Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib



Data Article

Data for high-throughput screening of enzyme mutants by comparison of their activity ratios to an enzyme tag



Yaping Li ^{a, 1}, Huimin Chong ^{a, 1}, Xiang Zhang ^a, Xiaolan Yang ^{a, **}, Fei Liao ^{a, b, *}

^a School of Laboratory Medicine, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing 400016, China
^b School of Pharmacy and Bioengineering, Chongqing University of Technology, Chongqing 400054, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 October 2019 Received in revised form 1 December 2019 Accepted 4 December 2019 Available online 13 December 2019

Keywords: Mutant libraries Enzyme tag Fusion expression Activity ratio HTP screening

ABSTRACT

Data in this article are associated with the research article "Highthroughput screening of enzyme mutants by comparison of their activity ratios to an enzyme tag" (Li et al., 2019) [1]. Data are provided on the development of a system for high-throughput (HTP) screening of mutants through the comparison of the activity ratios of an applicable enzyme and its mutants to a suitable tag enzyme in cell lysates of their fused forms, with *Escherichia coli* alkaline phosphatase (ECAP) as the tag fused to the *N*-terminus of *Pseudomonas Aeruginosa* arylsulfatase (PAAS) and its mutants via a flexible linker. Data were made publicly available for further analyses.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI of original article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2019.113474.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2019.104985

2352-3409/© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author. School of Pharmacy and Bioengineering, Chongqing University of Technology, Chongqing 400054, China.

^{**} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: xiaolanyang666@yeah.net (X. Yang), liaofeish@yeah.net (F. Liao).

¹ These two authors contributed equally to this work.

Specifications Table

Subject	Chemistry, Biology
Specific subject area	Biomolecule engineering
Type of data	Figure, Table
How data were acquired	The adsorbance change for enzyme activity assay was recorded with Biotek ELX 800 at room temperature.
Data format	Raw and Analyzed
Parameters for data collection	The mixture of cell lysate of 20 μL and substrate of 180 μL containing both or one of final
	2.0 mM 4NPS and final 0.20 mM 4NNPP in a well was agitated for 2.0 min at room temperature, to record the absorbance in 30 min after the total lagging time of 4.0 min.
Description of data collection	Fusion expression of ECAP with PAAS and its mutants in DE3; Protein bands after SDS-
	PAGE staining with Coomassie brilliant blue or antibodies following standard protocol;
	SDESA or separate single assay of ECAP and PAAS/mutant to derive their activity ratios.
Data source location	Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing 400016, China
Data accessibility	Data incorporated within this article
Related research article	Yaping Li, Huimin Chong, Xiang Zhang, Xiaolan Yang,*, Fei Liao*
	High-throughput screening of enzyme mutants by comparison of their activity ratios to
	an enzyme tag
	Analytical Biochemistry doi: 10.1016/j.ab.2019.113474

Value of the Data

- The dataset in this report will facilitate understanding the validation and application of a new high-throughput screening
 strategy to mutants of an enzyme in a library [1]. To recognize positive mutants in a library, this new screening strategy
 fuses enzyme/mutants with a tag enzyme to compare activity ratios of the enzyme/mutants to the tag enzyme in cell
 lysates of their fused forms, when such activity ratios have physical significance and are proportional to specific activities
 of the non-fused counterpart enzyme/mutants.
- Re-analyses of these data will benefit researchers to develop a practical system of the new high-throughput screening strategy for directed evolution of an applicable enzyme.
- The data in this report utilizes *Escherichia coli* alkaline phosphatase (ECAP) as the tag enzyme for fusion with *Pseudomonas Aeruginosa* arylsulfatase (PAAS) via a flexible linker. Through the analyses of the data of the activities of ECAP in lysates of both *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) transformed with a blank plasmid and host cells transformed with the fused mutants of PAAS, a rational threshold of ECAP activities in cell lysates can be developed for physical significance of the activity ratios of their fused forms at a preset confidence limit. Meanwhile, with a focused library of PAAS mutants through saturated mutagenesis at M72, the data enable researchers to understand the proportionality between the activity ratios of PAAS/ mutants to ECAP in cell lysates of their fused forms and specific activities of the non-fused counterpart PAAS/mutants.
- The data in this report will provide insights on the application of the new screening strategy to the elucidation of sequence-activity relationship of an applicable enzyme.

1. Data description

The data in this article provides information on how to develop an experimental system for HTP screening of mutants through the comparison of the activity ratios of an applicable enzyme and its mutants to a suitable enzyme tag in cell lysates of their fused forms (Figs. 1–4 and Tables 1–7). Data

First design FC AP-dHis-PAAS	10 TOCGETAGCCACCATC	20 30	40 50	60 70	80
That wasguiltern wind Tring	S G S H H	нннн сс	G G S G G G G	S Н Н Н Н Н Н Н	S S G
Second design:ECAP-LHis-PAAS	10 GGTGGCGGCGGTTCTG G G G G S	20 GGCGGTGGCGGTAGCCACC G G G G S H I	40 SACCACCACCACCACAGCAGC H H H H S S	XGGC G	
Third design: ECAP-LL-PAAS	10 CACCACCACCACCACC H H H H H H	20 XCACGGCGGTAGC ······ G H G G S	10 GGTGGCGGCGGGTTCT G G G G S		

Fig. 1. Three designed linkers for fusion expression of ECAP and PAAS.



Fig. 2. PAGE analyses of fragmentation patterns. Based on the Western blotting with polyclonal antibodies against PAAS, ECAP, monoclonal antibody against 6His tag, and Coomassie Blue R250 staining of polypeptides after SDS-PAGE in Fig. 1a, b, c, d in Ref. [1], respectively, here are the detection of polypeptides after separation by PAGE. (a) Coomassie Blue R250 staining. (b) ECAP activity staining with 1-Naphthol phosphate. (c) PAAS activity staining with 4-Nitrophenylsulfate on the same gel used in (b) after staining of ECAP activities.



Fig. 3. Distributions of PAAS mutants after saturation mutagenesis at M72. * represents the three termination codes.

supported validity of the proposed strategy and the advantage to recognize the positive mutant in each pair of PAAS/mutants during HTP screening and elucidate the sequence-activity relationship of PAAS in HTP mode (Fig. 5) (see Scheme 1).

The lane of the same label in figures stood for the same sample, as follows. M: molecular weight markers; 0. Transformed with empty plasmid; 1. Purified ECAP; 2. Purified PAAS; 3. Purified ECAP-dHis-PAAS; 4. Purified ECAP-LHis-PAAS; 5. Purified ECAP-LL-PAAS; 6. ECAP-dHis-PAAS in lysate; 7. ECAP-LHis-PAAS in lysate; 8. ECAP-LL-PAAS in lysate.

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

2.1. Experimental design

The comparison of the activity ratios of an applicable enzyme and its mutants to a suitable enzyme tag in cell lysates of their fused forms for HTP screening of mutants requires both the negligible or



Fig. 4. Association of activity ratios of the fused forms in cell lysates with specific activities of their purified non-fused counterparts.

consistent impacts of the enzyme tag on the activities of enzyme/mutants and the proportionality of the activities of the enzyme tag in cell lysates of the fused enzyme/mutants to protein quantities of the active forms of the fused enzyme/mutants in both their native and partially-fragmented fused states. With ECAP as the tag fused to the *N*-terminus of PAAS and its mutants *via* a flexible linker, the proposed strategy was tested.

3. Materials and methods

For each fused enzyme/mutant, an individual clone was transferred into 0.50 mL LB medium in 48well microplate for amplification in 12 h at 37 °C and 180 rpm till optical density of 0.4–0.6 at 600 nm. Afterwards, each enzyme/mutant was induced for expression with 1.0 mM IPTG for 21 h at 15 °C. The lysates of fused mutants were prepared through alkaline lysis, unless otherwise stated. In detail, cell suspension of 20 μ L from a well was transferred to a new well for mixing with 180 μ L of the alkaline lysis buffer (1.0 M Tris-HCl at pH 9.0, plus 1.0 mM PMSF and 2.5 mM 4-aminobenzamidine) in 96-well microplates; the resulting mixture was agitated rapidly on Qilinbeier QB-9001 agitator for 4 h at room temperature to yield a cell lysate.

The substrate solution containing 2.0 mM 4NPS and/or 0.20 mM 4NNPP was utilized to monitor the absorbance change at 405 and/or 450 nm (The substrate solution containing both substrates was utilized for spectrophotometric-dual-enzyme-simultaneous-assay (SDESA) of ECAP and PAAS/mutant [2,3]). For HTP assay of enzyme activity(ies), cell lysate of 20 μ L was mixed with a substrate solution of 180 μ L in 96-well microplates. The mixtures in wells were agitated for 2.0 min at room tempearture; after a total lagging time of 4.0 min, the absorbance of each well was recorded in 30 min at room tempearture to estimate initial rate for enzyme activity by linear regression with the preset absorptivity of 12 (mmol)⁻¹·L⁻¹·cm⁻¹ for 4-nitrophenol and 19 (mmol)⁻¹·L⁻¹·cm⁻¹ for 4-nitro-1-naphthol considering the light path. The change of absorbance of no less than 0.003 in 10 min was taken as the detection limit of enzyme activity, after the correction of the overlapped absorbance of chromogenic products during SDESA.

Table 1		
Thresholds of ECAP activities in cell lysates.	Data for 140 individual clor	nes transformed with the blank plasmid

PNS (405 nm	1)		4NNPP (450 nm)			Activity Ratio ^a
ΔA/min	After correction ΔA/min	Activity on 4NPS (U/L)	ΔA/min	After correction ΔA/min	Activity on 4NNPP (U/L)	
-0.00184	-0.00181	-1.57	0.00050	0.00085	0.47	-3.36
-0.00165	-0.00163	-1.42	0.00045	0.00077	0.42	-3.34
-0.00112	-0.00109	-0.95	0.00050	0.00071	0.39	-2.41
-0.00113	-0.00110	-0.96	0.00045	0.00067	0.37	-2.59
-0.00076	-0.00073	-0.64	0.00050	0.00064	0.36	-1.79
-0.00183	-0.00181	-1.57	0.00029	0.00064	0.35	-4.47
-0.00118	-0.00116	-1.01	0.00040	0.00062	0.34	-2.92
-0.00106	-0.00104	-0.90	0.00039	0.00059	0.33	-2.77
-0.00100	-0.00098	-0.85	0.00039	0.00058	0.32	-2.65
-0.00131	-0.00129	-1.12	0.00033	0.00058	0.32	-3.53
-0.00104	-0.00102	-0.88	0.00035	0.00054	0.30	-2.95
-0.00117	-0.00116	-1.00	0.00030	0.00052	0.29	-3.48
-0.00122	-0.00120	-1.04	0.00029	0.00052	0.29	-3.63
-0.00112	-0.00110	-0.96	0.00031	0.00052	0.29	-3.32
-0.00077	-0.00075	-0.65	0.00037	0.00052	0.29	-2.28
-0.00087	-0.00085	-0.74	0.00035	0.00051	0.28	-2.63
-0.00110	-0.00108	-0.94	0.00030	0.00051	0.28	-3.35
-0.00098	-0.00096	-0.84	0.00032	0.00050	0.28	-3.01
-0.00115	-0.00114	-0.99	0.00028	0.00050	0.28	-3.58
-0.00089	-0.00087	-0.76	0.00033	0.00050	0.27	-2.77
-0.00088	-0.00086	-0.75	0.00033	0.00049	0.27	-2.75
-0.00056	-0.00054	-0.47	0.00038	0.00049	0.27	-1.74
-0.00082	-0.00080	-0.69	0.00033	0.00048	0.27	-2.61
-0.00109	-0.00108	-0.94	0.00026	0.00047	0.26	-3.60
-0.00107	-0.00106	-0.92	0.00026	0.00047	0.26	-3.57
-0.00091	-0.00089	-0.78	0.00029	0.00046	0.26	-3.03
-0.00110	-0.00109	-0.94	0.00025	0.00046	0.26	-3.69
-0.00047	-0.00045	-0.39	0.00037	0.00046	0.26	-1.54
-0.00011	-0.00008	-0.07	0.00044	0.00046	0.25	-0.29
-0.00102	-0.00100	-0.87	0.00026	0.00046	0.25	-3.46
-0.00064	-0.00062	-0.54	0.00033	0.00045	0.25	-2.17
-0.00083	-0.00081	-0.70	0.00029	0.00045	0.25	-2.85
-0.00063	-0.00061	-0.53	0.00032	0.00044	0.24	-2.19
-0.00101	-0.00099	-0.86	0.00025	0.00044	0.24	-3.59
-0.00105	-0.00104	-0.90	0.00024	0.00044	0.24	-3.76
-0.00028	-0.00026	-0.23	0.00038	0.00044	0.24	-0.94
-0.00038	-0.00036	-0.31	0.00035	0.00043	0.24	-1.33
-0.00036	-0.00034	-0.30	0.00035	0.00042	0.23	-1.27
-0.00074	-0.00072	-0.63	0.00028	0.00042	0.23	-2.09
-0.00049	-0.00047	-0.41	0.00033	0.00042	0.23	-1.//
-0.00038	-0.00036	-0.31	0.00035	0.00042	0.23	-1.30
-0.00080	-0.00078	-0.68	0.00026	0.00042	0.23	-2.97
-0.00068	-0.00067	-0.58	0.00028	0.00041	0.23	-2.55
-0.00063	-0.00061	-0.53	0.00029	0.00041	0.23	-2.34
-0.00048	-0.00040	-0.40	0.00032	0.00041	0.25	-1.76
-0.00078	_0.00077	-0.07	0.00023	0.00039	0.22	-3.07
-0.00103	-0.00104	-0.91	0.00019	0.00039	0.22	-4.20
-0.00100	-0.00035	-0.00	0.00015	0.00038	0.21	
-0.00071	_0.00039	-0.00	0.00023	0.00038	0.21	-2.00
_0.00074	_0.00072	_1 13	0.00024	0.00038	0.21	-5.05
-0.00131	-0.00100	-0.95	0.00015	0.00037	0.21	-4.62
-0.00043	-0.00041	-0.36	0.00019	0.00037	0.21	_1 74
-0.00099	-0.00098	-0.85	0.00018	0.00037	0.20	-4.18
0.00000	0.00000	0.00	0.00010	5.0005.	0.20	

(continued on next page)

Table	1 (conti	inued)
-------	-----	-------	-------	---

PNS (405 nm)			4NNPP (450 nm) Activity Ratio			Activity Ratio ^a
ΔA/min	After correction ΔA/min	Activity on 4NPS (U/L)	ΔA/min	After correction ΔA/min	Activity on 4NNPP (U/L)	
-0.00058	-0.00057	-0.49	0.00025	0.00036	0.20	-2.45
-0.00066	-0.00065	-0.57	0.00024	0.00036	0.20	-2.83
-0.00034	-0.00032	-0.28	0.00029	0.00035	0.20	-1.42
-0.00072	-0.00071	-0.61	0.00022	0.00035	0.20	-3.14
-0.00060	-0.00059	-0.51	0.00024	0.00035	0.19	-2.64
-0.00052	-0.00050	-0.44	0.00025	0.00034	0.19	-2.31
-0.00045	-0.00044	-0.38	0.00025	0.00034	0.19	-2.03
-0.00074	-0.00072	-0.63	0.00020	0.00034	0.19	-3.36
-0.00076	-0.00075	-0.65	0.00019	0.00034	0.19	-3.53
-0.00073	-0.00072	-0.62	0.00019	0.00033	0.18	-3.43
-0.00048	-0.00047	-0.41	0.00024	0.00033	0.18	-2.25
-0.00067	-0.00066	-0.58	0.00019	0.00032	0.18	-3.27
-0.00037	-0.00036	-0.31	0.00025	0.00032	0.17	-1.78
-0.00037	-0.00036	-0.31	0.00025	0.00032	0.17	-1.78
-0.00022	-0.00020	-0.18	0.00027	0.00031	0.17	-1.01
-0.00025	-0.00024	-0.21	0.00026	0.00031	0.17	-1.21
-0.00054	-0.00052	-0.46	0.00021	0.00031	0.17	-2.66
-0.00052	-0.00051	-0.44	0.00021	0.00031	0.17	-2.59
-0.00050	-0.00049	-0.42	0.00021	0.00030	0.17	-2.53
-0.00035	-0.00034	-0.30	0.00024	0.00030	0.17	-1.77
-0.00085	-0.00085	-0.74	0.00014	0.00030	0.16	-4.47
-0.00065	-0.00064	-0.56	0.00017	0.00030	0.16	-3.42
-0.00045	-0.00044	-0.38	0.00021	0.00030	0.16	-2.36
-0.00029	-0.00028	-0.24	0.00024	0.00029	0.16	-1.50
-0.00034	-0.00032	-0.28	0.00023	0.00029	0.16	-1.75
-0.00040	-0.00039	-0.34	0.00021	0.00028	0.16	-2.14
-0.00020	-0.00019	-0.16	0.00025	0.00028	0.16	-1.03
-0.00028	-0.00027	-0.23	0.00023	0.00028	0.16	-1.51
-0.00033	-0.00031	-0.27	0.00022	0.00028	0.15	-1.77
-0.00037	-0.00036	-0.31	0.00021	0.00028	0.15	-2.03
-0.00055	-0.00054	-0.47	0.00017	0.00028	0.15	-3.09
-0.00035	-0.00034	-0.30	0.00021	0.00028	0.15	-1.95
-0.00015	-0.00014	-0.12	0.00025	0.00027	0.15	-0.80
-0.00005	-0.00003	-0.03	0.00026	0.00027	0.15	-0.17
-0.00009	-0.00008	-0.07	0.00025	0.00027	0.15	-0.44
-0.00033	-0.00032	-0.27	0.00021	0.00027	0.15	-1.83
-0.00013	-0.00011	-0.10	0.00025	0.00027	0.15	-0.66
-0.00051	-0.00050	-0.43	0.00017	0.00027	0.15	-2.92
-0.00012	-0.00010	-0.09	0.00025	0.00027	0.15	-0.01
-0.00045	-0.00043	-0.38	0.00018	0.00027	0.15	-2.57
-0.00045	-0.00043	-0.58	0.00018	0.00027	0.15	-2.57
-0.00033	-0.00032	-0.45	0.00010	0.00026	0.15	-5.09
-0.00047	-0.00040	-0.40	0.00017	0.00020	0.14	-2.78
-0.00033	-0.00033	-0.47	0.00013	0.00020	0.14	-3.31
0.00029	0.00028	0.24	0.00020	0.00020	0.14	1.72
-0.00023	-0.00028	-0.24 -0.31	0.00020	0.00025	0.14	-1.72
-0.00037	-0.00015	-0.13	0.00022	0.00025	0.14	-0.95
-0.00021	-0.00020	-0.17	0.00022	0.00025	0.14	-1.25
-0.00025	-0.00024	-0.21	0.00020	0.00025	0.14	-1.54
-0.00010	-0.00009	-0.08	0.00023	0.00025	0.14	-0.55
-0.00056	-0.00056	-0.48	0.00014	0.00024	0.13	-3.60
-0.00031	-0.00030	-0.26	0.00018	0.00024	0.13	-1.95
-0.00040	-0.00039	-0.34	0.00016	0.00024	0.13	-2.57
-0.00015	-0.00014	-0.12	0.00021	0.00024	0.13	-0.94
-0.00025	-0.00023	-0.20	0.00018	0.00023	0.13	-1.62
-0.00062	-0.00061	-0.53	0.00011	0.00023	0.12	-4.26
-0.00047	-0.00046	-0.40	0.00014	0.00023	0.12	-3.24

Table 1 (continued)

PNS (405 nm	ı)		4NNPP (450 nm)			Activity Ratio ^a
ΔA/min	After correction ΔA/min	Activity on 4NPS (U/L)	ΔA/min	After correction ΔA/min	Activity on 4NNPP (U/L)	
-0.00046	-0.00046	-0.40	0.00014	0.00022	0.12	-3.20
-0.00026	-0.00025	-0.22	0.00017	0.00022	0.12	-1.79
-0.00035	-0.00035	-0.30	0.00015	0.00022	0.12	-2.45
-0.00011	-0.00010	-0.09	0.00019	0.00021	0.12	-0.73
-0.00010	-0.00009	-0.08	0.00019	0.00021	0.12	-0.67
-0.00053	-0.00052	-0.45	0.00011	0.00021	0.12	-3.92
-0.00035	-0.00035	-0.30	0.00014	0.00020	0.11	-2.68
-0.00033	-0.00032	-0.28	0.00014	0.00020	0.11	-2.53
-0.00051	-0.00050	-0.44	0.00010	0.00020	0.11	-4.03
-0.00045	-0.00045	-0.39	0.00011	0.00020	0.11	-3.61
-0.00003	-0.00002	-0.01	0.00018	0.00019	0.10	-0.14
-0.00001	0.00000	0.00	0.00018	0.00018	0.10	0.01
-0.00015	-0.00014	-0.12	0.00015	0.00018	0.10	-1.18
-0.00045	-0.00044	-0.38	0.00009	0.00018	0.10	-3.95
-0.00018	-0.00017	-0.15	0.00014	0.00017	0.09	-1.60
0.00021	0.00022	0.19	0.00021	0.00017	0.09	2.06
-0.00022	-0.00021	-0.18	0.00013	0.00017	0.09	-1.97
-0.00014	-0.00013	-0.11	0.00013	0.00015	0.08	-1.33
-0.00005	-0.00005	-0.04	0.00014	0.00015	0.08	-0.50
-0.00004	-0.00003	-0.02	0.00014	0.00014	0.08	-0.31
-0.00047	-0.00047	-0.41	0.00005	0.00013	0.07	-5.48
-0.00031	-0.00030	-0.27	0.00007	0.00013	0.07	-3.66
0.00004	0.00004	0.04	0.00014	0.00013	0.07	0.54
0.00047	0.00048	0.42	0.00018	0.00009	0.05	8.24
0.00056	0.00057	0.50	0.00019	0.00008	0.05	10.74
-0.00015	-0.00014	-0.12	0.00005	0.00007	0.04	-3.08
0.00073	0.00074	0.64	0.00016	0.00003	0.01	44.67
0.00055	0.00056	0.48	0.00005	-0.00006	-0.03	-14.73

^a Activity ratio was the activity of PAAS/mutant to that of ECAP in their fused form.

Table 2

Activity ratios of three fused forms via different linkers.

	Activity by SSA	4 ^b		Activity by SDE	SA ^c	
	Activity on 4NPS (kU/g)	Activity on 4NNPP (kU/g)	Activity Ratio ^a	Activity on 4NPS (kU/g)	Activity on 4NNPP (kU/g)	Activity Ratio ^a
Cell lysate $(n = 3)$						
ECAP-dHis-PAAS	2.17 ± 0.532	0.36 ± 0.082	6.01 ± 0.597	2.04 ± 0.415	0.34 ± 0.072	6.07 ± 0.522
ECAP-LHis-PAAS	2.50 ± 0.622	0.40 ± 0.076	6.27 ± 0.710	2.55 ± 0.430	0.40 ± 0.091	6.39 ± 0.403
ECAP-LL-PAAS	2.28 ± 0.567	0.36 ± 0.077	6.25 ± 0.621	2.64 ± 0.397	0.43 ± 0.077	6.10 ± 0.389
Purified enzyme (1	1 = 3)					
ECAP-dHis-PAAS	13.57 ± 3.02	2.22 ± 0.470	6.11 ± 0.457	12.67 ± 1.89	2.05 ± 0.402	6.17 ± 0.321
ECAP-LHis-PAAS	13.33 ± 2.16	2.14 ± 0.389	6.24 ± 0.397	12.00 ± 2.785	1.85 ± 0.5775	6.47 ± 0.774
ECAP-LL-PAAS	12.73 ± 2.03	2.03 ± 0.421	6.29 ± 0.687	12.54 ± 2.574	2.00 ± 0.510	6.26 0.440

^a Activities were determined by SSA/SDESA, with proteins quantified by Bradford method, activity ratio was the activity of PAAS/mutant to that of ECAP in their fused form.
 ^b SSA indicates separate single assay, one-by-one, in two solution.
 ^c SDESA indicates spectrophotometric-dual-enzyme-simultaneous-assay.

	-	
2	2	

Table 3				
Comparison of two	methods	for	cell	lysis.

Mutants ^a	Alkline lysis ($n = 6$	6)	Sonication treatme	ent (<i>n</i> = 6)
	PNS/4NNPP	Relative value ^b	PNS/4NNPP	Relative value ^b
ECAP-PAAS	2.06 ± 0.03	42.18	1.96 ± 0.04	38.44
ECAP-M72L	1.68 ± 0.10	34.31	1.45 ± 0.11	28.33
ECAP-M72T	1.44 ± 0.05	29.36	1.81 ± 0.14	35.37
ECAP-M72Q	1.32 ± 0.07	26.95	2.00 ± 0.08	39.16
ECAP-M72W	1.19 ± 0.01	24.37	1.41 ± 0.04	27.54
ECAP-M72V	1.10 ± 0.08	22.57	0.86 ± 0.03	16.76
ECAP-M72I	1.02 ± 0.09	20.92	1.35 ± 0.14	26.51
ECAP-M72A	0.92 ± 0.03	18.81	1.23 ± 0.12	24.19
ECAP-M72S	0.69 ± 0.04	14.20	0.55 ± 0.03	10.76
ECAP-M72P	0.54 ± 0.02	11.07	0.55 ± 0.04	10.72
ECAP-M72C	0.49 ± 0.01	10.07	0.76 ± 0.02	14.87
ECAP-M72H	0.36 ± 0.04	7.39	0.43 ± 0.01	8.45
ECAP-M72N	0.28 ± 0.03	5.73	0.40 ± 0.02	7.91
ECAP-M72Y	0.26 ± 0.04	5.22	0.69 ± 0.04	13.49
ECAP-M72F	0.25 ± 0.04	5.17	0.22 ± 0.01	4.39
ECAP-M72D	0.25 ± 0.03	5.02	0.32 ± 0.01	6.31
ECAP-M72E	0.15 ± 0.02	3.10	0.17 ± 0.01	3.39
ECAP-M72K	0.09 ± 0.03	1.87	0.12 ± 0.00	2.34
ECAP-M72G	0.08 ± 0.03	1.65	0.10 ± 0.01	1.86
ECAP-M72R	0.05 ± 0.02	1.00	0.05 ± 0.00	1.00

^a Paired *t*-test was applied to the comparison of relative values of activity ratios to ECAP between two lysis methods, giving t = 1.496, P = 0.15. ^b Relative value was derived as the activity ratio to that of M72R.

Table 4
Association of activity ratios in cell lysates of the fused forms with specific activities of their non-fused counterparts based on IT/

Mutants	Specific activity by ITA (kU/g)	Relative value ^a	Log ₁₀ (Specific activity by ITA)	log ₁₀ (Relative value)
ECAP-PAAS	14.60	54.36	1.16	1.74
ECAP-M72L	8.35	35.37	0.92	1.55
ECAP-M72T	8.18	39.16	0.91	1.59
ECAP-M72Q	7.10	28.33	0.85	1.45
ECAP-M72W	7.07	26.52	0.85	1.42
ECAP-M72V	5.06	27.55	0.70	1.44
ECAP-M72A	4.92	24.19	0.69	1.38
ECAP-M72I	4.96	16.76	0.70	1.22
ECAP-M72S	3.68	14.87	0.57	1.17
ECAP-M72C	3.46	10.76	0.54	1.03
ECAP-M72P	3.60	13.49	0.56	1.13
ECAP-M72H	2.41	10.72	0.38	1.03
ECAP-M72Y	1.05	8.45	0.02	0.93
ECAP-M72D	1.84	7.91	0.27	0.90
ECAP-M72E	0.45	6.31	-0.34	0.80
ECAP-M72N	1.42	4.39	0.15	0.64
ECAP-M72G	1.18	3.40	0.07	0.53
ECAP-M72F	0.31	2.34	-0.50	0.37
ECAP-M72K	0.59	1.86	-0.23	0.27
ECAP-M72R	0.12	1.00	-0.93	0.00

^a Relative value was derived as the activity ratio to that of M72R.

Table 5

Association of activity ratios in cell lysates of the fused forms with specific activities of their non-fused counterparts after affinity purification.

	Activity of non-fused PAAS/mutant		Activity by SSA mutant ^c	of ECAP-PAAS/	Activity by SDESA of ECAP-PAAS/ mutant ^d		
	Specific activity (kU/g)	Relative value ^b	Activity Ratio ^a	Relative value ^b	Activity Ratio ^a	Relative value ^b	
PAAS	14.09	12.3	6.24	11.3	5.20	12.8	
M720	4 70	4 1	2.05	3 7	1.60	3.9	
G138S	3.16	2.8	1.12	2.0	0.78	1.9	
M72D	1.15	1.0	0.55		0.405	1.0	

^a Activities were determined by SSA/SDESA, with proteins quantified by the Bradford method, activity ratio was the activity of PAAS/mutant to that of ECAP in their fused form.

^b Relative value was derived as the ratio to that ofM72D in the non-fused or fused form.

^c SSA indicates separate single assay, one-by-one, in two solution.

^d SDESA indicates spectrophotometric-dual-enzyme-simultaneous-assay.

 Table 6

 Activities and activity ratios in cell lysates of tested PAAS/mutants in fused forms by either SSA or SDESA.

	Activity by SSA	of ECAP -PAAS/mu	$tants^{c} (n =$	120)	Activity by SDESA of ECAP -PAAS/mutants ^d ($n = 120$)					
	Activity on 4NPS (kU/g)	Activity on 4NNPP (kU/g)	Activity Ratio ^a	Relative value ^b	Activity on 4NPS (kU/g)	Activity on 4NNPP (kU/g)	Activity Ratio ^a	Relative value ^b		
ECAP-PAAS										
Mear	n 0.024	0.004	6.237	11.3	0.020	0.004	5.201	12.8		
SD	0.006	0.001	1.229		0.004	0.001	1.041			
cv	23%	19%	20%		21%	18%	20%			
ECAF	P-M72Q									
Mear	n 0.014	0.007	2.052	3.7	0.010	0.0065	1.600	3.9		
SD	0.005	0.002	0.322		0.004	0.002	0.232			
cv	35%	27%	16%		35%	29%	15%			
ECAF	P-G138S									
Mear	n 0.009	0.008	1.117	2.0	0.007	0.008	0.780	1.9		
SD	0.003	0.003	0.228		0.002	0.003	0.129			
cv	33%	41%	20%		34%	31%	17%			
ECAP-M72D										
mear	n 0.006	0.011	0.553	1.0	0.004	0.010	0.405	1.0		
SD	0.001	0.003	0.079		0.001	0.003	0.053			
cv	19%	27%	14%		21%	28%	13%			

^a Activities were determined by SSA/SDESA, with proteins quantified by Bradford method, activity ratio was the activity of PAAS/mutant to that of ECAP in their fused form.

^b Relative value was derived as the ratio to that of M72D in fused form.

^c SSA indicates separate single assay, one-by-one, in two solution.

^d SDESA indicates spectrophotometric-dual-enzyme-simultaneous-assay.

The activity ratio of PAAS/mutant to ECAP was the percentage of PAAS/mutant activity on 4NPS to ECAP activity on 4NNPP. Receiver-operating-characteristic (ROC) analysis yielded the area-under-thecurve (AUC) for the recognition of the one of higher activity in a pair.

Table 7

One-way ANOVA for statistical analysis of activity ratios determined in HTP mode for paired fused PAAS/mutants.

	ECAP-G138S						ECAP-M72Q					
	ANOVA Result of 4PNS/4NNPP between SSA and SDESA			ANOVA Result of 4PNS/4NNPP ratio to M72D between SSA and SDESA		ANOVA Result of 4PNS/4NNPP between SSA and SDESA			ANOVA Result of 4PNS/4NNPP ratio to M72D between SSA and SDESA			
	Between Groups	Within Groups	Total	Between Groups	Within Groups	Total	Between Groups	Within Groups	Total	Between Groups	Within Groups	Total
Sum of Squares	6.316	7.536	13.852	0.529	29.854	30.383	12.23	18.749	30.979	3.33	79.426	82.756
df	1	220	221	1	220	221	1	238	239	1	238	239
Mean Squares	6.316	0.034		0.529	0.136		12.23	0.079		3.33	0.334	
F	184.37			3.895			155.251			9.978		
Sig.	0.000	_	_	0.050		_	0.000			0.002		
	ECAP-PAAS				ECAP-M72D							
	ANOVA Result of 4PNS/4NNPP between SSA and SDESA			ANOVA Result of 4PNS/4NNPP ratio to M72D between SSA and SDESA		ANOVA Result of 4PNS/4NNPP between SSA and SDESA			ANOVA Result of 4PNS/4NNPP ratio to M72D between SSA and SDESA			
	Between Groups Within Groups Total		Between Groups Within Groups Total		Between Groups Within Groups Total			Between Groups Within Groups Total				
Sum of Squares	60.137	287.826	347.963	133.731	1280.626	1414.357	1.206	0.99	2.195	0	4.114	4.114
df	1	222	223	1	222	223	1	220	221	1	220	221
Mean Squares	60.137	1.297		133.731	5.769		1.206	0.004		0	0.019	
F	46.384			23.183			268.029			0		
Sig.	0.000			0.000			0.000			0.993		

SSA: separate single assay. SDESA: spectrophotometric-dual-enzyme-simultaneous-assay.



Fig. 5. ROC analyses of the recognition of hits in fused PAAS versus fused M72Q.



Scheme. 1. The expression vector map and active proteolytic fragments of fused forms. (a) The expression vector map. (b) Active proteolytic fragments of fused forms.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by 863 Hi-tech program (2011AA02A108) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 81773625, 31570862 and 30200266).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2019.104985.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- Y. Li, H. Chong, X. Zhang, X. Yang, F. Liao, High-throughput screening of enzyme mutants by comparison of their activity ratios to an enzyme tag, Anal. Biochem. 588 (2020) 113474, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2019.113474.
- [2] H. Liu, X. Yang, L. Liu, J. Dang, Y. Xie, Y. Zhang, J. Pu, G. Long, Y. Li, Y. Yuan, J. Liao, F. Liao, Spectrophotometric-dual-enzymesimultaneous assay in one reaction solution: chemometrics and experimental models, Anal. Chem. 85 (2013) 2143–2154, https://doi.org/10.1021/ac302786p.
- [3] H. Liu, M. Yuan, X. Yang, X. Hu, J. Liao, J. Dang, Y. Xie, J. Pu, Y. Li, C.G. Zhan, F. Liao, Comparison of candidate pairs of hydrolytic enzymes for spectrophotometric-dual-enzyme-simultaneous-assay, Anal. Sci. 31 (2015) 421–427, https://doi.org/10.2116/ analsci.31.421.