



Letter to the Editor Regarding “Clinical and Economic Burden of Pneumococcal Disease Due to Serotypes Contained in Current and Investigational Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccines in Children Under Five Years of Age”

Kristen Feemster · Thomas Weiss

Received: May 24, 2022 / Accepted: October 19, 2022 / Published online: November 14, 2022
© The Author(s) 2022

Keywords: Pneumococcal conjugate vaccines; Pneumococcal disease; Pneumococcal serotypes; Children; Decision-analytic model

In a recent article, Wasserman et al. used a decision-analytic model to estimate the clinical and economic burden of pneumococcal disease attributable to *Streptococcus pneumoniae* serotypes contained in current and investigational pneumococcal conjugate vaccines (PCVs) in children ≤ 5 years of age [1]. We would like to highlight some methodological limitations that may affect interpretation of the model results.

The model used by Wasserman et al. utilized recent data on the serotype-specific burden of invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD) from countries where either the 10-valent PCV (PCV10) or 13-valent PCV (PCV13) are already widely in use. The authors state “we only considered the most recent serotype coverage and burden of disease to characterize the remaining burden of vaccine-preventable pneumococcal disease rather than historical estimates” [1]. We

argue that this approach does not acknowledge the potential for newer vaccines to have reduced serotype-specific immunogenicity compared with PCV10 or PCV13, which could result in an increase in the disease burden caused by the serotypes shared with these two PCVs.

Wasserman et al. state that analyses based on the current burden of disease are important for policymakers to understand which vaccines will decrease the disease incidence in their country. We commend this approach but believe that a comprehensive model should also take into account the potential for reemergence of serotypes covered by existing PCVs. A model that does not incorporate historical as well as recent data risks overestimating the potential effect of certain PCVs on disease burden. Analyses of the impact of other childhood vaccines have incorporated historical data on prevaccine disease incidence [2, 3]. In previous analyses, we used a Markov model to estimate the total value of the 15-valent PCV (PCV15 [V114]) using historical data on the burden of PCV13 serotypes prior to the introduction of PCV13, as well as current data on non-PCV13 serotypes included in PCV15 [4, 5]. This approach recognizes the importance of maintaining protection against serotypes in existing PCVs, as well as broadening protection against other serotypes.

There is already some evidence for an increasing burden of disease caused by vaccine

K. Feemster
Global Medical and Scientific Affairs, Merck & Co.,
Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA

T. Weiss (✉)
Center for Observational and Real-World Evidence,
Merck & Co., Inc., 351 N Sumneytown Pike, North
Wales, PA 19454, USA
e-mail: thomas_weiss@merck.com

serotypes. During the 7-valent PCV (PCV7) era, the prevalence of pneumococcal disease caused by serotype 19F significantly decreased [6]. However, since the introduction of PCV13, carriage and disease caused by serotype 19F has reemerged [6–8]. This effect may be linked to reduced immunogenicity of PCV13 when compared with PCV7, which has been observed in the clinical trial setting [9]. Additionally, the overall burden of IPD has plateaued in many countries in recent years, partially driven by disease caused by the PCV13 serotypes 3 and 19A [10, 11]. Further reductions in immunogenicity or effectiveness with newer PCVs could have the potential to lead to increased disease burden from the serotypes shared with existing PCVs.

The model used by Wasserman et al. includes other assumptions that may limit the applicability of the outputs. First, the model assumed that the distribution of *S. pneumoniae* serotypes was the same across all countries and regions. Although the model included age and country-specific incidence rates of pneumococcal disease outcomes stratified based on local serotype coverage for each PCV, these inputs were not serotype specific. Dominant serotypes are known to differ across regions. For example, in Europe, some of the most common non-PCV13 serotypes isolated from confirmed IPD cases in 2018 in children < 5 years of age were 24F, 8, 12F, 10A, and 23B [10], whereas, in the USA in 2017, the most common serotypes were 22F and 33F [7]. Given that the analysis included countries from Europe, North America, Southeast Asia, and the Western Pacific, inclusion of serotype distribution in the model could have substantially altered outputs.

Second, the model used by Wasserman et al. did not account for differences in serotype distribution across clinical outcomes of pneumococcal disease. Certain PCV serotypes, such as serotypes 1, 7F, 19A, 8, 12F, 22F, and 33F, have greater potential for invasive disease than others [12] and, therefore, may be responsible for a higher clinical and economic burden. This omission could result in an underestimation of the potential impact of PCVs that address these serotypes.

Third, the validity of the sensitivity analysis that assumed cross-protection of PCV20 to serotype 15C, due to inclusion of serotype 15B in the vaccine, should be interpreted with caution. As the authors themselves acknowledge, there is currently no clear evidence to support cross-protection, other than genetic homology of 15C with serotype 15B. Cross-protection cannot be assumed based on cross-reactivity. For example, serotype 19F in PCV7 elicits cross-reactive binding antibodies to serotype 19A, but there is no corresponding functional antibody response; thus, cross-protection is not achieved [13].

A further minor methodological limitation of the model used by Wasserman et al. is the exclusion of indirect medical costs, such as productivity losses among adult caregivers of children with IPD, which can be important contributors to cost-effectiveness models. In addition, the proportion of all-cause pneumonia attributable to *S. pneumoniae* in France and South Korea was assumed to be 100%. Although the authors state that conservative estimates were used in instances where data were not available, these assumptions are clearly an overestimation. Data from these countries are limited, but available data from similar settings suggest that a much lower proportion of childhood pneumonia cases are attributable to *S. pneumoniae* [14, 15], closer to the values used for other countries in the model (20–38%).

In summary, by only presenting the incremental value of non-PCV13 serotypes in their model, the findings of Wasserman et al. do not represent the total value of the PCVs assessed. Furthermore, not incorporating geographical and clinical differences in serotype distribution, as well as the use of non-evidence-based assumptions for sensitivity analyses, limits the accuracy of their estimates.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding. Funding for the medical writing and editorial support for the development of this article was provided by Merck Sharp &

Dohme LLC, a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA. No funding or sponsorship was received for the publication of this article.

Medical Writing and Editorial Assistance. Medical writing support, including assisting authors with the development of the outline and initial draft and incorporation of comments, was provided by Rachel Wright, PhD, and editorial support was provided by Ian Norton, PhD, all of Scion, London, according to Good Publication Practice guidelines (<https://www.acpjournals.org/doi/epdf/10.7326/M22-1460>). This assistance was funded by Merck Sharp & Dohme LLC, a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA. Ultimate responsibility for opinions, conclusions, and data interpretation lies with the authors.

Authorship. All named authors meet the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) criteria for authorship for this article, take responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole, and have given their approval for this version to be published.

Author Contributions. Conceptualization: Kristen Feemster and Thomas Weiss; Writing – review and editing: Kristen Feemster and Thomas Weiss.

Disclosures. Kristen Feemster and Thomas Weiss are employees of Merck Sharp & Dohme LLC, a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA, who may own stock and/or hold stock options in Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA.

Compliance with Ethics Guidelines. This article is based on previously conducted studies and does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide

a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

REFERENCES

1. Wasserman MD, Perdrizet J, Grant L, et al. Clinical and economic burden of pneumococcal disease due to serotypes contained in current and investigational pneumococcal conjugate vaccines in children under five years of age. *Infect Dis Ther.* 2021;10(4):2701–20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40121-021-00544-1>.
2. Paternina-Caicedo A, Driessen J, Roberts M, van Panhuis WG. Heterogeneity between states in the health and economic impact of measles immunization in the United States. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2018;5(7):137. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofy137>.
3. Zhou F, Shefer A, Wenger J, et al. Economic evaluation of the routine childhood immunization program in the United States, 2009. *Pediatrics.* 2014;133(4):577–85. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-0698>.
4. Hu T, Weiss T, Owusu-Eduesei K, Petigara T. Health and economic burden associated with 15-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine serotypes in children in the United States. *J Med Econ.* 2020;23(12):1653–60. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13696998.2020.1840216>.
5. Hu T, Weiss T, Bencina G, Owusu-Eduesei K, Petigara T. Health and economic burden of invasive pneumococcal disease associated with 15-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine serotypes in children across eight European countries. *J Med Econ.* 2021;24(1):1098–107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13696998.2021.1970975>.
6. Rockett RJ, Oftadeh S, Bachmann NL, et al. Genome-wide analysis of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* serogroup 19 in the decade after the introduction of pneumococcal conjugate vaccines in Australia. *Sci*

- Rep. 2018;8(1):16969. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-35270-1>.
7. Varghese J, Chochua S, Tran T, et al. Multistate population and whole genome sequence-based strain surveillance of invasive pneumococci recovered in the USA during 2017. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2020;26(4):512.e1-e10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2019.09.008>.
 8. Gounder PP, Bruden D, Rudolph K, et al. Re-emergence of pneumococcal colonization by vaccine serotype 19F in persons aged ≥ 5 years after 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine introduction-Alaska, 2008–2013. *Vaccine*. 2018;36(5):691–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.12.035>.
 9. Yeh SH, Gurtman A, Hurley DC, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in infants and toddlers. *Pediatrics*. 2010;126(3):e493-505. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-3027>.
 10. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Annual epidemiological report for 2018: invasive pneumococcal disease. https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/AER_for_2018_IPD.pdf. Accessed 02 March 2022.
 11. Ladhani SN, Collins S, Djennad A, et al. Rapid increase in non-vaccine serotypes causing invasive pneumococcal disease in England and Wales, 2000–17: a prospective national observational cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2018;18(4):441–51. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(18\)30052-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(18)30052-5).
 12. Balsells E, Dagan R, Yildirim I, et al. The relative invasive disease potential of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* among children after PCV introduction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect*. 2018;77(5):368–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2018.06.004>.
 13. Isturiz R, Singhs HL, Hilton B, Arguedas A, Reinert RR, Jodar L. *Streptococcus pneumoniae* serotype 19A: worldwide epidemiology. *Expert Rev Vaccines*. 2017;16(10):1007–27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14760584.2017.1362339>.
 14. Jain S, Williams DJ, Arnold SR, et al. Community-acquired pneumonia requiring hospitalization among U.S. children. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372(9):835–45. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1405870>.
 15. Berg AS, Inchley CS, Aase A, et al. Etiology of pneumonia in a pediatric population with high pneumococcal vaccine coverage: A prospective study. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2016;35(3):e69-75. <https://doi.org/10.1097/INF.0000000000001009>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.