Data in Brief 8 (2016) 605-612

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib



Data Article

Data describing the solution structure of the WW3* domain from human Nedd4-1



Vineet Panwalkar^{a,*}, Marianne Schulte^{a,b}, Justin Lecher^{a,b}, Matthias Stoldt^{a,b}, Dieter Willbold^{a,b}, Andrew J Dingley^{a,*}

^a ICS-6 Strukturbiochemie, Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52425 Jülich, Germany
^b Institut für Physikalische Biologie, Heinrich-Heine-Universität, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 February 2016 Received in revised form 26 May 2016 Accepted 15 June 2016 Available online 22 June 2016

Keywords: Chemical shift Neuronal precursor cell expressed developmentally down-regulated gene 4-1 NMR NOE distance restraints WW domain

ABSTRACT

The third WW domain (WW3^{*}) of human Nedd4-1 (Neuronal precursor cell expressed developmentally down-regulated gene 4-1) interacts with the poly-proline (PY) motifs of the human epithelial Na + channel (hENaC) subunits at micromolar affinity. This data supplements the article (Panwalkar et al., 2015) [1]. We describe the NMR experiments used to solve the solution structure of the WW3^{*} domain. We also present NOE network data for defining the rotameric state of side chains of peptide binding residues, and complement this data with χ_1 dihedral angles derived from ³*J* couplings and molecular dynamics simulations data.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Specifications Table

Subject area	Biochemistry, structural biology
More specific	Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy
subject area	
Type of data	Tables, figures

* Corresponding authors. *E-mail addresses:* v.panwalkar@fz-juelich.de (V. Panwalkar), a.dingley@fz-juelich.de (A. Dingley).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.06.024

2352-3409/© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

How data was acquired	Heteronuclear multidimensional solution-state NMR spectroscopy and MD simulations from experimental structure.
Data format	Processed, analyzed
Experimental factors	The NMR experiments were performed on samples containing 1.5–1.8 mM WW3* domain (13 C, 15 N-labeled) from human Nedd4-1 in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.5), 50 mM NaCl, 0.1% (w/v) NaN ₃ and 1 mM DSS in a 93%/7% (v/v) H ₂ O/D ₂ O mixture.
Experimental features	All NMR spectra were acquired at 25 °C on Bruker BioSpin Avance III HD 600 and Varian INOVA 900 spectrometers and data were processed using NMRPipe.
Data source location	ICS-6 (Strukturbiochemie), Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany
Data accessibility	Data are within this article and have been deposited in the RCSB Protein Data Bank (http://www.rcsb.org) under the accession number PDB: 5AHT and in the BioMagResBank (accession code: 25349).

V. Panwalkar et al. / Data in Brief 8 (2016) 605-612

Value of the data

- The NOE network defines clearly the side chain orientations of particular ligand-binding residues;
- MD simulations provide atomistic descriptions of conformational fluctuations within the WW3^{*} domain that are not observed in the NMR-derived structure of the domain;
- This data set serves as a reference for future studies involving WW domains.

1. Data

We have collected 1592 NOE distance restraints from three-dimensional ¹⁵N-edited and ¹³C-edited NOESY spectra, which were processed using NMRPipe [2] and analyzed using CcpNMR Analysis [3]. The NOE dataset consists of 390 sequential, 416 intra-residue, 266 medium-range and 256 long-range NOE distance restraints. In addition, 60 dihedral angle restraints and five sidechain χ_1 angle restraints determined from combined ${}^{3}J_{\alpha\beta}$ and ${}^{3}J_{N\beta}$ couplings were used for structure calculation. The NOEs were picked manually and assigned in a semi-automated manner using the Aria 2.3.1 [4] software package. The structure calculation was carried out by a combination of Aria 2.3.1 and CNS version 1.21 [5] using the PARALLHDG force field. The protocol employed by Aria for calculation of the solution structure of the WW3^{*} domain is provided as supplementary material. The experiments performed to acquire chemical shift assignments, ${}^{3}J$ couplings and NOE distance restraints are summarized in Table 1. The ${}^{3}J$ couplings and the subsequently determined rotameric state for the WW3^{*} domain are given in Table 2.

We provide, as examples, the NOE networks for two key peptide binding residues I440 and T447 (Figs. 1 and 2), side chain rotamers of which differ between NMR and the crystal structures [6]. MD simulations data of χ_1 rotameric states of six key peptide binding residues (R430, F438, I440, H442, T447 and W449) over 100 ns in the apo and hENaC peptide bound state of the WW3^{*} domain is provided (Fig. 3).

Table	1					
NMR	experiments	used	for	structure	determination.	

Experiment	Sweep width (ppm)	Data matrices	Chemical shift offset (ppm)	Number of scans	Recycle delay (s)	Time (h)
Backbone assignments ^a						
3D HNCO	16 (H) × 32 (N) × 13 (C)	1024^{*} (H) \times 32^{*} (N) \times 40^{*} (C)	4.7 (H) × 117.1 (N) × 175.2 (C)	8	1.1	15
3D HNCA	12.5 (H) × 29 (N) × 28 (C)	1024^{*} (H) \times 42 [*] (N) \times 64 [*] (C)	4.7 (H) × 117.1 (N) × 56.8 (C)	8	1.1	28
3D CBCA(CO)NH	16 (H) \times 32 (N) \times 50 (C)	1024^{*} (H) \times 32^{*} (N) \times 48^{*} (C)	4.7 (H) \times 117.1 (N) \times 50 (C)	8	1,1	38
Side chain assignments						
3D H(CCO)NH	14 (H) × 32 (N) × 7.5 (H)	1024^{*} (H) $\times 24^{*}$ (N) $\times 64^{*}$ (C)	4.7 (H) × 117.1 (N) × 3.0 (H)	16	1.1	38
3D CC(CO)NH	14 (H) × 32 (N) × 70 (C)	1024^{*} (H) \times 42 [*] (N) \times 64 [*] (C)	4.7 (H) × 117.1 (N) × 42 (C)	16	1.1	57
3D ¹⁵ N-edited TOCSY	12.5 (H) × 32 (N) × 12.5 (H)	1024^{*} (H) $\times 20^{*}$ (N) $\times 50^{*}$ (H)	4.7 (H) × 117.1 (N) × 4.7 (H)	16	1.1	24
3D HCCH-TOCSY	6.5 (H) × 74 (C) × 6.5 (H)	512* (H) × 38* (C) × 100* (H)	3.2 (H) × 45.2 (C) × 1.5 (H)	16	1.1	90
2D (HB)CB(CGCD)HD	15 (H) × 33 (C)	750* (H) × 32* (C)	4.7 (H) × 35 (C)	32	1.5	1
2D (HB)CB(CGCDDE)HE	15 (H) × 33 (C)	750^{*} (H) \times 32^{*} (C)	4.7 (H) × 35 (C)	32	1.5	1
Distance restraints						
3D ¹⁵ N-edited NOESY	15 (H) × 27 (N) × 12.5 (H)	1024^{*} (H) \times 46 [*] (N) \times 128 [*] (H)	4.7 (H) × 119 (N) × 4.7 (H)	8	1.2	80
3D ¹³ C-edited NOESY	$14 (H) \times 38 (C) \times 6 (H)$	768* (H) × 94* (C) × 73* (H)	4.7 (H) × 29 (C) × 2.8 (H)	16	1.1	161
3D ¹³ C-edited NOESY(aromatic region)	14 (H) \times 23 (C) \times 6 (H)	832^{*} (H) $\times 36^{*}$ (C) $\times 50^{*}$ (H)	$4.7 (H) \times 123.4 (C) \times 7.3 (H)$	16	1,1	43
Dihedral restraints						
3D HNHB	12.5 (H) × 32 (N) × 12.5 (H)	1024^{*} (H) $\times 21^{*}$ (N) $\times 64^{*}$ (H)	$4.7 (H) \times 117.1 (N) \times 4.7 (H)$	16	1.2	35
3D HAHBCACONH	12.5 (H) \times 32 (N) \times 12.5 (H)	1024^{*} (H) \times 10 [*] (N) \times 61 [*] (H)	$4.7 (H) \times 117.1 (N) \times 2.7 (H)$	128	1.2	134

^a NMR backbone and side chain spectra as well as ³J data were recorded at 600 MHz, whereas distance restraint experiments were recorded at 900 MHz.

Residue	³ J coupling (Hz)		χ_1 angle
	³ <i>J</i> Νβ	³J αβ	
N434 D441 H442 D451 R453	$\begin{array}{c} 2.15 \pm 0.89, \ 3.64 \ \pm 0.50 \\ 0.58 \pm 0.19, \ 0.95 \ \pm 0.12 \\ 4.07 \pm 0.09, \ 1.73 \ \pm 0.22 \\ 1.15 \pm 0.11, \ 0.85 \ \pm 0.15 \\ 1.43 \pm 0.09, \ 0.85 \ \pm 0.15 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 3.42 \pm 1.02, 4.38 \ \pm 0.79 \\ \text{N.D., N.D.} \\ 3.06^{\text{a}}, 11.14 \pm 1.19 \\ \text{N.D., N.D.} \\ 4.12 \ \pm 1.06, 10.31 \pm 0.37 \end{array}$	gauche- trans gauche + trans gauche +

Table 2

³J couplings and the subsequently derived side chain rotamer used in structure determination of the WW3* domain.

N.D. Not determined

^a upper limit value for the ³*J* coupling.



Fig. 1. Strips from a ¹³C-edited NOESY spectrum for the δ 1 methyl protons (A) and the γ 2 methyl protons (B) of the residue I440 of the WW3^{*} domain are shown. The ¹³C chemical shifts are shown at the top of each strip. The NOE network that gives rise to the *trans* rotamer for I440 is mapped onto the structure (C). The γ 2 methyl protons show NOEs to the β and γ protons of E428 as well as the δ protons of R430 (red dashed lines in Fig. 1C). The δ 1 methyl protons of I440 do not show NOEs to E428 and R430 but show NOEs to the amide proton and the α proton of H442 (black dashed lines in Fig. 1C). This NOE pattern defines the side chain conformation of I440.



Fig. 2. Strips from a ¹³C-edited NOESY spectrum for the β proton (A) and the γ 2 methyl protons (B) of the residue T337 of the WW3* domain are shown. The NOE network that gives rise to a *gauche*+ rotamer is mapped onto the structure (C). This NOE pattern defines the side chain conformation of T447.

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

2.1. Protein expression, purification and NMR sample preparation

The WW3^{*} domain (41 residues, 4.8 kDa) from neuronal precursor cell expressed developmentally down-regulated gene 4-1 (Nedd4-1) was overexpressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3)pLysS cells, as described previously [7,8]. Protein purification was performed as described previously [1,7,8].

2.2. NMR spectroscopy

Standard heteronuclear multidimensional NMR experiments [9] were performed on samples containing 1.5–1.8 mM WW3^{*} domain (¹³C, ¹⁵N-labeled) from human Nedd4-1 in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.5), 50 mM NaCl, 0.1% (w/v) NaN₃ and 1 mM DSS in a 93%/7% (v/v) H_2O/D_2O



Fig. 3. Plots of side chain rotameric states for key peptide binding residues (R430, F438, I440, H442, T447 and W449) observed over 100 ns MD simulations of the apo- and hENaC peptide bound forms of the WW3* domain are shown.

mixture. NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C on NMR spectrometers equipped with cryogenically cooled z-gradient probes operating at ¹H frequencies of 600 and 900 MHz. ¹H, ¹⁵N and ¹³C chemical shift assignments of the WW3* domain were obtained using experiments in Table 1. An example of a backbone sequential walk using three-dimensional (3D) HNCA and CBCA(CO)NH spectra between residues F438 and H442 is presented in Fig. 4. Near complete backbone (193/200 or 96.5%) and side chain assignments (302/319 or 94.5%) were obtained. To derive NOE distance restraints for structure calculation, ¹⁵N-edited and ¹³C-edited NOESY spectra were recorded using mixing times between 150 and 180 ms. Backbone dihedral angles were obtained from TALOS + [10] using a combination of backbone (¹H_N, ¹H_α, ¹³C_α, ¹³C and ¹⁵N) and ¹³C_β chemical shifts. Sidechain χ_1 dihedral angles were obtained from 3D HNHB [11] and 3D HAHB (CACO)NH [12] experiments (Table 2).

2.3. MD simulations

MD simulations were performed using parameters described in [1].



Fig. 4. Strips from 3D HNCA (red) and 3D CBCA(CO)NH (green) spectra illustrating the backbone sequential walk from F438 to H442 of the WW3^{*} domain. The ¹⁵N chemical shift is shown at the top of each strip.

Acknowledgments

Access to the Jülich-Düsseldorf Biomolecular NMR Center that is jointly run by the Forschungszentrum Jülich and Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf is gratefully acknowledged.

Transparency document. Supporting information

Transparency document associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.06.024.

Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx.doi. org./10.1016/j.dib.2016.06.024.

References

- V. Panwalkar, P. Neudecker, M. Schmitz, J. Lecher, M. Schulte, K. Medini, M. Stoldt, M.A. Brimble, D. Willbold, A.J. Dingley, The Nedd4-1 WW domain recognizes the PY motif peptide through a coupled folding-binding equilibrium, Biochemistry (2015).
- [2] F. Delaglio, S. Grzesiek, G.W. Vuister, G. Zhu, J. Pfeifer, A. Bax, NMRPipe: a multidimensional spectral processing system based on UNIX pipes, J. Biomol. NMR 6 (1995) 277–293.
- [3] W.F. Vranken, W. Boucher, T.J. Stevens, R.H. Fogh, A. Pajon, M. Llinas, E.L. Ulrich, J.L. Markley, J. Ionides, E.D. Laue, The CCPN data model for NMR spectroscopy: development of a software pipeline, Proteins Struct. Funct. Bioinform. 59 (2005) 687–696.
- [4] W. Rieping, M. Habeck, B. Bardiaux, A. Bernard, T.E. Malliavin, M. Nilges, ARIA2: automated NOE assignment and data integration in NMR structure calculation, Bioinformatics 23 (2007) 381–382.
- [5] A.T. Brunger, Version 1.2 of the crystallography and NMR system, Nat. Protoc. 2 (2007) 2728–2733.
- [6] S. Qi, M. O'Hayre, J.S. Gutkind, J.H. Hurley, Structural and biochemical basis for ubiquitin ligase recruitment by arrestinrelated domain-containing protein-3 (ARRDC3), J. Biol. Chem. 289 (2014) 4743–4752.

- [7] J.S. Lott, S.J. Coddington-Lawson, P.H. Teesdale-Spittle, F.J. McDonald, A single WW domain is the predominant mediator of the interaction between the human ubiquitin-protein ligase Nedd4 and the human epithelial sodium channel, Biochem. J. 361 (2002) 481–488.
- [8] R. Bobby, K. Medini, P. Neudecker, T.V. Lee, M.A. Brimble, F.J. McDonald, J.S. Lott, A.J. Dingley, Structure and dynamics of human Nedd4-1 WW3 in complex with the αENaC PY motif, Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA Proteins Proteom. 1834 (2013) 1632–1641.
- [9] A.J. Dingley, I. Lorenzen, J. Grötzinger, NMR analysis of viral protein structures, Plant Virology Protocols: From Viral Sequence to Protein Function, 2008, pp. 441–462.
- [10] Y. Shen, F. Delaglio, G. Cornilescu, A. Bax, TALOS+: a hybrid method for predicting protein backbone torsion angles from NMR chemical shifts, J. Biomol. NMR 44 (2009) 213–223.
- [11] P. Düx, B. Whitehead, R. Boelens, R. Kaptein, G.W. Vuister, Measurement of 15N-1H coupling constants in uniformly 15Nlabeled proteins: application to the photoactive yellow protein, J. Biomol. NMR 10 (1997) 301–306.
- [12] N. Vajpai, M. Gentner, J.-r Huang, M. Blackledge, S. Grzesiek, Side-chain χ1 conformations in urea-denatured ubiquitin and protein G from 3 J coupling constants and residual dipolar couplings, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132 (2010) 3196–3203.