#### EDITORIAL



# A live vaccine to Staphylococcus aureus infection

Dane Parker

Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

ARTICLE HISTORY Received 8 January 2018; Accepted 9 January 2018 KEYWORDS d-alanined; sepsis; staphylococcus aureus; vaccine

Staphylococcus aureus is an important human pathogen responsible for significant morbidity and mortality worldwide. S. aureus is a significant cause of bloodstream, skin and soft tissue infections and pneumonia. S. aureus can account for one fifth of all bloodstream infections [2]. Colonization with S. aureus is relatively common with up to 30% of the population being persistent carriers and is associated with increased risk of infection [3,4]. Recent reports by both the World Health Organization and Centers for Disease Control have highlighted the problem facing us due to antimicrobial resistance [5,6], with methicillin resistant S. aureus (MRSA) representing a major problem. MRSA infections have increased levels of mortality, hospital stay, septic shock and subsequent infections. MRSA infections account for over 94,000 cases and 18,000 deaths annually in the United States and while estimates of its economic impact vary, it accounts for billions of dollars in expenditure in the United States as well as other countries [7–9]. Given its importance, the development of a vaccine and new antimicrobials to S. aureus is of high importance.

There is no current vaccine to *S. aureus* infection. Studies in the past have relied on single antigen preparations, with current efforts weighted towards multiple antigens [10,11]. Past attempts have investigated such candidates as iron surface determinant A and capsular polysaccharides. Both showed excellent promise in mouse models however, did not replicate this success in human trials [12–16]. These results have questioned the utility of murine models to predict the importance of specific virulence factors in pathogenesis and hence the accuracy in vaccine development given the presence of human specific virulence factors in *S. aureus* [17–21]. *S. aureus* also produces protein A. Protein A is an abundant surface protein that is able to interact with the Fc

portion of immunoglobulin, suppressing the adaptive immune response by limiting B cell antibody production [22]. Deletion or mutation of the IgG binding region of protein A to negate this effect has also shown promise in vaccine studies in mice [23], thus evidence indicates protein A is a hindrance to antibody production and action against S. aureus. These are some of the reasons why a S. aureus vaccine has not made it to market. S. aureus also expresses a large array of virulence factors such that a vaccine against any one may not prove effective and thus current trials are focused on multiple antigen preparations. These formulations contain a mixture of S. aureus virulence factors such as clumping factor A (ClfA), manganese transport protein C (MntC), fibronectin binding protein B (FnbB) and capsular polysaccharides and are in clinical trials currently [247-26]. The vaccines developed to date have all utilize purified proteins of S. aureus virulence factors or surface proteins and not attenuated live strains.

In this issue Moscoso et al [1] provide data using a novel vaccine candidate that uses a live S. aureus strain that is a D-alanine auxotroph of S. aureus. Only trace amounts of D-alanine are found in vertebrates and it is a major component of peptidoglycan in the cell wall of S. aureus [27]. They utilized a triple mutant lacking Damino acid transaminase and two alanine racemase genes, both of which are involved in the synthesis of Dalanine. While no growth or morphological defects were observed in the presence of D-alanine, in its absence the mutant was unable to grow, causing cells to be of abnormal size and showing morphological evidence of cell wall disruption. In a model of lethal infection, the auxotroph was profoundly less virulent and was rapidly cleared from the blood and organs regardless of the route of administration: intravenous, intraperitoneal or catheter

CONTACT Dane Parker O dp2375@cumc.columbia.edu Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University, 650 West 168th St, Black Building 427, New York 10032, USA.

Comment On: Miriam Moscoso, et al. A D-Alanine auxotrophic live vaccine is effective against lethal infection caused by Staphylococcus aureus. VIRULENCE, 2018 VOL. 9, NO. 1, 604–620 https://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2017.1417723

<sup>© 2018</sup> The Author. Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

infection models. The auxotrophic mutant was shown to be protective to subsequent infection of the parenteral strains with 100% protection compared to no protection in unvaccinated controls. Consistent with this protection, bacterial counts in the kidneys, spleen, liver and lung were all significantly reduced. The utilization of this live vaccine approach was also confirmed in an immunocompromised model of infection using leukopenic mice treated with cyclophosphamide. The immune response was shown to consist of significantly high levels of IgG, IgG3 and IgM, with IgG and IgM titers increasing after the administration of a second vaccine dose. Pooled immune sera was also protective when transferred to naïve mice. Cross-reactive antibody titers were evident with several strains of S. aureus and the vaccine strain conferred protection to both a bovine strain and the highly prevalent USA300 strain in addition to the parental strain. An interesting observation is that while the auxotroph was rapidly cleared from mice, it was still able to induce the production of protective antibodies. While the data generated thus far is positive it remains to be seen how the live vaccine strategy will fare in other animal model systems, given the previous disconnects between murine and human studies. As S. aureus is a human-adapted pathogen, studies utilizing humanized mice or rabbits, both of which are susceptible to several human-specific virulence factors, will potentially solidify this strategy and determine its worth in pursuing this live vaccination strategy clinically.

This study makes an interesting and important contribution to the future development of preventative therapies to *S. aureus* infection. Both previous and current major vaccine efforts and trials to *S. aureus* have been using protein and polysaccharide subunit vaccines. This study highlights the potential use of a live attenuated strain of *S. aureus* in the prevention of this important pathogen.

# **Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest**

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

### Acknowledgments

DP is funded by NIH grant R01HL134870.

# Funding

National Institutes of Health (R01HL134870).

#### References

[1] Moscoso M, Garcia P, Cabral MP, et al. A D-Alanine auxotrophic live vaccine is effective against Lethal infection caused by *Staphylococcus aureus*. Virulence. 2018; doi:10.1080/21505594.2017.1417723

- [2] Wisplinghoff H, Bischoff T, Tallent SM, et al. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in US hospitals: analysis of 24,179 cases from a prospective nationwide surveillance study. Clin Infect Dis. 2004;39:309–17. doi:10.1086/ 421946
- [3] Wertheim HF, Vos MC, Ott A, et al. Risk and outcome of nosocomial Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia in nasal carriers versus non-carriers. Lancet. 2004;364:703–5. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16897-9
- [4] Gorwitz RJ, Kruszon-Moran D, McAllister SK, et al. Changes in the prevalence of nasal colonization with Staphylococcus aureus in the United States, 2001–2004. J Infect Dis. 2008;197:1226–34. doi:10.1086/533494
- [5] World Health Organization. Antimicrobial Resistance Global Report on Surveillance. 2014.
- [6] CDC. Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States, 2013. 2013.
- [7] Uematsu H, Yamashita K, Kunisawa S, et al. Estimating the disease burden of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Japan: Retrospective database study of Japanese hospitals. PLoS One. 2017;12:e0179767. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0179767
- [8] Lee BY, Singh A, David MZ, et al. The economic burden of community-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (CA-MRSA). Clin Microbiol Infect. 2013;19:528–36. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2012.03914.x
- [9] Klevens RM, Morrison MA, Nadle J, et al. Invasive methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infections in the United States. JAMA. 2007;298:1763–71. doi:10.1001/ jama.298.15.1763
- [10] Daum RS, Spellberg B. Progress toward a Staphylococcus aureus vaccine. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;54:560–7. doi:10.1093/cid/cir828
- [11] Anderson AS, Miller AA, Donald RG, et al. Development of a multicomponent Staphylococcus aureus vaccine designed to counter multiple bacterial virulence factors. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2012;8:1585–94. doi:10.4161/hv.21872
- [12] Fattom AI, Sarwar J, Ortiz A, et al. A Staphylococcus aureus capsular polysaccharide (CP) vaccine and CP-specific antibodies protect mice against bacterial challenge. Infect Immun. 1996;64:1659–65.
- [13] Shinefield H, Black S, Fattom A, et al. Use of a Staphylococcus aureus conjugate vaccine in patients receiving hemodialysis. N Engl J Med. 2002;346:491–6. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa011297
- [14] Fattom AI, Horwith G, Fuller S, et al. Development of Staph-VAX, a polysaccharide conjugate vaccine against S. aureus infection: from the lab bench to phase III clinical trials. Vaccine. 2004;22:880–7. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2003.11.034
- [15] Kuklin NA, Clark DJ, Secore S, et al. A novel Staphylococcus aureus vaccine: iron surface determinant B induces rapid antibody responses in rhesus macaques and specific increased survival in a murine S. aureus sepsis model. Infect Immun. 2006;74:2215–23. doi:10.1128/ IAI.74.4.2215-2223.2006
- [16] Fowler VG, Allen KB, Moreira ED, et al. Effect of an investigational vaccine for preventing Staphylococcus aureus infections after cardiothoracic surgery: a randomized trial. JAMA. 2013;309:1368–78. doi:10.1001/ jama.2013.3010

- 702 😉 D. PARKER
- [17] Warren HS, Tompkins RG, Moldawer LL, et al. Mice are not men. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015;112:E345. doi:10.1073/pnas.1414857111
- [18] Kim HK, Missiakas D, Schneewind O. Mouse models for infectious diseases caused by Staphylococcus aureus. J Immunol Methods. 2014;410:88–99. doi:10.1016/j. jim.2014.04.007
- [19] Salgado-Pabon W, Schlievert PM. Models matter: the search for an effective Staphylococcus aureus vaccine. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2014;12:585–91. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3308
- [20] Proctor RA. Challenges for a universal Staphylococcus aureus vaccine. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;54:1179–86. doi:10.1093/cid/cis033
- [21] Alonzo F, 3rd, Torres VJ. Bacterial survival amidst an immune onslaught: the contribution of the Staphylococcus aureus leukotoxins. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9:e1003143. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003143
- [22] Parker D, Prince A. Immunopathogenesis of Staphylococcus aureus pulmonary infection. Semin Immunopathol. 2012;34:281–97. doi:10.1007/s00281-011-0291-7

- [23] Kim HK, Falugi F, Thomer L, et al. Protein A suppresses immune responses during Staphylococcus aureus bloodstream infection in guinea pigs. MBio. 2015;6:e02369–14. doi:10.1128/mBio.02369-14
- [24] Rauch S, Gough P, Kim HK, et al. Vaccine protection of leukopenic mice against Staphylococcus aureus bloodstream infection. Infect Immun. 2014;82:4889–98. doi:10.1128/IAI.02328-14
- [25] Anderson AS, Scully IL, Timofeyeva Y, et al. Staphylococcus aureus manganese transport protein C is a highly conserved cell surface protein that elicits protective immunity against S. aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis. J Infect Dis. 2012;205:1688–96. doi:10.1093/infdis/jis272
- [26] McAdow M, Kim HK, Dedent AC, et al. Preventing Staphylococcus aureus sepsis through the inhibition of its agglutination in blood. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7:e1002307. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002307
- [27] Vollmer W, Blanot D, de Pedro MA. Peptidoglycan structure and architecture. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2008;32:149–67. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00094.x