







Predicting poor postoperative acute pain outcome in adults: an international, multicentre database analysis of risk factors in 50,005 patients

Alexander Schnabel^a, Maryam Yahiaoui-Doktor^b, Winfried Meissner^c, Peter Konrad Zahn^d, Esther Miriam Pogatzki-Zahn^{a,*}

Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to determine simple risk factors for severe pain intensity (\geq 7 points on a numeric rating scale [NRS]), to analyse their relation to other patient-reported outcome measures and to develop a simple prediction model.

Methods: We used data from 50,005 patients from the PAIN-OUT project. Within a first data set (n = 33,667), relevant risk factors were identified by logistic binary regression analysis, assessed for additional patient-reported outcome measures beyond pain intensity and summed up for developing a simple risk score. Finally, sum of factors was plotted against postoperative pain outcomes within a validation data set (n = 16,338).

Results: Odds ratios (OR) for the following risk factors were identified: younger age (<54 years, OR: 1.277), preoperative chronic pain at the site of surgery (OR: 1.195), female sex (OR: 1.433), duration of surgery (>90 minutes, OR: 1.308), preoperative opioid intake (OR: 1.250), feeling anxious (OR: 1.239) and feeling helpless due to pain (OR: 1.198), and the country of the recruiting centre (OR: 1.919). Patients with \geq 3 risk factors had more severe pain intensity scores, spent a longer time in severe pain, and wished to have received more pain treatment (P < 0.001). A simple risk score was created with 4 risk factors showing a moderate prediction level.

Conclusions: Patients with \geq 3 risk factors are at higher risk for poor postoperative acute pain outcome after surgery. Future studies using this score might show that preventive strategies might decrease pain intensity, pain-related postoperative dysfunction, and the development of chronic pain.

Keywords: Risk factors, Postoperative pain, Risk prediction, Database analysis, Chronification of pain

Sponsorships or competing interests that may be relevant to content are disclosed at the end of this article.

A. Schnabel and M. Yahiaoui-Doktor contributed equally to this work.

^a Department of Anaesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Medicine, University Hospital Muenster, Muenster, Germany, ^b Institute for Medical Informatics, Statistics and Epidemiology, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany, ^c Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care, Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany, ^d Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Palliative Care Medicine and Pain Management, Berufsgenossenschaftliches Universitätsklinikum Bergmannsheil GmbH Bochum, Ruhr University Bochum, Germany

*Corresponding author. Address: Department of Anaesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Medicine, University Hospital of Muenster, Albert-Schweitzer-Campus 1 (Building A1), Muenster 48149, Germany. Tel.: +49-(0)251-8347255; fax: +49-(0) 251-88704. E-mail address: pogatzki@anit.uni-muenster.de (E.M. Pogatzki-Zahn).

Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's Web site (www.painrpts.com).

Copyright © 2020 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of The International Association for the Study of Pain. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License 4.0 (CC BY-SA) which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even for commercial purposes, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

PR9 5 (2020) e831

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PR9.00000000000831

1. Introduction

According to the results of several large surveys, postoperative pain is still undertreated and continues to be a relevant, unresolved problem worldwide.^{3,7} This is surprising because evidence-based guidelines for the treatment of acute postoperative pain are generated continuously.^{1,5,21} In addition, severe (and long-lasting) acute pain early after surgery is one major predictor for postoperative complications²⁶ and chronic postoperative pain.⁶ One reason for the gap between available knowledge and insufficient therapy might be the different responses to pain and analgesics between individual patients.^{8,11} Thus, treatment strategies recommended in guidelines and for specific procedures might be sufficient for some but not all patients and optimising pain management might reach some but not all patients after surgery.^{14,18} This is even more important in the light of the opioid epidemic in several countries because high opioid prescriptions in patients with high pain scores failed to improve pain management.^{10,23}

Just recently, a meta-analysis of 33 trials showed that there are 9 preoperative significant predictors for poor postoperative pain control.²⁸ However, these results are limited because most of the included trials were unicentre, included retrospective data, and poor postoperative pain control was not defined a prori. Therefore, the first aim of this study was to identify relevant risk factors for severe postoperative pain (defined as worst pain since surgery on numeric rating scale [NRS] \geq 7 points⁸) after various surgical procedures by using the large multicentre international (26 countries, 76 centres) PAIN OUT data set.³⁰ This database is based on exactly the same outcome questionnaire assessing a number of relevant patient-reported outcome parameters.¹⁹ In a second step, the odds ratio (OR) for each factor was assessed and the association with other patient-reported outcomes measures (PROMs) was examined. Finally, we determined a cutoff of the sum and calculated the specificity and sensitivity of this model to predict poor postoperative pain outcome.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. International postoperative pain outcome registry

Data collection was conducted within the PAIN OUT postoperative acute pain registry project, set up as part of a multinational and interdisciplinary project supported by the European Commission's Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2009-2013 under Grant Agreement No. 223590).³⁰ The institutional review boards of all participating centres provided their approval for the project. Patients' Perception of Postoperative Pain Management was evaluated using the validated international pain outcome (IPO) questionnaire,¹⁹ which was translated into 8 languages and used according to the standardised procedure developed within the Pain Out project.³⁰ The IPO covers 5 aspects (domains) of outcome measurement in acute pain, namely: pain severity (3 questions), functional interference of pain (4 questions), affective experiences related to pain, analgesic side effects, and the perception of care. In addition, patient characteristics, demographic, and clinical data comprising age, sex, comorbidities, type of surgery, and anaesthesia as well as analgesics administered in the perioperative period were captured. Each clinic recruited an average of 526 patients (range: 61-2261). Each participating centre had one or more study assistants trained and tested in data collection in both its paper and online forms. The assistants received a daily list of patients having had surgery on the previous day from the ward and randomly chose a number of them to recruit for the study. The patients who were eligible and consented to participate were given a paper version of the IPO, asked to fill it out, and hand it back to the assistants. The assistants later input these data into the online mask for the PAIN OUT registry, where the patient data were stored anonymously.

2.2. Patients

Patients aged of 18 or older, who were available (on the defined wards), had been operated the previous day, had spent a minimum of 6 hours on the ward, were not cognitively impaired, and had given their consent could be included in the PAIN OUT register. All patients recruited in 76 hospitals from 26 countries between January 2011 and July 2015 were included in the analysis for this study.

2.3. Data

Data from 50,005 in-patients were available for this study. Some of the patients and patient data were included in a recent analysis on patients' satisfaction after surgery.¹⁷ The data variables of interest for the present analysis fell into the following categories:

 Patient characteristics (sex, age, body mass index, intensity of preoperative chronic pain [rated on a NRS; 0 = no pain—10 = maximum pain], and location of preoperative persistent pain [site of surgery and/or elsewhere, or elsewhere only]).

- 2. Perioperative patient care (consumption of preoperative opioids [yes/no])
- Surgery parameters (duration of surgery [dichotomised based on the median value from our data set of 90 minutes], and country of the recruiting centre [dichotomised in countries with a population with a median worst pain NRS score <7 vs ≥7 points]).
- 4. Questions from the IPO questionnaire, which asked patients on an NRS scale of 0 (=not anxious, not helpless) to 10 (=maximum anxious, maximum helpless) whether they felt anxious due to pain and whether they felt helpless due to pain (2 separate questions).
- Patient outcomes included worst pain intensity since surgery (rated on NRS [0 = no pain—10 = maximum pain]), percentage of time spent in severe pain (rated on NRS [0%–100% in 10% intervals]), wish for more treatment ([yes/no]), pain-related interference with activities in bed and respectively out of bed (rated on NRS [0 = no interference—10 = maximum interference]).

2.4. Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were conducted using R version 3.4.2 (R Core Team, 2016. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.r-project.org). For all analyses, an alpha level of 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance (2-tailed). Severe postoperative pain was defined as worst pain since surgery \geq 7 NRS points based on a recently published analysis focusing on risk factors for severe postoperative pain in more than 22,000 German patients from another project.⁸ We randomly divided our data into a test (2/3) and a validation data set (1/3).

First, we ran a logistic binary regression on the dichotomised item severe postoperative pain (yes/no) within the test population and calculated the ORs together with their 95% confidence interval (CI) to determine the most important risk factors influencing this outcome.

After an exploratory factor analysis, we summed up the number of risk factors (each factor was rated as 1) of each patient within the validation cohort. First, we plotted a receiver operating characteristic curve to determine the predictive goodness of the sum of all risk factors in determining the risk of having severe postoperative pain.

In addition, the patients' sum of risk factors were assessed for 3 postoperative pain-related outcomes (number of patients with worst pain since surgery \geq 7 NRS points [vs <7 points]), patients with a 20% and more percentage of time spent in severe pain within the past 24 hours [vs patients with less than 20%], and number of patients wished to have received more pain treatment [vs the number of patients wished to have received no more pain treatment]). We rated the outcome of spending more than 20% of time in severe pain to be undesirable because this was the median with the whole validation data set. Furthermore, based on these analyses, we determined a possible relevant cutoff of the sum of risk factors, which might distinguish between patients at high and low risk for poor postoperative pain outcome and calculated the specificity and sensitivity. Apart from that, we compared severe postoperative pain with pain-related interference with activities in bed and out of bed. Finally, we created a reduced risk score by including only those 4 risk factors that are easy to assess and can therefore be used without any psychological preoperative assessment tools ("simple risk score").

3. Results

3.1. Demographic data

A total of 50,005 data sets from patients included in the PAIN OUT registry (recruited between January 2011 and July 2015) were used for this study. **Table 1** illustrates patient characteristics in the test (n = 33,667) and the validation (n = 16,338) data sets, and there were no significant differences between both groups. In the whole population, there were significant differences of the number of patients suffering from severe postoperative pain (\geq 7 NRS points) between the countries of the recruiting centres.

3.2. Identification of risk factors for severe postoperative pain in the test data set

Results from the binary logistic regression analysis (**Table 2**) within the test data set showed that female sex, younger age (lower than the median of 54 years within the test population), a country with a median worst pain intensity since surgery \geq 7 NRS points, location of preoperative persistent pain (at the site of surgery and elsewhere or at the site of surgery only compared to preoperative persistent pain elsewhere alone), a preoperative opioid intake (vs no intake), a longer duration of surgery (longer than the median of 90 minutes within the test population), and a higher level of anxiety or helplessness (higher NRS score than the median of 4, which represented the 75% percentile within the test population) were the most relevant risk factors for severe postoperative pain at the first day after surgery. The most important risk factor for severe postoperative pain was the country where the surgery took place, with an OR of 1.916 (P < 0.001).

3.3. Development of a prediction model

Based on the binary logistic regression analysis and after an exploratory factor analysis (see table, Supplemental Digital Content 1, results of the exploratory factor analysis, available at http://links. lww.com/PR9/A66) each factor, that significantly predicted severe pain, was rated as 1 and added up to obtain a sum (from 0 to 8) for the individual's risk of developing severe pain.

Within the validation cohort, we showed that patients with a median of 3 or more risk factors were significantly more often within the group of patients with severe postoperative pain, whereas patients with a median of less than 3 risk factors were significantly more often within the group of patients with lower worst pain intensities (NRS <7 points) (P < 0.001) (Fig. 1). We performed an ROC curve, which shows the predictive goodness of this model. An area under the curve (AUC) of 0.704 (95% CI: 0.695–0.713 P < 0.001) revealed a moderate level of prediction (Fig. 2). In addition, we demonstrated that patients with severe postoperative pain reported a higher interference of pain with activities in bed (see Figure, Supplemental Digital Content 3, comparison of maximum pain intensity with interference of pain with activities in bed, available at http://links.lww.com/PR9/A66), and similarly for activities out of bed (see Figure, Supplemental Digital Content 4, comparison of maximum pain intensity with interference of pain with activities out of bed, available at http://links.lww.com/PR9/ A66). For interference of pain with activities in bed and activities out of bed, the Pearson correlation coefficient with existence of severe pain was 0.480 and 0.463, respectively. Furthermore, we demonstrated that patients with a median of 3 or more risk factors were significantly more often within the group of patients suffering from more than the 20% of time spending in severe pain, which represents the median within the whole validation data set (Fig. 3). In addition, we revealed that significantly more patients wished to have received more pain treatment, if they had a median of 3 or more risk factors (P < 0.001) (Fig. 4). According to these analyses, we concluded that the occurrence of 3 or more risk factors might distinguish between high-risk and low-risk patients for poor postoperative pain outcome related to different PROM. The percentage of patients with 3 or more risk factors within the test, respectively validation data set was comparable (37.9 or 37.8%). The calculated sensitivity and specificity for this cut off was 0.666 and 0.641, respectively, which represents again a moderate predictive value of this model.

Table 1

Characteristics of anal	vzed study	population (test and validation	population).

Variables	Test data set ($n = 33,667$)	Validation data set (n = $16,338$)
Median worst pain intensity (25th–75th percentile)	5 (3–8)	6 (3–8)
Females	16,263 (48%)	7,881 (49%)
No. of patients within a country with a median worst pain intensity \geq 7 NRS points	8,561 (25%)	4,179 (26%)
Median age (25th-75th percentile)	54 (39–67)	54 (39–67)
Existence of persistent pain Intensity of persistent pain* Location of persistent pain includes site of surgery	10,894 (32%) 6 (5–8) 9,329 (86%)	5,310 (33%) 7 (5–8) 4,578 (86%)
Existence of comorbidities	12,398 (37%)	6,022 (37%)
Duration of surgery >90 minutes	9,528 (28%)	4,611 (28%)
No. of patients treated with opioids before admission	1,643 (5%)	759 (5%)
No. of patients feeling anxious due to pain >4 NRS points	5,927 (18%)	2,903 (18%)
No. of patients feeling helpless due to pain >4 NRS points	5,891 (17%)	2,957 (18%%)

*Median (25th-75th percentile).

Pain intensity, pain-related anxiety, and helplessness were rated on a numeric rating scale (NRS [0–10 points]). There were no significant differences between test and validation data sets. If numbers of the characteristics did not reach 100%, this particular information was missing, and this case was not analysed. NRS, numeric rating scale.

Table 2

Binary logistic regression analysis identifying variables with an influence on the dichotomized outcome "severe postoperative pain" (numeric rating scale [NRS] \geq 7 points).

Variables	Odds ratio	95% confidence inter	val	Р
		Lower bound	Upper bound	
Female gender	1.433	1.109	1.488	<0.001
Country (median worst pain intensity \geq 7 NRS points)	1.916	1.598	2.300	<0.001
Age (<54 y)	1.277	1.105	1.476	<0.001
Intensity of preoperative chronic pain intensity	0.997	0.984	1.050	0.860
Location of preoperative persistent pain†	1.195	1.024	1.394	0.024
Existence of comorbidities	1.12	0.979	1.303	0.095
Duration of surgery (>90 minutes)	1.308	1.049	1.402	<0.001
Preoperative opioid intake	1.250	1.092	1.543	0.008
Premedication given: Nonopioids Sedatives Opioids	1.08 1.23 1.00	0.88 0.97 0.85	1.32 1.56 1.18	0.469 0.083 0.984
Feeling anxious due to pain >4 NRS points	1.239	1.133	1.220	<0.001
Feeling helpless due to pain >4 NRS points	1.198	1.140	1.218	<0.001

*Numeric rating scale 0 to 10.

† Site of surgery only or site of surgery and elsewhere compared to elsewhere only.

Finally, we created a condensed risk score by including only those 4 preoperative risk factors (age, preoperative chronic pain, female sex, and preoperative opioid intake; see table, Supplemental Digital Content 2, description of the "simple risk score," available at http:// links.lww.com/PR9/A66) that are easy to assess and for which specific (and usually extensive) psychological assessment tools are not required. This "simplified risk score" showed a slightly lower but still moderate prediction level (AUC: 0.607, 95% CI: 0.601–0.613, P < 0.001) for poor postoperative pain outcome (NRS \geq 7 points, time in severe pain and wish for more pain treatment, see Figures, Supplemental Digital Content 5, AUC for the prediction of severe postoperative pain; Supplemental Digital Content 6; relative frequencies of severe postoperative pain intensity in relation to the individual risk score; Supplemental Digital Content 7, frequency of wish for more pain treatment in relation to the individual risk score, available at http://links.lww.com/PR9/A66).

4. Discussion

The present multicentre international prospective database analysis including 50,005 data sets from 26 countries and 76 hospitals demonstrates that younger age, female sex, preoperative opioid intake, preoperative persistent pain at the site of surgery and elsewhere or at the site of surgery alone, longer duration of surgery, higher pain-related anxiety, and/or helplessness are major risk factors for suffering from severe postoperative pain (NRS \geq 7 points); in addition, the country, where the patient was operated, showed different risk estimates. Patients with 3 or more risk factors had an increased risk for severe pain after surgery. The risk for other pain-related outcome parameters (longer time in severe pain and wish for more pain treatment) increased as well by summing up the risk factors.





Figure 2. Area under the curve for the prediction of patients (original risk factors) within the validation cohort (n = 16338) suffering from severe postoperative pain.

4.1. Risk factors for severe postoperative pain

Our multicentre international data analysis confirmed some risk factors for severe postoperative pain identified in an analysis of the German acute pain registry⁸ (female sex and young age) and the results of a recently published meta-analysis focusing on preoperative predictors for poor postoperative pain control (female sex, young age, preoperative analgesia, and pain-related anxiety symptoms).²⁸ However, some risk factors suggested earlier were not confirmed by us (such as "body mass index") and some others were added to those suggested earlier: country, preoperative opioid use, preoperative persistent pain at the site of surgery and elsewhere or at the site of surgery only, a longer duration of surgery, pain-related helplessness, and anxiety.

In our international database, the predictor "country" "was one of the most relevant risk factors for severe postoperative pain. As shown recently, mean pain intensity ratings of patients after orthopedic surgery differed between industrial countries.^{4,29} The difference in the present database analysis might therefore not only reflect quality differences in pain treatment or different prooperative risk profiles for high pain intensities within the populations (eg, more patients with preoperative opioids), but also different cultural aspects and pain expectations within the patients experiencing postoperative pain. The other risk factors such as "preoperative opioid use," "preoperative persistent pain at the site of surgery and elsewhere" or "preoperative pain at the site of surgery only," and "longer duration of surgery" clearly indicate that a higher preoperative and intraoperative sensitization of the nociceptive system might be predictive for severe







postoperative pain and poor postoperative pain control.⁹ In addition, a published longitudinal trial focusing on the development of chronic neuropathic postoperative pain after breast cancer surgery showed that preoperative pain in the surgical area and other chronic pain states were associated with chronic neuropathic pain.¹⁶ Thus, preoperative pain sensitization due to chronic pain and opioid consumption before surgery might be relevant for both, acute and chronic pain after surgery and might be connected somehow to each other. This is supported by the fact that "duration of severe pain after surgery," one outcome tested here, is predictive of chronic pain after surgery.⁶

There is ample evidence that psychological factors are important predictors for postoperative pain.^{11,22,28} We also included 2 psychological factors; due to study design properties, we were only able to include data from the first postoperative day.³⁰ However, because anxiety levels seem to remain stable perioperatively,¹⁵ we most likely assessed a trait than a state phenomenon. Chronic postoperative pain might be predicted by anxiety as well, although catastrophizing might be more relevant.²⁴ However, the role of preoperative psychological aspects for acute postoperative pain needs further investigations.²⁰

4.2. Development of a clinically relevant and easy-to-use predictive risk score

Besides risk assessment we show here, the predictive factors seem to be-at least in part-independent from each other. We therefore developed a prediction model by summing up the risk factors for severe postoperative pain intensity. Our data indicate that patients with 3 or more risk factors are not only at higher risk for severe postoperative pain intensity but also for 2 other PROMs ("time in severe pain" and "wish to receive more pain treatment"). The validation analysis showed a moderate predictive value with an AUC of 0.704 (95% CI: 0.695–0.713 P < 0.001). Thus, the model seems to be acceptable predictive for several pain-related outcome parameters, which might be also relevant for chronic pain after surgery.⁶ Apart from pain intensity, we also showed that severe postoperative pain resulted in higher interference of pain with activities in bed and out of bed. This indicates our score is able to predict not only pain intensity but also pain-related impairment of physical function. However, because impairment of physical function due to pain was not the primary outcome of our study, this should be investigated in the future. One disadvantage of the PAIN OUT data set is that PROMs are assessed at only one single time point (eg, the first day after surgery). As a consequence, we do not have preoperative assessment tools for the 2 psychological risk factors that turned out to be significant in our analysis (anxiety and helplessness). We therefore created a condensed "simple risk score" by including 4 preoperatively available (and easy-to-assess) risk factors (age, preoperative chronic pain, female sex, and preoperative opioid intake, see **Table 3**) that can be used without any psychological preoperative assessment. This risk score showed still an acceptable predictability for poor postoperative pain outcome. The predictability might be increased by including psychological factors, but exact assessment tools and cutoffs need to be determined (or even developed).

There are currently 2 other risk scores available for the prediction of severe pain after surgery.^{12,13,17} Both scores^{12,13,17} showed comparable prediction levels compared to ours; however, they were neither confirmed in international data sets nor in patients with different surgical procedures. In addition, the calculation method for both is more difficult in the daily clinical setting because they use a distinct calculation program.

4.3. Potential benefits of the predictive risk score

Although some risk scores exist (see above), their use for predicting patients with poor postoperative pain seem to be rare possibly because their use is too difficult in daily clinical practice, therefore the need for a simple risk score remains. The recently published multicentre approach was able to improve acute postoperative pain management in 6 hospitals of one German city by using guideline-related pain assessment and treatment ³ Although it was successful, pain in patients with strategies.¹ preoperative chronic pain (one of the risk factors incorporated here) did not improve, indicating that a risk-related treatment might be required. Another recently published observational trial demonstrated that a more intense perioperative pain treatment in women with a high risk for severe postoperative pain identified preoperatively by using the Pain score¹⁷ significantly improved pain outcome after caesarean section.² Several observational reports showed that a perioperative multimodal pain treatment by a transitional pain service improved postoperative care in patients at a high risk for severe postoperative pain and pain chronification.10,25,27 Our risk score might be a useful tool to identify patients with a need for such interdisciplinary approaches.

4.4. Limitations

The use of PAIN OUT data enabled us to include a large number of patient data prospectively collected by using a validated outcome questionnaire in 76 hospitals across 26 countries. One limitation is, however, the restriction of the Pain Out project

Table 3

Description of simple risk score for the prediction of severe	
postoperative pain.	

Is the patient female?	Yes = 1 point	No = 0 points
Does the patient have persistent pain of NRS intensity over 3?	Yes = 1 points	No = 0 points
Did the patient receive opioids before admission?	Yes = 1 point	No = 0 points
Is the patient <30 years? 30–49 years? 50–70 years? >70 years?	Yes = 2 point Yes = 1 point Yes = 0 point Yes = -1 point	

NRS, numeric rating scale.

sample to the first day after surgery only. However, day one is usually the day with the most intense pain scores after surgery. In addition, previous studies indicated that exactly this time point is related to the development of chronic pain after surgery.⁶

Another limitation of such a large international database might be that postoperative pain treatment was not standardised. The advantage of such a strategy is that the factors are derived from observational, everyday clinical data and thus are transferable to hospitals irrespective of their pain management strategies all over the world. The disadvantage might be that the risk differs related to the treatment.

The PAIN OUT data set collects a limited amount of data from each patient, eg, because preoperative data are not directly assessed or pain intensity at rest or during movement was not evaluated specifically. However, this enables the project to collect data from a high number of patients. In addition, the use of a validated patient-reported outcome questionnaire to collect data gathers valid data and enables direct comparison between hospitals and countries.

4.5. Conclusion

In conclusion, our analysis based on a large data set from an international acute pain registry demonstrates valid OR for risk factors related to poor pain-related outcome at the first day after surgery. The development of an easy-to-use predictive risk model (based on the sum of these risk factors) with a moderate prediction level showed that the proportion of patients with severe pain and other postoperative pain outcomes increased significantly with 3 or more risk factors.

Disclosures

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

This work was supported by the European Commission's Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2009-2013 under Grant Agreement No. 223590) to E.M. Pogatzki-Zahn and to W. Meissner (coordinator).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all participating centres within the PAIN OUT project for data entry.

Appendix A. Supplemental digital content

Supplemental digital content associated with this article can be found online at http://links.lww.com/PR9/A66.

Article history:

Received 17 January 2020 Received in revised form 30 March 2020 Accepted 7 May 2020 Available online 27 July 2020

References

[1] Apfelbaum JL, Silverstein JH, Chung FF, Connis RT, Fillmore RB, Hunt SE, Nickinovich DG, Schreiner MS, Silverstein JH, Apfelbaum JL, Barlow JC, Chung FF, Connis RT, Fillmore RB, Hunt SE, Joas TA, Nickinovich DG, Schreiner MS; American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Postanesthetic Care. Practice guidelines for postanesthetic care: an updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Postanesthetic Care. Anesthesiology 2013;118:291–307.

www.painreportsonline.com

 severe pain after cesarean delivery. Anesth Analg 2016;122:1114–9.
 Buvanendran A, Fiala J, Patel KA, Golden AD, Moric M, Kroin JS. The logical severity of postopartitive pain following inpatient surrany.

[2]

- Incidence and severity of postoperative pain following inpatient surgery.
 Pain Med 2015;16:2277–83.
 [4] Chapman CR, Stevens DA, Lipman AG. Quality of postoperative pain
- [4] Chapman CR, Stevens DA, Elphan AG. Quality of postoperative pair management in American versus European institutions. J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother 2013;27:350–58.
- [5] Chou R, Gordon DB, de Leon-Casasola OA, Rosenberg JM, Bickler S, Brennan T, Carter T, Cassidy CL, Chittenden EH, Degenhardt E, Griffith S, Manworren R, McCarberg B, Montgomery R, Murphy J, Perkal MF, Suresh S, Sluka K, Strassels S, Thirlby R, Viscusi E, Walco GA, Warner L, Weisman SJ, Wu CL. Management of postoperative pain: a clinical practice guideline from the American Pain Society, the American Society of Anesthesiologists' Committee on Regional Anesthesia, Executive Committee, and Administrative Council. J Pain 2016;17:131–57.
- [6] Fletcher D, Stamer UM, Pogatzki-Zahn E, Zaslansky R, Tanase NV, Perruchoud C, Kranke P, Komann M, Lehman T, Meissner W; euCPSP group for the clinical trial network group of the European Society of Anaesthesiology. Chronic postsurgical pain in Europe: an observational study. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2015;32:725–34.
- [7] Gan TJ, Habib AS, Miller TE, White W, Apfelbaum JL. Incidence, patient satisfaction, and perceptions of post-surgical pain: results from a US national survey. Curr Med Res Opin 2014;30:149–60.
- [8] Gerbershagen HJ, Pogatzki-Zahn E, Aduckathil S, Peelen LM, Kappen TH, van Wijck AJ, Kalkman CJ, Meissner W. Procedure-specific risk factor analysis for the development of severe postoperative pain. Anesthesiology 2014;120:1237–45.
- [9] Hina N, Fletcher D, Poindessous-Jazat F, Martinez V. Hyperalgesia induced by low-dose opioid treatment before orthopaedic surgery: an observational case-control study. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2015;32:255–61.
- [10] Huang A, Azam A, Segal S, Pivovarov K, Katznelson G, Ladak SS, Mu A, Weinrib A, Katz J, Clarke H. Chronic postsurgical pain and persistent opioid use following surgery: the need for a transitional pain service. Pain Manag 2016;6:435–43.
- [11] Ip HY, Abrishami A, Peng PW, Wong J, Chung F. Predictors of postoperative pain and analgesic consumption: a qualitative systematic review. Anesthesiology 2009;111:657–77.
- [12] Janssen KJ, Kalkman CJ, Grobbee DE, Bonsel GJ, Moons KG, Vergouwe Y. The risk of severe postoperative pain: modification and validation of a clinical prediction rule. Anesth Analg 2008;107:1330–9.
- [13] Kalkman CJ, Visser K, Moen J, Bonsel GJ, Grobbee DE, Moons KG. Preoperative prediction of severe postoperative pain. PAIN 2003;105: 415–23.
- [14] Katz J, Weinrib A, Fashler SR, Katznelzon R, Shah BR, Ladak SS, Jiang J, Li Q, McMillan K, Santa Mina D, Wentlandt K, McRae K, Tamir D, Lyn S, de Perrot M, Rao V, Grant D, Roche-Nagle G, Cleary SP, Hofer SO, Gilbert R, Wijeysundera D, Ritvo P, Janmohamed T, O'Leary G, Clarke H. The Toronto General Hospital Transitional Pain Service: development and implementation of a multidisciplinary program to prevent chronic postsurgical pain. J Pain Res 2015;8:695–702.
- [15] Korbmacher B, Ulbrich S, Dalyanoglu H, Lichtenberg A, Schipke JD, Franz M, Schäfer R. Perioperative and long-term development of anxiety and depression in CABG patients. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2013;61: 676–81.
- [16] Mustonen L, Aho T, Harno H, Sipilä R, Meretoja T, Kalso E. What makes surgical nerve injury painful? A 4-year to 9-year follow-up of patients with intercostobrachial nerve resection in women treated for breast surgery. PAIN 2019;160:246–56.
- [17] Pan PH, Tonidandel AM, Aschenbrenner CA, Houle TT, Harris LC, Eisenach JC. Predicting acute pain after cesarean delivery using three simple questions. Anesthesiology 2013;118:1170–9.
- [18] Pogatzki-Zahn E, Kutschar P, Nestler N, Osterbrink J. A prospective multicentre study to improve postoperative pain: identification of Potentialities and problems. PLoS One 2015;10:e0143508.
- [19] Rothaug J, Zaslansky R, Schwenkglenks M, Komann M, Allvin R, Backström R, Brill S, Buchholz I, Engel C, Fletcher D, Fodor L, Funk P, Gerbershagen HJ, Gordon DB, Konrad C, Kopf A, Leykin Y, Pogatzki-Zahn E, Puig M, Rawal N, Taylor RS, Ullrich K, Volk T, Yahiaoui-Doktor M, Meissner W. Patients' perception of postoperative pain management: validation of the International Pain Outcomes (IPO) questionnaire. J Pain 2013;14:1361–70.
- [20] Ruscheweyh R, Viehoff A, Tio J, Pogatzki-Zahn EM. Psychophysical and psychological predictors of acute pain after breast surgery differ in patients with and without pre-existing chronic pain. PAIN 2017;158: 1030–8.

- [21] Schug SA, Palmer GM, Scott DA, Halliwell R, Trinca J. Acute pain management: scientific evidence, fourth edition, 2015. Med J Aust 2016; 204:315–7.
- [22] Sobol-Kwapinska M, Babel P, Plotek W, Stelcer B. Psychological correlates of acute postsurgical pain: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Eur J Pain 2016;20:1573–86.
- [23] Soffin EM, Lee BH, Kumar KK, Wu CL. The prescription opioid crisis: role of the anaesthesiologist in reducing opioid use and misuse. Br J Anaesth 2019;122:e198–208.
- [24] Theunissen M, Peters M, Bruce J, Gramke H, Marcus M. Preoperative anxiety and catastrophizing: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the association with chronic postsurgical pain. Clin J Pain 2012;28:819–41.
- [25] Tiippana E, Hamunen K, Heiskanen T, Nieminen T, Kalso E, Kontinen VK. New approach for treatment of prolonged postoperative pain: APS Out-Patient Clinic. Scand J Pain 2016;12:19–24.
- [26] van Boekel RLM, Warlé MC, Nielen RGC, Vissers KCP, van der Sande R, Bronkhorst EM, Lerou JGC, Steegers MAH. Relationship between

postoperative pain and overall 30-day complications in a broad surgical population: an observational study. Ann Surg 2019;269:856–65.

- [27] Weinrib AZ, Azam MA, Birnie KA, Burns LC, Clarke H, Katz J. The psychology of chronic post-surgical pain: new frontiers in risk factor identification, prevention and management. Br J Pain 2017;11:169–77.
- [28] Yang MHH, Hartley RL, Leung AA, Ronks PE, Jette N, Casha S, Riva-Cambrin J. Preoperative predictors of poor acute posastoperative pain control: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open 2019;9: e0250091.
- [29] Zaslansky R, Meissner W, Chapman CR. Pain after orthopaedic surgery: differences in patient reported outcomes in the United States vs. internationally: an observational study from the PAIN OUT dataset. Br J Anaesth 2018;120:790–97.
- [30] Zaslansky R, Rothaug J, Chapman CR, Bäckström R, Brill S, Fletcher D, Fodor L, Gordon DB, Komann M, Konrad C, Leykin Y, Pogatski-Zahn E, Puig MM, Rawal N, Ullrich K, Volk T, Meissner W. PAIN OUT. the making of an international acute pain registry. Eur J Pain 2015;19: 490–502.