







## Influence of Different Irrigation Solutions and Instrumentation Techniques on the Amount of Apically Extruded Debris

 Camila Maia Maggi SILVEIRA,  Marcelo Voss PIMPÃO,  Leonardo Alexandre FERNANDES,  Vania Portela Ditzel WESTPHALEN,  Bruno Cavolini CAVENAGO,  Everdan CARNEIRO

### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** The objective of the present study was to evaluate the influence of different irrigation solutions on the amount of extruded residues apically, varying the instrumentation technique in manual, continuous rotation, or reciprocation motions. The amounts of residue for each irrigation solution was also assessed.

**Methods:** Two tests were performed. In the first test, 90 mandibular premolars were divided into nine groups (n=10). Each group was subjected to a different technique: ProTaper Universal, WaveOne Gold, or manual instruments, with different irrigation solutions [2.5% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), 2% chlorhexidine (CHX) gel, or distilled water]. During the preparation of the root canal, the apically extruded material was collected in previously weighed glass vials. In the second test, irrigation solutions were weighed separately with the same weighing method. Data were analyzed using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov, one-way and two-way ANOVA, Levene, Tukey, and Games–Howell tests.

**Results:** Apically extruded debris was observed in all groups. ProTaper Universal with continuous rotation using 2% CHX gel resulted in the greatest amount of debris (P<0.001). There were significant differences in the amounts of residue among the different groups (P<0.001). Moreover, when the weighing of the irrigation solutions was tested, the 2.5% NaOCl solution produced the greatest amount of residues compared with other irrigation solutions.

**Conclusion:** Different irrigation solutions influenced the amount of apically extruded debris during the preparation of the canal among the different instrumentation techniques. The ProTaper technique using 2% CHX gel resulted in the greatest amount of apically extruded debris.

**Keywords:** Apical debris, apical extrusion, chlorhexidine, different techniques, sodium hypochlorite

### HIGHLIGHTS

- Apical extrusion of debris occurred independently of the instrumentation systems used.
- Different irrigation solutions influenced the amount of apically extruded debris during the preparation of the canal with different instrumentation techniques.
- CHX gel may exhibit an increase in fluidity as it is agitated. This physical property may also explain the increase in the quantity of debris generated when it is used with a rotational versus a reciprocal system.
- Crystals formed only by the evaporation of the irrigation solutions and differed when 2.5% NaOCl, 2% CHX, and distilled water were used. NaOCl solution produced the greatest amount of crystals compared with the other irrigation solutions (p<0.05), whereas distilled water resulted in the lowest amount of residue (p<0.05).

### INTRODUCTION

During preparation of the root canal, dentine debris, remaining pulp tissue, microorganisms, and irrigation solutions can be extruded beyond the apical foramen, coming into contact with the periapical tissues (1, 2). This debris can result in inflammation of the apical region, causing postoperative pain, acute inflammatory response, or even the exacerbation of chronic inflammatory processes, known as “flare-ups,” which occur in 1.5%–16% of cases. Moreover, such extrusion may cause a delay in periapical repair (3–6).

Apically extruded debris varies in quantity and may differ according to several factors related to both

the tooth and the technique used. These factors include the type of canal, the diameter of the foramen, the type of technique, the apical preparation limit, the irrigation technique, and the irrigation solution used. However, there is still no ideal technique that prevents the extrusion of debris (7, 8).

Please cite this article as: Silveira CMM, Pimpão MV, Fernandes LA, Westphalen VPD, Cavenago BC, Carneiro E. Influence of Different Irrigation Solutions and Instrumentation Techniques on the Amount of Apically Extruded Debris. *Eur Endod J* 2019; 3: 122–6

From the Department of Endodontics (C.M.M.S., M.V.P., L.A.F., V.P.D.W., E.C. ✉ everdan.carneiro@puccpr.br) Pontifical Catholic University of Parana, Brazil; Department of Endodontics (B.C.C.), Parana Federal University, Brazil

Received 07 February 2019,  
Accepted 13 July 2019

Published online: 19 November 2019  
DOI 10.14744/eej.2019.57966

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.



Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), often used at concentrations ranging between 0.5% and 6%, is the most popular irrigation fluid used in endodontics. In addition to its proven bactericidal action, it exhibits excellent dissolution activity of organic matter (7). Because its improved biocompatibility, in addition to its antimicrobial properties, chlorhexidine (CHX) has been recommended as an adjunct irrigant. It can be used in solution or gel form and at concentrations ranging from 0.2% to 2%. The gel form of CHX has different physical properties from the solution due to its viscosity and ability to eliminate much of the smear layer (9).

It is important to emphasize that despite the excellent antibacterial action of NaOCl and CHX, anatomical variations and remnants of obturator materials, in cases of retreatment, interfere with disinfection of the root canal system because they limit the access of the irrigation solution to the remaining pulp tissues (10).

During endodontic treatment, irrigants, such as NaOCl and CHX, may extrude to the periradicular tissue. *In vitro* methods used to collect apically extruded debris frequently use distilled water in the preparation of specimens to avoid any possible crystallization of NaOCl that could alter dentine debris (11). However, the effect of NaOCl crystals on debris weight is unclear; furthermore, NaOCl may play an important role in the dissolution of organic dentine tissue (12).

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the influence of different irrigation chemical solutions on the amount of extruded residues apically, varying the instrumentation technique in manual, continuous rotation, or reciprocation motions. The null hypothesis was that different irrigation solutions do not influence the amount of apical extruded detritus, independently of the instrumentation technique used.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Specimen preparation

The present study was approved by the local ethics committee (no. 129.690). A total of 90 recently extracted single rooted mandibular premolars with single canals were investigated. All teeth were clinically inspected and radiographed in the buccolingual and mesiodistal directions. All teeth with single canals, mature root apices, with no root fractures, no root canal fillings and teeth having similar length and roots without curvature were included in the study. 2D X-ray was used in this study to exclude calcified canals and any possible internal resorption, and teeth exhibiting canals with an apical diameter larger than a manual instrument (#20; Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) were also excluded.

The teeth were autoclaved and stored in a 0.05% chloramine solution. All remaining tissues adhering to the external surface of the roots were removed using periodontal curettes. The length of the teeth was standardized at 15 mm through the removal of crowns using a carborundum disc.

The canals were explored using a type K, #15 manual instrument (Dentsply Maillefer). The instrument was inserted until its tip exceeded the foramen and then withdrawn 1 mm to determine the working length of the root canals.

The teeth were randomly divided into nine experimental groups (n=10) and placed in 10 mL glass vials in accordance with the experimental model described by Myers and Montgomery (13). For weighing, a hole was created in the center of the rubber stopper cap, and a tooth was inserted until the cement–enamel junction remained 1–2 mm above the stopper, so that they could then be assembled with self-curing acrylic resin. A needle was inserted through the rubber stopper to equalize the pressure inside and outside the glass vials and the extrusion of debris. The glass vials were then covered with a cotton gauze compress to block the view of the operator.

### Pre-weighing of tubes

Before mounting the teeth, the empty vials were weighed without the caps using an analytical balance (Bel Mark U210A; Bel Engineering, Monza, Italy) with a precision of 0.0001 g. Three consecutive weight measurements were recorded for each tube, and the average was calculated.

### Weighing of irrigation solutions

Irrigation solutions were weighed to verify the amount of residue that would remain after drying the mounts. Thirty previously weighed 10 mL glass vials were separated into three groups according to the irrigation solution used: 2.5% NaOCl (Soda Clorada; Asfer Indústria Química Ltda, São Caetano do Sul, Brazil), 2% CHX gel (Lidifarma, Curitiba, Brazil), and distilled water. In each vial, 1 mL of the irrigation solution was placed and heated in an oven at 140 °C for 5 hours until the solution dried completely. Thereafter, each vial was re-weighed, and the mass of residues was calculated, subtracting the initial weight from the final weight to obtain the mass of the residues.

### Preparation of root canals

Pre-enlargement of the cervical and middle third of all teeth selected for the study was performed using the Gates–Glidden (Dentsply Tulsa Dental, Tulsa, OK, USA) #2 and #3 drills, coupled with a low-speed contra-angle handpiece and irrigated with 1 mL of distilled water. In each prepared tooth, the Gates–Glidden drill was removed, cleaned with the aid of a brush in running water, dried in an oven at 170 °C for 10 min, and inspected before being reused. The patency of the canals was then confirmed using a #10 K-file (Dentsply Maillefer). Instrumentation was performed by a single endodontic specialist.

Manual instrumentation (MI): Preparation of the canals was performed with Flexofile instruments (Dentsply Maillefer), using the crown-down technique, with 1/4 turn kinematics. The preparation was initiated with file size #50 in the coronal aspect with file sizes reducing progressively in the apical direction, ending finally with file size #30.

ProTaper Universal (PTU): Preparation was initiated with S1 and S2 PTU instruments (Dentsply Maillefer) progressively in the apical direction and with slight pressure until resistance was encountered and finalized with F1, F2, and F3 instruments, up to the working length. The X-Smart Plus motor (Dentsply Maillefer) was used with the PTU function in each instrument. The file was then withdrawn, cleaned, and inspected before being reused.

WaveOne Gold (WO): Preparation was performed using the WO primary single-file reciprocation system (25/08) (Dentsply Maille-

fer), coupled with the X-Smart Plus motor. The function WO ALL was used to perform pecking movements until the working length was reached. After the pecking motions, the file was withdrawn, then cleaned, and inspected before being reused.

### Irrigation parameters

In all groups, a 5 mL syringe and a 24G three-quarter inch, 0.55 mm×20 mm needle were used, which was introduced in the middle third of the roots 5 mm short of the working length. A constant apex-crown movement (1–2 mm) was maintained during irrigation. Aspiration was performed using a disposable cannula positioned at the entrance of the canal.

NaOCl and distilled water: Teeth in the MI and PTU groups were irrigated with 1 mL of the irrigation solution every time the instruments were changed. Irrigation with 1 mL of the irrigation solution was performed after three pecking movements in the WO group. At the end of the preparation, each group used 5 mL of the irrigation solution.

CHX gel: Teeth in the MI and PTU groups were irrigated with 0.25 mL of 2% CHX gel deposited in the pulp chamber every time the instruments were changed. The gel was then washed with 0.75 mL of distilled water. The same procedure was performed on teeth in the WO group; however, a change of instruments was performed after every three pecking movements. At the end of the preparation, a total of 5 mL of the irrigation solution were used in each group.

### Debris collection

After instrumentation, the rubber stopper with the tooth and the needle was removed, and the debris adhering to the outer surface of the root was collected by washing with 1 mL of distilled water to deposit in the glass vial. The vials were placed in an oven at 140 °C for 5 h so that the mixture would be totally evaporated water before weighing the dry debris (14). The debris was weighed using an analytical balance and recorded as the average of three consecutive measurements, subtracting the mass of the empty vials, which was previously determined.

### Statistical analysis

All statistical tests were performed using SPSS version 23 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). In the first test, data were summarized in tabular form and analyzed using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov, two-way ANOVA, Levene variance homogeneity, and Games–Howell multiparameter comparison tests for heterogeneous variances. In the second test, the one-way ANOVA and Tukey tests were used to compare the mass of the residues of the irrigation solutions alone. The level of significance was set at 0.05 ( $P=0.05$ ).

## RESULTS

Apical extrusion of debris occurred in all groups. The mean and standard deviation of the values according to each group are shown in Table 1. There were significant differences in apically extruded debris among the irrigation solutions and techniques used ( $P<0.05$ ). Of the irrigation solutions used, 2% CHX resulted in the most debris formation when root canal preparation was performed using PTU ( $P<0.05$ ). The groups associated with distilled water ( $P<0.05$ ) combined with the reciprocal technique had the least extruded debris ( $P<0.05$ ), regardless of the technique used (Table 2). Moreover, the results

**TABLE 1.** Mean mass (g) of apical debris generated according to instrumentation technique and irrigation solution

Instrumentation/irrigation	n	Mean	SD
PTU/CHX	10	0.211 <sup>a</sup>	0.045
PTU/NaOCl	10	0.105 <sup>b</sup>	0.057
MI/CHX	10	0.120 <sup>b</sup>	0.064
MI/NaOCl	10	0.103 <sup>b</sup>	0.038
WO/CHX	10	0.080 <sup>b</sup>	0.056
WO/NaOCl	10	0.012 <sup>c</sup>	0.011
PTU/distilled water	10	0.001 <sup>c</sup>	0.001
MI/distilled water	10	0.000 <sup>c</sup>	0.000
WO/distilled water	10	0.000 <sup>c</sup>	0.000

Superscript letters represent statistically significant differences between values

**TABLE 2.** Mean mass (g) of debris according to instrumentation technique, regardless of the irrigation solution, and in relation to the irrigation solution, regardless of the instrumentation technique

Instrumentation	n	Mean	SD
PTU	30	0.105 <sup>a</sup>	0.096
MI	30	0.074 <sup>b</sup>	0.067
WO	30	0.031 <sup>b</sup>	0.047
Irrigation			
CHX	30	0.137 <sup>c</sup>	0.077
NaOCl	30	0.073 <sup>d</sup>	0.058
Distilled Water	30	0.000 <sup>e</sup>	0.000
Crystals of the irrigation solutions (g)			
NaOCl	10	0.106 <sup>a</sup>	0.001
CHX	10	0.034 <sup>b</sup>	0.000
Distilled Water	10	0.001 <sup>c</sup>	0.000

Values with different superscript letters for instrumentation, irrigation, and residues of the irrigation solution are statistically different

shown in Table 2 indicate that there was a statistical difference regarding the formation of crystals of the irrigation solutions used ( $P<0.05$ ). Therefore, the crystals formed only by the evaporation of the irrigation solutions differed when 2.5% NaOCl, 2% CHX, and distilled water were used. The 2.5% NaOCl solution produced the greatest amount of residues compared with other irrigation solutions ( $P<0.05$ ), whereas distilled water resulted in the lowest amount of residue ( $P<0.05$ ).

## DISCUSSION

All instrumentation methods, whether manual, continuous rotation or reciprocation motions led to the extrusion of apical debris, regardless of the irrigation solution used, thus corroborating the results obtained in previous studies (15, 16). The null hypothesis was rejected on the assumption that the irrigation solutions used had no influence on the amount of debris extruded.

The irrigation solutions were weighed to verify the amount of residue that would remain after drying the mounts. This second test was included because distilled water is usually recommended in apically extruded debris methodology (11, 13, 16); however, water has not been proposed during biomechanical preparation because it is not able to promote tissue dissolution or kill microorganisms. In this *in vitro* study, the amount of NaOCl crystals was higher than those of CHX and distilled water ( $P<0.05$ ). On the other hand, the effects of NaOCl, as used in

endodontics, on dentine are different because of its small size. The hypochlorite anion is capable of infiltrating mineralized collagen and destroying collagen fibrils (12), and this reaction occurs during the use of endodontic instrumentation and irrigation (17).

After instrumentation and irrigation, some bacteria may remain in the canal space. Colonization of *Enterococcus faecalis*, which is closely associated with failure of endodontic treatment, may produce more biofilm mass and protein in response to high pH conditions or antimicrobial sub-inhibitors, thus increasing the amount of debris (18). The risk for extrusion of debris could be decreased when the activation of irrigations is performed and produces a synergistic effect in the debridement of smear layer accumulated in non-instrumented areas (10).

In the present study, the technique that resulted in the most debris extrusion was the PTU system, as verified in other previous studies (4, 15, 19-22). This can be explained by the higher number of instruments that produce the largest quantity of residues (19), regardless of the irrigation solution used.

Different outcomes have been reported in some studies using an apical debris collection methodology. These outcomes demonstrated no significant differences between the amount of debris extruded between continuous rotation (ProTaper Next system, Dentsply Tulsa Dental, Tulsa, OK, EUA) and reciprocation file systems (WaveOne system, Dentsply Tulsa Dental, Tulsa, OK, EUA) (11). In contrast, another study showed that reciprocation system (Reciproc system, VDW, Munich, Germany) leads to more extrusion of debris than the rotational system (ProTaper Universal system, Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) (23). This difference may be related to the applied method, mode of debris collection, type of tooth, and/or instruments used in the tests (7).

Gel-based CHX 2% solution exhibits a rheological action, which maintains debris in suspension (9). In the present study, the amount of debris was significantly more when it was associated with 2% CHX gel. This high apical extrusion can be attributed to the thixotropic property of the gel (24). An increase in fluidity occurs as it is agitated. This physical property of the gel may also explain the increase in the quantity of debris generated when it was associated with the rotational system compared with the reciprocal system. Furthermore, the 2% CHX gel exhibited a different behaviour because it is unable to dissolve organic matter, which remains in suspension and is eventually pushed to the periapical area (9, 25).

The 2.5% NaOCl solution generated a larger amount of residue when only the irrigation solution was weighed. When the instrumentation technique was associated with the irrigation solution, 2.5% NaOCl generated a smaller amount of debris than CHX gel, presenting a different behaviour. It is assumed that the amount of hypochlorite crystals, although statistically different from the other substances tested when weighed separately, is directly associated with the endodontic technique used.

Distilled water has been consistently used in these types of studies because it does not generate any residue that could influence the final weight of debris (8, 9). However, the results

generated in studies using distilled water cannot be translated clinically because, in contrast to NaOCl and CHX gel, it is not used as an irrigation fluid alone.

The three groups with distilled water produced less debris compared to other irrigation solutions, with no significantly different quantities of debris observed. This suggests that residues of other solutions increase debris formation (8). In the present study, the weighing of irrigation solutions alone also corroborates this hypothesis, because the quantity of residue generated by drying distilled water is not statistically significant when compared with residues from 2.5% NaOCl and 2% CHX gel. Among the solutions tested, distilled water exerted less influence on the final mass of apically extruded debris. However, it is not recommended as a primary irrigation solution in routine endodontic practice owing to the lack of antimicrobial properties and low dissolution capacity of organic matter.

## CONCLUSION

Different irrigation solutions influenced the amount of apically extruded debris during the preparation of the canal using different instrumentation techniques. The PTU instrument used with 2% CHX resulted in the highest extrusion of debris.

## Disclosures

**Conflict of interest:** We do not have any conflict of interest.

**Ethics Committee Approval:** This study was approved by the Local Ethics Committee (number 129.690).

**Peer-review:** Externally peer-reviewed.

**Financial Disclosure:** We do not have any financial support.

**Authorship contributions:** Concept – C.M.M.S., L.A.F., E.C.; Design – L.A.F., B.C.C., E.C.; Supervision – None; Funding – None; Materials – None; Data collection &/or processing – M.V.P., V.P.D.W., E.C.; Analysis and/or interpretation – E.C.; Literature search – None; Writing – None; Critical Review – V.P.D.W., E.C.

## REFERENCES

- Friedman S, Mor C. The success of endodontic therapy--healing and functionality. *J Calif Dent Assoc* 2004; 32(6):493-503.
- Gambarini G, Di Nardo D, Miccoli G, Guerra F, Di Giorgio R, Di Giorgio G, et al. The Influence of a New Clinical Motion for Endodontic Instruments on the Incidence of Postoperative Pain. *Clin Ter* 2017; 168(1):e23-e27.
- Siqueira JF Jr. Microbial causes of endodontic flare-ups. *Int Endod J* 2003; 36(7):453-63.
- Nair M, Rahul J, Devadathan A, Mathew J. Incidence of Endodontic Flare-ups and Its Related Factors: A Retrospective Study. *J Int Soc Prev Community Dent* 2017; 7(4):175-9.
- Caviedes-Bucheli J, Castellanos F, Vasquez N, Ulate E, Munoz HR. The influence of two reciprocating single-file and two rotary-file systems on the apical extrusion of debris and its biological relationship with symptomatic apical periodontitis. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int Endod J* 2016; 49(3):255-70.
- Siqueira JF Jr, Rôças IN, Favieri A, Machado AG, Gahyva SM, Oliveira JC, et al. Incidence of postoperative pain after intracanal procedures based on an antimicrobial strategy. *J Endod* 2002; 28(6):457-60.
- Young GR, Parashos P, Messer HH. The principles of techniques for cleaning root canals. *Aust Dent J* 2007; 52(1 Suppl):S52-63.
- Tanalp J, Güngör T. Apical extrusion of debris: a literature review of an inherent occurrence during root canal treatment. *Int Endod J* 2014; 47(3):211-21.
- Gomes BP, Vianna ME, Zaia AA, Almeida JF, Souza-Filho FJ, Ferraz CC. Chlorhexidine in endodontics. *Braz Dent J* 2013; 24(2):89-102.
- Garcia A, Fernandez R, Arias A, De Gregorio C. Efficacy of Different Irrigation Protocols for Removing Gutta-Percha and Sealer Remnants in Artificial Un-instrumented Areas. *Eur Endod J* 2017; 2(9):2-7.

11. Kirchoff AL, Fariniuk LF, Mello I. Apical extrusion of debris in flat-oval root canals after using different instrumentation systems. *J Endod* 2015; 41(2):237–41.
12. Gu LS, Huang XQ, Griffin B, Bergeron BR, Pashley DH, Niu LN, et al. Primum non nocere - The effects of sodium hypochlorite on dentin as used in endodontics. *Acta Biomater* 2017;61:144–56.
13. Myers GL, Montgomery S. A comparison of weights of debris extruded apically by conventional filing and Canal Master techniques. *J Endod* 1991; 17(6):275–9.
14. De-Deus GA, Nogueira Leal Silva EJ, Moreira EJ, de Almeida Neves A, Belladonna FG, Tameirão M. Assessment of apically extruded debris produced by the self-adjusting file system. *J Endod* 2014; 40(4):526–9.
15. Koçak MM, Çiçek E, Koçak S, Sağlam BC, Yılmaz N. Apical extrusion of debris using ProTaper Universal and ProTaper Next rotary systems. *Int Endod J* 2015; 48(3):283–6.
16. De-Deus G, Neves A, Silva EJ, Mendonça TA, Lourenço C, Calixto C, et al. Apically extruded dentin debris by reciprocating single-file and multi-file rotary system. *Clin Oral Investig* 2015; 19(2):357–61.
17. Barbosa-Ribeiro M, Arruda-Vasconcelos R, Fabretti FL, Silva EJNL, De-Deus G, Gomes BPPA. Evaluation of Apically Extruded Debris Using Positive and Negative Pressure Irrigation Systems in Association with Different Irrigants. *Braz Dent J* 2018; 29(2):184–8.
18. van der Waal S, de Soet JJ, Wesselink PR, Crielaard W. Calcium Hydroxide Treatment Does Not Alter the Susceptibility of *Enterococcus faecalis* Biofilms to Sodium Hypochlorite. *Eur Endod J* 2017; 2(1):30.
19. Silva EJ, Carapiá MF, Lopes RM, Belladonna FG, Senna PM, Souza EM, et al. Comparison of apically extruded debris after large apical preparations by full-sequence rotary and single-file reciprocating systems. *Int Endod J* 2016; 49(7):700–5.
20. Capar ID, Arslan H, Akcay M, Ertas H. An *in vitro* comparison of apically extruded debris and instrumentation times with ProTaper Universal, ProTaper Next, Twisted File Adaptive, and HyFlex instruments. *J Endod* 2014; 40(10):1638–41.
21. Ozsu D, Karatas E, Arslan H, Topcu MC. Quantitative evaluation of apically extruded debris during root canal instrumentation with ProTaper Universal, ProTaper Next, WaveOne, and self-adjusting file systems. *Eur J Dent* 2014; 8(4):504–8.
22. Koçak S, Koçak MM, Sağlam BC, Türker SA, Sağsen B, Er Ö. Apical extrusion of debris using self-adjusting file, reciprocating single-file, and 2 rotary instrumentation systems. *J Endod* 2013; 39(10):1278–80.
23. Bürklein S, Schäfer E. Apically extruded debris with reciprocating single-file and full-sequence rotary instrumentation systems. *J Endod* 2012; 38(6):850–2.
24. Corrêa NM, Bueno F, Júnior C, Fernanda R. Avaliação do comportamento reológico de diferentes géis hidrofílicos. *Brazilian J Pharm Sci* 2005; 41(1):73–8.
25. Boutsoukis C, Lambrianidis T, Verhaagen B, Versluis M, Kastrinakis E, Wesselink PR, et al. The effect of needle-insertion depth on the irrigant flow in the root canal: evaluation using an unsteady computational fluid dynamics model. *J Endod* 2010; 36(10):1664–8.