

Citation: Zhao N, Tan H, Wang L, Han L, Cheng Y, Feng Y, et al. (2021) Palmitate induces fat accumulation via repressing FoxO1-mediated ATGL-dependent lipolysis in HepG2 hepatocytes. PLoS ONE 16(1): e0243938. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0243938

Editor: Jonathan M. Peterson, East Tennessee State University, UNITED STATES

Received: July 9, 2020

Accepted: November 30, 2020

Published: January 15, 2021

Copyright: © 2021 Zhao et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This research was supported by Key Research and Development (R&D) Projects of Shanxi Province (#201803D31131); the Key Provincial Science and Technology Project of Sichuan (#2016SZ0058); Scientific Research Foundation for the Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars of Shanxi Province (#200372); the Science Foundation of Shanxi Province (#041072**RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Palmitate induces fat accumulation via repressing FoxO1-mediated ATGL-dependent lipolysis in HepG2 hepatocytes

Naiqian Zhao 1° , Huiwen Tan², Li Wang¹, Le Han¹, Yanli Cheng¹, Ying Feng¹, Ting Li¹, Xiaoling Liu¹

Department of Gerontology, Second Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, Shanxi, China,
 Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

So These authors contributed equally to this work.

* m18235150464@163.com

Abstract

Obesity is closely associated with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), and elevated serum palmitate is the link between obesity and excessive hepatic lipid accumulation. Forkhead box O-1 (FoxO1) is one of the FoxO family members of transcription factors and can stimulate adipose triglyceride lipase (ATGL) and suppress its inhibitor G0/G1 switch gene 2 (G0S2) expression in the liver. However, previous researches have also shown conflicting results regarding the role of FoxO1 in hepatic lipid accumulation. We therefore examined the role of FoxO1 as a downstream suppressor to palmitate-stimulated hepatic steatosis. Palmitate significantly promoted lipid accumulation but inhibited lipid decomposition in human HepG2 hepatoma cells. Palmitate also significantly reduced FoxO1, ATGL and its activator comparative gene identification-58 (CGI-58) expression but increased peroxisome proliferator-activated receptory (PPARy) and its target gene G0S2 expression. FoxO1 overexpression significantly increased palmitate-inhibited ATGL and CGI-58 expression but reduced palmitate-stimulated PPARy and its target gene G0S2 expression. FoxO1 overexpression also inhibited lipid accumulation and promoted lipolysis in palmitate-treated hepatocytes. Overall, these results indicate that FoxO1-mediated ATGL-dependent lipolysis may be an effective molecular mechanism in protecting hepatocytes from palmitate-induced fat accumulation.

Introduction

During the past few decades, obesity has been sharply increasing in developed and developing countries, and is now a worldwide epidemic [1]. The global trend of obesity has led to a very high prevalence of obesity-associated non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) [2]. Hepatic lipid accumulation is the initial stage of NAFLD. Individuals with hepatic lipid accumulation may develop to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, fibrosis, cirrhosis and possibly hepatocellular carcinoma progressing from simple steatosis [3]. Moreover, multiple evidences have indicated that NAFLD is associated with not only increased risk of developing type 2 diabetes mellitus

 The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

(T2DM), but also with poor blood glucose control and an increased risk of cardiovascular disease/chronic kidney disease in patients with established T2DM [4]. Thus, the molecular mechanism promoting hepatic lipid accumulation in obesity is of biomedical and public health interest.

Obesity is connected with increased total concentrations of serum free fatty acids (FFAs) that have been released by subcutaneous adipose tissue with impaired lipid storage capacity. This creates an overall enhanced hepatic supply of circulating FFAs and subsequently leads to ectopic fat deposition in the liver [5,6]. The saturated fatty acid palmitate is one of the most abundant FFAs in both human and rodent plasma [7]. It can facilitate lipid accumulation in hepatocytes, characterized by a decreased rate of fat mobilization [8–11].

Fat mobilization is precisely regulated by a number of enzymes and factors. Adipose triglyceride lipase (ATGL) specifically catalyses the cleavage fracture of the first ester bond in triglycerides, which is the first and most critical rate-limiting step in intracellular triglyceride hydrolysis [12]. ATGL activity is mainly regulated by two regulatory protein, which are comparative gene identification-58 (CGI-58) and G0/G1 switching gene-2 (G0S2), respectively [13]. ATGL is activated by association with CGI-58, which introduces the targeting of ATGL to the surface of lipid droplets [14]. On the contrary, the protein product encoded by G0S2 is a specific inhibitor of ATGL-mediated lipolysis [15]. There is an direct interplay between G0S2 and the N-terminal patatin domain of ATGL, thus inhibiting ATGL triglyceride hydrolase activity and attenuating ATGL-mediated fat decomposition in adipose tissue and other tissues [13–15]. Specifically, recent studies showed that G0S2 is critical for triglyceride accumulation in the liver and is an important contributor to the development of liver steatosis [16,17]. Transactivation, gel shift and chromatin immunoprecipitation assessment revealed that the G0S2 promoter encompasses a potential peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)response element (PPRE), and G0S2 is a direct PPARy target gene [18]. When co-transfected in human HepG2 hepatoma cells, PPARy directly binds to the PPRE sequence and markedly increases the reporter activity forced by the G0S2 promoter [18]. Likewise, the activation of PPARy by rosiglitazone, a PPARy agonist, remarkably up-regulates G0S2 protein expression in vitro [15].

Forkhead box O family proteins (FoxOs) of transcription factors are required for a large number of cellular processes, including antioxidant response, cell cycle control, glucose and lipid metabolism, apoptosis, and autophagy [19]. FoxOs contain four proteins, namely FoxO1 (also described as FKHR), FoxO3a (FKHRL1), FoxO4 (AFX1) and FoxO6, encoded by a distinct gene in mammalian cells respectively. Among them, FoxO1 is copiously expressed in the liver, pancreas, skeletal muscle and adipose tissue, typical tissues that affect energy homeostasis of the whole body [20]. During the past decade, FoxO1 has been identified as a direct transcriptional regulator of many genes that control many liver functions, including glucose heterogenesis and lipid metabolism [21]. FoxO1 plays an important role in regulating hepatic lipid metabolism. Adenoviral conveyance of constitutively active FoxO1 (CA-FoxO1) to primary mouse hepatocytes promotes depletion of hepatic triglyceride deposition that is associated with increased fatty acid oxidation [22]. Furthermore, CA-FoxO1 can simultaneously prompt ATGL and repress G0S2 expression in primary mouse hepatocytes [22]. FoxO1 also regulates the activity and expression of PPARy, which is one of master adipogenic transcription factors. It interferes with PPARy activity by competitively suppressing the formation of the PPARy/retinoic X receptor functional complex in cultured adipocytes. On the other hand, FoxO1 directly binds to PPARy promoter to repress its transcriptional activity, resulting in decreased PPARy expression [23-25]. However, previous researches have also showed conflicting results with respect to the role of FoxO1 in hepatic lipid metabolism, and it is unclear how FoxO1 is implicated in palmitate-induced hepatic lipid accumulation.

Based on these previous findings, we put forward the undermentioned supposition: (1) palmitate suppresses FoxO1 expression and subsequently promotes PPARγ and G0S2 expression and suppresses ATGL expression, (2) the inhibition of ATGL-dependent lipolysis is conducive to palmitate-stimulated fat accumulation in the liver, and (3) FoxO1 overexpression reduces G0S2 and increases ATGL expression, accordingly having a preventive effect against palmitate-stimulated hepatic steatosis. Therefore, we investigated the functioning significance of FoxO1, PPARγ, G0S2, ATGL and CGI-58 in the human HepG2 hepatoma cell line treated with palmitate. HepG2 hepatocytes were selected because they are widely used as cell models for studying hepatic steatosis [26]. We examined alterations in the expression of these important regulatory proteins for ATGL activity and carried out gain of function analysis via vectorbased protein overexpression. Our findings will facilitate our understanding with regard to the molecular mechanism by means of which palmitate promotes lipid accumulation in hepatocytes.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

HepG2 cells, a human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line (China Center for Type Culture Collection, Wuhan, China), were cultivated in Eagle's medium modified by Dulbecco (DMEM; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, US) supplemented with 100 μ g/mL streptomycin, 100 U/mL penicillin, 1% L-glutamine and 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen). The cells were incubated in cell culture containers at 37°C under a moisturized ambience with 5% CO₂ and 95% air until use. The influence of palmitate was investigated by adding this reagent to cells covered in 6-well plates at 2 × 10⁵ cells/well.

Palmitate solution preparation

As previously described [27], the treatment solution of palmitate (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, US) was made by combining the palmitate with bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma). In short, palmitate was fully melted in 200-proof ethanol for a concentration of 195 mM, which was added to a pre-warmed BSA solution (10% w/w, 37°C) to let palmitate tie to albumin and reach a final palmitate concentration of 3 mM. The ethanol concentration in the solution of palmitate did not go beyond 0.5% by volume. Palmitate was thoroughly dissolved by heating the mixture in a water bath at 37°C for an extra 10 min. The final molar ratio of BSA to palmitate was 1:2. The control solution was made using a stock of 10% w/w BSA with an equal volume of ethanol put in to match that included in the final palmitate stock. The final ethanol concentration in all experiments was less than 0.2% by volume.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from cultured HepG2 cells by using TRIzol[®] reagent (Invitrogen). The integrity and quantity of total RNA were assessed using a Nanodrop ND1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, US). Total RNA (500 ng) was converted to cDNA using SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) following the supplier's recommendation. For each cDNA synthesis, reverse transcription conditions were 42°C for 15 min, 85°C for 5 s, and maintained at 4°C. The final products were kept at -20°C. The quantitative PCR (qPCR) of gene expression was performed by a StepOnePlusTM Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, CA, US), and the detection dye was SYBR Green. The primer sequences produced for PCR are shown in Table 1. β -Actin was designated as an endogenous control. Amplification procedure contained 45 circles of 94°C for 5 s and 60°C

Primer name	Product size (bp)	Sequence (5'-3')
FoxO1 (forward)	226	AATCCAGAGGGTGGCAAGAGCG
FoxO1 (reverse)		GGCGAAATGTACTCCAGTTATCAA
ATGL (forward)	163	GGACCTGCTGACCACCCTCT
ATGL (reverse)		CGTCTGCTCCTTCATCCACC
CGI-58 (forward)	162	TGGATTCTTGGCTGCTGCTTAC
CGI-58 (reverse)		TTAAAGGGAGTCAATGCTGCTC
 PPARγ (forward)	169	ACCACTCCCACTCCTTTG
PPARγ (reverse)		GCAGGCTCCACTTTGATT
G0S2 (forward)	160	CCTCTTCGGCGTGGTGCT
G0S2 (reverse)		CTGCTGCTTGCCTTTCTCC
β-Actin (forward)	186	TGGCACCCAGCACAATGAA
β-Actin (reverse)		CTAAGTCATAGTCCGCCTAGAA

Table 1. Primer pairs used in the qPCR analysis.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243938.t001

for 30 s. The estimated length of PCR products was examined on the 2% agarose gel electrophoresis and stained with ethidium bromide. In order to identify the specificity of the augmented PCR product, a melting curve analysis was directly connected to the PCR assay in each specimen. Relative gene expression was analyzed by $\Delta\Delta C(t)$ method and emerges as the fold differences between each gene of interest and β -actin in the same sample.

Western blotting

Protein lysates were prepared from the cultured HepG2 cells employing ice-cold RIPA lysis buffer including protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Protein concentration was quantified by a Bio-Rad DC protein assay kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, US). In short, after heating to cause protein denaturation, total protein (40 µg) was loaded per lane and resolved by sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (10% w/v) at room temperature for 2 h. Subsequently, proteins were transferred overnight to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Atto Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Membranes were blocked using 5% non-fat milk powder in TBS with 0.05% Tween 20 for 2 h and detected using primary antibodies overnight, followed by incubation with a horseradish peroxidase secondary antibody for 1 h. The primary antibodies used were: anti-FoxO1 (1:600 dilution) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, US); anti-PPARy (1:1000 dilution) (Cell Signalling, NEB, Vienna, Austria); anti-G0S2 (1:100 dilution) (Sigma); anti-CGI-58(1:1000 dilution) (Santa Cruz); anti-ATGL (1:1000 dilution) (Cell Signalling). An anti-β-actin antibody (1:2000 dilution) (Sigma) emerged as the internal control. Goat anti-rabbit IgG-horseradish peroxidase conjugate (1:2000 dilution) (Bio-Rad Ltd.) was applied as a secondary antibody. Membranes were visualized on a enhanced chemiluminescence detection system (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ, US) following the manufacturer's protocol. The target protein bands were selected and determined to achieve signal intensities with ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD, US). The signal intensities of target protein bands were transformed and normalized to that of β -actin, which are described as the integral optical density (IOD) ratio.

Plasmid-mediated overexpression

The FoxO1 overexpression plasmid (pReceiver-M98-FoxO1) and an empty plasmid (pReceiver-M98-empty) were custom-made synthesized by GeneCopoeia Inc. (Guangzhou, China). The pReceiver-M98-empty plasmid was used as a transfection reference. According to the

manufacturer's instructions, transient and stable plasmid transfection experiments were carried out by using cationic liposome Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) The cells were paved on 6-well culture plates at 1×10^5 cells/well and incubated for an overnight stay. The cells were rinsed twice using fresh DMEM, and then the wells were packed with fresh DMEM (2.0 ml/well). pReceiver-M98-FoxO1 plasmid (2.5 µg), pReceiver-M98-empty plasmid (2.5 µg) and Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (5 µL) were scattered in 250 µL Opti-MEM[®] Medium (Invitrogen) respectively and cultured separately at room temperature for 10 min. Plasmid dilution and Lipofectamine[®] 2000 reagent were blended softly in equal volumes, and the mixtures were cultured at room temperature for another 10 min to develop plasmid-lipid complexes. Subsequently, the complexes were put into each well. The HepG2 cells were incubated at 37°C for 24 h, and then the medium was displaced with fresh DMEM including 10% FBS (2.0 mL/well). The cells were cultured for another 24 h until use. Total protein was collected and Western blot analysis was carried out to determine the transfection efficiency.

Oil red O staining

HepG2 cells were planted on 6-well plates. After the treatment incubation, cells were fixed with 10% formaldehyde at room temperature for 30min. Subsequently, the cells were immersed by 60% isopropyl alcohol for 3 min, and dyed with 2 mg/mL oil red O (Sigma) staining reagent for 60 min. After coloring, the cells were flushed with ddH_2O for three times to clear away any free dye. The cell nuclei were briefly counterstained in aqueous haematoxylin for 3 min. The pictures were captured by using an Axiovert 40 CFL microscope system (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). After the microscopic image formation, intracellular triglycerides were quantified by detecting the amount of oil red O. The solution of isopropanol extraction (200 μ L) was put into each well, and the liquid mixtures were softly shaken at room temperature for 10 min to liberate the oil red O dye. The extracted dye was removed by soft pipetting and its optical density was obtained at 500 nm using a microplate reader system (VersaMax, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, US).

Intracellular triglyceride assay

HepG2 cells were seeded into 6-well plates. After treatment, cells were collected, lysed with the lysis buffer. Triglycerides were measured by Triglyceride Assay Kit (Biovision, Inc., Milpitas, CA, US) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Total cellular protein content was quantified following the manufacturer's instructions of Pierce 660 nm Protein Assay Reagent (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Rockford, IL, US). Intracellular triglyceride concentration was normalized to total protein concentration and is expressed in µmol/g protein.

Lipolysis measurement

Lipolysis was evaluated by measuring the concentration of glycerol and FFAs in the cell culture supernatant. Aliquots of culture media were collected and assayed for glycerol and FFAs using a Glycerol or Free Fatty Acid Quantification Kit (Biovision). Glycerol and FFAs were quantified by determining the optical density at 570 nm on a spectrophotometer (VersaMax) following the manufacturer's instructions.

Statistical analysis

All the observational data were expressed as the means \pm standard deviations (SDs). Statistical analysis was performed using one-way analysis of variance, followed by Student's *t*-test with

SPSS 18.0 analysis software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, US). *P*<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Palmitate promoted triglyceride accumulation and inhibited lipolytic activity in HepG2 hepatocytes

HepG2 hepatocytes were exposed to increasing palmitate concentrations (0, 50, 100 or 200 μ M) and harvested at 24 h, and the resulting triglyceride accumulation was tested by oil red O staining and intracellular triglyceride assay. Palmitate induced a concentration-dependent increase in triglyceride accumulation in HepG2 hepatocytes (Fig 1A–1C). The exposure of HepG2 hepatocytes to palmitate also caused a concentration-dependent decrease in lipolytic activity, as demonstrated by the glycerol and FFAs amount released into the cell media (Fig 1D and 1E). Moreover, palmitate with a dose of 200 μ M, which stands for a high physiological level for the circulating palmitate concentrations of obese subjects [28], provided a remarkable increase in triglyceride accumulation and a significant decrease in lipolytic activity.

Palmitate reduced FoxO1, ATGL and CGI-58 expression but increased PPARγ and G0S2 expression in HepG2 hepatocytes

To investigate the underlying molecular mechanism of palmitate-stimulated hepatic triglyceride accumulation, the effects of palmitate on the expression of FoxO1, ATGL, CGI-58, PPARγ, and G0S2 were evaluated in HepG2 hepatocytes. We exposed HepG2 hepatocytes to increasing



Fig 1. Palmitate promoted lipid accumulation and inhibited lipolytic activity in a concentration-dependent manner in HepG2 hepatocytes. Intracellular lipid accumulation was developed (A) by oil red O staining and (B) assessed by the optical density value of the collected oil red O dye. Original magnifying, 400×. Scale bars = 20 μ m. (C) Intracellular lipid accumulation was measured by intracellular triglyceride assay. Lipolytic activity was determined by (D) the glycerol and (E) FFAs content in the cell media. Each bar represents the means ± SDs (n = 3). **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243938.g001





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243938.g002

palmitate concentrations (0, 50, 100 or 200 μ M) for 24 h. Palmitate caused a concentrationdependent decrease in FoxO1, ATGL and CGI-58 mRNA expression but a concentrationdependent increase in PPAR γ and G0S2 mRNA expression (Fig 2A). Exposure of HepG2 hepatocytes to increasing palmitate concentrations for 24 h produced a concentration-dependent decrease in FoxO1, ATGL and CGI-58 protein expression but a concentration-dependent increase in PPAR γ and G0S2 protein expression (Fig 2B and 2C).

FoxO1 overexpression increased ATGL and CGI-58 expression but reduced PPARγ and G0S2 expression in palmitate-stimulated HepG2 hepatocytes

HepG2 hepatocytes were transfected with pReceiver-M98-FoxO1 to stably over-express FoxO1 and then exposed to 200 μ M palmitate for 24 h. and then exposed to 200 μ M palmitate for 24 h. FoxO1 protein expression was efficiently increased in the pReceiver-M98-FoxO1transfected HepG2 cells (Fig 3A). FoxO1 overexpression remarkably increased ATGL and CGI-58 mRNA expression but reduced PPAR γ and G0S2 mRNA expression (Fig 3B). Meanwhile, FoxO1 overexpression remarkably increased ATGL and CGI-58 protein expression but decreased PPAR γ and G0S2 protein expression (Fig 3C and 3D).

FoxO1 overexpression inhibited triglyceride accumulation and promoted lipolytic activity in palmitate-stimulated HepG2 hepatocytes

Since FoxO1 has been involved in triglyceride accumulation in hepatocytes [22], we focused on the effects of FoxO1 on triglyceride accumulation and lipolytic activity in palmitate-treated HepG2 hepatocytes as well. HepG2 hepatocytes were transfected with pReceiver-M98-FoxO1 and then exposed to 200 μ M palmitate for 24 h. FoxO1 overexpression significantly inhibited palmitate-induced triglyceride accumulation (Fig 4A–4C) but promoted palmitate-inhibited lipolytic activity in HepG2 hepatocytes (Fig 4D and 4E).



Fig 3. FoxO1 overexpression increased ATGL and CGI-58 but reduced PPARγ and G0S2 expression in palmitatetreated HepG2 hepatocytes. (A) HepG2 hepatocytes were transfected with pReceiver-M98-empty or pReceiver-M98-FoxO1, and FoxO1 expression was determined through a Western blotting analysis. (B) HepG2 hepatocytes were transfected with pReceiver-M98-FoxO1 to stably over-express FoxO1 and then exposed to 200 µM palmitate for 24 h. FoxO1 overexpression increased ATGL and CGI-58 but reduced PPARγ and G0S2 mRNA expression. The mRNA levels were determined by a quantitative PCR analysis and were normalized to β-actin. (C) FoxO1 overexpression increased ATGL and CGI-58 but reduced PPARγ and G0S2 protein expression. The protein expression levels were determined by a Western blot analysis. (D) The bands were quantified and normalized relative to β-actin. Each bar represents the means ± SDs (n = 3). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243938.g003

Discussion

Elevated plasma palmitate levels play an etiological part in the development of NAFLD and are presumed to make up a crucial connection between obesity and the risk of NAFLD [29]. Palmitate treatment has been previously demonstrated to lead to pronounced fat accumulation in hepatocytes [10,30]. In the present study, we also verified that palmitate promotes intracellular triglyceride accumulation and inhibits lipolytic activity in HepG2 hepatocytes in a concentration-dependent manner. However, the molecular trigger mechanisms through which palmitate is connected to triglyceride accumulation have not been thoroughly clarified.

The liver is the paramount organ for triglyceride metabolism [31]. The crucial role of FoxO1 in hepatic lipid metabolism is well set up by using genetically modified mice [32]. However, studies utilizing a variety of constitutive active mutations of FoxO1 protein seemed to imply both positive and negative results of FoxO1 on lipid formation and accumulation. One study showed that adenoviral conveyance of constitutively hyperactivated nuclear FoxO1 to mouse liver contributes to hepatic triglyceride accumulation [33]. The lipid accumulation is associated with reduced fatty acid oxidation and can advance to steatosis [33]. Conversely, another study indicated that the expression of CA-FoxO1 in primary hepatocytes from wild type mice results in decreased hepatic triglyceride accumulation [22]. Moreover, the



Fig 4. FoxO1 overexpression inhibited lipid accumulation and promoted lipolytic activity in palmitate-stimulated HepG2 hepatocytes. Intracellular lipid accumulation was developed (A) by oil red O staining and (B) assessed by the optical density value of the collected oil red O dye. Original magnifying, 400×. Scale bars = 20 μ m. (C) Intracellular lipid accumulation was measured by intracellular triglyceride assay. Lipolytic activity was determined by (D) the glycerol and (E) FFAs content in the cell media. Each bar represents the means ± SDs (n = 3). **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243938.g004

expression of CA-FoxO1 stimulates ATGL and suppresses G0S2 expression, while in liver-specific FoxO knockout mice, hepatic ATGL expression is reduced and G0S2 expression is increased, demonstrating that FoxO1 regulates ATGL and G0S2 expression in a cell self-governing pattern [22]. Therefore, the exact effect of FoxO1 on hepatic lipid metabolism and its underlying molecular mechanism need to be unambiguously elucidated.

PPAR γ is one of essential adipogenic transcription factors and plays a crucial role in adipogenesis [34]. Several studies have shown that FoxO1 causes an interference with PPAR γ expression in cultured adipocytes [23–25]. FoxO1 binds to PPAR γ promoter and inhibits its transcriptional activity, resulting in decreased PPAR γ expression. what is more, FoxO1 haploinsufficiency also causes increased PPAR γ gene expression in adipose tissue in vivo, accompanied by increased expression of PPAR γ target genes recognized to affect metabolism [35]. In the present study, we found that palmitate reduces FoxO1 expression and increases PPAR γ and its target gene G0S2 expression in HepG2 hepatocytes in a concentration-dependent manner. FoxO1 overexpression significantly reduces PPAR γ and G0S2 expression in palmitatestimulated HepG2 hepatocytes. Furthermore, FoxO1 overexpression significantly decreases triglyceride accumulation and increases lipolytic activity in palmitate-stimulated HepG2 hepatocytes.

Recent evidence showed that fatty acids come from adipose tissue induce hepatic G0S2 expression in the fasting state and lead to enhanced triglyceride deposition in the liver [36]. Fasting G0S2 protein expression displays an increase in liver but a decrease in adipose tissue [16]. G0S2 knockout causes a drastic decrease in hepatic triglyceride amount, whereas G0S2 overexpression prompts triglyceride accumulation and contributes to fatty liver production [16,17]. These findings, together with the above-mentioned study showing that FoxO1

suppresses G0S2 expression [22], revealed that palmitate promotes hepatic fat accumulation by disrupting the negative effect of FoxO1 on PPARγ-induced G0S2 expression.

ATGL is the major enzyme in charge of the first step in triglyceride mobilization. Previous evidence revealed that the ATGL promotor region has two FoxO1-binding sites, and FoxO1 can directly target the promoter of ATGL and promote ATGL expression in adipose tissue [37]. In the present study, we found that palmitate reduces FoxO1 and ATGL expression in HepG2 cells in a concentration-dependent manner, and FoxO1 overexpression significantly induces ATGL expression in palmitate-stimulated HepG2 hepatocytes, which is in agreement with the above-mentioned study showing that FoxO1 stimulates ATGL expression [22]. Therefore, our results indicated that FoxO1 overexpression plays a positive role in mediating and regulating effects of palmitate on ATGL expression in the liver.

CGI-58 is a potent activator of triglyceride hydrolysis. It can promote triglyceride hydrolysis by activating ATGL. One previous study showed that saturated non-esterified fatty acid suppresses CGI-58 expression in macrophages [38]. In the present study, we also showed that palmitate reduces FoxO1 and CGI-58 expression in HepG2 hepatocytes in a concentrationdependent manner. Especially, FoxO1 overexpression significantly increases CGI-58 expression in palmitate-stimulated HepG2 hepatocytes. Furthermore, using the web tool ECR Browser (https://ecrbrowser.dcode.org), we found that CGI-58 promotor region has a potential FoxO1 binding site, indicating that FoxO1 might also regulate and mediate effects of palmitate on CGI-58 expression in the liver. Further chromatin immunoprecipitation assay and luciferase reporter assay are needed to expound this problem thoroughly.

Conclusions

In summary, the present study showed that FoxO1 simultaneously regulates ATGL, CGI-58 and G0S2 expression in HepG2 hepatocytes and revealed an important role for ATGL-dependent lipolysis in mediating the protective effect of FoxO1 on palmitate-stimulated excessive triglyceride accumulation (Fig 5). Induction of hepatic FoxO1 expression may provide an



Fig 5. Proposed scheme for the effect and mechanism of FoxO1 in hepatic lipid metabolism. (A) FoxO1 stimulates ATGL and CGI-58 and suppresses G0S2 expression, and subsequently promotes intrahepatic lipolysis in an ATGL-dependent fashion. (B) Inhibition of FoxO1 expression by palmitate contributes to changes in hepatic triglyceride accumulation at least in part due to the inhibition of ATGL-dependent lipolysis.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243938.g005

effective strategy for raising hepatic triglyceride catabolism, thereby probably preventing hepatic triglyceride accumulation and obesity-associated NAFLD.

Supporting information

S1 Raw images. (PDF)

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our sincere thanks to the reviewers for the constructive and positive comments, and their patience and preciseness. We would also like to thank Ms Yongjuan Guo for assistance in making the figures.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Naiqian Zhao, Huiwen Tan, Xiaoling Liu.

Data curation: Naiqian Zhao, Huiwen Tan, Li Wang, Le Han, Yanli Cheng, Ying Feng, Ting Li.

Formal analysis: Naiqian Zhao, Huiwen Tan.

Funding acquisition: Naiqian Zhao.

Investigation: Naiqian Zhao, Ying Feng.

Methodology: Huiwen Tan.

Supervision: Naiqian Zhao, Huiwen Tan.

Writing - original draft: Naiqian Zhao, Huiwen Tan.

Writing – review & editing: Naiqian Zhao, Ting Li.

References

- Ng M, Fleming T, Robinson M, Thomson B, Graetz N, Margono C, et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet. 2014; 384(9945):766–81. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0140-6736(14)60460-8 PMID: 24880830
- Parekh S, Anania FA. Abnormal lipid and glucose metabolism in obesity: implications for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.Gastroenterology. 2007; 132(6):2191–207. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2007.03. 055 PMID: 17498512
- Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, Charlton M, Cusi K, Rinella M, et al. The diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Practice guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Hepatology. 2018; 67(1):328–357. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.29367 PMID: 28714183
- Fruci B, Giuliano S, Mazza A, Malaguarnera R, Belfiore A. Nonalcoholic Fatty liver: a possible new target for type 2 diabetes prevention and treatment. Int J Mol Sci. 2013; 14(11):22933–66. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ijms141122933 PMID: 24264040
- Lumeng CN, Saltiel AR. Inflammatory links between obesity and metabolic disease. J Clin Invest. 2011; 121(6):2111–7. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI57132 PMID: 21633179
- Galgani JE, Moro C, Ravussin E. Metabolic flexibility and insulin resistance. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2008; 295(5):E1009–17. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.90558.2008 PMID: 18765680
- Lambertucci RH, Hirabara SM, Silveira Ldos R, Levada-Pires AC, Curi R, Pithon-Curi TC. Palmitate increases superoxide production through mitochondrial electron transport chain and NADPH oxidase activity in skeletal muscle cells. J Cell Physiol. 2008; 216(3):796–804. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.21463 PMID: 18446788

- Kamikubo R, Kai K, Tsuji-Naito K, Akagawa M. β-Caryophyllene attenuates palmitate-induced lipid accumulation through AMPK signaling by activating CB2 receptor in human HepG2 hepatocytes. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2016; 60(10):2228–2242. https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201600197 PMID: 27234712
- Ong KT, Mashek MT, Bu SY, Greenberg AS, Mashek DG. Adipose triglyceride lipase is a major hepatic lipase that regulates triacylglycerol turnover and fatty acid signaling and partitioning. Hepatology. 2011; 53(1):116–26. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.24006 PMID: 20967758
- Schroeder-Gloeckler JM, Rahman SM, Janssen RC, Qiao L, Shao J, Roper M, et al. CCAAT/enhancerbinding protein beta deletion reduces adiposity, hepatic steatosis, and diabetes in Lepr(db/db) mice. J Biol Chem. 2007; 282(21):15717–29. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M701329200 PMID: 17387171
- Gao L, Wang PX, Zhang Y, Yu CJ, Ji Y, Wang X, et al. Tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 5 (Traf5) acts as an essential negative regulator of hepatic steatosis. J Hepatol. 2016; 65(1):125–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2016.03.006 PMID: 27032381
- Zechner R, Zimmermann R, Eichmann TO, Kohlwein SD, Haemmerle G, Lass A, et al. FAT SIGNALSlipases and lipolysis in lipid metabolism and signaling. Cell Metab. 2012; 15(3):279–91. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cmet.2011.12.018 PMID: 22405066
- Schweiger M, Paar M, Eder C, Brandis J, Moser E, Gorkiewicz G, et al. G0/G1 switch gene-2 regulates human adipocyte lipolysis by affecting activity and localization of adipose triglyceride lipase. J Lipid Res. 2012; 53(11):2307–17. https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.M027409 PMID: 22891293
- Cornaciu I, Boeszoermenyi A, Lindermuth H, Nagy HM, Cerk IK, Ebner C, et al. The minimal domain of adipose triglyceride lipase (ATGL) ranges until leucine 254 and can be activated and inhibited by CGI-58 and G0S2, respectively. PLoS One. 2011; 6(10):e26349. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.</u> 0026349 PMID: 22039468
- Yang X, Lu X, Lombes M, Rha GB, Chi Y, Guerin T, et al. The G(0)/G(1) switch gene 2 regulates adipose lipolysis through association with adipose triglyceride lipase. Cell Metab. 2010; 11(3):194–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2010.02.003 PMID: 20197052
- Zhang X, Xie X, Heckmann BL, Saarinen AM, Czyzyk TA, Liu J, et al. Targeted disruption of G0/G1 switch gene 2 enhances adipose lipolysis, alters hepatic energy balance, and alleviates high-fat dietinduced liver steatosis. Diabetes. 2014; 63(3):934–46. <u>https://doi.org/10.2337/db13-1422</u> PMID: 24194501
- Wang Y, Zhang Y, Qian H, Lu J, Zhang Z, Min X, et al. The g0/g1 switch gene 2 is an important regulator of hepatic triglyceride metabolism. PLoS One. 2013; 8(8):e72315. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.</u> 0072315 PMID: 23951308
- Zandbergen F, Mandard S, Escher P, Tan NS, Patsouris D, Jatkoe T, et al. The G0/G1 switch gene 2 is a novel PPAR target gene. Biochem J. 2005; 392(Pt 2):313–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20050636</u> PMID: 16086669
- Tikhanovich I, Cox J, Weinman SA. Forkhead box class O transcription factors in liver function and disease. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2013; 28 Suppl 1(0 1):125–31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jgh.12021</u> PMID: 23855308
- Kousteni S. FoxO1, the transcriptional chief of staff of energy metabolism. Bone. 2012; 50(2):437–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2011.06.034 PMID: 21816244
- Cheng Z, Guo S, Copps K, Dong X, Kollipara R, Rodgers JT, et al. Foxo1 integrates insulin signaling with mitochondrial function in the liver. Nat Med. 2009; 15(11):1307–11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.</u> 2049 PMID: 19838201
- Zhang W, Bu SY, Mashek MT, O-Sullivan I, Sibai Z, Khan SA, et al. Integrated Regulation of Hepatic Lipid and Glucose Metabolism by Adipose Triacylglycerol Lipase and FoxO Proteins. Cell Rep. 2016; 15(2):349–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.03.021 PMID: 27050511
- Dowell P, Otto TC, Adi S, Lane MD. Convergence of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma and Foxo1 signaling pathways. J Biol Chem. 2003; 278(46):45485–91. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M309069200 PMID: 12966085
- Armoni M, Harel C, Karni S, Chen H, Bar-Yoseph F, Ver M, et al. FOXO1 represses peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma1 and -gamma2 gene promoters in primary adipocytes. A novel paradigm to increase insulin sensitivity. J Biol Chem. 2006; 281(29):19881–91. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M600320200 PMID: 16670091
- Fan W, Imamura T, Sonoda N, Sears DD, Patsouris D, Kim JJ, et al. FOXO1 transrepresses peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma transactivation, coordinating an insulin-induced feed-forward response in adipocytes. J Biol Chem. 2009; 284(18):12188–97. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M808915200 PMID: 19246449
- Park JY, Kim Y, Im JA, Lee H. Oligonol suppresses lipid accumulation and improves insulin resistance in a palmitate-induced in HepG2 hepatocytes as a cellular steatosis model. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2015; 15:185. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-015-0709-1 PMID: 26077338

- Egnatchik RA, Leamy AK, Noguchi Y, Shiota M, Young JD. Palmitate-induced activation of mitochondrial metabolism promotes oxidative stress and apoptosis in H4IIEC3 rat hepatocytes. Metabolism. 2014; 63(2):283–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2013.10.009 PMID: 24286856
- Mittendorfer B, Magkos F, Fabbrini E, Mohammed BS, Klein S. Relationship between body fat mass and free fatty acid kinetics in men and women. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2009; 17(10):1872–7. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1038/oby.2009.224 PMID: 19629053
- Song Z, Song M, Lee DY, Liu Y, Deaciuc IV, McClain CJ. Silymarin prevents palmitate-induced lipotoxicity in HepG2 cells: involvement of maintenance of Akt kinase activation. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol. 2007; 101(4):262–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-7843.2007.00116.x PMID: 17845508
- Yahagi N, Shimano H, Hasty AH, Matsuzaka T, Ide T, Yoshikawa T, et al. Absence of sterol regulatory element-binding protein-1 (SREBP-1) ameliorates fatty livers but not obesity or insulin resistance in Lep (ob)/Lep(ob) mice. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277(22):19353–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M201584200</u> PMID: 11923308
- Postic C, Dentin R, Girard J. Role of the liver in the control of carbohydrate and lipid homeostasis. Diabetes Metab. 2004; 30(5):398–408. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1262-3636(07)70133-7 PMID: 15671906
- Cheng Z, White MF. Targeting Forkhead box O1 from the concept to metabolic diseases: lessons from mouse models. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2011; 14(4):649–61. https://doi.org/10.1089/ars.2010.3370 PMID: 20615072
- Matsumoto M, Han S, Kitamura T, Accili D. Dual role of transcription factor FoxO1 in controlling hepatic insulin sensitivity and lipid metabolism. J Clin Invest. 2006; 116(9):2464–72. <u>https://doi.org/10.1172/</u> JCI27047 PMID: 16906224
- Xi Y, Shen W, Ma L, Zhao M, Zheng J, Bu S et al. HMGA2 promotes adipogenesis by activating C/EBPbeta-mediated expression of PPARgamma. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2016; 472(4):617–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.03.015 PMID: 26966068
- 35. Kim JJ, Li P, Huntley J, Chang JP, Arden KC, Olefsky JM. FoxO1 haploinsufficiency protects against high-fat diet-induced insulin resistance with enhanced peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma activation in adipose tissue. Diabetes. 2009; 58(6):1275–82. https://doi.org/10.2337/db08-1001 PMID: 19289458
- 36. Jaeger D, Schoiswohl G, Hofer P, Schreiber R, Schweiger M, Eichmann TO, et al. Fasting-induced G0/ G1 switch gene 2 and FGF21 expression in the liver are under regulation of adipose tissue derived fatty acids. J Hepatol. 2015; 63(2):437–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2015.02.035 PMID: 25733154
- Chakrabarti P, Kandror KV. FoxO1 controls insulin-dependent adipose triglyceride lipase (ATGL) expression and lipolysis in adipocytes. J Biol Chem. 2009; 284(20):13296–300. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C800241200</u> PMID: 19297333
- Miao H, Ou J, Zhang X, Chen Y, Xue B, Shi H, et al. Macrophage CGI-58 deficiency promotes IL-1beta transcription by activating the SOCS3-FOXO1 pathway. Clin Sci (Lond). 2015; 128(8):493–506. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1042/CS20140414</u> PMID: 25431838