# Chemical Science

# EDGE ARTICLE



Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2016, 7, 2151

# A smart "off-on" gate for the *in situ* detection of hydrogen sulphide with Cu(II)-assisted europium emission<sup>†</sup>

Zhenhao Liang,<sup>ab</sup> Tik-Hung Tsoi,<sup>b</sup> Chi-Fai Chan,<sup>c</sup> Lixiong Dai,<sup>bc</sup> Yudan Wu,<sup>a</sup> Guangyan Du,<sup>a</sup> Lizhi Zhu,<sup>ab</sup> Chi-Sing Lee,\*<sup>a</sup> Wing-Tak Wong,\*<sup>b</sup> Ga-Lai Law\*<sup>b</sup> and Ka-Leung Wong\*<sup>c</sup>

A water-soluble and emissive Eu-complex (EuL1) bearing a DO3A(Eu<sup>3+</sup>)-pyridine-aza-crown motif has been prepared and its Cu<sup>2+</sup> complex has been demonstrated to be a smart luminescence "off-on" gate for H<sub>2</sub>S detection in water with a nano-molar detection limit (60 nM). EuL1 binds to Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions selectively ( $K_B = 1.2 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}$ ) inducing 17-fold luminescence quenching and forming a 1:1 stoichiometric complex (EuL1-Cu<sup>2+</sup>), which responds to H<sub>2</sub>S selectively with restoration of the original Eu emission of EuL1 followed by a further 40-fold luminescence enhancement, forming a 1:1 stoichiometric complex (EuL1-Na<sub>2</sub>S,  $K_B = 1.5 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ ). Without Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions, EuL1 showed non-specific binding towards H<sub>2</sub>S with only a 5-fold luminescence enhancement.

Received 28th October 2015 Accepted 7th December 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5sc04091d

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

# Introduction

Hydrogen sulphide ( $H_2S$ ) is the smallest bioactive thiol that may act as a gaseous signalling agent,<sup>1</sup> and its production in different tissue types is associated with a wide range of physiological responses such as vascular smooth muscle relaxation,<sup>2</sup> mitochondrial ATP production,<sup>3</sup> insulin-signalling inhibition,<sup>4</sup> regulation of inflammation response<sup>5</sup> and mediation of neurotransmission.<sup>6</sup> Moreover, recent investigations show that abnormal levels of  $H_2S$  are associated with a variety of diseases, such as neurodegenerative diseases,<sup>7</sup> diabetes<sup>8</sup> and cancer.<sup>9</sup> However, the biological targets of  $H_2S$  and the mechanisms of these  $H_2S$ -related physiological phenomena remain unclear. Therefore the development of responsive and reversible luminescence probes for non-invasive real time monitoring of  $H_2S$ may be useful for understanding its biological modes of action.

One of the major approaches for developing luminescence H<sub>2</sub>S detection<sup>10</sup> is based on sulphide-specific chemical reactions, such as reduction of an azide<sup>11</sup> and nucleophilic addition of a sulphide ion.<sup>12</sup> This type of luminescence probe is generally irreversible and usually requires a considerably long incubation

<sup>a</sup>Laboratory of Chemical Genomics, School of Chemical Biology and Biotechnology, Peking University Shenzhen Graduate School, Shenzhen University Town, Xili, Shenzhen 518055, China. E-mail: lizc@pkusz.edu.cn

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2016

time. An alternative approach is based on CuS precipitation<sup>13</sup> due to the low-solubility of CuS ( $K_{\rm sp} = 6.3 \times 10^{-36}$ ). These luminescence probes are generally reversible with low detection limits. We are particularly interested in developing H<sub>2</sub>S luminescence sensors based on organo-lanthanide complexes due to their water-solubility and unique photophysical properties, including line-like emission spectra and long luminescence lifetimes (micro to milli second scale) that can effectively separate the observing signal from biological autofluorescence noise and are suitable for time-gated detection. Recently, a few studies have been found in the literature with irreversible H<sub>2</sub>S lanthanide probes.<sup>12a</sup> Herein, we report the development of a novel responsive europium-based luminescence "off–on" gate for the *in situ* detection of H<sub>2</sub>S in water.

As illustrated in Fig. 1, **EuL1** contains a DO3A–Eu<sup>3+</sup> complex and an aza-18-crown-6 moiety, which are linked to the 2- and 6positions of a pyridine-containing chromophore constituting a switch-like structure. In the ground state, **EuL1** should be emissive due to the coordination of the pyridine chromophore




Fig. 1 The structure of EuL1 and the illustration of the design of a reversible Eu-based luminescence probe (EuL1-Cu<sup>2+</sup>) for  $H_2S$  detection.



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>State Key Laboratory for Chiral Sciences, Department of Applied Biological and Chemical Technology, Hong Kong Polytechnic University Shenzhen Research Institute, Shenzhen, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, Hong Kong Baptist University, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Detailed experimental procedures, characterization of compounds, NMR spectra and supplementary fluorometric titration studies. See DOI: 10.1039/c5sc04091d

#### **Chemical Science**

to a  $Eu^{3+}$  ion, which favours energy transfer from the organic chromophore to the  $Eu^{3+}$  ion. Upon binding of the aza-18crown-6 moiety with a  $Cu^{2+}$  ion, pyridine is expected to coordinate with the  $Cu^{2+}$  ion, resulting in luminescence quenching. The europium emission should be recovered after the displacement of the  $Cu^{2+}$  ion upon copper sulphide precipitation.

## Results and discussion


#### Synthesis and photophysical properties of L1 and EuL1

Ligand L1 was readily prepared from (4-iodopyridine-2,6-diyl) dimethanol (1)<sup>14</sup> *via* a desymmetrization synthetic strategy. As shown in Scheme 1, a pyridine-containing chromophore (based on a D– $\pi$ –A motif) was established *via* a Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction between 1 and 1-ethynyl-4-propoxybenzene (2).<sup>15</sup> After converting both hydroxyl groups of 3 into the corresponding bromide, the aza-18-crown-6 and DO3A moieties were incorporated into 4 sequentially under basic conditions and afforded L1 in good yields. L1 was fully characterized using <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy and HRMS. Finally, acid hydrolysis of the *t*-butyl esters followed by Eu complex formation provided **EuL1**, which was characterized unambiguously using HRMS and HPLC (Table S1 and Fig. S1<sup>†</sup>).

In the UV-vis absorption spectrum, **L1** showed strong absorption bands at 235 and 310 nm in methanol which are attributed to the  $\pi$  to  $\pi^*$  transitions. The absorption bands were broadened and red-shifted in **EuL1** (245 and 333 nm,  $\varepsilon_{333 \text{ nm}} = 7560 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) in water (Fig. S2†). The excitation spectrum of **EuL1** at 615 nm showed maxima at 240 and 340 nm (Fig. S2†), evidencing an antenna effect due to energy transfer from the ligand to the Eu<sup>3+</sup> ion. The <sup>5</sup>D<sub>0</sub>  $\rightarrow$  <sup>7</sup>F<sub>J</sub> transitions of **EuL1** ( $\lambda_{ex} = 325 \text{ nm}$ ) were found at 578 (J = 0), 585–603 (J = 1), 604–637 (J = 2), 646–658 (J = 3), and 673–712 nm (J = 4) in the emission spectrum (Fig. 2). The quantum yield of **EuL1** corresponding to the <sup>5</sup>D<sub>0</sub>  $\rightarrow$  <sup>7</sup>F<sub>2</sub> transitions of Eu<sup>3+</sup> ions in water is 0.5% (Table S2†).

#### Fluorimetric titration studies of EuL1

With **EuL1** in hand, its binding properties towards  $Cu^{2+}$  ions were investigated. Upon the addition of 1 equiv. of  $Cu^{2+}$  ions (CuCl<sub>2</sub> as the source of  $Cu^{2+}$  ions), the absorption maximum of **EuL1** showed a slight red shift and the absorption ability slightly decreased due to the effect of the copper metal. In a titration study, **EuL1** exhibited a 17-fold quenching of the



Scheme 1 Synthesis of L1 and EuL1




Fig. 2 Emission spectrum of EuL1 (H<sub>2</sub>O,  $\lambda_{ex} = 325$  nm, 10  $\mu$ M).




Fig. 3 (a) Fluorimetric titration of EuL1 (10  $\mu$ M) towards Cu<sup>2+</sup>. The inset shows the plot of  $I_0/(I - I_0)$  vs. [Cu<sup>2+</sup>] (0–20  $\mu$ M). *I* and  $I_0$  stand for intensity of europium emission  ${}^5D_0 \rightarrow {}^7F_2$ . (b) Effects of various metal ions on the luminescence intensity of EuL1 (10  $\mu$ M). 1: EuL1 only; 2: Na<sup>+</sup>; 3: K<sup>+</sup>; 4: Ca<sup>2+</sup>; 5: Mg<sup>2+</sup>; 6: Ba<sup>2+</sup>; 7: Co<sup>2+</sup>; 8: Zn<sup>2+</sup>; 9: Ni<sup>2+</sup>; 10: Fe<sup>2+</sup>; 11: Mn<sup>2+</sup>; 12: Cu<sup>+</sup>; 13: Li<sup>+</sup>; 14: Cu<sup>2+</sup>; 15: all of the above metal ions except Cu<sup>2+</sup>. All spectra were acquired in water with excitation at 325 nm.





Fig. 4 The emission spectra of EuL1 (10  $\mu$ M) (red), with 1 equiv. of Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions (green), and with 1 equiv. of Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions and 1 equiv. of H<sub>2</sub>S (black). All spectra were acquired in water with  $\lambda_{ex}$  at 325 nm.


europium emission with an excess of Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions and the Benesi– Hildebrand plot showed a 1 : 1 binding stoichiometry with  $K_{\rm B} = 1.2 \times 10^5 \, {\rm M}^{-1}$  (inset of Fig. 3a).<sup>16</sup> The Job's plot also supported the formation of a **EuL1**–Cu<sup>2+</sup> complex in a 1 : 1 ratio (Fig. S3†).



**Fig. 5** (a) Fluorimetric titration of **EuL1**–Cu<sup>2+</sup> (10  $\mu$ M, generated *in situ* with 2 equiv. of Cu<sup>2+</sup>) towards H<sub>2</sub>S (0–100  $\mu$ M). The inset shows the plot of  $I_0/(I - I_0)$  vs. [Na<sub>2</sub>S] (0–100  $\mu$ M). *I* and  $I_0$  stand for intensity of europium emission <sup>5</sup>D<sub>0</sub>  $\rightarrow$  <sup>7</sup>F<sub>2</sub>. (b) Effects of various anions on the luminescence intensity of **EuL1** (10  $\mu$ M). 1: **EuL1** only; 2: Cl<sup>-</sup>; 3: SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>; 4: HSO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>; 5: I<sup>-</sup>; 6: CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>; 7: HPO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>; 8: Br<sup>-</sup>; 9: HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>; 10: S<sup>2-</sup>; 11: GSH; 12: cysteine. All spectra were acquired in water with excitation at 325 nm.

In a competitive study, the addition of a large excess of various metal ions, such as Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Ba<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>+</sup> and Li<sup>+</sup> ions, to **EuL1** resulted in only slight luminescence changes (red columns in Fig. 3b). The subsequent addition of excess Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions caused significant luminescence quenching (blue columns in Fig. 3b). These results indicate the high selectivity of **EuL1** towards Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions and that the binding between **EuL1** and Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions is not interfered by other metal ions. In a pH study, **EuL1** remains highly emissive and was quenched by Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions in the pH range 6 to 8 (Fig. S4<sup>+</sup>), indicating that **EuL1** is stable and can bind to Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions under physiological conditions.

To study the reversibility of the binding between EuL1 and  $Cu^{2+}$  ions, a small amount of  $H_2S$  (Na<sub>2</sub>S as the source of  $H_2S$ ) was added. The EuL1-Cu<sup>2+</sup> complex responded instantaneously (requiring only 40 s to reach saturation without stirring or shaking) (Fig. S5<sup>†</sup>), and Eu emission resumed with a similar profile for the emission spectrum to that of EuL1 (Fig. 4). This result indicated that the DO3A-Eu<sup>3+</sup> complex was not displaced by a  $Cu^{2+}$  ion, forming the **EuL1**- $Cu^{2+}$  complex in the previous step. More interestingly, Eu emission was further enhanced (40-fold) with an excess of  $H_2S$  and the  $Eu^{3+}$  emission profile showed significant changes, suggesting binding between EuL1 and H<sub>2</sub>S (Fig. 5a). The Benesi-Hildebrand plot showed a 1:1 binding stoichiometry with  $K_{\rm B} = 1.5 \times 10^4 \ {\rm M}^{-1}$  (inset of Fig. 5a).<sup>16</sup> The detection limit of EuL1 towards H<sub>2</sub>S was calculated according to the  $3S_{\rm D}$ /slope as low as 60 nM. Surprisingly, direct titration of EuL1 against H2S resulted in only about a 5fold luminescence enhancement with a non-linear relationship in the 1:1 Benesi-Hildebrand plot (Fig. 6). These results indicated that the  $Cu^{2+}$  ion facilitates the specific 1 : 1 binding of EuL1 and H<sub>2</sub>S, presumably via pre-organizing the conformation of EuL1. On the other hand, non-specific binding (possibly a mixture of 1:1 and 2:1 binding) between EuL1 and H<sub>2</sub>S resulted without the favourable conformation that is induced by



**Fig. 6** Fluorimetric titration of **EuL1** (10  $\mu$ M) towards H<sub>2</sub>S (0–300  $\mu$ M). The inset shows the plot of  $I_0/(I - I_0)$  vs. [H<sub>2</sub>S] (0–300  $\mu$ M). *I* and  $I_0$  stand for intensity of europium emission  ${}^5D_0 \rightarrow {}^7F_2$ . All spectra were acquired in water with  $\lambda_{ex}$  at 325 nm.




Fig. 7 Top: proposed binding mechanism of EuL1 towards Cu<sup>2+</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>S (Na<sub>2</sub>S as the source of H<sub>2</sub>S). Bottom left: emission spectra of the Eu complexes ( $\lambda_{ex} = 325$  nm). Bottom right: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the La complexes (6.5–8.5 ppm).

the pre-complexation of a  $Cu^{2+}$  ion. This proposal was further supported by the dramatic luminescence drop of the **EuL1**–Na<sub>2</sub>S complex upon heating (>70 °C) (Fig. S6†). This type of  $Cu^{2+}$ assisted luminescence enhancement of Eu emission is unprecedented. In a competitive study, **EuL1**– $Cu^{2+}$  showed insignificant changes in luminescence with a large excess of anions, including Cl<sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, HSO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, I<sup>-</sup>, CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, HPO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup> and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and only small changes for GSH and cysteine (red columns in Fig. 5b). Upon the addition of H<sub>2</sub>S, the Eu emissions were recovered in all the above cases, indicating a high selectivity of **EuL1**–Cu<sup>2+</sup> towards H<sub>2</sub>S.

#### Mechanistic studies

The binding mechanisms of EuL1 towards  ${\rm Cu}^{2+}$  ions and the  $EuL1{\rm -Cu}^{2+}$  complex towards  $H_2S$  were studied using

Table 1 The ratio of  ${}^5D_0 \rightarrow {}^7F_J (J=0 \text{ to } 4)$  emission bands of EuL1, EuL1 + Cu<sup>2+</sup> and EuL1 + Cu<sup>2+</sup> + H<sub>2</sub>S<sup>a</sup>

$^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow$	${}^{7}F_{0}$	${}^{7}F_{1}$	${}^{7}F_{2}$	${}^{7}F_{3}$	$^{7}F_{4}$
<b>EuL1</b>	0.01	1	1.22	0.08	0.55
<b>EuL1</b> + $Cu^{2+}$	0.08	1	1.86	0.15	0.91
<b>EuL1</b> + $Cu^{2+}$ + $H_2S$	0.48	1	3.98	0.15	1.95

<sup>a</sup> All spectra were acquired in water with excitation at 325 nm.

a comparative analysis of the emission spectra of the Eu complexes and the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of La complexes.<sup>17</sup> As shown in Fig. 7, the profile of the emission spectrum of **EuL1** did not change significantly upon the addition of  $Cu^{2+}$  ions. Comparing [**EuL1**], [**EuL1** +  $Cu^{2+}$ ] and [**EuL1** +  $Cu^{2+}$  + H<sub>2</sub>S], measured under the same solution conditions, similar spectra were observed for [**EuL1**] and [**EuL1** +  $Cu^{2+}$ ] ( ${}^5D_0 \rightarrow {}^7F_1 : {}^7F_2 : {}^7F_4$  of [**EuL1**] = 1 : 1.122 : 0.55 and  ${}^5D_0 \rightarrow {}^7F_1 : {}^7F_2 : {}^7F_4$  [**EuL1** +  $Cu^{2+}$ ] = 1 : 1.186 : 0.91, Table 1). This is correlated with the NMR data and shows that the  $Cu^{2+}$  ion is coordinated in the aza-crown. However, signal broadening was observed in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **LaL1**, indicating rapid metal-ligand exchange. These results suggested that the pyridine moiety of the organic chromophore is rapidly switching between the DO3A–Eu<sup>3+</sup> and




Fig. 8 The structures of the negative control compounds EuL2, EuL3, L4 and L5.




Fig. 9 The emission spectra of negative control compounds (10  $\mu$ M) with various concentration of Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions. (a): EuL2; (b): EuL3; (c): L4; (d): L5. All spectra were acquired in water with  $\lambda_{ex}$  at 325 nm.

aza-18-crown-6–Cu<sup>2+</sup> complexes, causing significant luminescence quenching. Moreover, the binding of Cu<sup>2+</sup> would also provide a favourable conformation for forming a new 1:1 complex with H<sub>2</sub>S. Upon the addition of H<sub>2</sub>S, the emission profile of **EuL1** changed significantly,  $\Delta J = 2/\Delta J = 1$  for [**EuL1** + Cu<sup>2+</sup> + H<sub>2</sub>S],<sup>18</sup> and the intensity ratio was about >200% higher for [**EuL1**] and [**EuL1** + Cu<sup>2+</sup>]. This increase can be attributed to the lower symmetry of the complexes with the addition of sulphide ions (Fig. 7) and the <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals of **LaL1** were sharpened. These results suggested new complex formation after the displacement of the Cu<sup>2+</sup> ion *via* CuS precipitation. This proposal is further supported by the HRMS spectrum of the **EuL1**–Na<sub>2</sub>S complex (Fig. S7†) and the change in the quantum yields (Table S2†). The **EuL1**–Na<sub>2</sub>S complex is highly emissive probably due to its rigid structure.

The proposed binding mechanism was also examined using a series of negative control compounds (Fig. 8).<sup>19</sup> **EuL2** showed no luminescence quenching upon the addition of  $Cu^{2+}$  ions (Fig. 9a). This result indicated that the carbonyl linker of aza-18crown-6 may be too rigid for coordination between  $Cu^{2+}$  and pyridine, which could be essential for Eu emission quenching. Without the aza-crown moiety, **EuL3** also showed no luminescence quenching towards  $Cu^{2+}$  (Fig. 9b), suggesting DO3A–Eu<sup>3+</sup> is stable with  $Cu^{2+}$  and the aza-crown motif is important for the  $Cu^{2+}$  binding. **L4** bearing the pyridine-chromophore showed profound luminescence quenching, but its phenyl analogue (L5) showed no significant change in luminescence upon the addition of  $Cu^{2+}$  ions (Fig. 9c and d). These results indicated that the pyridine moiety of the chromophore is essential for the binding of  $Cu^{2+}$  to the aza-crown moiety. The results of this series of negative control compounds are in full agreement with the proposed mechanism in Fig. 7.

## Conclusions

In summary, we have prepared a water-soluble and emissive Eucomplex (EuL1) based on a DO3A(Eu<sup>3+</sup>)–pyridine–aza-crown motif, and studied its consecutive binding properties towards Cu<sup>2+</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>S extensively. EuL1 binds to Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions selectively ( $K_{\rm B} = 1.2 \times 10^5 \,{\rm M}^{-1}$ ) inducing 17-fold luminescence quenching and forming a 1 : 1 stoichiometric complex (EuL1–Cu<sup>2+</sup>), which responds to H<sub>2</sub>S selectively with restoration of the original EuL1 emission followed by a further 40-fold luminescence enhancement and a nano-molar detection limit (60 nM). Mass spectroscopic analysis showed the formation of a 1 : 1 stoichiometric complex (EuL1–Na<sub>2</sub>S) with  $K_{\rm B} = 1.5 \times 10^4 \,{\rm M}^{-1}$ . Without Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions, EuL1 shows non-specific binding towards H<sub>2</sub>S with only a 5-fold luminescence enhancement. These results indicate that the Cu<sup>2+</sup> ion may pre-organize the conformation of EuL1 and facilitate the formation of the EuL1–Na<sub>2</sub>S complex. The studies

on this unprecedented Cu<sup>2+</sup>-assisted luminescence enhancement of Eu emission are still ongoing. With long-lived Eu emission, reversible binding properties, an instantaneous response and high selectivity towards  $H_2S$ , this Eu-based luminescence "off-on" gate could find suitable applications for  $H_2S$  imaging in biological systems.

## Acknowledgements

This work is funded by the Peking University Shenzhen Graduate School (Key State Laboratory of Chemical Genomics openproject fellowship program), grants from Shenzhen Science, Technology Innovation Committee (KQTD201103), Nanshan (KC2014ZDZJ0026A), Hong Kong Baptist University (HKBU) (FRG2/14-15/013013), Hong Kong Polytechnic University (HKPolyU), Hong Kong Research Grants Council (HKBU 203012), Hong Kong Polytechnic University central Research Grant (G-UC08), Natural Science Foundation of China (21401158) and HKBU and HKPolyU Joint Research Programme (RC-ICRS/15-16/02F-WKL02F-WKL).

# Notes and references

- (a) B. Olas, *Clin. Chim. Acta*, 2015, 439, 212; (b) H. Kimura, *Antioxid. Redox Signaling*, 2014, 20, 783; (c) H. Kimura, N. Shibuya and Y. Kimura, *Antioxid. Redox Signaling*, 2012, 17, 45; (d) C. Szabó, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2007, 6, 917.
- 2 G. D. Yang, L. Y. Wu, B. Jiang, W. Yang, J. S. Qi, K. Cao,
   Q. H. Meng, A. K. Mustafa, W. T. Mu, S. M. Zhang,
   S. H. Snyder and R. Wang, *Science*, 2008, 322, 587.
- 3 (a) M. Fu, W. Zhang, L. Wu, G. Yang, H. Li and R. Wang, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2012, **109**, 2943; (b) G. A. Benavides, G. L. Squadrito, R. W. Mills, H. D. Patel, T. S. Isbell, R. P. Patel, V. M. Darley-Usmar, J. E. Doeller and D. W. Kraus, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2007, **104**, 17977.
- 4 (*a*) Y. Kaneko, Y. Kimura, H. Kimura and I. Niki, *Diabetes*, 2006, **55**, 1391; (*b*) W. Yang, G. D. Yang, X. M. Jia, L. Y. Wu and R. Wang, *J. Physiol.*, 2005, **569**, 519.
- 5 (a) Y. J. Peng, J. Nanduri, G. Raghuraman, D. Souvannakitti,
  M. M. Gadalla, G. K. Kumar, S. H. Snyder and
  N. R. Prabhakar, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2010, 107, 10719; (b) L. Li, M. Bhatia, Y. Z. Zhu, Y. C. Zhu,
  R. D. Ramnath, Z. J. Wang, F. B. M. Anuar, M. Whiteman,
  M. Salto-Tellez and P. K. Moore, *FASEB J.*, 2005, 19, 1196.
- 6 K. Abe and H. J. Kimura, J. Neurosci., 1996, 16, 1066.
- 7 (a) B. D. Paul, J. I. Sbodio, R. Xu, M. S. Vandiver, J. Y. Cha,
  A. M. Snowman and S. H. Snyder, *Nature*, 2014, 509, 96; (b)
  L. F. Hu, M. Lu, C. X. Tiong, G. S. Dawe, G. Hu and
  J. S. Bian, *Aging Cell*, 2010, 9, 135; (c) D. Giuliani, A. Ottani,
  D. Zaffe, M. Galantucci, F. Strinati, R. Lodi and S. Guarini, *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.*, 2013, 104, 82.
- 8 (a) L. Wu, W. Yang, X. Jia, G. Yang, D. Duridanova, K. Cao and R. Wang, *Lab. Invest.*, 2009, **89**, 59; (b) W. Yang, G. Yang, X. Jia, L. Wu and R. Wang, *J. Physiol.*, 2005, **569**, 519.
- 9 (a) J. Huang, S. Kumar, N. Abbassi-Ghadi, P. Španěl, D. Smith and G. B. Hanna, Anal. Chem., 2013, 85, 3409; (b) C. Szabó,

C. Coletta, C. Chao, K. Módis, B. Szczesny, A. Papapetropoulos and M. R. Hellmich, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2013, **110**, 12474.

- 10 For reviews, see: (a) V. S. Lin, W. Chen, M. Xian and C. J. Chang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, 44, 4596; (b) E. L. Que, D. W. Domaille and C. J. Chang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, 108, 1517.
- 11 For selected examples, see: (a) M. Tropiano and S. Faulkner, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 4696; (b) V. S. Lin, A. R. Lippert and C. J. Chang, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2013, 110, 7131; (c) S. Chen, Z.-J. Chen, W. Ren and H.-W. Ai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 9589; (d) A. R. Lippert, E. J. New and C. J. Chang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 10078; (e) H. Peng, Y. Cheng, C. Dai, A. L. King, B. L. Predmore, D. J. Lefer and B. A. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 9672.
- 12 For selected examples, see: (a) J. Cao, R. Lopez, J. M. Thacker, J. Y. Moon, C. Jiang, S. N. S. Morris, J. H. Bauer, P. Tao, R. P. Masonc and A. R. Lippert, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, 6, 1979; (b) Z. Huang, S. Ding, D. Yu, F. Huang and G. Feng, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 9185; (c) X. Li, S. Zhang, J. Cao, N. Xie, T. Liu, B. Yang, Q. He and Y. Hu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 8656; (d) Y. Qian, L. Zhang, S. Ding, X. Deng, C. He, X. E. Zheng, H.-L. Zhu and J. Zhao, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, 3, 2920; (e) Y. Qian, J. Karpus, O. Kabil, S.-Y. Zhang, H.-L. Zhu, R. Banerjee, J. Zhao and C. He, *Nat. Commun.*, 2011, 2, 495.
- 13 For selected examples, see: (a) L. E. Santos-Figueroa, C. de la Torre, S. El Sayed, F. Sancenón, R. Martínez-Máñez, A. M. Costero, S. Gil and M. Parra, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, 41; (b) X. Qu, C. Li, H. Chen, J. Mack, Z. Guo and Z. Shen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 7510; (c) M.-Q. Wang, K. Li, J.-T. Hou, M.-Y. Wu, Z. Huang and X.-Q. Yu, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, 77, 8350; (d) F. Hou, J. Cheng, P. Xi, F. Chen, L. Huang, G. Xie, Y. Shi, H. Liu, D. Bai and Z. Zeng, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, 41, 5799; (e) F. Hou, L. Huang, P. Xi, J. Cheng, X. Zhao, G. Xie, Y. Shi, F. Cheng, X. Yao, D. Bai and Z. Zeng, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, 51, 2454; (f) K. Sasakura, K. Hanaoka, N. Shibuya, Y. Mikami, Y. Kimura, T. Komatsu, T. Ueno, T. Terai, H. Kimura and T. Nagano, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, 133, 18003.
- 14 L. C. Gilday, T. Lang, A. Caballero, P. J. Costa, V. Flix and P. D. Beer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 4356.
- 15 K. Sonogashira, Y. Tohda and N. Hagihara, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1975, **16**, 4467.
- 16 (a) H. Benesi and J. H. Hildebrand, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1949,
  71, 2703–2707; (b) K. A. Connors, Binding constants: the measurement of molecular complex stability, Wiley, New York, 1987.
- 17 The preparation and characterization of LaL1 are available in the ESI.<sup>†</sup>
- 18 J.-C. G. Bünzli and G.-O. Pradervand, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1986, **85**, 2489.
- 19 The synthesis and characterization of the negative control compounds (EuL2, EuL3, L4 and L5) are available in the ESI.<sup>†</sup>