Review Article

Effect of Aidi Injection plus TACE on Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials

Yaoyao Dai (),¹ Sicheng Gao (),¹ Xing Liu (),² Qin Gao (),¹ Lan Zhang (),³ Xingliang Fan (),¹ and Junfeng Zhu ()¹

¹Department of Hepatology, Shanghai Municipal Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai 200070, China

²Department of Central Laboratory Medicine, Shanghai Municipal Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine,

Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai 200071, China

³Department of Graduate School, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai 201203, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Xingliang Fan; 1212fxl@163.com and Junfeng Zhu; zhujftongling@163.com

Received 2 July 2018; Accepted 31 October 2018; Published 17 December 2018

Academic Editor: Raffaele Capasso

Copyright © 2018 Yaoyao Dai et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We aim to conduct a meta-analysis of studies on the effect of Aidi injection combined with TACE in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Wanfang Database, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM), Chinese Science and Technology Periodical Database (VIP), Allied and Complementary Medicine Database (AMED), EMBASE, Web of Science, PubMed, and Cochrane Library databases to October 1, 2017, were searched to collect the studies. The data analysis was performed using RevMan 5.3 software. Totally 20 clinical trials with 774 (the experimental group: 447 cases; the control group: 327 cases) HCC patients were finally included in this meta-analysis. Meta-analysis results showed that Aidi injection combined with TACE can, to some extent, enhance the clinical effect and improve the overall survival. Meanwhile, it can increase HCC patients' quality of life. Additionally, Aidi injection plus TACE can reduce adverse events including leukopenia, gastrointestinal reaction, and liver damage in HCC patients (all P < 0.05). Therefore, Aidi injection plus TACE may significantly enhance the clinical effect, suggesting that the combination of TCM and western medicine is promising. The exact outcome needs rigorously designed performances, multicenter, and large randomized controlled trials.

1. Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most common malignant tumors in the digestive system [1]. Most HCC patients were diagnosed in an advanced stage but have lost the chance of operation. Hepatectomy was the only suitable operation in the early stage. More than 70% of tumors were found to be in an advanced stage [2]. Transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) is the main treatment for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. However, the longterm efficacy of this treatment is not ideal, and it often inhibits the immunity of the organism, aggravating the impairment of liver function and reducing the quality of life in the control and removal of tumor. Therefore, finding a way to reduce liver damage and improving the clinical efficacy and quality of life have become the key issue. Aidi injection is mainly composed of Cantharidin, Astragalus extract, and Acanthopanax senticosus, Chinese traditional medicine injections [3]. In recent years, Aidi injection combined with TACE has been widely used in the treatment of unresectable HCC. However, the results of these clinical trials are not completely consistent, and there is no accurate and scientific evaluation of the efficacy of combined therapy. For further exploring the role of Addie injection combined with TACE in the treatment of HCC, we systematically evaluated 20 related clinical trials.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Inclusion Criteria. For "Design" type, they are RCTs using Aidi injection combined with TACE for HCC patients.

For participants, clinical diagnosis must meet the diagnostic standard by pathology, cytology, or image inspection. The group of trials added that Aidi injection apart from



FIGURE 1: Flow chart of study selections.

the TACE that was used by the group of control. We had not set any restrictions on gender, race, and literary language. The outcome should include one or more indices as follows: clinical curative efficiency, overall survival, KPS score evaluation, and adverse events.

2.2. Exclusion Criteria. Patients were not diagnosed with hepatocellular carcinoma. The experiment was not a randomized controlled trial. Interventions were not the comparison between Aidi injection combined with TACE and TACE alone in the treatment of HCCs. The study was a review, a commentary, an animals' experiment, a case observation, a duplicated literature, and a non-injection formulae literature.

2.3. Research Strategy and Information Sources. We have searched China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Wanfang Database, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM), Chinese Science, Technology Periodical Database (VIP), Allied and Complementary Medicine Database (AMED), EMBASE, Web of Science, PubMed, and Cochrane Library databases, with no language restrictions and with retrieval deadlines to October 1st, 2017. The following medical subject headings were used: "hepatocellular carcinoma"; "primary liver cancer"; "Traditional Chinese Medicine"; "Aidi injection"; "TACE"; and electronic searches were supplemented with manual searches of reference lists used in all of the retrieved review articles, primary studies, and meetings abstracts to identify other studies which were not found in the electronic searches. Using Excel to formulate data extraction table, the two researchers (DYY and LX) independently read the literature and abstract, screening out the relevant literature, reviews, and pharmacological experiments, such as the test for control, by reading the full text to determine whether it meets the inclusion criteria. Data extraction and quality assessment were also independently performed by the two researchers. In case of disagreement, it solved through discussion or decision by the third party. The lack of information was supplemented by contact with the authors in charge of the clinical trials.

2.4. Definitions. The diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma should be based on guidelines: the clinical curative efficiency according to the World Health Organization (WHO) standards [4], complete response (CR), partial response (PR), no change (NC), progressive disease (PD), the total effective rate = (number of CR cases + PR cases)/total number of cases × 100%; KPS score: according to the Karnofsky Performance Score grading system, the fact that KPS increased 10 points after the treatment indicated improved patients' quality of life. On the contrary, the fact that KPS decreased 10 points after the treatment indicated reduced patients' quality of life.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. Cochrane RevMan 5.2 was used for meta-analysis. Categorical variables using relative risk (relative risk, RR) for the analysis of curative effect statistics and continuous variables using mean difference (mean, difference, MD) were both calculated through 95% confidence interval (confidence interval, CI). Chi-square test was used to analyze the statistical heterogeneity. I2 was used to evaluate the heterogeneity inconsistency: I2 \leq 25% for low heterogeneity, 25%-50% for moderate heterogeneity, more than 50% for the high degree of heterogeneity. No statistical heterogeneity was studied by fixed-effect model combining with analysis. If the case results have significant heterogeneity, a randomeffect model is used. Test results are listed in forest maps or tables, and publication bias is shown by the symmetry of funnel plots.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of Included Studies. We identified 306 potentially eligible trials from electronic database researches.

3





FIGURE 2: Risks of bias graph (a) and risks of bias summary (b).

Among these articles, 20 clinical trials [1, 5–13] with 774 (the experimental group: 447 cases; the control group: 327 cases) hepatocellular carcinoma patients were finally included in this meta-analysis. The study selection was shown in Figure 1. The general characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1.

3.2. Methodological Quality Assessment. Using Cochran system evaluation method, evaluation of random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reports, and other bias in the studies were conducted. the outcomes were expressed as "low risks," "high risks," and "unclarity." Among the 20 experiments, 4 experiments described the random allocation method. All the included studies were not described as blind methods. Therefore, it counted that there were selective bias and implementation bias. Other bias types were not clear. Characteristics and quality of all included studies are shown in Figure 2.

3.3. *Clinical Curative Efficiency.* We identified twenty trials [1, 5–13] with 774 participants and evaluated the clinical curative efficiency. There was no heterogeneity between the trials (P = 0.91, I2 = 0%) and a fixed-effects model used (RR, 1.33; 95% CI: (1.21, 1.47), P < 0.00001), which indicated that

study	Nur T/C	nber of M/F	cases Age	Control regimen (TACE)	Aidi intervention the	erapeutic courses (days/cycles)	HCC staging	KPS score	Randomized method
Tan L et al. 2017 [5]	30/32	39/23	20-70	L-OHP+ADM+5-FU	50 ml/d	30 d*2	II, III, IV	NA	NA
Dong HT et al. 2008 [6]	46/46	68/24	28 - 77	HCPT+5-FU	60 ml/d	28 d*2	NA	>60	NA
Liu GY et al. 2011 [7]	30/28	40/18	47	ADM+MMC+5-FU	60 ml/d	15 d*4	I, II, III	≥70分	Random number table
Zhang GS et al. 2012 [8]	47/47	68/26	29-78	HCPT+5-FU	100 ml/d	28 d*2	II, III, IV	>60	NA
Meng SX et al. 2008 [9]	75/73	97/51	55.2	THP+5-FU	50 ml/d	14 d*2	II, III, IV	>60	NA
Ma T et al. 2005 [10]	36/29	5/60	47	DDP+HCPT+5-FU	50 ml/d	10 d * l-2	I, II, III	>60	Medical record card number
Tao HY et al. 2017 [11]	64/64	77/59	40-75	L-OHP+EPI+5-FU	60 ml/d	28 d*4	I, II, III	>50	NA
Yu B. 2013 [12]	30/30	29/31	18-65	DDP+ADM+MMC+5-FU	50 ml/d	60 d*1	NA	>60	NA
A YXMGL et al. 2011 [13]	54/54	80/28	28-77	HCPT+5-FU	60 ml/d	21 d*2	II, III, IV	>60	NA
Guo MA et al. 2016 [14]	36/35	47/24	55.6	ADM+MMC+5-FU	60 ml/d	14 d*2	NA	NA	NA
Yang JM et al 2006 [15]	31/31	50/12	27 - 68	ADM+DDP+5-FU	50 ml/d	15 d*2	NA	NA	NA
Huang J 2009 [16]	30/30	51/9	45.1	ADM+DDP+5-FU	80 ml/d	15 d*2	NA	30 - 60	NA
Yuan HS et al 2010 [17]	21/21	36/6	28-74	MMC+THP+5-FU	50- 100 ml/d	10 d * l-2	NA	NA	NA
Chen SC et al 2007 [18]	32/28	41/49	36-70	MMC+HU+5-FU	60 ml/d	21 d*2	NA	>60	NA
Li YY et al. 2016 [19]	26/26	32/20	21-80	DDP+EPI+5-FU	40-80 ml/d	15 d*2	III, IV	30 - 60	Random number table
Yang ZJ et al. 2011 [20]	30/30	53/7	26-69	MMC+EPI+HCPT+5-FU	50-100 mL	10 d * 3	NA	≥70	Envelope method
Zheng Q et al. 2005 [21]	48/48	73 /25	50.6	THP+HCPT+5-FU	$50\mathrm{ml}$	28 d*2	NA	>60	NA
Zhan GQ et al. 2010 [22]	32/26	46/12	21-65	MMC+HCPT+EPI+5-FU	$50\mathrm{ml}$	20 d*2	II, III	>50	NA
Ma BQ. 2007 [23]	60/60	78/42	17-82	DDP+CF+MMC+5-FU	$50 \mathrm{ml}$	15 d*3	NA	≥60	NA
Wang QP et al 2008 [24]	25/23	37/11	29-68	MMC+ADM+5-FU	100 ml/d	40 d	NA	NA	NA

TABLE 1: Characteristics of the randomized controlled trials included in this study.

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fix	ced, 95% Cl	
A YXMGL et al.2011	43	54	34	54	10.3%	1.26 [0.99, 1.62]			
Chen SC et al 2007	18	32	10	28	3.2%	1.57 [0.88, 2.82]		+	
Dong HT et al.2008	37	46	29	46	8.8%	1.28 [0.98, 1.66]		-	
Guo MA et al. 2016	28	36	17	35	5.2%	1.60 [1.09, 2.35]			
Huang J 2009	5	30	1	30	0.3%	5.00 [0.62, 40.28]	-		
Li YY et al.2016	6	26	1	26	0.3%	6.00 [0.78, 46.42]		·	
Liu GY et al.2008	11	30	7	28	2.2%	1.47 [0.66, 3.25]	-	+	
Ma BQ .2007	37	60	36	60	10.9%	1.03 [0.77, 1.37]		+	
Ma T et al.2005	11	36	7	29	2.3%	1.27 [0.56, 2.85]	-	+	
Meng SX et al. 2008	47	75	37	73	11.3%	1.24 [0.93, 1.65]		+- -	
Tan L et al.2017	8	32	5	30	1.6%	1.50 [0.55, 4.08]	_		
Tao HY et al.2017	44	64	31	64	9.4%	1.42 [1.05, 1.92]		-	
Wang QP et al 2008	9	25	9	23	2.8%	0.92 [0.44, 1.91]			
Yang JM et al 2006	16	31	14	31	4.2%	1.14 [0.68, 1.92]	-	-	
Yang ZJ et al.2011	26	30	18	30	5.4%	1.44 [1.04, 2.00]			
Yu B .2013	8	30	5	30	1.5%	1.60 [0.59, 4.33]	-	+	
Yuan HS et al 2010	10	21	8	21	2.4%	1.25 [0.62, 2.53]	-	+	
Zhan GQ et al.2010	15	32	10	26	3.3%	1.22 [0.66, 2.24]	-		
Zhang GS et al.2012	38	47	29	47	8.8%	1.31 [1.01, 1.71]		-	
Zheng Q et al.2005	30	48	19	48	5.7%	1.58 [1.05, 2.39]			
Total (95% CI)		785		759	100.0%	1.33 [1.21, 1.47]		•	
Total events	447		327						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	1.27, df =	19 (P =	0.91); l² =	: 0%					
Test for overall effect: 2	z = 5.93 (P	< 0.000	01)			-	0.01 0.1	1 10 100	
	···· · · ·		/			F	avours [experimental]	Favours [control]	

FIGURE 3: Clinical curative efficiency.

there was a statistically significant difference between groups of Aidi injection plus TACE and TACE alone which indicated that Aidi injection plus TACE in the treatment was better than TACE alone. The results are shown in Figure 3.

3.4. Overall Survival. Half-year survival rates were evaluated in eight trials [1, 5, 7, 12, 13] with 534 participants. No heterogeneity was found among the included trials (P = 0.29, I2 = 18%). Fixed-effects model (RR = 1.16, 95% CI: (1.07, 1.26), P = 0.0003) was used for meta-analysis. One-year survival rates were evaluated in seven trials [1, 5, 7, 12, 13] with 534 participants. Two-year survival rates were evaluated in six trials [1, 5, 7, 12, 13] with 293 participants. No heterogeneity among the included trials (P = 0.95, I2 = 0% and P = 0.97, I2 = 0%) using fixed-effects model, one-year survival rates (RR = 1.40, 95% CI: (1.19-1.65), P < 0.0001), and two-year survival rates (RR =1.58, 95% CI: (1.13-2.21), P = 0.008). The half-year survival rates, one-year survival rates, and two-year survival rates of TACE combined with Aidi injection as an experimental group were significantly higher than those of a control group treated with TACE alone; the results are shown in Figure 4.

3.5. KPS Score Evaluation. We identified eleven trials [1, 5, 7-9, 11, 12, 14] including 566 participants with the outcome measurement of KPS score. The result showed that there was no statistical heterogeneity among studies: KPS score increased rates (P = 0.38, I2 = 7%) and KPS score decreased

rates (P = 0.98, I2 = 0%), which used the fixed-effects model. The results indicated that the experimental group can significantly improve the quality of life of patients compared with the control group (RR = 1.90, 95% CI: (1.59, 2.27), P < 0.00001). Moreover, the descending rate of KPS was lower in the experimental group than that in the control group (RR = 0.38, 95% CI: (0.30, 0.48), P < 0.00001). So Aidi injection plus TACE can improve quality of life when compared with TACE alone. The results are shown in Figure 5.

3.6. Adverse Events. The common side effects of TACE are bone marrow suppression phenomenon, such as the decline of platelet leukocyte, etc.; gastrointestinal symptoms such as abdominal pain, nausea, and vomiting; other adverse reactions including abnormal liver function (mainly transaminase elevations), but they were mild and could be alleviated after symptomatic treatment. 7 studies [5-7, 21, 22] reported adverse effects of TACE combined with Aidi injection versus TACE alone in the treatment of HCC. Leukopenia, gastrointestinal reaction, and liver damage were obvious heterogeneity (I2 = 54%, I2 = 57% and I2 = 53%, resp.), by the random effects model analysis, leukopenia (RR = 0.67, 95% CI: (0.58, 0.78), P < 0.00001), gastrointestinal reaction: (RR = 0.46, 95% CI: (0.35, 0.61), P < 0.00001), and liver damage (RR = 0.52, 95% CI: (0.38, 0.71), P < 0.0001); the results suggested that with TACE combined with Addie injection in the treatment of primary liver cancer leukopenia, gastrointestinal reaction, and liver damage occurrence rate was lower than the TACE

	Experimental Control			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% (M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
1.3.1 Half year surviva	I rate						
A YXMGL et al.2011	48	54	45	54	10.9%	1.07 [0.92, 1.24]	+
Dong HT et al.2008	41	46	39	46	9.5%	1.05 [0.90, 1.23]	*
Meng SX et al. 2008	46	75	37	73	9.1%	1.21 [0.91, 1.62]	-
Tan L et al.2017	28	32	25	30	6.3%	1.05 [0.85, 1.29]	+
Wang QP et al 2008	18	25	10	23	2.5%	1.66 [0.98, 2.80]	-
Yang ZJ et al.2011	20	30	12	30	2.9%	1.67 [1.00, 2.76]	-
Zhang GS et al.2012	42	47	39	47	9.5%	1.08 [0.92, 1.27]	Ť
Zheng Q et al.2005	46	48	38	48	9.2%	1.21 [1.04, 1.42]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		357		351	59.8%	1.16 [1.07, 1.26]	•
Total events	289		245				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 8	.55, df = 7	(P = 0.2	29); l ² = 18	3%			
Test for overall effect: Z	2 = 3.65 (P	= 0.000	3)				
1.3.2 One-year surviva	al rate						
A YXMGL et al.2011	34	54	25	54	6.1%	1.36 [0.96, 1.94 ⁻	-
Dong HT et al.2008	29	46	22	46	5.3%	1.32 [0.91, 1.92]	-
Meng SX et al. 2008	12	75	11	73	2.7%	1.06 [0.50, 2.25]	_ _
Tan L et al.2017	22	32	14	30	3.5%	1.47 [0.94, 2.31	
Wang QP et al 2008	11	25	5	23	1.3%	2.02 [0.83, 4.94]	+
Zhang GS et al.2012	30	47	22	47	5.3%	1.36 [0.94, 1.98]	-
Zheng Q et al.2005	34	48	22	48	5.3%	1.55 [1.08, 2.21]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		327		321	29.5%	1.40 [1.19, 1.65]	•
Total events	172		121				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	.66, df = 6	(P = 0.9	95); l² = 09	6			
Test for overall effect: Z	2 = 4.02 (P	< 0.000	1)				
1.3.3 Two-year surviva	al rate						
A YXMGL et al.2011	15	54	9	54	2.2%	1.67 [0.80, 3.48]	
Dong HT et al.2008	13	46	8	46	1.9%	1.63 [0.74, 3.55]	
Meng SX et al. 2008	9	75	8	73	2.0%	1.09 [0.45, 2.68]	
Wang QP et al 2008	3	25	1	23	0.3%	2.76 [0.31, 24.69]	
Zhang GS et al.2012	13	47	8	47	1.9%	1.63 [0.74, 3.55]	
Zheng Q et al.2005	17	48	10	48	2.4%	1.70 [0.87, 3.33]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		295		291	10.7%	1.58 [1.13, 2.21]	
Total events	70		44				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0	.97, df = 5	(P = 0.9	$(7); I^2 = 0$	%			
Test for overall effect: Z	2 = 2.66 (P	= 0.008)				
Total (95% CI)		979		963	100.0%	1.28 [1.18, 1.38]	♦
Total events	531		410				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2	6.97, df = 2	20 (P =)	0.14); I² =	26%			
Test for overall effect: Z	z = 6.04 (P	< 0.000	01)			ŗ	avours [experimental] Eavours [control]
Test for subgroup differ	ences: Chi	² = 6.38	, df = 2 (F	P = 0.04	4), l ² = 68.	7%	

FIGURE 4: Overall surviving comparisons.

alone; the difference was statistically significant. The results are shown in Figure 6.

3.7. Publication BIAS. Cochrane RevMan 5.2 was used to draw the funnel plot. The plot was asymmetric (Figure 7), suggesting that the publication bias may occur in this study.

4. Discussion

The most effective treatment for hepatocellular carcinoma is surgical resection and liver transplantation. However, there is an opportunity for surgical resection of about 20%-30% in patients [23]. Liver transplantation is expensive; TACE is currently recognized as one of the most common methods of nonsurgical treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma, but due to adverse reactions and traumatic treatment after TACE, it often leads to postembolization syndrome. The main manifestations were fever, pain, nausea, and vomiting. In addition, other adverse reactions can also be seen, such as puncture bleeding, leukopenia, transient liver dysfunction, renal dysfunction, and difficulty urinating. These adverse reactions, to a certain extent, reduce the quality of life of

	Experime	ental	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio		Risk	Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	1	M-H, Fix	ed, 95% Cl	
1.4.1 Increase of KPS										
Zhang GS et al.2012	24	47	10	47	3.5%	2.40 [1.29, 4.45]				
Zhan GQ et al.2010	14	32	5	26	1.9%	2.27 [0.94, 5.49]				
Yuan HS et al 2010	15	21	10	21	3.5%	1.50 [0.89, 2.53]			 -	
Yang ZJ et al.2011	8	30	4	30	1.4%	2.00 [0.67, 5.94]		-		
Yang JM et al 2006	17	31	8	31	2.8%	2.13 [1.08, 4.18]				
Meng SX et al. 2008	55	75	39	73	13.7%	1.37 [1.06, 1.77]				
Ma T et al.2005	21	36	7	29	2.7%	2.42 [1.20, 4.88]				
Li YY et al.2016	13	26	4	26	1.4%	3.25 [1.22, 8.66]				
Huang J 2009	11	30	4	30	1.4%	2.75 [0.99, 7.68]				
Dong HT et al.2008	24	46	10	46	3.5%	2.40 [1.30, 4.44]				
Chen SC et al 2007	15	32	8	28	3.0%	1.64 [0.82, 3.28]		-		
Subtotal (95% CI)		406		387	38.5%	1.90 [1.59, 2.27]			•	
Total events	217		109							
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	0.74, df = ′	10 (P =	0.38); l² =	7%						
Test for overall effect: Z	Z = 7.10 (P	< 0.000	01)							
1.4.2 Decrease of KPS	5							_		
Zhang GS et al.2012	10	47	26	47	9.0%	0.38 [0.21, 0.71]				
Zhan GQ et al.2010	7	32	13	26	5.0%	0.44 [0.20, 0.93]				
Yuan HS et al 2010	2	21	6	21	2.1%	0.33 [0.08, 1.47]				
Yang ZJ et al.2011	6	30	14	30	4.8%	0.43 [0.19, 0.96]			-	
Yang JM et al 2006	7	31	13	31	4.5%	0.54 [0.25, 1.17]			T	
Meng SX et al. 2008	10	75	21	73	7.4%	0.46 [0.23, 0.92]				
Ma T et al.2005	4	36	13	29	5.0%	0.25 [0.09, 0.68]				
Li YY et al.2016	3	26	13	26	4.5%	0.23 [0.07, 0.72]				
Huang J 2009	6	30	18	30	6.2%	0.33 [0.15, 0.72]				
Dong HT et al.2008	10	46	26	46	9.0%	0.38 [0.21, 0.70]				
Chen SC et al 2007	4	32	11	28	4.1%	0.32 [0.11, 0.89]				
Subtotal (95% CI)		406		387	61.5%	0.38 [0.30, 0.48]		•		
Total events	69		174							
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3	.02, df = 10) (P = 0	.98); l ² = ()%						
Test for overall effect: Z	2 = 7.93 (P	< 0.000	01)							
Total (95% CI)		812		774	100.0%	0.97 [0.84. 1.10]				
Total events	286		283]	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² - 1	200 15 52 df -	21 (P -	200)· 2 = Q	2%		 			———————————————————————————————————————
Test for overall effect: 7	r = 0.52, ur = 0.52 / P	= 0.60	- 0.00001	,, 0	2 /0		0.01	0.1	1 10	100
Test for subgroup differ	0.02 (F ances: Chi	- 0.00) 2 = 110	20 df - 1	(P < 0	00001)	² = 99 1%	avours [e	xperimental]	Favours [con	trol]
reactor subgroup differ	chices. Offi	- 112.	.20, ui – I	(1 > 0		- 33.170				

FIGURE 5: KPS score evaluation.

patients. After TACE, tumor necrosis and hypoxia caused by increased vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) promote tumor angiogenesis, resulting in tumor recurrence [24]. Modern research confirmed [15–17] that Aidi injection can inhibit the expression of VEGF protein in tumor tissue to achieve the purpose of inhibiting tumor growth.

Primary liver cancer treatment is mainly inclined to comprehensive treatment; a large number of clinical trials confirmed that the efficacy of traditional Chinese medicine in the field of liver cancer has a significant effect, not only improving the prognosis and quality of life, but also enhancing patient survival rate. Aidi injection is based on the principle of strengthening vital qi to eliminate pathogenic factor in addition to one of the Chinese medicines, as cantharides, ginseng, Astragalus, and Acanthopanax. The main role is "clearing away heat and toxic material," Xiao yu San jie. Aidi injection has obvious inhibitory effect on solid tumor in mice [25], which can enhance the body's nonspecific and specific immune function, improve the body's stress ability, and associate with anticancer drug 5-Fu. CTX and radiotherapy have synergized action. In vitro tumor inhibition experiments show that [18] the goods on the cancer cells have direct killing and inhibition. Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials has demonstrated its role in non-small cell lung cancer [26] and gastric cancer [27]. In modern pharmacological studies: Astragalus polysaccharides have significant immunomodulatory activity [19], hepatoprotective and antioxidation effects [20, 28], and antitumor effect [29] which may be related to their ability to enhance the expression of IL-1 α , IL-2, IL-6, and TNF- α , decrease IL-10, and downregulate MDR1 mRNA and P-GP expression levels [30]. Ginsenosides (such as ginsenoside Rg3, Rh2) in various models in tumor cells and

	Experime	ental	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio		Risk Rati	0	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C		M-H, Fixed, 9	5% CI	
1.6.1 Leukopenia										
A YXMGL et al.2011	29	54	41	54	11.5%	0.71 [0.53, 0.94]				
Dong HT et al.2008	24	46	35	46	9.8%	0.69 [0.50, 0.94]				
Liu GY et al.2008	18	30	12	28	3.5%	1.40 [0.83, 2.35]		+		
Ma BQ .2007	6	60	19	60	5.3%	0.32 [0.14, 0.74]				
Meng SX et al. 2008	16	36	22	29	6.8%	0.59 [0.39, 0.89]				
Zhan GQ et al.2010	36	75	49	73	13.9%	0.72 [0.54, 0.95]		-=-		
Zhang GS et al.2012	14	32	22	26	6.8%	0.52 [0.34, 0.79]				
Subtotal (95% CI)		333		316	57.5%	0.67 [0.58, 0.78]		•		
Total events	143		200							
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	2.94, df = 6	6 (P = 0	.04); l² =	54%						
Test for overall effect: Z	2 = 5.39 (P	< 0.000	01)							
1.6.2 Gastrointestinal	reactions									
Liu GY et al.2008	10	30	19	28	5.5%	0.49 [0.28, 0.87]				
Ma BQ .2007	13	60	40	60	11.2%	0.33 [0.19, 0.54]				
Wang QP et al 2008	5	25	12	23	3.5%	0.38 [0.16, 0.92]				
Zhan GQ et al.2010	16	32	17	26	5.2%	0.76 [0.49, 1.19]				
Subtotal (95% CI)		147		137	25.4%	0.46 [0.35, 0.61]				
Total events	44		88							
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 6	.99, df = 3	(P = 0.0	07); l² = 57	7%						
Test for overall effect: Z	2 = 5.45 (P	< 0.000	01)							
1.6.3 liver damage								_		
Ma BQ .2007	21	60	47	60	13.1%	0.45 [0.31, 0.65]				
Zhan GQ et al.2010	12	32	13	26	4.0%	0.75 [0.42, 1.35]				
Subtotal (95% CI)		92		86	17.1%	0.52 [0.38, 0.71]				
Total events	33		60							
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2	.13, df = 1	(P = 0.1	14); l² = 53	3%						
Test for overall effect: Z	<u> </u> = 4.15 (P	< 0.000)1)							
		570		E20	100.00/	0 50 50 52 0 671		•		
Total (93% CI)	220	312	240	229	100.0%	0.59 [0.55, 0.67]		•		
Hotorogonoity: Chi2 - 2	22U 7 74 df - 4	2 (D -	340	- 570/			 			
The terrogeneity: $C\Pi^2 = 2$	7.74, 01 = 1	2 (P =) 2 0 000	0.000); I*	- 51%			0.01	0.1 1	10	100
Test for overall effect: Z	. – 0.03 (P	~ 0.000	/UT) / - 45 0. (5) 12 <u>-</u> 70	F F	avours	[experimental] Fav	ours [contr/	rol]
i est for subgroup differ	ences: Chr	-=b.8/	, at = 2 (F	r = 0.00	5), I ^r = 70.	9%				



vascular endothelial cells show antitumor and antiangiogenic effects [31]. Acanthopanax senticosus saponins also have antitumor and immunomodulatory effects. The study may be related to the activation of macrophages and NK cells [32]. Some researchers suggest that it is related to inhibiting the expression of VEGF and VEGF mRNA [33]. Cantharidin has potent antitumor activity and induces a variety of tumor cell apoptosis [34]. Furthermore, cantharidin can increase the white blood cells and reduce the occurrence of bone marrow suppression [35].

The evaluation system included in this study also has limitations, which will affect the outcome of the argument strength: ① The inclusion studies did not mention the basis for the sample size estimates, the sample size is small, and the design of individual research methods is not high, with no long-term follow-up, which would reduce the validity of evidence. ② All studies did not carry out blind assessment; it may influence the objectivity of the outcome. ③ All trials mentioned allocation concealment, which might bring selective bias. ④ All studies came from China, so publication bias will occur.

By meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of hepatocellular carcinoma in recent years by TACE combined with Aidi injection, we can conclude that TACE combined with Aidi injection in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma may really improve the efficiency of clinical disease, slow down the progress of disease, improve patients survival rate and quality of life, enhance the immunity of patients, and reduce the adverse reactions caused by TACE. Therefore, TACE combined with Aidi injection is superior to TACE alone in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma, which provides evidence for clinical decision-making. But the detailed mechanism of how Aidi injection works in TACE is not completely clear so far and the limitations quality and quantity of included studies were relatively inadequate. Thus, it is necessary to carry out more high quality, multicenter,



FIGURE 7: The funnel plots based on the data of the overall efficacy.

large sample, prospective, randomized, double-blind clinical trials to be further confirmed or conducted real-world research in the future.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Yaoyao Dai and Sicheng Gao contributed equally to this work, and they are regarded as co-first authors.

Acknowledgments

This work is sponsored by Shanghai Rising-Star Program (16QB1402900), Three Years Action Plan for Promoting Clinical Skills and Creativity in Municipal Hospitals (16CR3075B), and Shanghai New Interdisciplinary Subject Funding Program of TCM: Molecular Hepatology of TCM (2017-2020).

References

- W. Gao and X. U. Yan-Xia, Clinical Observation of Aidi injection Combined with TACE in Treatment of Primary Liver Cancer, Medical Innovation of China, 2013.
- [2] L.-X. Ju, Z. Chen, and R.-Z. Ren, "Progress in research on the treatment of primary liver cancer with traditional Chinese medicine for activating blood to resolve stasis," *Journal of Chinese Integrative Medicine*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 491–494, 2005.
- [3] X. Zheng, C. Wang, C. Ling et al., "Has Aidi injection the attenuation and synergistic efficacy to gemcitabine and cisplatin in non-small cell lung cancer? A meta-analysis of 36 randomized controlled trials," *Oncotarget*, vol. 8, article 1329, 2017.
- [4] M. Staquet, "Reporting results of cancer treatment," *Cancer*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 207–214, 1981.
- [5] X. Y. Tan, "Clinical study of aidi injection combined with transcather hepatic arterial chemoembolization in the treatment of primary liver cancer," *Cancer Research on Prevention and Treatment*, 2005.

- [6] H.-Z. Lou, H.-M. Pan, and W. Jin, "Clinical study on treatment of primary liver cancer by Aidi injection combined with cooltip radiofrequency ablation," *Zhongguo Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Za Zhi Zhongguo Zhongxiyi Jiehe Zazhi*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 393–395, 2007.
- [7] G. S. Zhang, W. H. Zhang, M. A. Li-Zhuan, C. Zhang, and Y. L. Wang, "Clinical study on transcatheter arterial chemoembolization and Aidi injection in treatment of primary hepatocellular carcinoma," *Modern Preventive Medicine*, 2012.
- [8] Z. Yue, L. Zhou, F. Liu, H. Zhao, and L. Wang, "Clinical study on treatment of primary liver cancer by Aidi injection combined with radiofrequency ablation," *Pharmacology and Clinics of Chinese Materia Medica*, Article ID 52, 2010.
- [9] Y. Yang, H. E. Xuan, J. Wen et al., "Meta-analysis on aidi injection combined with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization in treatment of primary liver cancer," *Evaluation and Analysis* of Drug-Use in Hospitals of China, 2016.
- [10] Y. G. Cui, "Clinical observation of Aidi injection combining vinorelbine and cisplatin in the treatment of advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer," *Journal of Qilu Oncology*, vol. 23, pp. 57– 60, 2005.
- [11] Z. Chen, X.-F. Zhai, Y.-H. Su et al., "Clinical observation of cinobufacini injection used to treat moderate and advanced primary liver cancer," *Journal of Chinese Integrative Medicine*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 184–186, 2003.
- [12] X. Zheng, L. I. Yan, Y. Yin, and H. U. Zhaoxiong, "Hospital T and Shiyan: Effect of tonifying kidney and invigorating spleen of traditional Chinese medicine on immune function and quality of life in patients with HBeAg positive primary liver cancer complicated with liver cirrhosis," *Modern Journal of Integrated Traditional Chinese*, 2017.
- [13] K. Niu and J. X. Dai, "Observation on curative effect of aidi injection in treatment of 60 cases of patients with gynecological oncology in the middle and advanced stage," *China and Foreign Medical Treatment*, 2016.
- [14] G. U. Jianyi, J. Zhao, G. E. Minyao, J. Hua, and Y. Ding, "Study on the treatment of advanced prostate cancer by Aidi injection combined with maximal androgen blockade," *Modern Journal* of Integrated Traditional Chinese, 2015.
- [15] H. Yuan-Yuan, "Efficacy of Aidi injection combined with chemotherapy on expression of VEGF- C and CYFR21- 1 in peripheral blood in patients with advanced non- small cell lung cancer," *Chinese Journal of Clinical Rational Drug Use*, 2016.
- [16] J. Ding, G. Q. Liao, W. C. Wang, and X. G. Liu, "Clinical study on anti-angiogenesis effect of Aidi injection against colorectal cancer," *Tumor*, 2007.
- [17] X. Zhu, H. U. Honglin, L. Yang, and G. Ren, "The effect of chemotherapy combined with Aidi injection on serum VEGF in advanced gastroenteric carcinoma," *China Medical Herald*, 2009.
- [18] Y. Z. Pan, Y. U. Li, L. I. Xiao-Dong, and S. Bai, "Antitumor Activity and Immunoregulatory Effect of AiDi Injection," *Lishizhen Medicine and Materia Medica Research*, 2009.
- [19] R. Li, W.-C. Chen, W.-P. Wang, W.-Y. Tian, and X.-G. Zhang, "Extraction, characterization of Astragalus polysaccharides and its immune modulating activities in rats with gastric cancer," *Carbohydrate Polymers*, vol. 78, no. 4, pp. 738–742, 2009.
- [20] R. Jia, L. Cao, P. Xu, G. Jeney, and G. Yin, "In vitro and in vivo hepatoprotective and antioxidant effects of Astragalus polysaccharides against carbon tetrachloride-induced hepatocyte damage in common carp (Cyprinus carpio)," *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 871–881, 2012.

- [21] L. I. Tao, J. D. Cui, G. N. Long, and H. Y. Zhao, "The clinical observation of hepatic artery infusion with brucea javanica oil emulsion combined with transcatheter arterial embolization with lipiodol in the treatment of primary liver cancer," *China Journal of Modern Medicine*, 2012.
- [22] A. N. Feng, G. Han, and Y. Guo, "Effect of hepatic artery chemoembolization in the treatment of moderate and advanced stages of primary liver carcimoma and analysis of factors affecting the progonsis," *Chinese Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology*, 2006.
- [23] M. C. Wu, "Progress in diagnosis and treatment of primary liver cancer," *Acta Academiae Medicinae Sinicae*, vol. 30, article 363, 2008.
- [24] H. Suzuki, M. Mori, C. Kawaguchi, M. Adachi, S. Miura, and H. Ishii, "Serum vascular endothelial growth factor in the course of transcatheter arterial embolization of Hepatocellular Carcinoma," *International Journal of Oncology*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 1087–1090, 1999.
- [25] J. Zhang and G. Zhang, "Effects of aidi injection on cell apoptosis of transplanted human gastric cancer in nude mice," *Journal of Guiyang Medical College*, 2010.
- [26] H. Zhang, H. Jiang, X. Hu, and Z. Jia, "Aidi injection combined with radiation in the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer: A meta-analysis evaluation the efficacy and side effects," *Journal of Cancer Research and Therapeutics*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. C118–C121, 2015.
- [27] W. Jiancheng, G. Long, Y. Zhao et al., "Effect of Aidi injection plus chemotherapy on gastric carcinoma: a Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials," *Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 361–374, 2015.
- [28] F. Yan, Q. Y. Zhang, L. Jiao et al., "Synergistic hepatoprotective effect of Schisandrae lignans with Astragalus polysaccharides on chronic liver injury in rats," *Phytomedicine International Journal of Phytotherapy and Phytopharmacology*, vol. 16, article 805, 2009.
- [29] B. Yang, B. Xiao, and T. Sun, "Antitumor and immunomodulatory activity of Astragalus membranaceus polysaccharides in H22 tumor-bearing mice," International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, vol. 62, pp. 287–290, 2013.
- [30] Q.-E. Tian, H.-D. Li, M. Yan, H.-L. Cai, Q.-Y. Tan, and W.-Y. Zhang, "Astragalus polysaccharides can regulate cytokine and P-glycoprotein expression in H22 tumor-bearing mice," *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 18, no. 47, pp. 7079–7086, 2012.
- [31] P. Y. K. Yue, N. K. Mak, Y. K. Cheng et al., "Pharmacogenomics and the Yin/Yang actions of ginseng: anti-tumor, angiomodulating and steroid-like activities of ginsenosides," *Chinese Medicine*, vol. 2, article 6, 2007.
- [32] T. J. Yoon, Y. C. Yoo, S.-W. Lee et al., "Anti-metastatic activity of Acanthopanax senticosus extract and its possible immunological mechanism of action," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 93, no. 2-3, pp. 247–253, 2004.
- [33] J. Feng, D. Lin, X. Liu, and Y. Dai, "Inhibitory effect of acanthopanax senticosus saponin on the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor in human hepG_2 cell line," *Traditional Chinese Drug Research and Clinical Pharmacology*, 2007.
- [34] D. Liu and Z. Chen, "The effects of cantharidin and cantharidin derivates on tumour cells," *Anti-Cancer Agents in Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 392–396, 2009.
- [35] W. Zhang, Y.-Z. Ma, L. Song, C.-H. Wang, T.-G. Qi, and G.-R. Shao, "Effect of cantharidins in chemotherapy for hepatoma: A retrospective cohort study," *American Journal of Chinese Medicine*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 561–567, 2014.