

Efficacy and safety of acupuncture for urinary retention after hysterectomy

A systematic review and meta-analysis

Qinyu Zhao, MD^{a,b}, Chunchun Yan, MD^a, Meng Dan, MD^c, Hongling Jia, PhD^{d,*}

Abstract

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of acupuncture in the treatment of urinary retention after hysterectomy in women.

Methods: This research searched for 6 database documents, and the deadline is July 23, 2020. This study included a randomized controlled trial of women with urinary retention after hysterectomy. These randomized controlled trials compare acupuncture with bladder function training or other nonacupuncture treatments, and measure urodynamics, effectiveness (BR), and urinary tract infection rates (UIR). Four independent reviewers participated in data extraction and evaluation. Assess the risk of bias in each article, and conduct a meta-analysis according to the type of acupuncture. The result is expressed as a mean difference (MD) or relative risk (RR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI).

Results: The meta-analysis contains 12 studies. Most studies indicate low risk or unknown risk, but the GRADE scores of the combined results show low or moderate levels. After the combined analysis, we found that acupuncture versus bladder function exercise and other nonacupuncture therapies can significantly improve the values of post voided residual urine (PVR) (MD = -25.29; 95% CI [-30.45 to -20.73]), maximal cystometric capacity (MD = 39.54; 95% CI [10.30-68.78]), bladder capacity for first voiding desire (MD = -61.98; 95% CI [-90.69 to -33.26]) and maximal flow rate (MFR) (MD = 7.58; 95% CI [5.19-9.97]). And compared with the control group, acupuncture still has advantages in BR (RR = 1.36; 95% CI [1.18-1.56]) and UIR (RR = 0.22; 95% CI [0.08-0.82]). These heterogeneities have been resolved through subgroup analysis, and their main sources are related to different intervention times, the time to start the intervention, and different PVR requirements.

Conclusions: There is insufficient evidence that acupuncture can increase the patient's MFR, BR, and UIR. However, acupuncture can effectively improve the PVR, maximal cystometric capacity, and bladder capacity for first voiding desire values of patients with urinary retention after hysterectomy. Although limited due to the quality and methodological limitations of the included studies, acupuncture can still be used as an effective and safe treatment for women with urinary retention after hysterectomy.

Registration: The research has been registered and approved on the PROSPERO website. The registration number is CRD42019119238.

Abbreviations: AE = adverse events, BE = bladder function exercise, BFD = Bladder capacity for first voiding desire, BR = bladder function recovery rate, CI = confidence interval, MCC = maximal cystometric capacity, MD = mean difference, MFR = maximal flow rate, PVR = postvoided residual urine, RCTs = randomized controlled trials, RR = relative risk, UIR = urinary tract infection rate, UR = urinary retention.

Keywords: acupuncture, bladder function exercise, hysterectomy, meta-analysis, systematic review, urinary retention

Editor: Daryle Wane.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the present study are publicly available.

Copyright © 2021 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

Received: 6 August 2020 / Received in final form: 17 March 2021 / Accepted: 28 April 2021 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000026064

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Supplemental Digital Content is available for this article.

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its supplementary information files).

This research is funded by Shandong province Science and technology development program of Traditional Chinese Medicine (No.2019-0248)

All analyses were based on previous published studies, thus no ethical approval and patient consent are required.

^a College of Acupuncture and Tuina, ^b Institute of Acupuncture-moxibustion, ^c Institute of Chinese Medical Literature and Culture, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, ^d Department of Acupuncture, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan, Shandong, P. R. China.

^{*} Correspondence: Hongling Jia, Department of Acupuncture, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, No. 1 Jingba Road, Jinan, Shandong 250001, P. R. China (e-mail: jahongling2019@163.com).

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Zhao Q, Yan C, Dan M, Jia H. Efficacy and safety of acupuncture for urinary retention after hysterectomy: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Medicine 2021;100:22(e26064).

1. Introduction

1.1. Background introduction

Hysterectomy is the removal of the uterus, sometimes involving removal of the cervix, ovaries, fallopian tubes, and other surrounding structures. In the United States, it is the second most common gynecological surgery, after cesarean section. There are many reasons for hysterectomy, such as endometriosis, adenomyosis, heavy menstrual bleeding, uterine fibroids, uterine prolapse, gynecologic cancer, transgender, and so on, but the treatment of early gynecological cancer is still its main application category. Radical hysterectomy is an effective treatment for early (I-IIa) uterine cancer.^[1] Cervical cancer is the fourth most common gynecological cancer in the world, and it is now found that it is mainly related to long-term infection with the HPV virus.^[1] Studies have calculated the incidence of cancer in 185 countries and found that in 2018 alone, there were 569,847 new cases worldwide and 311,365 cervical cancer deaths.^[2] China is also a country with a high incidence of cervical cancer, with 83.9% of patients undergoing hysterectomy.^[3] Radical hysterectomy often results in urinary retention (UR). Studies have shown that 30% to 85% of patients undergoing radical hysterectomy will have long-term bladder dysfunction (including sensory loss, incontinence, and urinary retention) after surgery.^[4,5] The reason for urinary retention is that when the cardinal ligament of uterus, the internal iliac vein, the lymph nodes around the deep uterine vein, the vesicouterine ligament, and the vagina are removed, the bladder autonomic nerve is cut off or injured, resulting in nerve injury bladder paralysis.^[6,7] The National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases defined UR as a series of symptoms of bladder incomplete or does not empty at all. Urinary retention can be divided into acute urinary retention (AUR) and chronic urinary retention (CUR) according to the rate of onset. The European Association of Urology (EAU) and the American Urological Association (AUA) classified urinary retention in the lower urinary tract category.^[8,9] Nevertheless, the exact definitions of AUR and CUR remain controversial.^[10] Urinary retention always takes a lot of trouble such as urinary tract infection and may prolong hospital stay and increase discharge time in outpatients.^[11,12] Catheterization is a common way to deal with postoperative urinary retention, but catheterization also is the leading cause to increase risk of urinary tract infections, the incidence of 8%, and hospital mortality.^[13,14] Some reports show that Earlier removal of bladder catheter in surgical patients receiving thoracic epidural analgesia can decrease the incidence of urinary tract infection.^[15] Acupuncture is an effective method to decrease the incidence of urinary retention, and urinary tract infection and shortens hospital stay.^[16,17]

1.2. Intervention method function introduction

Acupuncture became a hot topic in western countries about 40 years ago and has gained international fame since James Reston's piece, "Now, Let Me Tell You about My Appendectomy in Peking," was published.^[18] Many scholars know that acupuncture can ease the symptoms of pain. But acupuncture is also useful in many other nonpainful diseases, which has been verified by a lot of random control trials.^[19] Acupuncture plays an important role in Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) and is commonly used for treating urinary retention in Mainland China. The AUA and GAU guidelines do not report acupuncture for urinary retention.^[8,9] The mechanism of the effect of acupuncture on

urinary retention after nerve injury is still unclear. Its mechanism of action may involve inhibiting secondary spinal cord injury, promoting damaged spinal cord repair, regulating the neuroendocrine network of the bladder detrusor, and improving bladder function. Some studies^[20-22] have confirmed that acupuncture can significantly reduce the inflammatory reaction caused by urinary retention after nerve injury, inhibit the dehydration of the related neural dehydration, promote the synthesis and secretion of related neurotrophic factors, promote the repair of related nerves, restore the nerve to the bladder, and then improve the bladder function. Studies^[23] have also shown that electric acupuncture BL32 and BL33 can excite the afferent and efferent nerves in the rat pelvic cavity, promote detrusor contraction, and promote urination. Yi Z studies^[24] have shown that electroacupuncture CV4 and SP6 can reduce the myoelectric activity of the abnormal contraction of the external urethral sphincter during urination, reduce the resistance during urination, improve the coordination between the bladder detrusor and the urethral sphincter, and reduce the residual urine volume of mice with spinal cord transection. Studies^[25,26] conducted from the perspectives of nerves and bladder found that electroacupuncture can effectively promote the expression of nerve growth factor (NGF) and its tyrosine kinase receptor A (TrkA) in the injured spinal cord tissue of neurogenic bladder model rats. At the same time, it can significantly reduce the content of Caspase-3 in the bladder tissue. Both improve bladder function after nerve injury. Studies^[27] have shown that electroacupuncture may regulate the phosphorylation of factors in the detrusor muscle by activating the pituitary adenylate cyclase activating peptide (PACAP)cAMP-PKA signaling pathway, thereby rebuilding bladder function. Studies have shown that electroacupuncture can upregulate the expression of PACAP and protein kinase A in the rat detrusor, increase the content of cyclic adenosine monophosphate in the detrusor, promote the phosphorylation of myosin light chain kinase and myosin light chain phosphotase in the detrusor, and dephosphorylate myosin light chain, thereby promoting bladder function reconstruction. According to the meridian theory, acupuncture can cure urinary retention by regulating bladder gi transformation and promoting gi to dredge water passage. Bladder function exercise (BE) is also a method to promote the recovery of bladder function after surgery. It mainly includes training to increase abdominal pressure, basin muscle training, and intermittent catheterization. Through training, the muscles related to urination are strengthened to speed up the process of removing the catheter. Cervical cancer and other gynecological cancers have a high incidence. Radical hysterectomy, as the main method for the treatment of early gynecological cancers, often has the risk of inducing postoperative urine retention. Acupuncture is an effective method for Traditional Chinese Medicine doctors to treat urinary retention after radical hysterectomy, but there is still a lack of corresponding systematic reviews and meta-analysis reports. This study aims to assess the effectiveness and safety of acupuncture for urinary retention after hysterectomy.

2. Methods and materials

This systematic review and meta-analysis strictly follows the PRISMA reporting guidelines^[28] for design and reporting. This study is aimed at female patients with post-hysterectomy urinary retention (P), comparing acupuncture (I) and bladder training or other non-acupuncture treatments (C), observing the results of

urodynamics (O), and evaluating the improvement and safety of acupuncture treatment of post-hysterectomy urinary retention. The research has been registered and approved on the PROSPERO website (CRD42019119238), and the corresponding protocol has been published in *Medicine*.^[29] Each step of this review strictly refers to the Cochrane Handbook 5.2.

2.1. Study selection

Search for published literature in databases including PubMed, Web of Science, Cochrane Controlled Trial Center Registration, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Wanfang Data, Chongqing VIP Database, and the language of the literature is not limited. From the establishment of the database to July 23, 2020, a literature search was conducted on the available publications to find appropriate randomized controlled trials of acupuncture for the treatment of urinary retention after hysterectomy. The search strategies used a combination of the terms "acupuncture," "electroacupuncture," and "urinary retention," We provide a more detailed search strategy in Supplementary Material (see Appendix 1, Supplemental Content, which provides a more detailed search strategy, http://links.lww. com/MD/G151).

2.2. Inclusion/exclusion criteria

We studied the effect of acupuncture on urodynamic indexes of patients with urinary retention after hysterectomy. The control group included BE, sham acupuncture (SA) with BE, or oral brompistigmine (B) with BE. The literature that acupuncture and bladder exercise are not the main treatment methods and other related research literature are not included. This meta-analysis included only published clinical randomized controlled trials. The detailed literature selection criteria are in the attachment (see Appendix 2, Supplemental Content, which provides detailed literature selection criteria, http://links.lww.com/MD/G152). Two independent reviewers (QYZ and CCY) screened the research results according to the eligibility criteria, conducted a preliminary screening based on the title and abstract, and removed duplicate documents. Any disagreement will be discussed and resolved by the third reviewer (HLJ). All the selected studies were further reviewed.

2.2.1. Outcomes of interests. The urodynamic examination can reflect the function of the bladder, and thus reflect the bladder recovery of patients with urinary retention after hysterectomy. Therefore, we selected post voided residual urine (PVR), maximal cystometric capacity (MCC), maximal flow rate (MFR), and bladder capacity for first voiding desire (BFD) in urodynamic indicators as the primary outcomes. The secondary outcomes included bladder function recovery rate (BR) and urinary tract infection rate (UIR). Safety outcomes are reports of related adverse events.

2.3. Data extraction

The data are extracted from the full-text article through a predesigned literature feature table, which includes participant features, intervention measures, comparisons, checkpoints, and main results. Two reviewers (QYZ and CCY) independently evaluate the eligibility and quality of the research. If they have different opinions, they will decide after discussion and consensus.

2.4. Assessment of risk of bias

All studies were evaluated for risk of bias in strict accordance with the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions.^[30] The assessment of the risk of bias in each study was carried out simultaneously and separately by 2 reviewers (QYZ and CCY). If 2 reviewers disagree on the risk of bias in research, they will reach a consensus through consultation.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Quantitative analysis was performed by meta-analysis using Cochrane collaboration software RevMan 5.3.5. The relative risk (RR) was selected as the statistic for dichotomous data; the continuous variables are described using mean difference (MD) and 95% confidence interval (CI). According to the number of interventions, checkpoints and different efficiency standards, a subgroup analysis was carried out. The MFR levels showed as mL/s, and PVR, MCC, and BFD showed as milliliter. We evaluated the heterogeneity between studies by using Cochran Q statistic with the associated P value. Quantify the degree of heterogeneity by measuring I^2 . A fixed-effect model was used when I^2 was $\leq 50\%$ and the *P* value was $\geq .10$; when I^2 was >50% or the P value was <.10, random-effect model was applied. $I^2 < 50\%$ and P > .10 indicated that all of the studies were homogeneous; $I^2 > 75\%$ suggested the heterogeneity was high; I^2 between 50% and 75% suggested the heterogeneity was moderate.^[31] We explore the source of heterogeneity through subgroup analysis or sensitivity analysis. The statistical significance was set as P < .05.

2.6. Quality of evidence

The level of evidence quality of all results was assessed according to GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation).^[32]

3. Results

3.1. Study selection

The PRISMA flow chart of study selection is shown in Figure 1. A total of 412 records were retrieved in the first search, and 224 records were retained after removing duplicates. After screening the title or abstract, 142 records were removed. The remaining 82 articles were selected as full-text reviews. Finally, 12 studies were included in this systematic review and meta-analysis.

3.2. Study characteristics

The characteristics of all included studies are in Table 1. In these 12 studies, all trails were conducted in China.^[33–44] Of the 12 trails, one^[39] was published in English and the rest^[33–38,40–44] were published in Chinese. One^[41] is a doctorate thesis, and the remaining 11^[33–40,42–44] are peer-reviewed articles. One^[37] is a multicenter study, but the sample size is not very large. The sample size ranged from 58 to 192, with a total of 1271 participants. The duration of therapy varied from 5 days to 1



month. All studies compared the baseline data of each group of patients, and there was no difference (P > .05).

3.3. Judgment on the risk of bias

All studies were critically evaluated by 2 independent reviewers for random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other sources of bias. We divide each part into high risk, unclear risk, and low risk. The assessment of the risk of bias mainly has the following criteria: high risk (although there is a corresponding description, it fails to meet the standard in the description of the operation process); unclear risk (no specific circumstances or relevant information mentioned); low risk (meet the corresponding standards and have corresponding specific instructions)

3.3.1. Random sequence generation. Six trails^[33,35,36,38,39,42] were rated as low-risk, $4^{[33,35,36,42]}$ of which described using the random number table method, and $2^{[38,39]}$ described using a computer-generated random number method. One^[44] was rated as high-risk because the study description would include patients for equalization. The remaining 5 studies^[34,37,40,41,43] were rated as an unclear risk because they only described random, but did not describe the specific methods of random in detail.

3.3.2. Allocation concealment. There is only 1 study^[39] on the risk of bias of allocation concealment as low risk, which details the use of opaque envelopes for allocation concealment. Three

Table 1 Characteristic of included studies.

	Sample s	size	A	ge					
Author, year	Acup	Ctrl	Acup	Ctrl	Acup type	comparison	Acup-session	Checkpoint	main outocmes
[*] Yi et al, 2008 ^[33]	55	55	47.5 ± 7.8	46.3±8.2	EA + BE	BE	1/day for 5 days, 8 days after surgery	19 Days after surgery	PVR, MCC
Qiu et al, 2010 ^[34]	31	31	N/A	N/A	EA + BE	BE	1/day for 5 days, 7 days after surgery	14 Days after surgery	PVR, BR
[†] Jiang et al, 2010 ^[35]	64	64/64	N/A	N/A	LIA + BE	BE	1/day for 6 days, 8 days after surgery	15 Days after surgery	PVR, BR, AE
[‡] Hu et al, 2012 ^[36]	19/20	19	43.63±8.10	41.95 ± 5.38	EA + BE	BE	1/day for 6 days, 8 days after surgery	14 Days after surgery	PVR, BR
[§] Zeng et al, 2014 ^[37]	60	62/58	58.7±14.2	57.3±11.5	EA + BE	BE	1/day for 7days, 5 days after surgery	14 Days after surgery	MCC, MFR, BR, BFE
Ye and Zhao, 2014 ^[38]	74	33	46.92 ± 13.69	46.26 ± 12.89	EA + BE	BE	1/day for 10 days, 4 days after surgery	14 Days after surgery	PVR, BR, AE
[¶] Yi et al, 2014 ^[39]	60	60	46.5 ± 7.7	45.9 <u>±</u> 8.2	EA + RC	SA + RC	1/day for 5 days, 6 days after surgery	15/30 Days after surgery	PVR, MCC, MFR, AB
Zhao et al, 2015 ^[40]	30	30	63.7±3.2	64.2 ± 2.7	EA + BE+P	BE+P	1/day for 20 days, 14 days after surgery	34 Days after surgery	PVR, MCC, MFR, BF
^{II} Ding, 2017 ^[41]	30/30/30/30	30	47.27±8.05/ 47.73±8.09/ 49.70±9.24/ 47.43±10.13	48.70±9.27	BE + (Acup/Acup + SCA)	BE	1/day for 10 days, 2 days before or after surgery	1/3/6 mo after surgery	PVR, MFR, BFD, AE
Liu and Li, 2018 ^[42]	48	48	47.36 ± 6.91	46.85 ± 7.12	EA + AI (P) + RC	RC	1/day	14 Days after surgery	PVR, BR
Yang, 2019 ^[43]	36	36	45.11±13.68	46.10±12.88	. ,	BE	1/day for 5 days, 5 days after surgery	Remove the catheter f or 4–6 h	PVR, BR, UIR
Peng et al, 2019 ^[44]	32	32	49.9 ± 19.9	48.9±19.7	EA +BE	BE	1/day for 1 mo, 5 days after surgery	35 Days after surgery	MFR, BR, UIR

* In Yi et al, 2008, urinary incontinence and urinary retention were uniformly classified as abnormal bladder function, and BR could not be obtained.

⁺ Jiang et al, 2010, there are the control group, drug group and LIA, we only compare LIA and the control group.

^{*} Hu et al, 2012 used the same acupoints for intervention at 8 and 14 days after surgery. We only included the 8 days group. Only four patients underwent MFR, BFD, and MCC tests and were not included. [§] In Zeng et al, 2014, we only included acupuncture combined with BE group and BE group alone to make it more contrastive.

¹ In Yi et al, 2014, the test was performed 15 and 30 days after the operation. We only included the final statistically significant results. Urinary incontinence and urinary retention are collectively classified as abnormal bladder function, so BR is not included.

^{II} Ding et al, 2017 had 4 acupuncture arms with different points and intervention time. We compared 4 arms with control group respectively. We only included the final statistically significant results. Acup=Acupuncture, AFR=Average flow rate, AI=Acupoint injection, BE=bladder function exercise, BFD=bladder capacity for first voiding desire, BR=bladder function recovery rate, CtrI=control, EA= electroacupuncture, LIA=laser irradiate acupoints, MCC=maximal cystometric capacity, MFR=maximal flow rate, P=pyrazine bromide, PVR=postvoided residual urine, RC=routine catheterization, SA= Sham acupuncture, SCA=scalp acupuncture, UIR=urinary tract infection rate.

studies^[33,35,41] were rated as high-risk because they all mentioned grouping in the order of surgery. The remaining 9 studies^[34,36–38,40,42–44] were rated as low risk because they did not specifically describe the method of allocation concealment.

3.3.3. Blinding of participants and personnel. Since acupuncture requires the doctor to operate it personally and the pain caused by acupuncture needles piercing the skin is often difficult to implement blinding, the score is generally low. Only 1^[39] was rated as low risk, which specifically described the implementation of blinding by separating patients from different groups and using sham acupuncture. The remaining 11 trails^[25–38,40–44] did not describe whether to use separate treatment of different groups of patients or other blinding methods and were rated as an unclear risk.

3.3.4. Blinding of outcomes assessment. A study^[39] described sending the data to a third party for analysis and confirmed in advance that the specific analysis method was rated as low risk. A study^[44] was rated as high risk because it did not specifically describe the analysis methods and standards of the corresponding data. The remaining 11 studies^[25–38,40–43] described specific analysis methods and standards but did not describe whether blind methods such as third-party analysis were used, and were rated as unclear risks.

3.3.5. Incomplete outcome data. There are 2 trails^[39,41] describing the drop-outs and describing the detailed reasons for drop-outs, which are defined as low risk. The remaining 10 trails^[25–38,40,42–44] were not reported to drop-outs and were defined as unclear risks.

3.3.6. Selective reporting. Six trails^[33,35,36,38,39,41] were rated a low risk of bias with all the excepted outcomes reported. Other 6 trials^[34,37,40,42–44] were at unclear risk of bias because they reported all the outcomes but without adverse effect.

3.3.7. Other bias. A study^[41] is defined as high risk because it is the risk of possible publication and result bias in the doctoral dissertation. Five studies^[34,36,39,42,44] were rated as low risk because they all have specific funds to support the research. The remaining studies^[33,35,37,38,40,43] are defined as unclear risks because they have no fund support.

3.4. Validity of included studies

The risk of bias for all included studies is shown in Figure S1 (see Figure S1, Supplemental Content, which provides the risk of bias graph and summary, http://links.lww.com/MD/G153). The risk of bias in most studies is either unclear or high. This was mainly because blinding was not carried out or mentioned in the randomization and allocation process, and it was difficult to use blinding during acupuncture intervention. The summary of the evidence credibility of the corresponding results is provided in the GRADE Certainty of Evidence Profiles (see Table S1, Supplemental Content, which provides detailed GRADE scores, http://links.lww.com/MD/G154).

3.5. Outcomes

We report the results separately according to the outcome type.

	Acu	punctur	е	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% CI
2.4.1 Intervention ≤5	days an	id ≤8 d	ays af	ter surge	ery				
Qiu 2010	57.1	48.8	31	82.8	57.5	31	2.7%	-25.70 [-52.25, 0.85]	
Yi 2008	63.2	17.7	51	76.5	16.4	27	9.6%	-13.30 [-21.17, -5.43]	-
Yi 2014	86.05	10.16	60	94.85	11.82	60	11.8%	-8.80 [-12.74, -4.86]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			142			118	24.1%	-10.49 [-14.99, -5.99]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	3.47; Chi	² = 2.38	, df = 2	(P = 0.3)	0); $ ^2 = 1$	6%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.57	(P < 0.0	0001)	1999 - FR					
2.4.2 Intervention ≥5	days an	d ≥8 d	ays af	ter surge	ery				
Hu 2012	109.21	82.84	19	289.05	166.65	19	0.3%	-179.84 [-263.52, -96.16]	
Jiang 2010	62.33	39.27	64	154.67	73.83	64	3.9%	-92.34 [-112.83, -71.85]	
Zhao 2015	14.49	5.31	30	100.76	54.08	30	4.2%	-86.27 [-105.71, -66.83]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			113			113	8.4%	-96.32 [-121.20, -71.43]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	245.92: 0	$Chi^2 = 4.$	57. df :	= 2 (P = ().10); l ² =	56%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 7.59	(P < 0.0	0001)						
2.4.3 Intervention >5	days an	d <8 d	ays af	ter surge	ery				
Ding 2017-1	10.07	4.78	30	27.43	3.4	30	12.5%	-17.36 [-19.46, -15.26]	
Ding 2017-2	9.97	5.19	30	27.43	3.4	30	12.5%	-17.46 [-19.68, -15.24]	
Ding 2017-3	10.53	5.88	30	27.43	3.4	30	12.4%	-16.90 [-19.33, -14.47]	
Ding 2017-4	10.1	4.8	30	27.43	3.4	30	12.5%	-17.33 [-19.43, -15.23]	
Peng 2019	82	28.9	32	120.6	60.4	32	3.3%	-38.60 [-61.80, -15.40]	
Ye 2014	85.81	49.14	74	125.7	58.84	33	3.3%	-39.89 [-62.88, -16.90]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			226			185	56.5%	-17.44 [-18.87, -16.02]	1
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.86; Chi	² = 7.06	, df = 5	(P = 0.2)	2); $ ^2 = 2$	9%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 23.99) (P < 0.	00001))					
2.4.4 Intervention tim	e is varia	able							
Liu 2018	79.21	33.14	48	113.45	51.12	48	4.9%	-34.24 [-51.47, -17.01]	-
Yang 2019	62.33	32.22	36	93.33	29.27	36	6.1%	-31.00 [-45.22, -16.78]	T
Subtotal (95% CI)			84			84	11.0%	-32.31 [-43.28, -21.34]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi	² = 0.08	, df = 1	(P = 0.7)	8); l ² = 0	%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.77	(P < 0.0	0001)						
Total (95% CI)			565			500	100.0%	-25.59 [-30.45, -20.73]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	47.94; Ch	ni² = 147	.93, df	= 13 (P	< 0.0000	1); ² =	91%		
Test for overall effect:									-200 -100 0 100 200
Test for subaroup diffe					0.00001	$ ^{2} = 0$	4.5%		Favours [acupuncture] Favours [control]

Figure 2. Forest plots of meta-analysis outcomes of post voided residual urine (PVR). CI = confidence interval. Test for heterogeneity, Chi-Squared statistic with its degrees of freedom (d.f.) and *P* value; *P*, inconsistency among results; test for overall effect, Z statistic with P value.

3.6. PVR

There are 11 studies^[33–36,38–44] in this group with a total of 975 participants (Fig. 2). In the included studies, the combined MFR values showed significant differences between the 2 groups, but with high heterogeneity (MD=-25.29; 95% CI -30.45 to -20.73]; $I^2 = 91\%$; P < .00001) (GRADE certainty of evidence: low). Through our analysis, it is found that the length of the acupuncture intervention and the time to start the intervention have an impact on the heterogeneity, and the subgroup analysis based on these has effectively resolved the heterogeneity. We included the 3 studies^[33,34,39] that started intervention within 8 days after the operation and the intervention was ≤ 5 days into part A (Fig. 2A) section. These studies have significant differences between the 2 groups and are homogeneous (MD = -10.49; 95%) CI -14.99 to -5.99]; $I^2 = 16\%$; P < .00001). The other 3 studies^[35,36,40] that started 8 days after the operation and the intervention was \geq 5 days included part B (Fig. 2B). These studies still have significant differences between the 2 groups, and have moderate heterogeneity (MD=-96.32; 95% CI -121.2 to -71.43]; $I^2 = 56\%$; P < .00001). There are 3 other studies, which started within 8 days after surgery and the intervention time is >5days, and we included them in part C (Fig. 2C). These studies also have significant differences between the 2 groups and are homogeneous (MD = -17.44; 95% CI -18.87 to -16.02]; I^2 =

29%; P < .00001). One study^[42] did not specify the length of treatment, and the other study had a different treatment end time for each patient. These 2 studies were included in part D (Fig. 2D). After the 2 studies were combined, there were significant differences and homogeneity (MD = -32.31; 95% CI -43.28 to -21.34]; $I^2 = 0\%$; P < .00001). It can be seen that the source of heterogeneity of PVR comes from when the intervention and the length of time of acupuncture intervention, and it can be clearly seen from the CI value that the earlier the intervention, the longer the intervention time, the more beneficial the improvement of the PVR value.

3.7. MCC

There are 4 studies^[33,37,39,40] in this group with a total of 380 participants (Fig. 3). We combined the 4 studies and found that MCC has differences between groups, but the heterogeneity is large (MD=39.54; 95% CI 10.30–68.78]; $I^2=90\%$; P=.008) (GRADE certainty of evidence: low). It is found through observation that the length of the intervention period may be the source of heterogeneity. We set the intervention time as a boundary of 7 days and divided the 4 studies into 2 groups A and B, which effectively resolved the heterogeneity. The intervention time of the 2 studies^[37,40] is \geq 7 days, and there are significant

	Acu	punctu	re	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV. Random, 95% CI
1.3.1 Intervention ≥	7 days								
Zeng 2014	365.3	74.6	60	294.5	78.3	62	23.1%	70.80 [43.67, 97.93]	
Zhao 2015	383.56	40.77	30	330.55	45.37	30	24.9%	53.01 [31.18, 74.84]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			90			92	48.0%	60.00 [42.97, 77.04]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.41; Chi	² = 1.00	, df = 1	(P = 0.3)	2); $ ^2 = 0$	0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.91	(P < 0.0	0001)						
1.3.2 Intervention <	7 days								
Yi 2008	338.5	52.3	51	310.6	59.2	27	23.3%	27.90 [1.35, 54.45]	
Yi 2014	384.97	15.73	60	372.92	14.46	60	28.6%	12.05 [6.64, 17.46]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			111			87	52.0%	14.43 [3.33, 25.52]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	30.09; CI	ni² = 1.3	2, df =	1 (P = 0.	25); l² =	24%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.55	(P = 0.0	1)						
Total (95% CI)			201			179	100.0%	39.54 [10.30, 68.78]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	770.03; 0	$Chi^2 = 29$	9.42, df	= 3 (P <	0.0000	1); I ² =	90%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.65	(P = 0.0)	(80			41.00			-100 -50 0 50 10
Test for subaroup diffe	erences: C	$Chi^2 = 19$	9.31. df	= 1 (P <	0.0001), $ ^2 = 9$	4.8%		Favours [acupuncture] Favours [control]

Figure 3. Forest plots of meta-analysis outcomes of maximal cystometric capacity (MCC). CI = confidence interval. Test for heterogeneity, χ^2 statistic with its degrees of freedom (d.f.) and *P* value; l^2 , inconsistency among results; test for overall effect, *Z* statistic with *P* value.

differences and homogeneity after the merger (MD = 60.00; 95% CI 42.97–77.04]; $I^2 = 0\%$; P < .00001) (Fig. 3A). The remaining 2 studies^[33,39] have an intervention time of <7 days, and there were still group differences and homogeneity after the merger (MD = 14.43; 95% CI 3.33–25.52]; $I^2 = 0\%$; P = .01) (Fig. 3B). We can easily see that for MCC, its heterogeneity comes from the difference in the intervention time. By observing the CI value, it can be seen that the longer the intervention time, the more beneficial the improvement of the MCC value.

3.8. MFR

There are 5 studies^[37,39–41,44] in this group with a total of 516 participants (Fig. 4). One of which had 4 acupuncture arms with different points and intervention time. We compared 4 arms with control group, respectively. The overall heterogeneity of all the studies combined is large, but there are still significant differences between the 2 groups (MD=7.58; 95% CI 5.19–9.97]; I^2 = 89%; P < .00001) (GRADE certainty of evidence: very low). We divided the 5 included studies into groups according to the

	Acu	puncti	ure	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random, 95% CI	IV. Random, 95% CI
4.2.1 intervention ≤	7 days								
Yi 2014	13.52	2.41	60	10.7	3.13	60	15.0%	2.82 [1.82, 3.82]	-
Zeng 2014	15.6	6.2	60	10.9	2.1	62	14.3%	4.70 [3.05, 6.35]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			120			122	29.3%	3.64 [1.81, 5.47]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	1.28; Ch	ni² = 3.	64, df =	= 1 (P =	0.06);	1 ² = 73	%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.90	(P < 0	0.0001)						
4.2.2 7 < intervention	≤14 da	ays							
Ding 2017-1	25.07	8.64	30	15.4	7.06	30	10.8%	9.67 [5.68, 13.66]	
Ding 2017-2	25.3	6.79	30	15.4	7.06	30	11.5%	9.90 [6.39, 13.41]	=
Ding 2017-3	25.5	8.03	30	15.4	7.06	30	11.0%	10.10 [6.27, 13.93]	the second s
Ding 2017-4	24.04	7.39	30	15.4	7.06	30	11.3%	8.64 [4.98, 12.30]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			120			120	44.6%	9.57 [7.70, 11.44]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Ch	$ni^2 = 0.$	36, df =	= 3 (P =	0.95);	$ ^2 = 0\%$			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 10.0	5 (P <	0.0000)1)					
4.2.3 intervention >	14 days								
Peng 2019	19	8.7	32	10.6	4.3	32	11.8%	8.40 [5.04, 11.76]	
Zhao 2015	14.89	3.81	30	6.37	2.37	30	14.4%	8.52 [6.91, 10.13]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			62			62	26.1%	8.50 [7.05, 9.95]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Ch	$hi^2 = 0.$	00, df =	= 1 (P =	0.95);	$ ^2 = 0\%$			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 11.5	0 (P <	0.0000)1)					
Total (95% CI)			302			304	100.0%	7.58 [5.19, 9.97]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	9.69; Cł	ni² = 63	3.33, df	= 7 (P	< 0.00	001); l ²	= 89%		-20 -10 0 10 2
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.21	(P < 0	0.00001)					-20 -10 0 10 20 Favours [acupuncture] Favours [control]
Test for subaroup diffe	erences:	Chi ² =	23.66.	df = 2(P < 0.0	00001).	$ ^2 = 91.59$	10	Favours [acupulicitule] Favours [control]

Figure 4. Forest plots of meta-analysis outcomes of maximal flow rate (MFR). CI=confidence interval. Test for heterogeneity, χ^2 statistic with its degrees of freedom (d.f.) and P value; ℓ^2 , inconsistency among results; test for overall effect, Z statistic with P value.

	Acu	punctu	re	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
5.2.1 Intervention ≤	7 days								
Yi 2014	184.88	10.98	60	191.25	14.44	60	18.1%	-6.37 [-10.96, -1.78]	-
Zeng 2014	129.3	19.5	60	135.5	21.6	62	18.0%	-6.20 [-13.50, 1.10]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			120			122	36.0%	-6.32 [-10.21, -2.44]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi	² = 0.00	, df = 1	(P = 0.9)	7); 12 = 1	0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.19	(P = 0.0	01)						
5.2.2 Intervention >	7 days								
Ding 2017-1	156.23	45.57	30	242.63	49.39	30	16.1%	-86.40 [-110.45, -62.35]	
Ding 2017-2	144.6	49.48	30	242.63	49.39	30	16.0%	-98.03 [-123.05, -73.01]	
Ding 2017-3	149.3	49.23	30	242.63	49.39	30	16.0%	-93.33 [-118.28, -68.38]	
Ding 2017-4	146.9	50.92	30	242.63	49.39	30	15.9%	-95.73 [-121.11, -70.35]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			120			120	64.0%	-93.21 [-105.63, -80.80]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi	² = 0.49	, df = 3	(P = 0.9)	2); ² = (0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 14.71	(P < 0.	00001						
Total (95% CI)			240			242	100.0%	-61.98 [-90.69, -33.26]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	1181.35;	Chi ² =	171.81,	df = 5 (F	> < 0.00	001); l ²	= 97%	and the grant state of the	-100 -50 0 50 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.23	(P < 0.0)	001)						Favours [acupuncture] Favours [control]
Test for subaroup diffe	erences: C	$2hi^2 = 17$	71.32. 0	f = 1 (P)	< 0.000	01). I ² =	= 99.4%		Favours [acupuncture] Favours [control]

Figure 5. Forest plots of meta-analysis outcomes of bladder capacity for first voiding desire (BFD). CI = confidence interval. Test for heterogeneity, χ^2 statistic with its degrees of freedom (d.f.) and *P* value; l^2 , inconsistency among results; test for overall effect, *Z* statistic with *P* value.

intervention time and checkpoint of the acupuncture group and performed subgroup analysis. The 2 studies^[37,39] were divided into part A, and their intervention time was <7 days (Fig. 4A). After the 2 studies are combined, the heterogeneity is moderate, and the two groups have significant differences (MD = 3.64; 95% CI 1.81–5.47]; $I^2 = 73\%$; P < .0001). Only Ding's acupuncture intervention time was between 7 and 14 days, which was classified as Part B (Fig. 4B), and the 2 groups showed significant differences and homogeneity (MD=9.57; 95% CI 7.70–11.44]; P < .00001). The remaining 2 studies^[40,44] were divided into part C (Fig. 4C) with an intervention time >14 days. There are still big differences between the 2 groups, and they are homogeneous after the merger (MD=8.50; 95% CI 7.05-9.95]; $I^2 = 0\%$; P < .00001). The huge heterogeneity after the merger may be related to the inconsistency of the intervention time between the studies, and the improvement of MFR is proportional to the intervention time.

3.9. BFD

There are 3 studies^[37,39,41] in this group with a total of 392 participants (Fig. 5). One of which had 4 acupuncture arms with different points and intervention time. We compared 4 arms with control group respectively. After the 3 studies were combined, the BFD values showed significant differences between the 2 groups, and the overall heterogeneity was high (MD = -61.98; 95% CI -90.69 to -33.26]; $I^2 = 97\%$; P < .00001) (GRADE certainty of evidence: low). To solve the heterogeneity, we divide the intervention time into 2 parts A and B based on the intervention time of 7 days. Part Å (Fig. 5A) is \leq 7 days, there are 2 studies,^[37,39] and Part B (Fig. 5B) is >7 days, there is one study.^[41] There are significant differences between the 2 groups after the merger of Part A, and they are homogeneous (MD = -6.32; 95% CI -10.21 to -2.44]; $I^2 = 0\%$; P = .001). Part B is the same as Part A after merging, with significant differences between groups and homogeneity (MD=-93.21; 95% CI -105.63 to -80.80]; $I^2 = 0\%$; P < .00001). Therefore, we can easily see that the heterogeneity of this part also comes from the length of the intervention time, and the improvement of BFD is also positively correlated with the length of the intervention.

3.10. BR

There are 9 studies^[34–38,40,42–44] in this group with a total of 749 participants (Fig. 6). After combining all the studies, there are significant differences between the 2 groups, but the overall heterogeneity is moderate (RR=1.36; 95% CI 1.18–1.56]; I^2 = 69%; P<.0001) (GRADE Certainty of evidence: very low). Regarding the definition of BR, all studies require patients to urinate autonomously to be judged as effective. However, some studies have other requirements on PVR, so preliminary grouping is required according to the different requirements for PVR, and then grouping again according to the intervention time. We divided the included studies into four groups based on PVR and intervention time. Only Hu et al^[36] is classified in part A (Fig. 6A), and there are differences between the 2 groups (RR = 4.00; 95% CI 1.34–11.94]; P=.01). Zhao et al^[40] was placed in part B (Fig. 6B), and the comparison also showed significant differences (RR=2.90; 95% CI 1.77,4.76]; P < .0001). Seven studies $[^{35,37,38,42,43]}$ were divided into part C (Fig. 6C), there are significant differences between the groups, and the heterogeneity after merging is small (RR=1.28; 95% CI 1.17–1.40]; $I^2 = 6\%$; P < .00001;). The remaining 2 studies^[34,44] are divided into part D (Fig. 6D). These 2 studies only required patients to urinate spontaneously, without further requirements for PVR. There are differences between the groups, and the combined heterogeneity is also small. (RR=1.20; 95% CI 1.02–1.40]; I^2 = 11%; P = .02). From this point of view, the heterogeneity of BR is not only derived from the subtle differences in PVR requirements but also affected by the intervention time.

3.11. UIR

Two studies^[43,44] are included in this part of the study with 136 participants, there are significant differences between the groups and small heterogeneity after merging (Fig. 7). Regarding the determination of UIC, the 2 studies did not clearly propose the

	Acupunct	ture	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
6.3.1 Urinate sponta	neously PVF	R ≤100	Dml				
Hu 2012	12	19	3	19	1.5%	4.00 [1.34, 11.94]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		19		19	1.5%	4.00 [1.34, 11.94]	
Total events	12		3				
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.48 (P	= 0.01)	0				
6.3.3 Urinate sponta	neously PVF	R < 10	0 ml inte	rventio	on>14 da	ys	
Zhao 2015	30	30	10	30	5.6%	2.90 [1.77, 4.76]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		30		30	5.6%	2.90 [1.77, 4.76]	-
Total events	30		10				
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.23 (P	< 0.000	01)				
6.3.4 Urinate sponta	neously PVF	R < 10	0 ml inte	rventio	on≤14 da	ys	
Jiang 2010	56	64	40	64	13.0%	1.40 [1.13, 1.73]	
Liu 2018	44	48	39	48	14.8%	1.13 [0.96, 1.32]	-
Yang 2019	35	36	28	36	14.0%	1.25 [1.04, 1.50]	
Ye 2014	68	74	22	33	11.6%	1.38 [1.07, 1.77]	
Zeng 2014	58	60	44	62	14.6%	1.36 [1.15, 1.61]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)		282		243	68.0%	1.28 [1.17, 1.40]	•
Total events	261		173				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² =	4.25, 0	f = 4 (P =	0.37);	$l^2 = 6\%$		
Test for overall effect:	and the second se	and the second second	and the second se				
6.3.5 Only ask the pa	atient to urin	ate sp	ontaneou	usly			
Peng 2019	31	32	27	32	14.8%	1.15 [0.98, 1.35]	-
Qiu 2010	27	31	20	31	10.2%	1.35 [1.01, 1.81]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)		63		63	24.9%	1.20 [1.02, 1.40]	•
Total events	58		47			Not PETRONO CONTRACTO	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² =	1.12, 0	f = 1 (P =	0.29)	$ ^2 = 11\%$		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.26 (P	= 0.02)					
Total (95% CI)		394		355	100.0%	1.36 [1.18, 1.56]	•
Total events	361		233				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =		25.82.		= 0.00	1); $ ^2 = 69^{\circ}$	%	
Test for overall effect:							0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 1
Test for subaroup diffe	and the second se		and a second second	(P = 0)	$(002) _{1^2} = 1$	80.5%	Favours [acupuncture] Favours [control]

Figure 6. Forest plots of meta-analysis outcomes of bladder function recovery rate (BR). CI = confidence interval. Test for heterogeneity, χ^2 statistic with its degrees of freedom (d.f.) and P value; l^2 , inconsistency among results; test for overall effect, Z statistic with P value.

criteria but simply said whether there was urinary tract infection. Besides, only 2 articles were included, so a cautious attitude should be adopted for this result. After the UIR data are merged, it is shown that there are significant differences between the groups, and the merged data have good homogeneity (RR=0.22; 95% CI 0.08–0.82]; $I^2=0\%$; P=.02) (GRADE certainty of evidence: low).

4. Discussion

4.1. Summary of main findings

This article aims to summarize and evaluate the effects of acupuncture treatment on changes in PVR, MCC, MFR, BFD, BR, and UIR in patients with urinary retention after hysterectomy. In general, we found that acupuncture combined with BE



Figure 7. Forest plots of meta-analysis outcomes of urinary tract infection rate (UIR). CI = confidence interval. Test for heterogeneity, χ^2 statistic with its degrees of freedom (d.f.) and P value; l^2 , inconsistency among results; test for overall effect, Z statistic with P value.

compared with BE or other nonacupuncture treatments has significant differences in PVR, MCC, MFR, BFD, BR, and UIR in patients with urinary retention after hysterectomy (P < .05). The corresponding research results of UIR have good homogeneity $(I^2=0\%)$ after the merger, but there is moderate heterogeneity $(I^2 = 69\%)$ after the corresponding study of BR, and the corresponding research of PVR, MCC, MFR, BFD has higher heterogeneity $(I^2 > 89\%)$ after the merger. I mainly performed a subgroup analysis based on the course of treatment to solve the heterogeneity of MCC, MFR, and BFD, and performed a subgroup analysis based on the course of treatment and the start of intervention time to solve the heterogeneity of PVR. We have rigorously reviewed the criteria for BR and UIR. Their criteria are roughly the same and are also in line with the clinical situation. According to the PVR value for further requirements for efficiency, a subgroup analysis of BR is performed to resolve heterogeneity. As the GRADE scores for the level of evidence of each indicator are between "Low" and "Very Low," we should be more cautious about the results of PVR, MCC, MFR, BFD, BR, and UIR. Through sensitivity analysis, it is found that the heterogeneity of BR value is affected by a single study.^[40] and the heterogeneity is reduced from moderate $(I^2=69\%)$ to low heterogeneity $(I^2 = 40\%)$. The reason should be related to the late acupuncture intervention in this study^[40] (within 5 days after surgery vs. 14 days after surgery). MCC and MFR were also affected by the same study^[39] in sensitivity analysis, and their heterogeneity decreased from high $(I^2 = 90\%)$ to moderate $(I^2 =$ 60%). Through analysis, we found that this study is different from other studies in that it observes the long-term effect of acupuncture on patients (there is a 19-day interval between the end of treatment and the examination time). Sensitivity analysis showed that any other combined results were not excessively affected by any single trial, and any study did not affect the difference between the 2 groups (see Table S2, Supplemental Content, which provide detailed sensitivity analysis results, http://links.lww.com/MD/G155). Combined with our discussion of the subgroup analysis and classification methods of various indicators in the "outcomes" section of this article, it is not difficult to see that these indicators are related to the length of the intervention period and whether the intervention is carried out in the early stage. Through sensitivity analysis, it can also be found that acupuncture intervention has a certain long-term effect. We also found that the earlier the acupuncture intervention time, the longer the acupuncture intervention duration, the more beneficial it is for the improvement of various indicators. This is the same as other therapies for the treatment of other diseases and requires early intervention and sufficient amounts.

4.2. Applicability of current evidence

Although some results have good homogeneity after merging, we still need to treat these results with caution. Although the inclusion of patients with urinary retention stated that patients could not urinate spontaneously and indwell a catheter, there are some differences in some subtle regulations, such as one study^[40] with a postoperative 14 days $\geq 100 \,\text{mL}$ requirements. When calculating the effective rate, although all require patients to urinate autonomously, some details are slightly different. Most require that the residual urine volume must be $< 100 \,\text{mL}$, but a few^[34,44] require autonomous urination even if the residual urine volume $>100 \,\text{mL}$ is effective. The number of interventions of acupuncture and the time to start the intervention is also

different. Most of the research interventions are about 7 times, most of them start the intervention about 1 week after the operation, but a few study^[40,44] interventions can reach up to 30 times, and the intervention may start 2 weeks after the operation. The checkpoint for various tests is also different. Most of them are checked 2 weeks after surgery, and a small part^[40,41,44] is checked 1 month or even 6 months after surgery. Other factors such as acupuncture points and acupuncture expertise also affect the results. Although we conducted subgroup analysis and sensitivity analysis based on the above issues to eliminate heterogeneity as much as possible and make the data evidence more reliable, we still need to treat these combined results with caution.

4.3. Strengths and limitations of this study

Although there are some systematic reviews and meta-analysis reports on acupuncture treatment of urinary retention, specific reports on patients with urinary retention caused by hysterectomy have not been found. Urinary retention caused by hysterectomy is significantly different from other causes in the pathogenesis of urinary retention. Therefore, further research on urinary retention after hysterectomy is needed. There are 2^[45,46] systematic reviews and meta-analysis on the treatment of neurogenic bladder with acupuncture after spinal cord injury. The sex of the patients includes male, and the nature of the injury is urinary retention caused by central nerve injury. These studies are different from ours in terms of patient type, pathogenesis, length of disease, and difficulty of recovery. A study^[47] reported on the role of acupuncture in promoting recovery after gynecological surgery. The focus is on all the symptoms that promote postoperative recovery, and no special attention has been paid to postoperative urinary retention. Two studies^[48,49] reported a systematic review and meta-analysis of acupuncture interventions in the treatment of postpartum urinary retention. The causes of postpartum urinary retention are mostly related to the suppression of urination reflex caused by anesthetics, and reflex spasm of the bladder and posterior urethral sphincter caused by wound pain. Because the uterus and surrounding tissues are not removed after delivery, it is unlikely to damage the bladder autonomic nerve and cause urinary retention. Therefore, postpartum urinary retention is different from our research topics in many aspects, such as pathogenesis, recovery time, and recovery difficulty. So we conducted this study, but considering that some low-quality studies may be biased, we also conducted a sensitivity analysis and got the corresponding results. However, due to limitations, caution should be exercised when interpreting these results.

4.4. Implications for practice and research

Considering the benefits of acupuncture demonstrated in this study, acupuncture may be a therapy for the treatment of urinary retention after hysterectomy. Preoperative intervention for patients who are about to undergo hysterectomy can also effectively shorten the time of postoperative urine retention. After the merger and sensitivity analysis, there are differences between acupuncture and the control group, but there are different degrees of heterogeneity. The length of treatment and whether to conduct early intervention is the source of the heterogeneity of various indicators. Subgroup analysis for them can effectively resolve the heterogeneity. Therefore, follow-up research on acupuncture treatment of urinary retention can focus on the length of intervention and whether to conduct the early intervention. The GRADE scores for these indicators are "Low" or "Very Low." Regarding the risk of bias, acupuncture-related research needs further design in terms of "Allocation concealment" and "Blinding of outcomes assessment." Nevertheless, larger and better-designed clinical trials are still needed to clarify the effect of acupuncture on UR after hysterectomy.

Author contributions

The manuscript is written by Q.Y. Zhao; Q.Y. Zhao conceived the systematic review; Q.Y. Zhao and C.C. Yan performed literature searches, study selection, data extraction, risk of bias assessment, meta-analysis and wrote the initial draft; Q.Y. Zhao and D. Meng conducted statistical analysis; all of the authors critically revised the manuscript.

Conceptualization: Hongling Jia, Meng Dan.

Data curation: Chunchun Yan.

Funding acquisition: Hongling Jia.

Investigation: Hongling Jia, Chunchun Yan.

Methodology: Qinyu Zhao, Hongling Jia, Meng Dan. Software: Meng Dan.

Writing - original draft: Qinyu Zhao, Chunchun Yan.

Writing - review & editing: Qinyu Zhao, Chunchun Yan.

References

- de Juan A, Redondo A, Rubio MJ, et al. SEOM clinical guidelines for cervical cancer (2019). Clin Transl Oncol 2020;22:270–8.
- [2] Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, et al. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Ca J Clin 2018;68:394–424.
- [3] Li S, Hu T, Lv W, et al. Changes in prevalence and clinical characteristics of cervical cancer in the People's Republic of China: a study of 10,012 cases from a Nationwide Working Group. Oncologist 2013;18:1101–7.
- [4] Zullo MA, Manci N, Angioli R, et al. Vesical dysfunctions after radical hysterectomy for cervical cancer: a critical review. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2003;48:287–93.
- [5] Naik R, Nwabinelli J, Mayne C, et al. Prevalence and management of (non-fistulous) urinary incontinence in women following radical hysterectomy for early stage cervical cancer. Eur J Gynaecol Oncol 2001;22:26–30.
- [6] Manchana T. Long-term lower urinary tract dysfunction in gynecologic cancer survivors. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2011;12:285.
- [7] Watson M, Saraiya M, Benard V, et al. Burden of cervical cancer in the United States, 1998-2003. Cancer 2008;113(S10):2855–64.
- [8] Oelke M, Bachmann A, Descazeaud A, et al. EAU guidelines on the treatment and follow-up of non-neurogenic male lower urinary tract symptoms including benign prostatic obstruction. Eur Urol 2013; 64:118–40.
- [9] McVary KT, Roehrborn CG, Avins AL, et al. Update on AUA guideline on the management of benign prostatic hyperplasia. J Urology 2011; 185:1793–803.
- [10] Kaplan SA, Wein AJ, Staskin DR, et al. Urinary retention and post-void residual urine in men: separating truth from tradition. J Urol 2008; 180:47–54.
- [11] Baldini G, Bagry H, Aprikian A, et al. Postoperative urinary retention: anesthetic and perioperative considerations. Anesthesiology 2009;110: 1139–57.
- [12] Chung F. Recovery pattern and home-readiness after ambulatory surgery. Anesth Analg 1995;80:896–902.
- [13] Keita H, Diouf E, Tubach F, et al. Predictive factors of early postoperative urinary retention in the postanesthesia care unit. Anesth Analg 2005;101:592–6.
- [14] Sullivan NM, Sutter VL, Mims MM, et al. Clinical aspects of bacteremia after manipulation of the genitourinary tract. J Infect Dis 1973;127:49–55.
- [15] Platt R, Polk BF, Murdock B, et al. Mortality associated with nosocomial urinary-tract infection. N Engl J Med 1982;307:637–42.

- [16] Zaouter C, Kaneva P, Carli F. Less urinary tract infection by earlier removal of bladder catheter in surgical patients receiving thoracic epidural analgesia. Region Anesth Pain M 2009;34:542–8.
- [17] Yu KW, Lin CL, Hung CC, et al. Effects of electroacupuncture on recent stroke in patients with incomplete bladder emptying: a preliminary study. Clin Interv Aging 2012;7:469–74.
- [18] Reston J. Now, Let Me Tell You About My Appendectomy in Peking. 1971;New York Times:1.
- [19] Kaptchuk TJ. Acupuncture: theory, efficacy, and practice. Ann Intern Med 2002;136:374–83.
- [20] Zhang L, Li C, Quan R, Xie S. The effect of electroacupuncture on neuronal apoptosis and related functions in rats with acute spinal cord injury. Chin Med-Uk 2014;5:199–210.
- [21] Li WJ, Li SM, Ding Y, et al. Electro-acupuncture upregulates CGRP expression after rat spinal cord transection. Neurochem Int 2012;61: 1397–403.
- [22] Liu F, Zou Y, Liu S, et al. Electro-acupuncture treatment improves neurological function associated with downregulation of PDGF and inhibition of astrogliosis in rats with spinal cord transection. J Mol Neurosci 2013;51:629–35.
- [23] Liu C. Exciting The External Sensory and Motor Nervous Manipulation Mechanism of Detrusor Muscle By Electro-acupuncturing Ci Liao, Zhong Liao Acupoints. Beijing, China Academy of Chinese Medical Science; 2014.
- [24] Yi Z, Jie H, Jie C, et al. Effects of electro-acupuncture on myoelectric activity of detrusor and external urethra sphincter of complete T10 level spinal cord transection rats. Chinese Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine 2013;28:124–8.
- [25] Kun A, Hong Z, Jingzhi K, et al. Effect of electroacupuncture on the expression of nerve growth factor and tyrosine kinase receptor A in the spinal cord of neurogenic bladder rats after suprasacral spinal cord injury. Chinese Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine 2014;29: 948–50.
- [26] Kun A, Hong Z, Yuchen Z, et al. Effect of electrical acupuncture on urodynamics and expression of caspase-3 in bladder tissue in rats with neurogenic bladder after sacral spinal sord injury. Chinese Journal of Rehabilitation Theory and Practice 2013;19:1104–7.
- [27] Liu J. Research on effects mechanism of electroacupuncture for neurogenic bladder after complete suprasacral spinal cord injury based on PACAP-cAMP-PKA signaling pathway. Changsha, Hunan University of traditional Chinese Medicine; 2017.
- [28] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA Statement. Ann Intern Med 2009;151:264.
- [29] Zhao Q, Song B, Chen S, et al. Efficacy and safety of acupuncture for urinary retention. Medicine 2020;99:e21511.
- [30] JHiggins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.2 (updated February 2021). Cochrane, 2021. Available from www.training.cochrane.org/handbook.
- [31] Higgins JPT. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003;327: 557–60.
- [32] Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, et al. GRADE guidelines: 1. Introduction–GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. J Clin Epidemiol 2011;64:383–94.
- [33] Yi W, Li J, Lu X, et al. Effects of electroacupuncture on urinary bladder function after radical hysterectomy. Chinese acupuncture & moxibustion 2008;28:653–5.
- [34] Qiu X, Xie W, Chen Y, et al. Effects of electro-acupuncture plus rehabilitation training on bladder dysfunction after cervical cancer radical resection. Chinese Archives of Traditional Chinese Medicine 2010;28:92–3.
- [35] Jiang X, Xiong Z, Zhong L, et al. The effects of He-Ne laser irradiate acupoints on recovery of urinary bladder function after radical hysterectomy. Laser J 2010;31:61–2.
- [36] Hu H, Yang W, Qin W. Curative effect of acupuncture on postsurgical urinary retention in patients with cervix cancer and analysis on urodynamics. Modern Chinese Clinical Medicine 2012;19:24–7.
- [37] Zeng C, Zhou G, Tan C. Therapeutic effect of electric acupuncture combined with bladder function training for bladder dysfunction after radical hysterectomy of cervical carcinoma. Journal of New Chinese Medicine 2014;46:143–6.
- [38] Ye P, Zhao N. Effect of acupuncture on urinary retention in 107 cervical cancer patients after radical surgery. J Tradit Chin Med 2014;55: 1575–7.

- [39] Yi WM, Chen Q, Liu CH, et al. Acupuncture for preventing complications after radical hysterectomy: a randomized controlled clinical trial. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2014;2014:802134.
- [40] Zhao S, Peng H, Zeng G, et al. Clinical observation on urine retention after radical operation of elderly uterine cervix cancer treated with electroacupuncture. Geriatr Health Care 2015;21:28–30.
- [41] Ding X. Influence of scalp acupuncture combined with body acupuncture preventive treat cervical cancer with postoperative bladder function and uradynamics. Guangzhou, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine; 2017.
- [42] Liu P, Li H. Effect of integrated acupoint therapy combined with rehabilitation nursing on postoperative bladder function and quality of life in patients with cervical cancer. Journal of Hunan University of Chinese Medicine 2018;38:1208–11.
- [43] Yang D. Rehabilitation training combined with acupuncture to prevent postoperative urinary retention of cervical cancer. Journal of Practical Traditional Chinese Medicine 2019;35:597.

- [44] Peng Y, Zhang W, Chen H. Effect of rehabilitation combined with acupuncture on urinary function after cervical cancer operation. Journal of Hubei University of Chinese Medicine 2019;21:80–2.
- [45] Wang J, Zhai Y, Wu J, et al. Acupuncture for chronic urinary retention due to spinal cord injury: a systematic review. Evid-Based Compl Alt 2016;2016:1–9.
- [46] Yang G, Sun D, Wang X, et al. Effectiveness of rehabilitation training combined acupuncture for the treatment of neurogenic bladder secondary to spinal cord injury. Medicine 2019;98:e17322.
- [47] Yoo J, Oh D. Potential benefits of acupuncture for enhanced recovery in gynaecological surgery. Complement Med Res 2015;22:111–6.
- [48] Sudhakaran P. Urinary retention in pregnancy and puerperium: acupuncture treatment. Med Acupunct 2019;31:269–73.
- [49] Wang X, Gong J, Li S, et al. Acupuncture compared with intramuscular injection of neostigmine for postpartum urinary retention: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Evid-Based Compl Alt 2018;2018:1–8.