Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# **Toxicology Reports**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/toxrep

# Synthesis and characterization of magnetite nanoparticles by co-precipitation method coated with biocompatible compounds and evaluation of in-vitro cytotoxicity

Hamidreza Mohammadi<sup>a</sup>, Elahe Nekobahr<sup>b</sup>, Javad Akhtari<sup>c</sup>, Majid Saeedi<sup>d</sup>, Jafar Akbari<sup>d</sup>, Fereshteh Fathi<sup>e</sup>,\*

<sup>a</sup> Department of Toxicology and Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

<sup>b</sup> Ramsar Campus, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Ramsar, Iran

<sup>c</sup> The Health of Plant and Livestock Products Research Center, Department of Medical Nanotechnology, Faculty of Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

<sup>d</sup> Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

e Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

## ARTICLE INFO

Edited by Dr. A.M Tsatsaka

Keywords: Magnetite nanoparticles Cytotoxicity assay Oleic acid PEG SiO<sub>2</sub>

# ABSTRACT

Recent advances in the use of magnetite nanoparticles for biomedical applications have led to special attention to these nanoparticles. The unique properties of magnetite nanoparticles such as superparamagnetism, low toxicity, and the ability to bond with biological molecules, are suitable for drug delivery, diagnostic methods and therapeutic approaches. The aim of this study was to synthesize magnetite nanoparticles with different biocompatible coatings and investigate their cytotoxicity. Magnetite nanoparticles were synthesized by co-precipitation method and the cytotoxicity of these nanoparticles was investigated with Hepatoma G2 cell using the MTT assay. Treated cells, did not showed any evident cell cycle arrest. The Fourier Transmission Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy, X- ray powder Diffraction (XRD), Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) were evaluated. The results of XRD showed the coated magnetite nanoparticles were 10-12 nm and this size also achieved with TEM images. Synthesized magnetite nanoparticles with SiO<sub>2</sub> and oleic acid coatings had lower cytotoxicity than other coatings.

# 1. Introduction

Iron oxide nanoparticles have been highly regarded by researchers due to their many applications in drug delivery [1], Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) [2] and absorption of environmental pollutants [3]. One of the most important iron oxide nanoparticles is magnetite, which is more widely used than other magnetic nanoparticles due to its biocompatibility [1].

Magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) has cubic inverse spinel structure [4,5]. This structure gives it special properties so that it can be used in many medical, pharmaceutical and therapeutic applications. [6–10]. Although magnetite is chemically inert [1], surface modification using some polymers, metals, organic and inorganic molecules can expand its application [1,11]. In addition to preventing the agglomeration of magnetic nanoparticles, It is necessary to modify its surface with

biocompatible coatings [12]. The coating of nanoparticles with biocompatible materials has been studied and applied in many researches [8,10,13–19].

Several methods have been reported for the preparation of magnetite, among which the co-precipitation method is the simplest method in which no organic solvents are required [20,21]. In this method, the reaction medium must be basic and the ratio of ferric salts to ferrous should be 2 to 1 [18,22–24]. Several studies have been carried out the effect of coating, temperature, stirring rate and pH on the size and properties of nanoparticles [25–28].

One of the important properties of metal oxide nanoparticles in medical application is their toxicity [29,30]. As mentioned in the articles, nanoparticles can cause toxicity in cell proliferation [31–33]. Iron oxide can have toxic effects on yeast and bacteria and can be used for inhibitory effect. Peng et al. were able to investigate the inhibitory effect

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* f.fathi@mazums.ac.ir (F. Fathi).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2021.01.012

Received 16 December 2019; Received in revised form 18 January 2021; Accepted 19 January 2021 Available online 3 February 2021

2214-7500/© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licensex/by-nc-ad/4.0/).









Fig. 1. Preparation and modification of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> with Co-precipitation method.



Fig. 2. a) Magnetite Nanoparticle coated with PEG, b) Magnetite core with SiO<sub>2</sub>, c) Magnetite core with OA.

of uncoated iron oxide nanoparticles on yeast [34]. The biocompatible colloidal suspensions of magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles coated with oleic acid were prepared by Coricovac et al. and effects of these nanoparticles both *in vitro* on normal cell lines —human keratinocytes (HaCat cells) and *in vivo* by evaluating the acute dermal toxicity after topical application of the colloidal suspensions was investigated [35]. The *in vitro* evaluations of the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles tested indicated a lack of toxicity on human keratinocytes cell viability, proliferation, and migration. Although, The *in vivo* acute dermal toxicity test showed different results [35].

However, magnetite-coated nanoparticles with biocompatible coatings have no toxicity and can be used in clinical research [36,37]. Souza et al. showed the silica-coated magnetite nanoparticles did not cause much toxicity to osteoblast cells and did not alter osteoblast collagen secretion [38]. The modification of nanoparticles with biocompatible coatings demonstrated none toxic effects at the experimental concentrations [39–41]. Although, Saranya et al. reported the relation of the exposure time, and concentration of iron oxide nanoparticles in their In-Vitro cytotoxicity studies [42]. They also mentioned iron oxide nanoparticles between  $10-100 \ \mu g/\mu l$  showed better activity.

For the MRI application of magnetite nanoparticles, their size should be less than 20 nm and show very low toxicity [4,43]. These magnetite nanoparticles with special coatings are approved for Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) [29,44]. The biocompatibility of magnetic nanoparticles with PEO triblock copolymer coating for intraocular targeting and tested their toxicity upon addition of the MNPs in a colorimetric MTT (3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2 yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay were studied [44]. Further research showed that PVA coated iron oxide nanoparticles had very low toxicity on the cell line [45]. The cytotoxicity of SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles has also been investigated [46]. Several studies demonstrated that silica-coated superparamagnetic iron oxide NPs (SiO<sub>2</sub>-SPIONs), have a high potential in medicine [47].

The aim of this study is the synthesis of coated magnetite nanoparticles with organic, inorganic, and polymeric coatings and evaluation of the toxicity of these nanoparticles by the MTT assessment [37,48].



Fig. 3. The FT-IR spectra of A)IO-PEG, B) IO-PEG-SiO<sub>2</sub>, and C) IO-OA.

The results of Fourier-transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR) and X-ray powder Diffraction (XRD) spectra, as well as, Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) images, revealed that these coatings were bonded to magnetite nanoparticles. Also, the MTT assay well showed the lack of cytotoxicity of these nanoparticles. The results showed SiO<sub>2</sub> and oleic acid had lower cytotoxicity than other coatings and magnetite nanoparticles with 10–40  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l concentration can be used for clinical applications.

## 2. Materials and methods

## 2.1. Materials

Ferrous chloride tetrahydrate (FeCl<sub>2</sub> 0.4H<sub>2</sub>O), Ferric chloride (FeCl<sub>3</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O), Polyethylene Glycol (PEG)4000, Oleic Acid (OA), Tetra ethoxysilane (TEOS), Iso-butanol, Ammonia(NH<sub>3</sub>) and Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) were purchased from (Merck. KGaA, Darmstadt, Ggermany).

### 2.2. Preparation of magnetite nanoparticles

The method for the preparation of magnetite nanoparticles was discussed in previous studies [22,28]. The salt of FeCl<sub>2</sub>.4H<sub>2</sub>O was added to 100 mL of distilled water that was previously purged by nitrogen, and FeCl<sub>3</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O was added after the good dissolution of salt. In this synthesis, the stoichiometry of FeCl<sub>2</sub>.4H<sub>2</sub>O/ FeCl<sub>3</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O was 1/2. The NaOH was added to receive a pH of 11. For coating with PEG, 10 % of PEG 4000 solution was prepared and sonicated 5 min with ultrasonic bath (JINYUANBAO ULTRASONIC CLEANER, RoHS, Korea).

$$Fe^{2+}(aq) + Fe^{3+}(aq) + 8OH^{-}(aq) \rightarrow Fe_{3}O_{4}(s) + 4H_{2}O$$
 (1)

After synthesizing magnetite nanoparticles, PEG solution was slowly added, and stirring was continuing with 400 and 700 rpm. The black solution was centrifuged three times with distilled water and two times with acetone. Then, the nanoparticles were put in an oven at 35  $^{\circ}$ C and the dried nanoparticles were obtained.

To coat with OA, 6cc oleic acid was added to the solution, and it was stirred 15 min after that. The solution was washed with ethanol then water and dried at  $35 \degree C$  [2,10,49].

Coating with SiO<sub>2</sub> was prepared with the Sol-gel method. First, 0.16 g of PEG-magnetite nanoparticles was weighted and dispersed in 40 mL iso-butanol, 2 mL TEOS, 1 mL NH<sub>3</sub>, and 4 mL distilled water [19,22,50]. The stirring was continuing for 12–16 h. In addition, the solution was washed with ethanol and dried at 35 °C.

# 2.3. Cytotoxicity assay

Cytotoxicity was measured using MTT assay. The basis of this cytotoxicity test is the conversion of MTT to purple of formazan which was performed by mitochondrial enzymes of living cells. The greater the amount of living cells in the environment, the more the color tends to be purple, and the less it is, the more likely it is in the color of MTT. In this part of the study, the viability of four samples of the synthesized samples on HepatomaG2 (HepG2) cells in vitro was investigated. These samples include (IO@PEG@SiO2), (IO@OA), (IO@PEG), and IO@PEG in 700 rpm. The cells were cultured at 37 °C under atmosphere of CO<sub>2</sub>, in Incubator, in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS). After 2 weeks, the HepG2 cells were seeded at an initial density of  $2 \times 10^3$  cell/cm<sup>2</sup> on flat bottom 96-well plates. Then, to in vitro evaluate the cytotoxicity studies, 10 µL of MTT and 10, 20, 40, 80 and 160  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l of mentioned samples was added. The medium was discarded and the formazan blue, which formed in the cells, was dissolved with 100  $\mu L$  dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO). The absorbance was measured at 570 nm in a microplate reader (Synergy). The percentage of viability compared with the control wells was calculated by Microsoft office excel 2010. The IC50 value of the



**Fig. 4.** The X-ray powder diffraction of magnetite nanoparticles with A) PEG, B) PEG-SiO<sub>2</sub> and C) OA coatings.

nanoparticles were then calculated using CalcuSyn version 2.9 software (BIOSOFT, UK).

#### 2.4. Characterization of magnetite nanoparticles

The synthesized magnetite nanoparticles were characterized by TEM, XRD, and FT-IR techniques. The TEM (ZEISS, EM-10C, Germany) operating at 100 kV was used for the size and morphology characterization. The XRD (Siemens, D5000, Germany) with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation was utilized for the phase characterization. The average size of the nanoparticles was determined by using the Scherrer formula. The FT-IR characterizations of magnetite nanoparticles with different coatings were determined with PerkinElmer Spectrum II. FT-IR spectra were obtained with KBr pellets and the spectrum was taken from 4000–450 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## 3. Results and discussions

Fig. 3 displays the FT-IR spectra of magnetite nanoparticles with A) PEG, B) PEG -SiO<sub>2</sub>, and C) OA coatings. It is worth mentioning that the peak at 570 cm<sup>-1</sup> confirms the magnetite lattice absorption. These results are confirmed by comparing the IR spectrum with previous studies [20,51]. In fact, FT-IR spectra of magnetite exhibited strong bands in the low-frequency region (1000–500 cm - 1) due to the iron oxide skeleton [52]. It is obvious that the characteristic band of Fe-O at 572.95 cm - 1 appears in the FT-IR spectrum of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles. The PEG has characteristic bonds in 3370 and, 1049 cm<sup>-1</sup>(OH stretching), 2800 cm<sup>-1</sup>(C–H



Fig. 5. Transmission electron microscopy of A) IO@PEG@SiO2 and B) IO@OA nanoparticles.

stretching), 1279 cm<sup>-1</sup>(COH— stretching), 1360, and 1280 cm<sup>-1</sup>(CO— stretching) as well as 1460 (CH<sub>2</sub> bending). When PEG was bonded with iron oxide nanoparticles, some peaks overlapped or shifted. The sample with polymer coating showed an OH peak at 3300–3500 cm<sup>-1</sup> and stretching vibration of CH<sub>2</sub> at 1100 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Polymer coating removed the band at 1080 cm<sup>-1</sup> and shifted the 1250 cm<sup>-1</sup> peak to 1528 cm<sup>-1</sup>. As it was observed in the IO-PEG spectrum, removing or weakening of some peaks (3200, 2090, 1,800,830 cm<sup>-1</sup>) was indicative of the polymer coating.

The FT-IR of the IO-PEG-SiO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 1B) showed Si-O-Si asymmetric stretching at 1629 cm<sup>-1</sup>, while in SiO<sub>2</sub>, this peak was located at 1659 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the shift of the peak represented SiO<sub>2</sub> coating of the IO. A lower wavelength shift was observed in symmetric stretching Si-O-Si (1029 cm<sup>-1</sup>) relative to SiO<sub>2</sub> coating.

Oleic acid is a fatty acid that has the formula  $CH_3(CH_2)_7CH = CH$  (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>7</sub>COOH. The OA has indicator bands at 2854 and, 2924 cm<sup>-1</sup> relative to symmetric and asymmetric stretching bands of CH<sub>2</sub>. These peaks shifted to the lower wavelengths (2850 and, 2920 cm<sup>-1</sup>) in IOO—A nanoparticles (Fig. 1C). The peaks around 1538 and 1438 cm<sup>-1</sup> relative asymmetric and symmetric stretching of COO<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2).

Fig. 4 shows XRD of the nanoparticles. It was notable that  $Fe_3O_4$  nanoparticles showed XRD pattern with diffraction peaks at 20 of  $30.1^\circ$ ,  $35.5^\circ$ ,  $43.1^\circ$ ,  $54.5^\circ$  and  $57.6^\circ$  relative to the diffractions of  $220^\circ$ ,  $311^\circ$ ,

 $400^{\circ}$ ,  $422^{\circ}$ ,  $511^{\circ}$ , and  $440^{\circ}$  crystal faces of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> spinel structure. These diffraction peaks were observed in IO-PEG, IO-PEG-SiO<sub>2</sub>, and IO-OA nanoparticles. The crystalline size of the nanoparticles was calculated by the Scherrer equation as follows:

$$D = K\lambda/\beta_{1/2}\cos\theta$$

Where Dis the average crystal size, K is a constant (here chosen as 1),  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of X-ray radiation (1.54056 A),  $\beta$  1/2 is the half width of the diffraction peak, and  $\theta$  (°) is Bragg's angle. The results of D values, using 311 planes for the samples were calculated at10 nm (IO-PEG), 10 nm (IO-PEG-SiO<sub>2</sub>)and 6–7 nm(IO-OA).

The morphology and size of the magnetite nanoparticles were investigated by TEM. The TEM images of synthesized nanoparticles showed that the nanoparticles had spherical structure and the size of them was under 10 nm (Fig. 5), which confirmed the XRD results.

Biocompatibility of magnetite nanoparticles coated with PEG, PEG-SiO<sub>2</sub>, and OA was demonstrated with MTT assay. The assay was yellow and water soluble tetrazolium salt. In this study, doses of 10, 20, 40, 80, and 160  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l of iron oxide nanoparticles with PEG, SiO<sub>2</sub> and oleic acid coatings were studied to determine the survival rate of HepG2 cells. The tetrazolium salt was converted to a water insoluble insoluble and purple formazan derivative with active cells [45]. The Fig. 6(A–D) shows the toxicity test of the compounds. As the figures depict, the synthesized





Fig. 6. The toxicity test of A) IO@PEG@SiO2, B) IO@OA nanoparticles C) IO@PEG(400 rpm) and D) IO@PEG (700 rpm rate).

compounds are non-toxic and allow cell growth.

The results from FT- IR, XRD spectra, and TEM images showed well that magnetite nanoparticles were synthesized by co-precipitation and coated directly with biocompatible coatings investigated in this research. These results have been predictable according to previous articles [23]. The results of MTT method cell viability indicate the biocompatibility of magnetite nanoparticles with biocompatible coatings. Results from the MTT assay showed the magnetic nanoparticles with coatings investigated in this paper give a lack of toxicity in the MTT assay. Saranya et al. reported the relation of the exposure time, and concentration of iron oxide nanoparticles in their In-Vitro cytotoxicity studies [42]. They also mentioned iron oxide nanoparticles between 10–100  $\mu g/\mu l$  showed better activity. Hafeli et al. determined biocompatibility of magnetic nanoparticles with PEO coating for intraocular targeting and tested their toxicity upon addition of the MNPs in a colorimetric MTT (3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2 yl]-2,5-diphenyltetra zolium bromide) assay [44]. Further research by Mahmoudi et al. [45] showed that PVA coated iron oxide nanoparticles had very low toxicity on the cell line. According to Kononenko results on ATII-like A549 human lung cancer cells, silica-coated superparamagnetic iron oxide NPs (SiO<sub>2</sub>-SPIONs) have a high potential in medical application.

Although, iron oxide nanoparticles without no biocompatible coatings showed toxicity and can be used for inhibitory effects [34]. According to the cell viability results, it should be noted that the dose of magnetite nanoparticles can be effective in cell viability. Also, the type of coating can be effective in cell viability. Silica and oleic acid can provide highly biocompatibility of magnetite nanoparticles.

#### 4. Conclusion

This study investigated the preparation of magnetite nanoparticles. Magnetite nanoparticles can be synthesized by co-precipitation method and directly coated with organic (OA), inorganic (SiO<sub>2</sub>) and polymeric (PEG) coatings. The results of the FT-IR, XRD and TEM showed the successful synthesis of nanoparticles. Cell viability was assessed with MTT assay. The results from MTT method showed well that coated magnetite nanoparticles with silica and oleic acid have low toxicity. Doses between 10 and 40  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l are also much more suitable for clinical researches, and higher doses can and higher doses can increase cytotoxicity. Therefore, these magnetite nanoparticles are very suitable for use in MRI. This investigation will be attributed to future studies regarding the MRI application of magnetite nanoparticles.

## **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declared no conflicts of interest

## Acknowledgments

Financial support for this work was provided by Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran with reference number 1925.

## References

- I. Strakhov, Y.O. Mezhuev, Y.V. Korshak, A. Kovarskii, M. Shtil'man, Preparation of magnetite nanoparticles modified with poly (o-phenylenediamine) and their use as drug carriers, Russ. J. Appl. Chem. 89 (3) (2016) 447–450.
- [2] P.I. Soares, C.A. Laia, A. Carvalho, L.C. Pereira, J.T. Coutinho, I.M. Ferreira, et al., Iron oxide nanoparticles stabilized with a bilayer of oleic acid for magnetic hyperthermia and MRI applications, Appl. Surf. Sci. 383 (2016) 240–247.
- [3] S. Zhang, Y. Zhang, G. Bi, J. Liu, Z. Wang, Q. Xu, et al., Mussel-inspired polydopamine biopolymer decorated with magnetic nanoparticles for multiple pollutants removal, J. Hazard. Mater. 270 (2014) 27–34.
- [4] A.K. Gupta, M. Gupta, Synthesis and surface engineering of iron oxide nanoparticles for biomedical applications, biomaterials. 26 (18) (2005) 3995–4021.
- [5] W. Wu, Z. Wu, T. Yu, C. Jiang, W.-S. Kim, Recent progress on magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: synthesis, surface functional strategies and biomedical applications, Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater. 16 (2) (2015), 023501.

- [6] A.G. Kolhatkar, A.C. Jamison, D. Litvinov, R.C. Willson, T.R. Lee, Tuning the magnetic properties of nanoparticles, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 14 (8) (2013) 15977–16009.
- [7] M. Ricci, M. Miola, C. Multari, E. Borroni, R.A. Canuto, N. Congiusta, et al., PPARs are mediators of anti-cancer properties of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) functionalized with conjugated linoleic acid, Chem. Biol. Interact. 292 (2018) 9–14.
- [8] M. Răcuciu, D. Creangă, A. Airinei, Citric-acid-coated magnetite nanoparticles for biological applications, Eur. Phys. J. E 21 (2) (2006) 117–121.
- [9] A. Jordan, R. Scholz, P. Wust, H. Schirra, T. Schiestel, H. Schmidt, et al., Endocytosis of dextran and silan-coated magnetite nanoparticles and the effect of intracellular hyperthermia on human mammary carcinoma cells in vitro, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 194 (1–3) (1999) 185–196.
- [10] L. Zhang, R. He, H.-C. Gu, Oleic acid coating on the monodisperse magnetite nanoparticles, Appl. Surf. Sci. 253 (5) (2006) 2611–2617.
- [11] P. Rudakovskaya, E. Beloglazkina, A. Majouga, N. Klyachko, A. Kabanov, N. Zyk, Synthesis of magnetite-gold nanoparticles with core-shell structure, Moscow Univ. Chem. Bull. 70 (3) (2015) 149–156.
- [12] W. Zhu, D. Wang, J. Xiong, J. Liu, W. You, J. Huang, et al., Study on clinical application of nano-hydroxyapatite bone in bone defect repair, Artif. Cells Nanomed. Biotechnol. 43 (6) (2015) 361–365.
- [13] I. Karimzadeh, M. Aghazadeh, S. Shirvani-Arani, Preparation of polymer coated superparamagnetic Iron oxide (Fe, Int J Bio-Inorg Hybr Nanomater. 5 (1) (2016) 33–41.
- [14] Y.-H. Deng, C.-C. Wang, J.-H. Hu, W.-L. Yang, S.-K. Fu, Investigation of formation of silica-coated magnetite nanoparticles via sol-gel approach, Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 262 (1–3) (2005) 87–93.
- [15] O. Pana, C. Teodorescu, O. Chauvet, C. Payen, D. Macovei, R. Turcu, et al., Structure, morphology and magnetic properties of Fe–Au core-shell nanoparticles, Surf. Sci. 601 (18) (2007) 4352–4357.
- [16] J. Castelló, M. Gallardo, M.A. Busquets, J. Estelrich, Chitosan (or alginate)-coated iron oxide nanoparticles: a comparative study, Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 468 (2015) 151–158.
- [17] W. Wu, Q. He, C. Jiang, Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: synthesis and surface functionalization strategies, Nanoscale Res. Lett. 3 (11) (2008) 397.
- [18] F. Fathi, M.S. Sadjadi, N. Farhadyar, Simple method for surface modification of iron oxide nanoparticles with silica and gold, Int. J. Biomed. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 3 (4) (2017) 299–306.
- [19] M. Yamaura, R. Camilo, L. Sampaio, M. Macedo, M. Nakamura, H. Toma, Preparation and characterization of (3-aminopropyl) triethoxysilane-coated magnetite nanoparticles, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 279 (2–3) (2004) 210–217.
- [20] N. Kandpal, N. Sah, R. Loshali, R. Joshi, J. Prasad, Co-precipitation Method of Synthesis and Characterization of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles, 2014.
- [21] S. Schwaminger, D. Bauer, P. Fraga-García, F. Wagner, S. Berensmeier, Oxidation of magnetite nanoparticles: impact on surface and crystal properties, CrystEngComm. 19 (2) (2017) 246–255.
- [22] Synthesize and characterization of multifunctional silica coated magnetic nanoparticles using polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) as a mediator, in: M.S. Sadjadi, F. Fathi, N. Farhadyar, K. Zare (Eds.), J. Nano Res. (2011). Trans Tech Publ.
- [23] S.M. Abdollah, F. Fereshteh, F. Nazanin, Synthesis and modification of iron oxide nanoparticles (magnetite) for biomedical applications, Res. J. Biotechnol. 12 (2017) 87–95.
- [24] M. Sadjadi, F. Fathi, N. Farhadyar, K. Zare, Synthesis and characterization of PVP coated ultra small Fe3O4nanowires, Res. J. Chem. Environ. 15 (2) (2011) 873–876.
- [25] J. Park, E. Lee, N.M. Hwang, M. Kang, S.C. Kim, Y. Hwang, et al., One-nanometerscale size-controlled synthesis of monodisperse magnetic Iron oxide nanoparticles, Angew. Chemie Int. Ed. 44 (19) (2005) 2872–2877.
- [26] M. Mahmoudi, A. Simchi, M. Imani, Recent advances in surface engineering of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for biomedical applications, J. Iran. Chem. Soc. 7 (2) (2010) S1–S27.
- [27] M. Mascolo, Y. Pei, T. Ring, Room temperature co-precipitation synthesis of magnetite nanoparticles in a large pH window with different bases, Materials. 6 (12) (2013) 5549–5567.
- [28] H.-C. Roth, S.P. Schwaminger, M. Schindler, F.E. Wagner, S. Berensmeier, Influencing factors in the CO-precipitation process of superparamagnetic iron oxide nano particles: a model based study, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 377 (2015) 81–89.
- [29] M. Mahmoudi, A. Simchi, M. Imani, Cytotoxicity of uncoated and polyvinyl alcohol coated superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles, J. Phys. Chem. C 113 (22) (2009) 9573–9580.
- [30] K. Pikula, N. Mintcheva, S.A. Kulinich, A. Zakharenko, Z. Markina, V. Chaika, et al., Aquatic toxicity and mode of action of CdS and ZnS nanoparticles in four microalgae species, Environ. Res. (2020), 109513.
- [31] P.G.-S. Abadi, F.H. Shirazi, M. Joshaghani, H.R. Moghimi, Influence of formulation of ZnO nanoblokes containing metallic ions dopants on their cytotoxicity and protective factors: an in vitro study on human skin cells exposed to UVA radiation, Toxicol. Rep. 5 (2018) 468–479.
- [32] S. George, S. Pokhrel, T. Xia, B. Gilbert, Z. Ji, M. Schowalter, et al., Use of a rapid cytotoxicity screening approach to engineer a safer zinc oxide nanoparticle through iron doping, ACS Nano 4 (1) (2010) 15–29.
- [33] E. Tanasa, C. Zaharia, A. Hudita, I.-C. Radu, M. Costache, B. Galateanu, Impact of the magnetic field on 3T3-E1 preosteoblasts inside SMART silk fibroin-based scaffolds decorated with magnetic nanoparticles, Mater. Sci. Eng. C 110 (2020), 110714.
- [34] Q. Peng, D. Huo, H. Li, B. Zhang, Y. Li, A. Liang, et al., ROS-independent toxicity of Fe3O4 nanoparticles to yeast cells: involvement of mitochondrial dysfunction, Chem. Biol. Interact. 287 (2018) 20–26.

#### H. Mohammadi et al.

#### Toxicology Reports 8 (2021) 331-336

- [35] D.-E. Coricovac, E.-A. Moacă, I. Pinzaru, C. Cîtu, C. Soica, C.-V. Mihali, et al., Biocompatible colloidal suspensions based on magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: synthesis, characterization and toxicological profile, Front. Pharmacol. 8 (2017) 154.
- [36] L.H. Reddy, J.L. Arias, J. Nicolas, P. Couvreur, Magnetic nanoparticles: design and characterization, toxicity and biocompatibility, pharmaceutical and biomedical applications, Chem. Rev. 112 (11) (2012) 5818–5878.
- [37] H. Markides, M. Rotherham, A. El Haj, Biocompatibility and toxicity of magnetic nanoparticles in regenerative medicine, 2012 (2012).
- [38] D. Souza, A. Andrade, J. Fabris, P. Valério, A. Goes, M. Leite, et al., Synthesis and in vitro evaluation of toxicity of silica-coated magnetite nanoparticles, J. Non. Solids 354 (42–44) (2008) 4894–4897.
- [39] J. Lodhia, G. Mandarano, N. Ferris, P. Eu, S. Cowell, Development and use of iron oxide nanoparticles (Part 1): synthesis of iron oxide nanoparticles for MRI, Biomed. Imaging Interv. J. 6 (2) (2010) e12.
- [40] J. Lodhia, G. Mandarano, N. Ferris, P. Eu, S. Cowell, Development and use of iron oxide nanoparticles (Part 1): synthesis of iron oxide nanoparticles for Magnetic Resonance Imaging [MRI], Biomed. Imaging Interv. J. 6 (2) (2010) 1–11.
- [41] W.N. Missaoui, R.D. Arnold, B.S. Cummings, Toxicological status of nanoparticles: what we know and what we don't know, Chem. Biol. Interact. 295 (2018) 1–12.
- [42] S. Saranya, K. Vijayaranai, S. Pavithra, N. Raihana, K. Kumanan, In vitro cytotoxicity of zinc oxide, iron oxide and copper nanopowders prepared by green synthesis, Toxicol. Rep. 4 (2017) 427–430.
- [43] D. Portet, B. Denizot, E. Rump, J.-J. Lejeune, P. Jallet, Nonpolymeric coatings of iron oxide colloids for biological use as magnetic resonance imaging contrast agents, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 238 (1) (2001) 37–42.

- [44] U.O. Häfeli, J.S. Riffle, L. Harris-Shekhawat, A. Carmichael-Baranauskas, F. Mark, J.P. Dailey, et al., Cell uptake and in vitro toxicity of magnetic nanoparticles suitable for drug delivery, Mol. Pharm. 6 (5) (2009) 1417–1428.
- [45] M. Mahmoudi, A. Simchi, A. Milani, P. Stroeve, Cell toxicity of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 336 (2) (2009) 510–518.
- [46] A. Spyrogianni, G.A. Sotiriou, D. Brambilla, J.-C. Leroux, S.E. Pratsinis, The effect of settling on cytotoxicity evaluation of SiO2 nanoparticles, J. Aerosol Sci. 108 (2017) 56–66.
- [47] V. Kononenko, A. Erman, T. Petan, I. Križaj, S. Kralj, D. Makovec, et al., Harmful at non-cytotoxic concentrations: SiO2-SPIONs affect surfactant metabolism and lamellar body biogenesis in A549 human alveolar epithelial cells, Nanotoxicology. 11 (3) (2017) 419–429.
- [48] A. Varmazyari, A. Taghizadehghalehjoughi, C. Sevim, O. Baris, G. Eser, S. Yildirim, et al., Cadmium sulfide-induced toxicity in the cortex and cerebellum: in vitro and in vivo studies, Toxicol. Rep. (2020).
- [49] M. Mahdavi, M. Ahmad, M. Haron, F. Namvar, B. Nadi, M. Rahman, et al., Synthesis, surface modification and characterisation of biocompatible magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for biomedical applications, Molecules. 18 (7) (2013) 7533–7548.
- [50] I.A. Ibrahim, A. Zikry, M.A. Sharaf, Preparation of spherical silica nanoparticles: stober silica, J. Am. Sci. 6 (11) (2010) 985–989.
- [51] Ma H-l, Qi X-r, Y. Maitani, T. Nagai, Preparation and characterization of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles stabilized by alginate, Int. J. Pharm. 333 (1–2) (2007) 177–186.
- [52] R.A. Nyquist, R.O. Kagel, Handbook of Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic Compounds and Organic Salts: Infrared Spectra of Inorganic Compounds, Academic press, 2012.