GDCh

Nitrous Oxide

International Edition: DOI: 10.1002/anie.201908333 German Edition: DOI: 10.1002/ange.201908333

Rhodium(I) Pincer Complexes of Nitrous Oxide

Matthew R. Gyton, Baptiste Leforestier, and Adrian B. Chaplin*

Abstract: The synthesis of two well-defined rhodium(I) complexes of nitrous oxide (N_2O) is reported. These normally elusive adducts are stable in the solid state and persist in solution at ambient temperature, enabling comprehensive structural interrogation by ¹⁵N NMR and IR spectroscopy, and single-crystal X-ray diffraction. These methods evidence coordination of N_2O through the terminal nitrogen atom in a linear fashion and are supplemented by a computational energy decomposition analysis, which provides further insights into the nature of the Rh–N₂O interaction.

he synthetic exploitation of nitrous oxide (N₂O) is an enduring challenge that draws topical interest as a means to remediate the detrimental impact emission of this kinetically stable gas on the environment.^[1] Whilst the application of homogenous transition-metal complexes is an attractive prospect, the underpinning inorganic chemistry is conspicuously under-developed.^[2] Indeed, the number of discrete transition-metal complexes of N₂O is currently limited to a handful of examples (**A**–**D**), of which only two have been structurally characterised in the solid state using X-ray diffraction (Figure 1).^[3–7] This paucity is attributed to the extremely poor ligand properties of N₂O, conferred by a low dipole moment, weak σ -donor and π -acceptor characteristics, and the propensity of these adducts for subsequent N–N or N–O bond cleavage.^[2]

Inspired by work by Brookhart and Caulton,^[8,9] and building upon that conducted in our laboratories,^[10] we chose cationic phosphine-based pincer complexes of rhodium(I) as a platform for studying the coordination chemistry of N₂O. Our approach utilises dimeric [{Rh(pincer)}₂(μ - η^2 : η^2 -COD)]-[BAr^F₄]₂ (**1a**, pincer = 2,6-(*t*Bu₂PO)₂C₅H₃N; **1b**, pincer = 2,6-(*t*Bu₂PCH₂)₂C₅H₃N; COD = cyclooctadiene, Ar^F = 3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃) as synthons for reactive {Rh(pincer)}⁺ fragments in the weakly coordinating solvent 1,2-F₂C₆H₄ (DFB).^[11] Satisfactorily, reactions of **1** with N₂O (1.5 bar) at room temperature afforded well-defined adducts [Rh(pincer)-(N₂O)][BAr^F₄] **2** in quantitative yield by ³¹P NMR spectros-

[*] Dr. M. R. Gyton, B. Leforestier, Dr. A. B. Chaplin Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry CV4 7AL (UK) E-mail: a.b.chaplin@warwick.ac.uk Homepage: http://go.warwick.ac.uk/abchaplin

 Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for
 the author(s) of this article can be found under: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201908333.
 © 2019 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co.



Figure 1. Well-defined transition-metal complexes of nitrous oxide. Weakly coordinating $[BAr^F_4]^-$ anions have been omitted from the reaction scheme for clarity.

copy, as evidenced by resonances at δ 210.4 (**2a**, ${}^{1}J_{RhP}$ = 134 Hz, t < 3 h)/ δ 70.9 (**2b**, ${}^{1}J_{RhP} = 127$ Hz, t < 5 min) that display diagnostic ¹⁰³Rh coupling (Figure 1). These Rh–N₂O complexes were subsequently isolated as analytically pure materials in good yield on precipitation with hexane at low temperature and extensively characterised (2a, 65%; 2b 78%). Both can be stored under argon in the solid state, but decompose slowly in DFB solution at room temperature (2a, $t_{50\%\text{dec}} \approx 4.0 \text{ h}$; **2b**, $t_{50\%\text{dec}} \approx 2.5 \text{ h}$), with generation of the known dinitrogen complexes $[Rh(pincer)(N_2)][BAr_4^F]$ (3a, δ 211.3, ${}^{1}J_{\text{RhP}} = 133 \text{ Hz}$; **3b**, δ 71.2, ${}^{1}J_{\text{RhP}} = 126 \text{ Hz}$).^[10,12] By drawing parallels with the reaction of a neutral rhodium PNP analogue with N_2O , where formation of a discrete adduct is inferred but not experimentally corroborated, we suggest 2 decomposes by a bimetallic oxygen atom transfer mechanism that is initiated by dissociation of N₂O and proceeds via {(pincer)Rh^{II}–N=N–O–Rh^{II}(pincer)}^{2+,[9,13]} Consistent with this assertion, enhanced solution stability was observed under a N₂O atmosphere (2%/30% decomposition of 2a/b after 24 h).

The structures of **2** were definitively established in DFB solution using ¹⁵N NMR spectroscopy, aided by samples prepared using isotopically labelled ¹⁵N₂O (98 % ¹⁵N, Figure 2 and the Supporting Information). Intact coordination of N₂O through the terminal nitrogen atom is evident by an upfield shift of $\Delta\delta$ 43.3/37.0 for the corresponding ¹⁵N resonances, comparable to that of **B**,^[4] which exhibit ¹J_{RbN} coupling of

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 15295–15298

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim 🛛 🛚 🕅

^{© 2019} The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial, and no modifications or adaptations are made.



Figure 2. Solid-state structures (150 K) and ¹⁵N NMR spectra (¹⁵N₂O atmosphere, DFB, 61 MHz, 298 K) of **2**. Thermal ellipsoids drawn at 50% (**2a**) and 30% (**2b**) probability; minor disordered components (pincer ligand in **2b**) and anions omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths and angles: **2a** Rh1–P2 2.2677(5) Å, Rh1–P3 2.2688(5) Å, Rh1–N4 1.981(2) Å, N4–N5 1.108(3) Å, N5–O6 1.194(3) Å, Rh1–N20 2.007(2); N20–Rh1–N4 178.05(8)°, Rh1–N4–N5 173.4(2)°, N4–N5–O6 178.5(3)°; **2b** Rh1–P2 2.282(2) Å, Rh1–P3 2.288(2) Å, Rh1–N4 1.962(7) Å, N4–N5 1.111(11) Å, N5–O6 1.192(11) Å, Rh1–N20/N20A 2.071(7)/2.052(8) Å; N20/N20A–Rh1–N4 178.1(5)/175.4(5)°, Rh1–N4–N5 176.8(11)°, N4–N5–O6 178.7(14)°.^[21]

28/27 Hz^[14] and ${}^{2}J_{PN}$ coupling of 4/5 Hz for **2a/b**, respectively. The internal ¹⁵N resonances are conversely shifted downfield by $\Delta\delta$ 15.3/14.3, but retain coupling to both ¹⁰³Rh (²J_{RhN} = 8 Hz) and ³¹P (${}^{3}J_{PN} = 1$ Hz), albeit with commensurate reductions in magnitude. The ${}^{1}J_{NN}$ coupling constant of free N₂O (9 Hz) is small and appreciably reduced on complexation to rhodium; to the extent that it is only just resolved (1 Hz). Analysis of natural abundance and isotopically labelled (powdered) solid samples of 2 using ATR IR spectroscopy enabled unambiguous assignment of the principal ν (N-N) and ν (N-O) bands of **2a** (2279, 1252 cm⁻¹, respectively) and **2b** (2267, 1228 cm⁻¹, respectively). The former are significantly blue shifted, relative to the free ligand, whilst the latter are red shifted (2224, 1285 cm⁻¹, respectively).^[15] Spectra of 2 can also be acquired in DFB and give similar values (full details are provided in the Supporting Information). Higher energy v(N-N) bands are also observed for C and D,^[5,6] with this phenomenon running contrary to normal expectations for (meaningful) metal-to-ligand π -back bonding.

The solid-state structures of **2a** and **2b** have been determined using single-crystal X-ray diffraction (150 K) and verify that they are both discrete N₂O complexes, with the ligand binding through the terminal nitrogen atom in a linear fashion (Rh–N–N > 173° and N–N–O > 178°; Figure 2). The former is well-ordered, but the latter features an extensively disordered pincer ligand symptomatic of dynamic isomerism in the lattice ($C_2 \rightleftharpoons C_s$ conformations).^[16] Whilst this disorder was modelled satisfactorily, the metal–

ligand metrics in **2b** are inevitably determined with reduced precision in comparison to **2a**. Nevertheless, the pertinent data associated with coordination of N₂O in **2** point to very similar bonding characteristics. When normalising by the sum of the covalent radii,^[17] the extent of the M–N interactions in **2a** (1.981(2) Å) and **2b** (1.962(7) Å) are in close agreement with those previously determined in **C** (2.1389(10) Å) and **D** (1.890(8) Å): r(M-N)/[r(M) + r(N)] = 0.93, **2a**; 0.92, **2b**; 0.95, **C**; 0.93, **D**.^[5,6] There is a trend for the N–N bonds (1.108(3)/1.111(11) compared to 1.128 Å) to be shortened and the N–O bonds (1.194(3)/1.192(11) compared to 1.184 Å) to be elongated in **2a/b** relative to free N₂O,^[15] but these changes are marginal.

Supplementing the experimental work, the geometries and thermodynamics of **2** were interrogated in silico at the DLPNO-CCSD(T)/def2-TZVPP// ω B97X-D3/def2-TZVP(-f) level of theory.^[18] Whilst the trend for a longer Rh–N contact in **2a** (2.006 Å) relative to **2b** (1.989 Å) established by X-ray diffraction is reproduced, it is for the former that binding of N₂O is predicted to be most exergonic ($\Delta G_{298K} =$ -68.5 kJ mol⁻¹, **2a**; -67.6 kJ mol⁻¹ **2b**). The magnitude of these values is consistent with slow exchange on the ¹⁵N NMR timeframe (61 MHz, 298 K; Figure 2), with the difference congruent with the relative rate of decomposition observed in solution. Only very small perturbations to the N–N and N–O bond lengths are computed on coordination (less than 0.005 Å), but the associated vibrations corroborate the experimental pattern and are discernibly blue and red shifted,

Table 1:	LED	results	for 2	\rightarrow {Rh(pincer)}	+ +	N_2O	(kJ mol	⁻¹). ^{[18}
----------	-----	---------	--------------	----------------------------	-----	--------	---------	---------------------------------

	2a	2 b
$\Delta E_{\text{interaction}}$	-124.8	-119.8
Edispersion	-70.0	-76.5
ΔE_{Pauli}	+476.8	+519.1
E _{electrostatic}	-359.0	-385.5
$\Delta E_{ m orbital}$	-140.9	-148.0
$\Delta E_{ m orbital}$ (σ donation) ^[a]	-79.8 (57%)	-83.3 (56%)
$\Delta E_{ m orbital}$ (π backbonding) ^[a]	-56.2 (40%)	-59.2 (40%)
$\Delta E_{ m residual}$	-31.7	-33.1
$\Delta E_{\text{preparation}}$	+2.5	+3.8
$\Delta E_{\rm binding}~(=-D_{\rm e})$	-122.3	-120.1

[a] Determined by application of the extended transition state method for energy decomposition analysis combined with the natural orbitals for chemical valence theory (ETS-NOCV). The character of the interaction is deduced from visual inspection of the natural orbitals. Percentage of total orbital interaction $\Delta E_{\text{orbital}}$ in parenthesis.

respectively (see the Supporting Information). To gain deeper insight into the nature of the Rh-N₂O interaction, a local energy decomposition (LED) analysis was carried out using ORCA 4.1.2. (Table 1; Supporting Information).^[18-20] The results reiterate marginally stronger N₂O binding in 2a ($D_e =$ $+122.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) compared to **2b** ($D_e = +120.1 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) and highlight the important role of dispersion, which accounts for approximately 12% of the total stabilising interactions.^[21] The interfragment orbital energies are small and reflect the presence of weak σ -donation and π -back bonding; with the former predominating (ca. 56% vs. 40%). When the two complexes are compared, the combined stabilising interactions are most pronounced for **2b**, but counteracted by even more extensive Pauli repulsion (i.e. sterics) than in 2a. The latter difference is reconciled by the more obtuse bite angle of the PNP $(P-Rh-P=169.24(8)^\circ, expt)$ vs. PONOP (P-Rh-P= $162.77(2)^\circ$, expt) pincer ligand, which causes greater buttressing between the tBu substituents and the coordinated N₂O ligand.

In summary, the synthesis and comprehensive characterisation of two rhodium(I) pincer complexes of N₂O are reported. Through an unprecedented combination of ¹⁵N NMR and IR spectroscopy, and single crystal X-ray diffraction the discrete nature of these complexes and the coordination of N₂O to the metal through the terminal nitrogen atom in a linear fashion is unequivocally established. Subtle differences in the characteristics of the Rh–N₂O interaction associated with the ancillary pincer ligand employed have been reconciled using a computational energy-decomposition analysis, which highlights the weakly interacting nature of N₂O, the important stabilising role of dispersion interactions, and the effect of steric buttressing with the pincer substituents.

Acknowledgements

We thank the European Research Council (ERC, grant agreement 637313; M.R.G., B.L., A.B.C.) and Royal Society (UF100592, UF150675, A.B.C.) for financial support. Crystallographic data were collected using an instrument that

received funding from the ERC under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 637313). Computing facilities were provided by the Scientific Computing Research Technology Platform of the University of Warwick.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: coordination chemistry · nitrous oxide · pincer ligands · rhodium · structure elucidation

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 15295–15298 Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 15439–15442

- a) D. S. Reay, E. A. Davidson, K. A. Smith, P. Smith, J. M. Melillo, F. Dentener, P. J. Crutzen, *Nat. Clim. Change* 2012, 2, 410-416; b) J. Hansen, M. Sato, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2004, 101, 16109-16114; c) D. J. Wuebbles, *Science* 2009, 326, 56-57; d) A. R. Ravishankara, J. S. Daniel, R. W. Portmann, *Science* 2009, 326, 123-125.
- [2] a) K. Severin, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 6375-6386; b) W. B. Tolman, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 1018-1024; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 1034-1041; c) D.-H. Lee, B. Mondal, K. D. Karlin in Activation of Small Molecules (Ed.: W. B. Tolman), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2006, pp. 43-79.
- [3] a) J. N. Armor, H. Taube, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 6874– 6876; b) F. Paulat, T. Kuschel, C. Näther, V. K. K. Praneeth, O. Sander, N. Lehnert, Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 6979–6994.
- [4] C. B. Pamplin, E. S. F. Ma, N. Safari, S. J. Rettig, B. R. James, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 8596–8597.
- [5] N. A. Piro, M. F. Lichterman, W. H. Harman, C. J. Chang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 2108–2111.
- [6] V. Zhuravlev, P. J. Malinowski, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 11697–11700; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 11871–11874.
- [7] For other examples that do not involve formation of a discrete coordination complex see: a) A. Pomowski, W.G. Zumft, P. M. H. Kroneck, O. Einsle, *Nature* 2011, 477, 234–237; b) D. J. Xiao, E. D. Bloch, J. A. Mason, W. L. Queen, M. R. Hudson, N. Planas, J. Borycz, A. L. Dzubak, P. Verma, K. Lee, et al., *Nat. Chem.* 2014, 6, 590–595.
- [8] a) W. H. Bernskoetter, C. K. Schauer, K. I. Goldberg, M. Brookhart, *Science* 2009, *326*, 553–556; b) M. D. Walter, P. S. White, C. K. Schauer, M. Brookhart, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 15933–15947.
- [9] a) A. Y. Verat, H. Fan, M. Pink, Y. S. Chen, K. G. Caulton, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 7680–7686; b) J. G. Andino, K. G. Caulton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 12576–12583.
- [10] M. R. Gyton, T. M. Hood, A. B. Chaplin, *Dalton Trans.* 2019, 48, 2877–2880.
- [11] S. D. Pike, M. R. Crimmin, A. B. Chaplin, *Chem. Commun.* 2017, 53, 3615–3633.
- [12] a) G. M. Adams, F. M. Chadwick, S. D. Pike, A. S. Weller, *Dalton Trans.* 2015, 44, 6340–6342; b) A. B. Chaplin, A. S. Weller, *Organometallics* 2011, 30, 4466–4469.
- [13] Correspondingly we suggest paramagnetic rhodium oxyls of the form [Rh^{II}(pincer)O]⁺ are also generated, but we have so far been unable to confirm this experimentally. Time-course data collected under argon is consistent with formation of NMRsilent species and second-order decomposition with respect to 2 (see the Supporting Information). For other precedents for this type of mechanism see: a) J. T. Groves, J. S. Roman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 5594–5595; b) H. Yu, G. Jia, Z. Lin,

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 15295–15298 © 2019 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim www.angewandte.org 15297



Angewandte International Edition

Organometallics **2009**, *28*, 1158–1164; c) T. D. Palluccio, E. V. Rybak-Akimova, S. Majumdar, X. Cai, M. Chui, M. Temprado, J. S. Silvia, A. F. Cozzolino, D. Tofan, A. Velian, C. C. Cummins, B. Captain, C. D. Hoff, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 11357–11372.

- [14] S. Donovan-Mtunzi, R. L. Richards, J. Mason, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1984, 469–474.
- [15] W. C. Trogler, Coord. Chem. Rev. 1999, 187, 303-327.
- [16] T. M. Hood, B. Leforestier, M. R. Gyton, A. B. Chaplin, *Inorg. Chem.* 2019, 58, 7593-7601.
- [17] B. Cordero, V. Gómez, A. E. Platero-Prats, M. Revés, J. Echeverría, E. Cremades, F. Barragán, S. Alvarez, *Dalton Trans.* 2008, 2832–2838.
- [18] Only the metal cations are considered. In the case of **2b** there are two possible isomers, related to the conformation the pincer ligand adopts, but for simplicity the discussion is focused exclusively on the lower energy C_2 -symmetric isomer in the main text. The C_s -symmetric isomer is $\Delta G_{298K} = +3.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ higher in energy and the LED analysis is provided in the Supporting Information.

- [19] a) W. B. Schneider, G. Bistoni, M. Sparta, M. Saitow, C. Riplinger, A. A. Auer, F. Neese, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 2016, *12*, 4778–4792; b) A. Altun, F. Neese, G. Bistoni, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 2019, *15*, 215–228.
- [20] a) F. Neese, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci. 2012, 2, 73–78; b) F. Neese, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci. 2018, 8, e1327.
- [21] The important stabilising role of dispersion interactions has also been invoked recently in the formation of structurally related σalkane complexes: Q. Lu, F. Neese, G. Bistoni, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2019, 21, 11569–11577.
- [22] CCDC 1938490 and 1938491 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

Manuscript received: July 4, 2019 Version of record online: September 12, 2019