

Comparison of Ifosfamide, Carboplatin and Etoposide versus Etoposide, Steroid, and Cytarabine Cisplatin as Salvage Chemotherapy in Patients with Refractory or Relapsed Hodgkin's lymphoma

Abstract

Background: Refractory or relapsed Hodgkin's disease (HD) occurs in 10-50% of patients. The treatment of choice for these patients is high-dose chemotherapy (HDCT) and autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT). Response to salvage chemotherapy (SCT) partial remission (PR) is necessary before HDCT with ASCT. However, its applicability is restricted mostly to patients responding to salvage chemotherapy. Optimal salvage regimen for these patients is unclear. In this study, our aim was to compare the efficacy profiles of ifosfamide, carboplatin, and etoposide (ICE) and etoposide-steroid-cytarabine-cisplatin (ESHAP) (cytosine arabinoside, cisplatin, and dexamethasone) regimens in the salvage treatment of relapsed or refractory HD. **Materials and Methods:** In this retrospective analysis, 114 patients with primary refractory or relapsed HD who received ICE or ESHAP salvage regimen were included. **Results:** Of 114 patients, 47 (41.2%) were females and the median age was 31.5 years. Response could be evaluated in 114 patients. Of 114 patients, 38 (33%) achieved complete remission (CR) and 21 (18.4%) achieved PR, leading to an overall response rate (ORR: CR + PR) of 51.4%. In the evaluable ICE group ($n = 41$), rates of CR, PR, and ORR were 21.9%, 17.1%, and 39% and in the ESHAP group ($n = 73$), rates of CR, PR, and ORR were 39.7%, 19.2%, and 58.9% (for ORR, $P = 0.04$), respectively. **Conclusion:** In patients with relapsed or refractory HD, treatment with ESHAP seems to have higher rates of response than ICE regimen does.

Keywords: Carboplatin, etoposide, etoposide-steroid-cytarabine-cisplatin, Hodgkin's lymphoma, ifosfamide, refractory, relapse

Introduction

Over 90% of patients with localized Hodgkin's disease (HD) get cured. In patients with good prognostic factors, extended field radiotherapy (RT) had a high cure rate. Increasingly, patients with all stages of HD are treated initially with chemotherapy (CT).^[1,2]

The prognosis of Hodgkin's lymphoma patients has improved very much.^[2,3] Although new CT regimens plus new RT techniques and immunotherapy result in high complete remission (CR) rates, 10-30% of patients with HD do not achieve a CR. Also 40-60% of patients suffer relapse shortly after achieving CR.^[4-12]

The patients with refractory or relapsed HD still have a poor prognosis.^[4] Currently, high-dose chemotherapy (HDCT) with autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT)

is the treatment of choice for such relapsed or refractory patients.^[4,13-19]

In addition, superiority of HDCT followed by ASCT compared with conventional salvage chemotherapy (SCT) in relapsed or refractory disease was demonstrated in prospective trials for HD and NHL (Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma).^[4,14,16,17] It was also shown that a maximal reduction of tumor load prior to transplantation is important.^[4,13,15,18,20,21]

A number of SC (Salvage Chemotherapy) regimens have been proposed in order to provide maximum cytoreduction before HDCT and improve the outcome of patients with relapsed or refractory lymphoma.

Most commonly used SC regimens including DHAP (Dexamethasone, High

Valiollah Mehrzad,
Farzaneh Ashrafi,
Ali Reza Farrashi¹,
Reyhaneh
Pourmarjani²,
Mehdi Dehghani³,
Armindokht
Shahsanaei⁴

From the Department of Hematology and Medical Oncology, ¹Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, ²Medical School, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, ³Departments of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, ⁴Medical School, Research Deputy of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Valiollah Mehrzad,
Department of Hematology
and Medical Oncology,
School of Medicine,
Isfahan University of
Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran.
E-mail: valimehrzad@yahoo.
com

Access this article online

Website: www.advbiores.net

DOI: 10.4103/2277-9175.201687

Quick Response Code:



How to cite this article: Mehrzad V, Ashrafi F, Farrashi AR, Pourmarjani R, Dehghani M, Shahsanaei A. Comparison of Ifosfamide, Carboplatin and Etoposide versus Etoposide, Steroid, and Cytarabine Cisplatin as Salvage Chemotherapy in Patients with Refractory or Relapsed Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Adv Biomed Res* 2017;6:30.

Received: July, 2012. **Accepted:** January, 2013.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

dose cytozin Arabinozid, Platinum which nowadays its expansion is known as cytosine arabinoside, cisplatin, and dexamethasone), etoposide-steroid-cytarabine-cisplatin (ESHAP which previously was the abbreviation of Etoposide, Steroid, High dose cytozin Arabinosid, Platinum and today stands for the mentioned expansion) (etoposide, methylprednisolone, high-dose cytosine Arabinoside, and Cisplatin), IIVP (Ifosfamide, Idarubicin, and VeP), and ifosfamide, carboplatin, and etoposide (ICE) with or without rituximab(R) can lead to CR of 10-60% and overall response rate (ORR) of 40-80%.^[4,21-30] Optimal SC regimen for these patients is unclear and it needs more studies to improve transplant eligibility and long-term outcome.

In our study, the aim was to compare the efficacy of the two salvage regimens, namely ESHAP and ICE, in the treatment of relapsed or refractory Hodgkin's lymphoma, retrospectively.

Materials and Methods

Patients

In this double center retrospective analysis, 114 patients who had complete data, with primary refractory or relapsed HD who received ICE or ESHAP SCT at the Medical Oncology Department of Esfahan and educational hospitals of Shiraz University from April 2002 to April 2012 were included. Inclusion criteria were as follows: Adequate organ function as defined by a left ventricular ejection fraction greater than 45%; creatinine clearance ≥ 60 mL/min; total bilirubin < 2 mg/dL; and serum transaminase levels $< 3 \times$ upper limit of normal value. The patients with incomplete data were exited from our study. There was no age restriction.

Primary refractory disease was defined as failure to achieve CR with a front-line regimen or CR duration of < 3 months after the completion of CT or progression during front-line treatment. Early relapse was defined as a CR lasting for ≥ 3 months but < 12 months and late relapse as ≥ 12 months.^[3-5,8,31,32]

All patients had biopsy-proven Hodgkin's lymphoma. Details of patient characteristics and disease status prior to SCT were obtained. Patient's first-line CT regimen was ABVD (Doxorubicin, Bleomycin, Vinblastine, and Dacarbazine). Current stage was evaluated.^[33] For staging purposes; the patients' files which included their physical examination results, chest X-ray and computed tomography scans, bone marrow aspirate and biopsy, and gallium scans, were reviewed.

Salvage therapy

Seventy-three patients were given ESHAP and 41 patients were given ICE. After completion of the treatment, patients were followed up. The ESHAP regimen typically was administered for every 3-4 weeks: Etoposide, 40 mg/m² on

days 1-4 given intravenously; methylprednisolone, 500 mg on days 1-4 given intravenously; cytarabine, 2000 mg/m² on day 5 given intravenously; and Cisplatin, 25 mg/m² on days 1-4 given intravenously.^[28,34,35]

The ICE regimen was administered as follows: Ifosfamide, 1670 mg/m² with an equal dose of MESNA (2-Mercapto Ethane SulfuNate), IV on days 1, 2, and 3; carboplatin (area under the curve = 5, Calvert's formula to a maximum dose of 800 mg) IV on day 2; etoposide, 100 mg/m²/d IV on days 1, 2, and 3; and G-CSF (Granulocyte Colony Stimulating Factor) administered at 5 μ g/kg on days 5-12 subcutaneously.^[4,15,26,31,36]

Assessment of response

Response to therapy was decided on the basis of comparison of case records assessed by physical examination of all palpable lymph node regions (before each course) and computed tomography scans of the involved sites performed before and after the 2nd or 3rd courses of SC according to the standard response definition criteria by the International Working Group.^[4,37] A CR was defined as the disappearance of all clinical and radiographic evidence of disease for at least 1 month. Partial remission (PR) was defined as a greater than 50% reduction in the product of the largest diameter and its perpendicular to measurable disease lasting more than 1 month. Any response less than PR were considered failure of treatment.^[4]

Statistical analysis

For data analysis, computer-based statistical package for social sciences for windows, version 19.0 (SPSS 19.0) was used. Differences between dichotomous variables were tested by Chi-square test. Unless otherwise stated, all the *P* values were two-sided with a *P* value of 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient characteristics

Median age of patients was 31.5 years (range: 15-69 years). Of 114 relapsed or refractory patients, 67 (58.8%) were male and 78 patients (78.9%) were younger than 45 years. Patients' clinicopathological characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Efficacy

Response could be evaluated in all patients (100%). Of them, 38 (33%) patients achieved CR and 21 (18.4%) achieved PR, leading to an ORR of 51.4%. In the ESHAP group, rates of CR, PR, and ORR were 39.7%, 19.2%, and 58.9%, respectively. In the ICE group, rates of CR, PR, and ORR were 21.9%, 17.1%, and 39%, respectively. The response rates for ESHAP regimen seem to be higher than those for ICE that was statistically significant (*P* = 0.04) [Table 2].

Table 1: Clinical and pathological characteristics of patients

	ESHAP (73) (%)	ICE (41) (%)
Sex		
Male	43 (58.9)	24 (58.5)
Female	30 (41.1)	17 (41.5)
Age		
≥45	15 (20.5)	9 (22)
<45	58 (79.5)	32 (78)
Stages		
1 and 2	45 (61.6)	21 (51.2)
3 and 4	28 (38.4)	20 (48.8)
Disease status at SCT		
Refractory	19 (26.0)	4 (9.7)
Early relapse	18 (24.6)	12 (29.2)
Late relapse	36 (49.4)	25 (61.1)
HB		
≥10	48 (65.8)	27 (65.9)
<10	25 (34.2)	14 (34.1)
LDH		
<480	51 (69.8)	28 (68.2)
≥480	22 (30.2)	13 (31.8)
BM involvement		
No	57 (78.1)	31 (75.6)
Yes	16 (21.9)	10 (24.4)

ESHAP: Etoposide–steroid–cytarabine–cisplatin, LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase, HB: Hemoglobin, BM: Bone marrow, SCT: Salvage chemotherapy, 1-It seems lesser number of patients in stage 3 and 4 was due to their mortality, ICE: Ifosfamide, carboplatin and etoposide

Table 2: Response of relapsed or refractory Hodgkin's disease patients to salvage chemotherapy

Response (%)	ESHAP n=73 (100%)	ICE n=41 (100%)
CR	29 (39.7)	9 (21.9)
PR	14 (19.2)	7 (17.1)
ORR=CR+PR	43 (58.9)	16 (39.0)
Failure	30 (41.1)	25 (69.0)

CR: Complete response, PR: Partial response, ORR: Overall response rate, ESHAP: Etoposide–steroid–cytarabine–cisplatin, ICE: Ifosfamide, carboplatin and etoposide, HD: Hodgkin's disease

Comparisons

Our study showed that there were no significant statistical differences in below items:

- Female patients ($P = 0.404$)
- Patients younger than 45 years ($P = 0.395$)
- Refractory patients ($P = 0.275$)
- Patients with early relapse ($P = 0.053$)
- Patients with late relapse ($P = 0.761$)
- Patients in stages 1 and 2 ($P = 0.075$)
- Patients in stages 3 and 4 ($P = 0.521$)^[1]
- Patients with hemoglobin equivalent and more than 10 mg/dL ($P = 0.273$)
- Patients with hemoglobin less than 10 mg/dl ($P = 0.340$)
- Patients with Bone Marrow involvement ($P = 0.146$)

- Patients without BM involvement ($P = 0.60$)
- Those who had lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) more than 480 ($P = 0.124$)
- Those who had LDH less than 480 ($P = 0.155$).

But in male patients ($P = 0.046$) and patients older than 45 years ($P = 0.025$), statistical differences were significant.

Response to treatment according to clinical and pathological characteristic is summarized in Table 3 for ESHAP group and Table 4 for ICE group.

Discussion

Results of this study show that ORR in ICE group was 39% and that in ESHAP group was 58.9%. In similar studies, ORR of SCT regimens has been reported from 10-80%. For example, Imataki *et al.* reported response rate of 68% with salvage ESHAP regimen versus salvage ACES regimen.^[16] Abali *et al.*, by comparing ICE and DHAP regimens, reported response rate of 68% with ICE group versus 48% for DHAP regimen.^[4]

The treatment outcomes of conventional dose SCT regimens are still not satisfactory especially for primary refractory or relapsed patients.^[3-11] Nevertheless, they can achieve long-term disease-free survival after HDCT and ASCT. The role of HDCT and ASCT in the treatment of relapsed or refractory lymphoma was demonstrated in three randomized trials, including BNLI, PARMA, and HD-R1.^[4,14,16,17,38] Also, it was shown that the most important factors affecting outcome in HDCT studies are chemo sensitivity to SCT, tumor load, and remission status before HDCT.^[4,13,15,18,20,21] Thus, it is clinically important to have an effective and well-tolerated SCT regimen. Numerous regimens have been used for cytoreduction prior to ASCT including DHAP, ICE, ESHAP, and IVP with or without R. Response rates to these commonly used second-line regimens for patients with relapsed or refractory lymphoma vary between 40% and 80%.^[4,21-29] Although these regimens had been found to be effective, they had different toxicity profiles, and unfortunately prospective randomized studies comparing these regimens are lacking. In this study of ICE versus ESHAP, rates of ORR for the entire cohort were 39% and 58.9%, respectively. For example, Moskowitz *et al.* reported response rates of 66% with salvage ICE regimen.^[30] Nordic Lymphoma Group reported 59% ORR and the ratio of patients with refractory disease was 27.5%.^[4,23] In our series, we demonstrated that the ESHAP regimen could achieve an ORR of 58.9% in patients with relapsed or refractory Hodgkin's lymphoma.

For response to SCT, age, interval time between primary treatment and relapse, hemoglobin, BM involvement, White Blood Cell count, and serum albumin level are the prognostic factors.^[39-41] It seems that the other factors such as tumor sensitivity to CT, immunohistochemical characteristics, primary pathology, and sensitivity are undetermined terms.

Table 3: Rate of response in etoposide–steroid–cytarabine–cisplatin group according to clinical and pathological characteristics

	CR (29)	PR (14)	ORR (43)	Failure (30)
Gender (%)				
Male	18 (41.8)	6 (13.9)	24 (55.7)	19 (44.3)
Female	11 (36.7)	8 (26.7)	19 (63.4)	11 (36.6)
Age (%)				
≥45	8 (53.3)	1 (6.6)	9 (59.9)	6 (40.1)
<45	21 (36.2)	13 (22.4)	34 (58.6)	24 (41.4)
Disease state at SCT (%)				
Refractory	7 (36.8)	5 (26.3)	12 (63.1)	7 (36.9)
Early relapsed	11 (61.1)	1 (5.5)	12 (66.6)	6 (33.4)
Late relapsed	11 (30.5)	8 (22.2)	19 (52.7)	17 (47.3)
Stage (%)				
I, II	19 (42.2)	7 (15.5)	26 (57.7)	19 (42.3)
III, IV	10 (35.7)	7 (25.0)	17 (60.7)	11 (39.3)
Hb (%)				
≥10	18 (37.5)	12 (25.0)	30 (62.5)	18 (37.5)
<10	11 (44.0)	2 (8.0)	13 (52.0)	12 (48.0)
BM involvement (%)				
Yes	5 (31.2)	3 (18.7)	8 (49.9)	8 (51.1)
No	24 (42.1)	11 (19.3)	35 (61.4)	22 (38.6)
LDH (%)				
≥480	11 (47.8)	3 (13.0)	14 (60.8)	9 (39.2)
<480	18 (36.0)	11 (22.0)	29 (58.0)	21 (42.0)

CR: Complete response, PR: Partial response, ORR: Overall response rate, LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase, HB: Hemoglobin, BM: Bone marrow, SCT: Salvage chemotherapy

Our analysis had several limitations: First, it is a retrospective analysis and the fact that the small number of sample size limits its statistical power is the second limitation. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no prospective randomized trial comparing salvage ESHAP versus ICE regimens. Third, the initially unmatched treatment groups revealed significant differences in patient's clinicopathological characteristics. Finally, long-term survival such as mortality, morbidity, and outcome of ASCT (if to be done) were not evaluated.

We suggest more similar prospective randomized trial study to be done with more variable salvage regimen and evaluation of more factors, such as primary pathology of tumor, cytogenetic differences, immunohistochemical studies, and patient's long-term survival to determine optimal SCT regimen for these patients.

Despite its limitations, our study gives an idea on the efficacy and tolerability of both regimens in the treatment of patients with relapsed or refractory Hodgkin's lymphoma. In Iran, our evaluation was the first study for comparison of SCT regimens in HD. In similar studies, patients were included in both HL and NHL, but in our study there were only HD patients.

Table 4: Rate of response in ifosfamide-carboplatin–etoposide group according to clinical and pathological characteristics

	CR (9)	PR (7)	ORR (16)	Failure (25)
Gender (%)				
Male	3 (12.5)	5 (20.8)	8 (33.3)	16 (66.7)
Female	6 (35.2)	2 (11.7)	8 (46.9)	9 (53.1)
Age (%)				
≥45	0 (00.0)	2 (22.2)	2 (22.2)	7 (77.8)
<45	9 (28.1)	5 (15.6)	14 (43.7)	18 (56.3)
Disease state at SCT (%)				
Refractory	0 (00.0)	1 (25.0)	1 (25.0)	3 (75.0)
Early relapsed	2 (16.6)	2 (16.6)	4 (33.2)	8 (66.8)
Late relapsed	7 (28.0)	4 (16.0)	11 (44.0)	14 (56.0)
Stage (%)				
I, II	3 (14.3)	4 (19.1)	7 (33.4)	14 (66.6)
III, IV	6 (30.0)	3 (15.0)	9 (45.0)	11 (55.0)
Hb (%)				
≥10	6 (22.2)	6 (22.2)	12 (44.4)	15 (55.6)
<10	3 (21.4)	1 (7.1)	4 (28.5)	10 (71.5)
BM involvement (%)				
Yes	2 (20.0)	1 (10.0)	3 (30.0)	7 (70.0)
No	7 (22.6)	6 (19.3)	13 (41.9)	18 (58.1)
LDH (%)				
≥480	2 (15.3)	4 (30.6)	6 (45.9)	7 (54.1)
<480	7 (25.0)	3 (10.7)	10 (35.7)	18 (64.3)

CR: Complete response, PR: Partial response, ORR: Overall response rate, LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase, HB: Hemoglobin, BM: Bone marrow, SCT: Salvage chemotherapy

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Longo D. Malignancies of lymphoid cells. In: Fauci A, Kasper D, Longo D, Braunwald E, Hauser S, Jameson J, et al., editors. Harrison's internal medicine. 17th ed. New York: Mc Graw-Hill Companies; 2008. p. 698-9.
2. Lacy J, Seropian S. Disorders of lymphocytes. In: Carpenter CH, Griggs R, Loscalzo J, editors. Cecil essentials of medicine. 6th ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Company; 2004. p. 472-4.
3. DeVita VT Jr. A selective history of the therapy of Hodgkin's disease. *Br J Haematol* 2003;122:718-27.
4. Abali H, Urün Y, Oksüzoğlu B, Budakoğlu B, Yildirim N, Güler T, et al. Comparison of ICE (ifosfamide-carboplatin-etoposide) versus DHAP (cytosine arabinoside-cisplatin-dexamethasone) as salvage chemotherapy in patients with relapsed or refractory lymphoma. *Cancer Invest* 2008;26:401-6.
5. Aleman BM, Raemaekers JM, Tirelli U, Bortolus R, van't Veer MB, Lybeert ML, et al. Involved-field radiotherapy for advanced Hodgkin's lymphoma. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348:2396-406.

6. Cheson BD. What is new in lymphoma? *CA Cancer J Clin* 2004;54:260-72.
7. Coiffier B, Lepage E, Briere J, Herbrecht R, Tilly H, Bouabdallah R, *et al.* CHOP chemotherapy plus rituximab compared with CHOP alone in elderly patients with diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346:235-42.
8. Diehl V, Franklin J, Pfreundschuh M, Lathan B, Paulus U, Hasenclever D, *et al.* Standard and increased-dose BEACOPP chemotherapy compared with COPP-ABVD for advanced Hodgkin's disease. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348:2386-95.
9. Fisher RI, Gaynor ER, Dahlborg S, Oken MM, Grogan TM, Mize EM, *et al.* Comparison of a standard regimen (CHOP) with three intensive chemotherapy regimens for advanced non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *N Engl J Med* 1993;328:1002-6.
10. Miller TP, Dahlborg S, Cassady JR, Adelstein DJ, Spier CM, Grogan TM, *et al.* Chemotherapy alone compared with chemotherapy plus radiotherapy for localized intermediate-and high-grade non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:21-6.
11. Reyes F, Lepage E, Ganem G, Molina TJ, Brice P, Coiffier B, *et al.* ACVBP versus CHOP plus radiotherapy for localized aggressive lymphoma. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352:1197-205.
12. Santoro A, Bonadonna G, Valagussa P, Zucali R, Viviani S, Villani F, *et al.* Long-term results of combined chemotherapy-radiotherapy approach in Hodgkin's disease: Superiority of ABVD plus radiotherapy versus MOPP plus radiotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* 1987;5:27-37.
13. Josting A, Sieniawski M, Glossmann JP, Staak O, Nogova L, Peters N, *et al.* High-dose sequential chemotherapy followed by autologous stem cell transplantation in relapsed and refractory aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: Results of a multicenter phase II study. *Ann Oncol* 2005;16:1359-65.
14. Linch DC, Winfield D, Goldstone AH, Moir D, Hancock B, McMillan A, *et al.* Dose intensification with autologous bone-marrow transplantation in relapsed and resistant Hodgkin's disease: Results of a BNLI randomised trial. *Lancet* 1993;341:1051-4.
15. Moskowitz CH, Kewalramani T, Nimer SD, Gonzalez M, Zelenetz AD, Yahalom J. Effectiveness of high dose chemoradiotherapy and autologous stem cell transplantation for patients with biopsy-proven primary refractory Hodgkin's disease. *Br J Haematol* 2004;124:645-52.
16. Philip T, Guglielmi C, Hagenbeek A, Somers R, Van der Lelie H, Bron D, *et al.* Autologous bone marrow transplantation as compared with salvage chemotherapy in relapses of chemotherapy-sensitive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *N Engl J Med* 1995;333:1540-5.
17. Schmitz N, Pfistner B, Sextro M, Sieber M, Carella AM, Haenel M, *et al.* Aggressive conventional chemotherapy compared with high-dose chemotherapy with autologous haemopoietic stem-cell transplantation for relapsed chemosensitive Hodgkin's disease: A randomised trial. *Lancet* 2002;359:2065-71.
18. Vose JM, Zhang MJ, Rowlings PA, Lazarus HM, Bolwell BJ, Freytes CO, *et al.* Autologous transplantation for diffuse aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in patients never achieving remission: A report from the Autologous Blood and Marrow Transplant Registry. *J Clin Oncol* 2001;19:406-13.
19. Hamlin PA, Zelenetz AD, Kewalramani T, Qin J, Satagopan JM, Verbel D, *et al.* Age-adjusted international prognostic index predicts autologous stem cell transplantation outcome for patients with relapsed or primary refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood* 2003;102:1989-96.
20. Moskowitz CH, Nimer SD, Glassman JR, Portlock CS, Yahalom J, Straus DJ, *et al.* The International Prognostic Index predicts for outcome following autologous stem cell transplantation in patients with relapsed and primary refractory intermediate-grade lymphoma. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 1999;23:561-7.
21. Olivieri A, Brunori M, Capelli D, Montanari M, Massidda D, Gini G, *et al.* Salvage therapy with an outpatient DHAP schedule followed by PBSC transplantation in 79 lymphoma patients: An intention to mobilize and transplant analysis. *Eur J Haematol* 2004;72:10-7.
22. Abali H, Oyan B, Koc Y, Kars A, Barista I, Uner A, *et al.* IIVP salvage regimen induces high response rates in patients with relapsed lymphoma before autologous stem cell transplantation. *Am J Clin Oncol* 2005;28:264-9.
23. Jerkeman M, Leppä S, Kvaløy S, Holte H. ICE (ifosfamide, carboplatin, etoposide) as second-line chemotherapy in relapsed or primary progressive aggressive lymphoma: The Nordic Lymphoma Group experience. *Eur J Haematol* 2004;73:179-82.
24. Josting A, Rudolph C, Mapara M, Glossmann JP, Sieniawski M, Sieber M, *et al.* Cologne high-dose sequential chemotherapy in relapsed and refractory Hodgkin lymphoma: Results of a large multicenter study of the German Hodgkin Lymphoma Study Group (GHSG). *Ann Oncol* 2005;16:116-23.
25. Josting A, Rudolph C, Reiser M, Mapara M, Sieber M, Kirchner HH, *et al.* Time-intensified dexamethasone/cisplatin/cytarabine: An effective salvage therapy with low toxicity in patients with relapsed and refractory Hodgkin's disease. *Ann Oncol* 2002;13:1628-35.
26. Moskowitz CH, Bertino JR, Glassman JR, Hedrick EE, Hunte S, Coady-Lyons N, *et al.* Ifosfamide, carboplatin, and etoposide: A highly effective cytoreduction and peripheral-blood progenitor-cell mobilization regimen for transplant-eligible patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol* 1999;17:3776-85.
27. Salar A, Martino R, Perea G, Ribera JM, López-Guillermo A, Guardia R, *et al.* High-dose infusional ifosfamide, etoposide plus methylprednisolone followed by dexamethasone, high-dose ara-C and cisplatin and autologous stem cell transplantation for refractory or relapsed aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Haematologica* 2002;87:1028-35.
28. Velasquez WS, McLaughlin P, Tucker S, Hagemester FB, Swan F, Rodriguez MA, *et al.* ESHAP: An effective chemotherapy regimen in refractory and relapsing lymphoma: A 4-year follow-up study. *J Clin Oncol* 1994;12:1169-76.
29. Velasquez WS, Cabanillas F, Salvador P, McLaughlin P, Fridrik M, Tucker S, *et al.* Effective salvage therapy for lymphoma with cisplatin in combination with high-dose Ara-C and dexamethasone (DHAP). *Blood* 1988;71:117-22.
30. Wang WS, Chiou TJ, Liu JH, Fan FS, Yen CC, Tung SL, *et al.* ESHAP as salvage therapy for refractory non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: Taiwan experience. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 1999;29:33-7.
31. Imataki O, Tamai Y, Kawakami K. Comparison of salvage chemotherapy regimen ACES with ESHAP for refractory or relapsed malignant lymphoma. *Gan To Kagaku Ryoho* 2007;34:1629-32.
32. Intragumtornchai T, Bunworasate U, Nakorn TN, Rojnuckarin P. Rituximab-CHOP-ESHAP vs CHOP-ESHAP-high-dose therapy vs conventional CHOP chemotherapy in high-intermediate and high-risk aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2006;47:1306-14.
33. Lister TA, Crowther D, Sutcliffe SB, Glatstein E, Canellos GP, Young RC, *et al.* Report of a committee convened to discuss the evaluation and staging of patients with Hodgkin's disease: Cotswolds meeting. *J Clin Oncol* 1989;7:1630-6.

34. Moskowitz C. Risk-adapted therapy for relapsed and refractory lymphoma using ICE chemotherapy. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2002;49:S9-12.
35. Brandwein JM, Callum J, Sutcliffe SB, Scott JG, Keating A. Evaluation of cytoreductive therapy prior to high dose treatment with autologous bone marrow transplantation in relapsed and refractory Hodgkin's disease. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 1990;5:99-103.
36. Aparicio J, Segura A, Garcerá S, Oltra A, Santaballa A, Yuste A, *et al.* ESHAP is an active regimen for relapsing Hodgkin's disease. *Ann Oncol* 1999;10:593-595.
37. Cheson BD, Horning SJ, Coiffier B, Shipp MA, Fisher RI, Connors JM, *et al.* Report of an international workshop to standardize response criteria for non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. NCI Sponsored International Working Group. *J Clin Oncol* 1999;17:1244-1253.
38. Blay J, Gomez F, Sebban C, Bachelot T, Biron P, Guglielmi C, *et al.* The international prognostic index correlates to survival in patients with aggressive lymphoma in relapse: Analysis of the PARMA trial. *Parma Group. Blood* 1998;92:3562-8.
39. Horning S. Hodgkin lymphoma. In: Lichtman M, Kipps T, Kaushansky K, Beutler E, Seligsohn U, Prchal J, editors. *Williams Hematology*. 7th ed. New York: Mc Graw-Hill Companies; 2006. p. 1468-74.
40. Stein R, Morgan D. Hodgkin lymphoma. In: Greer J, Foester J, Rodgers G, Paraskevas F, Glader B, Arber D, *et al.* *Wintrobe's Clinical Hematology*. 12th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2009. p. 2330-1.
41. Hasenclever D, Diehl V. A prognostic score for advanced Hodgkin's disease. International prognostic factors project on advanced Hodgkin's disease. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:1506-14.