## Association of quality indicators for acute myocardial infarction and mortality: feasibility and validation study using linked nationwide registry data

S. Aktaa<sup>1</sup>, M.E. Yadegarfar<sup>2</sup>, J. Wu<sup>3</sup>, M. Rashid<sup>4</sup>, M. De Belder<sup>5</sup>, J. Deanfield<sup>6</sup>, F. Schiele<sup>7</sup>, M. Minchin<sup>8</sup>, M.A. Mamas<sup>4</sup>, C.P. Gale<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Leeds, Leeds Institute of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Medicine and Leeds Institute for Data Analytics, Leeds, United Kingdom; <sup>2</sup>King's College London, London, United Kingdom; <sup>3</sup>University of Leeds, Division of Clinical and Translational Research, School of Dentistry, Leeds, United Kingdom; <sup>4</sup>Keele University, Keele Cardiovascular Research Group, Keele, United Kingdom; <sup>5</sup>Barts Health NHS Trust, National Institute for Cardiovascular Outcomes Research, London, United Kingdom; <sup>6</sup>University College London, Institution of Cardiovascular Sciences, London, United Kingdom; <sup>7</sup>Regional University Hospital Jean Minjoz, Besancon, France; <sup>8</sup>NICE, Health and Social Care Directorate, Manchester, United Kingdom **Funding Acknowledgement:** Type of funding sources: None.

**Background:** Quality indicators (QIs) have been increasingly used as tools to assess and improve the quality of care for acute myocardial infarction (AMI). However, it is not known if it is feasible to use the 2020 iteration of international AMI QIs using routinely collected data and, if so, whether higher performance is associated with improved outcomes.

**Objective:** To investigate if routine data are available to measure care quality against the 2020 European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Association for Acute Cardiovascular Care (ACVC) QIs for AMI, investigate whether higher performance is associated with reduced mortality, and to report quality of care during the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Methods:** Cohort study of linked data from the AMI and percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) registries in England and Wales with outcome data from the Civil Registration of Deaths Register between 2017 and 2020 (representing 236 743 patients from 186 hospitals). Baseline ischaemic risk was estimated using the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE) risk score. The likelihood of attainment for each QI based on GRACE risk was quantified using logistic regression and the association with mortality at 30 days, 6 months, 1 year and long-term (maximum 1243 days) was obtained from Cox proportional hazard models.

Results: Of 26 Qls, 17 (65.3%) could be directly measured using nation-

Figure 1. Risk-adjusted 30-day mortality estimates for each quality indicator attainment  $% \left( \frac{1}{2}\right) =0$ 



<u>ACE</u>: angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, ECG; electrocardiogram, <u>hesGR</u>; high-sensitivity cardiac troponin, LDL-C; low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LVEF; left ventricular ejection fraction, NSTEMI; non-ST elevation myocardial infraction, QI; quality indicator, STEMI; ST elevation myocardial infraction.

\*Door-to-Balloon time \*\*Serum cholesterol measure

Figure 1

Figure 2. Trajectories of survival over time for patients with AMI according to all-ornone CQI attainment, by GRACE risk category

monitoring hospital care as demonstrated for COVID-19.

wide registry data and were each inversely associated with risk-adjusted

1-year and long-term mortality. At 30 days, the measured QIs with excep-

tion of early invasive coronary angiography for non-ST elevation myocardial

infarction, were associated with improved survival, and the QIs that had

the greatest magnitude for a reduction in mortality were the prescription of

secondary prevention medications at discharge; hazard ratio 0.13 (95% CI

0.12-0.14) for statins, 0.16 (95% CI 0.15-0.18) for adequate P2Y12 inhibi-

tion, and 0.18 (95% CI 0.17-0.20) for dual antiplatelet therapy (Figure 1).

The magnitude of association between the composite QI (CQI) and sur-

vival attenuated over time, with greater long-term survival gains observed

for the high GRACE risk compared with low- and intermediate-risk (Figure

2). During the first UK lockdown there was an improvement in the attain-

ment for 62.5% of the measured QIs compared with before the COVID-19

pandemic, with a higher attainment for the CQI (43.8% to 45.2%, odds ratio

Conclusion: Care quality for AMI may be evaluated using routinely col-

lected clinical data from the national registries, whereby higher perfor-

mance is associated with reduced mortality. Such QIs will have a role in

1.06, 95% CI 1.02-1.10).



Survival estimates of patients receiving all or cone (anything fowe all) of the treatments they were clinically eligible for, presented for all patients and further startified by their GARCE risk category. Patients were followed from administor for a maximum of 1245 day (3 4) second market and concerned time. Risk tables showing number of patients at each yearly interval and at the censor time. AMI; acute myocardial inflarction, GRACE; Global Registry of Acute Coronary Event, OQI; composite quality indicator

Figure 2. QI association with long-term mortality