

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Digestive and Liver Disease

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dld



# Guidelines

# COVID-19 epidemic: Proposed alternatives in the management of digestive cancers: A French intergroup clinical point of view (SNFGE, FFCD, GERCOR, UNICANCER, SFCD, SFED, SFRO, SFR)



Frederic Di Fiore<sup>a,\*</sup>, Olivier Bouché<sup>b</sup>, Come Lepage<sup>c</sup>, David Sefrioui<sup>a</sup>, Alice Gangloff<sup>a</sup>, Lilian Schwarz<sup>d</sup>, Jean Jacques Tuech<sup>d</sup>, Thomas Aparicio<sup>e</sup>, Thierry Lecomte<sup>f</sup>, Camille Boulagnon-Rombi<sup>g</sup>, Astrid Lièvre<sup>h,i,j,k</sup>, Sylvain Manfredi<sup>c</sup>, Jean Marc Phelip<sup>1</sup>, Pierre Michel<sup>a,\*</sup>, on behalf of the Thésaurus National de Cancérologie Digestive (TNCD), on behalf of the Société Nationale Française de Gastroentérologie (SNFGE), on behalf of the Fédération Francophone de Cancérologie Digestive (FFCD), on behalf of the Groupe Coopérateur multidisciplinaire en Oncologie (GERCOR), on behalf of the Fédération Nationale des Centres de Lutte Contre le Cancer (UNICANCER), on behalf of the Société Française de Chirurgie Digestive (SFCD), on behalf of the Société Française d'Endoscopie Digestive (SFED), on behalf of the Société Française de Radiothérapie Oncologique (SFRO) and Société Française de Radiologie (SFR), on behalf of the Association Chirurgie Hepato-Biliaire et Transplantation (ACHBT)

<sup>a</sup> Department of Hepatogastroenterology, Normandie Université, UNIROUEN, Inserm U1245, IRON group, Rouen University Hospital, F 76000 Rouen, France <sup>b</sup> Digestive Oncology, CHU Reims, University Reims Champagne Ardennes, France

<sup>e</sup> Gastroenterology and Digestive Oncology, Saint Louis Hospital, APHP, Université de Paris, Paris, France

<sup>h</sup> Rennes 1 University, Rennes, France

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 March 2020 Accepted 30 March 2020 Available online 14 May 2020

Keywords: COVID-19 infection Digestive cancer Chemotherapy Surgery French Clinical Practice Guidelines

# ABSTRACT

*Introduction:* Patients treated for malignancy are considered at risk of severe COVID-19. This exceptional pandemic has affected countries on every level, particularly health systems which are experiencing saturation. Like many countries, France is currently greatly exposed, and a complete reorganization of hospitals is ongoing. We propose here adaptations of diagnostic procedures, therapies and care strategies for patients treated for digestive cancer during the COVID-19 epidemic.

*Methods:* French societies of gastroenterology and gastrointestinal (GI) oncology carried out this study to answer two main questions that have arisen (i) how can we limit high-risk situations for GI-cancer patients and (ii) how can we limit contact between patients and care centers to decrease patients' risk of contamination while continuing to treat their cancer. All recommendations are graded as experts' agreement according to the level of evidence found in the literature until March 2020.

*Results:* A proposal to adapt treatment strategies was made for the main GI oncology situations. Considering the level of evidence and the heterogeneous progression of the COVID-19 epidemic, all proposals need to be considered by a multidisciplinary team and implemented with patient consent.

\* Corresponding authors.

rouen.fr (P. Michel). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dld.2020.03.031

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Hepato-Gastroenterology Department, University Hospital Le Bocage, EPICAD INSERM LNC-UMR 1231, Université de Bourgogne et Franche Comté, Dijon, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Department of Digestive Surgery, Normandie Université, UNIROUEN, Inserm U1245, IRON group, F 76000 Rouen, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup>Gastroenterology and Endoscopy Department, Trousseau Hospital, University F Rabelais Tours, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>g</sup> Pathology, CHU Reims, University Reims Champagne Ardennes, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Association pour le Dépistage des Cancers en Ille-et-Vilaine, ADECI35, Rennes, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>j</sup> Department of Gastroenterology, CHU Pontchaillou, Rennes, France

k INSERM UMR 1242, COSS "Chemistry, Oncogenesis, Stress Signaling", Rennes, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Gastroenterology and Digestive Oncology, University Hospital of Saint Etienne, Saint Etienne, France

E-mail addresses: frederic.di-fiore@chu-rouen.fr (F. Di Fiore), pierre.michel@chu-

<sup>1590-8658/© 2020</sup> Editrice Gastroenterologica Italiana S.r.l. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Conclusion:* COVID-19 epidemic may significantly affect patients treated for digestive malignancies. Healthcare teams need to consider adapting treatment sequences when feasible and according to the epidemic situation.

© 2020 Editrice Gastroenterologica Italiana S.r.l. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

The current coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) (COVID-19) epidemic is an exceptional situation. It requires us to rethink our practices in digestive oncology and raises many questions:

- Should common practices be changed for the next few weeks?
- What practical recommendations can be made based on the literature and the experience of Chinese teams?
- Is COVID-19 infection different from other viral infections with pulmonary tropism?

The scientific answer to these questions is not yet fully known. However, a study published in 2019, before the appearance of COVID-19, retrospectively evaluated 1503 cases of viral pneumonia admitted to the emergency medicine department of a Korean hospital between 2010 and 2015. Altogether, 9.4% of cases were due to a Coronavirus. Patients with cancer were not more likely than other patients to have a Coronavirus infection. The distribution of the different types of viruses was not influenced by the presence of cancer. In contrast, among patients infected with a Coronavirus, the 30-day mortality rate was significantly higher in those with cancer (24.4% versus 3.0%, p < 0.001) [1]. In this study, the risk factors for death at 30 days at multivariate analysis were: age over 65 years (OR 1.661; 95% CI: 1.062-2.598, p = 0.026), viral and bacterial co-infection (OR 1.609; 95% CI: 1.045-2.478, p=0.031), the presence of cancer (OR 2.257; 95% CI: 1.499-3.400, *p* = 0.001) and initial shock (OR 2.121; 95% CI: 1.028-4.373, p = 0.042).

Coronavirus pulmonary infection was thus a serious event in cancer patients, with a 25% risk of death at 30 days in those with severe forms of the infection. In two large published series of 99 and 201 cases of pneumonitis with biological evidence of COVID-19, there were only two cancer patients [2, 3].

In the Chinese prospective database of patients with proven COVID-19 (n=2007) from 31 provinces, 417 were excluded due to insufficient clinical history data. Among the 1590 analyzed cases, 1% (18 patients) had a personal history of cancer. This figure was higher than the number expected in the Chinese population (0.29%) suggesting that the infection could be more common in subjects with a personal history of cancer. Results also highlighted that a severe infection was more frequent in patients with a history of cancer than in those without cancer (7/18 or 39% versus 124/1572 or 8%, p = 0.0003). Moreover, in cases with surgery or chemotherapy in the preceding month, the infection was severe in 3 out of 4 cases (75%), representing a relative risk of 5.34 (95% CI 1.80–16.18, p = 0.0026) as compared to others [4]. However, this study had several limitations, including the size and characteristics of the study population corresponding to 18 patients classified in the cancer group but with 9 of them with a history of cancer dating back more than 4 years.

However, due to the absence of other reports to date, we have to consider that severe COVID 19 leading to patients' death will be more frequent in subjects suffering from cancer. Finally, due to frequent limitations in health care resources during rapidly growing epidemics, cancer patients, especially those with metastatic diseases treated by palliative systemic treatments, may not have access to intensive care units in case of severe COVID 19 infections [5]. In this context, the aim is to discuss the adaptations of therapies and/or strategies for patients treated for a gastrointestinal cancer (GI). Moreover, considering the mechanisms of COVID-19 transmission, the main modifications of pathology and endoscopy procedures have been also discussed.

# 2. Methods

# 2.1. Formulation of the questions

The method was based on recent Chinese articles suggesting a modification of practices with the following two main objectives [6-8].

- Limit very high-risk situations: surgery and intensive chemotherapy
- Limit patients' exposure to the SARS-Cov-2 and particularly in care centers

The multidisciplinary proposals are presented in the form of a table (see Tables 1 and 2) reporting therapeutic adaptations listed organ by organ. The proposals are guided by the two objectives above, and take into account the possibility of limited access to technical platforms. Of note, the adaptations of surgical procedures in digestive oncology have also been reviewed, discussed, detailed and published by a group of French surgeons. However, their proposals will not be detailed in the present paper [9].

Lastly, we also suggest an adaptation of surveillance in two distinct situations: during treatment and post-therapy.

Data on COVID-19 are still too fragmentary to allow robust conclusions. The recommendations are therefore pragmatic with a low level of evidence and based solely on agreement or expert advice.

## 2.2. Methodology

The current coronavirus (COVID-19) epidemic is an exceptional health situation that has prompted the French-speaking Federation of Digestive Cancerology (FFCD) to react quickly. The text is based on data from the literature and experience in China.

The text was first reviewed by the members of the FFCD board during an audio conference on March 16, 2020 and was validated by members of the Steering Committee (COPIL) and the heads of the various sections of our national guidelines group (TNCD) on March 23, 2020. All scientific societies involved in digestive oncology, namely SNFGE, GERCOR, UNICANCER, SFCD, SFED, SFRO, SFR, ACHBT and also GTE-RENATEN and NETSARC for neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) and gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs), contributed to the discussion. Modifications concerning hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and cholangio-carcinomas were discussed with experts from the AFEF (French Association for the Study of the Liver). The recommendations of expert pathologists (SFP) and experts in endoscopic procedures (SFED) were also discussed in order to select appropriate measures to implement during the epidemic. A complete version, including the indications for pathology and endoscopy procedures (SFP), has been published on our TNCD website (see online: "http://www.snfge.org/download/file/fid/3784"). Lastly, a cohort project coordinated by Professor Astrid Lièvre will be started with the network of Cooperative Groups in Oncology in France (GCO).

The grading of recommendations includes 4 levels of evidence (A, B, C, agreement or expert opinion) (Table 1).

Table 1

Grading system	used for	these	recommendations.
----------------	----------	-------	------------------

Grade	Corresponding level of evidence
А	Strong recommendation based for example on a high-powered randomized comparative trial (s), a meta-analysis of randomized comparative trials, or an analysis of decision based on well-conducted studies.
В	Recommendation based on a scientific presumption from low-power randomized controlled trials, well-conducted non-randomized comparative studies or cohort studies.
С	Recommendation based on a low level of evidence from case-control studies, comparative studies with significant biases, retrospective studies, case series, descriptive epidemiological studies (transverse, longitudinal).
Expert Agreement or Opinion	Recommendation based on an expert agreement or an expert opinion in the absence of sufficient data from the literature

# 3. Results

# 1-Proposals of the French Pathology Society (SFP)

The amount of SARS-Cov-2 virus excreted in the stool may be high. The recommendations of the French Microbiology Society (SFM) concerning the management of samples specify that samples containing stools carry a high risk of contamination. Given the incubation time and the percentage of asymptomatic patients, all samples should be considered potentially infected.

A recent publication has shown that fixation in formalin can inactivate the SARS-Cov-2 virus [10]. The risk of toxicity linked to formalin exposure appears to be less serious than that linked to the handling of fresh, non-fixed tissue potentially carrying SARS-Cov-2.

The French Society of Pathology (SFP) published on 18/03/2020 advice for the management of sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage based on the recommendations of the SFM but without specific advice on the management of fresh digestive surgery samples and fixation in formalin.

# RECOMMENDATIONS

None

# OPTIONS

- It seems difficult to issue specific advice for the management of fresh colectomy or small intestine resection samples, except to work with gloves, glasses and mask (**expert agreement**)
- There are not enough data to decide on the management of samples for immunofluorescence (**expert agreement**)
- Some centers recommend fixing endoscopic or operative digestive samples immediately in formalin, with the exception of extemporaneous examinations and suspected lymphoma, sarcoma, tuberculosis (micro-biology), pediatric tumors or special protocols (contact with the reference pathology laboratory). (Expert opinion)
- For digestive samples from a suspect or known COVID-19 patient, it is important to inform the pathology laboratory before sending the specimens (specific circuit) (expert agreement)
- Management sheets for biological samples from COVID-19 suspected patients have been drawn up by the SFM. https://www.sfm-microbiologie.org/wpcontent/uploads/2020/03/Fiche-COVID19\_V3\_SFM.pdf (expert agreement)

# 2-Proposed therapeutic alternatives organ by organ

# RECOMMENDATIONS

• None

OPTIONS (see details in Table 2) (expert agreement)

• Proposals must be interpreted according to the intensity of the epidemic and its impact on the organization of healthcare structures.

- Therapeutic adjustments must be recorded or discussed during a multidisciplinary concertation meeting (MCM), which should include a small number of participants or use videoconference systems, if feasible.
- Whenever possible, the patient should be informed of the increased risk of severe COVID-19 under chemotherapy.
- The benefit/risk ratio must be taken into account when prescribing chemotherapy, and especially poly-chemotherapies.
- Oral treatments are to be preferred so as to limit patients' exposure in care centers, and tele-consultations should be preferred to physical consultations. The use of oral chemotherapy need to be considered case by case according to patients condition and compliance.
- Whenever possible (lesions < 3 cm), particularly for HCC and liver metastases, percutaneous thermoablation is to be preferred (outpatient or 48-hour hospitalization without morbidity).
- The postponement of the majority of complex surgeries (esophago, pancreatic or hepatic) with high morbidity must be proposed depending on the phase of the epidemic.

# CLINICAL TRIAL

- COVID-19 Cohort Project (FFCD-GCO) (Coordinator Pr. A Lièvre (Rennes))
- 3-Proposed adaptation of endoscopy activity (SFED recommendations)

The French Society of Digestive Endoscopy (SFED) has proposed an adaptation of digestive endoscopy procedures due to the COVID-19 epidemic (**expert agreement**)

The adaptation of endoscopic procedures in healthcare establishments has **two objectives**:

- 1) Strengthen and amplify all the resources of healthcare institutions in terms of anesthesia-resuscitation and medical care.
- 2) Facilitate the management of emergency cases of digestive disease (not linked to COVID-19) in order to minimize the loss of opportunity that a possible delay in diagnosis or treatment would engender.

# A /Emergency situations:

# In the digestive tract:

- Upper gastrointestinal bleeding.
- · Severe lower gastrointestinal bleeding.
- · Caustic ingestion (in accordance with recommendations).
- · Sigmoid volvulus.
- Gastrointestinal tract obstruction requiring endoscopic stent or percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy.

# **Bilio-pancreatic tract:**

- Cholangitis
- Acute pancreatitis
- Bile duct Obstruction
- Necrosectomy
- · Abscess drainage

Table	2

Proposed therapeutic adjustments by organ (\* expert agreement / \*\* expert opinion).

Organ	Oncologic situation	Proposals
Rectum locally advanced	Chemo-radiotherapy completed or in progress	<ul> <li>Postpone surgery (delay of 11 or 7 weeks no difference (GRECCAR 6, [11]) but more morbidity and more difficult excision) **Beyond 12 weeks, reconsider according to hospital possibilities (availability of operating room and resuscitation unit) *</li> </ul>
	Preoperative chemo radiotherapy planned	- Discuss preoperative short course radiotherapy (5 $\times$ 5 Gy) without CT and delayed surgery at 12 weeks depending on the epidemic and hospital possibilities [12] *
	Special cases	• Give priority to CAP50 RT regimen and surgery at 12 weeks depending on
	<ul> <li>T4</li> <li>Major response to CT-RT (GECCAR 2 criteria)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>the epidemic and hospital possibilities *</li> <li>Consider organ preservation with local excision or Watch and Wait strategy [13, 14] *</li> </ul>
Colon localized	<t4 (symptomatic="" and="" non-symptomatic)<="" td=""><td><ul> <li>Surgery within the usual delay if possible, without neoadjuvant CT **</li> <li>However, some experts recommend postponing surgery [9] **</li> </ul></td></t4>	<ul> <li>Surgery within the usual delay if possible, without neoadjuvant CT **</li> <li>However, some experts recommend postponing surgery [9] **</li> </ul>
	Specific cases • T4 • Obstruction • Frail patients	<ul> <li>Primary chemotherapy, favoring the oral route with oxaliplatin when feasible (CapOx regimen) and surgery after the epidemic period [15, 16] *</li> <li>colostomy and surgery for 4 to 6 weeks *</li> <li>Postpone surgery for 4 to 6 weeks according to the risk/ benefit ratio *</li> </ul>
	Indication for adjuvant chemotherapy: stage III and stage II (T4b <del>)</del>	<ul> <li>Prefer CapOx over FOLFOX (3 or 6 months) *</li> <li>Depending on the local situation, for low risk, consider replacing oxaliplati with capecitabine monotherapy alone [17] *</li> <li>For frailly patients, consider omitting CT *</li> </ul>
Colorectal metastatic (1st and 2nd line)	Resectable	<ul> <li>Postpone surgery until the end of the epidemic period (+/- neoadjuvant CI depending on tumor characteristics (favor the regimens with capecitabine CapOx) **</li> <li>Low morbidity surgery or thermal ablation can be considered within the usual time limits (local situations) **</li> </ul>
	Potentially resectable	<ul> <li>CT with mono (favor capecitabine) * or doublet regimen (CapOx * or CapIri **) +/- targeted therapies, and avoid triplet regimen * The associatic CapOx plus anti-EGFr need to be consider with cautious [18]</li> </ul>
	Non resectable	<ul> <li>CT: mono (favor capecitabine) or doublet regimen ((CapOx * or CapIri **) + targeted therapies, avoid triplet regimen *</li> <li>The association CapOx plus anti-EGFr need to be consider with cautious [18]. If maintenance strategy, consider capecitabine alone +/- bevacizumab</li> </ul>
Colorectal metastatic under treatment	Non resectable	<ul> <li>Consider oral treatments in stable or slowly progressive disease (capecitabine) in order to limit hospital stays [19], and with telemedicine of telephone follow-up *</li> <li>Consider CT break of 2 months In patients with stable disease *</li> </ul>
Colorectal Metastatic under treatment beyond 2 <sup>nd</sup> line	Non resectable	<ul> <li>Regorafenib using the ReDOS schedule starting at 80 mg daily [20] *</li> <li>Consider a CT break in case of stability *</li> <li>Careful use of Trifluridine-Tipiracil due to the risk of leuko-neutropenia * [21]</li> </ul>
Pancreatic adenocarcinoma	Localized with proven histology	<ul> <li>Postpone surgery until after the epidemic period ** (lack of ICU beds, increased morbidity and mortality) depending on local possibilities and the evolution.</li> <li>Consider neoadjuvant CT: prefer FOLFOX * over FOLFIRINOX ** with regard to the risk of severe complications due to chemo-induced immunosuppression (reconsider after the epidemic).</li> <li>In cases of FOLFIRINOX, used the modified regimen (without 5FU bolus and irinotecan 150 mg/m2) and systematic GCSF *</li> </ul>
	Postoperative	<ul> <li>Modified FOLFIRINOX due to the magnitude of the survival benefit, and with systematic GCSF in the context of epidemic period * [22]</li> </ul>
	Locally advanced	- Chemotherapy to be discussed (gemcitabine or doublet CT based on 5FU or capecitabine) $^\ast$
	Metastatic	<ul> <li>CT according to the general condition (monotherapy with gemcitabine, doublet CT or triplet CT depending on the clinical situation) *. If FOLFIRINOX, no bolus and systematic GCSF</li> <li>Consider a CT break or maintenance in case of stability by favoring capecitabine *</li> </ul>

# Table 2 (continued)

Organ	Oncologic situation	Proposals
Intrahepatic Biliary tract	Resectable	<ul> <li>Surgery on time if possible, without neoadjuvant CT *</li> <li>Peri-hilar cholangiocarcinoma: in case of icteric cholestasis, bile ducts drain and portal embolization in preparation for hepatectomy which can be postponed *</li> </ul>
	Post-operative	Capecitabine *
	Non resectable or metastatic	<ul> <li>CT depending on the clinical situation (gemcitabine-platinum or GemOx *)</li> <li>Discuss alterative CApOx regimen [23]</li> </ul>
Eso-gastric	Localized (junction and stomach): Perioperative chemotherapy	<ul> <li>CT adapted to the clinical situation:</li> <li>FLOT if possible due to the magnitude of the survival benefit, by adding systematic GCSF * [24]</li> <li>Failing this, doublet platinum-based CT (CapOx) *</li> <li>If surgery, favor intervention without thoracic approach *</li> </ul>
	Localized (esophagus): Preoperative chemo radiotherapy	<ul> <li>Consider paclitaxel-carboplatin plus radiotherapy regimen *</li> <li>In cases with complete clinical response: discuss careful surveillance or delayed surgery * [25]</li> </ul>
	Metastatic	+ CapOx first-line chemotherapy +/- trastuzumab (if HER2 positive) $^{\ast}$
Hepatocellular Carcinoma	Resectable	<ul> <li>No postponement of curative treatments except in the case of a single smanodule without threatening and / or poorly evolving vascular relationship</li> <li>If waiting for a liver transplant: postpone the transplant until after the epidemic by implementing any appropriate interim treatments that may be necessary *</li> </ul>
	Non operable or metastatic	<ul> <li>Oral treatment (sorafenib / regorafenib / cabozantinib) *</li> <li>Reconsider loco-regional treatments on a case-by-case basis after the epidemic *</li> </ul>
Squamous cell Anal	Localized with indication of chemoradiotherapy	• Favor the Capecitabine-Mitomycin C plus radiotherapy * regimen [26]
carcinoma	Recurrence or metastatic	<ul> <li>CapOx bi-chemotherapy or carboplatin-capecitabine (less toxic and easier t manage than 5FU-cisplatin or DCF) **</li> </ul>
Neuroendocrine Carcinoma	Resectable	<ul> <li>Do not postpone surgery or consider neoadjuvant CT or chemoradiotherapy for the rare curable forms *</li> </ul>
	Non Resectable	<ul> <li>Do not postpone CT for the start of treatment (1st line, up to a total of 6 cycles of platinum-etoposide regimen) *</li> <li>Do not use oral etoposide *</li> <li>2nd and 3rd line are to be discussed on a case-by-case basis, as well as therapeutic breaks if possible *</li> </ul>
Well Differentiated NET	Resectable	Postpone all surgeries if the patient is asymptomatic *
	Non Resectable	<ul> <li>Loco-regional procedures (hepatic embolization, thermo-ablation, surgical cytoreduction) are maintained on a case-by-case basis if it is necessary to control a refractory secretory syndrome. Favor teleconsultations for patient who do not need IV treatment (somatostatin analog, everolimus, sunitinib, temozolomide +/- capecitabine) *</li> <li>Favor oral chemotherapy (TemCap) over IV if possible *</li> <li>Consider a break from IV chemotherapy as soon as possible (often possible after 3 months of effective chemotherapy) *</li> <li>Peptide Receptor radionuclide therapy (PPRT) is maintained on a case-by-case basis depending on the facilities available, the state of the disease / patient, as long as the treatment is provided*</li> <li>Evaluate the dose-intensity of each treatment, in particular in patients wit neutropenia-lymphopenia (especially on everolimus) *</li> </ul>
	Surveillance	<ul> <li>Patients being treated <ul> <li>asymptomatic: postpone follow-up exams and continue the therapeutic line *</li> <li>symptomatic: maintenance of imaging examinations. Marker kinetics have not demonstrated any clinical interest * [27]</li> <li>Post-therapeutic monitoring: postpone follow-up exams until after the epidemic period *</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

### Table 2 (continued)

Organ	Oncologic situation	Proposals
GIST	Resectable	<ul> <li>Surgery within the usual time limits if possible *         <ul> <li>except "frailly " patients *</li> <li>except complex surgery (duodenopancreatectomy, proctectomy) or             lesions that are difficult to resect = initiate or continue an interim             treatment with imatinib *</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	Post-operative Imatinib adjuvant treatment	<ul> <li>Continuation of imatinib *</li> <li>Temporary discontinuation of TKI if suspected infection *</li> <li>Prioritize support for tele-consultation *</li> <li>Postpone follow-up imaging until after the epidemic *</li> </ul>
	Locally advanced or Metastatic	<ul> <li>Continuation of the TKI *</li> <li>Temporary discontinuation of TKI if suspected infection *</li> <li>Give priority to teleconsultation support *</li> <li>Postpone assessment imaging until after the epidemic*</li> <li>Postponement of surgery or heat-ablation until after the epidemic with interim treatment with TKI *</li> </ul>

# B / Indications for which the procedures will not be delayed:

- Diagnosis and regional involvement (endoscopy, echoendoscopy +/- fine-needle aspiration).
- Positive fecal-immunochemical test (FIT test).
- · Iron deficiency anemia.

This list is not exhaustive but any endoscopy which can be postponed for a few weeks must be discussed in the interests of the patient.

# 4-Proposed adaptations for follow-up

For patients undergoing systemic treatment, the monitoring of marker kinetics has shown its clinical interest in patients with increased tumor markers at baseline, particularly for colon and metastatic pancreatic cancers [28,29]. In this exceptional situation of COVID-19, marker kinetics combined with remote clinical monitoring make it possible to postpone imaging examinations during the epidemic period.

# RECOMMENDATIONS

None

# OPTIONS (expert opinion)

- Postponement of imaging scheduled during the epidemic period.
- Evaluation by clinical examination and tumor marker kinetics.
- The particular case of patients treated for metastatic colorectal cancer with potentially resectable metastases justifies the maintenance of timely imaging examinations.

For patients in a post-therapeutic setting, an adaptation of the follow-up is required.

# RECOMMENDATIONS

• No reference

# **OPTIONS** (expert agreement)

• Postponement of consultations and imaging examinations until after the epidemic period.

# 4. Discussion

Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) is causing an emerging viral infectious disease that is currently spreading worldwide. Although limited clinical cancer-specific data are available, patients with cancer are regarded as having a high risk of CODIV-19-related death and the question of adapting diagnostic procedures, therapies and care strategies during the epidemic period has thus arisen. Moreover, in this particular context, in which hospitals are being submerged by incoming patients requiring intensive care, it is essential to preserve a functioning healthcare system. This situation is a major issue for all patients, whether infected with COVID-19 or not.

One of main questions is thus, how can we limit the risk of infection for cancer patients for a period of 2 to 3 months without excessively compromising the control of their cancer? In the current exceptional context, it is accepted in France that usual medical practices may be profoundly modified by the impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on our healthcare system. The epidemic phenomenon is known to be composed of five stages. Stage 1 is the "calm before the storm" where non-emergency care is delayed, fewer patients turn up at emergency departments, and specific departments are ready to receive patients with COVID-19. Stage 2 is the peak, varying in intensity within the same country as was the case in China and Italy. The peak can be relatively well controlled in countries that have previously experienced similar situations, such as Korea or Japan, which anticipated and attenuated the peak by adopting preventive measures such as generalized mask wearing, barrier measures in social networks, massive testing and regular disinfection of public places. In contrast, Western countries appear to be less well prepared and when the capacity of the health system is exceeded, the epidemic peak has a major impact on care for other diseases. Next comes phase 3, known as the "plateau", characterized by the continuous influx of infected patients, thereby neutralizing the healthcare system's ability to take care of other illnesses in accordance with current guidelines. The duration of phase 3 is logically linked to peak intensity as well as the availability of resources [5]. Phase 4 is the "the recession", the duration of which depends on the previous stages and their consequences on healthcare teams. Phase 5 is "the return back to normal situation", and includes the management of newly diagnosed patients as well as patients whose care has been postponed during the previous phases. Each phase will affect therapeutic choices at every level from the standard of care to possible adaptation of strategies or even forced postponement. The goal of the present manuscript is to suggest adaptations of diagnostic procedures, therapies and care strategies, based on expert opinion, that can be proposed in patients treated in France for GI cancer during the epidemic period. The impact of COVID-19 on the adaptations of cancer strategies proposed here is not yet known and further modifications may become

necessary in the light of future publications with higher levels of evidence.

# 5. Conclusion

COVID-19 is an exceptional epidemic phenomenon that affects all countries at every level: social, political, economic and healthcare. Considering the duration of COVID19 epidemic, strategies in cancer and in particular for GI tumor, will be need adapted in several patients. Taking into account that the adaptations proposed in this paper were based on a multidisciplinary overview and experts' agreement, further studies are needed to clearly evaluate the impact of these adaptations during the COVID-19 epidemic period.

# **Conflict of interest**

The Authors have no Conflict of interest in digestive oncology topics with COVID-19.

# Acknowledgments

We thank the review committee: E. Baudin (Villejuif), J.-F. Blanc (Bordeaux), J.Y. Blay (Lyon), K. Boudjema (Rennes), G. Cadiot (Reims), E. Cotte (Lyon), L. de Mestier (Paris), M. Ducreux (Villejuif), S. Gaujoux (Paris), B. Guiu (Montpellier), O. Glehen (Lyon), F. Huguet (Paris), R. Kianmanesh (Reims), B. Landi (Paris), M. Lesurtel (Lyon), C. Lombard-Bohas (Lyon), C. Louvet (Paris), A. Marchal (Reims), P.Mariani (Paris), D. Malka (Villejuif), L. Moureau (Marseille), C. Neuzillet (Saint-Cloud), G. Piessen (Lille), JF Seitz (Marseille), J. Taieb (Paris), T. Walter (Lyon), A. Zaanan (Paris).

We thank the French cancer cooperative groups GCO

### References

- Kim YJ, Lee ES, Lee YS. High mortality from viral pneumonia in patients with cancer. Infect Dis 2019;51:502–9.
- [2] Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet 2020;395:507–13.
- [3] Wu C., Chen X., Cai Y., et al. Risk factors associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome and death in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA Intern Med doi 10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.0994
- [4] Liang W., Guan W., Chen R., et al. Cancer patients in SARS-CoV -2 infection: a nationwide analysis in China. Lancet Oncol 10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30096-6
   [5] Spinelli A, Pellino G. COVID-19 pandemic: perspectives on an unfolding crisis.
- Br J Surg 2020. doi:10.1002/bjs.11627.
- [6] Zhang Y, Xu JM. Medical diagnosis and treatment strategies for malignant tumors of the digestive system during the outbreak of novel coronavirus pneumonia. Zhonghua Zhong Liu Za Zhi 2020 Feb 29;42(0):E005.
- [7] Luo Y, Zhong M. Standardized diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer during the outbreak of novel coronavirus pneumonia in Renji hospital. Zhonghua Wei Chang Wai Ke Za Zhi 2020 Feb 22;23(3):E003. doi:10.3760/cma. j.cn441530-20200217-00057.
- [8] Chen YH, Peng JS. Treatment strategy for gastrointestinal tumor under the outbreak of novel coronavirus pneumonia in China. Zhonghua Wei Chang Wai Ke Za Zhi 25 Feb 2020;23(2) I–IV. doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.1671-0274.2020.02.001.
- [9] Tuech JJ, Gangloff A, DI Fiore F, Michel P, Brigand C, Slim K, et al. Strategy for the practice of digestive and oncologic surgery in COVID 19 epidemic. J Visc Surg 2020. doi:10.1016/j.jviscsurg.2020.03.008.

- [10] Henwood AF. Coronavirus disinfection in histopathology. J Histotechnol 2020 Mar 1:1-3.
- [11] Lefevre JH, Mineur L, Kotti S, et al. Effect of interval (7 or 11 weeks) between neoadjuvant radiochemotherapy and surgery on complete pathologic response in rectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2016;34:3773–80.
- [12] Erlandsson J, Holm T, Pettersson D, et al. Optimal fractionation of preoperative radiotherapy and timing to surgery for rectal cancer (Stockholm III): a multicentre, randomised, non-blinded, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. Lancet Oncol 2017;18:336–46.
- [13] Renehan AG, Malcomson L, Emsley R, et al. Watch-and-wait approach versus surgical resection after chemoradiotherapy for patients with rectal cancer (the OnCoRe project): a propensity-score matched cohort analysis. Lancet Oncol 2016;17:174–83.
- [14] Rullier E, Rouanet P, Tuech JJ, et al. Organ preservation for rectal cancer (GRECCAR 2):a prospective, randomised, open-label, multicentre, phase 3 trial. Lancet 2017;390:469–79.
- [15] Karoui M, Rullier A, Piessen G, et al. Perioperative FOLFOX 4 versus FOLFOX 4 Plus Cetuximab versus immediate surgery for high-risk stage II and III colon cancers: a phase ii multicenter randomized controlled trial (PRODIGE 22). Ann Surg 2019. doi:10.1097/SLA.00000000003454.
- [16] Foxtrot collaborative group Feasibility of preoperative chemotherapy for locally advanced, operable colon cancer: the pilot phase of a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol 2012;13:1152–60.
- [17] Andre T, de Gramont A, Vernerey D, et al. Adjuvant Fluorouracil, leucovorin, and oxaliplatin in stage II to III colon cancer: updated 10-year survival and outcomes according to BRAF mutation and mismatch repair status of the MO-SAIC study. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:4176–87.
- [18] Maughan TS, Adams RA, Smith CG, et al. Addition of cetuximab to oxaliplatin-based fi rst-line combination chemotherapy for treatment of advanced colorectal cancer: results of the randomised phase 3. MRC COIN trial. Lancet 2011;377:2103–14.
- [19] Simkens L.H.J., van Tinteren H., May A., et al. Maintenance treatment with capecitabine and bevacizumab in metastatic colorectal cancer (CAIRO3): a phase 3 randomised controlled trial of the Dutch Colorectal Cancer Group. Lancet 2105; 385:1843-52
- [20] Bekaii-Saab TS, Ou FS, Ahn DH, et al. Regorafenib dose-optimization in patients with refractory metastatic colorectal cancer (ReDOS): a randomised, multicenter, open-label, phase 2 study. Lancet Oncol 2019;20:1070–82.
- [21] Mayer RJ, Van Cutsem E, Falcone A, et al. Randomized trial of TAS-102 for refractory metastatic colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2015;372 1909e19.
- [22] Conroy T, Hammel P, Hebbar M, et al. FOLFIRINOX or gemcitabine as adjuvant therapy for pancreatic cancer. New Engl J Med 2018;379:2395–406.
- [23] Kim ST, Kang JH, Lee J, et al. Capecitabine plus oxaliplatin versus gemcitabine plus oxaliplatin as first-line therapy for advanced biliary tract cancers: a multicenter, open-label, randomized, phase III, noninferiority trial. Ann Oncol 2019;30(5):788–95.
- [24] Al-Batran SE, Homann N, Pauligk C, et al. Perioperative chemotherapy with fluorouracil plus leucovorin, oxaliplatin, and docetaxel versus fluorouracil or capecitabine plus cisplatin and epirubicin for locally advanced, resectable gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction adenocarcinoma (FLOT4): a randomised, phase 2/3 trial. Lancet 2019;393:1948–57.
- [25] Mariette C, Dahan L, Mornex F, et al. Surgery alone versus chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery for stage I and II esophageal cancer: final analysis of randomized controlled phase III trial FFCD 9901. J Clin Oncol 2014;32:2416–22.
- [26] Meulendijks D, Dewit L, Tomasoa NB, et al. Chemoradiotherapy with capecitabine for locally advanced anal carcinoma: an alternative treatment option. Br J Cancer 2014;111:1726–33.
- [27] Vezzosi D, Walter T, Laplanche A, et al. Chromogranin A measurement in metastatic well-differentiated gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine carcinoma: screening for false positives and a prospective follow-up study. Int J Biol Mark Apr-Jun 2011;26(2):94–101.
- [28] Iwanicki-Caron I, Di Fiore F, Roque I, et al. Usefulness of the serum carcinoembryonic antigen kinetic for chemotherapy monitoring in patients with unresectable metastasis of colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:3681–6.
- [29] Laurent L, Sefrioui D, Bignon AL, et al. CA19.9 decrease >15% is a predictor of favourable outcome in patients treated for advanced pancreatic carcinoma: analysis of two independent cohorts. HPB 2019;21:582–8.