

Epidemiological review of gastric cancer in India

Rajesh P. Dikshit,
Garima Mathur,
Sharayu Mhatre,
B. B. Yeole¹

Department of Epidemiology,
Tata Memorial Hospital, Mumbai,
and ¹Population Based Cancer
Registry, Indian Cancer Society,
Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Rajesh P. Dikshit,
Dr. E. Borges Marg, Annex Building
6th Floor, Tata Memorial Hospital,
Parel, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.
E-mail: dikshitrp@tmc.gov.in

ABSTRACT

Stomach cancer is the one of the leading cause of cancer in southern region of India. Its incidence is decreasing worldwide yet on global scale stomach cancer remains one of the most common causes of cancer death. Etiology of gastric cancer includes *Helicobacter pylori* infection, diet and lifestyle, tobacco, alcohol and genetic susceptibility. In this review, we tried to find the contribution of Indian scientist in understanding the descriptive and observational epidemiology of stomach cancer. PubMed was used as a search platform using key words such as “stomach cancer, treatment, clinical characteristics, stomach cancer outcome, epidemiology, etiological factor and their corresponding Mesh terms were used in combination with Boolean operators OR, AND”. Most of the reported studies on gastric cancer from India are case report or case series and few are case-control studies. Indian studies on this topic are limited and have observed *H. pylori* infection, salted tea, pickled food, rice intake, spicy food, soda (additive of food), tobacco and alcohol as risk factors for gastric cancer. More research is required to understand the etiology, develop suitable screening test, to demarcate high-risk population and to develop and evaluate the effect of primary prevention programs.

Key words: *Epidemiology, etiological factor, stomach cancer*

INTRODUCTION

Stomach cancer is the second-most common cancer among men and third-most among females in Asia and worldwide.^[1] The symptoms and sign of the stomach cancer are often reported late when the disease is already in advanced stages and 5-year survival is less than 30% in developed countries and around 20% in developing countries.^[2]

About 8.6% of all cancers that occurred in 2002 were gastric cancer. The gastric cancer rates shows marked geographical variation, with high-risk areas in Japan, China, Eastern Europe and certain countries in Latin America. Low-risk population is seen among whites in North America, India, Philippines, most countries in Africa, some Western European countries and Australia.^[2] In India, the number of new stomach cancer cases in 2001 was estimated to be approximately 35,675 ($n=23,785$ in men; 11,890 in women).^[3] These differences in

incidence rates can be attributed to many factors but refer particularly to differences in dietary habits, and infection to *Helicobacter pylori*.

This review includes an update of distribution of gastric cancer in India, as well as systematic review of published findings on association between various risk factors related to gastric cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A search was undertaken in MEDLINE (www.pubmed.com) using Pubmed database, using key words such as “stomach cancer, treatment, clinical characteristics, stomach cancer outcome, epidemiology, etiological factor and their corresponding Mesh terms were used in combination like OR, AND.” The search was limited to only English literature including those studies which were published from 2000 to 2009 by Indian institutes only. This search yielded a total of 256 articles of which the following studies were excluded: Animal model (48), studies which were not conducted in India (13), studies other than gastric cancer (25), case reports (42) and other studies unrelated to the topic concerned (80) as these studies did not satisfy the review purpose. Total 48 studies fulfilled the inclusion criterion. The descriptive health statistical data for gastric cancer was mainly obtained from publications of National Cancer Registry, UICC, IARC and WHO

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:
www.ijmpo.org

DOI:
10.4103/0971-5851.81883

(GLOBOCON-2008). In most of the case-control studies definition of gastric cancer used was not specified.

DESCRIPTIVE EPIDEMIOLOGY

The data obtained from the National Cancer Registries indicates that gastric cancer is a leading problem in North-eastern and Southern states of Indian subcontinent [Tables 1 and 2]. The rates for gastric cancer in North-eastern registries are higher than rest of the countries. However, this should be interpreted with caution as these registries are in initial years of registry operation, and it has been demonstrated that registries might include prevalent cases in their initial years. The age-adjusted incidence rate of stomach cancer in males varies widely among registries, highest being 11.1 per 100,000 in Chennai compared to 1.6 per 100,000 in Bhopal.^[4] Similar to trends of stomach cancer globally, Indian registries have also observed statistically significant decreasing trend over the last 20-year period [Table 3].

The mortality figures from Indian registries suffer with problem of under-reporting because of problems in registration of death and in reporting of cause of death. The Mumbai registry with comparative reliable mortality (owing to stringent implication of Coroners Act) data reports decline in mortality rate from 2.7 for females and 4.8 for males in 1994^[5] to 1.7 for females and 1.8 for males

in 2005.^[6] The population-based survival studies, another indicator of health infrastructure and success of treatment, indicate that despite improvement in treatment modalities, prognosis from stomach cancer remains to be poor. The 5-year survival rate for stomach cancer is approximately 20%. Survival rates are higher in countries which have screening programs that lead to early detection and where distal cancer (which has a better prognosis) predominates.^[7] The 5-year relative survival for stomach cancer in India from 1992 to 1994 was observed to be 6%.^[8] The 5-year age standardized relative survival in the age group of 0-74 years for different Indian registries and its comparison with other Asian registries is shown in Table 4.

RISK FACTORS

H. pylori – The most important risk factor for stomach cancer is observed to be the infection with *H. pylori*. IARC monograph classifies *H. pylori* as a carcinogenic to humans based on epidemiological evidence.^[11] A large number of case-control and cohort studies conducted in various parts of the world observed 2-3-fold increase in gastric cancer among individuals exposed compared to non-exposed to *H. pylori* infection after adjusting for important covariants.^[12-14] The Cag A gene is the main virulence factor of *H. pylori* which is responsible for the development of gastric adenocarcinoma through derangement of cellular architecture and signaling pathway.^[2] The prevalence of

Table 1: Age-adjusted (world) incidence and mortality rates of gastric cancer* and its relative proportion in Indian population-based cancer registries. Years: 2001-2003

Registry	Males			Females		
	Relative proportion [†] %	Incidence (AAR) [‡]	Mortality (AAR)	Relative proportion %	Incidence (AAR)	Mortality (AAR)
Bangalore	9.3	9.0	3.4	4.3	5.0	2.0
Barshi [§]	3.8	1.6	-	2	1.0	-
Bhopal	1.6	1.6	0.4	1.2	1.3	0.4
Chennai	10.2	11.1	5.7	4.7	5.4	3.1
Delhi	2.6	3.2	0.5	1.3	1.6	0.2
Mumbai	4.1	4.2	2.5	1.9	2	1.3

* ICD 10-C16, [†] Proportion of stomach cancer out of total cancer cases observed, [‡] AAR – Age-adjusted rate per 100,000, [§] – Rural cancer registry

Table 2: Age-adjusted (world) incidence and mortality rates of gastric cancer and its relative proportion in population-based cancer registries from North-Eastern region of India. Years: 2003-2004

Registry	Males			Females		
	Relative proportion %	Incidence (AAR)*	Mortality (AAR)	Relative proportion %	Incidence (AAR)	Mortality (AAR)
Dhibrugarh	8.0	7.5	4.0	5.19	3.5	1.8
Kamrup urban district	4.4	7.5	3.0	2.8	4.4	0.5
Silchar	5.1	6.6	0.6	2.6	1.9	2.7
Imphal west	8.2	8.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	0.7
Sikkim	18.2	14.2	4.1	4.3	3.9	1.1
Aizawal	19.7	57.3	25.3	12.7	33.6	18.0
Mizoram (excluding Aizawal)	29.9	46.9	21.0	13.5	17.2	7.2

*AAR – Age-adjusted rate per 100,000

H. pylori is high in India as observed by many case series. The prevalence varies from 56 to 89% among gastric cancer cases. A study from North India reported the prevalence of *H. pylori* infection to be 56.5% in gastric cancer patients.^[15] The frequency of Cag A IgG was found to be more common in the healthy controls (89%) compared to gastric neoplasm patients (76%).^[16] A study by Mishra *et al.*,^[17] showed slightly higher prevalence of *H. pylori* (80%) in the control group as compared to the cases (78%). It was also reported that *H. pylori* was more common in diffuse type of cancer than intestinal type (86% vs. 68%).

Another study from Northern India reported the prevalence of *H. pylori* as high as 74% in controls as compared to 68% in gastric cancer cases.^[18]

A study from Mizoram reported higher rate of infection by *H. pylori* in stomach cancer patients. The study failed to show any significant association of IgG (OR-0.66; CI-0.29-1.32), IgM (OR-0.41; CI-0.17-1.50) and IgA (OR-1.15; CI-0.12-2.0) antibody levels against the *H. pylori* in development of gastric cancer after adjusting for important confounding factors. The significant interaction between *H. pylori* infection and smoked, salted meat (OR 1.9) and Sa-um which is a locally made dish (OR-2.1) was observed.^[19] The techniques used by these studies to detect *H. pylori* infection included RUT (rapid urease test), culture, histopathology, PCR and ELISA which are endoscopy and serology based.

The studies conducted in India over the last 10 years fails to confirm the association between *H. pylori* infection and gastric cancer. This is mainly because of high prevalence of *H. pylori* infection in Indian population and small sample size of most of the studies. Further it is important to be able to adjust for other confounding variables. The studies with adequate power and addressing the issue of interaction between *H. pylori* and other lifestyle-related factors and dietary variables will be important to understand the etiology of gastric cancer in India in relation to *H. pylori* infection [Table 5].

DIET AND LIFESTYLE

Diet plays a major role in gastric carcinogenesis. Globally, literature suggests that none or low-starch vegetable including green yellow vegetables, cruciferous and allium vegetables (garlic and onion) and fruits are considered to be probable protective factors. Limited evidence suggests that pulses (including soy) and selenium are also protective in nature.^[21,22] Recent decline in the incident of stomach cancer in many countries may be in part explained not only by higher consumption of fruit but also due to highly reduced intake of salt, preserved foods as well as the availability of refrigeration.

Table 3: The age-adjusted (world) incidence rates and AAPC for gastric cancer in males in Indian population-based cancer registry during the years 1982-2003

Registry	AAR*	AAPC
Mumbai	5.89	-2.45 [†]
Chennai	13.11	-0.98 [‡]
Bangalore	9.28	-0.74 [§]
Delhi	3.42	-1.57 [†]
Bhopal [¶]	2.99	-6.13 [†]

AAPC – Average annual percentage change; *AAR – Age-adjusted rate per 100,000; [†]significant at 0.01; [‡]Significant at 0.05; [§]non-significant; ^{||}The AAR and AAPC are for year 1987-2003; [¶]The AAR and AAPC are for years 1986-2003

Table 4: Age-standardized relative survival in the 0-74 years age group in selected Indian populations

Population/country	Years	Relative survival % (range)
*Mumbai, India	1990-2001	15.0
*Chennai, India	1990-2001	10.1
*Bhopal, India	1990-2001	3.8
*Barshi, India	1990-2001	6.0
*Karunagappally, India	1990-2001	4.1
*Over all India	1990-2001	6 (4-15)
*China	1990-2001	39 (20-44)
*Singapore	1990-2001	66.0
*Turkey	1990-2001	71.0
*South Korea	1990-2001	60 (57-64)
*Thailand	2002-2005	35 (31-35)

Source: *Reference^[9], [†]Reference^[20].

Table 5: Mean prevalence of infection by *Helicobacter pylori* in adults by world area and incidence of stomach cancer

Area	Incidence of gastric cancer (AAR)*-2002		Prevalence of <i>H. pylori</i> infection (1994-2000) in %
	Male	Female	
India	<9.1	<3.6	≥75
Northern Europe	≤14.1	≤7.8	<55
Eastern Europe	<69.6	<30.6	≥75
Western Europe	≤ 21.7	≤ 11.1	<55
Southern Europe	≤ 21.7	≤ 11.1	55-64
Japan	<69.6	<30.6	65-74
Australia	<21.7	<9.1	<55
North America	<9.1	≤5.5	<55

*AAR – Age-adjusted rate (Globocan and Parkin^[20])

Five case-control studies and no cohort studies have been published from India in the last 10 years to establish specific dietary and lifestyle habits in relation to gastric cancer. The limited number of studies may be due to the difficulties in measuring the exposure by developing food frequency questionnaire and in preparing nutritional data base.

Pickled food,^[23] high rice intake, spicy food, excess chilly consumption, consumption of high-temperature foods^[24] smoked dried salted meat, use of soda^[25] and consumption of dried salted fish^[25] have emerged as significant dietary risk factors in various parts of India. These practices are prevalent in southern and eastern states of India where a higher frequency of gastric cases are also observed.

One study analyzed its dietary data based on food groups like total pulses, total meat, total fruits, total vegetables and total cereals. The results were found to be insignificant.^[24] Increased association between consumption of milk and beverages containing milk showed higher risk as compared to reduced consumption but results were not statistically significant [Table 6].

Salt intake is a risk factor for gastric carcinoma as it damages the gastric mucosa, which results in gastritis and increased cell proliferation.^[26] Salted tea, a peculiar beverage, is commonly consumed by a majority of population in Kashmir valley was observed to be a risk factor.^[27] Indian studies have not observed salt intake as a separate factor but salted and processed products were taken into consideration. Soda, which is an additive commonly added in the foods, was found to be associated with increased risk (OR=2.9). A protective effect of leafy vegetables and fruits was observed in these studies. Tea consumption was shown to have protective effects in one study. Reheated foods, reheated oils and refrigeration were not observed to be associated with risk of gastric cancer.^[24]

The studies on diet and stomach cancer could provide breakthrough in understanding role of diet because of heterogeneous food consumption throughout India. Although the inter-individual variation might be high, the intra-individual variation is usually low. Unlike western countries where animal foods are the major part of diet and being a vegetarian is voluntary, in India the effect of lifelong vegetarianism on risk of gastric cancer could be explored.

TOBACCO AND ALCOHOL

Globally tobacco smoking has been indicated as the risk factor for gastric cancer in case-control and cohort studies.^[28,29] The association between gastric cancer and tobacco smoking has been observed in various epidemiological studies [Table 7]. In India, not only tobacco smoking but also tobacco chewing is highly prevalent. Tobacco is used in various forms like, hukka, snuff, bidis, cigarettes, taibur, Meiziol, etc. About 229,392,725 adult males and 11,908,517 adult females are estimated to use tobacco in India.^[28]

Smoking tobacco has been found to be an independent risk factor for stomach cancer.^[29] Current smokers were found to be at a higher risk as compared to non-smokers and ex-smokers.^[30] A significantly increased risk was observed with increased smoking in South Indian study.^[24]

Increased risk was also observed among the tobacco chewers.^[30] The risk showed an increasing trend with the increase in frequency and amount of tobacco use and decreasing trend with the number of years since the practice of consuming tobacco was discontinued.

Tobacco use in any form (chewing, smoking and drinking) was observed to increase the risk of stomach cancer in Mizoram, a North-eastern state of India.^[30]

Literature suggest that alcohol may be carcinogenic to the esophagus and cardia cancers but not to distal gastric cancer. In a study conducted in Mumbai, alcohol intake did not emerge as a risk factor^[25] for gastric cancer. In Indian context, due to social stigma attached, it is difficult to measure the alcohol intake of an individual.

Other risk factors observed by studies conducted in India are education and occupation. Case-control study conducted in Mumbai, observed that male agricultural workers had 50% excess risk (OR-1.5; 95%CI-1.1-2.6) as compared to unskilled laborers or mill workers.^[25]

GENETIC SUSCEPTIBILITY

Globally literature suggest that polymorphism in genes involved in inflammation viz interleukin,^[31] toll-like receptor 4,^[32] human leukocyte antigen,^[33] metabolic phase I enzyme viz CYP1A1,^[34] metabolic phase II enzyme viz GSTM1, GSTT1 (Glutathione-S-transferase)^[35] and NAT1, NAT2 (N-acetyltransferase), DNA repair Viz XRCC1,^[36] are involved in development of gastric cancer. Indian studies on this topic are limited because of inadequate sample size, improper study designs and inability to controls for confounders. Only small numbers of polymorphism were investigated in all these studies. Most of the Indian studies have used RFLP method for genotyping while studies conducted outside India have used Taqman and Illumina assays for genotyping.

Only six studies are reported from India on genetic polymorphism and development of gastric cancer [Table 8]. Malik *et al.* have found GSTM1null and CYP2E1c1c2 genotypes imparted risk for gastric cancer [OR=1.98 (95% CI=1.22-3.21) and OR=2.26 (95% CI=1.25-5.25)] in population from Kashmir valley.^[37] Another study has stated that GSTT1 null genotype increases the risk of gastric cancer.^[38] Prasad *et al.* have

Table 6: Role of dietary factors in the development of gastric cancer in India

Risk factors	Study period	OR (95% CI)	Adjusted for	Sample size (case/control)	Place
Dry fish					
Never or once in 2 weeks	1988-1992	1.0	Age groups, sex, religion, literacy and residence	2354	Mumbai ^[24]
At least once a week		12.4 (7-22.1)		(170/2184)	
Never	1988-1991	1.0	Age, sex, religion, education, income, smoking, alcohol	499	South India ^[24]
≥2 times/week		1.6(0.4-2.9)		(194/305)	
Smoked dried fish					
Never	2001-2004	1.0	Education, tobacco, alcohol, and other dietary variables	994 (329/665)	Mizoram ^[19]
≥2 times/week		2.4 (1.1-9.2)			
Fresh fish					
Never or once in 2 weeks	1988-1992	1.0	Age groups, sex, religion, literacy and residence	2354	Mumbai ^[25]
At least once a week		1.3 (0.7-2.4)		(170/2184)	
Never	2001-2004	1.0	Education, tobacco, alcohol, and other dietary variables	994	Mizoram ^[19]
≥2 times/week		0.18(0.02-5.30)		(329/665)	
Mutton					
Never or once in 2 weeks	1988-1992	1.0	Age groups, sex, religion, literacy and residence	2354	Mumbai ^[25]
At least once a week		0.6(0.3-1.2)		(170/2184)	
Never	1988-1991	1.0	Age, sex, religion, education, income, smoking, alcohol	499	South India ^[24]
≥1 time/week		2.0 (0.8-5.4)		(194/305)	
Chicken					
Never	1988-1991	1.0	Age, sex, religion, education, income, smoking, alcohol	499	South India ^[24]
≥ once in 6 months		1.4 (0.8-2.3)		(194/305)	
Never or once in 2 weeks	1988-1992	1.0	Age groups, sex, religion, literacy and residence	2354	Mumbai ^[25]
At least once a week		0.9 (0.5-1.7)		(170/2184)	
Liver					
Never or once in 2 weeks	1988-1992	1.0	Age groups, sex, religion, literacy and residence	2354	Mumbai ^[25]
At least once a week		0.7 (0.4-1.0)		(170/2184)	
Leafy vegetables					
Never/occasional	1988-1991	1.0	Age, sex, religion, education, income, smoking, alcohol	499	South India ^[24]
≥2 times/week		1.2 (0.7-2.0)		(194/305)	
Never	2001-2004	1.0	Education, tobacco, alcohol, and other dietary variables	994	Mizoram ^[19]
≥2 times/week		0.2 (0.09-3.1)		(329/665)	
Fruits					
≤3	1988-1991	1.0	Age, sex, religion, education, income, smoking, alcohol	499	South India ^[24]
>9		0.7(0.2-3.6)		(194/305)	
Never	2001-2004	1.0	Education, tobacco, alcohol, and other dietary variables	994	Mizoram ^[19]
Occasionally		0.41 (0.03-6.2)		(329/665)	
Tea					
Never/rarely	1988-1992	1.0	Age groups, sex, religion, literacy and residence	2354	Mumbai ^[25]
Daily		0.4 (0.2-0.9)		(170/2184)	
Coffee					
Never/rarely	1988-1992	1.0	Age groups, sex and habits (tobacco and alcohol)	2354	Mumbai ^[25]
Daily		1.2 (0.3-3.5)		(170/2184)	
Rice					
<2 times/week	1988-1991	1.0	Age, sex, religion, education, income, smoking, alcohol	499	South India ^[24]
2-4 times a week		2.9 (1.1-7.8)		(194/305)	
Daily		3.9 (1.6-10.0)			
Chillies					
Bland	1988-1991	1.0	Age, sex, religion, education, income, smoking, alcohol	499	South India ^[24]
Very hot		7.4(4.0-13.5)		(194/305)	
Spices					
Very low	1988-1991	1.0	Age, sex, religion, education, income, smoking, alcohol	499	South India ^[24]
Very spicy		2.3 (1.1-5.0)		(194/305)	
Sa-um (fermented pork fat)					
Never	2001-2004	1.0	Education, tobacco, alcohol, and other dietary variables	994	Mizoram ^[19]
≥2 times/week		3.4 (1.7-10.3)		(329/665)	
Soda					
Never	2001-2004	1.0	Education, tobacco, alcohol, and other dietary variables	994	Mizoram ^[19]
≥2 times/week		2.9 (1.2-6.5)		(329/665)	
Pickled food					
Low/none	2002-2006	1.0	Not reported	178	Chennai ^[23]
Frequent		1.8 (1.2-3.9)		(89/89)	

Table 7: Role of lifestyle-related factors in the development of gastric cancer in India

Risk factors	Study period	OR (95% CI)	Adjusted for	Sample size (case/control)	Place
Alcohol	Ever	1.0	Age, sex, religion, education and income	499 (194/305)	South India ^[24]
	never	1.5 (1.0-2.3)			
	Non-drinkers	2002-2006	1.0	Not reported	178 (89/89)
Drinkers	Non-drinkers	1988-1992	1.0	2354 (170/2184)	Mumbai ^[25]
	Drinkers		0.7 (0.3-1.7)		
Tobacco smoking	Non-smokers	1988-1992	1.0	2354 (170/2184)	Mumbai ^[25]
	Bidi		0.7 (0.4-1.3)		
	Cigarette		0.7 (0.2-2.1)		
	Non-smokers	2001-2004	1.0	Alcohol drinking, chewing, Tuibur, level of education, occupation and income group	994 (329/665)
Cigarette	Meiziol		2.2 (1.3-9.3)		
	Cigarette+ meiziol		3.1 (2.0-11.1)		
Tobacco Chewing	(duration*frequency)				
	Never	1988-1991	1.0	499 (194/305)	South India ^[24]
	>200		0.8 (0.4-1.6)		
	Non-chewers	2001-2004	1.0	Alcohol drinking, smoking, use of Taibur, level of education, occupation, income group	994 (329/665)
Tobacco alone		2.6(1.1-4.2)			
Users	Non-users	1988-1992	1.0	2354 (170/2184)	Mumbai ^[25]
	Non-users		0.7(0.5-1.2)		
Taibur (tobacco smoke infused water)	Non-user	2001-2004	1.0	994 (329/665)	Mizoram ^[30]
	Current user		2.1(1.3-3.1)		

Table 8: Role of genetic susceptibility in development of gastric cancer

Gene polymorphism	Study period	OR (CI at 95%)	Adjusted for	Sample size (Case/Control)	Place
NAT 2	2008		Age and gender	93/182	Kashmir, India ^[41]
Rapid		1.0			
Slow		*SSC: 1.09 (0.41-2.92)			
Haplotype		†ADC: 1.10(0.62-1.94)			
C ₄₈₁ G ₅₉₀ G ₈₅₇ (Reference)		1.0			
T ₄₈₁ A ₅₉₀ G ₈₅₇		4.61(1.90-11.17)			
Interleukin-1B and 1 RN gene polymorphism.	2009		Age, alcohol intake, smoking	136/110	Varanasi, India ^[42]
IL-1RN 1/2		1.0			
1/1		2.19 (1.24-3.86)			
1/2		1.0			
IL-1B-511		1.99(0.98-4.01)			
C/C		1.0			
T/T		1.66(0.96-3.00)			
IL-1B+3954					
C/C					
C/T					

*SSC – Squamous cell carcinoma; †ADC – Adenocarcinoma

stated that combination of G carrier and *H. pylori* infection increased the risk of gastric adenocarcinoma [OR=3.504, (95% CI=1.195-7.0807)].^[39] Another study from Northern

India has indicated the risk of gastric adenocarcinoma was significantly higher in patients having C carrier and *H. pylori* infection [OR=7.06, (95% CI=2.61-19.09)].^[40]

Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in genes NAT2 (*N*-acetyltransferase),^[41] interleukin-1B,^[42] GSTM1 and GSTT1 (Glutathione S-transferase),^[37,38] Pro12Ala peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ ,^[39] COX (cyclooxygenase)^[40] have reported significant association in the development of gastric cancer.

Multiplicative interaction was observed between *H. pylori* infection and COX-2 polymorphism.^[40] However, other studies failed to observe interaction between SNPs studied and infection with *H. pylori* and/or with other lifestyle factors.

PRIMARY PREVENTION

Diet and lifestyle

According to the report released by WCRF and AICR in 2007,^[21] non-starchy vegetables including specific allium (particularly garlic) vegetables as well as fruits (rich sources of antioxidants) probably protect against stomach cancer. Seventeen percent decreased risk per 50 g fruits per day was observed in meta-analysis of case-control data. There is limited evidence suggesting that pulses including soya and soya products and also fruits-containing selenium protect against stomach cancer. There is limited evidence suggesting that chilly is associated with an increased risk of stomach cancer. Chilly is used to disguise “off” flavors, and thus data may be confounded by socioeconomic status, availability of refrigeration and *H. pylori* infection.

Salt has been shown to directly damage the stomach lining in animal trials and substantial amount of evidence from various international studies suggests that salt as well as salted foods are a probable cause of stomach cancer.

Population attributable risk for smoking among men varied between 21.5 and 28.6% and among women between 11 and 14% from the US and Europe. Thus, a reduction in tobacco consumption would bring a marked change in mortality and survival in gastric cancer.

No intervention study for dietary prevention has been reported from India in span of 1998-2008.

Primary prevention of gastric cancer by eradicating *H. pylori* infection is one of the alternatives proposed by some studies. The infection by *H. pylori* can be prevented by interrupt transmission of the infection by promoting sanitary habits,^[43] good waste disposal, clean water supply and planned housing to minimize overcrowding. However, large-scale intervention trials are required to demonstrate efficiency of eradication of *H. pylori* infection in gastric cancer reduction in Indian perspective.

SECONDARY PREVENTION

No screening trials to observe reduction in gastric cancer mortality have been conducted in India to date. In Japan endoscopy has been tested as a screening test for gastric cancer (77.4-84% sensitivity).^[44] Owing to the complications involved with endoscopy procedure and lack of large number of trained and experienced personnel who can conduct the test in field endoscopy is not considered to be an ideal tool for a population-based screening program. There is evidence from Japan about early detection and treatment of gastric cancer and finally the reduction of mortality due to the use of double contrast barium X-ray photofluorography.^[45]

Serum pepsinogen is a non-invasive serological test which is being advocated for gastric cancer screening.^[46] Although it makes the detection of gastric cancer easier, it can, however, detect the presence of only intestinal type of gastric cancers.

Occult Blood bead test has a specificity of 55% and a sensitivity of 65%.^[47] This might also be explored for screening as it is easier to administer than endoscopy.

Mass eradication of *H. pylori* has been proposed as an alternative to mass screening in reducing incidence of gastric cancer. Screening young adults for *H. pylori* followed by treatment in those who test positive has a potential to prevent one in every 4-6 GC cases in China.^[48] However, the timing of *H. pylori* eradication may be critical i.e., *H. pylori* eradication after initiation of cancer may prove unsuccessful, so medication should be administered prior to carcinogenesis (APOCP, Cancer report 2010). The data indicating the prevention of GC by *H. pylori* eradication needs to be critically evaluated as many conflicting results have been reported.^[43] No appropriately designed RCT regarding screening has been reported in the past 10 years in India.

CONCLUSION

India has a high prevalence of *H. pylori* infection and comparatively low gastric cancer incidence rates. Stomach cancer is decreasing but its burden is high in India. There are limited studies from India to explain the etiology of gastric cancer. Given the high prevalence of *H. pylori*, trials are required to judge the potential benefit for its eradication to reduce gastric cancer. Providing medication for *H. pylori* eradication has to be further evaluated. More research is required not only to develop suitable screening test, but also to demarcate high-risk population and the effect of primary prevention programs. There is a need to clearly understand the risk associated with diet for any kind of

prevention. Properly designed genetic susceptibility studies considering interaction with *H. pylori*, diet and tobacco use are required to understand etiology of gastric cancer.

As stomach cancer is one of the common cancers in India, studies are required to understand the etiology and prevention of gastric cancer.

REFERENCES

- Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. GLOBOCAN 2008, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC CancerBase No. 10. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2010. Available from: <http://www.globocan.iarc.fr> Peter Boyle and Bernard Levin (ed.) World Cancer Report, 2008 IARC; 2008.
- Mohandas KM, Jagannath P. Epidemiology of digestive tract cancers in India. VI. Projected burden in the new millennium and the need for primary prevention. *Indian J Gastroenterol* 2000;19:74-8.
- Yeole BB. Trends in cancer incidence in esophagus, stomach, colon, rectum and liver in males in India. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2008;9:97-100.
- Bombay Cancer Registry. Cancer Incidence and Mortality in greater Mumbai, 1994. Report of Bombay Cancer Registry Mumbai, India 1998.
- Bombay Cancer Registry. Cancer Incidence and Mortality in greater Mumbai, 2005. Report of Bombay Cancer Registry Mumbai, India, 2009.
- Hohenbergos P, Gretschel S. Gastric cancer. *Lancet* 2003;362:305-15.
- A report by National Cancer registry Programme. Time trends in Cancer Incidence rates 1982-2005; Indian council of medical research, 2009.
- Sankaranarayanan R, Swaminathan R, Lucas E. Cancer survival in Africa, Asia, Caribbean and Central America: SURVCAN. Lyon: IARC Scientific publication international agency for research on cancer; 2010.
- Sankaranarayanan R, Swaminathan R, Brenner H, Chen K, Chia KS, Chen JG, *et al.* Cancer survival in Africa, Asia, and Central America: A population-based study. *Lancet Oncol* 2010;11:165-73.
- IARC. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of carcinogenic Risks to Humans, Schistosomes, Liver Flukes, and *Helicobacter pylori*. Vol. 61. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 1994.
- Cheng SC, Sanderson CR, Waters TE, Goodwin CS. *Compylobacter pyloridis* in patients with gastric carcinoma. *Med J Aust* 1987;147:202-3.
- Loffeld RJ, Williams I, Flendrig JA, Arends JW. *Helicobacter pylori* and gastric carcinoma. *Histopathology* 1990;17:537-41.
- Danesh J. *Helicobacter pylori* infection and gastric cancer: Systematic review of epidemiological studies. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1999;13:851-6.
- Saxena A, Nath Prasad K, Chand Ghoshal U, Krishnani N, Roshan Bhagat M, Husain N. Association of *Helicobacter pylori* and Epstein-Barr virus with gastric cancer and peptic ulcer disease. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2008;43:669-74.
- Ghoshal UC, Tiwari S, Dhingra S, Pandey R, Ghoshal U, Tripathi S, *et al.* Frequency of *Helicobacter pylori* and CagA antibody in patients with gastric neoplasms and controls: The Indian enigma. *Dig Dis Sci* 2008;53:1215-22.
- Misra V, Misra SP, Singh MK, Singh PA, Dwivedi M. Prevalence of *H. pylori* in patients with gastric cancer. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol* 2007;50:702-7.
- Khanna AK, Seth P, Nath G, Dixit VK, Kumar M. Correlation of *Helicobacter pylori* and gastric carcinoma. *J Postgrad Med* 2002;48:27-8.
- Phukan RK, Narain K, Zomawia E, Hazarika NC, Mahanta J. Dietary habits and stomach cancer in Mizoram, India *J Gastroenterol* 2006;41:418-24.
- Parkin DM. The global health burden of infection-associated cancers in the year 2002. *Int J Cancer* 2006;118:3030-44.
- World cancer research fund/American institute for cancer research: Food, nutrition, physical activity and the prevention of cancer: A global perspective. Washington DC: American institute of cancer research; 2007.
- Correa P. A human model of gastric carcinogenesis. *Cancer Res* 1988;48:3554-60.
- Sumathi B, Ramalingam S, Navaneethan U, Jayanthi V. Risk factors for gastric cancer in South India. *Singapore Med J* 2009;50:147-51.
- Mathew A, Gangadharan P, Varghese C, Nair MK. Diet and stomach cancer: A case-control study in South India. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2000;9:89-97.
- Rao DN, Ganesh B, Dinshaw KA, Mohandas KM. A case-control study of stomach cancer in Mumbai, India. *Int J Cancer* 2002;99:727-31.
- Wang XQ, Terry PD, Yan H. Review of salt consumption and stomach cancer risk: Epidemiological and biological evidence. *World J Gastroenterol* 2009;15:2204-13.
- Siddiqui M, Kumar R, Fazili S, Spiegelhaldar B, Preussmann R. Increased exposure to dietary amines and nitrates in a population at high risk of esophageal and gastric cancer in Kashmir (India). *Carcinogenesis* 1992;13:1331-5.
- Shaffey O, Eriksen M, Ross H, Mackay J, editors. The Tobacco Atlas. 3rd ed. Georgia, USA: American Cancer society; 2009.
- Gajalakshmi CK, Shanta V. Lifestyle and risk of stomach cancer: A hospital-based case-control study. *Int J Epidemiol* 1996;25:1146-53.
- Phukan RK, Zomawia E, Narain K, Hazarika NC, Mahanta J. Tobacco use and stomach cancer in Mizoram, India. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2005;14:1892-6.
- El-Omar EM, Carrington M, Chow WH, McMill KE, Bream JH, Young HA, *et al.* Interleukin-1 polymorphism associated with increased risk of gastric cancer. *Nature* 2000;404:398-402.
- Hold GL, Rabkin CS, Chow WH, Smith MG, Gammon MD, Risch HA, *et al.* A functional polymorphism of toll like receptor 4 gene increases risk of gastric carcinoma and its precursors. *Gastroenterology* 2007;132:905-12.
- Magnusson PK, Enroth H, Eriksson I, Held M, Nyren O, Engstrand L, *et al.* Gastric cancer and human leukocyte antigen: Distinct DQ and DR alleles are associated with the development of gastric cancer and infection by *helicobacter pylori*. *Cancer Res* 2001;61:2684-9.
- Li H, Chen XL, Li HQ. Polymorphism of CYP1A1 and GSTM1 genes associated with susceptibility of gastric cancer in Shandong province of China. *World J Gastroenterol* 2005;11:5757-62.
- Gonzalez CA, Sala N, Capella G. Genetic susceptibility and gastric cancer risk. *Int J Cancer* 2002;100:249-60.
- Shen H, Xu Y, Qian Y, Yu R, Qin Y, Zhou L, *et al.* Polymorphism of DNA repair gene XRCC1 and risk of gastric cancer in Chinese population. *Int J Cancer* 2000;88:601-6.
- Malik MA, Upadhyay R, Mittal RD, Zargar SA, Modi DR, Mittal B. Role of xenobiotic-metabolizing enzyme gene polymorphisms and interactions with environmental factors in susceptibility to gastric cancer in Kashmir Valley. *J Gastrointest Cancer* 2009;40:26-32.
- Tripathi S, Ghoshal U, Ghoshal UC, Mittal B, Krishnani N, Chourasia D, *et al.* Gastric carcinogenesis: Possible role of polymorphisms of GSTM1, GSTT1, and GSTP1 genes. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2008;43:431-9.
- Prasad KN, Saxena A, Ghoshal UC, Bhagat MR, Krishnani N. Analysis of Pro12A1a PPAR gamma polymorphism and *Helicobacter pylori* infection in gastric adenocarcinoma and

- peptic ulcer disease. *Ann Oncol* 2008;19:1299-303.
39. Saxena A, Prasad KN, Ghoshal UC, Bhagat MR, Krishnani N, Husain N. Polymorphism of -765G>C COX-2 is a risk factor for gastric adenocarcinoma and peptic ulcer disease in addition to *H pylori* infection: A study from northern India. *World J Gastroenterol* 2008;14:1498-503.
 40. Malik MA, Upadhyay R, Modi DR, Zargar SA, Mittal B. Association of NAT2 gene polymorphisms with susceptibility to esophageal and gastric cancers in the Kashmir Valley. *Arch Med Res* 2009;40:416-23.
 41. Kumar S, Kumar A, Dixit VK. Evidences showing association of interleukin-1B polymorphisms with increased risk of gastric cancer in an Indian population. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2009;387:456-60.
 42. Mbulaiteye SM, Hisada M, El-Omar EM. *Helicobacter Pylori* associated global gastric cancer burden. *Front Biosci* 2009;14:1490-504.
 43. Hamashima C, Shibuya D, Yamazaki H, Inoue K, Fukao A, Saito H, *et al.* The Japanese guidelines for gastric cancer screening. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2008;38:259-67.
 44. Shinkan Tokudome. Gastric Cancer. In, Tuncer AM (editor). Asian Pacific organization for cancer prevention: cancer report 2010. Ankara, Turkey: Asian Pacific organization for cancer prevention. Suvak, New hope in health foundation; 2010.
 45. Oishi Y, Kiyohara Y, Kubo M, Tanaka K, Tanizaki Y, Ninomiya T, *et al.* The serum pepsinogen test as a predictor of gastric cancer: The Hisayama study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2006;163:629-37.
 46. Zhou L, Yu H, Zheng S. The value of "occult blood bead" in detection of upper digestive tract disorders with bleeding. *Zhonghua Zhong Liu Za Zhi* 1999;21:48-50.
 47. Yeh JM, Kuntz KM, Ezzati M, Goldie SJ. Exploring the cost-effectiveness of *Helicobacter pylori* screening to prevent gastric cancer in China in anticipation of clinical trial results. *Int J Cancer* 2009;124:157-66.
 48. Ray G, Dey S, Pal S. Epidemiologic features of gastric cancer in a railway population in eastern India. *J Assoc Physicians India* 2007;55:248-9.

How to cite this article: Dikshit RP, Mathur G, Mhatre S, Yeole BB. Epidemiological review of gastric cancer in India. *Indian J Med Paediatr Oncol* 2011;32:3-11.

Source of Support: Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.

Staying in touch with the journal

1) Table of Contents (TOC) email alert

Receive an email alert containing the TOC when a new complete issue of the journal is made available online. To register for TOC alerts go to www.ijmpo.org/signup.asp.

2) RSS feeds

Really Simple Syndication (RSS) helps you to get alerts on new publication right on your desktop without going to the journal's website. You need a software (e.g. RSSReader, Feed Demon, FeedReader, My Yahoo!, NewsGator and NewzCrawler) to get advantage of this tool. RSS feeds can also be read through FireFox or Microsoft Outlook 2007. Once any of these small (and mostly free) software is installed, add www.ijmpo.org/rssfeed.asp as one of the feeds.