

Hypertensive disorders during pregnancy and elevated blood pressure in the offspring

A systematical review and meta-analysis protocol

Huan Yu, MD^a, Yuan He, MD^a, Zhengxia Mao, MD^a, Wenbing Dong, MD^a, Xiaodong Fu, MD^{b,*}, Xiaoping Lei, MD, PhD^{a,*}

Abstract

Background: Hypertensive disorders during pregnancy (HDP), including gestational hypertension, preeclampsia (PE), and chronic hypertension, affect approximately 10% of pregnancies worldwide. PE was associated with elevated blood pressure (BP) in the offspring confirmed by previous literature. No previous systematic review has investigated the associations between the subtypes of HDP and BP in offspring. Therefore, from the published literature, the present systematic review and meta-analysis aims to reach to a clear consensus on this topic.

Methods: The Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions was used to structure our methodological approach, and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta Analyses Protocols guidelines to this protocol. We will include cohort, case-control, and cross-sectional studies, in which HDP or its subtypes were reported and BP in the offspring was the outcome of interest. A systematic search of PubMed, Embase, the Cochrane library, and Web of Science will be conducted according to a specific search strategy. The titles and abstracts of all literature will be reviewed independently by 2 authors. A standardized data collection form will be used for data extraction. The overall pooled estimates will be calculated by meta-analysis in Revman 5.3 based on an inverse variance method. According to the heterogeneity by the *I*², a random-effect model or a fixed-effect model will be chosen to pool data. Risk of bias and quality of the studies will be assessed by a suitable bias classification tool.

Results: This study will provide high-quality evidence of the effects in offspring BP, which were influenced by different subtypes of HDP.

Conclusion: This systematic review will infer a conclusion on the associations between different subtypes of HDP and BP in offspring.

Ethics and dissemination: Due to the data come from the published study, the present systematic review and meta-analysis does not require ethics approval. Findings will be published in a peer-reviewed journal.

Registration: Supporting data can be found at the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) website, with registration number CRD 42018110872.

Abbreviations: BP = blood pressure, DOHaD = Developmental Origins of Health and Disease, GH = gestational hypertension, HDP = hypertensive disorders during pregnancy, NOS = Newcastle–Ottawa Scale, PAH = pregnancy-associated hypertension, PE = preeclampsia, PRISM-A = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta Analyses.

Keywords: blood pressure, hypertensive disorders during pregnancy, meta-analysis, preeclampsia

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [NSFC 81401280 to Xiaoping Lei] and the Sichuan Science and Technology Program [2019YJ0696] to Xiaoping Lei.

The authors have no conflicts of interests to disclose.

Supplemental Digital Content is available for this article.

^a Department of Neonatology, ^b Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Affiliated Hospital of Southwest Medical University, Luzhou, Sichuan, China.

* Correspondence: Xiaoping Lei, Department of Neonatology, Affiliated Hospital of Southwest Medical University, 25 Taiping Road, Luzhou, Sichuan 646000, China (e-mail: leixiaopingde@126.com); Xiaodong Fu, Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Affiliated Hospital of Southwest Medical University, 25 Taiping Road, Luzhou, Sichuan 646000, China (e-mail: dongerfu@163.com).

Copyright © 2019 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Medicine (2019) 98:20(e15677)

Received: 22 April 2019 / Accepted: 23 April 2019 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000015677

1. Introduction

The "Developmental Origins of Health and Disease (DOHaD)" hypothesis has been widely proved by epidemiological studies.^[1] The fetuses, exposure to the poor uterine environment, have high risks of chronic noncommunicable diseases in later life, including hypertension, diabetes, and obesity.^[2]

Hypertension, is one of the most serious public health burdens and has sharply increasing worldwide.^[3,4] Hypertension-related mortality and morbidity is the largest contributor to global disability-adjusted life-years.^[3–5] Although hypertension is a complex multifactor disorder, an increasing number of studies implied hypertension have a programmed or developmental origin,^[6,7] meaning that the adverse intrauterine environment may be an important independent risk factor for blood pressure (BP) in later life.

Hypertensive disorders during pregnancy (HDP) is one of the most common hostile utero environments of various pathophysiological changes, including systemic inflammation and oxidative stress, affecting approximately 10% of pregnancies worldwide.^[8,9] HDP include any hypertensive conditions before gestation or with manifestation before 20 weeks, and hypertension starting at or after 20 weeks.^[9,10] It mainly includes gestational hypertension (GH), preeclampsia (PE), chronic hypertension, and PE superimposed on chronic hypertension.

There is a younger trend of hypertension and the prevalence rate of childhood hypertension runs up to 3.3%.^[11,12] A BP trajectory was observed in life from childhood to adulthood, and indicated that higher BP in childhood was prone to develop hypertension in adult.^[13,14] Previous studies also showed that HDP could alter the development of fetal vasculature and cardiac structure,^[15,16] and induce higher BP of the offspring in later life.^[15] Thus, exposure to HDP during critical periods of development may predispose offspring to develop elevated BP later in life, and it may be a critical period for early intervention of hypertension.^[17]

2. Rationale for current systematic review

Several reviews had summarized the cardiometabolic adverse effects in the offspring of mothers suffer from PE.^[18-20] Two previous meta-analyses in 2009 and 2012 higher systolic and diastolic pressure in children were associated with exposure to PE in the utero.^[21,22] GH, absence of proteinuria, was also associated with higher BP in the offspring.^[23,24] However, some new studies were conducted on this topic in the past several years, and inconsistent associations were observed between the subtypes of HDP and the offspring's BP.^[13,25-28] In one of these studies, GH, PE, and chronic hypertension were associated with elevated systolic BP in the offspring, but no such associations with diastolic BP.^[26] And in another one,^[13] the BP in the offspring was not associated with PE, although the positive associations existed in GH and chronic hypertension. Furthermore, in some studies, no elevated BP was observed in the offspring born in mothers with any types of HDP.^[29] In addition, the cardiovascular effects of offspring after intrauterine exposure to HDP were demonstrated by 2 reviews recently.^[30,31] Unfortunately, not all studies were included and HDP was not categorized to different types for further analysis. So far, no clear associations have already disclosed between different subtypes of HDP and the offspring's BP, and a meta-analysis need to be conducted to deep analyze these associations based on the published literature.

3. Objective

The aim of the present systematic review and metaanalysis, based on the current studies, is to summarize the available evidences and examine the associations between different subtypes of HDP and the offspring's BP in exposed children.

4. Method and design

The Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions was used to structure our methodological approach, and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta Analyses (PRISM-A) Protocols guidelines to this protocol.^[32] We will report our findings following PRISM-A guidelines.

5. Eligibility criteria

5.1. Population

Pregnant women and their offspring exposed in utero to HDP, GH, or PE.

5.2. Intervention (exposure)

According to the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and the International Society for the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy criteria,^[9,10] HDP is any hypertensive disorders during pregnancy, mainly including GH, PE, chronic hypertension, and PE superimposed on chronic hypertension. Hypertension is defined as BP \geq 140/90 mmHg or a rise of 30 or 15 mmHg from baseline level.

HDP: any hypertensive disorders during pregnancy.

GH: new-onset hypertension alone after gestational 20 weeks without proteinuria.

PE: new-onset hypertension accompanied by proteinuria or together with other evidences of systemic involvements (include renal insufficiency, elevated levels of liver transaminases, pulmonary oedema, thrombocytopenia, et al), after gestational 20 weeks.

Pregnancy-associated hypertension (PAH): hypertension occurring after gestational 20 weeks, including PE and GH.

Chronic hypertension with pregnancy: hypertension before 20 weeks of gestation or persisting beyond 12 weeks postpartum.

5.3. Comparison

No diagnosis of HDP (including PAH, PE, and GH).

5.4. Outcomes

- 1. Primary outcome: mean difference in offspring's BP, whose mothers with and without different types of HDP.
- 2. Secondary outcome: mean BP in offspring of mothers with different types of HDP.

5.5. Inclusion criteria

- 1. We will include case-control, cohort, and cross-sectional studies, which reported mean difference in BP or mean BP of the offspring of mothers with or without different types of HDP.
- 2. We collect the data, coming from an original study, in which different types of HDP were confirmed by diagnostics from standard medical institutions, including medical records, and diagnostics by the obstetrician.
- 3. We will include peer-reviewed literature published in English as possible, during the period from the time of data inception to Nov. 2018.

5.6. Exclusion criteria

- 1. Studies published are not written in English.
- 2. We will exclude case reports, case series, active reviews, expert opinion, letters, and the articles only with abstract in conference paper.

6. Search strategy

The reviewers conduct a systematic literature search in the following electronic databases: PubMed, Embase, Web of Science and the Cochrane library. Comprehensive electronic literature search strategies, according to the principles of Boolean Logic, will be used for each database (see Text, Supplement content, http://links.lww.com/MD/C980, which demonstrates all search terms and a detail research strategy in PubMed). We also intend to check reference lists from the included studies for any important studies missed during the database search.

7. Data collection

To select studies for further assessment, the Endnote manager will be used to store and manage titles and abstracts of all the studies from each database. Two independent reviewers will screen all the titles and abstracts by using the predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Records identified as potentially eligible on the basis of title and abstract, full texts will be obtained to screen. While an eligible study does not provide full data, we will contact the corresponding authors to obtain data if possible. Where consensus on eligibility cannot be achieved, a third review author will be involved in the discussion. Two independent authors undergo data extraction using a standardized data collection form (see Tables, Supplement content, http://links.lww.com/MD/ C980, which demonstrates the detail information of extraction data), from the included studies, and use the PRISM-A flow diagram to demonstrate the included and excluded studies and reasons in the end. When the same cohort was reported in multiple articles, the study which contains the largest sample is included as possible.

The original standardized data collection form was drafted by several authors, basing data extraction form on the data collection form from the Cochrane. In the data collection form, data extraction mainly includes general information of study, characteristics of included studies, the information and outcome of subgroup, risk of bias assessment and data analysis. General information of study includes first author, date of publication, country of publication, participants, type of study, and type of outcome measurements. Characteristics of included studies mainly include sample size, inclusion and exclusion criteria, number of cases and controls, definition of HDP, PE, and GH, etc. The subgroup information Data analysis includes confounders adjusted, such as age, gender, the time of follow up, the main results, et al. If required, a third reviewer will be consulted.

8. Appraisal of quality and risk bias

Quality assessment will be conducted by 2 reviewers independently basing on an appropriate assessment tool according to the study design. If any disagreement, a third reviewer will discuss it with the 2 reviewers. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) is used to evaluate the risk bias of the included studies.^[33] The NOS assessment consisted of 3 major categories: selection (1 star for each terms), comparability (up to 2 stars), and exposure (1 star for each terms). Study with high score, has lower risk of bias. The scale of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) for cross-sectional studies. For appraising an overall likelihood of quality, the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) may was used to assess 6 aspects of every study (selection, exposure, outcome, analytic, attrition, and confounding). The quality of studies will be classified as minimal, low, moderate, and high, and the overall likelihood of quality based on the total of the 6 types will be measured.

9. Data analysis

The mean differences in BP of offspring born in mothers with and without HDP will be used to estimate effect sizes by using RevMan 5.3. In the next step, PAH, GH, PE, and chronic hypertension will be chose to conduct the same analysis, respectively. We will use the generic inverse variance method to display the results. If possible, the analysis will be performed in adjusted results to assess the changes in the relationship after adjusting confounders. If we are unable to analyze data using meta-analysis, a narrative synthesis will be conducted to summarize and tabulate the results.

10. Assessment of heterogeneity

We will assess heterogeneity by visual assessment of forest plots and the statistic of I^2 value. While heterogeneity is low ($I^2 < 50\%$), a fixed-effects model will be used, and while heterogeneity high ($I^2 \ge 50\%$) a random-effects model used according to the Cochrane Handbook criteria.^[34] The following subgroup and sensitivity analyses using RevMan 5.3 will be performed, where the data allow:

- 1. according to study design (case-control vs cohort);
- 2. according to study quality (minimal/low vs moderate/high);
- 3. according to age of the HDP offspring.

We will conduct funnel plots to assess the potential for publication bias where there are sufficient (>10) studies. If there are subgroup and sensitivity analyses in the process of the metaanalysis, we will conduct such some post hoc analyses to explore potential high heterogeneity or publication bias.

11. Reporting of results

The study selection process will be represented in a flow diagram (as stated in the PRISM-A statement), providing reasons for excluding research step by step. Two tables will list the characteristics and quality assessment of the included studies. Publication bias will be presented using forest plots. The eligible studies we could not obtain raw data by contacting corresponding authors, will be listed individually in a separate table. If a metaanalysis unable to be conducted, a narrative synthesis will be done.

12. Conclusion

This systematic review and meta-analysis will summarize eligible studies to examine the associations between different subtypes of HDP and BP of offspring according to the prepared protocol, which may help to identify the possible contributors to hypertension. Therefore, by examining the mean difference in BP, it may demonstrate that different subtypes of HDP have different effects on BP of offspring. It may help to be aware of some HDP needing more active reasonable intervention.

13. Potential limitations

There are some several potential limitations in our study. First, the articles with positive results are more likely to being published and we only search the articles published in English, leading to publication bias. We will use a funnel plot to assess the publication bias. Second, due to definitions of HDP, PE, and GH varied during different periods, we could not exclude the possibility that studies could not meet current criteria, and we will ensure the stability of results by exploring subgroups. Third, the potential confounders are also a potential limitation. The same analysis will display both on the crude and adjusted results, possibly helping to attenuate these limitations. There are some potential confounders (such as the treatment of HDP, other maternal mental illness, etc) not clear, and different confounders adjusted in different studies (e.g., maternal pregnancy body mass index, maternal smoking), but our study cannot provide insight into mechanisms underlying this phenomenon. For example, previous studies demonstrated that birth weight and preterm birth area strong mediate factors between HDP and BP in offspring.^[35,36] And raised maternal pregnancy body mass index is associated with both PE and higher offspring's BP.^[37] Conversely, some factor maternal smoking increases offspring BP in adult life,^[38] although it reduces the risk of PE by up to 50%.[39]

Author contributions

HY is the guarantor of the article. The manuscript was drafted by HY. HY and XL developed the search strategy. HY, YH and XM independently screened the potential studies and extracted data and planned quality appraisal of included studies. XF and XL arbitrated any disagreement and ensure that no errors occur during the review. All review authors critically reviewed, revised, and approved the subsequent and final version of the protocol. **Conceptualization:** Huan Yu, Xiaodong Fu, Xiaoping Lei. **Data guation:** Huan Yu, Yuan He, Zhenguja Mao

Data curation: Huan Yu, Yuan He, Zhengxia Mao.

Formal analysis: Huan Yu.

Funding acquisition: Xiaoping Lei.

Methodology: Huan Yu, Xiaoping Lei.

Writing – original draft: Huan Yu.

Writing – review & editing: Yuan He, Zhengxia Mao, Wendin Dong, Xiaodong Fu, Xiaoping Lei.

References

- [1] Barker DJ, Winter PD, Osmond C, et al. Weight in infancy and death from ischaemic heart disease. Lancet 1989;2:577–80.
- [2] Rinaudo P, Wang E. Fetal programming and metabolic syndrome. Annu Rev Physiol 2012;74:107–30.
- [3] NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC)Worldwide trends in blood pressure from 1975 to 2015: a pooled analysis of 1479 population-based measurement studies with 19•1 million participants. Lancet 2017;389: 37–55.
- [4] Lackland DT, Weber MA. Global burden of cardiovascular disease and stroke: hypertension at the core. Can J Cardiol 2015;31:569–71.
- [5] Poulter NR, Prabhakaran D, Caulfield M. Hypertension. Lancet 2015;386:801–12.
- [6] Chiossi G, Costantine MM, Tamayo E, et al. Fetal programming of blood pressure in a transgenic mouse model of altered intrauterine environment. J Physiol 2016;594:7015–25.
- [7] Dasinger JH, Davis GK, Newsome AD, et al. Developmental programming of hypertension: physiological mechanisms. Hypertension 2016;68:826–31.
- [8] Chaiworapongsa T, Chaemsaithong P, Yeo L, et al. Pre-eclampsia part 1: current understanding of its pathophysiology. Nat Rev Nephrol 2014;10:466–80.
- [9] American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, Task Force on Hypertension in PregnancyHypertension in pregnancy. Report of the

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists' task force on hypertension in pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol 2013;122:1122–31.

- [10] Brown MA, Lindheimer MD, de Swiet M, et al. The classification and diagnosis of the hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: statement from the International Society for the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy (ISSHP). Hypertens Pregnancy 2001;20:9–14.
- [11] Hill KD, Li JS. Childhood hypertension: an underappreciated epidemic? Pediatrics 2016;138:ii:e20162857.
- [12] Din-Dzietham R, Liu Y, Bielo MV, et al. High blood pressure trends in children and adolescents in national surveys, 1963 to 2002. Circulation 2007;116:1488–96.
- [13] Staley JR, Bradley J, Silverwood RJ, et al. Associations of blood pressure in pregnancy with offspring blood pressure trajectories during childhood and adolescence: findings from a prospective study. J Am Heart Assoc 2015;4:ii:e001422.
- [14] Hao G, Wang X, Treiber FA, et al. Blood pressure trajectories from childhood to young adulthood associated with cardiovascular risk: results from the 23-Year Longitudinal Georgia Stress and Heart Study. Hypertension 2017;69:435–42.
- [15] Lazdam M, de la Horra A, Pitcher A, et al. Elevated blood pressure in offspring born premature to hypertensive pregnancy: is endothelial dysfunction the underlying vascular mechanism? Hypertension 2010;56:159–65.
- [16] Timpka S, Macdonald-Wallis C, Hughes AD, et al. Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy and offspring cardiac structure and function in adolescence. J Am Heart Assoc 2016;5:e003906.
- [17] Davis EF, Newton L, Lewandowski AJ, et al. Pre-eclampsia and offspring cardiovascular health: mechanistic insights from experimental studies. Clin Sci 2012;123:53–72.
- [18] Herrera-Garcia G, Contag S. Maternal preeclampsia and risk for cardiovascular disease in offspring. Curr Hypertens Rep 2014;16: 475.
- [19] Stojanovska V, Scherjon SA, Plösch T. Preeclampsia as modulator of offspring health. Biol Reprod 2016;94:53.
- [20] Goffin SM, Derraik JGB, Groom KM, et al. Maternal pre-eclampsia and long-term offspring health: is there a shadow cast? Pregnancy Hypertens 2018;12:11–5.
- [21] Ferreira I, Peeters LL, Stehouwer CD. Preeclampsia and increased blood pressure in the offspring: meta-analysis and critical review of the evidence. J Hypertens 2009;27:1955–9.
- [22] Davis EF, Lazdam M, Lewandowski AJ, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors in children and young adults born to preeclamptic pregnancies: a systematic review. Pediatrics 2012;129:e1552–61.
- [23] Geelhoed JJ, Fraser A, Tilling K, et al. Preeclampsia and gestational hypertension are associated with childhood blood pressure independently of family adiposity measures: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. Circulation 2010;122:1192–9.
- [24] Miettola S, Hartikainen AL, Vääräsmäki M, et al. Offspring's blood pressure and metabolic phenotype after exposure to gestational hypertension in utero. Eur J Epidemiol 2013;28:87–98.
- [25] Rice MM, Landon MB, Varner MW, et al. Pregnancy-associated hypertension and offspring cardiometabolic health. Obstet Gynecol 2018;131:313–21.
- [26] Henley D, Brown S, Pennell C, et al. Evidence for central hypercortisolism and elevated blood pressure in adolescent offspring of mothers with pre-eclampsia. Clin Endocrinol 2016;85:583–9.
- [27] Tapp RJ, Hughes AD, Kähönen M, et al. Cardiometabolic health among adult offspring of hypertensive pregnancies: the cardiovascular risk in Young Finns Study. J Am Heart Assoc 2018;7:ii:e006284.
- [28] Davis EF, Lewandowski AJ, Aye C, et al. Clinical cardiovascular risk during young adulthood in offspring of hypertensive pregnancies: insights from a 20-year prospective follow-up birth cohort. BMJ Open 2015;5:e008136.
- [29] Hosaka M, Asayama K, Staessen JA, et al. Relationship between maternal gestational hypertension and home blood pressure in 7-yearold children and their mothers: Tohoku Study of Child Development. Hypertens Res 2015;38:776–82.
- [30] Thoulass JC, Robertson L, Denadai L, et al. Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy and adult offspring cardiometabolic outcomes: a systematic review of the literature and meta-analysis. J Epidemiol Community Health 2016;70:414–22.
- [31] Pinheiro TV, Brunetto S, Ramos JG, et al. Hypertensive disorders during pregnancy and health outcomes in the offspring: a systematic review. J Dev Orig Health Dis 2016;7:391–407.

- [32] Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev 2015;4:1.
- [33] Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur J Epidemiol 2010;25:603–5.
- [34] Deeks JJ, Higgins JPT, Altman DG (editors). Chapter 9: Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011). The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from www.handbook.cochrane.org.
- [35] Bertagnolli M, Luu TM, Lewandowski AJ, et al. Preterm birth and hypertension: is there a link? Curr Hypertens Rep 2016;18:28.
- [36] Tian J, Qiu M, Li Y, et al. Contribution of birth weight and adult waist circumference to cardiovascular disease risk in a longitudinal study. Sci Rep 2017;7:9768.
- [37] Wen X, Triche EW, Hogan JW, et al. Prenatal factors for childhood blood pressure mediated by intrauterine and/or childhood growth? Pediatrics 2011;127:e713-21.
- [38] Power C, Atherton K, Thomas C. Maternal smoking in pregnancy, adult adiposity and other risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Atherosclerosis 2010;211:643–8.
- [39] Hutcheon JA, Lisonkova S, Joseph KS. Epidemiology of pre-eclampsia and the other hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2011;25:391–403.