Note Open Access

Bacterial Community Structure and the Dominant Species in Imported Pollens for Artificial Pollination

Su-Hyeon Kim^{1†}, Heeil Do^{1†}, Gyeongjun Cho¹, Da-Ran Kim^{2*}, and Youn-Sig Kwak ^[],^{1,2*}

¹Division of Applied Life Science, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea ²Research Institute of Life Science, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea

(Received on February 17, 2021; Revised on March 10, 2021; Accepted on March 11, 2021)

Pollination is an essential process for plants to carry on their generation. Pollination is carried out in various ways depending on the type of plant species. Among them, pollination by insect pollinator accounts for the most common. However, these pollinators have be decreasing in population density due to environmental factors. Therefore, use of artificial pollination is increasing. However, there is a lack of information on microorganisms present in the artificial pollens. We showed the composition of bacteria structure present in the artificial pollens of apple, kiwifruit, peach and pear, and contamination of high-risk pathogens was investigated. Acidovorax spp., Pantoea spp., Erwinia spp., *Pseudomonas* spp., and *Xanthomonas* spp., which are classified as potential high-risk pathogens, have been identified in imported pollens. This study presented the pollen-associated bacterial community structure, and the results are expected to be foundation for strengthening biosecurity in orchard industry.

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.
*Co-corresponding authors.
D.-R. Kim
Tel) +82-55-772-1922, FAX) +82-55-772-1920
E-mail) dalan0125@gnu.ac.kr
Y.-S. Kwak
Tel) +82-55-772-1922, FAX) +82-55-772-1920
E-mail) kwak@gnu.ac.kr
ORCID
Youn-Sig Kwak
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2139-1808

Handling Editor : Mee Kyung Sang

Articles can be freely viewed online at www.ppjonline.org.

Keywords : artificial pollination, biosecurity, high-risk pathogen, pollen-associated microbiota

More than 75% of all crops are pollinated by assorted animals such as bees, butterflies, and bats (Lautenbach et al., 2012). Recently, due to climate change and usage of agricultural chemicals, flower-visiting insects are becoming insufficient (Quinet and Jacquemart, 2020; Sáez et al., 2019). Decline the pollinator of economic crops is directly linked to the yield, resulting in significant economic losses (Gallai et al., 2009). Furthermore, the drawback of cultivars which do not form pollen and limitation of cultivation condition of orchards have be leading to the increase the artificial pollination (Nam et al., 2019). Those accumulating evidence shows that the precise process of pollination and the use of high-quality pollen can affect fruit and seed set and improve the quality and the quantity of crops (Samnegård et al., 2019).

Each organ of a plant, including pollen, is surrounding different microbial composition (Hardoim et al., 2015). Epiphytic and endophytic microbial structures of plant are variously composed according to the cultivation condition and environment of the plant (Hirsch and Mauchline, 2012). Among plant-associated microbes, certain beneficial microbes are absorbed from the external into the endophyte to promote the growth of the plant (Orozco-Mosqueda et al., 2018). Pathogens also break the plant tissues in order to infect the plant (Wei et al., 2018). In the case of pollenassociated microbes, in addition to directly entering the endophytic microbial community and transferred to the other plants through the process of dispersion (Donati et al., 2018). If the pollen contaminated with plant pathogens can cause severe diseases to spread into whole orchards during the artificial pollination process. For examples, Erwinia amylovora, a fire blight pathogen, and Pseudomonas sy-

[©] This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ringae, which causes bacterial canker disease in kiwifruit, can be spread by pollen (Cellini et al., 2019). Microbes in the pollen are known to be vertically transferred from the parent plant to the next generation, as well as horizontally transferred to their own or other objects in the orchard (Frank et al., 2017). Addition, the microbes in pollen are associated with the fitness of surrounding pollinators (Mc-Frederick and Rehan, 2016). Even human allergies are known to be affected by the difference in the microbial structure of pollen (Obersteiner et al., 2016). Thus, for artificial pollination, pathogens contaminated pollen can lead to disorder in the host plant and cause a major epidemic in orchards as well as human health (Marques et al., 2013). Those finding demonstrated that importance preventing the initial inflow of these pathogens in pollens, as they can be spread to a wider area by myriad environment conditions, such as wind and pollinator. Therefore, in the process of importing and exporting of the pollens for artificial pollination, quarantine service is required to prevent the inflow of foreign pathogens that pose a threat to biosecurity. So far, the most of research on pollen microorganisms only focused on plant species or type of pollinators. Research has been conducted to diagnose the major high-risk pathogens through quarantine services (Liao et al., 2018). However, information on microbial composition that present in the pollen remains poorly understood. Especially, the presence of putative plant pathogens in pollens are insufficient. In this research, microbial community structure and potential plant pathogens in the imported fruits pollen for artificial pollination were investigated through metagenomic approaches. The objectives of this research were (1) providing basic information microbiota community structure, (2) comparing microbial composition differences among the pollens, and (3) investigating putative plant pathogens in commercially imported pollens.

To compare the microbiota communities of pollen samples, four kinds of fruit pollen imported in both year of 2018 and 2019 from China were collected. The fruit pollens were included with apple (*Malus* spp.), kiwifruit (*Actinidia* spp.), peach (*Prunus* spp.), and pear (*Pyrus* spp.). In case of apple, peach, and pear pollens, they were collected through Wellplus Co. (Daejeon, Korea), and kiwifruit pollen were collected through Jeju-Biotech Co. (Jeju, Korea).

In case of pollen DNA was extracted using FastDNA SPIN Kit following manufacturer's instruction. To extract pollen DNA with Nycodenz (Zhao and Kuwana, 2003) (Axis-shield Diganostic Ltd., Dundee, UK), bacteria cell extraction buffer (1 M Tris-HCl pH 7.5 [final concentration of 50 mM] 20 μ l, Triton X-100 [Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA] 10 ml, mass up to 1 l with sterile water

and 139.8 µl of 14.3 M 2-mercaptoethanol [final concentration of 2 mM] was added per l just before use) and Nycodenz solution (8 g of Nycodenz was dissolved in a 10 ml of 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5) were used. Peptide nucleic acid (PNA) clamping probe (Panagene, Daejeon, Korea) was used simultaneously for PNA blocking treatment (von Wintzingerode et al., 2000). PNA blocked-16S rRNA PCR reaction was performed with 2 µl of DNA, 1 µl of 27F forward primer (10 µM), 1 µl of 1492R reverse primer (10 μM) (Supplementary Table 1), 12.5 μl of Kapa HiFi Hot-Start ReadyMix (Roche, Basel, Swiss), 2.5 µl of pPNA (7.5 μM, 5'-ggctcaaccctggacag-3'), 2.5 μl of mPNA (7.5 μM, 5'-ggcaagtgttcttcgga-3'), and sterile water to a final volume of 25 µl. PCR program included an initial denaturation at 98°C for 3 min, 24 cycles at 98°C for 10 s, 78°C for 10 s (annealing temperature of the PNA blocker), 55°C for 30 s (annealing temperature of the 16S rRNA primers), 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. DNA quality was confirmed using a NanoDrop 2000C spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). For determine of exclusion plastic DNA, sequenced raw data were compared for ratio of chloroplast originated from host's plant cells. Ratio of comparison was visualized through bar graph (ggplot2 package in R).

DNA extraction using only by the DNA extraction kit, chloroplast originated from plant cells accounted for 89.2%, 91.1% in peach pollen. Likewise, in pear pollen, chloroplast was confirmed for a high ratio of 85.2% and 84.6%. In the case of DNA extracted by the Nycodenz method, the chloroplast in peach pollen was identified for 36.7% and 30.7%. In pear pollen, 46.8% and 70.4% was confirmed, which was less than a treatment using only the DNA extraction kit. In addition, bacterial DNA that amplified through PNA blocking were not confirmed to be classified as chloroplast DNA in peach pollen, and accounted for a low ratio of 0.2%, 0.7% in pear pollen (Supplementary Fig. 1). Pollen-associated bacterial DNA was able to efficiently exclude the plant DNA derived from the pollen by using Nycodenz with PNA blocking. The blocking protocol is considered that high-quality amplicon refining could focus only on microbes by reducing the rate of sequence derived from the plant cells, thereby enabling for more accurate identification of the microbial community structures. To our knowledge, the blocking approaches contribute that the protocol of extracting pollen-associated microbial DNA was secured that has not been clearly established before. Additionally, our results found that each fruit pollen has an independent bacterial community structure based on the fruit species. However, it was also confirmed that the bacteria community of each fruit pollen was composed differ-

A total of 24 samples consisting of fruit pollens (n = 3per fruit species) which imported over a two-year period were amplified to 16S rRNA region. Pollen DNA was extracted through Nycodenz method and PNA blocking was performed with refining amplicon. The amplicons were sequenced using Miseq of Illumina platform using Herculase II Fusion DNA Polymerase Nextera XT Index Kit V2 (Macrogen, Daejeon, Korea). V4 region was used to construct the library and 515F forward primer (5'-gtgycagcmgccgcggtaa-3'), 806R reverse primer (5'-ggactacnvgggtwtctaat-3') were used in this process. To verify the quality of the sequenced raw data, error rates were measured through DADA2 package in R program. Calculated error values were expressed through error frequency plot using DADA2 package. To annotating confirmed base, the Silva rRNA database was used for bacteria. The operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were clustered by a machine learning, which was divisive amplicon denoising algorithm (DADA; https://benjjneb.github.io/dada2/index.html). Each sequence and quality score indicating error rate in fastq format was machine learned and the sequence were corrected according to the learning. If the corrected sequence were equal, they are clustered into an OTU. iNEXT (iNterpolation and EXTrapolation, version 2.0.19) package was used for arranging abundance vector. Additionally, the curves of each sample were positioned at a clip through gridExtra (version 2.3) package. For graphically display complex phylogenetic sequencing data, Phyloseq (version 1.30.0) package was used for visualizing alpha diversity plots. In addition, the microbiome (version 1.8.0) package which assist with the data set of Phyloseq package was used. Indexes of observed OTUs, Simpson, Shannon was used for measuring alpha diversity. Statistically significant differences were identified through the Wilcoxon rank-sum test (Mann-Whitney). The cutoff of P-value was set to 0.05. To represent beta diversity, vegan (version 2.5-6) package was used for the analysis of the ecological data, and graphicrelated Grid (version 3.6.2), GridExtra package was used for coordinate formation and graphing. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plot was used to represent the beta diversity (Bray-curtis distance) and the cutoff of Pvalue was set to 0.05 for verify a significant difference in distance between coordinates. microbiomeSeg (version 0.1) package that combines data from OTUs, taxa assignment, phylogenetic tree under different conditions using KruskalWallis test was used for visualizing the abundance bar graph. In addition, devtools (version 2.2.1) package was used to develop the function of R program. Local contribution to beta diversity values were measured to demonstrate an ecological uniqueness. All sequence data has been deposited into GenBank (accession no. SUB922682). The source code of R for data analyses is available on GitHub at https://github.com/ekfks0125/2020 Kim.git.

First, error frequency plot verified that each of the bases identified by the Illumina platform was classified as correct base (Supplementary Fig. 2). Through rarefaction curves, clustered OTUs were confirmed to maintain a constant number of OTUs when the read number was evaluated beyond the actual reading (Supplementary Fig. 3). Based on the assigned OTUs, NMDS plot represented the association of microbial structure of each fruit pollen. Even pollen from the same fruit species formed coordinates representing different microbial structures by the collected years (Supplementary Table 2). Regardless of the imported year, bacterial communities of apple-kiwifruit and apple-pear showed significant differences (Fig. 1). Richness, Shannon and Simpson indexes were used for analyzing alpha diversity (Supplementary Fig. 4). As results, bacterial structures were measured to have the lowest level of species abundance in the apple pollen. Shannon and Simpson indexes,



Fig. 1. Non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS) plot for bacterial communities. Bray-Curtis dissimilarity was used as an asymmetrical measure for NMDS plot. Multiple variables and species, operational taxonomic units, were represented by NMDS plots as condensed information through two-dimensional coordinates. Pollen microbial structure with significant differences were formed by the separation of coordinates as beta-dispersion (**P* < 0.05). Points represent each pollen sample. The red, green, blue, and purple circles mean coordinates of the points representing the samples by each apple, kiwifruit, peach, and pear pollen.

Importe	Appl	le		Kiwifi	uit		Peac	h		Pear		
year	Taxonomy	Abundanc (%)	ce OTU	Taxonomy A	bundance (%)	e OTU	Taxonomy	Abundanc (%)	e OTU	Laxonomy A	bundance (%)	oTU
2018	Enterobacteriaceae	12.43	OTU3	Enterobacteriaceae	23.15	OTU4	Leuconostocaceae	32.53	OTU1	Leuconostocaceae	32.89	OTUI
	Sphingomonadaceae	9.78	OTU14	Moraxellaceae	11.01	OTU7	Micrococcaceae	8.21	OTU10	Leuconostocaceae	10.38	OTU6
	Propionibacteriaceae	7.51	6UTO	Enterobacteriaceae	9.27	OTU12	Leuconostocaceae	7.83	OTU6	Micrococcaceae	10.04	OTU10
	Pseudonocardiaceae	7.28	OTU17	Enterobacteriaceae	8.06	OTU5	Enterobacteriaceae	5.72	OTU4	Enterobacteriaceae	4.50	OTU5
	Enterobacteriaceae	5.82	OTU4	Aerococcaceae	5.91	OTU22	Enterobacteriaceae	5.28	OTU5	Enterobacteriaceae	3.69	OTU4
	Burkholderiaceae	4.34	OTU33	Moraxellaceae	3.38	OTU21	Not assigned	2.73	OTU48]	Pseudomonadaceae	3.13	OTU37
	Micrococcaceae	3.92	OTU10	Moraxellaceae	3.20	OTU25	Leuconostocaceae	2.26	0TU19	Enterobacteriaceae	2.39	OTU3
	Pirellulaceae	3.91	OTU44	Staphylococcaceae	2.73	OTU8	Enterobacteriaceae	2.23	OTU15]	Pseudomonadaceae	2.36	OTU43
	Enterobacteriaceae	3.02	OTU5	Moraxellaceae	2.28	OTU18	Enterobacteriaceae	2.08	OTU20]	Pseudomonadaceae	1.89	OTU16
	Sphingomonadaceae	2.15	OTU58	Staphylococcaceae	2.28	OTU61	Leuconostocaceae	1.22	0TU66]	Pseudomonadaceae	1.73	OTU40
2019	Burkholderiaceae	40.66	OTU2	Moraxellaceae	12.77	OTU7	Burkholderiaceae	16.62	OTU2	Leuconostocaceae	39.60	OTUI
	Staphylococcaceae	13.20	OTU8	Burkholderiaceae	10.31	OTU2	Leuconostocaceae	12.69	OTUI	Enterobacteriaceae	9.72	OTU3
	Enterobacteriaceae	11.37	OTU3	Enterobacteriaceae	4.98	OTU12	Enterobacteriaceae	10.38	OTU3	Moraxellaceae	8.53	OTU13
	Leuconostocaceae	6.68	OTUI	Moraxellaceae	4.37	OTU18	Moraxellaceae	5.23	OTU13	Enterobacteriaceae	6.77	OTU5
	Burkholderiaceae	6.44	OTU11	Enterobacteriaceae	3.38	OTU5	Propionibacteriaceae	4.54	[6NTO	Leuconostocaceae	6.63	OTU6
	Propionibacteriaceae	6.06	6UTO	Xanthomonadaceae	3.10	OTU23	Leuconostocaceae	4.41	OTU27]	Burkholderiaceae	3.74	OTU2
	Microbacteriaceae	3.70	OTU24	Staphylococcaceae	3.01	OTU34	Enterobacteriaceae	4.05	OTU4	Pseudomonadaceae	2.61	OTU64
	Sphingomonadaceae	2.71	OTU38	Xanthomonadaceae	2.85	OTU39	Bacillaceae	3.75	OTU36]	Enterobacteriaceae	2.03	OTU32
	Rhizobiaceae	1.83	OTU47	Propionibacteriaceae	2.84	0TU9	Crocinitomicaceae	3.12	OTU35]	Enterobacteriaceae	1.97	OTU4
	Enterobacteriaceae	1.75	OTU4	Enterobacteriaceae	2.81	OTU4	Burkholderiaceae	2.70	OTU11	Pseudomonadaceae	1.79	OTU49

Table 1. Top 10 bacterial operational taxonomic units (OTUs) of each pollen at family level

Kim et al.

which represent species richness and evenness, were measured higher in kiwifruit pollens with independent bacterial structures compared to the other fruits in 2019-year samples. In 2018-year, however, no significant difference was found for the evenness (Supplementary Fig. 4).

Taxonomic annotation at family level in 2018-year samples (Supplementary Fig. 5A), abundance bar graph confirmed that Enterobacteriaceae was the dominant bacteria in apple and kiwifruit pollens. In peach and pear pollens, Leuconostocaceae was showed as the highest abundance. in 2019-year samples (Supplementary Fig. 5B), peach and pear pollens-associated bacterial abundance pattern showed similar to the 2018-year sample. But in apple pollen, Burkholderiaceae was ranked at the highest rate. Additionally, kiwifruit pollen also showed different bacterial abundance pattern compare to the 2018-year sample. The results suggested that the pollen-associated bacterial community can be vary by fruit species and collection year. In the top 10 OTUs list, Enterobacteriaceae was measured at 12.4%, accounting for the highest composition in 2018-year apple. But in 2019-year apple, Burkholderiaceae were recorded 40.6% as the most abundance bacteria. Kiwifruit pollen presented that Moraxellaceae accounted for a large proportion in 2019-year sample. In both peaches and pears, Leuconostocaceae, equivalent to OTU1, accounted for more than 32% of the total in 2018-year samples, while in peach, OTU1 decreased to 12.6% in 2019, but in 2019-year pear, the OTU1 increased further to 39.6% (Table 1).

The metacoder (version 0.3.3) package was used to visualize the hierarchical structure of taxonomic classifications and identify the co-occurrence between the pollens. Predicted functional gene from sequenced pollen-associated



Fig. 2. Hierarchical structure of taxonomic classifications with the abundance of each pollen bacteria. The operational taxonomic units (OTUs) abundance was displayed depending on the color and size of the nodes (A, apple; B, kiwifruit; C, peach; D, pear). Comparison of pollen sample for the abundance was visualized by metacoder R.

Kim et al.



Fig. 3. Phylogenetic tree presents the relationship between identified operational taxonomic units (OTUs) in the pollens and the high-risk pathogens: aligned with *Acidovorax* spp. (A), aligned with *Erwinia* spp. (B), aligned with *Pantoea* spp. (C), aligned with *Pseudomonas* spp. (D), and aligned with *Xanthomonas* spp.) (E). The phylogenetic trees were constructed by MEGA X using maximum likelihood algorithm.

304

bacteria was analyzed through Phylogenetic Investigation of Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States (PICRUSt2, version 2.1.0b). The predicted functional abundance was categorized by the KEGG BRITE and statistically significant difference between pollen samples was confirmed by Wald test of DESeq2 (version 1.12.3) package. The source code of R for data analyses is available on GitHub at https://github.com/ekfks0125/2020 Kim. git. As results of comparative analysis of pollen-associated bacteria species, peach pollen imported in 2018 were calculated a high degree of dominance value compared to other pollens (Supplementary Fig. 6). Dominance values of the kiwifruit pollen presented that the heat trees assigned to the Enterobacteriaceae were higher than other fruits. In the 2018-year pear sample had higher dominance of Actinobacteria than the other fruit pollens, but the 2019-year pear samples showed a lower dominance than all other fruits. Individual analysis of the metacoder by pollen species, the apple pollen was analyzed that Delftia sp.'s node belonging to the Burkholderiaceae had the highest dominance value (Fig. 2A). In the case of kiwifruit, Yersinia sp. and Acinetobacter sp. of Gammaproteobacteria were found to have the higher level of abundance that other trees (Fig. 2B). In pear and peach pollens, which represented similar bacterial community structures, heat trees of Bacilli were measured with a high degree of dominance value (Fig. 2C and D).

In the top 10 OTUs, Moraxellaceae in kiwifruit pollen was dominant then other fruit pollens. This is suspected to exist in a number of Acinetobacter spp. in kiwifruit pollen, which is a uniquely dominant bacteria species as the flower specific microbes. In pear and peach pollens, given a large number of Leuconostocaceae, the upper taxon of Lactobacillus spp., which is known to be frequently found as the animal-associated microbes, it is considered that pears and peaches were visited by pollination animals in the sampling environment (Vannette, 2020). Dominant bacteria can affect the entire community composition, family of Enterobacteriaceae, which are commonly found in all fruit pollens, has the ability to dominate microbiome community (Cernava et al., 2019). Certain bacteria species belonging to this family, such as *Pantoea* spp. and *Erwinia* spp. need to be attention because of their destructive pathogenicity.

The list of prohibited pathogen species in quarantine service was registered by Animal and Plant Quarantine Agency (Korea). GenBank accession numbers for quarantineprotected species were descripted in Supplementary Table 3. Sequences were aligned through ClustalW (version 2.1) and phylogenetic trees were constructed by MEGA X (version 10.1) software with a Maximum Likelihood algorithm. Phylogenetic tree was analyzed through an alignment with bacterial species classified as the quarantine high-risk pathogens among the bacterial OTUs in the pollens. As results of the alignment with Acidovorax spp., although none of them were grouped with Acidovorax cattlevae, which classified to the quarantine prohibited pathogen. OTU280 in pear pollen was confirmed to be aligned with three kinds of Acidovorax spp. known as the plant pathogen (Fig. 3A). In the case of OTU15, which was detected in all pollens, phylogenetically belongs to same group to E. amvlovora, high level of quarantine prohibited bacteria causing fire blight (Fig. 3B). For Pantoea spp., which contains numbers of plant pathogen, there was no OTUs that indicated a close association with a quarantine pathogen. However, OTU4, 82, 248, 448 in apple and pear pollens were analyzed to be closely related to pathogenic Pantoea spp. (Fig. 3C). For Pseudomonas spp., which contains quarantine management bacteria causing canker disease, OTU40 and OTU212 were paralleled with the putative plant pathogens (Fig. 3D). For Xanthomonas spp., OTU274 in kiwifruit pollen were grouped with Xanthomonas campestris pv. mangiferaeindicae known as causal pathogen of black spot disease in various plant and quarantine prohibited species. OTU419 in kiwifruit pollen were also grouped with the pathogenic Xanthomonas spp. (Fig. 3E).

Due to the characteristics of next generation sequencing using a relatively short region of 16S rRNA sequence, classification below the family level is not accurate. In the case of OTUs, which were confirmed to included high-risk pathogens, similarities to quarantine pathogens were identified using a phylogenetic tree. In addition to the commonly diagnosed target bacteria, many OTUs with high similarity to other plant pathogens have been detected. This evidence suggests that need for attention to the putative pathogens that have not been diagnosed so far. Through this study, our results provided basic information about the bacterial community in the pollens for artificial pollination in orchards. From a quarantine perspective, these findings suggest the need to block the influx of currently undiagnosed foreign pathogens. However, improvements will be needed to make information easier to use at quarantine sites by concisely visualizing metagenomic data through multiple analysis packages. The pathogen-oriented interpretation of the microbial structure of imported pollen is expected to contribute to the safe import and export of each country by establishing biological security.

Conflicts of Interest

Youn-Sig Kwak serves as Editor-in-Chief for the Plant Pathology Journal, but has no role in the decision to publish this article. The remaining author has declared no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the "Cooperative Research Program for Agriculture Science & Technology Development (PJ014934) from Rural Development Administration of Korea and Animal and Plant Quarantine Agency.

Electronic Supplementary Material

Supplementary materials are available at The Plant Pathology Journal website (http://www.ppjonline.org/).

References

- Cellini, A., Giacomuzzi, V., Donati, I., Farneti, B., Rodriguez-Estrada, M. T., Savioli, S., Angeli, S. and Spinelli, F. 2019. Pathogen-induced changes in floral scent may increase honeybee-mediated dispersal of *Erwinia amylovora*. *ISME J*. 13:847-859.
- Cernava, T., Erlacher, A., Soh, J., Sensen, C. W., Grube, M. and Berg, G. 2019. Enterobacteriaceae dominate the core microbiome and contribute to the resistome of arugula (*Eruca* sativa Mill.). *Microbiome* 7:13.
- Donati, I., Cellini, A., Buriani, G., Mauri, S., Kay, G., Tacconi, G. and Spinelli, F. 2018. Pathways of flower infection and pollen-mediated dispersion of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. actinidiae, the causal agent of kiwifruit bacterial canker. *Hortic. Res.* 5:56.
- Frank, A. C., Guzmán, J. P. S. and Shay, J. E. 2017. Transmission of bacterial endophytes. *Microorganisms* 5:70.
- Gallai, N., Salles, J.-M., Settele, J. and Vaissière, B. E. 2009. Economic valuation of the vulnerability of world agriculture confronted with pollinator decline. *Ecol. Econ.* 68:810-821.
- Hardoim, P. R., van Overbeek, L. S., Berg, G., Pirttilä, A. M., Compant, S., Campisano, A., Döring, M. and Sessitsh, A. 2015. The hidden world within plants: ecological and evolutionary considerations for defining functioning of microbial endophytes. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 79:293-320.
- Hirsch, P. R. and Mauchline, T. H. 2012. Who's who in the plant root microbiome? *Nat. Biotechnol.* 30:961-962.
- Lautenbach, S., Seppelt, R., Liebscher, J. and Dormann, C. F. 2012. Spatial and temporal trends of global pollination benefit. *PLoS ONE* 7:e35954.

- Liao, F., Zhang, Y., Zhu, L.-H., Cao, B., Lv, D., Luo, J.-F. and Li, G.-R. 2018. Triplex real-time PCR detection of three quarantine *Phytophthora* pathogens infecting *Malus Miller*. J. Plant Dis. Prot. 125:325-330.
- Marques, J. P. R., Amorim, L., Spósito, M. B., Marin, D. and Appezzato-da-Glória, B. 2013. Infection of citrus pollen grains by *Colletotrichum acutatum. Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 136:35-40.
- McFrederick, Q. S. and Rehan, S. M. 2016. Characterization of pollen and bacterial community composition in brood provisions of a small carpenter bee. *Mol. Ecol.* 25:2302-2311.
- Nam, K.-W., Moon, B.-W. and Yoon, D.-H. 2019. Morphological characteristics and germination and fertilization abilities of five pollen varieties for 'Niitaka' pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia* Nakai) artificial pollination. *Hortic. Sci. Technol.* 37:687-695.
- Obersteiner, A., Gilles, S., Frank, U., Beck, I., Häring, F., Ernst, D., Rothballer, M., Hartmann, A., Traidl-Hoffmann, C. and Schmid, M. 2016. Pollen-associated microbiome correlates with pollution parameters and the allergenicity of pollen. *PLoS ONE* 11:e0149545.
- Orozco-Mosqueda, M. D. C., Rocha-Granados, M. D. C., Glick, B. R. and Santoyo, G. 2018. Microbiome engineering to improve biocontrol and plant growth-promoting mechanisms. *Microbiol. Res.* 208:25-31.
- Quinet, M. and Jacquemart, A.-L. 2020. Troubles in pear pollination: effects of collection and storage method on pollen viability and fruit production. *Acta Oecol.* 105:103558.
- Sáez, A., Negri, P., Viel, M. and Aizen, M. A. 2019. Pollination efficiency of artificial and bee pollination practices in kiwifruit. *Sci. Hortic.* 246:1017-1021.
- Samnegård, U., Hambäck, P. A. and Smith, H. G. 2019. Pollination treatment affects fruit set and modifies marketable and storable fruit quality of commercial apples. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* 6:190326.
- Vannette, R. L. 2020. The floral microbiome: plant, pollinator, and microbial perspectives. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 51:363-386.
- von Wintzingerode, F., Landt, O., Ehrlich, A. and Göbel, U. B. 2000. Peptide nucleic acid-mediated PCR clamping as a useful supplement in the determination of microbial diversity. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66:549-557.
- Wei, Z., Hu, J., Gu, Y., Yin, S., Xu, Y., Jousset, A., Shen, Q. and Friman, V.-P. 2018. *Ralstonia solanacearum* pathogen disrupts bacterial rhizosphere microbiome during an invasion. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 118:8-17.
- Zhao, D.-F. and Kuwana, T. 2003. Purification of avian circulating primordial germ cells by Nycodenz density gradient centrifugation. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 44:30-35.