It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

### 1 2

## Ancestry and somatic profile predict acral melanoma origin and prognosis

- 3 Authors:
- 4 Patricia Basurto-Lozada<sup>1</sup>, Martha Estefania Vázquez-Cruz<sup>1</sup>, Christian Molina-Aguilar<sup>1</sup>, Amanda Jiang<sup>2,3</sup>,
- 5 Dekker C. Deacon<sup>2,3</sup>, Dennis Cerrato-Izaguirre<sup>4</sup>, Irving Simonin-Wilmer<sup>1</sup>, Fernanda G. Arriaga-
- 6 González<sup>1,5</sup>, Kenya L. Contreras-Ramírez<sup>1</sup>, Eric T. Dawson<sup>6</sup>, J. Rene C. Wong-Ramirez<sup>1,7</sup>, Johana Itzel
- 7 Ramos-Galguera<sup>1</sup>, Alethia Álvarez-Cano<sup>8</sup>, Dorian Y. García-Ortega<sup>9</sup>, Omar Isaac García-Salinas<sup>1,5</sup>,
- 8 Alfredo Hidalgo-Miranda<sup>10</sup>, Mireya Cisneros-Villanueva<sup>10</sup>, Héctor Martínez-Said<sup>9</sup>, Mark J. Arends<sup>11</sup>, Ingrid
- 9 Ferreira<sup>5</sup>, Mark Tullett<sup>12</sup>, Rebeca Olvera-León<sup>1,5</sup>, Louise van der Weyden<sup>5</sup>, Martín del Castillo Velasco
- 10 Herrera<sup>5</sup>, Rodrigo Roldán-Marín<sup>13</sup>, Helena Vidaurri de la Cruz<sup>14</sup>, Luis Alberto Tavares-de-la-Paz<sup>15</sup>, Diego
- 11 Hinojosa-Ugarte<sup>15</sup>, Rachel L. Belote<sup>2,16</sup>, D. Timothy Bishop<sup>17</sup>, Marcos Díaz-Gay<sup>18-20</sup>, Ludmil B.
- 12 Alexandrov<sup>18-20</sup>, Yesennia Sánchez-Pérez<sup>4</sup>, Gino K. In<sup>21</sup>, Richard M. White<sup>22,23</sup>, Patrícia A. Possik<sup>24</sup>,
- 13 Robert L. Judson-Torres<sup>2,3</sup>, David J. Adams<sup>5</sup>, Carla Daniela Robles-Espinoza<sup>1,5,\*</sup>
- 14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

46

49

## 15 Affiliations:

- Laboratorio Internacional de Investigación sobre el Genoma Humano, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Santiago de Querétaro, Mexico, 76230
- 2. Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah Health Sciences Center, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA
- 3. Department of Dermatology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA
  - 4. Subdirección de Investigación Básica, Instituto Nacional de Cancerología (INCan), San Fernando No. 22, Tlalpan, Ciudad de México CP. 14080, Mexico.
  - 5. Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, Cambridgeshire, CB10 1SA, UK.
  - 6. Nvidia Corporation, Santa Clara, CA, USA.
  - 7. Research Program in Systems Oncology, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.
  - 8. Surgical Oncology, Christus Muguerza Alta Especialidad, Monterrey, Nuevo Leon, Mexico.
  - 9. Surgical Oncology, Skin, Soft Tissue & Bone Tumors Department, National Cancer Institute, Mexico City, Mexico.
- Laboratorio de Genómica del Cáncer, Instituto Nacional de Medicina Genómica (INMEGEN), Mexico City, Mexico.
  - 11. Edinburgh Pathology, Cancer Research UK Scotland Centre, Institute of Genetics and Cancer, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, UK
  - 12. Department of histopathology, University Hospitals Sussex, St Richard hospital, Spitalfield lane, Chichester
  - Dermato-Oncology Clinic, Research Division, Faculty of Medicine, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico.
- 14. Pediatric Dermatology Service, General Hospital of Mexico Dr. Eduardo Liceaga, Ministry of Health. Mexico City, Mexico.
- 15. Surgical Oncology, Bajio Regional High Specialty Hospital, Leon, Mexico.
- 16. The Ohio State University, Department of Molecular Genetics, Columbus, Ohio, United States
- 39 17. Leeds Institute of Cancer and Pathology, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK.
- 40 18. Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA.
- 41 19. Department of Bioengineering, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA.
- 42 20. Moores Cancer Center, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA.
- 43 21. University of Southern California, Keck School of Medicine, Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Division
   44 of Oncology, Los Angeles, CA, USA.
- 45 22. Department of Cancer Biology and Genetics, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA
  - 23. Nuffield Department of Medicine, Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
- 47
  48
  48
  49
  49
  49
  40
  40
  41
  42
  43
  44
  44
  44
  45
  46
  47
  48
  48
  48
  48
  48
  48
  48
  48
  48
  48
  48
  48
  48
  48
  48
  48
  49
  49
  49
  40
  40
  40
  41
  41
  42
  43
  44
  44
  44
  45
  46
  47
  47
  48
  48
  48
  49
  49
  49
  49
  40
  40
  40
  41
  41
  42
  42
  43
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  44
  <
- 50 **\*Correspondence to:** Carla Daniela Robles-Espinoza, drobles@liigh.unam.mx

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

#### 51 Abstract

### 52

53 Acral melanoma, which is not ultraviolet (UV)-associated, is the most common type of melanoma 54 in several low- and middle-income countries including Mexico. Latin American samples are 55 significantly underrepresented in global cancer genomics studies, which directly affects patients 56 in these regions as it is known that cancer risk and incidence may be influenced by ancestry and 57 environmental exposures. To address this, here we characterise the genome and transcriptome 58 of 128 acral melanoma tumours from 96 Mexican patients, a population notable because of its 59 genetic admixture. Compared with other studies of melanoma, we found fewer frequent mutations 60 in classical driver genes such as BRAF, NRAS or NF1. While most patients had predominantly 61 Amerindian genetic ancestry, those with higher European ancestry had increased frequency of 62 BRAF mutations and a lower number of structural variants. These BRAF-mutated tumours have 63 a transcriptional profile similar to cutaneous non-volar melanocytes, suggesting that acral melanomas in these patients may arise from a distinct cell of origin compared to other tumours 64 65 arising in these locations. KIT mutations were found in a subset of these tumours, and 66 transcriptional profiling defined three expression clusters; these characteristics were associated 67 with overall survival. We highlight novel low-frequency drivers, such as SPHKAP, which correlate 68 with a distinct genomic profile and clinical characteristics. Our study enhances knowledge of this 69 understudied disease and underscores the importance of including samples from diverse 70 ancestries in cancer genomics studies.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

### 71 Introduction

72

73 Melanoma is classified into several clinicopathological subtypes based on tumour site of 74 presentation and histopathological features. Acral melanoma (AM) is an understudied melanoma 75 subtype due to its low incidence globally, and because it represents a small proportion of melanoma cases in European-descent populations<sup>1,2</sup>; however, AM represents the vast majority 76 77 of melanoma cases in some Latin American, African and Asian countries due to the lower incidences of ultraviolet (UV)-induced melanoma subtypes<sup>3</sup>. Additionally, the causes of this type 78 79 of disease are unknown, with patients managed in a similar way to UV-associated cutaneous 80 melanoma (CM). However, its site of presentation and genomic characteristics are vastly 81 different<sup>4</sup>.

82

83 AM arises on the glabrous (non-haired) skin of soles, palms and on the nail unit (subungual 84 location), and its genome differs significantly from other CM subtypes<sup>5</sup>. In contrast to UV-induced 85 subtypes like superficial spreading or lentigo maligna melanoma, AM has a lower burden of single 86 nucleotide variants (SNVs), a higher burden of structural variants, and a low prevalence of mutational signatures SBS7a/b/c/d, which are associated with UV irradiation<sup>6–10</sup>. Genes that are 87 88 frequently mutated in CM such as BRAF, the RAS genes and NF1, are reported to be altered at 89 a significantly lower frequency in AM. This, coupled with the comparatively lower number of 90 studies of AM when compared to other CM subtypes, has translated into limited available 91 therapies for AM management.

92

93 It is known that cancer risk and incidence, as well as tumour genomic profiles, vary with ancestry and geographical location<sup>11–13</sup>. Since most genomic studies on AM have been performed on 94 95 patients of European or Asian ancestry, we considered it necessary to examine the genomics of 96 this subtype of melanoma in Latin Americans. Specifically, Latin American populations have been 97 grossly underrepresented in cancer genomic studies, with only about 1% of all samples in cohorts 98 such as the Pan-Cancer Analysis of Whole Genomes (PCAWG), the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and other repositories, and those contributing to cancer genome-wide association studies 99 (GWAS), being of Latin American origin<sup>14–16</sup>. Identification of differences in the genomic profile 100 101 among populations can potentially aid the discovery of germline/inherited or environmental factors 102 related to AM aetiology, as well as identify optimal therapeutic strategies for all patients.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

104 In this study, we analysed 128 AM samples from 96 Mexican patients through genotyping, exome 105 sequencing, SNV and insertion/deletion (indel) variant calling, copy number estimation, and gene 106 expression profiling, and examined the correlation of these molecular characteristics with clinical 107 variables. We found a significant correlation between genetic ancestry and BRAF somatic 108 mutations, as well as a distinct transcriptomic profile in these tumours compared to non-BRAF 109 mutated samples. We also identified significant differences in recurrence-free survival among 110 patients with driver mutations compared to patients with wild-type tumours, and in overall survival 111 among patients with distinct gene expression profiles.

- 112
- 113 Results
- 114

## 115 Ancestry and clinical characteristics of Mexican AM patients

116 A total of 128 uniformly ascertained samples from 96 patients from a large Mexican tertiary referral 117 hospital were analysed in this study (Methods, Supplementary Table 1). Ninety-three of these 118 tumours were primaries, 28 were metastases, five were recurrencies, one was a lesion in transit, 119 and one was unknown (Supplementary Table 1). Latin American genomes are generally a 120 mixture of European, African and Amerindian ancestry. Of note, 89% of genotyped samples in 121 this study had predominantly Amerindian ancestry (median 79%) (Supplementary Figure 1, 122 **Supplementary Table 2**) with European and African ancestries contributing a median of 14% 123 and 2.6%, respectively. The median age of the patients in this cohort was 61, with 61% of the 124 patients being female. Most patients were stage III (AJCC 8th edition)<sup>17</sup> at diagnosis, and the most 125 common primary site was the foot, most frequently the sole. The median Breslow thickness was 126 4.7mm and the majority of tumours were ulcerated (65%) (Table 1). It should be noted that 127 virtually no patients received immune check point inhibitors or targeted therapy, due to lack of 128 access.

129

130 Genomic profiling of AM samples identifies correlations of ancestry and age with somatic

131 alterations

Considering all 128 samples, AM tumours showed a SNV/indel [hereinafter referred to as tumour mutational burden (TMB)] mean of 3.37 mutations per megabase (mut/Mb), a median of 2.75 mut/Mb (range: 1.05-11.46 mut/Mb). When including only one sample per patient, with primaries being preferentially selected, the most frequently mutated genes were *NRAS* (15% of samples, *q*-value <  $4.33 \times 10^{-8}$ ), *KIT* (14%, *q*-value= $4.33 \times 10^{-8}$ ), *BRAF* (11%, *q*-value= $1.96 \times 10^{-6}$ ) and *NF1* 

137 (7%, *q*-value=0.057) (**Figure 1a**). These genes were identified as being under positive selection

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

138 (Methods) and represent known driver genes. These genes showed the characteristic mutational 139 profile of oncogenes with a predominance of hotspot missense mutations, except for NF1, which 140 showed a pattern characteristic of a tumour suppressor and had frameshift insertions, deletions. 141 and nonsense mutations distributed throughout (Figure 1b). Notably, these genes exhibit mutual 142 exclusivity (only two patients have tumours with mutations in more than one of these genes, with one patient having both a BRAF<sup>G606W</sup> mutation, which is a suspected loss of function<sup>18</sup>, and a 143 144 NRAS<sup>Q61R</sup>) which likely reflects their functional redundancy in activating the MAPK pathway. Other 145 genes previously reported as mutated in other melanoma subtypes, as well as other cancer types 146 are also mutated in this cohort, such as TP53, HRAS and KRAS (Figure 1a). In summary, the 147 "classic" melanoma driver genes (N/H/KRAS, BRAF and NF1) are mutated in fewer than 40% of 148 Mexican AM samples, with most of the samples in this cohort therefore being classified as "triple 149 wild type" melanomas. We next appraised those tumours without mutations in any of the 150 abovementioned four driver genes ("quadruple wild-type"), revealing three patients that carried 151 mutations in SPHKAP in their primary tumour (Supplementary Figure 2a). The mutations in 152 these tumours are protein-changing (one stop gained, one inframe deletion and one missense, 153 predicted deleterious and damaging) (Supplementary Figure 2b). SPHKAP codes for an 154 inhibitor of sphingosine kinase 1 (SPHK1), which in turn plays a key role in the activation of the 155 NFκB and TNF-α signalling pathways. Other recurrently mutated genes in quadruple-wild type 156 melanomas include POU3F3, RDH5, MED12 and TP53 (Supplementary Figure 2) which may 157 represent low frequency drivers.

158

159 When examining the relationship between ancestry and somatic profile, we identified significantly 160 higher odds (*P*-value=0.02) of carrying a *BRAF* somatic mutation with increasing European 161 ancestry in a linear model controlling for age at diagnosis, sex, self-reported socioeconomic status 162 and mutational burden (Figure 1c). Patients with mutations in *KIT* showed a tendency for higher 163 Amerindian ancestry (Figure 1c, Supplementary Figure 3). We also found that patients with 164 NRAS mutations are significantly younger at diagnosis (median and mean age of diagnosis for 165 patients with NRAS mutations= 50 and 51.4 vs without = 62.5 and 62.7, respectively, P-166 value=0.01) (Figure 1d).

167

168 Somatic copy number landscape of AM samples identifies correlations with somatic alterations

169 Somatic copy number alteration (SCNA) analysis across all samples showed a higher burden of

amplifications than deletions (Figure 2a). Examination of 70 samples, one per patient, that

passed guality filtering for this type of analysis (**Methods**), showed that 24 regions were frequently

amplified, and 15 regions were frequently deleted. About a fifth (21%) of samples had an estimated ploidy around 4, suggesting whole genome duplication (WGD). Potential driver genes in frequently amplified regions include *CRKL* (47% of samples), *CCND1* (34%), *CDK4* (20%), and *KIT* (18.5%) (**Supplementary Tables 3-5**). Regions that showed recurrent deletions contained genes such as *CDKN2A*, *CDKN2B*, *ATM* and *FOXO3*. *CDKN2A* and *CDKN2B* had deletions in 66% of samples, while *ATM* and *FOXO3* both presented heterozygous deletions in 50% of samples, respectively.

- 179
- 180 When stratifying samples by mutational status (considering BRAF-, NRAS-, NF1-, KIT-mutated 181 and multi-hit, which included two samples with mutations in more than one of these drivers), we 182 saw statistically significant differences in SCNA among groups (Figure 2b). Specifically, NRAS-183 and BRAF- mutated tumours had significantly fewer SCNAs (Global copy number alteration score 184 [GCS], **Methods**) than KIT- and NF1-mutated tumours (Figure 2c). Samples without mutations 185 in these drivers had a range of GCS scores. Samples with BRAF and NRAS mutations had the 186 lowest median TMB as well, with NF1-mutated tumours having the highest median TMB 187 (Supplementary Figure 4). We did not see a significant correlation between GCS score and TMB 188 (Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient=0.20, P-value=0.09) (Figure 2d). Tumours 189 from the subungual region also had a higher median GCS score than those found on the hands 190 and feet (Figure 2e).
- 191

### 192 Mutational signature analyses identify potential sources of mutation

193 Single-base substitution mutational signature analysis across samples identified previously reported COSMICv3.4 signatures SBS1, SBS5, SBS7a, SBS7b, SBS40a and some residual 194 SBS45. Apart from clock-like signatures SBS1 and SBS5<sup>19</sup>, SBS40a was also prevalent across 195 196 the cohort, contributing 28.24% of mutations to the total. SBS40a is of unknown origin but has 197 been identified in many cancer types<sup>20</sup>. Indel mutational signature analysis identified two 198 contributing signatures, clock-like ID2 and ID12, also of unknown origin. Copy number signature 199 analysis identified a number of previously reported signatures across different samples<sup>21,22</sup>. CN1, 200 which has been associated with a diploid state and CN9, which is potentially caused by local loss 201 of heterozygosity on a diploid background, dominated the CN landscape (Methods, 202 Supplementary Information, Supplementary Figure 5). Nevertheless, this analysis is 203 precluded by small numbers of mutations and the formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) origin 204 of these samples.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

205 BRAF-mutated acral melanomas exhibit a transcriptional signature more characteristic of non-206 acral cutaneous melanomas

207 In our study, BRAF/NRAS-mutated tumours exhibited different SCNA profiles compared to all 208 other AMs and were associated with distinct demographic and clinical features, suggestive of a 209 divergent aetiology for this genetic subset. As it has been previously postulated that BRAF-210 mutated acral melanomas might be more biologically like melanomas from non-acral sites than 211 to other acral melanomas<sup>10,23</sup>, we investigated this hypothesis. We successfully extracted and 212 sequenced RNA from 80 primary tumours from different patients in this collection 213 (Supplementary Table 1, Methods). We then generated a gene signature-based score for 214 identifying acral-versus cutaneous-derived melanomas. For this, we sourced a list of candidate 215 genes from AM and CM datasets (Methods, Supplementary Table 6) and identified twenty 216 genes with high classification accuracy in a training cohort of 10 primary AMs and 10 primary CMs 217 (Figure 3a-b). We then obtained scores for samples in our dataset of AMs, separating primary 218 BRAF-missense (n=9), NRAS-missense (n=12) vs BRAF/NRAS-wildtype (n=59) tumours. We 219 observed a difference between BRAF-mutated and BRAF/NRAS-wildtype tumours (P-220 value=0.055) (Figure 3c). We then replicated this analysis in an independent cohort of 63 AMs 221 from Newell et al (2020)<sup>7</sup> (BRAF-missense n=13, wild-type n=50), which confirmed these results 222 (P-value=0.039) (Figure 3d). In these comparisons, BRAF-missense tumours expressed a more 223 "CM-like" transcriptional program, indicating that BRAF-mutated melanomas that occur at acral 224 sites are transcriptionally more similar to non-acral cutaneous melanomas, and are associated 225 with increasing European genetic ancestry.

226

227 Transcriptional landscape of AM tumours identifies three subgroups with distinct clinical and

228 prognostic characteristics

229 We then applied a more stringent quality filtering, including coverage and alignment features, to 230 primary tumours in this collection with 47 samples remaining for further analyses (Methods, 231 **Supplementary Table 1**). Consensus clustering of gene expression identified three sample 232 groups with distinct transcriptional profiles (Figure 4a, Supplementary Table 7). Cluster 1 was 233 characterised by a high expression of cytokines (e.g., CXCL12, CCL13, ICOSLG, IL7, IL4R, IL1R, 234 CD69, IL15RA, CXCL14), immune-related (e.g. CD209, INHBA) and invasion-related (e.g., AXL, 235 ZEB1) genes, which we termed "mixed"; Cluster 2 was characterised by a "proliferative" and 236 "pigmentation"-related signature, with high expression of genes such as MITF, SOX10, TYR and 237 DCT; and Cluster 3 showed expression mostly of keratins and epidermal-related genes ("keratin-238 related"). Interestingly, Cluster 1 was associated with better prognostic clinical characteristics,

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

such as a small proportion of ulcerated samples, lower Breslow depth and earlier clinical stages,

and a tendency for lower mitotic rates (Figure 4b). Deconvolution of gene expression profiles

also indicated differences in immune cell infiltration composition, with Cluster 1 having a higher

- 242 proportion of endothelial cells, CD4+ T cells and cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) (Figure
- 243 **4c-e**).
- 244

## 245 Somatic and gene expression profile influence recurrence-free survival

Next, we evaluated whether the genomic and transcriptomic characteristics had any impact on patient overall or recurrence-free survival. We included in the analysis those participants whose primary could be analysed (n=87, **Methods**). The mean time between diagnosis and recruitment was 2.06 years, including 20 participants recruited within 6 months; the range was from a few days to over 10 years. Among these participants, twelve primary tumours had an *NRAS* mutation, eleven had a mutation in *KIT*, nine had a *BRAF* mutation, six had *NF1* mutations, two had multiple hits and 47 were classified as wild-type.

253

254 For analysis of the covariates influencing time to recurrence, only participants without 255 documented recurrence prior to consent were included (n=69). Twenty-four of these participants 256 had a recurrence, occurring at an average 1.56 years after recruitment, while 45 did not have a 257 recurrence and were followed for an average of 3.66 years. Those with a driver mutation (BRAF. 258 NRAS, KIT, NF1 or multihit) had a significantly higher probability of having earlier recurrences 259 (Log-rank test *P*-value < 0.05) (**Supplementary Table 8**, Figure 5a), with *NF1* mutations likely having a stronger effect (Supplementary Table 9, Figure 5b). These analyses suggest that 260 261 tumours with a driver mutation have a higher risk of recurrence in any time. To examine this 262 suggestion, we analysed the time until recurrence among the participants who had a recurrence 263 prior to recruitment (n=18). Of these, seven had wild-type tumours and eleven had a driver 264 mutation. The mean time until recurrence among those with wild-type tumours is about twice as 265 long as those with a driver mutation, suggesting once again that there is a higher rate of 266 recurrence among those with a driver mutation (Supplementary Table 10, Log-rank test P-value 267 < 0.01). No significant relationship was found between the transcriptomic clusters and recurrence. 268

For the analysis of covariates influencing overall survival, although driver mutation carriers have a higher risk of death, no significant relationship was found perhaps due to small numbers of patients who carry mutations and have died (Log-rank test *P*-value = 0.21). There were significant differences in overall survival among patients with different transcriptomic cluster tumours, with

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

273 Cluster 1 patients having the best overall survival and Cluster 2 patients having the worst (Log-

274 rank test *P*-value < 0.04) (**Supplementary Table 11**, **Figure 5c**), with this statistical difference

275 maintained when controlling for age, sex, and stage at diagnosis (Cox proportional hazards model

276 *P*-value < 0.05) (**Supplementary Table 12**).

- 277
- 278

## 279 Discussion

280

281 In this study, we report the analysis of the somatic and transcriptomic profile of 128 acral 282 melanoma samples from Mexican patients, one of the largest cohorts reported for this type of 283 cancer. In our view, this study helps address several research gaps: 1) The underrepresentation of samples of Latin American ancestry in cancer sample repositories<sup>14</sup>: As it has been shown 284 285 previously, genetic ancestry and environment influence the somatic profile of tumours, with potential impacts on patient management and treatment<sup>11–13</sup>, 2) the relative lack of studies of acral 286 287 melanoma, when compared to other types of the disease, as this type of melanoma constitutes 288 the majority of cases in some low- and middle-income countries (LMICs)<sup>3</sup>, and 3) the relative 289 paucity of genomic studies performed and directed from LMICs, such as Mexico.

290

291 Most patients in this study had predominantly Amerindian genetic ancestry, which allowed us to 292 perform an analysis of genetic ancestry correlates with somatic mutation profile. We identified a 293 positive correlation between European ancestry and BRAF mutation rate (Figure 1c). A possible 294 link between European ancestry and *BRAF*<sup>V600E</sup> mutation had been described previously<sup>10</sup>, and 295 this study provides further confirmatory evidence. Other similar correlations have recently been 296 described for other types of cancer, such as a positive relationship between Native American ancestry and *EGFR* mutation rate in lung cancer<sup>13</sup>, and an increased rate of somatic *FBXW7* in 297 African patients compared to European patients<sup>11</sup>. In accordance with this observation, other 298 299 cohorts of acral melanoma, which studied patients with predominantly European ancestry, have 300 a higher BRAF mutation rate than that in this study (e.g., 23% in Australian patients with 301 predominantly European ancestry<sup>7</sup>). These observations should provide the basis for future 302 studies exploring the relationships between ancestry and somatic mutation rate.

303

We were intrigued to discover that *BRAF*-missense acral melanomas exhibit a more 'CM-like' transcriptome than other genetic subtypes of acral melanoma. One possible explanation is that this gene signature is uniquely downstream of a *BRAF* missense mutation. However, we do not

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

307 favour this explanation, as the CM specimens used to derive the scoring metric were not selected 308 by genetic subtype and likely included a variety of genetic profiles. An alternative explanation 309 involves the distinct origins of BRAF-missense acral melanomas compared to other acral 310 melanomas. In our previous work<sup>24</sup>, we identified distinct subclasses of human epidermal 311 melanocytes: a common type enriched in limbs (c-type) and a rare type enriched in volar regions 312 (v-type). We observed that most acral melanomas generally retained a transcriptional signature 313 like v-type melanocytes, while a significant subset appeared more akin to c-type melanocytes<sup>24</sup>. 314 The current work indicates that these tumours are more likely to belong to the BRAF-missense 315 genetic subtype, suggesting that a subset of volar melanomas might be more accurately classified 316 by cell of origin and/or genetic profile as non-acral CM, rather than bona fide acral melanomas. 317 Future studies could explore the diagnosis of cutaneous melanoma as acral versus non-acral 318 based on molecular signatures rather than solely on anatomic location. The fact that BRAF-319 mutated tumours occur less frequently on patients of non-European ancestry highlights the need 320 to study a diverse set of samples to maximise clinical benefit to all patients. Other observations, 321 such as a tendency for KIT-mutated tumours to occur in patients with a higher Amerindian 322 ancestry, are intriguing and will need to be investigated in future studies.

323

324 Additionally, Kaplan-Meier analyses identified that patients with mutations in any driver gene, and 325 especially in NF1, had worse recurrence-free survival than those without mutations in these 326 genes. This, to the best of our knowledge, has not previously been reported. Separately, patients 327 with Cluster 1 tumours, which we refer to as a 'mixed', also showed a better prognosis than other 328 patients, which is not surprising given their associated clinical characteristics (lower Breslow 329 thickness. earlier stages at diagnosis, and a tendency for lower mitotic indexes). However, what 330 is surprising is the gene expression profile characteristic of this cluster. More CAFs, CD4+ T cells 331 and endothelial cells were found by deconvolution to be associated to Cluster 1 than other 332 clusters, signatures commonly associated with immunosuppression. Moreover, the genes that 333 were overexpressed by this cluster in comparison with others have roles in tumour invasion, such 334 as AXL, ZEB1, and others. A possible explanation is that early-stage tumours are associated with 335 immunosuppressive microenvironments, a balance which, in later tumours, may have been tilted 336 in favour of tumour cell growth. Another potential explanation may involve the recently described roles of CAFs in immunostimulation<sup>25</sup>. Patients with Cluster 2 tumours, with a 337 338 'proliferative/pigmentation' signature showed the worst survival, with an overexpression of genes 339 associated with proliferation and pigmentation. It has previously been observed in a zebrafish model and in TCGA samples that a pigmentation signature also predicts worse survival<sup>26</sup>, and, in 340

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

- a recent report by Liu and collaborators<sup>27</sup>, AM tumours with a proliferative signature also were
   associated with worse survival than other tumours. This study both extends and replicates these
   findings in acral melanoma.
- 344

345 Overall, we were able to identify novel associations of the germline and somatic profile in AM,

346 genomic-clinical correlates of overall and recurrence-free survival, as well as transcriptional

347 differences in *BRAF*-mutated AMs. This study shows the value of studying diverse populations,

348 allowing us to uncover previously unreported relationships and better understand tumour

349 evolution.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

#### 350 Methods

351

### 352 Patient recruitment and sample collection

The protocol for sample collection was approved by the Mexican National Cancer Institute's (Instituto Nacional de Cancerología, INCan, México) Ethics and Research committees (017/041/PBI;CEI/1209/17) and the United Kingdom's National Health Services (NHS, UK) (18/EE/00076).

357

358 Recruitment of patients and sample collection took place from 2017 to 2019. Patients attending 359 follow up appointments at INCan that had previously been diagnosed with AM were offered to 360 participate in this study, and upon signing a written consent form, were asked to provide access 361 to a formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) sample of their tumour tissue that had been kept at 362 the INCan tumour bank, as well as a saliva or normal adjacent tissue sample. Note that, to help 363 anonymise patient data, in tables and figures patient ages are shown rounded down to the nearest 364 5-year tier and dates are shown in the month/year format. However, all analyses in this work used 365 exact ages and dates. FFPE samples underwent inspection by a medical pathologist to establish 366 whether sufficient tumour tissue was available for exome sequencing. Saliva samples were 367 collected using the oragenDNA kit (DNAGenotek, # OG-500).

368

### 369 DNA and RNA extraction

370 DNA extraction from all saliva samples was performed at the International Laboratory for Human 371 Genome Research from the National Autonomous University of México (LIIGH-UNAM) using the 372 reagent prepITL2P (DNAGenotek, # PT-L2P) and the AllPrep DNA/RNA/miRNA Universal Kit 373 (Qiagen, #80224). DNA and RNA extraction from FFPE samples was performed at the Wellcome 374 Sanger Institute (UK) using the All-prep DNA/RNA FFPE Qiagen kit. Samples with >0 and 375 <0.1ng/µl of total DNA were sequenced using the Sanger Institute's low-DNA pipeline, whereas 376 all samples with DNA >0.1ng/µl were sequenced using the standard pipeline.

377

## 378 Genotyping

379 Genotyping was performed using Illumina's Infinium Multi-Ethnic AMR/AFR-8 v1.0 array at King's 380 College London and Infinium Global Screening Array v3.0 at University College London. Sufficient 381 germline DNA was available for genotyping for 84 out of 96 samples (87.5%). Ancestry estimation 382 was performed using the ADMIXTURE<sup>28</sup> unsupervised analysis together with the 383 superpopulations of the 1000 Genomes dataset. Five superpopulations were identified,

corresponding to AFR (Q1), AMR (Q2), SAS (Q3), EAS (Q4), and EUR (Q5) (Supplementary
 Table 2, Supplementary Figure 1).

386

### 387 Exome sequencing and data quality control

388 FFPE samples, saliva and normal adjacent tissue underwent whole exome sequencing as follows: 389 Exome capture was performed using Agilent SureSelect AllExon v5 probes and paired-end 390 sequencing was performed at the Wellcome Sanger Institute (UK) in Illumina HiSeq4000 391 machines. Control and tumour samples were sequenced to a mean depth of 101x. Alignment was 392 done using BWA-mem<sup>29</sup>, using the GRCh38 reference genome. Sequencing quality filters were 393 performed using samtools stats<sup>30</sup> and fastgc<sup>31</sup>. Sample contamination was estimated using the GATK tool CalculateContamination<sup>32</sup>. Concordance between sample pairs was estimated using 394 395 the Conpair tool<sup>33</sup>. Samples that had <90% similarity with their pair (tumour-normal) or showed a 396 level of contamination above 5% were excluded from the study. After this step, 128 samples 397 remained for further analysis.

398

## 399 Somatic SNV calling and identification of driver genes and mutations

Somatic variant calling was done using three different tools (MuTect<sup>34</sup>, Mutect2<sup>35</sup> and Varscan2<sup>36</sup>), keeping only the variants identified by a minimum of two out of the three tools. When available within the variant calling tool, strand bias filters were applied. A minimum base quality score of 30 on the Phred scale was used. Indel calling was performed using Strelka2<sup>37</sup> using indel candidates identified by the structural variant caller manta<sup>38</sup>. When selecting one sample per patient, preference was given to primaries, and metastases or recurrences were chosen only when a primary had not been collected.

407

Significantly mutated genes were identified using the tool dNdScv<sup>39</sup> with default parameters using
SNVs identified by two of the three tools used for variant calling and indels identified by Strelka2
as input data. Positive selection was considered for genes that had global q-values below 0.1
according to the dNdScv tool recommendations.

412

## 413 Analysis of correlation between driver mutations and clinical covariates and ancestry

414 Statistical tests were performed to identify potential clinical and ancestry covariates that correlated 415 with driver mutational status. For tumour stage, sex, ulceration status and tumour site, which are 416 discrete variables, association was tested with contingency Chi-squared tests. No association 417 was found between these variables and driver mutational status. For age at diagnosis, a

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

418 continuous variable, the model was age ~ *BRAF* status + *NRAS* status + *NF1* status + *KIT* status
419 + Multihit status. Only samples with mutations in these four genes were included for this test.

420 For each of the four driver genes, a logistic regression model was fitted to predict the presence or absence of a mutation on the AM samples using the inferred ADMIXTURE<sup>28</sup> cluster related to 421 422 the European ancestry component from the 1000 Genomes Project, correcting for age, sex, self-423 reported socioeconomic level (SE level), and tumour mutational burden (TMB, SNPs + indels), as 424 such: Driver gene status ~ EUR related cluster proportion + age + sex + SE level + TMB. Then 425 the log odds related to the EUR cluster were plotted with their respective confidence intervals. 426 The models were constructed using 81 samples out of the 96, which were those with available 427 genotyping information and with all tested covariables available.

428

## 429 Somatic DNA copy number calling

430 Copy number alterations (CNAs), cellularity and ploidy of the samples were estimated using the 431 tool Seguenza<sup>40</sup>. Samples underwent manual guality filtering, eliminating samples with estimated 432 cellularity below 0.2, and samples with oversegmentation. Whole genome duplication events were 433 considered when samples had an estimated ploidy above 3.6. Significantly affected regions by CNAs were identified using GISTIC2<sup>41</sup>. Amplifications were classified as low-level amplifications 434 435 when regions had a copy number gain above 0.1 and below 0.9, and as high-level amplifications 436 when regions had a copy number gain above 0.9 according to GISTIC2 values; partial deletions 437 were those with copy number 1, and homozygous deletions as copy number 0. Only peaks with 438 residual q-values < 0.1 were considered as significantly altered. For the analyses of differences 439 in CNA burden by sample group (e.g., mutational status or site of presentation), we used the CNApp tool<sup>42</sup> to generate copy number alteration scores for global (GCS), focal (FCS) and broad 440 441 (BCS) CNA burden with default parameters. All paired comparisons between groups were 442 evaluated with a Mann-Whitney test.

443

## 444 Mutational signature analysis

Mutational matrices were generated using SigProfilerMatrixGenerator<sup>43</sup>. These matrices, with single nucleotide mutations found by at least two of the three variant callers and all insertions and deletions identified by Strelka2, were used as input for mutational signature extraction using SigProfilerExtractor<sup>44</sup> and decomposition to COSMICv3.4<sup>45</sup> and assignment using SigProfilerAssignment<sup>46</sup>. For single base substitutions, the SBS-96 mutational context was selected, and default parameters were used, with a minimum and maximum number of output signatures being set as 1 and 5, respectively. After a first round, samples that had more than 50%

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

of mutations assigned to artifactual signatures were removed, and a second run with the remaining 107 samples and the same parameters was performed. For indel mutational signature analysis, the same 107 samples were used, and the ID-83 mutational context was selected. For copy number signature analysis, all 85 samples with available copy number data were used with default parameters, and selecting the CN-48 context.

457

## 458 RNA sequencing and data quality control

459 Total RNA library preparation followed by exome capture using Agilent SureSelect AllExon v5 460 was performed on Illumina HiSeq 4000 machines on 146 samples. Reads were aligned to the GRCh38 reference genome using the splice-aware aligner STAR<sup>47</sup>. Of these, we focused on the 461 462 80 samples that came from different patients, that had matching DNA and were primaries for the 463 score analysis (Methods below). We then applied further quality control filters for the consensus 464 clustering analysis: samples were excluded if total read counts were fewer than 25 million, or if 465 the sum of ambiguous reads and no feature counts was greater than the sum of all gene read 466 pair counts. Forty-seven samples remained for downstream analysis. Counts were generated with HTSeq<sup>48</sup>. Transcripts per million (TPM) normalisation was performed and values were 467 468 log<sub>2</sub>(TPM+1) transformed.

469

## 470 Acral vs. non-acral cutaneous tumour score

471 Invasive acral and non-acral cutaneous melanomas were identified and collected as part of the 472 University of Utah IRB umbrella protocol #76927, Project #60, and RNA was extracted and quantified as previously described<sup>49</sup>. A custom NanoString nCounter XT CodeSet (NanoString 473 Technologies) was designed to include genes differentially expressed between glabrous and non-474 alabrous melanocytes<sup>24,50</sup>. Sample hybridization and processing were performed in the Molecular 475 476 Diagnostics core facility at Huntsman Cancer Institute. Data were collected using the nCounter 477 Digital Analyzer. Raw NanoString counts were normalised using the nSolver Analysis Software 478 (NanoString Technologies). Normalisation was carried out using the geometric mean of 479 housekeeping genes included in the panel (Supplementary Table 6). Background thresholding 480 was performed using a threshold count value of 20. Fold change estimation was calculated by 481 partitioning by acral vs. cutaneous melanoma. The log2 normalised gene expression data were 482 subjected to Principal Component Analysis (PCA) using the PCA function in Prism version 10.2.1 483 (GraphPad Software). PCA was performed to identify the main sources of variability in the data 484 and to distinguish between acral and cutaneous samples.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

To determine the top differentially expressed genes contributing to the variance between acral melanomas and cutaneous melanomas, the loadings of the second principal component (PC2) were examined. Genes with the highest positive and negative loadings on PC2 were selected as the top 10 and bottom 10 genes, respectively. Log2 expression values of these genes were used to generate a multiplicative score, producing the ratio of acral to cutaneous melanocyte genes. Statistical analyses were performed using Prism version 10.2.1 (GraphPad Software). Differences in acral to cutaneous ratios were assessed using the Mann-Whitney U test.

- The acral:cutaneous (A:C) ratio was calculated for each of the 80 primary acral tumours using the method described above. Differences in the A:C gene expression ratio scores between *BRAF* missense mutation-positive and *BRAF/NRAS* wildtype acral melanoma samples were assessed using a Mann-Whitney U test. The same normalisation, scoring method and statistical testing was applied to the 63 transcriptomes from acral melanoma tumours considering *BRAF*-missense (n=13) and wild-type (n=50) in Newell *et al* (2020)<sup>7</sup>. All available samples in this cohort were used, as only one primary had a *BRAF* mutation.
- 500

## 501 Consensus clustering and deconvolution based on gene expression

502 To identify molecular subgroups based on transcriptome data, we performed consensus 503 clustering using the Cola R package<sup>51</sup>. Standard preprocessing of the input matrix was performed, 504 including removal of rows in which >25% of the samples had NA values, imputation of missing 505 values, replacement of values higher than the 95th percentile or less than 5th by corresponding 506 percentiles, removal of rows with zero variance, and removal of rows with variance less than the 507 5th percentile of all row variances. Subsequently, standard statistical metrics were used to assess 508 the number of clusters and the stability of the partitions, including 1-PAC score, concordance and 509 jaccard index, and visual inspection of the consensus matrix through heatmaps visualisations. 510 Afterwards, signature analysis and functional enrichment on the identified clusters were 511 performed.

512

513 The EPIC algorithm<sup>52</sup> was used in the R programming environment to perform deconvolution in 514 order to infer immune and stromal cell fractions within AM tumours. We used the TRef signature 515 method with default parameters, which includes gene expression reference profiles from tumour-516 infiltrating cells. The algorithm generated an absolute score that could be interpreted as a cell 517 fraction.

- 518
- 519

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

### 520 Survival analyses

521 Consenting and recruitment of patients started in December 2017 and ended in October 2019. 522 Because of the challenges of recruiting significant numbers of participants with AM, patients 523 diagnosed in earlier years who were still attending follow-up clinics were recruited. To ensue 524 comparability of data, only participants with a primary available for analysis were the subject of 525 focus in analyses of time to recurrence and/or death. In total, 89 participants were recruited whose 526 primary was available for analysis. For two of these participants (PD51948 and PD51972), the 527 date of recruitment was not available and so these are excluded in the following analyses.

528

Lifetable analysis and Cox proportion hazards were applied to both recurrence and death. For recurrence, all participants with a recurrence prior to consent were excluded from the analysis and treated as a prospective cohort starting at recruitment. In these analyses, where relevant, the date of last note was changed to the date of death.

533

534 Because of the large number of different driver mutations and the small number with each 535 mutation, we combined the data into two groups including those participants with a mutation in 536 any of the driver genes and those without a mutation in one of these genes ("WildType" tumours). 537

For the Cox Proportional Hazards analysis, time to event was measured in days since recruitment
and we adjusted by age (in years), sex (F vs M) and stage at diagnosis; within these analyses,
tumours with stage 1 or stage 2 were considered "lower stage" while those with stage 3 or stage
4 tumours were regarded as "higher stage". Comparisons were on higher vs lower stage.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .





544 Figure 1. Somatic landscape of acral melanoma in Mexican patients. A) Oncoplot depicting the 21 545 most mutated genes and their status in the samples with mutations in these (59 samples out of 96, one per 546 patient). Tumour type, tumour stage, sex, age at diagnosis, ulceration status, tumour site and mutational 547 spectra are shown by sample. B) Mutations found in NRAS, BRAF, KIT and NF1, which are the most 548 significantly mutated genes. C) A logistic regression model controlling for age, sex, self-reported 549 socioeconomic level and TMB was fitted to predict the presence or absence of a mutation on the AM 550 samples using the inferred ADMIXTURE cluster related to the European ancestry component. Log odds 551 estimate and confidence intervals are depicted for the four driver genes. D) Boxplot showing the age of 552 diagnosis of patients classified into genomic subgroups. Statistical significance was assessed by the linear 553 model age ~ BRAF status + NRAS status + KIT status + NF1 status + Multihit status. The central line within 554 each box represents the median value, the box boundaries represent the interguartile range (IQR), and the 555 whiskers extend to the lowest or highest data point still within 1.5xIQR.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .



556

557 Figure 2. DNA copy number landscape of acral melanoma and molecular and clinical correlates in 558 Mexican patients. A) Regions of amplification (red) and deletion (blue) in 70 acral melanoma samples, 559 one per patient, as identified by GISTIC2. Known drivers, or the chromosomal regions, are shown. B) 560 Heatmap showing regions of amplification (red) and deletion (blue) by sample and chromosomal arm in all 561 samples classified into genomic subgroups. C) Boxplot of global copy-number scores (GCS) of 70 samples, 562 one per patient, classified by genomic subgroup. P-values are from Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney paired tests. 563 D) Scatter plot of TMB (X axis) and GCS (Y axis) for 70 samples, one per patient. Dots represent samples, 564 coloured by genomic subtype. Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient and associated P-value is 565 shown. E) Boxplot of GCS of 70 samples, one per patient, classified by tumour site. For figures 2c, 2d, 2e 566 one sample (PD40965f) was not plotted as it did not have annotation of sample type, but was included in 567 statistical tests for the paired comparisons. For box plots, the central line within each box represents the 568 median value, the box boundaries represent the interquartile range (IQR), and the whiskers extend to the 569 lowest or highest data point still within 1.5xIQR.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .



570 571

572 Figure 3. Comparisons of the transcriptional profile of BRAF-, NRAS-mutated and BRAF/NRAS 573 wildtype AM tumours. A) Elucidation of genes used to classify acral vs cutaneous melanoma samples. 574 PCA of acral melanoma (blue) and cutaneous melanoma (purple) samples (left panel). Loadings on PC2 575 were used to identify the top differentially expressed genes contributing to the variance between acral 576 melanomas and cutaneous melanomas (right panel). B) Scatter plot showing the distribution of the 577 acral:cutaneous (A:C) gene expression ratios between test acral and cutaneous melanoma samples. AM 578 samples are represented by blue dots, and CM samples are represented by purple dots (P-value < 0.0001). 579 c) Comparison of A:C gene expression ratio in AM samples with different mutation status. Box and whiskers 580 plot comparing three groups: non-BRAF/NRAS mutated tumours (WT), BRAF-missense mutated tumours, 581 and NRAS-missense mutated tumours. d) Comparison of A:C gene expression ratio in AM samples with 582 BRAF mutations and BRAF-wild type tumours from Newell et al (2020)<sup>7</sup>. The central line within each box 583 represents the median value, the box boundaries represent the interguartile range (IQR), and the whiskers 584 extend to the lowest or highest data point still within 1.5xIQR. Individual data points are plotted as dots. 585 Statistical significance was assessed using individual Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney tests. 586

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .



587

588 Figure 4. Unsupervised gene expression clustering of primary acral melanoma samples from 589 Mexican patients identifies three main groups. A) Gene expression heatmap showing the 5,439 genes 590 identified as differentially expressed among sample clusters. Samples are in the X axis and genes are in 591 the Y axis. Mutational status and clinical covariates by sample are shown above the heatmap. B) Box plot 592 of mitotic index (Y axis) per sample classified by transcriptional cluster. C) Box plot of endothelial cell 593 proportion (Y axis), as calculated by deconvolution, per sample classified by transcriptional cluster. D) Box 594 plot of CD4+ T cell proportion (Y axis), as calculated by deconvolution, per sample classified by 595 transcriptional cluster. E) Box plot of cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs, Y axis), as calculated by 596 deconvolution, per sample classified by transcriptional cluster. The central line within each box represents 597 the median value, the box boundaries represent the interquartile range (IQR), and the whiskers extend to 598 the lowest or highest data point still within 1.5xIQR. Individual data points are plotted as dots. Wilcoxon-599 Mann-Whitney paired tests were performed.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 5. Kaplan-Meier plots of overall and recurrence-free survival for patients by tumour
 mutational and transcriptional status. A) Recurrence-free survival of patients with and without driver
 mutations. B) Recurrence-free survival for patients with tumours with and without mutations in *NF1*. C)
 Overall survival for patients with tumours in each of the three identified transcriptional clusters. *P*-values
 shown are from Log-rank tests.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .



608 609

Supplementary Figure 1. Estimation of ancestry proportions per sample together with the superpopulations of the 1000 Genomes dataset. The leftmost panel corresponds to the samples genotyped in this study (n=84). The following panels correspond to the superpopulations in the 1000 Genomes Project. Five superpopulations are plotted, corresponding to African (AFR, blue), Admixed American (AMR, orange), South Asian (SAS, green), East Asian (EAS, red), and European (EUR, purple).

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .



<sup>616</sup> 

617 Supplementary Figure 2. Somatic landscape of acral melanoma samples without mutations in 618 BRAF, NRAS, NF1 and KIT in Mexican patients. a) Oncoplot depicting the 15 most mutated genes and 619 their status in the samples with mutations in these and without mutations in established driver genes (17 620 samples out of 96, one per patient). Tumour type, tumour stage, sex, age at diagnosis, ulceration status, 621 tumour site and mutational spectra are shown by sample. b) Mutations found in HRAS, SPHKAP and 622 POU3F3, which are the top mutated genes after the established drivers, including the whole cohort (not 623 only the BRAF/NRAS/NF1/KIT wildtype tumours). For SPHKAP, mutations with hash symbols are found in 624 the same sample, and are in a KIT-mutated sample. For POU3F3, mutations with a hash symbol are found 625 in samples with driver mutations.

- 626
- 627

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .





529 Supplementary Figure 3. Boxplot of the proportion of Amerindian ancestry among patients 530 classified by genomic subtype. Each dot corresponds to a sample. *P*-value is from a one-tailed Mann-531 Whitney-Wilcoxon test. The central line within each box represents the median value, the box boundaries 532 represent the interquartile range (IQR), and the whiskers extend to the lowest or highest data point still 533 within 1.5xIQR.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

635



636 637

638 Supplementary Figure 4. Boxplot of TMB for all samples classified by genomic subtype. Each dot 639 corresponds to a sample, and colours represent tumour type. The central line within each box represents 640 the median value, the box boundaries represent the interquartile range (IQR), and the whiskers extend to 641 the lowest or highest data point still within 1.5xIQR.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .



644 Supplementary Figure 5. Mutational signatures found in acral melanoma samples from Mexican 645 patients. a) Tumour mutational burden per sample, plotted separately for SNVs (top panel) and indels 646 (bottom panel). b-d) Proportions of mutational signatures per sample are shown in stacked bars for single 647 base substitutions (b), indels (c), and copy-number aberrations (d). Known artifacts are shown in darker 648 gray shades. In d), samples with a light gray background did not have data available. Genomic subtypes 649 and clinical characteristics are plotted at the bottom. os: Oversegmentation artifact.

- 650
- 651

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

# 652 Table legends

653

654 **Table 1.** Clinical information for patients included in this study.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

655 656	Supplementary Table legends
657 658 659 660 661 662	<b>Supplementary Table 1.</b> Clinical and molecular information for patients and samples analysed in this study. Clinical information, along with genomic subtype and classification, TMB, copy number alteration counts, transcriptomic cluster and socioeconomic status are included. For self-reported socioeconomic status, the range is 1-7, with 1 being the lowest and 7 being the highest. To help anonymise the patients, dates are shown as month/year and ages were rounded down to the nearest 5-year tier.
663 664	Supplementary Table 2. Ancestry proportions for five superpopulations for samples in this study
665 666 667	and 1000 Genomes Project samples. The header is labelled with the inferred population from comparison with the 1000 Genomes projects.
668 669	Supplementary Table 3. Amplification and deletion peaks found in acral melanoma samples.
670 671	<b>Supplementary Table 4.</b> Cytobands, q values, location and genes contained within amplification peaks.
672 673 674	<b>Supplementary Table 5.</b> Cytobands, q values, location and genes contained within deletion peaks.
675 676 677	Supplementary Table 6. List of candidate genes from acral and cutaneous melanoma datasets.
678 679 680	<b>Supplementary Table 7.</b> Genes, pathways and biological processes associated to each transcriptional cluster.
681 682 683	<b>Supplementary Table 8.</b> Log-rank test for equality of survivors for recurrence-free survival by mutation status.
684 685 686	<b>Supplementary Table 9.</b> Log-rank test for equality of survivors for recurrence-free survival by genomic subtype.
687 688	<b>Supplementary Table 10.</b> Two-sample t-test with equal variance for time to recurrence among patients with recurrences prior to recruitment.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

689

690 **Supplementary Table 11.** Log-rank test for equality of survivor functions for overall survival by

691 transcriptomic cluster.

- 693 Supplementary Table 12. Cox proportional hazards model evaluating the relationship of
- 694 transcriptional clusters to overall survival.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

#### 695 Supplementary Information

696

# 697 Mutational signature analyses identify potential sources of mutation and chromosomal

698 aberrations

699 TMB ranges were 1-393 SNVs and 36-292 indels across the whole cohort of samples. Mutational signature analysis was performed on all 128 samples, and after a filtering step where samples 700 701 with more than 50% of mutations assigned to artifactual signatures were removed, 107 samples 702 remained, with TMB ranges 1-300 SNVs and 36-232 indels (Supplementary Figure 4a). A 703 second mutational signature analysis was performed (Supplementary Figures 4b-d). Single-704 base substitution mutational signature analysis across these 107 samples identified signatures 705 SBS1, SBS5, SBS7a, SBS7b, SBS40a and some residual SBS45 (Supplementary Figure 4b). 706 The first two of these have been previously classified as clock-like signatures, while SBS1 is related to spontaneous deamination of 5-methylcytosine<sup>19</sup>. SBS7a and SBS7b are related to the 707 708 UV mutagenic process<sup>6</sup>. SBS40a, which contributes 28.24% of mutations (2005) to the total, is of 709 unknown origin, but has been identified in many cancer types<sup>20</sup>. SBS45 has been recognised as 710 a sequencing artefact<sup>53</sup>, which potentially relates to the FFPE origin of these samples. 711 Nevertheless, this analysis is precluded by small numbers of mutations and the FFPE origin of 712 these samples. Indel mutational signature analysis identified two contributing mutagenic patterns, 713 which have been catalogued as ID2 and ID12 (Supplementary Figure 4c). ID2 has been 714 proposed to be caused by slippage during DNA replication of the template strand and has been 715 found across many types of cancer<sup>54</sup>. ID12 is of unknown aetiology.

716

717 Copy number signature analysis identified a number of patterns across many samples 718 (Supplementary Figure 4d). CN1, which has been associated with a diploid state and CN9, 719 which is potentially caused by local loss of heterozygosity (LOH) on a diploid background, 720 dominated the CN landscape. Nearly a quarter (22%) of samples with a diploid background also 721 showed CN13, which has been associated to chromosomal LOH. Samples with WGD, illustrated 722 by the dominance of CN2, usually also showed CN17, a signature of homologous recombination 723 (HRD) deficiency. No somatic mutations in these samples were found in BRCA1, BRCA2, CDK12, 724 PALB2 or FBXW7, and no other signatures of HRD were found in these samples (possibly due to 725 the small number of point mutations). These analyses illustrate the complexity of these samples' 726 genomes and the heterogeneity of genome compositions across distinct samples. 727

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

#### 729 References

- 730
- 1. Rabbie, R., Ferguson, P., Molina-Aguilar, C., Adams, D. J. & Robles-Espinoza, C. D.
- 732 Melanoma subtypes: genomic profiles, prognostic molecular markers and therapeutic
- 733 possibilities. *J Pathol* **247**, 539–551 (2019).
- 2. Ossio, R., Roldán-Marín, R., Martínez-Said, H., Adams, D. J. & Robles-Espinoza, C. D.
- 735 Melanoma: a global perspective. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **17**, 393–394 (2017).
- 3. Basurto-Lozada, P. *et al.* Acral lentiginous melanoma: Basic facts, biological characteristics
- and research perspectives of an understudied disease. *Pigment Cell & Melanoma Research*
- **34**, 59–71 (2021).
- Mao, L., Qi, Z., Zhang, L., Guo, J. & Si, L. Immunotherapy in Acral and Mucosal Melanoma:
  Current Status and Future Directions. *Front. Immunol.* **0**, (2021).
- 5. Curtin, J. A. *et al.* Distinct sets of genetic alterations in melanoma. *N Engl J Med* 353, 2135–
  2147 (2005).
- 743 6. Hayward, N. K. *et al.* Whole-genome landscapes of major melanoma subtypes. *Nature* 545,
  744 175–180 (2017).
- 745 7. Newell, F. *et al.* Whole-genome sequencing of acral melanoma reveals genomic complexity
  746 and diversity. *Nature Communications* **11**, 5259 (2020).
- 8. Shi, K. *et al.* Distinct Genomic Features in a Retrospective Cohort of Mucosal, Acral and
  Vulvovaginal Melanomas. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* (2019) doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2019.07.017.
- 749 9. Turajlic, S. *et al.* Whole genome sequencing of matched primary and metastatic acral
- 750 melanomas. *Genome Res* **22**, 196–207 (2012).
- 751 10. Yeh, I. *et al.* Targeted Genomic Profiling of Acral Melanoma. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **111**,
  752 1068–1077 (2019).
- 11. Carrot-Zhang, J. et al. Comprehensive Analysis of Genetic Ancestry and Its Molecular
- 754 Correlates in Cancer. *Cancer Cell* **37**, 639-654.e6 (2020).

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

- 12. Nassar, A. H. et al. Ancestry-driven recalibration of tumor mutational burden and disparate
- clinical outcomes in response to immune checkpoint inhibitors. Cancer Cell 40, 1161-

757 1172.e5 (2022).

- 13. Carrot-Zhang, J. *et al.* Genetic Ancestry Contributes to Somatic Mutations in Lung Cancers
- from Admixed Latin American Populations. *Cancer Discovery* **11**, 591–598 (2021).
- 760 14. Molina-Aguilar, C. & Robles-Espinoza, C. D. Tackling the lack of diversity in cancer

research. *Disease Models & Mechanisms* **16**, dmm050275 (2023).

15. Park, S. L., Cheng, I. & Haiman, C. A. Genome-Wide Association Studies of Cancer in

763 Diverse Populations. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 27, 405–417 (2018).

16. Campbell, P. J. *et al.* Pan-cancer analysis of whole genomes. *Nature* **578**, 82–93 (2020).

17. Amin, M. B. et al. The Eighth Edition AJCC Cancer Staging Manual: Continuing to build a

bridge from a population-based to a more 'personalized' approach to cancer staging. CA

767 *Cancer J Clin* **67**, 93–99 (2017).

- 18. Ng, P. K.-S. *et al.* Systematic Functional Annotation of Somatic Mutations in Cancer. *Cancer Cell* 33, 450-462.e10 (2018).
- 19. Alexandrov, L. B. *et al.* Clock-like mutational processes in human somatic cells. *Nat Genet*47, 1402–1407 (2015).
- 20. Senkin, S. *et al.* Geographic variation of mutagenic exposures in kidney cancer genomes. *Nature* 629, 910–918 (2024).
- 21. Steele, C. D. *et al.* Signatures of copy number alterations in human cancer. *Nature* 606,
  984–991 (2022).
- 22. Everall, A. *et al.* Comprehensive repertoire of the chromosomal alteration and mutational
- signatures across 16 cancer types from 10,983 cancer patients. 2023.06.07.23290970
- 778 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.07.23290970 (2023).
- 23. Yeh, I. & Bastian, B. C. Melanoma Pathology 2.0 New Approaches and Classification. Br J
- 780 Dermatol **185**, 282–293 (2021).

#### It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

- 781 24. Belote, R. L. *et al.* Human melanocyte development and melanoma dedifferentiation at
- single-cell resolution. *Nat Cell Biol* **23**, 1035–1047 (2021).
- 783 25. Tsoumakidou, M. The advent of immune stimulating CAFs in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 23,
  784 258–269 (2023).
- 26. Kim, I. S. *et al.* Microenvironment-derived factors driving metastatic plasticity in melanoma.
- 786 *Nat Commun* **8**, 14343 (2017).
- 787 27. Liu, H. et al. Integrative molecular and spatial analysis reveals evolutionary dynamics and
- tumor-immune interplay of in situ and invasive acral melanoma. Cancer Cell 42, 1067-
- 789 1085.e11 (2024).
- 28. Alexander, D. H., Novembre, J. & Lange, K. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in
- 791 unrelated individuals. *Genome Res* **19**, 1655–1664 (2009).
- 29. Li, H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. *arXiv:1303.3997 [q-bio]* (2013).
- 30. Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25, 2078–
  2079 (2009).
- 31. Andrews, S. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.
- 797 http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc (2010).
- 32. McKenna, A. *et al.* The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing
  next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* 20, 1297–1303 (2010).
- 33. Bergmann, E. A., Chen, B.-J., Arora, K., Vacic, V. & Zody, M. C. Conpair: concordance and
- 801 contamination estimator for matched tumor–normal pairs. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 3196–3198
- 802 (2016).
- 803 34. Cibulskis, K. et al. Sensitive detection of somatic point mutations in impure and
- heterogeneous cancer samples. *Nat Biotechnol* **31**, 213–219 (2013).
- 35. Benjamin, D. et al. Calling Somatic SNVs and Indels with Mutect2. 861054 Preprint at
- 806 https://doi.org/10.1101/861054 (2019).

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

- 807 36. Koboldt, D. C. *et al.* VarScan 2: somatic mutation and copy number alteration discovery in
  808 cancer by exome sequencing. *Genome Res* 22, 568–576 (2012).
- 809 37. Kim, S. *et al.* Strelka2: fast and accurate calling of germline and somatic variants. *Nat*
- 810 *Methods* **15**, 591–594 (2018).
- 811 38. Chen, X. *et al.* Manta: rapid detection of structural variants and indels for germline and
- 812 cancer sequencing applications. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 1220–1222 (2016).
- 813 39. Martincorena, I. *et al.* Universal Patterns of Selection in Cancer and Somatic Tissues. *Cell*
- 814 **171**, 1029-1041.e21 (2017).
- 40. Favero, F. *et al.* Sequenza: allele-specific copy number and mutation profiles from tumor
- 816 sequencing data. Ann Oncol **26**, 64–70 (2015).
- 41. Mermel, C. H. *et al.* GISTIC2.0 facilitates sensitive and confident localization of the targets
- of focal somatic copy-number alteration in human cancers. *Genome Biol* **12**, R41 (2011).
- 42. Franch-Expósito, S. *et al.* CNApp, a tool for the quantification of copy number alterations
- and integrative analysis revealing clinical implications. *eLife* **9**, e50267 (2020).
- 43. Bergstrom, E. N. et al. SigProfilerMatrixGenerator: a tool for visualizing and exploring
- patterns of small mutational events. *BMC Genomics* **20**, 685 (2019).
- 44. Islam, S. M. A. *et al.* Uncovering novel mutational signatures by *de novo* extraction with
  SigProfilerExtractor. *Cell Genomics* 2, 100179 (2022).
- 45. Sondka, Z. et al. COSMIC: a curated database of somatic variants and clinical data for
- 826 cancer. *Nucleic Acids Research* **52**, D1210–D1217 (2024).
- 46. Díaz-Gay, M. et al. Assigning mutational signatures to individual samples and individual
- somatic mutations with SigProfilerAssignment. *Bioinformatics* **39**, btad756 (2023).
- 47. Dobin, A. et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21 (2013).
- 48. Anders, S., Pyl, P. T. & Huber, W. HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-
- throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 166–169 (2015).

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

- 49. Deacon, D. C. et al. Classification of Cutaneous Melanoma and Melanocytic Nevi with
- 833 microRNA Ratios is Preserved in the Acral Melanoma Subtype. *Journal of Investigative*834 *Dermatology*.
- 835 50. Weiss, J. M. *et al.* Anatomic position determines oncogenic specificity in melanoma. *Nature*836 **604**, 354–361 (2022).
- 51. Gu, Z., Schlesner, M. & Hübschmann, D. cola: an R/Bioconductor package for consensus

partitioning through a general framework. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, e15 (2020).

- 52. Racle, J., de Jonge, K., Baumgaertner, P., Speiser, D. E. & Gfeller, D. Simultaneous
- 840 enumeration of cancer and immune cell types from bulk tumor gene expression data. *Elife*
- 841 **6**, e26476 (2017).
- 53. Alexandrov, L. B. *et al.* The repertoire of mutational signatures in human cancer. *Nature*
- **578**, 94–101 (2020).
- 54. Thatikonda, V. et al. Comprehensive analysis of mutational signatures reveals distinct
- patterns and molecular processes across 27 pediatric cancers. *Nat Cancer* **4**, 276–289
- 846 (2023).
- 847
- 848

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

#### 849 Acknowledgments

850 We are deeply grateful to patients and their families for agreeing to form part of this study and 851 providing access to their samples. We are also thankful to members of the CGBio lab team at 852 LIIGH-UNAM for valuable discussions regarding the findings in this article. The authors wish to 853 thank Luis A. Aguilar, Alejandro de León and Carlos S. Flores from the Laboratorio Nacional de 854 Visualización Científica Avanzada and Jair S. García Sotelo, Abigavl Hernández, Eglee Lomelín, 855 Iliana Martínez, Rebeca Muciño, María A. Ávila, Alejandra Castillo and Carina Díaz from the 856 International Laboratory for Human Genome Research, National Autonomous University of 857 Mexico. Work included in this paper has been funded by Wellcome Trust (204562/Z/16/Z and 858 227228/Z/23/Z to C.D.R.-E.), the Melanoma Research Alliance (Pilot Award #825924, to C.D.R.-859 E.), the Mexican National Council of Humanities, Science and Technology (CONAHCYT, FOSISS 860 A3-S-31603. to C.D.R.-E.). Programa de Apovo a Provectos de Investigación e Innovación 861 Tecnológica (PAPIIT UNAM) (IN209422 to C.D.R.-E.), and the Wellcome Sanger Institute through 862 an International Fellowship. This project was also supported by the MRC Dermatlas project; 863 MR/V000292/1. A.J., D.C.D., and R.L.J.-T. are supported by the Department of Dermatology and 864 the Huntsman Cancer Foundation. This work was funded in part by the Melanoma Research 865 Alliance Dermatology Fellows award to D.C.D., the Harry J Lloyd Charitable Trust Melanoma 866 Research Grant to R.L.J.-T., a National Cancer Institute R01 (R01CA229896) to R.L.J.-T., and 867 pilot funds from the Huntsman Cancer Institute Melanoma Center. We utilised the Shared 868 Resources for Research Informatics and High-Throughput Genomics and Bioinformatics 869 Analysis, each supported by the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health 870 under Award Number P30CA042014. P.B. is a PhD student from Programa de Doctorado en 871 Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), and is supported by 872 Consejo Nacional de Humanidades, Ciencia y Tecnología (CONAHCyT) (holder no. 562546, 873 scholarship no.762536). This work represents a substantial part of her dissertation.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

### 875 Author Contributions

876 P.B.-L., M.E.V.-C., D.C.-I., I.S.-W., J.R.C.W.-R., K.L.C.-R., A.J., D.C.D., J.I.R.-G., O.I.G.-S. and 877 M.C.V.H. performed bioinformatic and statistical analyses, C.M.-A., F.G.A.-G., M.C.-V., R.O.-L. 878 and L. v.d.W. did sample cataloguing and nucleic acid extraction. E.T.D. provided computational 879 resources and advice on statistical analyses. A.A.-C., D.Y.G.-O., H.M.-S., R.R.-M., H.V.C., L.A.T.-880 P. and D.H.-U. assessed patients and provided access to biological samples, A.H.-M. provided 881 facilities for sample processing and supervised that part of the work. M.J.A., I.F. and M.T. 882 performed sample histopathology. M.D.-G. and L.B.A. supervised the mutational signatures 883 analysis. Y.S.-P. provided access to patient clinical information and supervised that part of the 884 work. G.K.I., R.L.B. and R.M.W. provided data and information that crucially helped the 885 interpretation of the results in this manuscript. D.T.B. performed survival statistical analyses. 886 P.A.P., R.L.J.-T., D.J.A. and C.D.R.-E. jointly supervised this work. C.D.R.-E. wrote the 887 manuscript with assistance from P.B., P.A.P., R.L.J.-T. and D.J.A.

888

## 889 **Code and data availability statement**

Sequencing data are available at the European Genome-Phenome Archive (EGA). DNA
 sequencing data are available under ENA accession number EGAS00001003740 and RNA
 sequencing data under ENA accession number EGAS00001003758. Code is available at
 <a href="https://github.com/CGBio-Lab/Mex-acral-exomes-transcriptomes">https://github.com/CGBio-Lab/Mex-acral-exomes-transcriptomes</a>.

894

## 895 Competing interests

- 896 The authors declare no competing interests.
- 897