

Article **The Safety Properties of a Potential Kind of Novel Green Primary Explosive: Al/Fe2O3/RDX Nanocomposite**

Qingping Luo 1,2 [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9098-2639) Xinping Long 2,*, Fude Nie ² , Guixiang Liu ¹ and Mingshui Zhu ²

- ¹ State Key Laboratory of Environmental-Friendly Energy Materials, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang 621010, China; luoqingping74@126.com (Q.L.); liuguuixuang79@126.com (G.L.)
- 2 Institute of Chemical Materials, Chinese Academy of Engineering Physics (CAEP), Mianyang 621900, China; zgh-nfd@sohu.com (F.N.); zhumingshui@163.com (M.Z.)
- ***** Correspondence: longxinping@vip.sina.com; Tel.: +86-351-241-9280

Received: 12 September 2018; Accepted: 3 October 2018; Published: 10 October 2018

Abstract: Green primary explosives have gained wide attention for environmental protection. A potential novel lead-free primary explosive, $AI/Fe₂O₃/RDX$ hybrid nanocomposite was prepared by ultrasonic mixing, and its safety properties are discussed in detail. Results showed that their sensitivity and safety properties were a function of the specific surface area and proportions of their ingredients. Their impact sensitivity fell and their static discharge, flame, and hot bridge wire sensitivities rose as the specific surface area of nano-Fe₂O₃ increased. As the amount of Al/Fe₂O₃ nanothermite was increased, its impact sensitivity fell and its flame sensitivity rose; their static discharge and hot bridge wire sensitivities, however, followed an inverted "U" type change trend and were determined by both the particle size of the ingredients and the resistance of the nanocomposite. Their firing properties in an electric detonator depended on the proportion of the constituents. Thus, green nanoscale primary explosives are appropriate for a range of initiatory applications and can be created by adjusting their specific surface area and the amount of their constituents.

Keywords: green primary explosives; Al/Fe₂O₃/RDX nanocomposite; sensitivity

1. Introduction

Initiating explosives are sensitive explosives widely used in military munitions and civilian applications that deflagrate or detonate with low external stimuli, e.g., a flame, pinprick, friction, static discharge, or moderate heat, and release enough energy to initiate other explosives. Traditional initiating explosives, that contain toxic metallic elements such as mercury fulminate, lead azide, or lead styphnate, have inherent drawbacks, such as hydrolytic instability or environmental pollution, and so, their military and civilian uses are limited for the sake of environmental protection [\[1](#page-7-0)[–3\]](#page-7-1). Consequently, new green initiating explosives have attracted much attention from researchers in the field of primary explosives [\[4,](#page-8-0)[5\]](#page-8-1). Some studies have explored particular green initiating explosives, such as tetrazoles, and their derivatives, furazans $[6-13]$ $[6-13]$. However, their synthesis is very complicated, and their production generates pollutants [\[6,](#page-8-2)[8,](#page-8-4)[10–](#page-8-5)[13\]](#page-8-3). In addition, in the past decade some nano-energetic materials (for example, metastable intermolecular composites, MIC), with the potential to be used as primary explosives, have also excited great interest from military experts [\[14,](#page-8-6)[15\]](#page-8-7).

Due to their remarkable ignition and energy release properties, one of the nano-energetic materials, nanothermite, has been gaining increasing attention from researchers in the fields of propellants, explosives, and pyrotechnics [\[16](#page-8-8)[–20\]](#page-8-9). Nanothermites, however, do not produce gas during the thermite reaction and they cannot self-detonate, which reduces their usefulness in military and

civilian industry. Secondary explosives like hexogen (RDX) or cyclotetramethylenete-tranitramine (HMX) do evolve massive amounts of gas during their chemical reactions, but their volume energy densities are lower than those of nanothermites. Therefore, it appears that nanothermites, mixed with secondary explosives, might perform better than either nanothermites or secondary explosives. Based on this idea, some studies of hybrid nanocomposites have been conducted [\[21–](#page-8-10)[23\]](#page-8-11), and their combustion characteristics show that they could cause a rapid deflagration-to-detonation transition (DDT) that can be accelerated to the primary explosive level [\[22\]](#page-8-12) and therefore used to initiate the detonation of a high explosive [\[23\]](#page-8-11). In addition, their preparation, by mixing, is simple and generates no pollutants. Therefore, these explosives might be a kind of novel green nanoscale substitute for existing initiating explosives, thanks to their high thermal stabilities and controllable velocities of detonation. Furthermore, due to the absence of lead, and if used as primary explosives, they would escape some of the shortcomings of current primary explosives.

The sensitivity of initiating explosives to external stimuli makes them a potential safety hazard. It is for this reason that their safety properties are crucial to their use in both military munitions and civilian industry. Few systematic evaluations of the safety properties of hybrid nanocomposites have been conducted, however. In this paper, the safety properties of $\text{Al/Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{RDX}$ hybrid nanocomposites, a novel lead-free nanoscale initiatory compound prepared by mixing $Al/Fe₂O₃$ nanothermite with RDX, are studied in detail.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of the Materials

Superfine RDX with a particle size of $1-5 \mu m$ was prepared in our lab by spraying RDX solution into deionized water at high-pressure and then recrystallized and freeze-dried. The result of this is shown in Figure [1.](#page-2-0) Nano-Fe₂O₃, with specific surface areas of 10.3 m²/g, 43.2 m²/g, and 230 m²/g, was synthesized by the sol-gel method and by a low temperature $CO₂$ supercritical process as described by Luo et al. [\[24\]](#page-8-13). The specific surface area was measured using an American Quantachrome ADSORP gas adsorption analyzer and BET theory and five data points between relative pressures 0.05 and 0.3 at 77 K [\[25\]](#page-8-14). Passivated nano-Al powder, with an average particle size of 40 nm, a \sim 5 nm oxide shell, and an active metal content of 52 wt % was obtained from a commercial technology company (Figure [2\)](#page-2-1). The Al/Fe₂O₃/RDX nanocomposites were prepared by mixing RDX with Al/Fe₂O₃ nanothermite composed of nano-Fe₂O₃ and nano-Al powders according to Equation (1) and an equivalence ratio Φ of 1.1 (Al-rich) in the solvent cyclohexane or cyclohexane plus acetone. The resulting mixtures were treated using an ultrasonification process for 3 h to ensure more homogeneous mixing. Al/Fe₂O₃/RDX hybrid nanocomposites were then obtained by drying at 55 ◦C for 48 h in a vacuum drying cabinet to evaporate the solvents. The prepared nanocomposites were labelled with their RDX content (for example, 30 wt % (Al/Fe₂O₃)/70 wt % RDX = R – 70).

$$
\Phi = \frac{\left(\text{active Al}/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\right)Actual}{\left(\text{active Al}/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\right)Stoichiometric}\tag{1}
$$

Figure 1. SEM picture of super fine RDX. **Figure 1.** SEM picture of super fine RDX.

Figure 2. TEM picture of nano-Al. **Figure 2.** TEM picture of nano-Al.

2.2. Sensitivity Tests of the Al/Fe2O3/RDX Nanocomposites 2.2. Sensitivity Tests of the Al/Fe2O3/RDX Nanocomposites

The impact sensitivities of the $Al/Fe₂O₃/RDX$ nanocomposites were determined by the Fall Hammer Method using a 2.0 kg drop weight, 25 cm height, and 30 mg quantity. Their friction Hammer Method using a 2.0 kg drop weight, 25 cm height, and 30 mg quantity. Their friction Frammer Method using a 2.0 kg drop weight, 25 cm height, and 50 mg quantity. Their friction
sensitivities were assessed using a 1.5 kg hammer weight, a 66° switch angle, 2.45 MPa, and a 20 mg quantity. Twenty-five samples were tested, and the firing percent was calculated for the various quantity. Twenty-five samples were tested, and the firing percent was calculated for the various impact and friction sensitivities. The static discharge sensitivity of each sample was measured by an electric spark sensitivity analyzer with an electrode gap of 0.5 mm, an electrical capacity of 30.5 kpF, and a 20 mg quantity. The firing energy E_{50} was calculated using the formula, $E_{50} = 0.5 C(V_{50})^2$, where V_{50} is the 50% firing voltage and C is the electrical capacity of the electrode [26]. The flame sensitivity of each sample was determined using the fuse method with a fuse length of 7 cm and a 20 mg quantity, and the jetting distance of the fuse flame at 50% firing was made for flame sensitivity [27]. For the safety evaluation, 0.1 g of Al/Fe₂O₃/RDX nanocomposite was placed in an electric detonator with a diameter of 6.3 mm and pressed at 802 kPa; the loading density was 0.48 g/cm³. The electrostatic safety of each sample was measured at 25 kV/500 pF/5 kΩ, its hot bridge wire sensitivity was measured by heating the bridge wire at different voltages to simulate firing conditions in an electric detonator, and the firing energy was calculated using the formula, $E = I^2 Rt$, where I is the intensity of the current, R is the electrical resistance of the hot bridge wire, and t is the firing time. quantity. Twenty-ive samples were tested, and the inring percent was calculated for the various impact
and friction sensitivities. The static discharge sensitivity of each sample was measured by an electric
spark sensitiv 2.2. *Sensitivity Tests of the Al/Fe₂O₃,
The impact sensitivities of th
Hammer Method using a 2.0 kg
sensitivities were assessed using a
quantity. Twenty-five samples were
and friction sensitivities. The static
spark*

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Mechanical Sensitivity Analysis

The mechanical sensitivity of $A/\text{Fe}_2O_3/RDX$ nanocomposites containing various amounts of RDX and nano-Fe₂O₃ with various specific surface areas were measured using the test criteria for high-sensitivity explosives. The results are given in Table [1.](#page-3-0)

Sample	Specific Surface Area of $Fe2O3$ (m ² /g)	Impact Sensitivity (%)	Friction Sensitivity (%)
$R-100$		8	8
$R-70$	43.2	16	100
$R-70$	230	12	100
$R-50$	10.3	16	100
$R-50$	43.2	12	100
$R-50$	230	8	100
$R-30$	43.2	8	100
$R-30$	230	8	100
$R-0$	230	0	100

Table 1. Mechanical sensitivities of Al/Fe₂O₃/RDX nanocomposites.

As Table [1](#page-3-0) shows, the mechanical sensitivity of $\text{Al/Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{RDX}$ nanocomposites depended heavily on their RDX content and the specific surface area of the nano-Fe₂O₃ in them. Their impact sensitivity decreased slightly as their RDX content decreased and also decreased as the specific surface area of the nano-Fe₂O₃ in them increased. In the light of hot spot theory, this may be due to the nano-Fe₂O₃ particles with low specific surface areas and large particle sizes forming local-center hot-stress regions at impact, which would readily cause the nanocomposites to fire, thereby increasing their impact sensitivity. The friction sensitivity of nanocomposites increased dramatically and then remained the same once Al/Fe₂O₃ nanothermite was added to RDX. This might be due to breakage of the Al₂O₃ crust on the surface of the nano-Al particles at the blade load, bringing highly reactive nano-Al into direct contact with nano-Fe₂O₃, with the resulting thermite reaction between nano-Al and nano-Fe₂O₃ releasing a large amount of heat, and forming a partial high-temperature zone, which accelerated the thermite reaction and the decomposition of RDX, leading to the firing of the Al/Fe₂O₃/RDX nanocomposite. Therefore, the nanocomposites had high friction sensitivity, much higher than that of RDX. A loud explosion and a geyser of sparks resulted when testing the samples for friction sensitivity. However, it is difficult to break the Al_2O_3 crust of nano-Al particles at the percussive force. On the contrary, some of the impact energy was absorbed by the Al and $Fe₂O₃$ particles, causing the nanocomposites to be much more sensitive to friction than to impact.

3.2. Static Discharges Sensitivity Analysis

The static discharge sensitivity of A /Fe₂O₃/RDX nanocomposites was measured at voltage (V_{50}) and firing energy (E₅₀) at 50% firing when the samples were put between two electrodes. The static discharge sensitivity of nanocomposites with different RDX content, specific surface areas of nano-Fe₂O₃, and solvents used in the mixing process are given in Table [2.](#page-4-0)

As Table [2](#page-4-0) shows, the RDX content, the specific surface area of $Fe₂O₃$, and the solvent used in the mixing process greatly affected the V_{50} and E_{50} of Al/Fe₂O₃/RDX nanocomposites. The V₅₀ and E₅₀—i.e., the static discharge sensitivities of most of the Al/Fe₂O₃/RDX nanocomposites were much lower than that of pure RDX or $\text{Al/Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ nanothermite. As the amount of $\text{Al/Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ nanothermite increased, the static discharge sensitivity of the nanocomposites first increased, however, and then decreased, following an inverted "U" type change trend. This may be due to changes in particle size and resistance when $A1/Fe₂O₃$ nanothermite was added to RDX [\[28,](#page-9-0)[29\]](#page-9-1). As the amount of $A1/Fe₂O₃$ nanothermite increased, the average particle size of the nanocomposite fell and the specific surface area increased, adding to the effective friction area and thereby making electric charges accumulate easily on the surfaces of the nanocomposites, leading to firing at a low electrostatic voltage [\[30\]](#page-9-2). In addition, the increased amount of $AI/Fe₂O₃$ nanothermite reduced the resistance of the nanocomposite due to the metal, aluminum, being a conductor. The resistance of $A/Fe₂O₃$ nanothermite was far less than that of RDX, and so electrical charges accumulated less on its surfaces, increasing its firing energy and decreasing its static discharge sensitivity. The inverted "U" type change trend for the static discharge sensitivity of the nanocomposites was the result of the interaction of the particle sizes and the resistance of their ingredients.

Sample	Specific Surface Area of $Fe2O3$ (m ² /g)	Solvent	V_{50} (kV)	E_{50} (mJ)
$R-100$			4.824	355.1
$R-70$	43.2	cyclohexane	3.367	173
$R-70$	230	cyclohexane	3.1	147
$R-70$	230	acetone + cyclohexane	2.5	95.3
$R-50$	230	cyclohexane	1.9	55.05
$R-50$	230	acetone + cyclohexane	<1.8	$<$ 49.41
$R-30$	230	acetone + cyclohexane	2.8	119.6
$R-0$	230	cyclohexane	6.04	560

Table 2. Static discharge sensitivity of $AI/Fe₂O₃/RDX$ nanocomposites. *Materials* **2018**, *11*, x FOR PEER REVIEW 5 of 10

The V₅₀ and E₅₀ for the nanocomposites decreased as the specific surface area of the nano-Fe₂O₃ increased, and their V_{50} and E_{50} also decreased when both cyclohexane and acetone were used instead of cyclohexane alone. This may be because the effective friction area increased with the increase in the specific surface area, causing more static discharges to accumulate on the surfaces of the sample, which resulted in a decrease in the sample's V_{50} and E_{50} and an increase in its static discharge sensitivity. When cyclohexane plus acetone was used as the solvent, the average particle size in the resulting nanocomposite was smaller than when cyclohexane alone was used, leading to an increase in static discharge sensitivity. thace area, causing more siane uischarges to accumulate on th increase in the specific surface area, causing more static discharges to accumulate on the surfaces of α t_{SUSL}

Figure 3 gives SEM images of Al/Fe₂O₃/RDX nanocomposites prepared using different solvents. As shown in Figure [3a](#page-4-1), the use of cyclohexane produced large, 1 µm particles and small, <100 nm particles. The large particles are super fine RDX, and the small particles are nano-Al/Fe₂O₃ composite. When both cyclohexane and acetone were used, the particle sizes of the nanocomposite were less than 100 nm, and the granularity distribution was more uniform because RDX dissolves in acetone. Therefore, a hybrid nanocomposite prepared using both cyclohexane and acetone will have smaller RDX particles and a higher static discharge sensitivity than nanocomposite prepared using cyclohexane alone. Nanocomposite's static discharge sensitivity was greatest when the amount of Al/Fe₂O₃ nanothermite was 50 wt % and both cyclohexane and acetone were used. Thus, $Al/Fe_2O_3/RDX$ nanocomposites with different static discharge sensitivities can be produced by adjusting the RDX content and the particle sizes of the constituents. n cyclohexane and accione were used, the particle sizes of the hanocomposite have information and a higher sensitivity was greatest when the amount of ϵ adjusting the RDX content and the particle sizes of the constituents.

Figure 3. SEM images of Al/Fe₂O₃/RDX nanocomposites prepared using (a) cyclohexane or (b) both cyclohexane and acetone. cyclohexane and acetone.

3.3. Flame Sensitivity Analysis

As stated, the flame sensitivity of $\text{Al/Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{RDX}$ nanocomposites was determined using the fuse method. The results are given in Table [3.](#page-5-0)

Sample	Specific Surface Area of Fe ₂ O ₃ (m ² /g)	Solvent	Ignition Distance (mm)
$R-100$			<1.2 mm
$R-70$	43.2	Cyclohexane	9.0
$R-70$	230	Cyclohexane	15
$R-70$	230	acetone + cyclohexane	30
$R-50$	230	Cyclohexane	35
$R-50$	230	acetone + cyclohexane	70
$R-30$	230	acetone + cyclohexane	> 80
$R-0$	230	Cyclohexane	> 80

Table 3. Flame sensitivity of $\text{Al/Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{RDX}$ nanocomposites.

As shown in Table [3,](#page-5-0) superfine RDX was very stable and did not fire even when the fuse's combustion flame was as close as 1.2 mm, indicating that the flame sensitivity of super fine RDX is very low. As the proportion of $A1/Fe₂O₃$ nanothermite increased, the ignition distance and the flame sensitivity of the nanocomposites both also increased—i.e., the larger the amount of $\text{Al/Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ nanothermite, the longer the ignition distance of the $Al/Fe₂O₃/RDX$ nanocomposite, and the greater its flame sensitivity. This may be due to the good thermal conductivity of the nano-Al and the high reactivity of the $Al/Fe₂O₃$ nanothermite in the nanocomposite. When the fuse's combustion flame contacted the surface of the nanocomposite, the nano-Al on the surface of the nanocomposite presumably adsorbed enough heat from the flame to make the $Al/Fe₂O₃$ nanothermite react, releasing abundant heat that formed a local high-temperature region in which the surface of the RDX generated a hot spot that made the RDX decompose. It was this process that produced the voluminous smoke that was seen during testing. The $\text{Al/Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ nanothermite around the high-temperature region also fired, and finally the nanocomposite fired as a whole.

Due to this chain of events, hybrid nanocomposites containing $Al/Fe₂O₃$ nanothermite were more sensitive to heat than RDX, and the nanocomposites containing a large amount of $Al/Fe₂O₃$ nanothermite showed high flame sensitivity. As the specific surface area of $Fe₂O₃$ increased, the firing distance and flame sensitivity of the A /Fe₂O₃/RDX nanocomposite also increased. If both cyclohexane and acetone were used as the solvent during mixing, the flame sensitivity of the nanocomposite also increased because RDX dissolves in the acetone, reducing its particle size and increasing the specific surface area of the particles. Thus, the particle size of the ingredients in the nanocomposites had a large effect on their flame sensitivity.

3.4. Hot Bridge Wire Sensitivity Analysis

The static discharge safety properties of $A1/Fe₂O₃/RDX$ nanocomposites in an electric detonator were measured using the angle–crust connection mode and the angle–angle connection mode. The results are given in Table [4.](#page-5-1) The firing pictures of nanocomposites with different RDX content at the angle–angle connection mode are shown in Figure [4.](#page-6-0)

Sample	Specific Surface Area of Fe ₂ O ₃ (m^2/g)		Firing Property at Static Discharge	
		Solvent	Angle–Crust	Angle-Angle
R-100	-	-	no	no
$R - 70/50/30/0$	10.3/43.2/230	cyclohexane/acetone + cyclohexane	no	ves

Table 4. Static discharge safety properties of Al/Fe₂O₃/RDX nanocomposites in an electric detonator.

Figure 4. Firing pictures at the angle–angle connection for Al/Fe₂O₃/RDX nanocomposites with RDX content of (**a**) R-100, (**b**) >50 wt % RDX, (**c**) R-30, (**d**) R-0. content of (**a**) R-100, (**b**) >50 wt % RDX, (**c**) R-30, (**d**) R-0.

As Ta[b](#page-5-1)le 4 shows, pure RDX in an electric detonator was very stable and did not fire at the angle–crust connection or at the angle–angle connection in the static discharge safety test (Figure 4a). In contrast, the different connection circuit modes considerably affected the static discharge safety properties of $\text{Al/Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{RDX}$ nanocomposites in electric detonators. The fact that the nanocomposites did not fire completely at the angle–crust connection may be due to the good conductivity of the metal crust of the electric detonator, which impeded electrostatic accumulation on its surface. As a result, the nanocomposites did not fire at the angle–crust connection, indicating that they were very safe in that connection mode. They did fire at the angle–angle connection (Figure 4), however, with their firing strength decreasing as the proportion of RDX decreased. Some nanocomposites with a high RDX content (>50 wt % RDX) exploded in the static discharge safety test, and the metal crusts of the electric detonators disappeared (Figure [4b](#page-6-0)). When the RDX content was 30 wt % (Figure [4c](#page-6-0)), the nanocomposite also exploded, splitting and deforming the metal crusts. $AI/Fe₂O₃$ nanothermite also fired at the angle–angle connection, but only combustion occurred, and the metal crust was only burned through, not destroyed or deformed (Figure [4d](#page-6-0)). The specific surface area and the solvent used during mixing had little effect on the static discharge safety properties of the nanocomposites in an electric detonator. Different firing properties were achieved by adjusting the proportion of RDX in the nanocomposites.

The hot bridge wire sensitivity of $A/Fe₂O₃/RDX$ nanocomposites was measured by determining the firing properties of nanocomposites with different specific surface areas of $Fe₂O₃$, solvents used in mixing, and RDX content through heating the bridge wire at 5 A in an electric detonator. The results are given in Table [5.](#page-7-2)

As Table [5](#page-7-2) shows, pure RDX was very stable and did not fire when the bridge wire was heated at 5 A, but as $Al/Fe₂O₃$ nanothermite was added to the RDX, the resulting nanocomposite did fire at that amperage. This is because the addition of $A/Fe₂O₃$ nanothermite improved the firing properties of RDX. As the proportion of RDX decreased, the firing energy of the nanocomposites first decreased and then increased, following a "U" type change trend that is the reverse of the change trend for their hot bridge wire sensitivity. The increase in the specific surface area of $Fe₂O₃$ and the use of both cyclohexane and acetone increased their hot bridge wire sensitivity, because these two factors increased the specific surface area of the nanocomposites, leading to an increase in their reactivity and a decrease in their firing energy. The nanocomposite had the lowest firing energy (87.9 mJ) when the proportion of $\text{Al}/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ nanothermite was 50 wt %, and both cyclohexane and acetone were used as the solvent. The hot bridge wire sensitivity of the nanocomposites depended heavily on their RDX content and specific surface area.

Sample	Specific Surface Area of $Fe2O3$ (m ² /g)	Solvent	Firing Conditions	Electrical Resistance of Bridge Wire (Ω)	Firing Energy (m)
$R-100$				0.995	
$R-70$	43.2	cyclohexane	5 A/14.8 ms	1.082	400.3
$R-70$	230	cyclohexane	5 A/10.2 ms	0.965	245.3
$R-70$	230	Acetone + cyclohexane	5 A/4.5 ms	1.001	112.6
$R-50$	230	cyclohexane	5 A/3.9 ms	1.040	101.4
$R-50$	230	Acetone + cyclohexane	5 A/3.5 ms	1.005	87.9
$R-30$	230	cyclohexane	5 A/9.3 ms	0.975	226.7
$R-30$	230	Acetone + cyclohexane	5 A/6.6 ms	0.946	156.1
$R-0$	230	cyclohexane	5 A/17.8 ms	1.002	445.9

Table 5. Hot bridge wire sensitivities of Al/Fe₂O₃/RDX nanocomposites.

4. Conclusions

The safety properties of one potential kind of novel green nanoscale primary explosive were evaluated by measuring its sensitivities. These were greatly affected by the RDX content and the particle sizes of its ingredients, and they were heavily dependent on its specific surface area and its ingredients. As the specific surface area of nano-Fe₂O₃ increased, the impact sensitivity of A /Fe₂O₃/RDX nanocomposite fell, but the static discharge, flame, and hot bridge wire sensitivities all increased. When both cyclohexane and acetone were used as the solvent during mixing, the sensitivity of the nanocomposite also increased, due to the decrease in the RDX particle size. As the content of $\text{Al/Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ nanothermite increased, impact sensitivity fell, and flame sensitivity rose. However, the static discharge and hot bridge wire sensitivities of the nanocomposite followed an inverted "U" type change trend and were determined by both the particle size of the ingredients and the resistance of the nanocomposite. Nanoscale primary explosives with different sensitivities and firing properties can be obtained by adjusting their RDX content and the specific surface area of their ingredients.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, X.L. and F.N.; Methodology, Q.L.; Formal Analysis, Q.L. and M.Z.; Investigation, Q.L. and G.L.; Resources, M.Z.; Data Curation, G.L.; Writing-Original Draft Preparation, Q.L.; Writing-Review & Editing, Q.L.; Supervision, F.N.; Project Administration, F.N.; Funding Acquisition, X.L.

Funding: This research was funded by National Nature Science Foundation of China (11572270) and the Open Project of State Key Laboratory Cultivation Base for Nonmetal Composites and Functional Materials (No. 14ZXFK08) at the Southwest University of Science and Technology.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by National Nature Science Foundation of China (11572270) and the Open Project of State Key Laboratory Cultivation Base for Nonmetal Composites and Functional Materials (No. 14ZXFK08) at the Southwest University of Science and Technology.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Danilov, Y.N.; Ilyushin, M.A.; Tselinsky, I.V. *Industrial Explosives: Primary Explosives*; State Inst. of Technol. (Technic. Univ.): St. Petersburg, Russia, 2004.
- 2. Baev, V.I.; Lvov, C.N.; Shugalei, I.V. Influence of the Environment Internal Factors on Warm-blooded Organisms. In Proceedings of the Problems of Military and Emergency Medicine, St. Petersburg, Russia, 1998; pp. 62–63.
- 3. Tsvetkova, O.B.; Shatrova, N.M.; Scheglov, A.N. Storage of radio-nucleases and heavy metals by basidiomycetes of forest ecosystems. *Bull. Inst. Nucl. Invest. Kiev* **2001**, *3*, 171–176.
- 4. Talawar, M.B.; Sivabalan, R.; Mukundan, T.; Muthurajan, H.; Sikder, A.K.; Gandhe, B.R.; Rao, A.S. Environmentally compatible next generation green energetic materials (GEMs). *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2009**, *161*, 589–607. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.04.011) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18554793)
- 5. Ilyushin, M.A.; Tselinsky, I.V.; Shugalei, I.V. Environmentally friendly energetic materials for initiation devices. *Cent. Eur. J. Energ. Mater.* **2012**, *9*, 293–327.
- 6. Huynh, M.H.V.; Coburn, M.D.; Meyer, T.J.; Wetzler, M. Green primary explosives: 5-Nitrotetrazolato-N² -ferrate hierarchies. *PNAS* **2006**, *103*, 10322–10327. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0604241103) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16803957)
- 7. Klapötke, T.M.; Stierstorfer, J.; Weber, B. New energetic materials: Synthesis and characterization of copper 5-nitriminotetrazolates. *Inorg. Chem. Acta* **2009**, *362*, 2311–2320. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ica.2008.10.014)
- 8. Klapötke, T.M.; Mehta, N. Lead-Free primary explosives. *Propellants Explos. Pyrotech.* **2014**, *39*, 7–8. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/prep.201480132)
- 9. Li, Z.M.; Zhang, J.G.; Zhang, T.L.; Shu, Y.J. Nitro-tetrazole and its high nitrogen-contented compounds. *Prog. Chem.* **2010**, *22*, 639–647.
- 10. Fronabarger, J.W.; Williams, M.D.; Sanborn, W.B.; Bragg, J.G.; Parrish, D.A.; Bichay, M. DBX-1-A Lead-free replacement for lead azide. *Propellants Explos. Pyrot.* **2011**, *36*, 541–550. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/prep.201100056)
- 11. Fischer, N.; Klapoetke, T.M.; Stierstorfer, J. Calcium 5-Nitriminotetrazolate—A green replacement for lead azide in priming charges. *J. Energ. Mater.* **2011**, *29*, 61–74. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07370652.2010.505939)
- 12. Zhu, S.G.; Sun, Y.L.; Zhang, L.; Li, Y. A new green primary explosive: Zinc 5,5'-azotetrazole. In Proceedings of the 38th International Pyrotechnics Seminar and Symposium, Littleton, CO, USA, 10–15 June 2012; pp. 696–704.
- 13. Fronabarger, J.; Williams, M.; Sanborn, W.; Sitzmann, M.; Bichay, M.; Gilardi, R. Characterization and output testing of the novel primary explosive, bis(furoxano)nitrophenol, potassium salt. In Proceedings of the 41st AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference & Exhibit, Tucson, AZ, USA, 10–13 July 2005.
- 14. Asay, B.W.; Son, S.F.; Busse, J.R.; Oschwald, D.M. Ignition characteristics of metastable intermolecular composites. *Propellants Explos. Pyrot.* **2004**, *29*, 216–219. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/prep.200400049)
- 15. Puszynski, J.A.; Bulian, C.J.; Swiatkiewicz, J.J. The effect of nanopowder attributes on reaction mechanism and ignition sensitivity of nanothermites. *MRS Proc.* **2005**, *896*, 0896-H04-01. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1557/PROC-0896-H04-01)
- 16. Levitas, V.I.; Asay, B.W.; Son, S.F.; Pantoya, M. Melt dispersion mechanism for fast reaction of nanothermites. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2006**, *89*, 071909. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2335362)
- 17. Lafontaine, E.; Comet, M. *Nanothermites*; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2016.
- 18. Comet, M.; Martin, C.; Schnell, F.; Spitzer, D. Nanothermite foams: From nanopowder to object. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2017**, *316*, 807–812. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.02.009)
- 19. Zhou, X.; Wang, Y.; Cheng, Z.; Ke, X.; Jiang, W. Facile preparation and energetic characteristics of core-shell Al/CuO metastable intermolecular composite thin film on silicon substrate. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2017**, *328*, 585–590. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.07.092)
- 20. Zhang, T.; Zhao, N.; Li, J.; Gong, H.; An, T.; Zhao, F.; Ma, H. Thermal behavior of nitrocellulose-based superthermites: Effects of nano-Fe₂O₃ with three morphologies. *RSC Adv.* **2017**, *7*, 23583–23590. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C6RA28502C)
- 21. Thiruvengadathan, R.; Bezmelnitsyn, A.; Apperson, S.; Staley, C.; Redner, P.; Balas, W.; Nicolich, S.; Kapoor, D.; Gangopadhyay, K.; Gangopadhyay, S. Combustion characteristics of novel hybrid nanoenergetic formulations. *Combust. Flame* **2011**, *158*, 964–978. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.combustflame.2011.02.004)
- 22. Qiao, Z.; Shen, J.; Wang, J.; Wang, J.; Huang, B.; Yang, Z.; Yang, G.; Zhang, K. Fast deflagration to detonation transition of energetic material based on a quasi-core/shell structured nanothermite composite. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *107*, 113–119. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2014.12.005)
- 23. Comet, M.; Martin, C.; Klaumünzer, M.; Schnell, F.; Spitzer, D. Energetic nanocomposites for detonation initiation in high explosives without primary explosives. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2015**, *107*, 113–119. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4938139)
- 24. Luo, Q.; Nie, F.; Long, X.; Qiao, Z.; Yang, G.; Ma, Y. Preparation of nano-Fe₂O3 by CO₂-supercriticalprocess-assisted sol-gel method. *Curr. Nanosci.* **2014**, *10*, 722–729. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/15734137113096660112)
- 25. Plantier, K.B.; Pantoya, M.L.; Gash, A.E. Combustion wave speeds of nanocomposite Al/Fe2O3: The effects of Fe2O³ particle synthesis technique. *Combust. Flame* **2005**, *140*, 299–309. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.combustflame.2004.10.009)
- 26. Liu, C.L.; Wang, S.P.; Wu, Z.C.; Li, Y.M. Study on the test method of the electrostatic sensitivity of the non-initiating explosive devices. *J. Electrost.* **2011**, *69*, 501–503. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.elstat.2011.06.009)
- 27. Wei, Y.J.; Lu, C.L.; Zhou, D.C.; Geng, X.H.; Zhang, J. Influence of particle size on RDX thermal sensitivity and flame sensitivity. *Chem. Propellants Polym. Mater.* **2011**, *9*, 89–91.
- 28. Yao, L.N.; Feng, X.S.; Zhao, S.X.; Wang, C.L.; Wang, S.P. Influence of nano Al on mechanical sensitivity and flame sensitivity of RDX-based explosive. *Chin. J. Explos. Propellants* **2012**, *35*, 15–18.
- 29. Weir, C.; Pantoya, M.L.; Ramachandran, G.; Dallas, T.; Prentice, D.; Daniels, M. Electrostatic discharge sensitivity and electrical conductivity of composite energetic materials. *J. Electrost.* **2013**, *71*, 77–83. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.elstat.2012.10.002)
- 30. Zeman, S. New aspects of initiation reactivities of energetic materials demonstrated on nitramines. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2006**, *A132*, 155–164. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.07.086) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16314044)

© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.).