Case Report Fused Double Supernumerary Premolars of the Mandible: A Rare Case

Ali Azhar Dawasaz,¹ Syed Sadatullah,² and Syed Kamran Bokhari³

¹ Division of Oral Medicine and Radiology, College of Dentistry, King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia ² Division of Oral Biology, College of Dentistry, King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia

³ Division of Oral Surgery, College of Dentistry, King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to Ali Azhar Dawasaz, draliazhar@gmail.com

Received 3 November 2012; Accepted 23 November 2012

Academic Editors: N. Brezniak, I. El-Hakim, and T. Hata

Copyright © 2012 Ali Azhar Dawasaz et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The incidence of nonsyndromic supernumerary premolars is rare. Supernumerary premolars are likely to undergo pathological changes. The most commonly encountered complications with these teeth are dentigerous cyst and root resorption of the adjacent tooth. This paper is about impacted double fused supernumerary premolars in the right mandiblular body associated with an impacted first premolar in a 17-year-old male. Under local anesthesia, the supernumerary premolars and the impacted permanent first premolar were surgically removed. Early diagnosis followed by an appropriate treatment at the right time will result in favorable prognosis in such cases.

1. Introduction

Supernumerary teeth (ST) occur in addition to the normal complement of teeth in permanent or deciduous dentitions [1]. These teeth may remain embedded in the alveolar bone or can erupt into the oral cavity. When they remain embedded, they may cause disturbance to the developing teeth [2]. It has been reported that the prevalence of supernumerary premolars (SP) is between 0.075 and 0.26% and that supernumerary premolars account for only 8–10% of all the supernumerary cases [3]. The difference between these teeth and the other supernumeraries is that they occur more commonly in the mandible [4]. SP usually occur as a solitary tooth. Single supernumeraries occur in 76–86% of cases and double supernumeraries occur in 12–23% of the cases [5].

ST is considered a developmental anomaly and has been argued to arise from multiple etiologies. Different factors give rise to different types of supernumeraries and combined etiological factors responsible for same. Some of the theories for the formation of ST include atavism, splitting of the tooth bud, local, independent conditioned hyperactivity of the dental lamina, and a combination of genetic and environmental factors [1, 6, 7]. However, the most accepted theory is regarding the hyperactivity of dental lamina [7]. According to this theory, the lingual extension of an additional tooth bud leads to a eumorphic tooth, while the rudimentary form arises from proliferation of epithelial remnants of the dental lamina induced by pressure of the complete dentition [8]. Late developing (postpermanent) supernumerary teeth develop from the proliferation of the dental lamina after the permanent dentition is completed [9].

ST are classified according to their morphology as rudimentary or supplemental. Rudimentary teeth are smaller and tuberculate in shape [10], whereas the term supplemental is used when the ST usually resemble the teeth of a group with which they are associated.

The presence of ST may be part of developmental disorders such as cleft lip and palate, cleidocranial dysostosis, Gardner's syndrome, Fabry Anderson's syndrome, Ellis-Van Creveld syndrome (chondroectodermal dysplasia), Ehlers Danlos syndrome, incontinentia pigmenti, and Trichorhino-phalangeal syndrome [11].

This paper aims to document a rare case of nonsyndromic fusion of two supernumerary supplemental premolars associated with an impacted permanent first premolar.



FIGURE 1: Orthopantomograph showing unilateral impacted partially formed and fused double premolars associated with, but separate from an impacted premolar.



FIGURE 2: Extracted fused supernumerary premolars.

2. Case Description

A 17-year-old Saudi male visited the Dental Diagnosis Clinic of King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia, with a chief complaint of pain in lower right jaw region. No extra oral abnormality was observed. Intraoral examination revealed a missing premolar in the lower right quadrant and tilted permanent right mandibular canine. Orthopantomograph revealed unilateral impacted partially formed and fused double premolars associated with, but separate from an impacted premolar (Figure 1). After taking patient consent and performing presurgical physical health status evaluation, it was decided to extract both the supernumerary and impacted premolars under local anesthesia. Bone was removed using slow-speed bur with copious saline irrigation. The structures were successfully removed in total (Figures 2 and 3). The margins of the bone were smoothened and absorbable gelatin sponge (Gelfoam, Pharmacia, Zuellig) placed in the socket. The flap was sutured with Coated Vicryl 4/0 (Ethicon, Inc., Johnson and Johnson Company, USA) and haemostasis was achieved. The postoperative course was uneventful. Radiographic evaluation of the extracted fused supernumerary teeth revealed two separate pulp chambers



FIGURE 3: Extracted premolar with severe dilacerated root.



FIGURE 4: Radiograph showing two separate pulp chambers and root canals of extracted fused supernumerary teeth.

and root canals (Figure 4). A severely dilacerated root of the impacted premolar was also observed (Figure 5). The patient was referred for orthodontic assessment to correct the malposed permanent right mandibular canine.

3. Discussion

The prevalence of SP has been reported differently in various studies due to the differences in patient population samples, age groups, ethnicity, and applied radiographic techniques [1]. SP are said to represent between 8% [12] and 9.1% [13] of all supernumerary teeth. Although, literature reports increased occurrence of the supernumeraries in the maxilla [14], paramolar, distomolar, and supernumerary premolars are more likely to develop in the mandible [15]. SP usually resemble premolars that are normal in shape and size. In



FIGURE 5: Radiograph of impacted premolar.

a study by Salcido-García et al. [16], the prevalence of SP was found to be 1.7% whereas another study from USA, in which 1100 orthodontic patients were included, SP was found to be 0.64% [17]. In yet another study, Esenlik et al. [18] reported the prevalence of the maxillary SP to be 0.2% and mandibular SP to be 0.5%. Approximately 75% of SP becomes impacted [1, 6]. SP occur more frequently in males than females [6].

ST may occur with or without more than 20 syndromes and developmental conditions; however, nonsyndromic multiple supernumerary teeth are rarely encountered prevalence of this being 0.08% [7, 16, 19].

SP are usually asymptomatic and most cases are diagnosed by chance during inspection of radiographs prior to the commencement of orthodontic treatment [1, 7]. Supernumeraries generally cause problems of malocclusion of local nature like tipping of adjacent teeth, rotation, bodily displacement, delayed eruption, or prevent eruption of tooth of normal series. Also, they may lead to esthetic disharmony and functional distortion [20]. Bodin et al. [19] have reported that only 2% of the supernumerary premolars are likely to undergo pathological changes. Nevertheless, the most commonly encountered complications with these teeth are dentigerous cyst and root resorption of the adjacent tooth [1].

Compression of SP on the adjacent teeth and their closeness to the mental and inferior dental nerves may lead to pain [21]. Our patient complained of pain in the impacted premolar region, which could have been due to pressure and proximity of SP to the inferior dental nerve as evident in the orthopantomograph (Figure 1).

Tooth fusion is defined as union between the dentin and/or enamel of two or more separate developing teeth [22]. Shafer et al. [23] proposed that pressure produced by physical force prolongs the contact of the developing teeth causing fusion. Lowell and Soloman [24] believe that fused teeth result from physical action that causes the young tooth germs to come into contact, thus producing necrosis of the intervening tissue and allowing the enamel organ and dental papilla to fuse together. Many authors have also suggested an autosomal dominant trait with reduced penetrance to be the cause [25]. Fusion may occur between two normal teeth or between a normal tooth and a supernumerary tooth. Radiographically, the dentin of fused teeth always appears to be joined in some region with separate pulp chambers and canals. Most authors agree that there is no sex difference and location of the malformation. It is usually restricted to the canine-incisor region. The frequency of fused teeth is estimated to be 0.5% in the primary dentition and 0.1% percent in the permanent dentition [26, 27]. Structurally there is always a union between the dentin of the fused tooth which can vary from partial to complete fusion of both roots and crowns. Consequently, pulp chambers may be separated or common to both teeth [27]. Radiographic examination is necessary to reach correct diagnosis.

In our paper a short root and one root canal were clearly visible on the radiograph of the SPs. Due to the crown form and short root, the authors of this paper diagnosed it as fusion of the two supernumerary teeth. This represents a rare combination of fusion of two supernumerary supplemental premolars. These fused SPs have also caused impaction of the neighbouring second premolar. Treatment options available in such cases include (1) Maintenance in situ with regular followup; (2) extraction if accompanied by pathologic changes; (3) orthodontic treatment.

Treatment decision should involve judicious assessment of the case considering the potential risks of leaving ST in situ or the hazards of surgical removal. The consequences of surgical removal of impacted ST, especially in the mandibular premolar region should be evaluated, where the teeth are in close proximity to the inferior dental and mental nerves [28]. The timing of surgical removal is as much debated among clinicians as are the treatment methods [1]. SPs begin their calcification late; hence, their complete development takes more time as compared to normal teeth [1, 29]. Therefore, it is advisable to postpone surgical intervention until the end of the development of permanent dentition.

In cases when these teeth are associated with pathological formation or when they hinder the eruption of, or give rise to malpositioning of permanent teeth, they should be removed at the earliest [16]. In our case, the ST were extracted immediately to correct this functional distortion and relieve the patient from pain. There was relief from pain reported after extraction, vindicating the perception that mandibular impacted SPs can cause compression of inferior dental nerve leading to pain.

4. Conclusion

Early diagnosis and proper selection of treatment method is likely to result in favorable prognosis. In order to provide symptomatic relief and avoid pathological complications, we deduce that surgical intervention of impacted fused SPs is the most appropriate treatment method. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

References

- R. Solares and M. I. Romero, "Supernumerary premolars: a literature review," *Pediatric Dentistry*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 450– 458, 2004.
- [2] S. N. Fazliah, "Supernumerary tooth: report of a case," Archives of Orofacial Sciences, vol. 2, pp. 54–58, 2007.
- [3] G. S. Taylor, "Characteristics of supernumerary teeth in the primary and permanent dentition," *The Dental practitioner and dental record*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 203–208, 1972.
- [4] J. F. Liu, "Characteristics of premaxillary supernumerary teeth: a survey of 112 cases," *Journal of Dentistry for Children*, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 262–265, 1995.
- [5] I. Alvarez and C. J. Creath, "Radiographic considerations for supernumerary tooth extraction: report of case," *Journal of Dentistry for Children*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 141–144, 1995.
- [6] H. K. Hyun, S. J. Lee, B. D. Ahn et al., "Nonsyndromic multiple mandibular supernumerary premolars," *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, vol. 66, no. 7, pp. 1366–1369, 2008.
- [7] E. Ferrés-Padró, J. Prats-Armengol, and E. Ferrés-Amat, "A descriptive study of 113 unerupted supernumerary teeth in 79 pediatric patients in Barcelona," *Medicina Oral, Patologia Oral y Cirugia Bucal*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. E146–E152, 2009.
- [8] S. N. Sykaras, "Mesiodens in primary and permanent dentitions. Report of a case," *Oral Surgery Oral Medicine and Oral Pathology*, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 870–874, 1975.
- [9] J. H. Gardiner, "Supernumerary teeth," *Dental practice*, vol. 12, pp. 63–73, 1961.
- [10] S. N. Bhaskar, Synopsis of Oral Pathology, C. V. Mosby, St. Louis, Miss, USA, 1961.
- [11] L. D. Rajab and M. A. M. Hamdan, "Supernumerary teeth: review of the literature and a survey of 152 cases," *International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 244–254, 2002.
- [12] M. M. Nazif, R. C. Ruffalo, and T. Zullo, "Impacted supernumerary teeth: a survey of 50 cases," *The Journal of the American Dental Association*, vol. 106, no. 2, pp. 201–204, 1983.
- [13] Grahnen and B. C. Lindahl, "Supernumerary teeth in the permanent dentition: a frequency study," *Odontologisk Revy*, vol. 12, pp. 290–294, 1961.
- [14] J. K. Dash, P. K. Sahoo, S. Das, and U. K. Mohanty, "Prevalence of supernumerary teeth in deciduous and mixed dentition," *Journal of the Indian Society of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 37–41, 2003.
- [15] E. C. Stafne, "Supernumerary teeth," *Dental Cosmos*, vol. 74, pp. 653–659, 1932.
- [16] J. F. Salcido-García, C. Ledesma-Montes, F. Hernández-Flores, D. Pérez, and M. Garcés-Ortíz, "Frequency of supernumerary teeth in Mexican population," *Medicina Oral, Patologia Oral y Cirugia Bucal*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 407–409, 2004.
- [17] L. K. Rubenstein, S. J. Lindauer, R. J. Isaacson, and N. Germane, "Development of supernumerary premolars in an orthodontic population," *Oral Surgery Oral Medicine and Oral Pathology*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 392–395, 1991.
- [18] E. Esenlik, M. O. Sayin, A. O. Atilla, T. Özen, C. Altun, and F. Başak, "Supernumerary teeth in a Turkish population," *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*, vol. 136, no. 6, pp. 848–852, 2009.
- [19] I. Bodin, P. Julin, and M. Thomsson, "Hyperodontia. I. Frequency and distribution of supernumerary teeth among

21,609 patients," *Dentomaxillofacial Radiology*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 15–17, 1978.

- [20] M. Koul and R. Koul, "An impacted supplemental premolar in the mandible," *Journal of Indian Society of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry*, vol. 24, pp. S38–S40, 2006.
- [21] G.-S. Kaya, G. Yapici, M. M. Ömezli, and E. Dayi, "Nonsyndromic supernumerary premolars," *Medicina Oral, Patologia Oral y Cirugia Bucal*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. e522–e525, 2011.
- [22] C. Schulze, "Developmental anomalies of the teeth and the jaws," in *Thoma's Oral Pathology*, R. J. Gorlin and H. M. Goldman, Eds., pp. 96–183, St. Louis, Miss, USA, 6th edition, 1970.
- [23] W. G. Shafer, M. K. Hine, and B. M. Levy, A Textbook of Oral Pathology, W. B. Saunders, Philadelphia, Miss, USA, 3rd edition, 1974.
- [24] R. J. Lowell and A. L. Soloman, "Fused teeth," *The Journal of the American Dental Association*, vol. 68, no. 5, pp. 762–763, 1964.
- [25] R. Stewart and G. H. Prescott, "Genetic aspects of anomalous tooth development," in *Oral Facial Genetics*, pp. 138–142, C. V. Mosby, St. Louis, Miss, USA, 1976.
- [26] S. C. Maréchaux, "The treatment of fusion of a maxillary central incisor and a supernumerary: report of a case," *Journal* of *Dentistry for Children*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 196–199, 1984.
- [27] A. Peyrano and O. Zmener, "Endodontic management of mandibular lateral incisor fused with supernumerary tooth," *Endodontics & Dental Traumatology*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 196– 198, 1995.
- [28] A. Díaz, J. Orozco, and M. Fonseca, "Multiple hyperodontia: report of a case with 17 supernumerary teeth with non syndromic association," *Medicina Oral, Patologia Oral y Cirugia Bucal*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. E229–E231, 2009.
- [29] J. A. Salzman, Orthodontics in Daily Practice, J. B. Lippincott, Toronto, Canada, 1974.