

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Biofilm



journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com/journal/biofilm

# Sub-MIC streptomycin and tetracycline enhanced *Staphylococcus aureus* Guangzhou-SAU749 biofilm formation, an in-depth study on transcriptomics

Junyan Liu<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Tengyi Huang<sup>c,1</sup>, Zhenbo Xu<sup>c,\*,1</sup>, Yuzhu Mao<sup>d</sup>, Thanapop Soteyome<sup>e</sup>, Gongliang Liu<sup>a,b</sup>, Chunyun Qu<sup>a,b</sup>, Lei Yuan<sup>f</sup>, Qin Ma<sup>g</sup>, Fang Zhou<sup>h</sup>, Gamini Seneviratne<sup>i</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Light Industry and Food Science, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Lingnan Specialty Food Science and Technology, Academy of Contemporary

Agricultural Engineering Innovations, Zhongkai University of Agriculture and Engineering, Guangzhou, 510225, China

<sup>b</sup> Key Laboratory of Green Processing and Intelligent Manufacturing of Lingnan Specialty Food, Ministry of Agriculture, Guangzhou, 510225, China

<sup>c</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Shantou University Medical College, Shantou, Guangdong, China

<sup>d</sup> School of Food Science and Engineering, Guangdong Province Key Laboratory for Green Processing of Natural Products and Product Safety, Engineering Research Center

of Starch and Vegetable Protein Processing Ministry of Education, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, 510640, China

<sup>e</sup> Home Economics Technology, Rajamangala University of Technology Phra Nakhon, Bangkok, Thailand

<sup>f</sup> School of Food Science and Engineering, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu, 225127, PR China

<sup>g</sup> Sericultural & Agri-Food Research Institute Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences/Key Laboratory of Functional Foods, Ministry of Agriculture /Guangdong Key

Laboratory of Agricultural Products Processing, Guangzhou, 510610, China

<sup>h</sup> The First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yan-Sen University, Guangzhou, 510080, China

<sup>i</sup> National Institute of Fundamental Studies, Hantana road, Kandy, Sri Lanka

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Staphylococcus aureus Biofilm Sub-minimum inhibitory concentration Tetracycline Streptomycin Two component system

### ABSTRACT

Staphylococcus aureus is a major human pathogen, a potential "Super-bug" and a typical biofilm forming bacteria. With usage of large amount of antibiotics, the residual antibiotics in clinical settings further complicate the colonization, pathogenesis and resistance of S. aureus. This study aimed at investigating the phenotypical and global gene expression changes on biofilm formation of a clinical S. aureus isolate treated under different types of antibiotics. Firstly, an isolate Guangzhou-SAU749 was selected from a large sale of previously identified S. aureus isolates, which exhibited weak biofilm formation in terms of biomass and viability. Secondly, 9 commonly prescribed antibiotics for S. aureus infections treatment, together with 10 concentrations ranging from 1/128 to 4 minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) with 2-fold serial dilution, were used as different antibiotic stress conditions. Then, biofilm formation of S. aureus Guangzhou-SAU749 at different stages including 8 h, 16 h, 24 h, and 48 h, was tested by crystal violet and MTS assays. Thirdly, the whole genome of S. aureus Guangzhou-SAU749 was investigated by genome sequencing on PacBio platform. Fourthly, since enhancement of biofilm formation occurred when treated with 1/2 MIC tetracycline (TCY) and 1/4 MIC streptomycin (STR) since 5 h, the relevant biofilm samples were selected and subjected to RNA-seq and bioinformatics analysis. Last, expression of two component system (TCS) and biofilm associated genes in 4 h, 8 h, 16 h, 24 h, and 48 h sub-MIC TCY and STR treated biofilm samples were performed by reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RTqPCR). Although most antibiotics lowered the biomass and cell viability of Guangzhou-SAU749 biofilm at concentrations higher than MIC, certain antibiotics including TCY and STR promoted biofilm formation at sub-MICs. Additionally, upon genome sequencing, RNA-seq and RT-qPCR on biofilm samples treated with sub-MIC of TCY and STR at key time points, genes lytR, arlR, hssR, tagA, clfB, atlA and cidA related to TCS and biofilm formation were identified to contribute to the enhanced biofilm formation, providing a theoretical basis for further controlling on S. aureus biofilm formation.

\* Corresponding author. Department of Laboratory Medicine, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Shantou University Medical College, Shantou, Guangdong, China. *E-mail address*: zhenbo.xu@hotmail.com (Z. Xu).

<sup>1</sup> These authors contribute equally to this manuscript.

#### https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioflm.2023.100156

Received 19 May 2023; Received in revised form 16 September 2023; Accepted 18 September 2023 Available online 20 September 2023 2590-2075/© 2023 Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

As one of the leading human pathogens, Staphylococcus aureus is responsible for a large variety of infections and diseases, such as skin and tissue infections, bacteremia, endocarditis, and food poisoning [1,2]. In various acute and chronic infections, S. aureus causes higher medical care cost than other species [3]. As a microbial community, biofilm is a common form of microbes gathering on the surface of clinical environment and allows cells to persist in different environmental pressures (such as osmotic pressure, antibiotics and disinfectants) [4-6]. S. aureus is a typical biofilm former, and its biofilm can colonize different in vivo surfaces including a variety of host tissue, from endocardium and gastrointestinal tract, as well as in vitro surfaces of medical devices and clinical environments [7-11]. Importantly, S. aureus biofilm mediated infections are difficult to therapeutically manage as cells in biofilm state exhibit strong resistance to antibiotics, which shows 1000 times higher minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) than that of planktonic state [12].

Antimicrobial resistance of clinical pathogens caused by universal antibiotics usage is a one of the major global problems [13-16], as antibiotics are commonly used in microbial infections. Along with antibiotics treatment, sub-therapeutic level of antibiotics exists in the systemic circulation of patients due to the reduced antibiotics bioavailability caused by drug-drug or host-drug interaction [17,18]. In addition, higher dose of antibiotics application in livestock results in the accumulation of antibiotic residues in meats which are subsequently intaken by human beings [19]. Thus, the role of antibiotics at sub-MIC in affecting microorganisms has been considered to be a major concern [20–23]. Here, MIC refers to the antibiotic concentration which is the lowest concentration but sufficient for complete inhibition of bacterial growth, and sub-MIC refers to the concentration lower than MIC [67]. In general, antibiotics even at sub-MIC would lower the growth and reproduction of bacteria. Joanna et al. had found that aminoglycosides at the dose of sub-MIC could inhibit Proteus mirabilis biofilm formation with the absence of ascorbic acid [24]. It had also been observed that sub-MIC of clindamycin and azithromycin limited the production of exoprotein in S. aureus, resulting in reduced toxicity induced by exoprotein and additionally modulated cascade in inflammation [25]. However, antibiotics at sub-MIC had been shown to enhance microbial population diversity, biofilm formation, and expression of toxins and virulence determinants. Rachid et al. found that sublethal concentration of tetracycline promoted biofilm formation of Staphylococcus epidermidis due to the promotion of *ica* operon expression [20]. Li et al. had found that sub-MIC of norfloxacin had varied influence on Streptococcus suis including increased biofilm formation in which higher viable cell number was induced by 1/4 minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), and lowered growth rate induced by 1/2 MIC [26]. Nevertheless, the enhancement in biofilm formation caused by sub-MIC of some antibiotics has yet to be fully analyzed along with the underlying molecular mechanism.

It is noteworthy that, a significant discrepancy had been found in respect to the biofilm formation of S. aureus under sub-MIC of antibiotics [27-31]. A list of studies demonstrating that sub-MIC antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation had been reviewed [29]. Sub-MIC of oxacillin [32], cefalexin [33], cefazolin [34], vancomycin [32], and linezolid [35] had been identified to induce *S. aureus* biofilm formation. In contrary, azithromycin at sub-MIC (1/16 MIC, 1/8 MIC) reduced the biofilm formation of S. aureus [36]. A number of factors have not been taken into consideration in previous studies, as below. Firstly, S. aureus isolates are considerably diverse, with different phenotypic and genotypic characteristics. For phenotypes, antimicrobial susceptibility highly differs among S. aureus isolates, leading to different "sub-lethal" concentrations. Also, S. aureus isolates shows large diversity in biofilm forming capability, and mostly strains with strong biofilm formation were used in previous studies. Collectively, antimicrobial mechanisms on S. aureus significantly vary, and influence of different antibiotic types

on biofilm formation of S. aureus largely remains unclear.

Consequently, to address the concerns as above, this study firstly selected a clinical strain from a large scale of *S. aureus* isolates with phenotypic and genotypic characterization previously tested [37]. Secondly, change in biofilm formation was further determined under 10 different concentrations of 9 antibiotics which are commonly used to treat *S. aureus* infections. Thirdly, the whole genome of the strain was sequenced and analyzed with focus on biofilm associated genes and virulence factors. Eventually, biofilm samples with biomass and cell viability significantly increased by sub-MIC of antibiotics were further subjected to transcriptomic analysis by RNA-seq and reverse transcript-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR).

# 2. Material and methods

# 2.1. Bacterial strain

In 2011, *S. aureus* strain Guangzhou-SAU749 was isolated from the sputum of a patient suffering from respiratory disease in the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University in Guangzhou, China. It was one of 12 multidrug resistance *S. aureus* strains selected from 524 clinical isolates. Bacterial identification was performed using a VITEK 2 Automated System (BioMérieux, Durham, NC). Maintained as glycerol stocks, *S. aureus* strain was transferred onto Trypticase soy agar (TSA) (Huankai, China) and adapted to incubation at 37 °C for up to 24 h. To acquire stationary phase culture, single colony was sub-cultured in Trypticase soy broth (TSB) (Huankai, China) for approximately 16 h at 37 °C. Stationing phase culture was sub-cultured (1:100) again in TSB for approximately 2.5 h at 37 °C to acquire logarithmic phase culture prior to subsequent experiments.

# 2.2. Antibiotic susceptibility investigation

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. aureus strains were performed using a VITEK 2 Automated Susceptibility System (bioMérieux) and Etext strips (AB bioMérieux). MICs of 9 antibiotics (streptomycin (STR), kanamycin (KAN), gentamycin (GEN), tetracycline (TCY), oxytetracycline (OXY), ciprofloxacin (CIP), erythromycin (ERY), trimethoprim (TMP), vancomycin (VAN)) on S. aureus strain Guangzhou-SAU749 were further tested by broth dilution method [38–40]. Briefly, antibiotic stocking solution (at a concentration higher than the standard MIC value for S. aureus) was 1:10 serial diluted at a final volume of 100 uL with Müller-Hinton (MH) medium (Huankai, China) in 96 well plate with 100 µL bacterial cultures (approximately  $1.5 \times 10^{6}$  CFU/mL) added subsequently. Fresh MH medium was added as negative control. The 96 well plate was incubated at 37 °C with shaking for approximately 16 h and subjected to Optical density (OD) at 600 nm (OD<sub>600nm</sub>) measuring by microplate reader. Each experiment was performed in triplicate. The MICs were interpreted based on Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institution (CLSI) guidelines 2016 and 2018 for S. aureus [39,40].

#### 2.3. Biomass and cell viability determination of biofilm

Biofilm formation ability of the *S. aureus* strains was determined via biomass and cell viability examination. Biomass was assessed by crystal violet (CV) assay. In brief, after incubation, the attached biofilms in each well were washed by 200  $\mu$ L of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for at least 3 times after removing the supernatant. Subsequently, the biofilms were treated with 200  $\mu$ L of 0.01% (w/v) CV (Kemiou, China) for 10 min. Again, the biofilms were washed by 200  $\mu$ L of PBS for 5 times after removing the CV. Upon drying in room temperature for 15 min, the biofilms were treated with 200  $\mu$ L of 95% ethanol to fully resolubilization for another 15 min. From each well, 125  $\mu$ L liquid was transferred to a new plate for measurement of OD<sub>540nm</sub>. Cell viability was tested via the MTS assay. Similarly, the attached biofilms were washed by 200  $\mu$ L of PBS for at least 3 times after removing the supernatant. Subsequently, washed biofilms were treated with 200  $\mu$ L of MTS reagent (Promega, China) in dark at 37 °C for 2.5 h. Following incubation, 125  $\mu$ L of the treated liquid was transferred to a new plate for measurement of OD<sub>490nm</sub>. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

#### 2.4. Biofilm formation with different concentrations of antibiotics

Biofilm growth with antibiotics treatment was performed in a 96 well plate with the addition of 10 serial concentrations (1/128, 1/64, 1/32, 1/16, 1/8, 1/4, 1/2, 1, 2 and 4 MIC) of antibiotics. The antibiotic working solutions were at a concentration of 16 MIC and 1:2 serial diluted at a final volume of 100  $\mu$ L with TSB medium in 96 well plate. 100  $\mu$ L bacterial cultures were added subsequently. Fresh TSB medium was added instead of antibiotics as negative control. The biofilms were cultured at 37 °C without shaking for 0 h, 8 h, 16 h, 24 h, and 48 h, respectively. Biomass and cell viability of biofilms were assessed by CV and MTS assays, respectively. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

#### 2.5. Genome sequencing

A bacterial gDNA isolation kit designed for bacteria (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was used in this study to prepare DNA samples of S. aureus strain Guangzhou-SAU749. Upon purification and quality assessment, the DNA samples were applied on 2nd generation sequencer Illumina HiSeq 2500 and 3rd generation sequencer PacBio RS II by GeneDenove Bio company (Guangzhou, China). A hierarchical genome assembly process (HGAP) was used for sequence assembly [41]. Gene prediction and amnotation were performed with softwares GeneMarkS (gene prediction), Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) (from National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), annotation), tRNAscan-SE (v.1.21, tRNA prediction), and RNAmmer (v.1.2, rRNA prediction) and databases UniProt and Nucleotide collection (Nr) [42,43]. In addition, transposon and genome island were predicted by softwares TransposonPSI (version: 20100822) and IslandViewer4 (http://www.patho genomics.sfu.ca/islandviewer/), respectively. The genes and proteins were further clustered according to their Gene Ontology (GO) terms, Clusters of Orthologous Genes [9] categories, and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) Pathways, based on corresponding databases [44-46]. Concerning the focus of this study was antibiotic and biofilm formation, antimicrobial resistance genes and virulence factors were predicted using Antibiotic Resistance Genes Database (ARDB), Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database (CARD) and Virulence Factors Database (VFDB).

# 2.6. Transcriptomics analysis

For antibiotics type and concentration selection, TCY at 1/2 MIC representing antibiotics showing enhanced cell viability and STR at 1/4 MIC representing those showing increased biomass were used. Since time point selection plays an essential role in transcriptomic analysis, we performed an in-depth experiment to determine the time point for transcriptomic analysis. Firstly, based on the first time point exhibiting enhanced biofilm formation, biofilm formation was further examined with 1 h intervals until significant increase in biomass or cell viability were obtained (between 0 and 8 h in this study), followed by further examination with 0.5 h intervals (between 4 and 7 h in this study). Biofilm samples of Guangzhou-SAU749 induced by TCY at 1/2 MIC (TCY stressed sample), and STR at 1/4 MIC (STR stressed sample) were collected at 5 h. Wildtype biofilm samples (WT sample) of Guangzhou-SAU749 without antibiotic treatment was used as control. Three biological replicates of biofilm samples were included. Total RNAs of S. aureus biofilm samples were prepared by the application of TRizol reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) according to manufacturer's instruction. The RNA samples were sent to a local sequencing company Gendenovo

Bio Company (Guangzhou, China) for further purification and quality assessment. A 2nd generation sequencer Illumina Hiseq 2500 was applied for RNA-seq.

The clean reads yield from the sequencing platform was aligned to the genome sequence of *S. aureus* strain Guangzhou-SAU749 using TopHat [47]. The 3 biofilm samples were classified into 2 comparative groups (TCY stressed group and STR stressed group) with TCY and STR samples compared to WT sample, respectively). Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in each group were determined by the application of DEGseq software [48,49] and based on expression level evaluated by RPKM [50,51]. Genes matching the standard of  $|log_2(fold change)| > 1$ in combination with P value < 0.05 in this study were designated as significant DEGs. Enrichment analysis on DEGs with GO term and KEGG pathway annotation was performed to identify enriched GO terms and KEGG pathways matching the standard of P value < 0.05 (Fisher Exact Test) or < 0.01 (Hypergeometric Distribution) [52,53].

# 2.7. RT-qPCR

RT-qPCR was performed to monitor the expression levels of selected genes during the whole process of biofilm formation (4 h, 8 h, 16 h, 24 h, and 48 h) using a standard equipment Light Cylcler 480 (Roche, Switzerland). Each reaction was conducted in a 25  $\mu$ L system following the standard procedure suggested by manufacturer. Blank control was induced in each plate with double distilled H<sub>2</sub>O replacing template cDNA. *16S rRNA* gene was applied for each reaction as a reference to calculate relative gene expression level by  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method. Each reaction was run in triplicate.

### 2.8. Statistical analysis

The data were illustrated as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation from triplicate experiments. Statistical analysis of experimental groups compared with control was examined by one-way analysis of variance [54] followed by Tukey multiple intergroup comparison unless specially indicated. A P value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant unless specially indicated.

#### 2.9. Nucleotide sequence accession number

The genome sequences of *S. aureus* strain Guangzhou-SAU749 were deposited in the NCBI GenBank database under the accession numbers CP053185-CP053186.

# 3. Results

#### 3.1. Background information of S. aureus Guangzhou-SAU749

The S. aureus strain Guangzhou-SAU749 was selected from a large scale of S. aureus strains with phenotypic and genotypic characterization previously tested [37]. Firstly, 12 multidrug resistance S. aureus strains covering strong, medium and weak biofilm formation ability as well as major multilocus sequencing types (MLST) and SCCmec types were selected from 524 clinical S. aureus isolates (Table 1). Secondly, the biofilm formation ability (cell viability and biomass) of the 12 S. aureus strains with the treatment of sub-MIC of antibiotics were examined. Divergent changes were found with the treatment of different types and concentrations of antibiotic. The biofilm biomass of 6 strains was enhanced by sub-MIC of STR. The cell viability of biofilms of 2 strains was enhanced by sub-MIC of TCY. Amongst, Guangzhou-SAU749 was in both groups. Thus, Guangzhou-SAU749 was used in this study. In addition, Guangzhou-SAU749 belonged to the most common MLST type (ST239, exhibited weak biofilm formation ability, and was resistant to all antibiotics tested in this study except for VAN (Table 1).

Strains	Origin	Biofiln	n related	genes		Biofilm phe	notype	MLST	SCCmec	MIC (µg/mI	(							
		icaA	icaD	icaBC	atl	Biomass	Viability			STR	KAN	GEN	ERY	CIP	TCY	ОХУ	TMP	VAN
Guangzhou-SAU008	Pus	I	+	+	+	++	+++	ST239	III	256 (R) <sup>b</sup>	2048 (R)	64 (R)	32 (R)	32 (R)	32 (R)	64 (R)	128 (R)	2 (S)
Guangzhou-SAU071	Bile	+	+	+	+	+	+	ST239	Ш	512 (R)	2048 (R)	64 (R)	32 (R)	32 (R)	128 (R)	128 (R)	128 (R)	2 (S)
Guangzhou-SAU379	Hydrothorax	I	+	+	T	+	+	ST45	IV	128 (R)	2048 (R)	0.5 (S)	16 (R)	128 (R)	128 (R)	64 (R)	128 (R)	1 (S)
Guangzhou-SAU260	Sputum	+	+	+	+	+	+	ST45	Λ	512 (R)	2048 (R)	512 (R)	8 (R)	8 (R)	16 (R)	64 (R)	128 (R)	1 (S)
Guangzhou-SAU513	Sputum	+	+	+	+	++	++++	ST5	IV	128 (R)	2048 (R)	128 (R)	8 (R)	1 (S)	128 (R)	128 (R)	512 (R)	2 (S)
Guangzhou-SAU437	Sputum	+	+	I	+	++++	+	ST239	Ш	512 (R)	1024 (R)	4 (S)	8 (R)	8 (R)	128 (R)	32 (R)	512 (R)	2 (S)
Guangzhou-SAU749	Sputum	+	I	I	+	+	+	ST239	١٨	1024 (R)	1024 (R)	256 (R)	8 (R)	8 (R)	32 (R)	128 (R)	512 (R)	2 (S)
Guangzhou-SAU184	Sputum	I	+	+	+	++	+++++	ST5	п	512 (R)	2048 (R)	64 (R)	2 (I)	8 (R)	16 (R)	128 (R)	512 (R)	2 (S)
Guangzhou-SAU608	Sputum	I	+	+	+	+++	+	ST239	Ш	512 (R)	1024 (R)	128 (R)	8 (R)	16 (R)	128 (R)	128 (R)	512 (R)	1 (S)
Guangzhou-SAU940	Intravenous catheters	+	+	+	+	+	++	ST546	IV	1024 (R)	128 (R)	64 (R)	8 (R)	2 (I)	128 (R)	256 (R)	512 (R)	4 (I)
Guangzhou-SAU786	Lung tissue	I	I	I	I	+	+	ST239	Ш	1024 (R)	2048 (R)	128 (R)	8 (R)	16 (R)	64 (R)	128 (R)	512 (R)	2 (S)
Guangzhou-SAU875	Sputum	+	+	+	+	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	ST239	IV	512 (R)	2048 (R)	4 (S)	8 (R)	16 (R)	128 (R)	128 (R)	512 (R)	1 (S)
<sup>a</sup> "+": weak (ODc < <sup>b</sup> "R". Recistance. "I	$0 0 \leq 20 0$ c), "++": $\pi$ ". Intermediate resistan	nedium	(20Dc < Suscent	: OD ≤ 4C ihle	)Dc), "-	+++": stro	ng (OD > 4	ODc), The	e absorban	ice of an uni	noculated we	ell serves a	s a negativ	e control (	ODc).			
1 ( · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• TITICT TITIC TOTOLOT	· · · · · ·	· umuch															

3ackground information of 12 S. aureus isolates

Biofilm 6 (2023) 100156

#### 3.2. Biofilm biomass change with antibiotic treatment

A total of 9 antibiotics from 6 subtypes were included in this study to examine the biomass change of *S. aureus* Guangzhou-SAU749 during biofilm formation within 48 h (Fig. 2). The antibiotics were classified into 3 groups including aminoglycosides (STR, KAN, GEN), tetracyclines (TCY and OXY), and others (CIP ERY TMP and VAN).

Concerning aminoglycosides group (STR, KAN, and GEN) (Fig. 2A–C), lower biofilm biomass of Guangzhou-SAU749 was obtained within 48 h at high concentrations including MIC, 2 MIC and 4 MIC. Remarkably, increase in biofilm biomass of Guangzhou-SAU749 was obtained when treated with STR (at large proportion of time points, especially at 1/4 MIC) (Fig. 2A) and GEN (at early time points, especially at 1/8 MIC) (Fig. 2B). For KAN, increase in biofilm biomass of Guangzhou-SAU749 was observed in 1/32 and 1/16 MIC at 8 h and in 1/2 MIC at 24 h (Fig. 2C).

Concerning tetracyclines group (TCY and OXY) (Fig. 2D–E), when *S. aureus* Guangzhou-SAU749 cells were treated at high concentrations including MIC, 2 MIC and 4 MIC, decrease in biomass was identified within 48 h. However, when *S. aureus* Guangzhou-SAU749 treated with TCY at concentrations lower than MIC, no significant decrease was found (Fig. 2D). Slightly increase in biomass was observed under OXY treatment at concentrations ranging from 1/128 MIC to 1/4 MIC at 24 h and in 1/64 MIC at 48 h (Fig. 2E).

A few of other antibiotics from quinolone, macrolide, sulfonamide, and glycopeptide groups were also tested, including CIP, ERY, TMP, and VAN (Fig. 2F–I). According to the results, for CIP treatment, decrease in biomass was largely found only when CIP concentrations were higher than 1/16 MIC (Fig. 2F). For ERY treatment, decrease in biomass was obtained at high concentrations including MIC, 2 MIC and 4 MIC, and insignificant changes at concentrations ranging from 1/64 MIC to 1/8 MIC (Fig. 2G). For TMP and VAN treatments, lower and insignificant change in biomass were observed for all concentrations, respectively (Fig. 2I).

Overall, aminoglycosides and tetracyclines groups showed promotion on *S. aureus* Guangzhou-SAU749 biofilm biomass to different levels at sub-MIC, with STR as a representative.

# 3.3. Cell viability change in biofilms with antibiotic treatment

During biofilm formation of *S. aureus* Guangzhou-SAU749 under antibiotic treatment within 48 h, cell viability change was determined (Fig. 3). In aminoglycosides group (Fig. 3A–C), when *S. aureus* Guangzhou-SAU749 cells were treated by 1-4 MIC of STR, 2-4 MIC of KAN, and 1/4-4 MIC of GEN, cell viability was reduced within 48 h. Significant increase in cell viability was identified in biofilms treated by 1/4 MIC of STR at 16 h, 48 h, 1/2 MIC of STR at 48 h, 1/8 MIC of GEN at 8 h, 1/8 MIC of KAN at 8 h, 24 h, and 1/4 MIC of KAN at 24 h, 48 h.

For tetracycline group (Fig. 3D–E), lower cell viability was found when *S. aureus* Guangzhou-SAU749 cells were treated with OXY at high concentrations, including MIC, 2 MIC and 4 MIC. Under OXY treatment, cell viability increased at 16–48 h at 1/2 MIC and 24 h at 1/16-1/4 MIC. Under TCY treatment at 1/4 MIC or 1/2 MIC, cell viability increased at 8 h.

For CIP treatment (Fig. 3F), largely decrease in *S. aureus* Guangzhou-SAU749 cell viability was observed within 48 h except for 1/8 MIC at 8 h and 1/128 MIC at 48 h. For ERY (Fig. 3G), when *S. aureus* Guangzhou-SAU749 cells were treated at concentrations higher than 1/64 MIC, lower cell viability was acquired within 24 h. Remarkably, cell viability increased by ERY at 1/128 MIC. For TMP (Fig. 3H), slightly decrease in cell viability was observed at 24 h and 48 h. For VAN (Fig. 3I), reduced cell viability was found when *S. aureus* Guangzhou-SAU749 cells were treated at 4 MIC, with insignificant reduction in cell viability obtained from concentrations ranging from 1/128 MIC to 1/8 MIC.

Antibiotics including STR, GEN, OXY, ERY CIP, KAN, and TCY at specific sub-MICs enhanced cell viability of *S. aureus* Guangzhou-

SAU749 biofilms, with TCY as a representative.

Collectively, enhanced biofilm formation was observed when *S. aureus* strain Guangzhou-SAU749 was grown in the supplementary of 7 of the 9 antibiotics at sub-MIC. Among the 9 antibiotics, STR and TCY were typical to induced enhanced biofilm biomass and cell viability under sub-MIC, respectively. Thus, STR and TCY were selected to adapt to further transcriptomics study.

# 3.4. Genomic information of S. aureus Guangzhou-SAU749

The clean reads from the sequencers were assembled into two scaffolds including one chromosome and one plasmid from the genome of *S. aureus* Guangzhou-SAU749 (Table 2). The chromosome had a length of 2,840,643 bp with 2651 genes (Fig. 1A), whereas the plasmid, designated pSAU749 had a length of 20,736 bp with 22 genes (Fig. 1B). Among the 2651 and 22 predicted genes in the chromosome and plasmid, 122 (4.60%) and 2 (9.09%) were predicted to encode secretion proteins. Concerning non-coding RNA, 61 tRNA and 19 *rRNA* (6 *23S rRNA*, 6 *16S rRNA*, and 7 *5S rRNA*) were identified. One TY1 Copia transposon and 1 mariner transposon were predicted in the chromosome and plasmid, respectively.

In the genome of S. aureus strain Guangzhou-SAU749, genes involved in antibiotic resistance genes, virulence genes, and biofilm related genes were specifically determined. According to ARDB and CARD databases, 4 common resistance-relative genes were identified, including ermB, mecA, mecR1 and mepA. The gene ermB is the determinant of lincosamide, macrolide and streptomycin resistance [55]. The gene mecA and mecR1 encoding antibiotic target replacement protein is the determinant of beta-lactam resistance [56]. Among efflux pump genes, norA, norB and mepA were commonly investigated with high frequency in Asia, accounting for 70%, 60% and 35%, respectively [57]. The gene *mepA* is a part of the multidrug and toxic extrusion family. Additionally, aph(3')-IIIa and bacA genes were identified in the genome of Guangzhou-SAU749 based on ARDB database. Predicted by CARD database, 26 antibiotic resistance genes (such as aad(6), tet(38), arlR, *dfrC*) were identified showing resistance to aminoglycoside, macrolide, beta-lactam, tetracycline, streptogramin, lincosamide, isoniazid, triclosan, lipopeptide, fluoroquinolone, peptide, fosfomycin, elfamycin, and diaminopyrimidine. Furthermore, according to ARDB database, the gene bl2a\_pc is responsible for penicillin resistance of the plasmid of S. aureus strain Guangzhou-SAU749. The antibiotic resistance genes blaZ and mecI were detected in the plasmid of Guangzhou-SAU749 in CARD database.

A total of 81 virulence factors were acquired by the genome of *S. aureus* strain Guangzhou-SAU749. The major virulence factors in *S. aureus* include gene *cap* encoding capsular polysaccharide synthesis enzyme, *icaABCDR* encoding intercellular adhesion protein, *ebpS* encoding elastin binding protein, *esaB* encoding hypothetical protein,

#### Table 2

General	genomic	features	of S.	<i>aureus</i> strain	Guangzhou-S	AU749
---------	---------	----------	-------	----------------------	-------------	-------

Feature	Guangzhou-SAU749		
	Chromosome	Plasmid	
Genome length (bp)	2,840,643	20,736	
GC content (%)	32.94	28.63%	
Predicted genes	2651	22	
Repeat sequences	36	0	
rRNAs	19	0	
tRNAs	61	0	
Transposons	1	1	
Gene Islands	7	1	
Genes assigned to COGs	2051	15	
Genes assigned to KEGGs	826	20	
Genes assigned to GOs	1365	51	
Genes with Pfam domains	2261	18	
Prophage	3	0	
Secretion proteins	122 (4.60%)	2 (9.09%)	

*hlyB* encoding hemolysin transport protein, *chp* encoding chemotaxisinhibiting protein. Type 8 capsular polysaccharide encoded by the gene cap is the most prevalent capsule type in clinical isolates of S. aureus, and has been proven to be an antiphagocytic virulence factor [58]. Moreover, S. aureus forms biofilm that is dependent on the surface-located fibronectin binding proteins A and B (FnBPA, FnBPB), encoded by gene fnbA and fnbB respectively [59]. The clfA and clfB genes belong to S. aureus microbial surface components recognizing adhesive matrix molecules (MSCRAMMs), which can promote adhesion to surfaces [60]. ClfA binds to the  $\gamma$ -chain fibrinogen whereas ClfB binds to the α-chain fibrinogen. ClfA is a mediator of *S. aureus*-induced platelet aggregation [61]. The gene aur can modify surface proteins by specific inactivation of ClfB, thus may induce cell attachment [62]. Fibrinogen is a ligand for the S. aureus MSCRAMMs bone sialoprotein-binding protein (Bbp) encoded by sdrCD [63]. The genes icaA, icaB, icaC and icaD synthesize a polysaccharide, poly-n-succinyl- $\beta$ -1,6 glucosamine, which plays key role in biofilm elaboration [64]. Also, the ssp gene encoding V8 protease and sspB gene encoding cysteine protease degrade the fibronectin-binding protein on cell surface [65].

#### 3.5. Overview of the transcriptomes

In order to more accurately determine the antibiotic concentration and treatment time, multiple time points before 8 h (every hour from 1 h to 8 h, and every 0.5 h from 4 h to 7 h) were included to test the change of biofilm biomass and cell viability of S. aureus Guangzhou-SAU749 (Fig. S1) treated by STR and TCY. Biofilm samples at 5 h (representing the first time point with enhanced biofilm formation, and early stage biofilm attachment) induced by TCY at 1/2 MIC (TCY stressed sample), and STR at 1/4 MIC (STR stressed sample) were collected and adapted to transcriptomics analyses. WT biofilm samples of Guangzhou-SAU749 without antibiotic treatment (WT sample) were served as control. A total of 2651 genes were expressed in the 3 biofilm samples of S. aureus Guangzhou-SAU749 strain. While 113/156 and 50/132 up/down regulated DEGs were determined in TCY and STR stressed samples, respectively (Fig. 4A-B). For the following mentioned up/down regulated DEGs in TCY/STR stressed samples, the expression of DEGs was up/down regulated in TCY/STR stressed samples compared to WT.

Comparing the DEGs in TCY and STR stressed samples with WT sample, 81 DEGs were shared while 188 and 101 DEGs were distinctively identified in TCY and STR stressed samples, respectively. In TCY and STR stressed samples, and WT versus STR, most DEGs related to resistance, virulence, membrane, and stress response were downregulated. However, in TCY stressed sample, virulence factors including alpha-hemolysin, Leukocidin LukF-PV, beta-channel forming cytolysin, delta-hemolysin precursor, and antitoxin component Xre domain protein showed up-regulation.

# 3.6. GO term and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of DEGs

To understand the function of DEGs, GO term and KEGG pathway annotation and enrichment analysis were conducted on the DEGs of 2 comparative groups. Significantly enriched GO terms including 3 subterms (biological process (BP), cellular component (CC), and molecular function (MF)) and KEGG pathways were illustrated in Fig. 4C–F.

Most significantly enriched GO terms were identified in TCY stressed group (Fig. 4C), including 2 ("proton-transporting two-sector ATPase complex" and "membrane protein complex"), 7 ("cation-transporting ATPase activity", "hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides, catalyzing transmembrane movement of substances", "carbon-nitrogen lyase activity"), and 52 ("inosine monophosphate (IMP) biosynthetic process", "purine nucleoside monophosphate metabolic process", "ribonucleoside monophosphate biosynthetic process") in CC, MF, and BP categories, respectively. In comparative group STR stressed group (Fig. 4D), 5 GO terms ("peptidase activity", "hydrolase activity", "endopeptidase activity") in MF, and 12 GO terms ("multi-organism



Fig. 1. The genome circus of *S. aureus* genome of Guangzhou-SAU749 (A), as well as its plasmid pSAU749 (B). The circle from outermost to innermost illustrates scaffold sequences, genes in plus strand, gene in minus strand, COG functional category, GC content, low GC content sequences, and high GC content sequences, respectively.

process", "protein maturation", "teichoic acid biosynthetic process") in BP were identified.

In TCY stressed group (Figs. 4E), 10 enriched pathways ("Glycolysis/ Gluconeogenesis", "Naphthalene degradation", "Biosynthesis of antibiotics") were identified. "ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporters", "D-Alanine metabolism", and "TCS" were significantly enriched pathways in STR stressed group (Fig. 4F).

#### 3.7. Differential expression of biofilm associated and TCS genes

Biofilm and TCS associated genes were selected to determine their expression by RT-qPCR during the whole process of biofilm formation (4, 8, 16, 24, 48 h) under sub-MIC of TCY and STR treatment (Fig. 5, Fig. 6). Concerning biofilm associated genes, the expression of *capABCE*, cidA, fnbAB, lrgAB, lytM, sbi, sspAB, tagA was monitored (Fig. 5, Fig. S2). Among all the biofilm related genes, genes including capBCE, fnbB, sbi, sspA, tagA, altA, aur, cidR, clfAB, sdrC showed overall higher expression in sub-MIC antibiotics treatment groups than WT (Fig. 5). Other genes showed similar or relatively lower expression in antibiotics treatment groups compared with WT (Fig. S2). In WT, the expression level of tagA gene increased slowly and then stabled; while in the antibiotic stress group, the expression level of tagA increased within 4-8 h and then stabled. The expression level of tagA was overall higher in TCY and STR stressed group than WT, especially within in 8-24 h (Fig. 5A). In WT, the expression levels of cidA showed a decrease first and then tended to be stable and rising. Under antibiotics stress, the expression level of cidA increased first and then decreased and was overall lower than that of WT (Fig. 5B). In WT, the expression levels of *clfB* showed decreasing first and then increasing. The expression level of *clfB* in the antibiotic stress groups were higher than that of WT within 4-48 h (Fig. 5C). In WT, the expression levels of atlA showed rising first and then stablized, while in the antibiotic stress groups, the expression levels of atlA first increased and then decreased and gradually decreased, but overall higher than that in WT (Fig. 5D).

Previous studies had confirmed that TCS plays an important role in regulating microbes in response to the external stresses [33,66]. According to the RNA-seq results, TCS might play important role in the sub-MIC antibiotic promoted biofilm formation of *S. aureus* Guangzhou-SAU749. Thus, expression of genes in TCS including *airRS*, *arlRS*, *graRS*, *lytRS*, *saeRS*, *srrAB*, *tagB*, *walkR*, *agrAC*, *hssRS*, *kdpDE*, *nsaRS*, *vraRS* was monitored at different time points by RT-qPCR under sub-MIC of TCY and STR treatment (Fig. 6, Fig. S3). Among all TCS genes, *arlRS*, *graS*, *lytRS*, *saeR*, *tagB*, *agrC*, *hssRS*, *kdpDE*, *nsaR*, *vraRS* 

showed overall higher expression in sub-MIC antibiotics treatment groups than WT (Fig. 6). In WT, the expression levels of *lytR* showed increasing first and then flattening. However, under antibiotic stress, the expression of *lytR* decreased first and then stabled (Fig. 6A). In WT, the expression of *arlR* increased first and then decreased, while in antibiotic stress groups, the expression of *arlR* showed gradually decreasing under STR treatment (Fig. 6B). In WT, the expression of *hssR* showed a gradual increase, while in the antibiotic stress group, the expression of *hssR* showed decreasing first and then increasing (Fig. 6C).

# 4. Discussion

Antibiotics can suppress biofilm formation by inhibiting microbial growth. As the concentration of antibiotics decreases, the inhibitory effect on bacterial growth gradually weakens. The sub-MIC of antibiotic treating on S. aureus had been sporadically studied, with controversial outcomes. In the currently available studies, clinical S. aureus isolates had rarely been considered and the types of antibiotics included were limited. In addition, the impact of sub-MIC of antibiotics on transcriptomics had not been comprehensively investigated. In this study, we firstly focused on clinical S. aureus isolates. Over 500 clinical S. aureus isolates were previously characterized and 12 multidrug resistance isolates covering strong, medium and weak biofilm formation ability as well as major MLST and SCCmec types were selected. Their changes in biofilm formation with sub-MICs of antibiotics were examined. Divergent changes were found with the treatment of different types and concentrations of antibiotic. The S. aureus strain Guangzhou-SAU749 was subsequently selected concerning its enhanced biofilm formation both in cell viability and biomass by sub-MIC of STR and TCY. Secondly, a total of 9 antibiotics belong to 6 types were included in this study. They cover most commonly used antibiotics in clinical treatment of S. aureus infections. Thirdly, we conducted comprehensive study on the transcriptomics level changes of the S. aureus strain Guangzhou-SAU749 in sub-MIC antibiotics environments. The results of this study showed that different types of antibiotics promoted biofilm formation of S. aureus strain Guangzhou-SAU749 at certain sub-MIC. According to previous reports, Berlutti et al. used dynamic model observations to show that the amount of biofilm and growth rate of S. aureus ATCC 6538 treated with sub-MIC of gentamicin were improved [27]. Mlynek [28], revealed that sub-MIC of amoxicillin promoted the formation of S. aureus USA300 biofilm through promoting eDNA generation [28], which is consistent with the results of Kaplan's research [29]. Ara et al. believed that the sub-MIC of antibiotics to promote biofilm formation is



**Fig. 2.** The biomass of *S. aureus* strain Guangzhou-SAU749 in the presence of these antibiotics: (A) Streptomycin (STR); (B) Gentamycin (GEN); (C) Kanamycin (KAN); (D) Tetracycline (TCY); (E) Oxytetracycline (OXY); (F) Cipprofloxacin (CIP); (G) Erythromycin (ERY); (H) Trimethoprim (TMP); (I) Vancomycin (VAN). \*: P value < 0.05, \*\*: P value < 0.01, \*\*\*: P value < 0.001.

related to *clfAB* and *fnbAB* [30]. However, some studies hold the opposite view that sub-MIC of antibiotics can inhibit biofilm formation [20,31]. The discrepancy might due to differences in experimental strains, culture conditions, culture time, and antibiotic types and concentrations. Therefore, biofilm formation affected by sub-MIC

antibiotics requires specific analysis, and the mechanism remains to be further explored.

The *S. aureus* strain Guangzhou-SAU749 were resistant to STR, KAN, GEN, ERY, CIP, TCY, OXY, and TMP, but sensitive VAN. The discrepancy on the outcomes of *S. aureus* biofilm formation with the treatment of



**Fig. 3.** The viability of *S. aureus* strain Guangzhou-SAU749 in the presence of these antibiotics: (A) Streptomycin (STR); (B) Gentamycin (GEN); (C) Kanamycin (KAN); (D) Tetracycline (TCY); (E) Oxytetracycline (OXY); (F) Cipprofloxacin (CIP); (G) Erythromycin (ERY); (H) Trimethoprim (TMP); (I) Vancomycin (VAN). \*: P value < 0.05, \*\*: P value < 0.01, \*\*\*: P value < 0.001.

different types of antibiotic of sub-MIC might be related to the mechanisms of antibiotics [68]. Aminoglycosides, macrolides and tetracyclines are combined with ribosomal subunits to inhibit protein synthesis. The concentration of these antibiotics might need to reach a certain threshold to effectively change biofilm formation. Sulfonamides and quinolone inhibit folic acid metabolism and bacterial DNA synthesis, respectively [69,70]. Folic acid is involved in the synthesis and conversion of bases and is also essential in the process of DNA synthesis. The inhibitory effect on DNA synthesis is more direct. The sub-MIC of such antibiotics have the potential to lower biofilm formation by reducing



Fig. 4. Gene differential expression level in (A) TCY treated group and (B) STR treated group compared to WT group. GO terms enrichment analysis in (C) TCY treated group and (D) STR treated group. KEGG pathway enrichment of differentially expressed genes in comparative groups (E) TCY treated group and (F) STR treated group.

bacterial growth.

Our data showed that the expressions of TCS-related genes *lytR*, *arlR* and *hssR* in *S. aureus* strain Guangzhou-SAU749 were consistent in sub-MIC of TCY and STR induced biofilms. During entire 4–48 h period, the expression levels of the three genes in the TCY and STR stressed groups were higher than those in WT. It indicated that *lytR*, *arlR* and *hssR* played an important role in regulating *S. aureus* Guangzhou-SAU749 in response to sub-MIC of TCY and STR stress. The main function of *lytSR* is to regulate *S. aureus* programmed cell death (PCD) [71], which is also related to *cidABC* and *lrgAB*. Additionally, *lytSR* sense changes in cell membrane potential and contributes to the process of *S. aureus* coping with cationic antimicrobial peptides [72]. It has also been observed that *lytSR* regulates the formation of *S. aureus* biofilm by affecting *lrgAB* [73]. The expression of *lytR* gene in *S. aureus* Guangzhou-SAU749 was





**Fig. 5.** The relative quantification of *tagA* (A), *cidA* (B), *clfB* (C), *atlA* (D), *capB* (E), *capC* (F), *capE* (G), *fnbB* (H), *sbi* (I), *sspA* (J), *aur* (K), *cidR* (L), *clfA* (M), *sdrC* (N) gene expression in *S. aureus* strain Guangzhou-SAU749 at different time points.

Fig. 6. The relative quantification of *lytR* (A), *arlR* (B), *hssR* (C) *arlS* (D), *graS* (E), *lytS* (F), *saeR* (G), *tagB* (H), *agrC* (I), *hssS* (J), *kdpD* (K), *kdpE* (L), *nsaR* (M), *vraR* (N), *vraS* (O) gene expression in *S. aureus* strain Guangzhou-SAU749 at different time points.

relatively high in the first few hours of biofilm formation in sub-MIC of TCY and STR treated groups, but decreased in the following hours. It indicated the PCD system was repressed at the beginning but the repression was weakened at later timepoints. It has been reported that arlRS has a regulatory effect on ica and aap, and its mutation can cause the reduction of S. epidermidis biofilm formation ability [33]. Similarly, we obtained high expression of arlR in TCY and STR stressed groups at 4-8 h, potentially related to the accumulation of S. aureus Guangzhou-SAU749 cells, which is conducive to enhanced biofilm formation. S. aureus relies on hssRS to obtain iron to maintain growth and reproduction. In vivo experiments such as hemoglobin were not conducted in this study. But the expression level of *hssR* in the TCY and STR stressed groups was significantly different from the WT. The hssR gene might play a role in S. aureus Guangzhou-SAU749 to encounter adverse environments such as antibiotics or oxidation, but its specific function remains to be explored.

Concerning biofilm-related genes, in the TCY and STR stressed groups, the expression levels of *tagA* and *atlA* within 4-48 h, and *clfB* in the early stage were higher than that in WT, while the expression levels of *cidA* were lower. It suggested that *tagA*, *clfB*, *atlA* and *cidA* might be critical the process of S. aureus Guangzhou-SAU749 biofilm formation with treatment of sub-MIC of TCY and STR. The role of *cidABC* is mainly to form perforin to cause PCD, while lrgAB plays a role in binding to perforin to inhibit PCD [74]. In many cases, PCD affects the structure of biofilm and cause the release of genomic DNA to eDNA and cytoplasmic content [75-77]. However, both cid and lrg mutations affect biofilm formation, thus the balance of cid and lrg is crucial to the formation of biofilms [78]. The decrease in the expression of cidA in the TCY and STR stressed groups was consistent with the increase in the expression of lytR, indicating that enhanced biofilm formation of S. aureus Guangzhou-SAU749 under sub-MIC of TCY and STR stress was not due to eDNA production by promoting PCD. On the contrary, PCD was inhibited, and the increase in formation might due to the increase in cell number or secreted EPS. AtlA has a certain hydrolase activity, which plays an important role in cell division, biofilm adhesion and eDNA production [32,79,80]. Our data suggested that the role of atlA in S. aureus Guangzhou-SAU749 was more inclined to promote eDNA production, which constitutes an important component of biofilm. ClfB is a fibrinogen binding protein, which is used to covalently anchor MSCRAMM to cell wall peptidoglycan and conducive to adhesion and biofilm formation [33]. The gene *tagA* is related to the synthesis of wall teichoic acids (WTAs) of S. aureus and contributes to resist adverse environments such as antibiotics [34,81]. Of note, WTAs have been reported as important component of biofilm and promote the adhesion of S. aureus to inorganic surfaces [35]. Sub-MIC of TCY and STR stress caused the increase of tagA expression, which might be beneficial to S. aureus Guangzhou-SAU749 to improve antibiotic resistance and biofilm formation.

# 5. Conclusion

In this study, we firstly focused on clinical *S. aureus* isolates. Over 500 clinical *S. aureus* isolates were previously characterized and 12 multidrug resistance isolates covering strong, medium and weak biofilm formation ability as well as major MLST and SCC*mec* types were selected. Divergent changes in biofilm formation were found with the treatment of different types and concentrations of antibiotic. The *S. aureus* strain Guangzhou-SAU749 was subsequently selected concerning its enhanced biofilm formation both in cell viability and biomass by sub-MIC of STR and TCY. Secondly, 9 commonly used antibiotics at 10 different time points. Although most antibiotics reduced biofilm biomass and cell viability at concentrations higher than MIC, certain antibiotics with TCY and STR as representatives promoted the biofilm formation at sub-MICs. Additionally, upon global genomics and

transcriptomics analyses by genome sequencing, RNA-seq and RT-qPCR at key time point, genes *lytR*, *arlR*, *hssR*, *tagA*, *clfB*, *atlA* and *cidA* related to TCS and biofilm formation were identified to contribute to the enhanced biofilm formation of *S. aureus* Guangzhou-SAU749 induced by sub-MIC of TCY and STR, providing a theoretical basis for further controlling *S. aureus* biofilm formation.

# CRediT authorship contribution statement

Junyan Liu: Investigation, Writing – original draft, Funding acquisition. Tengyi Huang: Investigation, Validation, Writing – original draft. Zhenbo Xu: Conceptualization, Validation, Writing – review & editing, Project administration, Funding acquisition. Yuzhu Mao: Software, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. Thanapop Soteyome: Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. Gongliang Liu: Supervision, Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing. Chunyun Qu: Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. Lei Yuan: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. Qin Ma: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. Fang Zhou: Visualization, Writing – review & editing. Gamini Seneviratne: Data curation, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

# Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

# Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32202199), Guangdong Major Project of Basic and Applied Basic Research (2020B0301030005), Guangdong International S&T Cooperation Programme (2022A0505070001), Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation Natural Science Foundation (2023A1515012332, 2022A1515012052), Young Talent Support Project of Guangzhou Association for Science and Technology (QT20220101076), Guangzhou Basic and Applied Basic Research Project (2023A04J1446), the Open Research Fund of State Key Laboratory of Biological Fermentation Engineering of Beer (grant NO. K202105), Guangdong Province Key Construction Discipline Research Ability Improvement Project (2021ZDJS005), Guangdong Provincial Agricultural Science and Technology Innovation and Extension Project in 2021 (No. 2021KJ101).

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioflm.2023.100156.

# References

- Smeltzer MS, et al. Molecular basis of pathogenicity. In: Staphylococci in human disease II; 2009. p. 65–108.
- [2] Gao X, et al. Research advances on biogenic amines in traditional fermented foods: emphasis on formation mechanism, detection and control methods. Food Chem 2023;405:134911.
- [3] Walz JM, Memtsoudis SG, Heard SO. Prevention of central venous catheter bloodstream infections. J Intensive Care Med 2010;25(3):131–8.
- [4] Ciofu O, et al. Tolerance and resistance of microbial biofilms. Nat Rev Microbiol 2022;20(10):621–35.
- [5] Schilcher K, Horswill AR. Staphylococcal biofilm development: structure, regulation, and treatment strategies. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2020;84(3).

#### J. Liu et al.

- [6] Mandell JB, et al. Large variations in clinical antibiotic activity against Staphylococcus aureus biofilms of periprosthetic joint infection isolates. J Orthop Res 2019;37(7):1604–9.
- [7] Hall Jr DC, et al. Bacterial biofilm growth on 3D-printed materials. Front Microbiol 2021;12:646303.
- [8] Khalaf SA, et al. Staphylococcus lugdunensis as cause of septic pericarditis. Mo Med 2021;118(6):552–5.
- [9] Jarrett AM, Cogan NG. The ups and downs of S. aureus nasal carriage. Math Med Biol 2019;36(2):157–77.
- [10] Lei X, et al. Antibacterial photodynamic peptides for staphylococcal skin infection. Biomater Sci 2020;8(23):6695–702.
- [11] Segal R, et al. Gastric microbiota in elderly patients fed via nasogastric tubes for prolonged periods. J Hosp Infect 2006;63(1):79–83.
- [12] Mah T-FC, O'Toole GA. Mechanisms of biofilm resistance to antimicrobial agents. Trends Microbiol 2001;9(1):34–9.
- [13] He L, et al. Antibiotic treatment can exacerbate biofilm-associated infection by promoting quorum cheater development. Npj Biofilms and Microbiomes 2023;9 (1).
- [14] Van Boeckel TP, et al. Global antibiotic consumption 2000 to 2010: an analysis of Cross Mark 742 national pharmaceutical sales data. Lancet Infect Dis 2014;14(8): 742–50.
- [15] Balaban NQ, et al. Definitions and guidelines for research on antibiotic persistence. Nat Rev Microbiol 2019;17(7):441–8.
- [16] O'Brien KS, et al. Antibiotic use as a tragedy of the commons: a cross-sectional survey. Comput Math Methods Med 2014. 2014.
- [17] Cohn SM, et al. Enteric absorption of ciprofloxacin during the immediate postoperative period. J Antimicrob Chemother 1995;36(4):717–21.
- [18] Komori Y, et al. Effects of capsaicin on intestinal cephalexin absorption in rats. Biol Pharm Bull 2007;30(3):547–51.
- [19] Bacanlı M, Başaran N. Importance of antibiotic residues in animal food. Food Chem Toxicol 2019;125:462–6.
- [20] Rachid S, et al. Effect of subinhibitory antibiotic concentrations on polysaccharide intercellular adhesin expression in biofilm-forming Staphylococcus epidermidis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2000;44(12):3357–63.
- [21] Bernardo K, et al. Subinhibitory concentrations of linezolid reduce Staphylococcus aureus virulence factor expression. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004;48(2): 546–55.
- [22] Koszczol C, et al. Subinhibitory quinupristin/dalfopristin attenuates virulence of Staphylococcus aureus. J Antimicrob Chemother 2006;58(3):564–74.
- [23] Shen L, et al. Modulation of secreted virulence factor genes by subinhibitory concentrations of antibiotics in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Microbiol 2008;46(4): 441–7.
- [24] Kwiecińska-Piróg J, et al. Vitamin C in the presence of sub-inhibitory concentration of aminoglycosides and fluoroquinolones alters Proteus mirabilis biofilm inhibitory rate. Antibiotics (Basel) 2019;8(3).
- [25] Hu H, et al. Sub-inhibitory clindamycin and azithromycin reduce S. aureus exoprotein induced toxicity, inflammation, barrier disruption and invasion. J Clin Med 2019;8(10).
- [26] Li B, et al. Norfloxacin sub-inhibitory concentration affects Streptococcus suis biofilm formation and virulence gene expression. 2020.
- [27] Berlutti F, et al. Influence of sub-inhibitory antibiotics and flow condition on Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 6538 biofilm development and biofilm growth rate: BioTimer assay as a study model. J Antibiot (Tokyo) 2014;67(11):763–9.
- [28] Mlynek KD, et al. Effects of low-dose amoxicillin on Staphylococcus aureus USA300 biofilms. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2016;60(5):2639–51.
- [29] Kaplan JB. Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. Int J Artif Organs 2011;34(9): 737–51.
- [30] Jo A, Ahn J. Phenotypic and genotypic characterisation of multiple antibioticresistant Staphylococcus aureus exposed to subinhibitory levels of oxacillin and levofloxacin. BMC Microbiol 2016;16(1):170.
- [31] Wojnicz D, Tichaczek-Goska D. Effect of sub-minimum inhibitory concentrations of ciprofloxacin, amikacin and colistin on biofilm formation and virulence factors of Escherichia coli planktonic and biofilm forms isolated from human urine. Braz J Microbiol 2013;44(1):259–65.
- [32] Bose JL, et al. Contribution of the Staphylococcus aureus Atl AM and GL murein hydrolase activities in cell division, autolysis, and biofilm formation. PLoS One 2012;7(7):e42244.
- [33] Walker JN, et al. The Staphylococcus aureus ArlRS two-component system is a novel regulator of agglutination and pathogenesis. PLoS Pathog 2013;9(12): e1003819.
- [34] Brown S, Zhang YH, Walker S. A revised pathway proposed for Staphylococcus aureus wall teichoic acid biosynthesis based on in vitro reconstitution of the intracellular steps. Chem Biol 2008;15(1):12–21.
- [35] Holland LM, Conlon B, O'Gara JP. Mutation of tagO reveals an essential role for wall teichoic acids in Staphylococcus epidermidis biofilm development. Microbiology (Read) 2011;157(Pt 2):408–18.
- [36] Gui Z, et al. Azithromycin reduces the production of α-hemolysin and biofilm formation in Staphylococcus aureus. Indian J Microbiol 2014;54(1):114–7.
- [37] Liu J, et al. Antimicrobial resistance, SCCmec, virulence and genotypes of MRSA in southern China for 7 Years: filling the gap of molecular epidemiology. Antibiotics (Basel) 2023;12(2).
- [38] Gill SR, et al. Insights on evolution of virulence and resistance from the complete genome analysis of an early methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strain and a biofilm-producing methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis strain. J Bacteriol 2005;187(7):2426–38.

- [39] CLSI M100S. Performance tandards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: twenty. sixth ed. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2016.
- [40] CLSI M100S. Performance tandards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: twentyeight edition. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2018.
- [41] Chin CS, et al. Nonhybrid, finished microbial genome assemblies from long-read SMRT sequencing data. Nat Methods 2013;10(6):563–9.
- [42] Lagesen K, et al. RNAmmer: consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res 2007;35(9):3100–8.
- [43] Lowe TM, Eddy SR. tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. Nucleic Acids Res 1997;25(5):955–64.
- [44] Tatusov RL, et al. The COG database: an updated version includes eukaryotes. BMC Bioinf 2003;4:41.
- [45] Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 2000;28(1):27–30.
- [46] Ashburner M, et al. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. Nat Genet 2000;25(1):25–9.
- [47] Trapnell C, Pachter L, Salzberg SL. TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. Bioinformatics 2009;25(9):1105–11.
- [48] Anders S, Huber W, European. Differential expression of RNA-Seq data at the gene level – the DESeq package. 2012.
- [49] Anders S, Huber W. Analysing RNA-Seq data with the "DESeq" package. Mol Biol 2010.
- [50] Mortazavi A, et al. Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. Nat Methods 2008;5(7):621–8.
- [51] Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 2010;26(1):139–40.
- [52] Rivals I, et al. Enrichment or depletion of a GO category within a class of genes: which test? Bioinformatics 2007;23(4):401–7.
- [53] Storey JD. A direct approach to false discovery rates, vol. 64; 2002. p. 479-98. 3.
- [54] Dietersdorfer E, et al. Starved viable but non-culturable (VBNC) Legionella strains can infect and replicate in amoebae and human macrophages. Water Res 2018;141: 428–38.
- [55] Pardo L, et al. Macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B resistance phenotypes and their associated genotypes in Staphylococcus aureus isolates from a tertiary level public hospital of Uruguay. Rev Argent Microbiol 2020;52(3):202–10.
- [56] Tsai HC, et al. Multidrug-resistance in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) isolated from a subtropical river contaminated by nearby livestock industries. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 2020;200:110724.
- [57] Hassanzadeh S, et al. Epidemiology of efflux pumps genes mediating resistance among Staphylococcus aureus; A systematic review. Microb Pathog 2020;139: 103850.
- [58] Luong TT, Lee CY. Overproduction of type 8 capsular polysaccharide augments Staphylococcus aureus virulence. Infect Immun 2002;70(7):3389–95.[59] Menzies BE. The role of fibronectin binding proteins in the pathogenesis of
- Staphylococcus aureus infections. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2003;16(3):225-9.
- [60] Deivanayagam CC, et al. A novel variant of the immunoglobulin fold in surface adhesins of Staphylococcus aureus: crystal structure of the fibrinogen-binding MSCRAMM, clumping factor A. Embo j 2002;21(24):6660–72.
- [61] O'Brien L, et al. Multiple mechanisms for the activation of human platelet aggregation by Staphylococcus aureus: roles for the clumping factors ClfA and ClfB, the serine-aspartate repeat protein SdrE and protein A. Mol Microbiol 2002;44(4): 1033–44.
- [62] Sabat A, et al. Two allelic forms of the aureolysin gene (aur) within Staphylococcus aureus. Infect Immun 2000;68(2):973–6.
- [63] Vazquez V, et al. Fibrinogen is a ligand for the Staphylococcus aureus microbial surface components recognizing adhesive matrix molecules (MSCRAMM) bone sialoprotein-binding protein (Bbp). J Biol Chem 2011;286(34):29797–805.
- [64] Parastan R, et al. A synergistic association between adhesion-related genes and multidrug resistance patterns of Staphylococcus aureus isolates from different patients and healthy individuals. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 2020;22:379–85.
- [65] McAdow M, et al. Coagulases as determinants of protective immune responses against Staphylococcus aureus. Infect Immun 2012;80(10):3389–98.
- [66] Wu Y, et al. Role of the two-component regulatory system arlRS in ica operon and aap positive but non-biofilm-forming Staphylococcus epidermidis isolates from hospitalized patients. Microb Pathog 2014;76:89–98.
- [67] Stoitsova SR, Paunova-Krasteva TS, Db B. Microbial biofilm-important and applications. Vienna: Intech; 2016.
- [68] Kohanski MA, Dwyer DJ, Collins JJ. How antibiotics kill bacteria: from targets to networks. Nat Rev Microbiol 2010;8(6):423–35.
- [69] Donadio S, et al. Antibiotic discovery in the twenty-first century: current trends and future perspectives. J Antibiot (Tokyo) 2010;63(8):423–30.
- [70] Brown ED, Wright GD. Antibacterial drug discovery in the resistance era. Nature 2016;529(7586):336–43.
- [71] Sadykov MR, Bayles KW. The control of death and lysis in staphylococcal biofilms: a coordination of physiological signals. Curr Opin Microbiol 2012;15(2):211–5.
- [72] Yang SJ, et al. Role of the LytSR two-component regulatory system in adaptation to cationic antimicrobial peptides in Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2013;57(8):3875–82.
- [73] Sharma-Kuinkel BK, et al. The Staphylococcus aureus LytSR two-component regulatory system affects biofilm formation. J Bacteriol 2009;191(15):4767–75.
  [74] Ranjit DK, Endres JL, Bayles KW. Staphylococcus aureus CidA and LrgA proteins
- exhibit holin-like properties. J Bacteriol 2011;193(10):2468–76. [75] Ma L, et al. Assembly and development of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm
- [75] Ma L, et al. Assembly and development of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa bionim matrix. PLoS Pathog 2009;5(3):e1000354.

## J. Liu et al.

- [76] Bayles KW. Bacterial programmed cell death: making sense of a paradox. Nat Rev Microbiol 2014;12(1):63–9.
- [77] Mann EE, et al. Modulation of eDNA release and degradation affects Staphylococcus aureus biofilm maturation. PLoS One 2009;4(6):e5822.
- [78] Rice KC, et al. The cidA murein hydrolase regulator contributes to DNA release and biofilm development in Staphylococcus aureus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104 (19):8113–8.
- [79] Heilmann C, et al. Evidence for autolysin-mediated primary attachment of Staphylococcus epidermidis to a polystyrene surface. Mol Microbiol 1997;24(5): 1013–24.
- [80] Hirschhausen N, et al. A novel staphylococcal internalization mechanism involves the major autolysin Atl and heat shock cognate protein Hsc70 as host cell receptor. Cell Microbiol 2010;12(12):1746–64.
- [81] Swoboda JG, et al. Wall teichoic acid function, biosynthesis, and inhibition. Chembiochem 2010;11(1):35–45.