Peer

Molecular and morphological evidence for a new species of *Leptopus* (Phyllanthaceae) from Southeast Yunnan, China

Wenhua Zhang¹, Xinxin Zhu², Bine Xue³, Ende Liu⁴, Yuling Li¹ and Gang Yao¹

¹ College of Forestry and Landscape Architecture, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

² College of Life Sciences, Xinyang Normal University, Xinyang, Henan, China

³ College of Horticulture and Landscape Architecture, Zhongkai University of Agriculture and Engineering, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

⁴ Key Laboratory for Plant Diversity and Biogeography of East Asia, Kunming Institute of Botany, Kunming, Yunnan, China

ABSTRACT

Leptopus malipoensis, a new species of Phyllanthaceae from Southeast Yunnan Province, China, is described. The phylogenetic position of the new species within the genus *Leptopus* was analyzed based on nuclear ribosomal Internal Transcribed Spacer (nrITS) and plastid *matK* sequence data. The results show that *L. malipoensis* is highly supported to be the sister of *L. fangdingianus* (P. T. Li) Voronts. & Petra Hoff., a species endemic to western Guangxi Province, China. Morphologically, the new species can be distinguished from all known congeneric taxa by its long and slim branches usually pendulous or procumbent, some of its leaf laminas up to 15 cm long and 7 cm wide. It further differs from its sister species by its hirsute stems, leaves and pedicel of female flowers, longer pedicel of male flowers, 3-locular ovary and three styles. A key to all 10 accepted *Leptopus* species is provided.

Subjects Biodiversity, Molecular Biology, Plant Science, Taxonomy Keywords *Leptopus*, Phyllanthaceae, Poranthereae, Taxonomy, Yunnan, China

INTRODUCTION

Leptopus Decne. is a small genus in the tribe Poranthereae, subfamily Phyllanthoideae of Phyllanthaceae and distributed mainly from the Caucasus to Malesia (*Vorontsova & Hoffmann, 2009*; *Webster, 2014*). In the latest taxonomic revision of *Leptopus*, nine species were accepted and six among them were recorded in China (*Vorontsova & Hoffmann, 2009*). Results from molecular phylogenetic analyses showed that *Leptopus* was sister to *Actephila* Blume with high support (*Vorontsova et al., 2007*; *Vorontsova & Hoffmann, 2008*). Morphologically, the genus *Leptopus* can be distinguished from *Actephila* by its disc evidently lobed (*vs.* annular), fruit less than 10 mm in diameter (*vs.* more than 10 mm in diameter), exocarp adnate to endocarp (*vs.* free from endocarp) and mature seed with copious endosperm (*vs.* seed without endosperm) (*Li et al., 2008*). Results from recent biochemical studies suggested that chemical components extracted from *Leptopus* species

Submitted 17 May 2021 Accepted 26 July 2021 Published 24 August 2021

Corresponding author Gang Yao, gyao@scau.edu.cn

Academic editor Victoria Sosa

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 13

DOI 10.7717/peerj.11989

Copyright 2021 Zhang et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

have potential to be used in treatment of cancer, diabetes mellitus and hyperlipemia (*Qi* et al., 2021; *Rahman et al.*, 2021).

During the field investigations in Malipo Hsien, southeast Yunnan Province of China, in March 2018, two of the authors (E.D. Liu and X.X. Zhu) collected a Phyllanthaceae specimen belonging to *Leptopus*, and the same species was recollected in the same locality by another author (G. Yao) in July 2020. The species is very different from all the other members of *Leptopus* in habit and morphology. After detailed morphological investigation and molecular phylogenetic analyses of *Leptopus*, it was concluded that the specimens represent a species that is new to science and formally described here.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Ethics statements

The collection location of the new species reported in this study is outside any natural conservation area and no specific permissions were required for the location. Since this species is currently undescribed, it is not currently included in the China Species Red List (*Wang & Xie, 2004*). However, due to conservation concerns and lack of habitat protection, exact locality coordinates have been withheld from our published specimen records. Our field studies did not involve any endangered or protected species. No specific permits were required for the present study.

Nomenclature

The electronic version of this article in Portable Document Format (PDF) will represent a published work according to the International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (ICN), and hence the new names contained in the electronic version are effectively published under that Code from the electronic edition alone. In addition, new names contained in this work which have been issued with identifiers by IPNI will eventually be made available to the Global Names Index. The IPNI can be accessed and the associated information contained in this publication viewed through any standard web browser by using the web address "http://ipni.org/". The online version of this work is archived and available from the following digital repositories: PeerJ, PubMed Central, and CLOCKSS.

Material collection

Flowering and fruiting specimens of the new species were collected in the mountain area, in Nandong to Bajiaoping, Laoshan, Malipo Hsien of Yunnan Province, China, for morphological study. Leaf materials for DNA extraction were collected and dried using silica gel in the field.

Morphological study

Specimens of *Leptopus* deposited in the herbaria GXMG, IBK, IBSC, KUN and PE were carefully examined for the present study. Field investigations of Chinese *Leptopus* species were also conducted in recent years. Morphological characters of stems, leaves, flowers and fruits of relevant species were photographed and measured. In addition, morphological comparisons between the new species and all the nine *Leptopus* species accepted by *Vorontsova & Hoffmann (2009)* were also conducted.

Phylogenetic study

Phylogenetic relationships among species of *Leptopus* were previously investigated by Vorontsova et al. (2007) based on analysis of two DNA markers, viz. the nuclear ribosomal Internal Transcribed Spacer (nrITS) and plastid *matK*. To resolve the relationships of the new species, a phylogenetic study of the genus Leptopus was performed, based on analyses of the two above mentioned DNA markers. Other DNA sequences of Leptopus species included in Vorontsova et al. (2007) were obtained from GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and used in the present phylogenetic analyses. Three species of Leptopus (viz. L. colchicus (Fisch. & C.A. Mey. ex Boiss.) Pojark., L. calcareus (Ridl.) Pojark. and L. esquirolii (H. Lév.) P.T. Li) sampled in Vorontsova et al.'s (2007) phylogenetic study had been reduced to the synonyms of other species in their subsequent taxonomic revision of Leptopus (Vorontsova & Hoffmann, 2009). Thus, DNA sequences of the above mentioned three species were not included in the present phylogenetic analyses. Additionally, outgroups were selected from the other seven genera of the tribe Poranthereae (Actephila Blume, Andrachne L., Meineckia Baill., Notoleptopus Voronts. & Petra Hoffm., Phyllanthopsis (Scheele) Voronts. & Petra Hoffm., Pseudophyllanthus (Müll. Arg.) Voronts. & Petra Hoffm. and Poranthera Rudge) and the genus Heywoodia Sim of the tribe Wielandieae, based on previously published phylogenetic frameworks (Kathriarachchi et al., 2005; Vorontsova et al., 2007). DNA sequences of outgroups were also downloaded from GenBank. Detailed information about the species sampled and DNA sequences are provided in Table 1.

Total DNA of the new species was extracted from silica gel-dried leaves (voucher specimen: *G. Yao YGYN2020071501*, IBSC). DNA was sheared into ca. 500 bp fragments using a TurePrepTM DNA Library Prep Kit V2 for Illumina, following the manufacturer's manual (Vazyme Biotech Co., Ltd., Nanjing, China) and then sequenced from both ends of 150 bp fragments on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) at BGI Genomics (BGO-Shenzhen, China). About 3 Gb of raw data was generated. Plastid and the nrITS sequence reads were assembled using the software GetOrganelle (*Jin et al., 2020*), with the reference plastid genome of *Glochidion chodoense* C.S. Lee & Im (GenBank accession number: NC_042906) and nrITS sequence of *Leptopus chinensis* (Bunge) Pojark. (GenBank accession number: MH710764), respectively. Genes in the plastid genome obtained were annotated in the software PGA (*Qu et al., 2019*). The *matK* sequence was then extracted from the assembled whole plastid genome.

Sequences were aligned using MAFFT v. 7.221 (*Katoh & Standley, 2013*) and then three data sets were constructed: the *matK* dataset, the nrITS dataset and the combined dataset (including *matK* and nrITS). All the three datasets were analyzed using two approaches: Bayesian Inference (BI) and Maximum Likelihood (ML) were conducted using MrBayes v. 3.2.6 (*Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003*) and RAxML (*Stamatakis, 2006*), respectively. The models of nucleotide substitution of the two DNA markers used were selected under the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) using jModeTest v. 3.7 (*Posada, 2008*): TVM+G for *matK* and GTR+I+G for nrITS. Detailed information about the parameter setting in BI and ML analyses referred the phylogenetic analyses conducted in *Yao et al. (in press)*, except that each of Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) analysis was run for 10,000,000 generations, sampling every 500 generations. Number of generations for the datasets were

Taxon	nrITS	matK
Leptopus australis (Zoll. & Moritzi) Pojark.	AM745811	AM745812
Leptopus australis (Zoll. & Moritzi) Pojark.	AM745813	AM745814
Leptopus chinensis (Bunge) Pojark.	MN722097	MN722149
Leptopus chinensis (Bunge) Pojark.	MH710764	MH659095
Leptopus chinensis (Bunge) Pojark.	AM745819	AM745820
Leptopus chinensis (Bunge) Pojark.	AM745821	AM745822
Leptopus clarkei (Hook. f.) Pojark.	AM745938	AM745939
Leptopus clarkei (Hook. f.) Pojark.	AM745940	AM745941
Leptopus cordifolius Decne.	AM745826	AY552433
Leptopus cordifolius Decne.	AM745827	AM745828
Leptopus cordifolius Decne.	AM745829	AY552433
Leptopus fangdingianus (P.T. Li) Voronts. & Petra Hoffm.	AM745809	AM745810
Leptopus malipoensis W.H. Zhang & Gang Yao	MW962203	MZ062211
Actephila albidula Gagnep.	AM745910	AM745911
Actephila collinsiae W. Hunter ex Craib	AM745912	AM745913
Actephila sessilifolia Benth.	AM745931	AM745932
Andrachne ephemera M.G. Gilbert	AM745767	AM745768
Andrachne fruticulosa Boiss.	AM745773	AM745774
Andrachne microphylla (Lam.) Baill.	AM745787	AM745788
Andrachne telephioides L.	AM745802	AM745803
Heywoodia lucens Sim	AM745935	AM745937
Meineckia acuminata (Verdc.) J.F. Brunel	AM745894	AM745895
Meineckia humbertii G.L. Webster	AM745846	AM745847
Notoleptopus decaisnei (Benth.) Voronts. & Petra Hoffm.	AM745830	AM745831
Notoleptopus decaisnei (Benth.) Voronts. & Petra Hoffm.	AM745832	AM745833
<i>Phyllanthopsis arida</i> (Warnock & M.C. Johnst.) Voronts. & Petra Hoffm.	AM745762	AM745763
<i>Phyllanthopsis phyllanthoides</i> (Nutt.) Voronts. & Petra Hoffm.	AM745836	AM745837
Poranthera corymbosa Brongn.	AM745872	AM745873
Poranthera triandra J.M. Black	AM745892	AM745893
<i>Pseudophyllanthus ovalis</i> (E. Mey. ex Sond.) Voronts. & Petra Hoffm.	AM745789	AY830260
<i>Pseudophyllanthus ovalis</i> (E. Mey. ex Sond.) Voronts. & Petra Hoffm.	AM745790	AM745791

Table 1Sequences information for all samples used in the present study.Sequences newly generated in this study are marked in bold.

sufficient, because the average standard deviations (SD) of split frequencies for the datasets were all below 0.01, and effective sample sizes (ESS) of all parameters were over 200 as evaluated in Tracer v. 1.6 (*Rambaut, Suchard & Drummond, 2014*). The first 25% of the trees obtained in BI analyses were discarded as burn-in and then posterior probabilities (PP) were determined from the posterior distribution.





RESULTS

Phylogenetic analysis

The *matK* dataset, nrITS dataset and combined dataset alignments contained 2,000 bp, 812 bp and 2,812 bp, respectively. Conflicted topologies were found between the *matK* and nrITS frameworks (Fig. 1), but relevant conflicted phylogenetic nodes were all poorly supported in analyses of the nrITS dataset (Fig. 1B). Phylogenetic relationships derived from the combined dataset were much better resolved compared with those obtained from analyses based on the other two datasets, and phylogenetic relationships among *Leptopus* species sampled here were all resolved with high support values (Fig. 2). Thus we focus on describing phylogenetic relationships based on the result derived from the combined dataset.

Phylogenetic results showed that the genus *Leptopus* was sister to *Actephila* with high support values (Bootstrap (BS) = 100%, PP = 1.00), and the monophyly of *Leptopus* was strongly supported (BS = 100%, PP = 1.00). Within *Leptopus*, the species *L. australis* (Zoll. & Moritzi) Pojark. represents the earliest divergent taxa in this genus. The new species is strongly supported as the sister of *L. fangdingianus* (P.T. Li) Voronts. & Petra Hoffm. (BS = 100%, PP = 1.00) and this pair in turn sister to *L. clarkei* (Hook. f.) Pojark. (BS = 100%, PP = 1.00). *Leptopus chinensis* (Bunge) Pojark. and *L. cordifolius* Decne. formed a sister clade (BS = 100%, PP = 1.00) and closely related to the (new species-*L. fangdingianus*)-*L. clarkei* clade with strong support (BS = 100%, PP = 1.00). Furthermore, the sister relationship

Peer



Figure 2 Maximum likelihood (ML) tree of *Leptopus* and its relatives inferred from the combined data set (including nrITS and *matK*). Bootstrap (BS) value in ML analysis and posterior probability (PP) in Bayesian inference (BI) is indicated on the left and right of slanting bar associated with phylogenetic node, respectively. The crown node of *Leptopus* is shown by the arrowhead.

Full-size 🖾 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.11989/fig-2

between the new species and *L. fangdingianus* was highly supported in both of the *matK* (Fig. 1A) and nrITS (Fig. 1B) analyses.

Morphological comparisons

A detailed morphological comparison between the new species and other members of the genus *Leptopus* was conducted, and field images of the new species are provided in Figs. 3–4. Morphologically, the new species has lobed discs in both the pistillate (Fig. 4A) and staminate flowers (Fig. 4B), fruits 4–6 mm in diameter (Figs. 4I & 4J) and mature seeds with copious endosperm (Fig. 4I). These characters are congruent with its placement in the genus *Leptopus*. However, the species has pendulous or procumbent stems (Figs. 3A–3B) and some of its leaf laminas could up to 15 cm long and 7 cm wide. These characters make it distinct from all the other *Leptopus* members, which usually have ascendant or erect stems and smaller leaves less than 10 cm long and 5 cm wide. The procumbent habit is also



Figure 3 *Leptopus malipoensis* (from the type locality). (A–B & E–F) habit; (C) adaxial side of leaves; (D) abaxial side of leaves. (Photo credit: Gang Yao and Xin-Xin Zhu).

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.11989/fig-3



Figure 4 Leptopus malipoensis (from the type locality). (A) Female flower; (B) male flower; (C) young fruit; (D) young leaf, stem and female flower with pedicel; (E) stem and male flower with pedicel; (F) stem and young fruits with fruiting pedicels; (G) fruit and fruiting pedicel; (H) fruit; (I) fruit transection; (J) capsule with fungus on its surface; (K) seeds. (Photo credit: Gang Yao and Xin-Xin Zhu). Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.11989/fig-4

recorded in *L. clarkei*, a species widely distributed from southern China, extending west to Assam and Burma, and south to northern Vietnam (*Vorontsova & Hoffmann, 2009*). The species is also closely related to the collective clade formed by the new species and *L. fangdingianus* in the phylogenetic analyses (Figs. 1 and 2). However, the new species differs from *L. clarkei* by having straight and not ribbed stems (Figs. 3C–3F) (*vs.* flexuous

and longitudinally strongly ribbed stems), laminas up to 15 cm long and 7 cm wide (*vs.* leaf laminas all less than 10 cm long and 3 cm wide), leaves hirsute on both surfaces (Figs. 3C–3E & 4D) (*vs.* glabrous adaxially and glabrous to sparsely hirsute abaxially), margin of leaves densely hirsute (Figs. 3C–3E & 4D) (*vs.* glabrous to hirsute). While the new species differs from its sister *L. fangdingianus* by its leaves hirsute on both surfaces (Figs. 3C–3E & 4D) (*vs.* glabrous to sparsely hirsute on both surfaces), pedicel of male flowers 10–25 mm long (*vs.* usually less than 10 mm long), pedicel of female flowers hirsute (Fig. 4D) (*vs.* glabrous), ovary 3-locular (*vs.* 4–5) and styles 3 (*vs.* 4–5).

Morphologically, the new species also can be easily distinguished from the remaining four congeneric species which were not included in the present phylogenetic analyses [viz., *L. emicans* (Dunn) Pojark., *L. hainanensis* (Merr. & Chun) Pojark., *L. pachyphyllus* X.X. Chen and *L. robinsonii* Airy Shaw], based on its pendulous or procumbent stems and branches. Additionally, the new species has hirsute indumentum, unribbed branches, chartaceous leaves with 4 (rarely 3 or 5) pairs of secondary veins. In contrast, *L. emicans* has glabrous longitudinally ribbed branches and 8–10 pairs of secondary veins in leaf laminas. *Leptopus hainanensis* also has glabrous branches and leaves, as well as 2–3 pairs of secondary veins in leaves. The other two species *L. pachyphyllus* and *L. robinsonii* both have glabrous and bilaterally flattened branches, and the leaves of *L. pachyphyllus* are glabrous on both sides and almost succulent.

Taxonomic treatment

Leptopus malipoensis W.H. Zhang & Gang Yao, sp. nov. (Figs. 3-4)

IPNI

Type. CHINA. Yunnan Province, Wenshan State, Malipo Hsien, Laoshan, Nandong to Bajiaoping, on rocky slopes near the roadsides of the semi-shady forests, at the elevation of ca. 1,200 m, 15 July 2020, *G. Yao YGYN2020071501* (holotype: IBSC; isotypes: KUN, CANT).

Diagnosis. The species is similar to *L. fangdingianus* (P.T. Li) Voronts. & Petra Hoffm. in general morphology, but differs from the latter by its procumbent habit with long and slim branches usually pendulous, some of its leaves could up to 15 cm long and 7 cm wide, hirsute stems, leaves and pedicel of female flowers, longer pedicel of male flowers, 3-locular ovary and 3 styles.

Description. Shrub, monoecious. Stems straight, terete, hirsute; branchlets slender, sometimes up to 1.5 m long, usually pendulous or procumbent, hirsute, sometimes rooting on branchlets. Leaves alternate, chartaceous, elliptic to ovate, (2)5-13(15) cm long and (1.2)3-5.5(7) cm wide, 1.5–2.3 times longer than wide, both surfaces hirsute, densely hirsute when young, margin densely hirsute, base cuneate to round, apex acuminate; midvein adaxially impressed, abaxially raised; secondary veins usually 4 pairs, rarely 3 or 5 pairs, adaxially slightly impressed, abaxially raised, obliquely ascending, sometimes

arcuately anastomosing near margins. Petiole 4–12 mm long, hirsute. Stipules triangular, very small, less than 0.5 mm in both of length and width. Inflorescences unisexual or bisexual, axillary, fasciculate. Bracts narrowly triangular, 1-2 mm long and 0.2-0.5 mm wide. Staminate flowers 1–3 per fascicle, ca. 3 mm in diameter, light yellow to slightly green; pedicel 10-25 mm long, glabrous; sepals 5, ca. 2-2.5 mm long and ca. 1-1.3 mm wide, oblong, apically round, adaxially glabrous, abaxially sparsely hirsute, 0–3-veined; petals 5, shorter than sepals, clavate to slightly linear, alternating with sepals; disc extrastaminal with 5 contiguous regular segments deeply bilobed for 1/3-1/2 of length, apices of lobes truncate to rounded; stamens 5, opposite sepals; filaments 5, free; anthers 5, longitudinally dehiscent. Pistillate flowers usually 1 per fascicle, 3.5-4 mm in diameter; pedicels usually 15–28 mm long, sparsely hirsute, apically dilated; sepals 5, 2–3 mm long and ca. 1–1.5 mm wide, oblong to ovate-triangular, apically acute to round, adaxially glabrous, abaxially glabrous or sparsely hirsute, usually 0–5-veined; petals 5, shorter than sepals, linear, alternating with sepals; disc with 5 contiguous regular segments deeply biobed for ca. 1/2 of length, apices of lobes truncate to rounded; ovary 3-locular, globose, glabrous, ovules 2 per locule; styles 3, free, apex bifid to base, lobes usually recurved, stigmas dilated to capitate. Fruiting pedicel 2–3.3 cm long, hirsute; Fruit a capsule, dehiscent into 3 2-valved cocci when mature, depressed globose, smooth, glabrous or sparsely hirsute when young, 4-6 mm in diameter, 2.5-3 mm high, persistent sepals oblong; seeds 6, 2 per locule, brown to dark-brown, hemispheric or laterally compressed, ca. 2.5 mm long and 2 mm wide, endosperm fleshy, lacking appendages.

Etymology. *Leptopus malipoensis* is named after its type locality, Malipo Hsien. Malipo Hsien is a hotspot for biodiversity research in Yunnan Province, China, and many new species have been described recently from there, *e.g., Bredia malipoensis* D. H. Peng, S. Jin Zeng & Z.Y. Wen in Melastomataceae (*Wen et al., 2019*), *Habenaria malipoensis* Q. Liu & W.L. Zhang (*Zhang et al., 2017*) and *Vanda malipoensis* L.H. Zou, J.X. Huang & Z.J. Liu (*Zou, Liu & Huang, 2014*) in Orchidaceae, *Primulina malipoensis* L.H. Yang & M. Kang in Gesneriaceae (*Yang et al., 2018*), and *Salacia malipoensis* X.D. Ma & J.Y. Shen in Celastraceae (*Ma et al., 2020*).

Phenology: Flowering in April to August, and fruiting in May to October.

Paratype: CHINA. Yunnan Province, Wenshan State, Malipo Hsien, Laoshan, Nandong to Bajiaoping, under the semi-shady forests, at the elevation of 1171 m, 5 March 2018, *Z.D. Wei*, *F.Z. Shangguan*, *X.X. Zhu et al. LiuED8755* (KUN).

Distribution and habitat: The species is known only from its type locality, Malipo Hsien in southeast Yunnan Province, China (Fig. 5).

Habitat. The species grows on rocky slopes near the roadsides of the semi-shady forests or under the semi-shady forests, in limestone environments, at an elevation of 1170 - 1200 m.

Chinese name. Ma Li Po Que She Mu (麻栗坡雀舌木).

DISCUSSION

As recorded in previous taxonomic literature, nine *Leptopus* species were accepted and six of them were recorded in China (*Li et al., 2008*; *Vorontsova & Hoffmann, 2009*). In the present





study, morphological and molecular evidence supported the recognition of the tenth species of *Leptopus*. The close relationship between the new species and *L. fangdingianus* is expected because they share some biogeographic and ecological similarities. The distribution areas of two species are adjacent to each other (Fig. 5). The new species is endemic to southeast Yunnan Province, China, while *L. fangdingianus* is endemic to western Guangxi Province, China. Both areas are characterized by a karst environment.

After the taxonomic revision of the genus Leptopus (Vorontsova & Hoffmann, 2009), Adhikari, Chaudhary & Ghimire (2010) described the new species Leptopus nepalensis B. Adhikari, R.P. Chaudhary & S.K. Ghimire from Nepal, based on the specimen B. Adhikari 224 deposited in the herbarium TUCH. On the basis of the morphological description provided by Adhikari, Chaudhary & Ghimire (2010), L. nepalensis is characterized by its 6 petals in two whorls, 6 stamens (or 3 as observed from the illustration drawn based on the holotype), and 3 styles connate into a column up to about halfway. However, all of these characters are very different from those of the genus Leptopus as currently circumscribed (Vorontsova & Hoffmann, 2009; Webster, 2014). Thus the species L. nepalensis is not accepted in the genus Leptopus in the present study.

Key to species of *Leptopus*, modified from *Vorontsova & Hoffmann* (2009)

1a. Leaf laminas coriaceous, almost succulent; endemic to Guangxi Province, China
1b. Leaf laminas membranaceous to thick chartaceous, never succulent
2a. Leaf laminas with 8–10 visible pairs of secondary veins; fruit strongly reticulate; seed with orange micropylar appendage <i>L. emicans</i>
2b. Leaf laminas with 0–6 (7) visible pairs of secondary veins; fruit smooth to faintly reticulate; seed without appendage
3a. Ascendant herb to subshrub up to 0.5 m high; pedicels of female flowers 2–5 mm in flower, 5–9 mm in mature fruit
3b. Erect to procumbent herb or shrub 0.5–4 m; pedicels of female flowers 5–30 mm in flower,7–36 mm in mature fruit4
4a. Pedicels of male flowers less than 3 mm in length5
4b. Pedicels of male flowers more than 3 mm in length, and usually up to 10 mm or longer
5a. Branches white to light brown; male petals, filaments and styles mostly glabrous; seeds smooth; endemic to Hainan Province, China
5b. Branches reddish; male petals, filaments and styles hirsute; seeds transversely to irregularly ridged, sometimes pitted; endemic to Khanh Hoa Province, Vietnam
6a. Stem flexuous; branches strongly ribbed
6b. Stem straight; branches terete to moderately ribbed
7a. Petioles of mature leaves at least 1/4 of leaf lamina length; leaf apex rounded
7b. Petioles of mature leaves $1/10 - 1/6$ of leaf lamina length; leaf apex not rounded (except several specimens of <i>L. chinensis</i>)
8a. Twigs longitudinally ribbed, or sometimes terete; leaf laminas usually 0.8–3 cm long (never longer than 5 cm) and 0.4–1.5 wide
8b. Twigs never longitudinally ribbed; leaf laminas usually longer than 5 cm and wider than2.5 cm3
9a. Stems and branchlets usually pendulous or procumbent; ovary 3-locular; styles 3; endemic to Yunnan Province, China <i>L. malipoensis</i>
9b. Stems and branchlets ascendant or erect; ovary 4–5-locular; styles 4–5; endemic to Guangxi Province, China <i>L. fangdingianus</i>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the editor and three reviewers for helpful comments on our manuscript, to the curators and staff of the herbaria GXMG, IBK, IBSC, KUN and PE for hosting our visits or providing images of specimens, and to Mr. Long in Malipo Hsien, Laoshan, Yaowang Valley for help in field investigations.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This work was supported by grant from the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China (Grant no. 2019A1515011695 awarded to Gang Yao). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: The Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China: 2019A1515011695.

Competing Interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Wenhua Zhang performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Xinxin Zhu conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Bine Xue performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Ende Liu performed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Yuling Li performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Gang Yao conceived and designed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.

Field Study Permissions

The following information was supplied relating to field study approvals (i.e., approving body and any reference numbers):

The collection location of the new species reported in this study is outside any natural conservation area and no specific permissions were required for the location.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The DNA sequences analyzed in our study are available in Table 1 and at NCBI: MW962203 and MZ062211.

Information about the voucher specimen (G. Yao YGYN2020071501, IBSC) used in molecular phylogenetic analyses is available in our article.

New Species Registration

The following information was supplied regarding the registration of a newly described species:

Leptopus malipoensis W.H. Zhang & Gang Yao, sp. nov. LSID: 77218863-1.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.11989#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

- Adhikari B, Chaudhary RP, Ghimire SK. 2010. A new species of *Leptopu* s (Euphorbiaceae) from Nepal. *Blumea* 55:162–163 DOI 10.3767/000651910X526898.
- Jin JJ, Yu WB, Yang JB, Song Y, De Pamphilis CW, Yi TS, Li DZ. 2020. GetOrganelle: a fast and versatile toolkit for accurate de novo assembly of organelle genomes. *Genome Biology* 21:241 DOI 10.1186/s13059-020-02154-5.
- Kathriarachchi H, Hoffmann P, Samuel R, Wurdack KJ, Chase MW. 2005. Molecular phylogenetics of Phyllanthaceae inferred from five genes (plastid *atpB*, *matK*, 3'*ndhF*, *rbcL*, and nuclear PHYC). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **36**:112–134 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2004.12.002.
- Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version
 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*30:772–780 DOI 10.1093/molbev/mst010.
- Li PT, Qiu HX, Ma JS, Zhu H, Gilbert MG, Esser HJ, Dressler S, Hoffmann P, Gillespie LJ, Vorontsova M, McPherson GD. 2008. Euphorbiaceae. In: Wu ZY, Raven PH, eds. *Flora of China 11*. Beijing and St. Louis: Science Press & Missouri Botanical Garden Press, 163–314.
- Ma XD, Wang WG, Shi JP, Shen JY. 2020. *Salacia malipoensis* (Celastraceae), a new species from Yunnan, China. *Annales Botanici Fennici* 57(1–3):109–113 DOI 10.5735/085.057.0115.
- **Posada D. 2008.** JModelTest: phylogenetic model averaging. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **25**:1253 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msn083.
- Qi SZ, Liu T, Wang M, Zhang XX, Yang YR, Jing WH, Long LP, Song KR, Jin Y, Gao HY. 2021. New phenylpropanoid-conjugated pentacyclic triterpenoids from the whole plants of *Leptopus lolonum* with their antiproliferative activities on cancer cells. *Bioorganic Chemistry* 107:104628 DOI 10.1016/j.bioorg.2021.104628.
- **Qu XJ, Moore MJ, Li DZ, Yi TS. 2019.** PGA: a software package for rapid, accurate, and flexible batch annotation of plastomes. *Plant Methods* **15**:50 DOI 10.1186/s13007-019-0435-7.
- Rahman S, Jan G, Jan FG, Rahim HU. 2021. Phytochemical screening and antidiabetic, antihyperlipidemic, and antioxidant effects of *Leptopus cordifolius* Decne. in diabetic mice. *Frontiers in Pharmacology* 12:643242 DOI 10.3389/fphar.2021.643242.
- **Rambaut A, Suchard MA, Drummond AJ. 2014.** Tracer v1.6. *Available at http://beast. bio.ed. ac.uk/Tracer*.
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP. 2003. MrBayes 3: bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19:1572–1574 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180.

- Stamatakis A. 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 22:2688–2690 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btl446.
- Vorontsova MS, Hoffmann P. 2008. A phylogenetic classification of tribe Poranthereae (Phyllanthaceae, Euphorbiaceae sensu lato). *Kew Bulletin* **63**:41–59 DOI 10.2307/20443408.
- **Vorontsova MS, Hoffmann P. 2009.** Revision of the genus *Leptopus* (Phyllanthaceae, Euphorbiaceae sensu lato). *Kew Bulletin* **64**:627–644 DOI 10.2307/27821983.
- Vorontsova MS, Hoffmann P, Maurin O, Chase MW. 2007. Molecular phylogenetics of tribe Poranthereae (Phyllanthaceae; Euphorbiaceae sensu lato). *American Journal of Botany* 94(12):2026–2040 DOI 10.3732/ajb.94.12.2026.
- Wang S, Xie Y. 2004. China species red list. Beijing: Higher Education Press.
- Webster GL. 2014. Phyllantheae. In: Kubitzki K, ed. *Flowering Plant. Eudicots. The Families and Genera of Vascular Plants (Vol. 11)*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 74–80.
- Wen ZY, Zen SJ, Fan WL, Zhang GQ, Peng DH. 2019. *Bredia malipoensis* (Melastomataceae), a new species from Yunnan, China. *Phytotaxa* 425(3):127 DOI 10.11646/phytotaxa.425.3.2.
- Yang LH, Chen JL, Wen F, Kang M. 2018. *Primulina malipoensis* (Gesneriaceae), a new species from Sino-Vietnamese border area. *PhytoKeys* 94:107–116 DOI 10.3897/phytokeys.94.20861.
- Yao G, Xue B, Liu K, Li YL, Huang JX, Zhai JW. 2021. Phylogenetic estimation and morphological evolution of Alsineae (Caryophyllaceae) shed new insight into the taxonomic status of the genus *Pseudocerastium*. *Plant Diversity* (In Press) Epub ahead of print Nov 7 2020 DOI 10.1016/j.pld.2020.11.001.
- Zhang WL, Gao JY, Pan B, Qiang L. 2017. *Habenaria malipoensis* (Orchidaceae: Orchidoideae: Orchidinae), a new orchid species from Yunnan, China. *Phytotaxa* 332(1):93–97 DOI 10.11646/phytotaxa.332.1.11.
- Zou LH, Liu ZJ, Huang JX. 2014. Vanda malipoensis, a new species of Vanda (Orchidaceae: Epidendroideae; Vandeae) from China: evidence from morphological and molecular phylogenetic analyses. *Phytotaxa* 186(2):87–96 DOI 10.11646/phytotaxa.186.2.3.