			
Number of present teeth	29.0 (27.0-32.0)	29.0 (23.0-32.0)	0.878			
Plaque score	0.3 (0.0-1.7)	0.3 (0.0–1.8)	0.769			
Bleeding on probing (%)	7.1 (0.0–59.3)	10.7 (0.0–92.3)	0.271			
Probing pocket depth (mm)	1.5 (1.2–4.1)	1.6 (1.0–4.1)	0.155			
Total bacteria in saliva (log ₁₀ copies/ml)	8.5 (7.8–9.2)	8.2 (7.5–9.3)	< 0.001			
Bacterial community types (%)			0.007			
Type I	88.0	57.3				
Type II	12.0	42.7				
Tooth brushing frequency (%)			0.463			
≤2 times per day	60.0	69.3				
≥3 times per day	40.0	30.7				
Mouth rinse use (%)			0.388			
Yes	12.0	21.3				
No	88.0	78.7				
Regular visits to the dentist (%)			0.488			
Dental care at least once every year	64.0	54.7				
No dental care at least once every year	36.0	45.3				
Frequency of alcohol intake (%)			0.047			
Every day	36.0	16.0				
Non-every day	64.0	84.0				
Smoking habit (%)			0.645			
Current smoker	56.0	48.0				
Non-current smoker	44.0	52.0				

Table 2	. Cł	naracteristics	of	participants	according	to	acetaldeh	iyde	production	ability.
---------	------	----------------	----	--------------	-----------	----	-----------	------	------------	----------

Continuous variable expressed as median (range); categorical variables, as percentage.



Figure 2. Most differentially abundant OTUs between types I and II microbiota. Each bar plot representing the most differentially abundant OTUs between the community types I and II as detected by a LDA effect size (LEfSe) analysis (LDA score >3.5). OTUs signature specific to the community types I and II are, respectively, in red and green.

production ability is shown in Figure 4. A statistically significant inverse correlation was observed between the abundance of *N. flavescens* HOT-610 and ACH production ability (r = -0.311; *P*-value = 0.002), but no such correlation was observed between the abundance of *S. salivarius* HOT-755 and ACH production ability (r = 0.066; *P*-value = 0.512).

Discussion

In this study, we demonstrated that the ACH production ability was significantly different in two bacterial community types derived from saliva samples from healthy adults, indicating that the subjects with type I community, characterized by species such as *S. salivarius* and *R. mucilaginosa*, showed higher ACH production ability than those of the type II community, characterized by species such as *N. flavescens* and *F. periodonticum*. These findings highlighted that the salivary microbiota with higher relative abundance for *Neisseria* species independently associated with lower ACH production ability, although *Neisseria* are oral bacterial species that are known high-level ACH producers [9,13]. Furthermore, the association



Figure 3. Distribution of salivary acetaldehyde production ability with 11 mM ethanol concentration. The data represent the means of three independent experiments.

Table	3.	Multiva	riable	ana	lysis	of	the	associatio	'n	between
comm	uni	ty types	and	high	acet	ald	ehyd	e product	ior	n ability.

Bacterial community types	Adjusted OR ^a (95% CI)	P-value
Type II (n = 35)	1.00 (reference)	
Type I (<i>n</i> = 65)	5.34 (1.40–20.29)	0.014

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

^aAdjusted for total bacterial count in saliva and frequency of alcohol intake.

was independent of the total salivary bacterial count, alcohol intake frequency, and other important health characteristics. To our knowledge, this is the first population-based sample study to elucidate the oral microbiota profiles affecting the ACH production ability using next-generation sequencing.

Neisseria species, present in the saliva of \geq 80% of the subjects in this study, have been reported to produce considerable amounts of ACH from ethanol *in vitro* [9,13]. However, the salivary microbiota with less relative abundance of *Neisseria* species (type I

community) showed significantly higher ACH production ability than that with the higher relative abundance of *Neisseria* species (type II community). In addition, a significant inverse correlation between the relative abundance of *Neisseria* species and the ACH production ability in saliva was shown in this study. These findings suggest that an *in vivo* oral environment-derived type II community may arrest ACH production by *Neisseria* species. For instance, there is a possibility that some of the predominant metabolites produced by the type II community suppress the expression of the alcohol dehydrogenase gene of *Neisseria* species. Transcriptomic analysis in the future may be helpful in testing this hypothesis.

Alternatively, the expression levels of the alcohol dehydrogenase gene may be considerably higher in the type I community than those in the type II community. Many species of bacteria capable of producing relatively high amounts of ACH, such as *S*.



Figure 4. Correlations of *Neisseria flavescens* HOT-610 and *Streptococcus salivarius* HOT-755 with salivary acetaldehyde production ability.

salivarius, R. mucilaginosa, and Prevotella histicola [13], were observed in relatively higher proportions in the type I community, even though the relative abundance of Neisseria species was less. Our previous study confirmed that S. salivarius, R. mucilaginosa, and P. histicola are the predominant members of the salivary microbiota even in orally healthy subjects and are capable of producing relatively high amounts of ACH from ethanol in vitro [13]. Although these species do not produce as much ACH as Neisseria species, it is possible that their sheer abundance compensates for the absence of Neisseria and explains the high levels of ACH produced in subjects with the type I microbiota. Further studies, using metagenomic approaches, are required to elucidate the functional differences in ethanol metabolism between the type I and type II communities.

Our previous population-based studies also classified the salivary bacterial communities into two types in a manner similar to that described in this study. The subjects that resembled those with the type II community in this study showed better oral health conditions (i.e. had fewer teeth with dental caries, were non-smokers, and other such factors) and had a lower risk for pneumonia-related death [20,26], suggesting that the predominance of N. flavescens, F. periodonticum, and P. pasteri is an indicator of healthy oral microbiota. Furthermore, a recent report by Zaura et al. suggested that a bacterial community type characterized by a predominance of N. flavescens and Neisseria subflava positioned away from dysbiosis [27]. However, it is unexpected that a salivary microbiota predominant with Neisseria species is beneficial for health despite their capacity for high ACH production ability. In contrast, in this study, we demonstrated that the type II community dominated by N. flavescens showed significantly lower ACH production ability, showing an inverse correlation with the relative abundance of Neisseria species in the microbiota. Although the reason for this contradictory finding is still not known, our data are relevant to and highlight the clinical significance of the comprehensive analyses of the microbiota.

Till date, characterization of isolated bacteria is indispensable to understanding the virulence of pathogens. Our study suggests that the characteristics of bacteria *in vitro* do not necessarily reflect their behavior when present in habitats, such as the oral microbiota. Contrary to our hypothesis, the ACH production ability showed an inverse correlation with the relative abundance of *Neisseria* species, which are known ACH producers among oral microbiota, which result in the expression of unexpected phenotypes by the community. Further comprehensive analyses are important to elucidate the true virulence of the microbiota. Although ACH is a carcinogen in the oral cavity, all our study participants were healthy adults and cannot be attributed with carcinogenic oral ACH levels. In contrast, we confirmed that the ACH production ability by the salivary microbiota among healthy adults varied over a 30-fold range. This broad range guarantees objectivity in evaluating the association between the bacterial composition of the microbiota and the ACH production ability.

With regard to the effect of freezing and thawing of saliva samples, we preliminarily compared the ACH producing activity of pre- and post-freezing saliva samples from healthy adults (including the man who had high percent relative abundance of *Neisseria* species in the salivary microbiota): prefreezing samples were fresh saliva immediately after collection; post-freezing samples were samples thawed after 1 week in frozen condition. As a result of the preliminary data, there was no statistical difference in the ACH producing activity between preand post-freezing samples (*P*-value = 0.140). Thus, there is little probability that *Neisseria* species survived freezing and thawing better than other species.

In conclusion, a noteworthy feature of this study was the marked difference in the salivary ACH production ability, depending on the oral microbiota of healthy adults. Since the relative abundance of *Neisseria* species in the salivary microbiota was negatively correlated with the ACH production ability, these data emphasize that the salivary microbial profile is a key determinant of ACH production, which can occur independent of the presence of *Neisseria* species.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the subjects who participated in this study.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

This study was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP16H02692.

ORCID

Kenji Takeuchi 🗈 http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8769-8955

References

 Stornetta A, Guidolin V, Balbo S. Alcohol-derived acetaldehyde exposure in the oral cavity. Cancers (Basel). 2018;10(1):E20.

- [2] Secretan B, Straif K, Baan R, et al. A review of human carcinogens – Part E: tobacco, areca nut, alcohol, coal smoke, and salted fish. Lancet Oncol. 2009;10 (11):1033–1034.
- [3] Salaspuro MP. Acetaldehyde, microbes, and cancer of the digestive tract. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci. 2003;40(2):183–208.
- [4] Salaspuro V, Salaspuro M. Synergistic effect of alcohol drinking and smoking on *in vivo* acetaldehyde concentration in saliva. Int J Cancer. 2004;111(4):480–483.
- [5] Theruvathu JA, Jaruga P, Nath RG, et al. Polyamines stimulate the formation of mutagenic 1,N2/-propanodeoxyguanosine adducts from acetaldehyde. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005;33(11):3513–3520.
- [6] Parkin DM. Global cancer statistics in the year 2000. Lancet Oncol. 2001;2(9):533–543.
- [7] Matsuda A, Matsuda T, Shibata A, et al. Cancer incidence and incidence rates in Japan in 2007: a study of 21 population-based cancer registries for the monitoring of cancer incidence in Japan (MCIJ) project. Jpn J Clin Oncol. 2013;43(3):328–336.
- [8] Homann N, Tillonen J, Meurman JH, et al. Increased salivary acetaldehyde levels in heavy drinkers and smokers: a microbiological approach to oral cavity cancer. Carcinogenesis. 2000;21(4):663–668.
- [9] Muto M, Hitomi Y, Ohtsu A, et al. Acetaldehyde production by non-pathogenic *Neisseria* in human oral microflora: implications for carcinogenesis in upper aerodigestive tract. Int J Cancer. 2000;88(3):342–350.
- [10] Tillonen J, Homann N, Rautio M, et al. Role of yeasts in the salivary acetaldehyde production from ethanol among risk groups for ethanol-associated oral cavity cancer. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 1999;23(8):1409–1415.
- [11] Kurkivuori J, Salaspuro V, Kaihovaara P, et al. Acetaldehyde production from ethanol by oral streptococci. Oral Oncol. 2007;43(2):181–186.
- [12] Nieminen MT, Uittamo J, Salaspuro M, et al. Acetaldehyde production from ethanol and glucose by non-*Candida albicans* yeasts *in vitro*. Oral Oncol. 2009;45(12):e245–e248.
- [13] Moritani K, Takeshita T, Shibata Y, et al. Acetaldehyde production by major oral microbes. Oral Dis. 2015;21(6):748–754.
- [14] Dewhirst FE, Chen T, Izard J, et al. The human oral microbiome. J Bacteriol. 2010;192(19):5002–5017.
- [15] Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies

in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Ann Intern Med. 2007;147(8):573–577.

- [16] Lang NP, Joss A, Orsanic T, et al. Bleeding on probing. A predictor for the progression of periodontal disease? J Clin Periodontol. 1986;13(6):590–596.
- [17] Löe H. The gingival index, the plaque index and the retention index systems. J Periodontol. 1967;38 (6):610-616.
- [18] Hashiba T, Takeuchi K, Shimazaki Y, et al. Chewing xylitol gum improves self-rated and objective indicators of oral health status under conditions interrupting regular oral hygiene. Tohoku J Exp Med. 2015;235 (1):39–46.
- [19] Yamanaka W, Takeshita T, Shibata Y, et al. Compositional stability of a salivary bacterial population against supragingival microbiota shift following periodontal therapy. PLoS One. 2012;7(8):e42806.
- [20] Takeshita T, Nakano Y, Kumagai T, et al. The ecological proportion of indigenous bacterial populations in saliva is correlated with oral health status. ISME J. 2009;3(1):65–78.
- [21] Kageyama S, Takeshita T, Furuta M, et al. Relationships of variations in the tongue microbiota and pneumonia mortality in nursing home residents. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. in press. DOI:10.1093/ gerona/glx205.
- [22] Edgar RC. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. Nat Methods. 2013;10:996–998.
- [23] Chen T, Yu W-H, Izard J, et al. The human oral microbiome database: a web accessible resource for investigating oral microbe taxonomic and genomic information. Database (Oxford). 2010;2010:baq013.
- [24] Arumugam M, Raes J, Pelletier E, et al. Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. Nature. 2011;473 (7346):174–180.
- [25] Segata N, Izard J, Waldron L, et al. Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. Genome Biol. 2011;12(6):R60.
- [26] Takeshita T, Kageyama S, Furuta M, et al. Bacterial diversity in saliva and oral health-related conditions: the Hisayama study. Sci Rep. 2016;6:22164.
- [27] Zaura E, Brandt BW, Prodan A, et al. On the ecosystemic network of saliva in healthy young adults. ISME J. 2017;11(5):1218–1231.