



#### A continuous publication, open access, peer-reviewed journal

ACCESS ONLINE

#### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

# Clinical profile and management of rivaroxaban in patients with atrial fibrillation in routine practice in Spain: data from six nationwide studies

Manuel Anguita MD<sup>1</sup>, Mariano de la Figuera MD<sup>2</sup>, Alejandro I Pérez Cabeza MD<sup>3</sup>, Carmen Suarez Fernández MD<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cardiology Department, Hospital Universitario Reina Sofia, Córdoba, Spain; <sup>2</sup>University Primary Care Health Center, Sardenya, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>3</sup>Cardiology Department, Hospital Universitario Virgen de la Victoria, Málaga, Spain; <sup>4</sup>Internal Medicine Department, Hospital Universitario de la Princesa, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

#### Abstract

**Aims:** To analyze the clinical profile and management of patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation taking rivaroxaban in routine practice in Spain.

**Methods:** Clinical data from the observational studies HEROIC (cardiology and hematology; n=1,727), EMIR (cardiology; n=1,493), BRONCE-AP (primary care; n=133), SILVER-AP (primary care; n=457), ALADIN (internal medicine and neurology; n=249), and ESPARTA (internal medicine; n=110) of patients taking rivaroxaban were analyzed. The clinical profile was compared with those of the XANTUS and ROCKET-AF studies.

**Results:** Overall, mean age was 74.9 $\pm$ 9.4 years, CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score was 3.7 $\pm$ 1.5, and 43.2% had a HAS-BLED score  $\geq$ 3. Patients included in the HEROIC and EMIR studies were older and more frequently had a creatinine clearance <50 mL/min and a higher thromboembolic risk than those in the XANTUS study, and patients included in the ALADIN study were older and had more

prior cerebrovascular disease, but a lower thromboembolic risk than those in the ROCKET-AF trial. In those studies with available data, medication adherence and satisfaction with rivaroxaban were high.

**Conclusion:** Bearing in mind differences according to the clinical setting of each study, atrial fibrillation patients taking rivaroxaban in Spain were elderly and had a high thromboembolic risk. Medication adherence and satisfaction with rivaroxaban were high.

**Keywords:** atrial fibrillation, clinical practice, rivaroxaban, ROCKET-AF, Spain, XANTUS.

#### Citation

Anguita M, de la Figuera M, Pérez Cabeza Al, Suarez Fernández C. Clinical profile and management of rivaroxaban in patients with atrial fibrillation in routine practice in Spain: data from six nationwide studies. Drugs in Context 2019; 8: 212606. DOI: 10.7573/dic.212606

## Introduction

Cardioembolic stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) is associated with significant morbidity and mortality.<sup>1</sup> Prevention of cardioembolic stroke is the main therapeutic approach for improving prognosis among the AF population.<sup>2</sup> Until the last decade, antiplatelet agents and vitamin K antagonists (VKA) were the antithrombotic therapies used for the prevention of thromboembolic complications in nonvalvular AF (NVAF). However, due to the lack of efficacy of antiplatelet agents for the prevention of stroke, and the limitations of VKA (e.g. periodic monitoring of anticoagulant effect, many food and drug–drug interactions, slow onset and end of action), many patients with AF have not traditionally received the appropriate antithrombotic treatment.<sup>3–5</sup> Not only clinical trials but also studies performed in clinical practice have shown that overall, direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) have at least a similar efficacy to VKA for the prevention of stroke, with a better safety profile, mainly due to a lesser risk of intracranial hemorrhage.<sup>6,7</sup> Fortunately, the introduction of DOACs in clinical practice has increased the proportion of patients receiving oral anticoagulation for the prevention of stroke or systemic embolism in AF patients.<sup>8–10</sup> Nevertheless, a significant proportion of patients with a high thromboembolic risk remain without anticoagulant treatment, particularly elderly or frail patients.<sup>10,11</sup>

Rivaroxaban was the first once-daily DOAC to be marketed. The Rivaroxaban Once Daily Oral Direct Factor Xa Inhibition Compared with Vitamin K Antagonism for Prevention of Stroke and Embolism Trial in Atrial Fibrillation (ROCKET-AF) trial included 14,264 patients with NVAF at high risk of stroke. In this study, compared with warfarin, rivaroxaban was at least as effective as warfarin for the prevention of stroke or systemic embolism in patients with AF (non-inferior in the intention-to-treat population; superior with regard to safety in the as-treated population), but with a marked reduction in the risk of critical bleeding (31%), fatal bleeding (50%), and intracranial hemorrhage (33%).<sup>12</sup> Observational studies are important to ascertain whether the results of the clinical trials can be applied to "real-world".<sup>13,14</sup> Xarelto<sup>°</sup> for Prevention of Stroke in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation (XANTUS) was the first international prospective and observational study of AF patients treated with rivaroxaban in routine practice.<sup>15</sup> A recent meta-analysis of "real-world" with NVAF showed that compared with VKA, rivaroxaban significantly reduced the risk of ischemic stroke by 17% and intracranial hemorrhage by 34%, with a similar risk of major bleeding.<sup>7</sup>

Studies performed in routine practice may help determine in which patients DOACs are being prescribed, and whether they are being used properly. Although in recent years a number of studies on the use of rivaroxaban in clinical practice in Spain have been published, these studies have been limited to one single center or healthcare area or to a specific medical specialty.<sup>16–22</sup> In this context, it is important to analyze the clinical management of rivaroxaban not limited to a specific area or specialty in Spain. The aim of this analysis was to determine the clinical profile and use in clinical practice of patients taking rivaroxaban in Spain, using data from the following studies: HEROIC (Perfil de pacientes con fibrilación auricular novalvular tratados con rivaroxaban en España: la desigualdad en el acceso a los anticoagulantes orales directos)<sup>23</sup>; EMIR (Estudio observacional para la identificación de los factores de riesgo asociados a eventos cardiovasculares mayores en pacientes con fibrilación auricular no valvular tratados con un anticoagulante oral directo Rivaroxaban)<sup>24,25</sup>; BRONCE-AP (Estudio observacional de corte transversal para evaluar el uso de recursos y las características sociodemográficas y clínicas de los pacientes diagnosticados de FANV con riesgo de ictus o embolia sistémica, en tratamiento anticoaqulante y que son atendidos en consultas de atención primaria)<sup>26,27</sup>; SILVER-AP (Estudio observacional de corte transversal para evaluar las características sociodemográficas y clínicas de los pacientes diagnosticados de FANV con riesgo de ictus o embolia sistémica, que reciben tratamiento para el control adecuado de su coaqulación y que son atendidos en consultas de atención primaria)<sup>26–28</sup>; ALADIN (Validación del cuestionario ACTS en pacientes con Fibrilación Auricular tratados con anticoagulantes orales en consultas de medicina interna y neurología de España)<sup>29–31</sup>; ESPARTA (Estudio sobre el seguimiento en la práctica clínica de las recomendaciones sobre el tratamiento con anticoaqulantes orales en pacientes con fibrilación auricular de edad avanzada).<sup>32-34</sup> In addition, our data were compared with those of the XANTUS study<sup>15,35</sup> and the ROCKET-AF trial.<sup>12</sup>

## Methods

The HEROIC study was an observational, cross-sectional, and multicenter study of patients with NVAF treated

with rivaroxaban in specialist practice (cardiology 70.8%, hematology 25.0%, and internal medicine 4.2%). It was performed to assess the impact of the 2013 Therapeutic Positioning Report of the Spanish Agency of Medicines and Sanitary Products on the reasons for prescribing rivaroxaban in this population, the clinical profile of patients with NVAF treated with rivaroxaban, and how long it took to access the treatment in National Health System hospitals in Spain.<sup>23</sup>

The EMIR study is an ongoing postmarketing, observational, multicenter, prospective, and nationwide study aimed to identify those risk factors associated with major cardiovascular events among patients with NVAF who are under rivaroxaban treatment for at least 6 months before inclusion and attend the cardiology units at Spanish hospitals and private clinics. In this study, baseline data from the EMIR database have been reported.<sup>24,25</sup>

The BRONCE-AP study was an observational, cross-sectional, and multicenter study that included patients with NVAF attended by primary care physicians from those autonomous communities in which the primary care physician had to refer the patient to the specialist to start treatment with DOACs (8 autonomous communities). Patients had to be on chronic treatment with anticoagulants, but on current treatment with DOACs for at least 3 months.<sup>26,27</sup>

The SILVER-AP study was an observational, cross-sectional, and multicenter study that included patients with NVAF attended by primary care physicians from those autonomous communities in which the primary care physician could prescribe DOACs directly (9 autonomous communities). Patients had to be on chronic treatment with anticoagulants, but on current treatment with DOACs for at least 3 months.<sup>26-28</sup>

The ALADIN study was a cross-sectional and multicenter study aimed to validate the satisfaction questionnaire Anti-Clot-Treatment Scale (ACTS) in outpatients with NVAF treated with oral anticoagulants for at least 3 months and attended internal medicine and neurology departments in Spain.<sup>29–31</sup>

The ESPARTA study was an observational, cross-sectional, and multicenter study in which patients aged  $\geq$ 75 years with NVAF, with stable treatment with oral anticoagulants for at least 3 months before inclusion and treated in internal medicine departments in Spain, were included. The aim of this study was to evaluate, in this population, the adherence to the clinical practice recommendations of the 'Therapeutic Positioning Report of the Spanish Agency of Medicines and Sanitary Products'.<sup>32–34</sup>

All the studies were observational, and no specific diagnostic or therapeutic actions were taken for participating in them. Except for the EMIR study that was prospective, but only baseline data were reported, the rest of the studies had a cross-sectional design. All the studies were approved by the appropriate Clinical Research Ethics Committees, and in every study, all patients signed the written informed consent before inclusion.

In each study, data were collected from the medical history and physician interview and were entered into a specific electronic case report form. Biodemographic data (age, sex); type of AF; history of cerebrovascular disease; renal function (creatinine clearance); and CHADS<sub>2</sub>, CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc, and HAS-BLED scores were recorded. High thromboembolic risk was defined as a CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score  $\geq$ 2 and high bleeding risk as a HAS-BLED score  $\geq$ 3.<sup>2</sup>

The clinical profiles of patients included in the HEROIC and EMIR studies (patients attended by cardiologists or hematologists) were compared with those of the XANTUS study, and those of the ALADIN study (patients attended by internists and neurologists) with those of the ROCKET-AF trial as they had a similar risk profile (i.e. age, thromboembolic risk), respectively.

Anticoagulant treatment before starting rivaroxaban was recorded. Among patients who had taken VKA, the reasons for switching to rivaroxaban were analyzed. Adequate international normalized ratio (INR) control was defined as the time in the therapeutic range  $\geq$ 60% according to the direct method (percent time with INR values within therapeutic range) and  $\geq$ 65% according to the Rosendaal method.<sup>36</sup> Polymedication was defined as using five or more prescription drugs at the moment of the visit. With regard to rivaroxaban therapy, duration of treatment, the dosage prescribed, and medication persistence were recorded. Medication adherence was assessed at the moment of the study.<sup>37,38</sup>

Treatment satisfaction with rivaroxaban was determined with the Anti-Clot Treatment Scale (ACTS) questionnaire. ACTS is a patient-reported questionnaire that includes 12 items about the burdens of anticoagulant therapy and 3 items about the benefits of anticoagulant treatment. Patients are asked to report their experiences with anticoagulants during the last 4 weeks on a 5-point scale of intensity (from not at all -1- to extremely -5-). The ACTS Burdens score ranges from 12 to 60 points and the ACTS Benefits from 3 to 15 points. Higher scores in the ACTS Burdens indicate lesser burden (higher satisfaction) with anticoagulant therapy and higher scores in the ACTS Benefits represent higher benefit (higher satisfaction) with anticoagulant treatment.<sup>29</sup>

### Statistical analysis

Quantitative variables were described with mean and standard deviation and qualitative variables as absolute (n) and relative (%) frequencies. In the bivariate analyses, to compare two means, parametric (Student's t-test) or non-parametric (Mann–Whitney U test) statistical tests were performed based on the sample distribution. To compare percentages, the chi-square test or Fisher test were used, according to the sample size. Statistical significance was set at a *p*-value <0.05. The statistical analysis was performed using the SAS statistics package, version 9.4.

### Results

In the HEROIC and EMIR studies, a total of 1,727 and 1,493 patients taking rivaroxaban were included, respectively. In the BRONCE-AP study, of 246 patients, 133 (54.1%) were taking rivaroxaban. In the SILVER-AP study, of 790 patients, 457 (57.8%) were taking rivaroxaban. In the ALADIN study, of 1,337 patients, 249 (18.6%) were taking rivaroxaban (165 from the neurology department and 84 from the internal medicine department). In the ESPARTA study, of 837 patients, 110 (13.1%) were taking rivaroxaban. Therefore, a total of 4,169 patients taking rivaroxaban were included for the final analysis of this study.

The clinical characteristics of the study population are reported in Table 1. Overall, mean age was 74.9±9.4 years, 53.6% of patients were men, 43.2% had permanent AF, and 17.6% had prior cerebrovascular disease. In all, 93.7% of patients had a high thromboembolic risk (CHA2DS2-VASc  $\geq$ 2), and 43.2% of patients had a high bleeding risk (HAS-BLED  $\geq$ 3). In the HEROIC and EMIR studies, 117 (6.8%) and 121 (8.1%) patients had valvular heart disease (other than significant mitral stenosis or prosthetic valve).

Compared with the XANTUS study, patients included in the HEROIC and EMIR studies were older (74.3 $\pm$ 9.6 versus 71.5 $\pm$ 10.0 years; p<0.001), more commonly women (45.9 versus 40.8%; p<0.001), and more frequently had permanent AF (36.7 versus 27.0%; p<0.001), a creatinine clearance <50 mL/min (12.9 versus 9.1%; p<0.001), and a higher thromboembolic risk (CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc  $\geq$ 2: 92.7 versus 87.3%; p<0.001), but less prior cerebrovascular disease (13.5 versus 19.0%; p<0.001) (Table 2).

Compared with the ROCKET-AF trial (rivaroxaban arm), patients included in the ALADIN study were older (75.6±9.4 *versus* 73.0 years; p<0.001), more commonly women (46.2 *versus* 39.7%; p=0.04), and had more prior cerebrovascular disease (64.3 *versus* 54.9%; p=0.004), but a lower thromboembolic risk (CHADS<sub>2</sub> 3.3±1.1 *versus* 3.5±0.9; p=0.005) (Table 3).

With regard to the antithrombotic treatment, 57.7% of our patients took VKA before starting treatment with rivaroxaban, mainly acenocoumarol (89.5%). Poor INR control was the most common reason (68.9% of patients taking VKA) for switching from VKA to rivaroxaban. Overall, the mean duration of treatment with rivaroxaban at baseline was 14.1±11.5 months. With regard to the dosage of rivaroxaban, 74.1% of patients were taking rivaroxaban 20 mg, and the remaining 25.9% rivaroxaban 15 mg. In the EMIR study, a total of 300 patients (20.1%) had a creatinine clearance <50 mL/min; underdosing was observed in 153 patients (10.4%), and overdosing in 121 patients (8.2%). Polymedication was reported in 75.0, 78.3, and 86.4% of patients included in the BRONCE-AP, ALADIN, and ESPARTA studies, respectively. In all, 92.7% of patients were adherent to rivaroxaban in the study (Table 4).

Compared with the XANTUS study, more patients included in the HEROIC and EMIR studies were taking VKA before starting treatment with rivaroxaban (51.4 *versus* 45.5%; *p*<0.001). The dosage of rivaroxaban was similar among patients included

	HEROIC <sup>23</sup> (n=1,727)	EMIR <sup>24,25</sup> (n=1,493)	BRONCE- AP <sup>26,27</sup> (n=133)	SILVER- AP <sup>26-28</sup> (n=457)	ALADIN <sup>29-31</sup> (n=249)	Overall (n=4,059)	
Age, years	74.4±9.6	74.1±9.7	76.1±8.5	78.9±8.0	75.6±9.4	74.9±9.4	
Sex, male (%)	53.0	55.5	48.9	51.4	53.8	53.6	
Permanent AF (%)	—	36.7	42.9	64.6	—	43.2	
Prior cerebrovascular disease (%)	14.8	12.0	19.5	20.6	64.3	17.6	
CrCl <50 mL/min (%)	6.8	20.1	21.8	26.5		14.9	
CHADS <sub>2</sub> , High risk (≥2) (%)	2.1±1.2 67.9	1.9±1.2	2.3±1.4 71.4	2.6±1.2 80.5	3.3±1.1 —	2.2±1.2 70.6	
CHA <sub>2</sub> DS <sub>2</sub> -VASc High risk (≥2) (%)	3.6 ± 1.5 92.7	3.4±1.5	4.0±1.8 91.7	4.3±1.6 98.0	4.9±1.4 —	3.7±1.5 93.7	
HAS-BLED High risk (≥3) (%)	2.4 ± 0.9 45.6	1.5±1.0	1.9±1.0 26.3	2.3±1.0 39.2	2.4±1.3	2.0±1.0 43.2	

#### Table 1. Clinical characteristics of patients taking rivaroxaban included in the different observational studies in Spain.\*

AF, atrial fibrillation; CrCl, creatinine clearance.

\*Calculated with the available data for each study.

CHADS<sub>3</sub>: Congestive heart failure, Hypertension, Age >75, Diabetes mellitus, prior Stroke; CHA<sub>3</sub>DS<sub>3</sub>-VASc: Congestive heart failure, Hypertension, Age ≥75, Diabetes mellitus, prior Stroke, Vascular disease, Age 65-74, Sex category (female); HAS-BLED: Hypertension, Abnormal liver/renal function, Stroke history, Bleeding history or predisposition, Labile INR, Elderly, Drug/alcohol usage.

	HEROIC <sup>23</sup> (n=1,727)	EMIR <sup>24,25</sup> (n=1,493)	HEROIC + EMIR (n=3,220)	XANTUS study <sup>15</sup> (n=6,784)	<b>P</b> HEROIC+EMIR versus XANTUS
Age (years)	74.4±9.6	74.1±9.7	74.3±9.6	71.5+10.0	<0.001
Sex (male; %)	53.0	55.5	54.1	59.2	<0.001
Permanent AF (%)		36.7	36.7	27.0	<0.001
Prior cerebrovascular disease (%)	14.8	12.0	13.5	19.0	<0.001
CrCl <50 mL/min (%)	6.8	20.1	12.9	9.1	<0.001
CHADS <sub>2</sub> High risk (≥2) (%)	2.1±1.2 67.9	1.9±1.2 —	2.0±1.2 67.9	2.0+1.3 59.2	NS <0.001
CHA₂DS₂-VASc High risk (≥2) (%)	3.6±1.5 92.7	3.4±1.5	3.5±1.5 92.7	3.4+1.7 87.3	0.004 <0.001
HAS-BLED High risk (≥3) (%)	2.4±0.9 45.6	1.5±1.0	2.0±0.9 45.6	_	_

#### n included in the LIEDOLC EMID and VANITUS studies\* Table 2 Climitan Labora at a sistin

AF, atrial fibrillation; CrCl, creatinine clearance; NS, not significant;

\*Calculated with the available data for each study.

CHADS<sub>2</sub>: Congestive heart failure, Hypertension, Age >75, Diabetes mellitus, prior Stroke; CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc: Congestive heart failure, Hypertension, Age ≥75, Diabetes mellitus, prior Stroke, Vascular disease, Age 65-74, Sex category (female); HAS-BLED: Hypertension, Abnormal liver/renal function, Stroke history, Bleeding history or predisposition, Labile INR, Elderly, Drug/alcohol usage.

in the XANTUS study and the HEROIC and EMIR studies. Compared with the HEROIC and EMIR studies, in the ROCKET-AF trial (rivaroxaban arm), more patients were taking VKA before starting treatment with rivaroxaban (62.3 versus 51.4%; p<0.001), and more patients were taking rivaroxaban 20 mg (79.4 versus 76.8%; p=0.03) (Table 5). In the XANTUS study and ROCKET-AF trial, 79.9 and 85.7% of patients remained on rivaroxaban therapy after 329 days and 1 year of treatment, respectively.

Table 3.	Clinical characteristics of patients included in the ALADIN study and
	ROCKET-AF trial*.

	ALADIN <sup>29-31</sup> (n=249)	ROCKET- AF <sup>12</sup> (rivaroxaban arm) (n=7,131)	P <sub>ALADIN</sub> versus ROCKET-AF
Age (years)	75.6±9.4	73.0	<0.001
Sex (male; %)	53.8	60.3	0.04
Permanent AF (%)	—	81.1	—
Prior cerebrovascular disease (%)	64.3	54.9	0.004
CrCl <50 mL/ min (%)	—	20.6	—
CHADS <sub>2</sub> High risk (≥2) (%)	3.3±1.1 —	3.5±0.9 100	0.005 —
CHA <sub>2</sub> DS <sub>2</sub> -VASc High risk (≥2) (%)	4.9±1.4 —	 100	
HAS-BLED High risk (≥3) (%)	2.4±1.3 —	 62.5	_

AF, atrial fibrillation; CrCl, creatinine clearance;

\*Calculated with the available data for each study.

CHADS<sub>2</sub>: Congestive heart failure, Hypertension, Age >75, Diabetes mellitus, prior Stroke; CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc: Congestive heart failure, Hypertension, Age ≥75, Diabetes mellitus, prior Stroke, Vascular disease, Age 65-74, Sex category (female); HAS-BLED: Hypertension, Abnormal liver/renal function, Stroke history, Bleeding history or predisposition, Labile INR, Elderly, Drug/alcohol usage.

Satisfaction of treatment with rivaroxaban using the ACTS scale was specifically analyzed in the BRONCE-AP, SILVER-AP, and ALADIN studies. Overall, in our study, the mean ACTS burden and benefit scores were  $52.3\pm6.9$  and  $12.1\pm2.2$ , respectively. In the SILVER-AP study, the mean ACTS burden and benefit scores were higher among patients taking rivaroxaban for 12 months or more compared with those patients taking rivaroxaban for less than 12 months ( $53.1\pm6.5$  versus  $50.3\pm8.0$ ; p<0.001, and  $12.2\pm2.3$  versus  $11.8\pm2.3$ ; p=0.008, respectively). In the XANTUS study, after switching from VKA to rivaroxaban, the ACTS burden and benefit scores significantly increased at month 3 (Table 6).

## Discussion

Our study included more than 4,100 patients with NVAF, attended by different specialties (i.e. primary care, cardiology,

internal medicine, neurology, and hematology) throughout Spain, treated with rivaroxaban for the prevention of stroke or systemic embolism. In our study, patients were elderly (mean age 75 years), nearly 50% of patients were women, and up to 18% of patients had prior cerebrovascular disease. In addition, thromboembolic risk was high, and more than 40% of patients had a high bleeding risk. Compared with the XANTUS study,<sup>15</sup> patients included in the HEROIC and EMIR studies were older, had more frequently a creatinine clearance <50 mL/min, and a higher thromboembolic risk, and compared with the ROCKET-AF study (rivaroxaban arm),<sup>12</sup> patients included in the ALADIN study were older and had more prior cerebrovascular disease, but a lower thromboembolic risk. Overall, our patients had a lower thromboembolic and bleeding risk than those included in the ROCKET-AF trial but a higher thromboembolic risk than those included in the XANTUS study.<sup>12,15</sup> ROCKET-AF was the clinical trial that included those patients with the highest thromboembolic risk among all DOAC trials.<sup>39-41</sup> When rivaroxaban was introduced in clinical practice, it was mainly prescribed in patients with a better clinical profile,<sup>13–15</sup> or among patients with a high bleeding risk.<sup>22</sup> However, as our study has shown, the experience with rivaroxaban has increased in routine practice, it is prescribed in different clinical settings, and its use has been extended to the entire AF population, regardless of the clinical profile of patients. Therefore, this study exhibits an accurate description of the clinical profile and management of NVAF patients taking rivaroxaban in Spain and may complement, in our country, the information provided by the ROCKET-AF trial and the XANTUS study.

In the HEROIC and EMIR studies, between 7 and 8.1% of patients had valvular heart disease.<sup>23–25</sup> As is well known, DOACs are contraindicated in patients with AF and mechanical prosthetic valves or moderate to severe mitral stenosis (usually of rheumatic origin), but they can be used in patients with other native valvular disease.<sup>42</sup> Thus, a recent meta-analysis of four phase III AF clinical trials has shown that the overall efficacy and safety of DOACs were independent of the presence of valvular heart disease.<sup>43</sup>

With regard to the antithrombotic treatment, in our study, nearly 58% of our patients were taking VKA before starting treatment with rivaroxaban. This percentage was higher than that reported in other studies performed in Europe or the United States in routine practice, but lower than that in the ROCKET-AF trial.<sup>12,15,44,45</sup> This is because in Spain, the reimbursement for the initial prescription of DOACs is limited in the majority of the autonomous communities to some specific conditions, such as poor INR control or a high intracranial bleeding risk.<sup>46</sup> In fact, in our study, poor INR control was the most common reason (69% of patients taking VKA) for switching from VKA to rivaroxaban. This is very relevant, as in Spain, up to 40–50% of patients taking VKA have inadequate anticoagulation control. Considering that approximately 30% of all oral anticoagulants prescribed in Spain correspond to DOACs and the remaining 70% to VKA, these data strongly suggest that DOACs are underused in Spain.<sup>47,48</sup>

	HEROIC <sup>23</sup> (n=1,727)	EMIR <sup>24,25</sup> (n=1,493)	BRONCE- AP <sup>26,27</sup> (n=133)	SILVER- AP <sup>26-28</sup> (n=457)	ESPARTA <sup>32–34</sup> (n=110)	Overall*
Previous use of VKA (%) Warfarin Acenocoumarol Switch due to poor INR control (%)	57.0 15.1 84.9 64.8	44.9  68.2	89.5 1.7 98.3 83.1	97.2 3.2 96.8 75.7	39.1 4.7 95.3 67.4	57.7 10.5 89.5 68.9
Previous use of heparin (%) Previous use of other DOACs (%)	6.2 2.9	2.2 2.7	10.5 0	2.6 0	0 1.8	4.2 2.3
Duration of treatment with rivaroxaban (months)	_	—	14.7±10.8	13.5± 11.1	15.6±14.1	14.1±11.5
Rivaroxaban (%) 20 mg 15 mg	_	76.8 22.0	69.9 30.1	70.2 29.8	59.1 40.9	74.1 25.9
Adherence to rivaroxaban treatment (%) <sup>†</sup>	_	_	97.9	97.2	68.2	92.7

#### Table 4. Antithrombotic treatment of patients included in different observational studies in Spain.\*

DOACs, direct oral anticoagulants; INR, international normalized ratio; VKA, vitamin K antagonists.

\*Calculated with the available data for each study.

<sup>+</sup>The Haynes–Sackett test was applied in the BRONZE-AP and SILVER-AP studies. The Morisky–Green test was applied in the ESPARTA study.

## Table 5. Antithrombotic treatment of patients included in the HEROIC, EMIR, and XANTUS studies and ROCKET-AF trial.\*

	HEROIC <sup>23</sup> (n=1,727)	EMIR <sup>24,25</sup> (n=1,493)	HEROIC + EMIR (n=3,220)	XANTUS study <sup>15</sup> (n=6,784)	P <sub>HEROIC+</sub> EMIR versus XANTUS	ROCKET-AF <sup>12</sup> (rivaroxaban arm) (n=7,131)	P <sub>HEROIC+EMIR</sub> versus ROCKET-AF
Previous use of VKA (%) Warfarin Acenocoumarol Switch due to poor INR control (%)	57.0 15.1 84.9 64.8	44.9 — 457 (68.2)	51,4 15.1 84.9	45.5  	<0.001 — —	62.3 — — —	<0.001 
Previous use of heparin (%)	6.2	2.2	4.3	3.2	0.004	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Previous use of other DOACs (%)	2.9	2.7	2.8	3.2	NS	0	<0.001
Duration of treatment with rivaroxaban (months)	—	—	—	11.0±3.8	—	23.6	
Rivaroxaban (%) 20 mg 15 mg	_	76.8 22.0	76.8 22.0	78.7 21.3	NS NS	79.4 20.6	0.03 NS

\*Calculated with the available data for each study.

Polymedication was reported in 75–86% of our patients. Drug-drug interactions with rivaroxaban are uncommon. In the ROCKET-AF trial, polymedication was associated with a higher risk of bleeding but not of stroke. Importantly, the efficacy and safety of rivaroxaban were independent of the number of concomitant drugs and the use of  $\geq 1$ combined cytochrome P450 3A4 and P-glycoprotein inhibitors.<sup>49</sup>

	BRONCE-AP <sup>26,27</sup> (n=133)	SILVER-AP <sup>26–28</sup> (n=457)	ALADIN <sup>29–31</sup> (n=249)	Overall	XANTUS study <sup>35</sup> (n=1,291)	
					Baseline	At month 3
Burden scale <12 months of treatment ≥12 months of treatment	54.1± 6.0 — —	51.4±7.5 50.3±8.0 53.1±6.5	54.6±6.2 — —	52.3±6.9 —	50.5±8.4	54.4
Benefit scale <12 months of treatment ≥12 months of treatment	12.1± 2.3 —	12.0±2.3 11.8±2.3 12.2±2.3	12.4±2.1 —	12.1±2.2 —	10.3±2.7	11.4

Table 6. Satisfaction of treatment with rivaroxaban in the BRONCE-AP, SILVER-AP, ALADIN, and XANTUS studies.\*

With regard to the suitability of dosage of rivaroxaban, in the EMIR study, underdosing was observed in 10.4% of patients and overdosing, in 8.2%.<sup>24</sup> In the XANTUS study, of patients with a creatinine clearance  $\geq$ 50 mL/min, 15% received rivaroxaban 15 mg, and of patients with moderate or severe renal impairment, 36% of patients received rivaroxaban 20 mg.<sup>15</sup> Remarkably, underdosing with rivaroxaban has not been associated with an increased risk of stroke.<sup>50</sup> However, despite the observation that prescription of inappropriate doses seems lower with rivaroxaban compared with other DOACs, it is common in real-world clinical practice,<sup>51</sup> and this may have a negative impact on outcomes.<sup>7</sup> Therefore, it is mandatory to prescribe the appropriate dosage of DOACs according to the clinical characteristics of the patients.

Medication persistence was high in the XANTUS study (80%) and in the ROCKET-AF trial (86% at year one).<sup>12,15</sup> In a recent retrospective study, approximately 96 and 91% of patients remained on rivaroxaban therapy after 1 and 2 years of treatment, respectively.<sup>17</sup> In our study, adherence with rivaroxaban was high (93%) at the moment of being included in the study. Medication persistence is essential in patients with chronic conditions, such as AF. All these data indicate that medication persistence and adherence are high among patients taking rivaroxaban in routine practice.

Not only AF but also anticoagulation treatment is associated with poorer quality of life.<sup>52</sup> Although it has been reported that satisfaction with treatment is high among patients with AF chronically anticoagulated with VKA,<sup>53</sup> the XANTUS study demonstrated that switching from VKA to rivaroxaban was associated with an improvement of quality of life.<sup>35</sup> In our study, satisfaction with rivaroxaban was high (low burden and high benefit with anticoagulated.

Although including data from different studies may increase the risk of bias, the high number of patients included throughout Spain, the similar study designs, and the accuracy of data recorded may diminish this risk. Of note, not all variables could be recorded in all studies, and some analyses were not performed with the data of the six studies. In addition, comparing our data with those of the XANTUS and the ROCKET-AF studies has some limitations, as these studies had a different design and inclusion criteria. However, due to the importance of these studies about the use of rivaroxaban, these comparisons may be of interest. Finally, the results of our study can be applied only to patients with a similar clinical profile and health care system.

### Conclusion

NVAF patients taking rivaroxaban for the prevention of stroke in routine practice in Spain were elderly and had a high thromboembolic risk, and more than 40% of patients had a high bleeding risk. Patients included in the HEROIC and EMIR studies were older and had more renal insufficiency and a higher thromboembolic risk than those in the XANTUS study, and patients included in the ALADIN study were older and had more prior cerebrovascular disease, but a lower thromboembolic risk than those in the ROCKET-AF trial (rivaroxaban arm), suggesting that in Spain, rivaroxaban is prescribed in a wide range of NVAF patients. Approximately 58% of patients were taking VKA before starting treatment with rivaroxaban, with poor INR control being the main reason for switching. However, according to guidelines, treatment naïve patients with NVAF should start anticoagulant treatment with DOACs, instead of VKA. Overall, 74% of patients were taking rivaroxaban 20 mg, and 26% rivaroxaban 15 mg. In the majority of patients, rivaroxaban was properly prescribed, even better than with other DOACs, likely due to its high simplicity of use (i.e. a single daily dose and dosage adjustment only according to renal function). Medication adherence and satisfaction with rivaroxaban were high. All these data strongly suggest that rivaroxaban is a good alternative for the treatment of NVAF patients.

**Contributions:** All authors contributed equally to the preparation of this review. All named authors meet the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) criteria for authorship for this article, take responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole, and have given their approval for this version to be published.

**Disclosure and potential conflicts of interest:** MA has received funding for consultancy services and lecturing from Bayer, Daiichi-Sankyo and Pfizer. MDLF has received funding from Bayer Hispania for designing and publishing SILVER and BRONZE studies. APC has no conflict of interest to declare. CSF has received funding for consultancy services and lecturing from Bayer, Daiichi-Sankyo and Pfizer. The International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) Potential Conflicts of Interests form for the authors is available for download at http://www.drugsincontext.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/dic.212606-COLpdf

Acknowledgments: Editorial assistance was provided by Content Ed Net, Madrid, Spain.

Funding declaration: Editorial assistance was funded by Bayer Hispania.

**Copyright:** Copyright © 2019 Anguita M, de la Figuera M, Pérez Cabeza AI, Suarez Fernández C. https://doi.org/10.7573/dic.212606. Published by Drugs in Context under Creative Commons License Deed CC BY NC ND 4.0, which allows anyone to copy, distribute, and transmit the article provided it is properly attributed in the manner specified below. No commercial use without permission.

**Correct attribution:** Copyright © 2019 Anguita M, de la Figuera M, Pérez Cabeza AI, Suarez Fernández C. Published by Drugs in Context under Creative Commons License Deed CC BY NC ND 4.0.

**Article URL:** https://www.drugsincontext.com/clinical-profile-and-management-of-rivaroxaban-in-patients-with-atrial-fibrillation-in-routine-practice-in-spain:-data-from-six-nationwide-studies/

**Correspondence:** Manuel Anguita, Hospital Universitario Reina Sofia, Ctra. Av. Menendez Pidal, s/n, 14004, Córdoba, Spain. manuelp.anguita.sspa@juntadeandalucia.es

**Provenance:** submitted; externally peer reviewed.

Submitted: 18 June 2019; Peer review comments to author: 13 August 2019; Revised manuscript received: 3 September 2019; Accepted: 9 September 2019; Publication date: 9 October 2019.

Drugs in Context is published by BioExcel Publishing Ltd. Registered office: Plaza Building, Lee High Road, London, England, SE13 5PT.

BioExcel Publishing Limited is registered in England Number 10038393. VAT GB 252 7720 07.

For all manuscript and submissions enquiries, contact the Editor-in-Chief gordon.mallarkey@bioexcelpublishing.com

For all permissions, rights and reprints, contact David Hughes david.hughes@bioexcelpublishing.com

### References

- 1. Alkhouli M, Noseworthy PA, Rihal CS, Holmes DR Jr. Stroke prevention in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: a stakeholder perspective. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018;71(24):2790–2801. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.04.013
- 2. Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. *Eur Heart J.* 2016;37(38):2893–2962. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehw210
- 3. Suárez Fernández C, Formiga F, Camafort M, et al. Antithrombotic treatment in elderly patients with atrial fibrillation: a practical approach. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2015;15:143. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12872-015-0137-7
- 4. Wehbe RM, Yadlapati A. Underuse of oral anticoagulants for nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: past, present, and future. *Tex Heart Inst J.* 2016;43(4):287–290. https://doi.org/10.14503/THIJ-16-5785
- 5. Barrios V, Calderón A, Escobar C, de la Figuera M. Patients with atrial fibrillation in a primary care setting: Val-FAAP study. *Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed)*. 2012;65(1):47–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.recesp.2011.08.008
- Ruff CT, Giugliano RP, Braunwald E, et al. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of new oral anticoagulants with warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis of randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2014;383(9921):955–962. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62343-0
- Escobar C, Martí-Almor J, Pérez Cabeza A, Martínez-Zapata MJ. Direct oral anticoagulants versus vitamin K antagonists in real-life patients with atrial fibrillation. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed)*. 2019;72(4):305–316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2018.03.009
- 8. Spence JD. Cardioembolic stroke: everything has changed. *Stroke Vasc Neurol*. 2018;3(2):76–83. https://doi.org/10.1136/svn-2018-000143
- 9. Pol D, Curtis C, Ramukumar S, Bittinger L. NOACs now mainstream for the use of anticoagulation in non-valvular atrial fibrillation in Australia. *Heart Lung Circ*. 2019;28(4):e40–e42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hlc.2018.03.010
- 10. Admassie E, Chalmers L, Bereznicki LR. Changes in oral anticoagulant prescribing for stroke prevention in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Am J Cardiol*. 2017;120(7):1133–1138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2017.06.055

- 11. Oqab Z, Pournazari P, Sheldon RS. What is the impact of frailty on prescription of anticoagulation in elderly patients with atrial fibrillation? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Atr Fibrillation*. 2018;10(6):1870. https://doi.org/10.4022/jafib.1870
- 12. Patel MR, Mahaffey KW, Garg J, et al. Rivaroxaban versus warfarin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365(10): 883–891. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1009638
- Barón-Esquivias G, Marín F, Sanmartín Fernandez M. Rivaroxaban in patients with atrial fibrillation: from ROCKET AF to everyday practice. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther*. 2017;15(5):403–413. https://doi.org/10.1080/14779072.2017.1309293
- 14. Barrios V, Escobar C. From clinical trials to clinical practice. Experience with rivaroxaban in the anticoagulant treatment of patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. *Semergen*. 2017;43(3):222–229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semerg.2016.01.016
- Camm AJ, Amarenco P, Haas S, et al. XANTUS: a real-world, prospective, observational study of patients treated with rivaroxaban for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J.* 2016;37(14):1145–1153. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehv466
- 16. Martí E, Segado A, Pastor-Galán I, et al. Use of rivaroxaban for the prevention of stroke in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation in Spain. *Future Cardiol*. 2018;14(3s):3–8. https://doi.org/10.2217/fca-2018-0020
- 17. Pérez Cabeza AI, González Correa JA, Chinchurreta Capote PA, et al. Drug persistence and outcomes in a cohort of patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation treated with rivaroxaban after 2 years of follow-up in clinical practice. *Future Cardiol*. 2018;14(3s):9–16. https://doi.org/10.2217/fca-2018-0021
- 18. Muñiz Lobato S, Tarrazo Tarrazo C, González Fernández E, Morán Alcalá M. *Future Cardiol*. 2018;14(3s):17–24. https://doi.org/10.2217/fca-2018-0022
- 19. Gavín Sebastián O, Izuzquiza Fernández M, Martínez Fernández R, Palomera Bernal L. Anticoagulation with rivaroxaban in a hematology unit: clinical profile, events and discontinuation rates in real-life patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Future Cardiol*. 2018 May;14(3s):25–30. https://doi.org/10.2217/fca-2018-0023
- 20. Cerezo-Manchado JJ, Navarro-Almenzar B, Elvira-Ruiz G, et al. Effectiveness and safety of rivaroxaban in a cohort of 142 patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation treated with rivaroxaban for the prevention of stroke. *Future Cardiol*. 2018;14(3s):31–37. https://doi.org/10.2217/fca-2018-0024
- 21. Brun Guinda D, Callen García Ó, Ondiviela Pérez J, et al. Clinical profile, management and outcomes in a cohort of elderly and highly comorbid patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation treated with rivaroxaban in routine practice. *Future Cardiol*. 2018;14(3s):39–45. https://doi.org/10.2217/fca-2018-0025
- 22. Pimentel Quezada Y, Bonilla Palomas JL, Gámez López AL, et al. Has the clinical profile of patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation treated with rivaroxaban changed in the last 5 years of use? *Future Cardiol*. 2018;14(3s):47–53. https://doi.org/10.2217/fca-2018-0026
- 23. Peris Vidal J, Ferreiro Argüelles M, Hidalgo Urbano RJ, et al. Patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation treated with rivaroxaban in Spain: unequal access to oral direct anticoagulants (HEROIC study). *Cardiocore*. 2018;53(4), 159–165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carcor.2018.04.002
- 24. Marín F, Rivera Caravaca JM, Esteve Pastor MA, et al. Atherothrombotic risk profile in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation treated with rivaroxaban in Spain. *Rev Esp Cardiol*. 2018;71 (Suppl 1):A6009–151.
- 25. Sanmartín Fernández M, Anguita Sánchez M, Marín F, et al. Not adequate dosage of rivaroxaban according to creatinine clearance in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. Data from a multicenter and prospective study. *Rev Esp Cardiol*. 2018;71 (Suppl 1):A6003–74.
- 26. de la Figuera M, Cinza S, Egocheaga I, Marín N, Prieto MA; SILVER BRONCE-AP Investigators. Clinical characteristics and management of patients with atrial fibrillation treated with direct oral anticoagulants according to blood pressure control. *Hipertens Riesgo Vasc.* 2018;35(4):e1–e9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hipert.2018.01.003
- 27. de la Figuera M, Prieto MA, Marín N, Egocheaga I, Cinza S; on behalf of the SILVER BRONCE-AP Investigators. Differences in the management of patients with atrial fibrillation according to whether primary care or the specialist initiates treatment with direct oral anticoagulants. The SILVER-AP and BRONCE-AP studies. *Semergen*. 2018;44(5):323–334. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semerg.2017.09.005
- 28. de la Figuera M, Cinza S, Marín N, Egocheaga I, Prieto MA; on behalf og the SILVER-AP Investigators. Clinical characteristics of patients with atrial fibrillation treated with direct oral anticoagulants attended in primary care setting. The SILVER-AP study. *Aten Primaria*. 2018;50(6):359–367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aprim.2017.05.009
- Suárez C, Pose A, Montero-Pérez-Barquero M, et al. Validation of satisfaction questionnaire ACTS in outpatients with atrial fibrillation treated with oral anticoagulants in Spain. ALADIN Study. *Med Clin (Barc)*. 2016;147(5):192–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medcli.2016.05.024

- Contreras Muruaga MM, Reig G, Vivancos J, et al. Factors associated with poor anticoagulation control with vitamin K antagonists among outpatients attended in internal medicine and neurology. The ALADIN study. *Rev Clin Esp.* 2018;218(7):327–335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rce.2018.04.020
- 31. Reig-Rosello G, Contreras MM, Suarez-Fernandez C, et al. Clinical profile and satisfaction with anticoagulated treatment in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation attended in Internal Medicine and Neurology departments of Spain. *Rev Neurol.* 2017;65(8):361–367. https://doi.org/10.33588/rn.6508.2017063
- Suárez Fernández C, Mostaza JM, Castilla Guerra L, et al. Adherence to recommendations of the Therapeutic Positioning Report about treatment with oral anticoagulants in elderly patients with atrial fibrillation. The ESPARTA study. *Med Clin (Barc)*. 2018;151(1):8–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medcli.2017.07.025
- 33. Mostaza JM, Suárez Fernández C, Castilla Guerra L, et al. Type and doses of oral anticoagulants and adherence to anticoagulant treatment in elderly patients with atrial fibrillation: the ESPARTA study. J Comp Eff Res. 2018;7(3):223–232. https://doi.org/10.2217/cer-2017-0034
- 34. Suárez Fernández C, Castilla-Guerra L, Cantero Hinojosa J, et al. Satisfaction with oral anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Patient Prefer Adherence*. 2018;12:267–274. https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S152109
- 35. Coleman CI, Haas S, Turpie AG, et al. Impact of switching from a vitamin K antagonist to rivaroxaban on satisfaction with anticoagulation therapy: The XANTUS-ACTS Substudy. *Clin Cardiol*. 2016;39(10):565–569. https://doi.org/10.1002/clc.22565
- 36. Rosendaal FR, Cannegieter SC, Van der Meer FJ, Briët E. A method to determine the optimal intensity of oral anticoagulant therapy. *Thromb Haemost.* 1993;69(3):236–239. PubMed PMID: 8470047
- 37. Haynes RB, Taylor DW, Sackett DL, Gibson ES, Bernholz CD, Mukherjee J. Can simple clinical measurements detect patient noncompliance? *Hypertension*. 1980;2(6):757–764. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.hyp.2.6.757
- 38. Morisky DE, Green LW, Levine DM. Concurrent and predictive validity of a self-reported measure of medication adherence. *Med Care*. 1986;24(1):67–74. PubMed PMID: 3945130
- 39. Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, et al. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361(12):1139–1151. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0905561
- 40. Granger CB, Alexander JH, McMurray JJ, et al. Apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365(11):981–992. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1107039
- 41. Giugliano RP, Ruff CT, Braunwald E, et al; ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 Investigators. Edoxaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369(22):2093–2104. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1310907
- 42. Steffel J, Verhamme P, Potpara TS, et al. The 2018 European Heart Rhythm Association Practical Guide on the use of non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39(16):1330–1393. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehy136
- 43. Renda G, Ricci F, Giugliano RP, De Caterina R. Non-Vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation and valvular heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;69(11):1363–1371. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2016.12.038
- Yu AYX, Malo S, Svenson LW, Wilton SB, Hill MD. Temporal trends in the use and comparative effectiveness of direct oral anticoagulant agents versus warfarin for nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: A Canadian Population-Based Study. J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6(11):pii: e007129. https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.117.007129
- 45. Eikelboom JW, Weitz JI. 'Real world' use of non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants (NOACs): lessons from the Dresden NOAC Registry. *Thromb Haemost*. 2015;113(6):1159–1161. https://doi.org/10.1160/TH15-02-0158
- 46. Therapeutic Positioning Report UT\_ACOD/V5/21112016. Criteria and general recommendations for the use of direct oral anticoagulants in the prevention of stroke and systemic embolism in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. https://www.aemps.gob.es/medicamentosUsoHumano/informesPublicos/docs/criterios-anticoagulantes-orales.pdf. [Last accessed July 20, 2018].
- 47. Barrios V, Escobar C, Prieto L, et al. Anticoagulation control in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation attended at primary care centers in Spain: The PAULA Study. *Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed)*. 2015;68(9):769–776. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2015.04.017
- Anguita Sánchez M, Bertomeu Martínez V, Cequier Fillat Á; CALIFA study researchers. Quality of Vitamin K antagonist anticoagulation in Spain: prevalence of poor control and associated factors. *Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed)*. 2015;68(9):761–768. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2014.11.019
- 49. Piccini JP, Hellkamp AS, Washam JB, et al. Polypharmacy and the efficacy and safety of rivaroxaban versus warfarin in the prevention of stroke in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2016;133(4):352–360. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.018544
- 50. Yao X, Shah ND, Sangaralingham LR, Gersh BJ, Noseworthy PA. Non-Vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant dosing in patients with atrial fibrillation and renal dysfunction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;69(23):2779–2790. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2017.03.600
- Ruiz Ortiz M, Muñiz J, Raña Míguez P, et al. Inappropriate doses of direct oral anticoagulants in real-world clinical practice: prevalence and associated factors. A subanalysis of the FANTASIIA Registry. *Europace*. 2018;20(10):1577–1583. https://doi.org/10.1093/europace/eux316

- 52. Badia X, Arribas F, Ormaetxe JM, Peinado R, de Los Terreros MS. Development of a questionnaire to measure health-related quality of life (HRQoL) in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF-QoL). *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2017;5:37. https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-5-37
- 53. Escobar C, Barrios V, Prieto L, Lobos JM, Polo J, Vargas D; Paula Study Team. Perception of patients regarding burdens and benefits of vitamin K antagonists among patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Cardiovasc Hematol Agents Med Chem*. 2018;16(2):106–113. https://doi.org/10.2174/1871525716666180608075834