

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Mulugeta H, Tamene A, Ashenafi T, Thygerson SM, Baxter ND (2021) Workplace stress and associated factors among vehicle repair workers in Hawassa City, Southern Ethiopia. PLoS ONE 16(4): e0249640. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0249640

Editor: Frank T. Spradley, University of Mississippi Medical Center, UNITED STATES

Received: November 26, 2020

Accepted: March 23, 2021

Published: April 5, 2021

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249640

Copyright: This is an open access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the <u>Creative</u> Commons CC0 public domain dedication.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its <u>Supporting</u> Information files.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Workplace stress and associated factors among vehicle repair workers in Hawassa City, Southern Ethiopia

Hailemichael Mulugeta¹*, Aiggan Tamene², Tesfaye Ashenafi³, Steven M. Thygerson⁴, Nathaniel D. Baxter⁴

 Department of Public Health, College of Health Science, Debre Berhan University, Debre Berhan, Ethiopia,
 Department of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Wachemo University, Shewa, Ethiopia,
 Department of Environmental Health, College of Medical and Health Science, Hawassa University, Awasa, Ethiopia,
 Department of Public Health, College of Life Sciences, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah, United States of America

* hailumary464@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction

Workplace stress is a public health problem worldwide. Studies focusing on work-related stress among vehicle repair workers are scarce in African countries. The current study aimed to determine the prevalence of self-reported workplace stress and associated factors among vehicle repair workers in Hawassa City, South Ethiopia.

Methods and findings

A cross-sectional study design was employed among 347 vehicle repair workers from January 25 to February 22, 2019. Questionnaires were administered using interviews. Additional tools were used for weight and height measurements. The main findings were analyzed using descriptive statistics, bivariable, and multivariable logistic regression. The strength of association of variables was presented by odds ratio along with its 95% CI. The statistical assessments were considered significant at p<0.05. A total of 344 workers participated in the study. The prevalence of workplace stress among participants was 41.6% with 95% CI: (36.3–47.1). Factors associated with workplace stress were more than 10 years of work experience [AOR: 2.40; 95% CI (1.29–4.50)], work-related musculoskeletal disorder [AOR: 3.39; 95% CI (1.99–5.78)], squatting and lying work posture [AOR: 4.63; 95% CI (1.61–13.3)] and servicing large vehicles [AOR: 1.96; 95% CI (1.14–3.38)].

Conclusion and recommendations

This study showed that the overall prevalence of work-related stress was substantially high. The independently associated factors were workers' service years, symptoms of body pain, and the work environment. Preventive measures need to be implemented in vehicle repair workshops by focusing on work environment improvements. **Funding:** This research received no external funding.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Work-related stress is defined as the harmful emotional and physical responses that employees experience when their abilities and skills do not meet current job requirements and that challenge their ability to cope [1, 2].

Different reports indicate that workplace stress affects workers' physical health, mental health, and behavior. Stress is a predicting factor for occupational injury [3–5], work-related musculoskeletal disorder [6, 7], change of brain structure (reduction of gray matter) [8], depression [9], circulating inflammatory leukocytes [10], and high blood pressure [11].

Existing studies on the prevalence of workplace stress in Ethiopia have shown rates of 37.8% and 48.6% among healthcare professionals [12, 13], 58.2% among high school teachers [14], 60.4% among university teachers [15], and 40.4% among shoe manufacturing workers [16].

The availability of studies among vehicle repair workers to identify factors associated with workplace stress remains a significant challenge. However, findings from other groups showed that gender [17], age [15, 17], marital status [13, 18], education [12, 14, 17, 18], working experience [12, 14, 16, 19], job demands [14, 15], job security [18], poor interpersonal relationships [14, 16], smoking cigarettes [15], work hours [16, 17], job position [17], income [16, 17], alcohol use [19], and poor physical environment [16] were factors significantly associated with workplace stress.

There is a scarcity of studies on workplace stress among vehicle repair workers. A study from India revealed a workplace stress prevalence of 47% [20]. Studies among vehicle repair workshops in different countries revealed that workers suffered from occupational injuries [3], excess exposure to chemicals [20–23], work-related musculoskeletal disorder [24], occupational contact dermatitis [25, 26], and neurotoxicity [23]. Vehicle repair workers are exposed to workplace stressors including work in noisy and hot environments, poor psycho-social conditions, and awkward postures [11, 20, 27]. Such conditions may exert a negative influence on workers' health and safety.

Most worker related studies in Ethiopia do not address vehicle repair workers' stress status [28]. The majority of the vehicle repair workers did not implement effective preventive or control measures for workplace exposure [11]. Workplace stress not only affects the worker, but it has adverse effects on family income and company performance as well. Hence, evidence for planning intervention activities on stress has been limited in the country [28]. Therefore, this study aimed to estimate the prevalence of workplace stress and the associated factors among workers in vehicle repair workshops.

Materials and methods

The current study was completed at the same time as our 2020 published study on workrelated musculoskeletal disorders. Both this and our other published work studied the same study population [6]. The data collection period, study area and data collection procedures are also similar in both studies. Therefore, the published study's socio-demographic characteristics and a few other variables were similar with the current study. However, the objectives, outcomes variables and conclusions were different for each study.

Study design, setting and period

This cross-sectional study was conducted among workers of vehicle repair workshops from January 25 to February 22, 2019, in Hawassa city. The city is the capital of the Southern

Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples' region, Ethiopia. The city is located 367 km from Addis Ababa, the capital city of Ethiopia. A total of 38 private and 3 governmental vehicle repair workshops were registered in Hawassa City in 2019 [6, 29]. Regarding service delivery, privately owned workshops provide services to vehicles owned by the government and private vehicles, but government-owned shops are established only as repair workshops for government vehicles. As of 2019, there was a total of 368 workers in 41 vehicle repair workshops in the city [6].

The study population

The study included any worker with a minimum of 12 months of service in the industry. Exclusion criteria were: workers with any diagnosis of neurologic symptoms, congenital insensitivities (e.g. scoliosis) before starting vehicle repair work, and workers with gout, trauma, or joint disease 12 months before the data collection. A total of 347 vehicle repair workers were eligible participants. Due to inadequate work duration and disease diagnosis, 21 workers were excluded from the study.

Study variables

Workers' stress status was the dependent variable. The independent variables include sociodemographic factors (sex, age, marital status, education, employment status, job position, service years, and monthly income); personal characteristics (physical activity, type of activity engage in after leaving work, cigarette smoking, alcohol drinking, khat chewing, job satisfaction, body mass index (BMI), work-related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSD)); and work environment characteristics (professional training, health and safety training, working hours per week, type of vehicle workshop, type of vehicle serviced, job category/responsibility, staff adequacy for work required, lifting heavy loads, work area, and work posture).

Data collection tool and procedure

The questionnaire was administered via interviews and anthropometric measurements were used to collect study variables. The tools (S1) used to collect study variables were developed from several published papers [12, 16, 18, 22, 30–34].

Stress was assessed with the questionnaire of the Marlin Company and the American Institute of Stress Scale [30]. The tool was validated by the American Institute of Stress and used in a wide range of occupations including shoe manufacturing employees [16], oncologists [35], medical educators [36], social workers [37], and female domestic workers [38]. The stress score was calculated by analysis of the five-point Likert scale ranging from never to very often. Job satisfaction was weighed with a generic job satisfaction scale [31]. The tool was validated by previous research and has shown to be valid and useful in a wide range of occupational groups [4, 33, 34, 39–41]. The questionnaire consisted of ten items. Each item had a five-point Likert response from strongly agree to strongly disagree. The Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire (NMQ-E) was used to measure WMSD symptoms in nine body regions [42]. NMQ-E is repeatable, sensitive and useful as a screening and surveillance tool and has been used in several epidemiological studies [32, 43–45]. BMI was calculated in kilograms per square meter (kg/m²) [46, 47].

The study team consisted of four health and safety professional data collectors and one experienced field supervisor. The data collection tools were pretested. The interview was conducted in an isolated place to avoid disturbance and to maintain participant privacy. The study

participants' weights were measured with a standardized electronic scale with the subjects standing and wearing light clothes. The subjects' heights were measured with a portable stadiometer. The data collection activities were conducted with close follow-up by the principal investigator and supervisor.

Data quality control

Data quality was ensured before the actual data collection. Health-related questions were translated from English to Amharic (local language) by a health professional familiar with the terminology. Vehicle repair and maintenance facilities questions were translated by an auto mechanical engineer. The translations approach was focused on cross-cultural and conceptual translations rather than the literal or linguistic equivalence of the terminologies. Then, reverse translation from the local language to English was done by an English language expert to check the consistency. Finally, discrepancies in item contents, words and meanings were checked through comparing and analyzing the translated and English language questionnaires.

The supervisor and data collectors were trained on the tools and data collection approaches. The practical session was also employed including visiting workshops, exercise data collection and rehearsing the activities. The questionnaire was pretested outside of the study area in similar workshops at Shashamane town. Modified data collection approaches (interview instead of self-administered), interview location (private location instead of at the workplace) and question order modifications were done after the pretest.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

We conducted the study after having ethical clearance from Hawassa University College of Medicine and Health Sciences Institutional Review Board. A letter of permission was obtained from concerned governmental offices. Before performing data collection, verbal and written consent were obtained from each study participant.

Data analysis

Error checking and coding were done before the data were entered into Epi Info version 7 software. The data was cleaned and analyzed with the statistical package for the social sciences software (SPSS) version 20 (S2). Descriptive findings were presented in frequency tables and the prevalence was reported in proportion with a 95% confidence interval (CI). The presence of a statistical relationship between different independent variables and the outcome variable was assessed using logistic regression and chi-square tests with crude odds ratio. To reduce an excessive number of independent variables and an unstable estimate in the final model, all variables with a p-value below 0.2 with the dependent variable in the bivariate analysis were selected for multivariable logistic regression [48–51].

A multi co-linearity assumption was checked. The result revealed a variance inflation factor <2 and tolerances >0.6. The required assumptions of the logistic regression were checked with Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness of fit test, which showed chi-squared test, $X^2 = 8.17$, with a degree of freedom of 8 and a significance equal to 0.42. Finally, variables with a *p*-value of less than 0.05 were considered as statistically significant with 95% CI in the multivariate analysis.

Operational definitions

Vehicle. Self-propelled machinery, including large-sized (bus and truck) and other small-sized (sedan/saloon cars, minibus/minivan, and SUVs/4WDs) that do not operate on rails (such as trains or trams) which are used for the transportation of people or cargo [52].

Vehicle repair worker. Male or female workers who are directly engaged in services that keep vehicle features and systems running smoothly.

Job stress. A score measured using the workplace stress scale as YES (16 to 40) and NO (lower than or equal 15) [31, 36, 53].

Work-related musculoskeletal disorders. A self-reported pain, ache, or discomfort for at least 2–3 workdays during the last 12 months affecting any part of the neck, shoulder, upper back, lower back, hip/thigh, knee/leg, and ankle/foot and wrist/hand. These symptoms appear at work and often disappear during rest and may continue after work ends [54]. Based on the NMQ-E screening tool, at least one symptom on any part of nine body regions over 12 months prior to the study was considered as WMSD YES; otherwise NO [32].

Job satisfaction. A score measured using the job satisfaction scale as YES (32–45) and NO (10–31) [31, 33, 34].

Body mass index. Body mass index is calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters (kg/m^2) [55].

Underweight = BMI < 18.50

Normal range = BMI between 18.50-24.99

Overweight = BMI between 25.00–29.99

Obese = BMI > 30.00

Khat chewing: Khat (pronounced "cot"). A stimulant drug derived from a shrub (*Catha edulis*) that is native to East Africa and southern Arabia. Leaves of the khat shrub are typically chewed and held in the cheek, like chewing tobacco, to release their stimulant chemicals [56].

Nonuser. A person who has never used khat within 30 days preceding the study [57, 58].

Current user. A person who was chewing khat within 30 days preceding the study [57, 58].

Cigarette smoking. The practice of smoking at least one cigarette per day [59].

Alcohol drinking. The consumption of any kind of alcohol at least two times per week [60].

Physical exercise. Includes exercise in any kind of sports or physical activity at least two times per week with a duration of 30 minutes [27].

Result

Socio-demographic characteristics

This study included 273 participants from 38 private vehicle repair workshops and 71 participants from 3 government vehicle repair workshops. The response rate was 99.1%. Among the participants, 340 (98.8%) were male, 155 (45.1%) were 18–29 years old and the mean age was 32.3 years (standard deviation \pm 8.8). A majority (70.1%) were chief/senior job positions. About one-third of workers were educated up to the tertiary level and had more than 10 years of work experience in a vehicle repair workshop. The mean income of participants was 3690.7 Ethiopian birr (standard deviation \pm 1799.5) (Table 1).

Variables categories	Frequency $(n = 344)$	Percentage
Marital status		
Single	153	44.5
Married, cohabitating	154	44.8
Divorce	25	7.3
Widowed	12	3.5
Age category		
18–29	155	45.1
>29	189	54.9
Education Status		
No Formal Education	11	3.2
Primary Education	104	30.2
Secondary Education	114	33.1
Tertiary and above	115	33.4
Years of Service		
\leq 5 Years	112	32.6
6–10 Years	110	32.0
>10 Years	122	35.5
Employment status		
Permanent worker	322	93.6
Temporary/Contract worker	22	6.4
Job Position		
Chief/Senior	241	70.1
Assistance	103	29.9
Monthly net income Ethiopian birr		
<2500	95	27.6
2500-5000	196	57.0
>5000	53	15.4

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of vehicle repair workers in Hawassa city, Ethiopia, 2019.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249640.t001

Personal characteristics

Of 344 participants, 147 (42.7%) practiced regular physical exercise. The type of activities engaged in after leaving work were: the same types of work, 116 (33.7%); watching movies and reading, 142 (41.3%); and other (play games, sport, social activities), 86 (25.0%). Among the participants, the following behaviors were reported: cigarette smoking, 117 (34%); alcohol drinking, 195 (56.7%); and khat chewing, 176 (51.2%).

A total of 188 (54.7%) respondents informed their work satisfaction status as satisfied. For BMI results, 260 (75.6%) were normal, 50 (14.5%) were overweight, 24 (7.0%) were underweight, and 10 (2.9%) were obese. Regarding WMSD, 164 (47.7%) reported at least one the symptoms in the last 12 months.

Work environment characteristics

The majority of participants, 228 (66.3%) had no training in general workplace safety. Among the participants, 150 (43.6%) were mechanical repair workers and 132 (38.4%) spent more than 48 hours in their job per week. Other workplace considerations reported were insufficient staff, 161 (46.8%); working in an area of inadequate space, 193 (56.1%); and routinely lifting loads greater than 20 kg, 147 (42.7%) (Table 2).

Variables categories	Frequency (n = 344)	Percent (%)	
Professional Training			
Yes	156	45.3	
No	188	54.7	
Health and Safety-related training			
Yes	116	33.7	
No	228	66.3	
Type of Vehicle serviced			
Large sized (bus or truck)	115	33.4	
Small sized (sedan/saloon cars,	229	66.6	
minibus/minivan, and SUVs/4WDs)			
Job category/ responsibility			
Mechanical repair	150	43.6	
Electrical repair	71	20.6	
Body beating/wielding	77	22.4	
Spray painting	32	9.3	
Other #	14	4.1	
Inadequate number of staff			
Yes	161	46.8	
No	183	53.2	
Type of floor at work site			
Concrete	130	37.8	
Gravel	125	36.3	
No ground cover	89	25.9	
Inadequate workspace			
Yes	193	56.1	
No	151	43.9	
Heavy loads job more than 20kg routinely			
Yes	147	42.7	
No	197	57.3	
Most commonly adopted work posture			
Standing	128	37.2	
Bending	103	29.9	
Kneeling	49	14.2	
Sitting	15	4.4	
Squatting, prone position on the ground	49	14.2	

Table 2. Work environment characteristics of vehicle repair workers in Hawassa City, Ethiopia, 2019.

#Note: Repair associated activities (like seat repair, radiator repair work, etc....)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249640.t002

Prevalence and factors associated with work-related stress

The overall prevalence of work-related stress among vehicle repair workers in Hawassa city, Ethiopia was found to be 41.6% [95% CI (36.3–47.1)].

In the bivariate logistic regression analysis, all variables were analyzed to select the candidate independent variables for multivariable logistic regression. Variables with a *p*-value of less than 0.2 in the bivariate logistic regression analysis were selected. Socio-demographic variables (educational status, employment status and service year), personal related characteristics (physical exercise, type of activity engage in after leaving the work, alcohol drinking, Khat chewing and work-related musculoskeletal disorder) and work environment (health and safety-related training, type of vehicle serviced, type of vehicle workshop, inadequate number of staff, inadequate workspace, a heavy loads job more than 20kg routinely and work posture) were fitted and selected for the multivariable logistic regression model. Service year [AOR: 2.40; 95% CI (1.29–4.50)], work-related musculoskeletal disorder [AOR: 3.39; 95% CI (1.99–5.78)], servicing of large-sized vehicles [AOR: 1.96; 95% CI (1.14–3.38)] and working in squatting and lying work posture [AOR: 4.63; 95% CI (1.61–13.3)] were found to be associated with a higher likelihood of work-related stress (Table 3).

Discussion

Workplace stress is a public health problem for employees worldwide. Studies focusing on work-related stress in African countries are scarce, especially among vehicle repair workers [28, 61]. This study revealed the prevalence and factors associated with work-related stress.

The present study indicated that 41.6% [95% CI (36.3–47.1)] of the participants experienced work-related stress. The current prevalence was in line with the study reported among vehicle repair workers in India (47%) [20] and shoe manufacturing workers in Ethiopia (40.4%) [16]. However, our findings had a higher prevalence than among textile factory workers in Republic of Congo (28%) [19] and the secondary school teacher study in Malaysia (32.3%) [62]. It was also lower than those of studies among female domestic workers in Lucknow, India (77%) [38] social workers in the United States of America (81.8%) [37] and medical educators in Pakistan (94%) [36]. Due to the scarcity of similar studies, prevalence estimates are difficult to compare, especially with findings from a similar work environment using similar measures. That said, the discrepancy of the findings might be due to work environment differences (i.e., for all studies except vehicle repair workers in India), local context including perceptions and traditions, measuring tools (Congo and Malaysia), and individual living standards, which could have effects on findings being either high or low prevalence [63].

In the current study, years of service, work-related musculoskeletal disorders, servicing large-sized vehicles and work postures were associated with work-related stress. Participants with >10 years of service [AOR: 2.40; 95% CI (1.29–4.50)], had twice the odds of having work-place stress compared with those who worked \leq 5 years. This finding is inconsistent with other studies among workers in health care, academic, and manufacturing industries where those who had more work experience reported a lower odds of workplace stress [12, 14, 16, 19]. A possible explanation for the difference could be the exposure of vehicle repair workers to chemicals like petroleum products and heavy metals for long periods [20–23]. This might increase the likelihood of stress development by affecting changes in biochemical levels [11, 21] and nerve damage or peripheral neuropathy [20]. Peripheral neuropathy is the inflammation or damage of the peripheral nerves, which connect the central nervous system to the muscles, glands, senses, and other internal organs, causing numbness in the hands and feet [64]. This type of nerve damage might contribute to stress development [65].

Moreover, this study showed work-related musculoskeletal disorders were significantly associated with work-related stress. Workers who reported musculoskeletal disorders [AOR: 3.39; 95% CI (1.99–5.78)] had more than three times the odds of having workplace stress than individuals who did not report MSDs. One reason may be that many stressed individuals might report musculoskeletal disorders and justify that stress and WMSDs have a relationship [66, 67].

Also, this finding indicated that servicing large-sized vehicles [AOR: 1.96; 95% CI (1.14– 3.38)] and squatting and lying work postures [AOR: 4.63; 95% CI (1.61–13.3)] were associated with workplace stress. The report showed that individuals who serviced buses and trucks had more odds of having workplace stress than workers servicing small-sized vehicles. Workers

Income seriesYes (%)Ne (%)NetworkBlockion Status5 (45.0)6 (45.1)6 (45.1)120 (0.95.2.1)Primary5 (51.0)5 (46.0)1.48 (0.45-3.6)0.69 (0.71-2.9)Secondary41 (8.0)7 (64.0)1.35 (0.39-4.67)1.13 (0.69 (0.71-2.9)Tariary41 (8.3)7 (64.0)1.35 (0.39-4.67)1.13 (0.69-1.13)Taripary41 (8.3)7 (64.0)1.35 (0.39-4.67)1.13 (0.69-1.13)TemperanyContrad6 (27.3)16 (27.7)2 (0.75.8.18)2 (30.68.6.18)TemperanyContrad6 (27.3)16 (60.0)1.40 (0.82-2.38)2 (30.16.8.58)TemperanyContrad6 (40.1)1.40 (0.82-2.38)2 (30.105.89)2 (30.105.89)Secondary5 (44.2)8 (61.1)1.40 (0.92-2.38)2 (30.105.89)Secondary6 (60.0)1.40 (0.92-2.38)2 (30.12.9.58)2 (30.12.9.58)Secondary5 (56.9)1.41 (0.92-2.17)1.22 (0.72-2.08)Ne5 (56.9)1.61 (1.9.9.52)1.23 (0.82-2.39)Ne5 (7.9)5 (6.8.1)	Variable name	Stress status (n	= 344)	Crude OR (95%CI)	Adjusted OR (95%CI)
FunctionImageImageImageImageImageImageNormal5 (45.0)6 (40.0.2.10)140 (40.4.5.10)6 (40.0.7.12)Seconday4 (40.0)7 (40.0)1.3 (40.9.4.6.7)1.3 (40.0.2.1)Image4 (40.0)7 (40.0)1.3 (40.9.4.6.7)1.3 (40.0.2.1)Image1 (40.0)7 (40.0)1 (40.0.2.1)1.3 (40.0.2.1)Image1 (40.0.2.1)1 (40.0.2.1)1 (40.0.2.1)1 (40.0.2.1)Image1 (40.0.1.1)1 (40.0.2.1)1 (40.0.2.1)1 (40.0.2.1)Image1 (40.0.2.1)1 (40.0.2.1)1 (40.0.2.1)1 (40.0.2.1)Image1 (40.0.2.1)1 (40.0.2.1)1 (40.0.2.1)1 (40.0.2.1)Image1 (40.0.2.1)1 (40.0.2.1)1 (40.0.2.1)1 (40.0.2.1)Image1 (40.0.2.1)1 (40.0.2.1)1 (40.0.2.1)1 (40.0.2.1) <td< th=""><th>Yes (%)</th><th>No (%)</th><th></th></td<>		Yes (%)	No (%)		
Normal\$(45.)6(45.)00(023-27)120(023-52)Primary\$3(51.0)\$1(40.0)1.48(0.43-51.6)0.69(0.37-1.29)Seondary41(8.0)75(61.0)1.53(0.9-0.7)1.310.00-1.11Teriary44(8.3.)7(61.7)1.54(0.9-0.7)1.310.00-1.11Terinary44(8.3.)7(61.7)1.20(0.9-6.7)1.20(0.87-0.10)Permanent177(2.2)185(97.5)1.01.0TemporaryContract6(7.3)185(9.5)1.02.00(075-1.8)2.00(08-0.18)Caro fervice6(0.00)1.40(0.82-2.8)2.00(1.0-3.9)1.00(1.2-1.5)-5.044(40.0)6(0.00)1.40(0.82-2.8)2.00(1.0-3.9)-6.104.001.00(0.8-2.8)2.00(1.0-3.9)1.00(1.2-1.5)-5.1045(3.69)7(53.1)1.41(0.91-2.17)1.22(0.72-0.6)No55(3.69)9(53.1)1.41(0.91-2.17)1.22(0.72-0.6)No55(3.69)9(63.1)1.41(0.91-2.17)1.22(0.72-0.6)No55(3.69)9(53.1)1.41(0.91-2.17)1.22(0.72-0.6)No55(3.69)10(63.1)1.41(0.91-2.17)1.24(0.87-2.53)No55(3.69)10(63.1)1.41(0.91-2.17)1.24(0.87-2.53)No55(3.69)10(63.1)1.41(0.91-2.17)1.23(0.62-2.0)No55(3.69)10(63.1)1.41(0.91-2.17)1.23(0.62-2.0)No55(3.69)10(63.1)1.41(0.12-3.69)1.23(0.62-2.0)No55(3.7)55(3.7)1.54(1.10-2.96)1.23(0.62-2.18) <td>Education Status</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Education Status				
Primary55 (51.00)51 (40.0)14 (0.4.3.5.10)0.69 (0.37-1.29)Scondary41 (36.0)7 (36.0)1.35 (0.32-4.67)1.13 (0.60-2.11)Terniary41 (36.3)7 (6.1.7)1.551.51Forplayent statsIIS (57.5)1.011.01Temporary/Contract6 (27.3)18 (57.5)2.0 (0.75-5.18)2.05 (0.68-6.18)Scondary6 (27.3)18 (57.5)1.011.01Scondary6 (30.0)1.20 (0.82-3.3)2.09 (1.10-3.96) (1.01-3.96)Scondary44 (40.0)6 (0.00)1.20 (0.82-3.3)2.09 (1.10-3.96) (1.01-3.96) (1.01-3.96)Scondary44 (40.0)6 (0.00)1.20 (0.82-3.36)2.00 (1.20-3.96) (1.01-3.96) (No Formal	5 (45.5)	6 (54.5)	080 (0.23–2.79)	1.22 (0.29–5.21)
Secondry41 (36.0)72 (64.0)1.35 (0.39–4.67)1.13 (0.60–2.1)Tertiary44 (36.3)71 (61.7)11Dermoment157 (0.2.5)16 (2.7.7)2.0 (0.75–1.8)2.0 (0.80–6.18)TemporaryContract6 (2.7.3)1.0 (7.2.7)2.0 (0.75–1.8)2.00 (0.1.6.3 (0.80–6.18)Yar of service2 (2.7.3)1.0 (0.2.2–3.8)2.00 (1.1.6.3 (0.8)2.00 (1.1.6.3 (0.8)S-1044 (40.0)66 (60.0)1.40 (0.82–2.38)2.00 (1.1.6.3 (0.8)S-1045 (0.5.0)71 (0.5.1)1.50 (0.5.2)2.40 (1.2.9 (0.7)S-1045 (0.5.0)71 (0.5.1)1.50 (0.5.2)2.40 (1.2.9 (0.7)Not-odriking	Primary	53 (51.0)	51 (49.0)	1.48 (0.43–5.16)	0.69 (0.37–1.29)
Interpretation44(38.3)71 (61.7)111Employment status137 (42.5)185 (57.3)111Temporary/Contract6 (27.3)16 (72.7)20 (0.75-518)205 (0.66-c1.8)Sear of service00.07.5-518)205 (0.66-c1.8)Sear of service1116-1044 (400)66 (60.0)140 (0.82-2.38)2.09 (1.0-3.98)*3-1045 (50.9)77 (63.1)1.59 (0.95-2.90)2.40 (129-4.50)*Acobi drinking111Yes88 (45.1)107 (49.9)1.41 (0.91-2.17)1.22 (0.72-2.08)No55 (50.9)94 (63.1)1Non-user62 (50.9)106 (63.1)1Current user81 (46.0)95 (54.0)1.46 (0.95-2.24)1.48 (0.87-2.52)Activity angage dire leaving work60 (51.7)56 (45.3)1.8 (1.10-2.90)*1.23 (0.69-2.20)The same types of work60 (51.7)56 (45.3)1.8 (1.10-2.90)*1.23 (0.69-2.5)Pilly game, sport, social activities30 (34.9)56 (65.1)1.6 (1.13-35)*1.26 (0.65-2.5)Pilly game, sport, social activities30 (34.9)56 (65.1)1.6 (1.13-35)*1.26 (0.65-2.5)No58 (57.4)1.08 (1.27-3.4)*1.26 (0.65-2.5)1.8 (1.0-2.90)*1.26 (0.65-2.5)No59 (57.1)1.09 (2.11.3-3.5)*1.26 (0.65-2.5)1.6 (1.13-10)*No50 (37.4)1.09 (2.11.3-3.5)*1.26	Secondary	41 (36.0)	73 (64.0)	1.35 (0.39-4.67)	1.13 (0.60–2.11)
Employment statusIncIncIncPermanent137 (32.5)185 (67.5)2.0 (0.75-1.8)2.05 (0.68-6.1.8)TemporaryContract6 (27.3)16 (72.7)2.0 (0.75-1.8)2.05 (0.68-6.1.8)Year Gervice5 (54.82)5 (51.5)1.40 (0.82-2.38)2.09 (1.10-3.58) '1.00S-1044 (40.0)6 (60.0)1.40 (0.82-2.38)2.09 (1.10-3.58) '1.00S-1044 (40.0)6 (60.0)1.40 (0.82-2.38)2.09 (1.10-3.58) '1.00S-1044 (40.0)6 (60.0)1.40 (0.92-2.39)2.00 (2.72-2.08)Non-Contract8 (8.51)1.75 (9.60)1.22 (0.72-2.08)Non-Gervice6 (53.0)1.60 (0.91-2.17)1.22 (0.72-2.08)Non-Gervice6 (53.0)1.60 (0.91-2.17)1.22 (0.63-2.09)Non-Gervice6 (54.0)1.14 (0.97-2.24)1.23 (0.65-2.09)Non-Gervice6 (54.0)1.60 (1.12-2.96)1.23 (0.65-2.09)Watching movies and Reading 3.03 (3.91)8 (63.1)1.46 (0.97-2.31)1.26 (0.63-2.09)Watching movies and Reading 3.03 (3.91)8 (63.1)1.46 (1.92-9.0)1.23 (0.65-2.0)Watching movies and Reading 3.03 (3.91)8 (63.1)1.46 (1.92-9.0)1.23 (0.65-2.0)Watching movies and Reading 3.03 (3.91)8 (63.1)1.46 (1.92-9.0)1.23 (0.65-2.0)No6 (53.1)1.00 (1.13-5.0)1.23 (0.65-2.0)1.60 (1.13-10)No1.00 (1.00-1.00)1.00 (1.00-1.00)1.00 (1.00-1.00)No1.00 (1.00-1.00)1.00 (1.00-1.00)1.00 (1.00-1.00)No<	Tertiary	44 (38.3)	71 (61.7)	1	1
Permanent137 (42.5)18 (67.5)111Temporary/Contract6 (27.3)16 (67.7)2.0 (0.75-5.18)2.05 (0.88-6.18)Near of serviceNN11S-55 (4 (42.2)85 (51.8)111S-1044 (40.0)66 (60.0)1.40 (0.82-2.38)2.09 (1.0-5.98)2.40 (1.29-4.50)*>1045 (36.9)77 (65.1)1.59 (0.95-2.69)2.40 (1.29-4.50)*2.40 (1.29-4.50)*No85 (45.9)107 (54.9)1.41 (0.02-2.17)1.22 (0.72-2.08)NoS5 (36.9)94 (63.1)111Non-user62 (36.9)106 (61.1)11Current user81 (46.0)95 (51.0)1.46 (0.95-2.49)1.23 (0.68-2.20)Activity engaged after baving work60 (51.7)56 (48.3)1.8 (1.10-2.96)*1.23 (0.68-2.20)The same types of work60 (51.7)56 (48.3)1.8 (1.10-2.96)*1.23 (0.68-2.20)Watching movies and Reading53 (37.3)89 (65.7)2.0 (1.13-5.5)*1.26 (0.65-2.5)Pary game, sport, social activities30 (34.9)56 (65.1)11Regular physical exercise50 (37.4)109 (55.3)0.74 (0.48-1.45)0.87 (0.52-1.47)NoS1 (37.4)109 (55.3)0.74 (0.48-1.45)0.87 (0.52-1.47)1.39 (1.99-7.58)*NoS0 (27.8)1.30 (72.2)111NoS1 (35.4)1.30 (72.2)11.60 (0.42-2.4)NoS0 (27.8)1.36 (1.04-2.64)* <td>Employment status</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Employment status				
Temporary/Contract 6 (27.3) 16 (72.7) 2 0 (0.75-5.18) 205 (0.68-6.18) Year of service 5 5 (48.2) 8 (51.8) 1 . <5 5 (48.2) 8 (51.8) 1 (0.028-2.38) 2 0.91 (1.0-3.98)* >10 1.50 (0.58-2.69) 2 0.01 (1.9-3.98)* 2 0.01 (1.9-3.98)* >10 1.50 (0.58-2.69) 2 0.01 (1.9-3.98)* 2 0.01 (1.9-3.98)* Achold drinking 1.50 (0.57-2.69) 2 (2.07-2.08) 2 0.01 (1.9-3.98)* Non-user 8 (6.1) 0 (1.63.1) 1 (4.10 (9.9-2.17) 1 22 (0.72-2.08) Non-user 6 (3.69) 9 (6.31) 1 (4.10 (9.9-2.17) 1 22 (0.72-2.08) Current user 8 1 (4.60) 9 (5.63.0) 1 (4.10 (9.9-2.17) 1 2.20 (0.72-2.08) Matching movies and Reading 5 (3.74.0) 8 (6.61.7) 1 (4.10 (9.9-2.24) 1 A.88 (0.87-2.52) Play game, sport, social activities 3 (3.07.3) 8 (0.87.7) 2 (1.01 (1.3.53)* 1 2.20 (0.63-2.5) Play game, sport, social activities 3 (3.07.3) 8 (6.61.3) 1 (1.01 (1.90 (0.101 (0.01 (0.01 (0.01 (0.01 (0.01 (0.01 (0.01 (0.01 (0.	Permanent	137 (42.5)	185 (57.5)	1	1
Year of serviceImage: serviceImage: serviceImage: serviceImage: serviceImage: service <td< td=""><td>Temporary/Contract</td><td>6 (27.3)</td><td>16 (72.7)</td><td>2.0 (0.75-5.18)</td><td>2.05 (0.68-6.18)</td></td<>	Temporary/Contract	6 (27.3)	16 (72.7)	2.0 (0.75-5.18)	2.05 (0.68-6.18)
<554 (48.2)56 (5.8)1116-1044 (40.0)66 (60.0)1.40 (0.8-2.3.8)2.09 (1.10-3.9.8) *3-1044 (60.0)77 (65.1)1.59 (0.95-2.69)2.40 (1.29-4.50)*Acolo drinking </td <td>Year of service</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Year of service				
6-1044 (40.0)66 (60.0)1.40 (0.82-2.38)2.09 (1.10-3.98) *>1045 (5.9)77 (65.1)1.50 (0.95-2.08)2.40 (1.29-4.50) *No55 (5.9)94 (65.1)11No55 (5.9)94 (65.1)11No-user62 (36.9)106 (63.1)11Current user81 (46.0)95 (5.0)1.46 (0.95-2.24)1.48 (0.87-2.52)The same types of work60 (51.7)56 (48.3)1.8 (1.10-2.96) *1.23 (0.68-2.20)The same types of work60 (51.7)56 (48.3)1.8 (1.10-2.96) *1.23 (0.68-2.20)Palva game, sport, social activities30 (3.49)59 (65.7)2.0 (1.13-55) *1.26 (0.63-2.5)Palva game, sport, social activities30 (3.49)59 (65.7)2.0 (1.13-55) *1.26 (0.63-2.5)Palva game, sport, social activities30 (3.49)56 (65.1)11Regular physical exercise93 (53.7)92 (62.6)1.401No85 (47.7)109 (55.3)0.47 (0.48-1.45)0.87 (0.52-1.47)Yes50 (57.9)130 (72.2)111No50 (27.8)130 (72.2)111Sefety-related training50 (57.9)130 (72.2)111Yes93 (3.6)77 (66.4)1111No20 (24.4)1.53 (1.04-2.64) *1.28 (0.75-2.18)11Sefety-related training20 (24.4)1.63 (0.94-2.43)1.60 (0.48-2.4)1No <t< td=""><td>≤5</td><td>54 (48.2)</td><td>58 (51.8)</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></t<>	≤5	54 (48.2)	58 (51.8)	1	1
>10 45 (36.9) 77 (63.1) 1.59 (0.95-2.69) 2.40 (1.29-4.50)" Atcoh $ -$ Yes 88 (45.1) 107 (54.9) 1.41 (0.91-2.17) 1.22 (0.72-2.08) No 55 (36.9) 94 (63.1) 1 $-$ Khat chewing $ -$ Non-user 62 (36.9) 106 (63.1) 1 $-$ Current user 81 (46.0) 95 (54.0) 1.46 (0.97-2.24) 1.48 (0.87-2.52) Attivity engaged after leaving work $ -$ Watching movies and Reading 55 (37.3) 89 (62.7) 2.0 (1.13-3.55)* 1.26 (0.63-2.5) Watching movies and Reading 55 (37.4) 92 (62.6) 1 1 $-$ Watching movies and Reading 55 (37.4) 92 (62.6) 1 1 $-$ No 88 (44.7) 109 (55.3) 0.74 (0.48-1.45) 0.87 (0.52-1.47) No 50 (27.8) 130 (72.2) 1 1 $-$	6–10	44 (40.0)	66 (60.0)	1.40 (0.82–2.38)	2.09 (1.10-3.98) *
Aleoded rinkingImageImageImageImageImageYes846.10946.3.01.410.91-2.17.01.22.07.208.1No55.08.90946.3.101.410.91-2.17.01.22.07.208.1Kat deving55.08.90946.3.101.46.08.729.1Xet devineNo-user62.06.901.64.08.729.11.46.08.729.1Xet devineCurrent ourson81.46.0095.64.001.46.08.729.1Xet devineMatching overs and Reading51.67.0056.61.001.61.0129.11.20.06829.1Watching overs and Reading51.67.0020.01.1351.011.20.06829.1Pagene sport, social activities30.49.0056.61.001.01.60.0329.1Matching overs and Reading51.67.0020.01.31.51.011.60.0329.1Pagene sport, social activities30.49.0056.61.001.01.60.0329.1Matching overs and Reading51.67.0020.01.20.11.60.0329.1Yes55.07.0020.62.05.001.01.01.0No50.07.0020.62.011.01.01.0No50.07.001.00.05.003.01.09.5.013.01.09.5.011.0No50.07.001.00.07.001.00.07.2.181.01.0No1.00.07.001.00.07.2.181.00.07.2.181.01.0No1.00.07.001.00.07.2.181.00.07.2.181.00.07.2.18No1.00.07.001.00.07.2.181.00.07.2.181.00.07.2.18No1.00.07.00 <td>>10</td> <td>45 (36.9)</td> <td>77 (63.1)</td> <td>1.59 (0.95-2.69)</td> <td>2.40 (1.29-4.50)*</td>	>10	45 (36.9)	77 (63.1)	1.59 (0.95-2.69)	2.40 (1.29-4.50)*
Yes 88 (45.1) 107 (54.9) 1.44 (0.91–2.17) 1.22 (0.72–2.08) No 55 (36.9) 94 (63.1) 1	Alcohol drinking				
No 55 (36.9) 94 (63.1) 1 Khat chewing - - - Non-user 62 (36.9) 106 (63.1) 1 - Current user 81 (46.0) 95 (54.0) 1.46 (0.95-2.24) 1.48 (0.87-2.52) Activity engaged after leaving work 60 (51.7) 56 (48.3) 1.8 (1.10-2.96)* 1.23 (0.68-2.20) Watching movies and Reading 53 (37.3) 89 (62.7) 2.0 (1.13-3.55)* 1.26 (0.63-2.5) Play game, sport, social activities 30 (34.9) 56 (65.1) 1 1 Regular physical exercise - - - - Yes 55 (37.4) 109 (55.3) 0.74 (0.48-1.45) 0.87 (0.52-1.47) WMSD - - - - - Yes 93 (56.7) 71 (43.3) 3.41 (2.17-5.4)* 3.39 (1.99-5.78)* - No 50 (27.8) 130 (72.2) 1 1 1 - Yes 93 (36.1) 77 (66.4) 1 1.28 (0.75-2.18) - -	Yes	88 (45.1)	107 (54.9)	1.41(0.91-2.17)	1.22 (0.72–2.08)
Khat cheving r R <	No	55 (36.9)	94 (63.1)	1	
Non-user 62 (36.9) 106 (63.1) 1 Image: Current user 81 (46.0) 95 (54.0) 1.46 (0.95-2.24) 1.48 (0.87-2.52) Activity engaged after leaving work 60 (51.7) 56 (48.3) 1.8 (1.10-2.96)* 1.23 (0.68-2.20) Watching movies and Reading 53 (37.3) 89 (62.7) 2.0 (1.13-3.55)* 1.26 (0.63-2.5) Play game, sport, social activities 30 (34.9) 56 (65.1) 1 1 Regular physical exercise 7 92 (62.6) 1 1 No 88 (44.7) 109 (55.3) 0.74 (0.48-1.45) 0.87 (0.52-1.47) WMSD 7 7 7 7 7 No 88 (44.7) 109 (55.3) 0.74 (0.48-1.45) 0.87 (0.52-1.47) WMSD 7 7 7 7 7 7 No 93 (56.7) 71 (14.3.3) 3.41 (2.17-5.34)* 3.39 (1.99-5.78)* No 104 (45.6) 124 (54.4) 1.65 (1.04-2.64)* 1.28 (0.75-2.18) Type of vehicle workshop 7 124 (54.4) 1.65 (1.04-2.64)*	Khat chewing				
Current user 81 (46.0) 95 (54.0) 1.46 (0.95–2.24) 1.48 (0.87–2.52) Activity engaged after leaving work 60 (51.7) 56 (48.3) 1.8 (1.10–2.96)* 1.23 (0.68–2.20) Watching movies and Reading 53 (37.3) 89 (62.7) 2.0 (1.13–3.55)* 1.26 (0.63–2.5) Play game, sport, social activities 30 (34.9) 56 (65.1) 1 1 Regular physical exercise 1 1 Yes 55 (37.4) 92 (62.6) 1 1 No 88 (44.7) 109 (55.3) 0.74 (0.48–1.45) 0.87 (0.52–1.47) WMSD 93 (56.7) 71 (43.3) 3.41 (2.17–5.34)* 3.39 (1.99–5.78)* No 50 (27.8) 130 (72.2) 1 1 1 Yes 93 (36.6) 77 (66.4) 1 1 1 No 104(45.6) 124 (54.4) 1.65 (1.04–2.64)* 1.28 (0.75–2.18) Type of vehicle workshop 1 1 No 104 (45.6) 153 (55.0) 1.63 (0.94–2.84) <td>Non-user</td> <td>62 (36.9)</td> <td>106 (63.1)</td> <td>1</td> <td></td>	Non-user	62 (36.9)	106 (63.1)	1	
Activity engaged after leaving work 60 (51.7) 56 (48.3) 1.8 (1.10–2.96) * 1.23 (0.68–2.20) The same types of work 60 (51.7) 56 (48.3) 1.8 (1.10–2.96) * 1.26 (0.63–2.5) Watching movies and Reading 53 (37.3) 89 (62.7) 2.0 (1.13–3.55) * 1.26 (0.63–2.5) Play game, sport, social activities 30 (34.9) 56 (65.1) 1 1 Regular physical exercise 55 (37.4) 92 (62.6) 1 1 No 88 (44.7) 109 (55.3) 0.87 (0.48–1.45) 0.87 (0.52–1.47) WMSD 93 (56.7) 71 (43.3) 3.41 (2.17–5.34) * 3.39 (1.99–5.78) * No 50 (27.8) 130 (72.2) 1 1 Yes 93 (36.5) 77 (66.4) 1 1 No 104 (45.6) 124 (54.4) 1.65 (1.04–2.64) * 1.28 (0.75–2.18) Type of vehicle workshop I 1 1 1 Private owned 23 (3.2.4) 48 (67.6) 1 1 1 Government-owned 23 (3.2.4) 48 (67.6)	Current user	81 (46.0)	95 (54.0)	1.46 (0.95–2.24)	1.48 (0.87–2.52)
The same types of work 60 (51.7) 56 (48.3) 1.8 (1.10-2.96) * 1.23 (0.68-2.20) Watching movies and Reading 53 (37.3) 89 (62.7) 2.0 (1.13-3.55) * 1.26 (0.63-2.5) Play game, sport, social activities 30 (34.9) 56 (65.1) 1 1 Regular physical exercise 1 Yes 55 (37.4) 92 (62.6) 1 1 1 No 88 (44.7) 109 (55.3) 0.74 (0.48-1.45) 0.87 (0.52-1.47) WMD 1 1 Yes 93 (56.7) 71 (43.3) 3.41 (2.17-5.34) * 3.39 (1.99-5.78) * No 50 (27.8) 130 (72.2) 1 1 1 Safety-related training 1.28 (0.75-2.18) 1 Yes 19 (33.6) 77 (66.4) 1 1 1 No 104(45.6) 124 (54.4) 1.65 (1.04-2.64) * 1.28 (0.75-2.18) Type of vehicle workshop	Activity engaged after leaving work				
Watching movies and Reading 53 (37.3) 89 (62.7) 2.0 (1.13-3.5) * 1.26 (0.63-2.5) Play game, sport, social activities 30 (34.9) 56 (65.1) 1 1 Regular physical exercise Yes 55 (37.4) 92 (62.6) 1 1 No 88 (44.7) 109 (55.3) 0.74 (0.48-1.45) 0.87 (0.52-1.47) WMSD 93 (56.7) 71 (43.3) 3.41 (2.17-5.34) * 3.39 (1.99-5.78) * No 50 (027.8) 130 (72.2) 1 1 1 Safety-related training 2.6 (0.43-2.34) Yes 39 (33.6) 77 (66.4) 1 1 No 104(45.6) 124 (54.4) 1.65 (1.04-2.64) * 1.28 (0.75-2.18) Type of vehicle workshop Private owned 120 (44.0) 153 (56.0) 1.63 (0.94-2.84) 1.06 (0.48-2.34) Government-owned 23 (32.4) 48 (67.6) 1 1	The same types of work	60 (51.7)	56 (48.3)	1.8 (1.10-2.96) *	1.23 (0.68–2.20)
Play game, sport, social activities 30 (34.9) 56 (65.1) 1 1 Regular physical exercise . . . Yes 55 (37.4) 92 (62.6) 1 1 No 88 (44.7) 109 (55.3) 0.74 (0.48–1.45) 0.87 (0.52–1.47) WMSD Yes 93 (56.7) 71 (43.3) 3.41 (2.17–5.34) * 3.39 (1.99–5.78) * No 50 (27.8) 130 (72.2) 1 1 Safety-related training Yes 39 (33.6) 77 (66.4) 1.65 (1.04–2.64) * 1.28 (0.75–2.18) Type of vehicle workshop Private owned 120 (44.0) 153 (56.0) 1.63 (0.94–2.84) 1.06 (0.48–2.34) Government-owned 23 (32.4) 48 (67.6) 1 1 Type of vehicle serviced Madeguate workspace <td>Watching movies and Reading</td> <td>53 (37.3)</td> <td>89 (62.7)</td> <td>2.0 (1.13-3.55) *</td> <td>1.26 (0.63–2.5)</td>	Watching movies and Reading	53 (37.3)	89 (62.7)	2.0 (1.13-3.55) *	1.26 (0.63–2.5)
Regular physical exercise Interpretation Interpretation Interpretation Yes 55 (37.4) 92 (62.6) 1 1 No 88 (44.7) 109 (55.3) 0.74 (0.48–1.45) 0.87 (0.52–1.47) WMSD 93 (56.7) 71 (43.3) 3.41 (2.17–5.34) * 3.39 (1.99–5.78) * No 50 (27.8) 130 (72.2) 1 1 Safety-related training 1 1 1 Yes 39 (33.6) 77 (66.4) 1 1 No 104(45.6) 124 (54.4) 1.65 (1.04–2.64) * 1.28 (0.75–2.18) Type of vehicle workshop 1 1 1 1 No 104(45.6) 124 (54.4) 1.65 (1.04–2.64) * 1.28 (0.75–2.18) Type of vehicle workshop 1 1 1 1 Government-owned 20 (44.0) 153 (56.0) 1.63 (0.94–2.84) 1.06 (0.48–2.34) Government-owned 23 (32.4) 48 (67.6) 1 1 1 Inarge sized 55 (47.8) 60 (52.2)	Play game, sport, social activities	30 (34.9)	56 (65.1)	1	1
Yes 55 (37.4) 92 (62.6) 1 1 No 88 (44.7) 109 (55.3) 0.74 (0.48-1.45) 0.87 (0.52-1.47) WMSD 93 (56.7) 71 (43.3) 3.41 (2.17-5.34) * 3.39 (1.99-5.78) * Yes 93 (56.7) 71 (43.3) 3.41 (2.17-5.34) * 3.39 (1.99-5.78) * No 50 (27.8) 130 (72.2) 1 1 Safety-related training - - - Yes 39 (33.6) 77 (66.4) 1 1 No 104(45.6) 124 (54.4) 1.65 (1.04-2.64) * 1.28 (0.75-2.18) Type of vehicle workshop - - - - Private owned 120 (44.0) 153(56.0) 1.63 (0.94-2.84) 1.06 (0.48-2.34) Government-owned 23 (32.4) 48 (67.6) 1 1 Type of vehicle serviced - - - Large sized 55 (47.8) 60 (52.2) 1.47 (0.93-2.31) 1.96 (1.14-3.38) * Small sized 88 (38.4) 141 (61.6) 1 1 </td <td>Regular physical exercise</td> <td>I</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Regular physical exercise	I			
No 88 (44.7) 109 (55.3) 0.74 (0.48–1.45) 0.87 (0.52–1.47) WMSD Yes 93 (56.7) 71 (43.3) 3.41 (2.17–5.34)* 3.39 (1.99–5.78)* No 50 (27.8) 130 (72.2) 1 1 Safety-related training 1 Yes 39 (33.6) 77 (66.4) 1 1 No 104(45.6) 124 (54.4) 1.65 (1.04–2.64)* 1.28 (0.75–2.18) Type of vehicle workshop Private owned 120 (44.0) 153(56.0) 1.63 (0.94–2.84) 1.06 (0.48–2.34) Government-owned 23 (32.4) 48 (67.6) 1 1 Type of vehicle serviced Large sized 55 (47.8) 60 (52.2) 1.47 (0.93–2.31) 1.96 (1.14–3.38)* Small sized 88 (38.4) 141 (61.6) 1 1 Imadequate workspace 1.18 (0.69–2.01) 1<	Yes	55 (37.4)	92 (62.6)	1	1
WMSD Image: space s	No	88 (44.7)	109 (55.3)	0.74 (0.48–1.45)	0.87 (0.52–1.47)
Yes 93 (56.7) 71 (43.3) 3.41 (2.17–5.34)* 3.39 (1.99–5.78)* No 50 (27.8) 130 (72.2) 1 1 Safety-related training Image: Constraint of the second se	WMSD				
No 50 (27.8) 130 (72.2) 1 1 Safety-related training Image: Constraint of the service of	Yes	93 (56.7)	71 (43.3)	3.41 (2.17–5.34) *	3.39 (1.99–5.78) *
Safety-related training Image: Safety	No	50 (27.8)	130 (72.2)	1	1
Yes 39 (33.6) 77 (66.4) 1 1 No 104(45.6) 124 (54.4) 1.65 (1.04–2.64)* 1.28 (0.75–2.18) Type of vehicle workshop Private owned 120 (44.0) 153(56.0) 1.63 (0.94–2.84) 1.06 (0.48–2.34) Government-owned 23 (32.4) 48 (67.6) 1 1 Type of vehicle serviced Large sized 55 (47.8) 60 (52.2) 1.47 (0.93–2.31) 1.96 (1.14–3.38)* Small sized 88 (38.4) 141 (61.6) 1 1 Inadequate workspace Yes 90 (46.6) 103 (53.4) 1.62 (1.04–2.50)* 1.18 (0.69–2.01) No 53 (35.1) 98 (64.9) 1 1 Routinely lifting greater than 20 kg 1.22 (0.73–2.04) No 73 (37.1) 124 (62.9) 1 1 1.22 (0.73–2.04) No 73 (37.1) 124 (62.9) 1 <td colspan="2">Safety-related training</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Safety-related training				
No 104(45.6) 124 (54.4) $1.65 (1.04-2.64)^*$ $1.28 (0.75-2.18)$ Type of vehicle workshop Image: Constraint of the service of the se	Yes	39 (33.6)	77 (66.4)	1	1
Type of vehicle workshop Image: second	No	104(45.6)	124 (54.4)	1.65 (1.04–2.64) *	1.28 (0.75–2.18)
Private owned 120 (44.0) 153(56.0) 1.63 (0.94-2.84) 1.06 (0.48-2.34) Government-owned 23 (32.4) 48 (67.6) 1 1 Type of vehicle serviced Large sized 55 (47.8) 60 (52.2) 1.47 (0.93-2.31) 1.96 (1.14-3.38) * Small sized 88 (38.4) 141 (61.6) 1 1 Inadequate workspace Yes 90 (46.6) 103 (53.4) 1.62 (1.04-2.50) * 1.18 (0.69-2.01) No 53 (35.1) 98 (64.9) 1 1 Routinely lifting greater than 20 kg 70 (47.6) 77 (52.4) 1.54 (1.00-2.38) * 1.22 (0.73-2.04) No 73 (37.1) 124 (62.9) 1 1 1 Inadequate number of staff Yes 77 (47.8) 84 (52.2) 1.63 (1.06-2.50) * 1.30 (0.77-2.19) No 66 (36.1) 117 (63.9) 1 1 1	Type of vehicle workshop				
Government-owned 23 (32.4) 48 (67.6) 1 1 Type of vehicle serviced Image sized 55 (47.8) 60 (52.2) 1.47 (0.93–2.31) 1.96 (1.14–3.38) * Image sized 55 (47.8) 60 (52.2) 1.47 (0.93–2.31) 1.96 (1.14–3.38) * Small sized 88 (38.4) 141 (61.6) 1 1 Imadequate workspace Image sized 90 (46.6) 103 (53.4) 1.62 (1.04–2.50) * 1.18 (0.69–2.01) Yes 90 (46.6) 103 (53.4) 1.62 (1.04–2.50) * 1.18 (0.69–2.01) No 53 (35.1) 98 (64.9) 1 1 Frees 70 (47.6) 77 (52.4) 1.54 (1.00–2.38) * 1.22 (0.73–2.04) No 73 (37.1) 124 (62.9) 1 1 Imadequate number of staff Yes 77 (47.8) 84 (52.2) 1.63 (1.06–2.50) * 1.30 (0.77–2.19) No 66 (36.1) 117 (63.9) 1 1 1	Private owned	120 (44.0)	153(56.0)	1.63 (0.94–2.84)	1.06 (0.48–2.34)
Type of vehicle serviced Image sized S5 (47.8) 60 (52.2) 1.47 (0.93–2.31) 1.96 (1.14–3.38) * Small sized 88 (38.4) 141 (61.6) 1 1 Inadequate workspace Image sized 90 (46.6) 103 (53.4) 1.62 (1.04–2.50) * 1.18 (0.69–2.01) Yes 90 (46.6) 103 (53.4) 1.62 (1.04–2.50) * 1.18 (0.69–2.01) No 53 (35.1) 98 (64.9) 1 1 Routinely lifting greater than 20 kg 70 (47.6) 77 (52.4) 1.54 (1.00–2.38) * 1.22 (0.73–2.04) No 73 (37.1) 124 (62.9) 1 1 1 Inadequate number of staff F F 1 1 Yes 77 (47.8) 84 (52.2) 1.63 (1.06–2.50) * 1.30 (0.77–2.19) No 66 (36.1) 117 (63.9) 1 1 1	Government-owned	23 (32.4)	48 (67.6)	1	1
Large sized 55 (47.8) 60 (52.2) 1.47 (0.93-2.31) 1.96 (1.14-3.38) * Small sized 88 (38.4) 141 (61.6) 1 1 Inadequate workspace 90 (46.6) 103 (53.4) 1.62 (1.04-2.50) * 1.18 (0.69-2.01) No 53 (35.1) 98 (64.9) 1 1 1 Routinely lifting greater than 20 kg 70 (47.6) 77 (52.4) 1.54 (1.00-2.38) * 1.22 (0.73-2.04) No 73 (37.1) 124 (62.9) 1 1 1 Inadequate number of staff 77 (47.8) 84 (52.2) 1.63 (1.06-2.50) * 1.30 (0.77-2.19) No 66 (36.1) 117 (63.9) 1 1 1	Type of vehicle serviced				
Small sized 88 (38.4) 141 (61.6) 1 1 Inadequate workspace 90 (46.6) 103 (53.4) 1.62 (1.04-2.50)* 1.18 (0.69-2.01) Yes 90 (46.6) 103 (53.4) 1.62 (1.04-2.50)* 1.18 (0.69-2.01) No 53 (35.1) 98 (64.9) 1 1 Routinely lifting greater than 20 kg Ves 70 (47.6) 77 (52.4) 1.54 (1.00-2.38)* 1.22 (0.73-2.04) No 73 (37.1) 124 (62.9) 1 1 Inadequate number of staff Ves 77 (47.8) 84 (52.2) 1.63 (1.06-2.50)* 1.30 (0.77-2.19) No 66 (36.1) 117 (63.9) 1 1 1	Large sized	55 (47.8)	60 (52.2)	1.47 (0.93–2.31)	1.96 (1.14–3.38) *
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Small sized	88 (38.4)	141 (61.6)	1	1
Yes 90 (46.6) 103 (53.4) 1.62 (1.04-2.50)* 1.18 (0.69-2.01) No 53 (35.1) 98 (64.9) 1 1 Routinely lifting greater than 20 kg Pres 70 (47.6) 77 (52.4) 1.54 (1.00-2.38)* 1.22 (0.73-2.04) No 73 (37.1) 124 (62.9) 1 1 1 Inadequate number of staff Yes 77 (47.8) 84 (52.2) 1.63 (1.06-2.50)* 1.30 (0.77-2.19) No 66 (36.1) 117 (63.9) 1 1 1	Inadequate workspace				
No 53 (35.1) 98 (64.9) 1 1 Routinely lifting greater than 20 kg 70 (47.6) 77 (52.4) 1.54 (1.00-2.38) * 1.22 (0.73-2.04) Yes 70 (47.6) 77 (52.4) 1.54 (1.00-2.38) * 1.22 (0.73-2.04) No 73 (37.1) 124 (62.9) 1 1 Inadequate number of staff 77 (47.8) 84 (52.2) 1.63 (1.06-2.50) * 1.30 (0.77-2.19) No 66 (36.1) 117 (63.9) 1 1	Yes	90 (46.6)	103 (53.4)	1.62 (1.04–2.50) *	1.18 (0.69–2.01)
Routinely lifting greater than 20 kg 70 (47.6) 77 (52.4) 1.54 (1.00-2.38) * 1.22 (0.73-2.04) No 73 (37.1) 124 (62.9) 1 1 Inadequate number of staff 77 (47.8) 84 (52.2) 1.63 (1.06-2.50) * 1.30 (0.77-2.19) No 66 (36.1) 117 (63.9) 1 1	No	53 (35.1)	98 (64.9)	1	1
Yes 70 (47.6) 77 (52.4) 1.54 (1.00-2.38)* 1.22 (0.73-2.04) No 73 (37.1) 124 (62.9) 1 1 Inadequate number of staff 77 (47.8) 84 (52.2) 1.63 (1.06-2.50)* 1.30 (0.77-2.19) No 66 (36.1) 117 (63.9) 1 1	Routinely lifting greater than 20 kg	l			
No 73 (37.1) 124 (62.9) 1 1 Inadequate number of staff 1 1 1 Yes 77 (47.8) 84 (52.2) 1.63 (1.06-2.50)* 1.30 (0.77-2.19) No 66 (36.1) 117 (63.9) 1 1	Yes	70 (47.6)	77 (52.4)	1.54 (1.00-2.38) *	1.22 (0.73–2.04)
Inadequate number of staff Imadequate number of staff Yes 77 (47.8) 84 (52.2) 1.63 (1.06-2.50)* 1.30 (0.77-2.19) No 66 (36.1) 117 (63.9) 1 1	No	73 (37.1)	124 (62.9)	1	1
Yes 77 (47.8) 84 (52.2) 1.63 (1.06-2.50) * 1.30 (0.77-2.19) No 66 (36.1) 117 (63.9) 1 1	Inadequate number of staff				
No 66 (36.1) 117 (63.9) 1 1	Yes	77 (47.8)	84 (52.2)	1.63 (1.06–2.50) *	1.30 (0.77-2.19)
	No	66 (36.1)	117 (63.9)	1	1

Table 3. Multivariate logistic regression of factors associated with work-related stress among vehicle repair workers in Hawassa city, Ethiopia, May 2019.

(Continued)

Variable name	Stress status (n = 344)		Crude OR (95%CI)	Adjusted OR (95%CI)
	Yes (%)	No (%)		
Most commonly adopted work posture				
Standing	65 (50.8)	63 49.2)	1	1
Sitting	2 (13.3)	13 (86.7)	6.7 (1.45-30.9) *	5.35 (1.07-26.6) *
Kneeling	26 (53.1)	23 (46.9)	0.91 (0.47–1.76)	0.82 (0.39–1.75)
Bending	38 (36.9)	65 (63.1)	1.76 (1.03–3.00) *	2.05 (1.06-3.96) *
Squatting, prone position on the ground	12 (24.5)	37 (75.5)	3.18 (1.52–6.65) *	4.63 (1.61–13.3) *

Table 3. (Continued)

**p*-value < 0.05

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249640.t003

servicing large-sized vehicles might be well experienced and have long service years compared to small-sized vehicle repair workers. Exposure duration to chemicals might have a contribution to the stress difference among workers group [20-23]. The odds of workplace stress among employees who reported working their job in a squatting and lying posture was more than four times than those who did not engage in such posture. The possible explanation could be that poor physical environment and ergonomics hazards contribute to workplace stress [16, 66].

Though this study was able to provide important data on work-related stress among vehicle repair workers, several limitations are noted. Since this finding was reported on existing data and focused on workplace stress as the outcome, psychosocial work factors, especially those related to the organizational risk factors, were missed. We believe that the study provided a reasonably accurate assessment of work-related stress and associated factors.

Conclusions

This study shows that the overall prevalence of work-related stress was substantially high among vehicle repair workers in Hawassa City, Ethiopia. The most important independent risk factors identified by a multivariable logistic regression model were work experience, work-related musculoskeletal disorder, servicing large-sized vehicles, and squatting and lying work postures. In other words, these factors were workers' years of service, symptoms of pain on various body regions, and the work environment. Therefore, preventive measures need to be implemented on the reduction of workplace stress among vehicle repair workers by focusing on work environment improvements. Further studies in the broadest context are recommended.

Supporting information

S1 File. English version of consent form and questionnaire. (DOCX)

S1 Data. Data set used for analysis. (SAV)

Acknowledgments

We appreciate the Hawassa University, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Research and Community Service Office that allowed us to conduct the study. We thank the vehicle

repair workers, managers and data collectors for giving their valuable time and cooperation during the data collection period.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Hailemichael Mulugeta.

Data curation: Hailemichael Mulugeta, Aiggan Tamene, Tesfaye Ashenafi.

Formal analysis: Tesfaye Ashenafi, Steven M. Thygerson, Nathaniel D. Baxter.

Investigation: Hailemichael Mulugeta, Aiggan Tamene.

Methodology: Hailemichael Mulugeta.

Project administration: Hailemichael Mulugeta.

Software: Hailemichael Mulugeta.

Supervision: Hailemichael Mulugeta.

Validation: Hailemichael Mulugeta.

Visualization: Hailemichael Mulugeta, Steven M. Thygerson, Nathaniel D. Baxter.

Writing – original draft: Hailemichael Mulugeta, Aiggan Tamene, Tesfaye Ashenafi, Steven M. Thygerson, Nathaniel D. Baxter.

Writing – review & editing: Hailemichael Mulugeta.

References

- 1. International Labor Organization (ILO), Work place Stress: A collective challenge. 2016: Geneva.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Occupational health: Stress at the workplace. 2021 24/02/2021]; Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/ccupational-health-stress-at-the-workplace.
- 3. Heer V., Subir D., and Shashank M., Occupational Injuries in Automobile Repair Workers. Industrial Health 2011. 49(5): p. 642–51. https://doi.org/10.2486/indhealth.ms1294 PMID: 21828955
- Hailemichael M., Yefokir T., and Meaza G., Nonfatal Occupational Injuries among Workers in Microscale and Small-Scale Woodworking Enterprise in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Journal of Environmental and Public Health, 2020. 2020(Article ID 6407236).
- Gebrekiros G., Abera K., and Dessalegn A., Assessment of the prevalence and associated factors of occupational injury among workers in Arba Minch Textile factory. Occupational Medicine and Health Affairs, 2015. 3.
- Aiggan T., et al., Musculoskeletal Disorders and Associated Factors among Vehicle Repair Workers in Hawassa City, Southern Ethiopia. Journal of Environmental and Public Health, 2020. 2020(Article ID 9472357).
- Shukriah A, Baba M.D., and Jaharah A.G., Prevalence and factors contributing to musculoskeletal disorder among garage worker in Malaysia. Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences, 2017. 9(s5).
- 8. Eva B., et al., Long-term occupational stress is associated with regional reductions in brain tissue volumes. PLOS ONE, 2013. 8(6).
- 9. Kristie T., O., et al., REDD1 is essential for stress-induced synaptic loss and depressive behavior. Nat Med, 2014. 20(5): p. 531–535. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3513 PMID: 24728411
- Paul HB., The inflammatory response is an integral part of the stress response: Implications for atherosclerosis, insulin resistance, type II diabetes and metabolic syndrome X. Brain, Behavior, and Immunity, 2003. 5: p. 350–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0889-1591(03)00048-5 PMID: 12946657
- Zerihun A., Abraham G., and Fekadu U., Occupational health risk of working in garages: comparative study on blood pressure and hematological parameters between garage workers and Haramaya University community, Harar, eastern Ethiopia. Risk Management and Healthcare Policy, 2018. 11: p. 35– 44. https://doi.org/10.2147/RMHP.S154611 PMID: 29559815

- Selam G. and Balew Z., Workplace stress and associated factors among healthcare professionals working in public health care facilities in Bahir Dar City, Northwest Ethiopia, 2017. BMC Research Notes, 2019. 12(249).
- Selamawit S. and Amanuel A., Work-Related Stress and Associated Factors Among Nurses Working in Public Hospitals of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: A Cross-sectional Study. Workplace health & safety, 2014. 62(8): p. 326–32.
- Gebisa G. and Sintayehu D., Perceived work-related stress and its associated factors among public secondary school teachers in Gondar city: a cross-sectional study from Ethiopia. BMC Research Notes, 2020. 13(36).
- Gebisa G., et al., Work-related Stress and Associated Factors among Academic Staffs at the University of Gondar, Northwest Ethiopia: An Institutionbased Cross-sectional Study. Ethiopian Journal of Health Science, 2020. 30(2): p. 223–232.
- Morke M., Mulat G., and Destaw F., Work related stress and associated factors among Huajian shoe manufacturing employees in Dukem town, central Ethiopia. BMC Research Notes, 2018. 11(610).
- Jin W., et al., Occupational Stress and Risk Factors Among Workers from Electronic Manufacturing Service Companies in China. China CDC Weekly, 2020. 2(9).
- Mathangi V., Impact of job stress on employees' job performance in Aavin, coimbatore. Journal of Organisation & Human Behaviour, 2017. 6(3).
- Panda K. and Philippe M., Occupational Stress among Textile Workers in the Democratic Republic of Congo. Tropical Medicine and Health 2015. 43(4): p. 223–231. https://doi.org/10.2149/tmh.2015-24 PMID: 26865824
- Mathew P., et al., A study on morbidity among automobile service and repair workers in an urban area of South India. Indian Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2014. 18(1): p. 9–12. https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5278.134946 PMID: 25006310
- 21. Zerihun A., Abraham G., and Fekadu U., Chemical exposure in garage workers and related health risks on the biochemical levels: A comparative study in Harar town, eastern Ethiopia. SAGE Open Medicine, 2019. 7: p. 1–7.
- Yalemsew A., Argaw A., and Dejene T., Occupational lead exposure among automotive garage workers
 –a case study for Jimma town, Ethiopia. Journal of Occupational Medicine and Toxicology 2012. 7
 (15).
- Samuel K., et al., Solvent neurotoxicity in vehicle collision repair workers in New Zealand. NeuroToxicology 2016. 57: p. 223–229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2016.10.005 PMID: 27737812
- Torp S., Riise T., and Moen B. E., Work-related musculoskeletal symptoms among car mechanics: a descriptive study. Occupational Medicine 1996. 46(6): p. 407–413. https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/46.
 6.407 PMID: 8987373
- Attwa E and El-Laithy N, Contact dermatitis in car repair workers. JEADV, 2009. 23(2): p. 138–145 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-3083.2008.02952.x PMID: 18705631
- Mohammad J., et al., Occupational hand dermatitis in car repair workers. AIMS Public Health, 2019. 6 (4): p. 577–586. https://doi.org/10.3934/publichealth.2019.4.577 PMID: 31909077
- Ukachukwu A., et al., Work-related back discomfort and associated factors among automotive maintenance mechanics in Eastern Nigeria: a cross sectional study. Work, 2016. 53(4).
- 28. Abera K., et al., Occupational Health and Safety in Ethiopia: A review of Situational Analysis and Needs Assessment. Ethiopia Journal Health Development, 2016. 30(1).
- 29. Hawasa City transport bureau, Data of Vehicle Repair workshop. 2019: Un published.
- The American Institute of Stress, The Marlin Company, Attitudes in the American Workplace VII: The Seventh Annual Labor Day Survey. 2001.
- Scott Macdonald and P. MacIntyre, The Generic Job Satisfaction Scale: Scale Development and Its Correlates. Employee Assistance Quarterlly, 1997. 13(2).
- Mohammad D.H., et al., Prevalence of work related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSDs) and ergonomic risk assessment among readymade garment workers of Bangladesh: A cross sectional study. PLOS ONE, 2018. 13(7).
- 33. Tekile T. and Abera K., Prevalence and factors affecting work-related injury among workers engaged in small and medium-scale industries in Gondar wereda, North Gondor zone, Amhara Regional State, Ethiopia. Journal of Health Development, 2007. 21(1): p. 25–34.
- Sina T.T. and Sintayehu K.K., Occupational-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders and Associated Factors among Beauty Salon Workers, Adama Town, South-Eastern Ethiopia, 2018. Journal of Ergonomics, 2020. 9(5).

- Soltan M. R., et al., Workplace-related stress among oncologists: Egyptian single-centered observational study. Middle East Current Psychiatry, 2020. 27(19).
- Seema D., Rootaba K., and Hajra S., Stress in Medical Educators Professional Medical Journal, 2012. 19(3): p. 404–410.
- Evan S., Shulamith L.A.S., and Jeffrey S., The Work Experiences of Social Workers: Factors Impacting Compassion Satisfaction and Workplace Stress. Journal of Social Service Research, 2020. 46(1).
- Shatakshi K., Shalini A., and Khwairakpam S., Workplace stress of Female Domestic Workers Residing in Lucknow. Journal of Seybold Report 2020. 15(9).
- Tesfaye H.M., Dawit G.Y., and Demiss M.G., Physical environmental and occupational factors inducing work-related neck and shoulder pains among self-employed tailors of informal sectors in Ethiopia, 2019: results from a community based cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 2020. 20(1265).
- Yousef J., et al., Workplace aggression, psychological distress, and job satisfaction among Palestinian nurses: A cross-sectional study. Applied Nursing Research, 2016. 32(2016): p. 190–198.
- Dereje D., Solomon M.A., and Atalay G., Work-related musculoskeletal disorders and associated factors among bank workers in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine 2020. 25(33).
- Anna D., et al., Development and Test–Retest Reliability of an Extended Version of the Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire (NMQ-E): A Screening Instrument for Musculoskeletal Pain. The Journal of Pain, 2009. 10(5): p. 517–526. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2008.11.008 PMID: 19345154
- 43. Joanne O.C., The Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire. Occupational Medicine 2007. 57: p. 300–301.
- Élise P.L., Vincent C., and Martin D., Assessment of musculoskeletal symptoms and their impacts in the adolescent population: adaptation and validation of a questionnaire. BMC Pediatrics 2014. 14(173).
- **45.** Fahad S.A., Shaji J.K., and Sami S.A., A Cross-Sectional Study on the Association of Patterns and Physical Risk Factors with Musculoskeletal Disorders among Academicians in Saudi Arabia. BioMed Research International, 2020. 2020(Article ID 8930968).
- 46. Drieskens S., et al., Correction of self-reported BMI based on objective measurements: a Belgian experience. Archives of Public Health 2018. 76(10). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13690-018-0255-7</u> PMID: 29441203
- Tesfaye H.M., Giziew A., and Shalema W.O., Risk Factors Associated with Upper Extremity Musculoskeletal Disorders among Barbers in Gondar Town, Northwest Ethiopia, 2018: A Cross-Sectional Study. Pain Research and Management, 2019. 2019(Article ID 6984719).
- Zoran B., et al., Purposeful selection of variables in logistic regression. Source Code for Biology and Medicine 2008. 3(173).
- Paul H., L. and Igor B., Identification of confounder in epidemiologic data contaminated by measurement error in covariates,. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2016. 16(54).
- **50.** Masataka I., et al., Risk factors for short-term outcomes after thoracoscopic lobectomy for lung cancer. European Respiratory Journal 2016. 48: p. 495–503.
- Suzana B.C., et al., Derivation of a clinical decision rule for predictive factors for the development of pharyngocutaneous fistula postlaryngectomy. Brazilian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology, 2015. 81(4): p. 394–401. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjorl.2014.09.009 PMID: 26145251
- Chien-Hsun W., Optimization of steering control to improve the energy consumption of internal combustion engine vehicles. Heliyon, 2019. 5(12).
- Shubhangi J., et al., To Assess the Level of Workplace Stress among 18-45yr Patients Admitted with Myocardial Infarction. International Journal of Health Sciences & Research 2018. 8(4).
- Elke S. and Xabier I., OSH in figures: Work-related musculoskeletal disorders in the EU-Facts and figures. 2010, European Agency for Safety and Health at Work: Luxembourg, Belgium.
- Solomon M.A., et al., Prevalence and Associated Factors of Hypertension: A Crossectional Community Based Study in Northwest Ethiopia. BMC Cardiovascular Disorders, 2015. 12(113).
- NIH. Drug facts. 2013 28/08/2020]; Available from: https://www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov/drug_chem_ info/khat.pdf.
- 57. Andargachew K., Negash W., and Fiker T., Determinants of alcohol use and khat chewing among Hawassa University students, Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. African Health Sciences 2016. 16(3).
- Demewoz H. and Yihunie L., Khat Chewing Practice and Associated Factors among Adults in Ethiopia: Further Analysis Using the 2011 Demographic and Health Survey. PLOS ONE, 2015. 10(6).
- Jui-Ting L., et al., Cigarette smoking might impair memory and sleep quality. Journal of the Formosan Medical Association, 2013. 112: p. 287–290. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfma.2011.12.006</u> PMID: 23660225

- **60.** Randi W.A., et al., The influence of alcohol consumption on sickness presenteeism and impaired daily activities. The WIRUS screening study. PLOS ONE, 2017. 12(10).
- Nuwayhid IA, Occupational Health Research in Developing Countries: A Partner for Social Justice. American Journal of Public Health, 2004. 94(11): p. 1916–1921. <u>https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.94.11</u>. 1916 PMID: 15514227
- **62.** Zahiruddin O. and Vevehkanandar S., Depression, anxiety, and stress among secondary school teachers in Klang, Malaysia. International Medical Journal, 2019. 26(2): p. 71–74.
- **63.** George M., The relationship between workplace stress, and Job characteristics, individual differences, and mental health, in Centre for Occupational and Health Psychology, School of Psychology 2008, Cardiff University: USA. p. 315.
- 64. The British Medical Association, Illustrated Medical dictionary. 2013, UK: Penguin
- **65.** Samuel K., et al., Neuropsychological performance in solventexposed vehicle collision repair workers in New Zealand. PLOS ONE, 2017. 12(12).
- **66.** University of Oxford, Oxford Handbook of Occupational Health. 1st Edition ed. 2007, New York: Oxford University Press.
- 67. National Academy of Sciences, Musculoskeletal Disorders and the Workplace: Low Back and Upper Extremities 2001, Washington, D.C: National Academy Press.