

CORRECTION

# Correction: Effect of Risk of Bias on the Effect Size of Meta-Analytic Estimates in Randomized Controlled Trials in Periodontology and Implant Dentistry

Clovis Mariano Faggion, Jr, Yun-Chun Wu, Moritz Scheidgen, Yu-Kang Tu

There is an error in [Table 2](#). Columns 6 and 7 are missing. Please see the corrected [Table 2](#) here.



 OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Faggion CM, Jr, Wu Y-C, Scheidgen M, Tu Y-K (2015) Correction: Effect of Risk of Bias on the Effect Size of Meta-Analytic Estimates in Randomized Controlled Trials in Periodontology and Implant Dentistry. PLoS ONE 10(11): e0142233. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0142233

**Published:** November 10, 2015

**Copyright:** © 2015 Faggion et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Table 2. Meta-regression assessment of the influence of different levels of risk of bias on the treatment effect estimates.** (1): Sequence generation; (2): Allocation concealment; (3) Blinding of outcome assessment; (4) Sequence generation OR Allocation concealment; (5) Sequence generation OR Blinding of outcome assessment; (6) Allocation concealment OR Blinding of outcome assessment; (7) Sequence generation OR Allocation concealment OR Blinding of outcome assessment. NA = not available

Study	Comparison/ Outcome	Risk of bias						
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
<b>Riley and Lamont 2013 (Periodontology)</b>	Plaque at 6 to 7 months vs. Baseline prophylaxis							
	(A-1) Quigley-Hein Plaque Index	0.665	0.123	NA	0.123	0.665	0.123	0.123
	Plaque Severity Index versus Baseline prophylaxis							
	(A-2) Plaque Severity Index	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Gingivitis at 6 to 9 month versus. Baseline prophylaxis							
	(A-3) Loe-Silness Gingival Index	0.669	0.244	NA	0.244	0.669	0.244	0.244
	Gingivitis at 6 to 7 month versus Baseline prophylaxis							
	(A-4) Gingivitis Severity Index	0.055	0.055	NA	0.055	0.055	0.055	0.055
	<b>Yaacob et al. 2014 (Periodontology)</b>	All powered toothbrushes versus manual toothbrushes						
	(A-5) Plaque scores at 1 to 3 months at all sites	0.535	0.405	0.850	0.400	0.535	0.405	0.400
	Gingival scores at 1 to 3 months at all sites							
	(A-6) Loe and Silness	0.846	0.459	0.053	0.457	0.846	0.459	0.457
	(A-7) Plaque scores at >3 months	0.313	0.371	0.439	0.371	0.313	0.371	0.371
	Rotation oscillation powered toothbrushes versus manual toothbrushes							
	(A-8) Plaque scores at 1 to 3 month at all sites	0.471	0.375	NA	0.375	0.471	0.375	0.375
	(A-9) Gingival scores at 1 to 3 months at all sites	0.069	0.244	0.029*	0.277	0.069	0.244	0.277
<b>Esposito et al. 2013 (Implant Dentistry)</b>	Immediate versus conventional loading							
	(B-1) Implant failure	0.825	0.893	0.971	0.893	0.881	NA	NA

\* p-value<0.05

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0142233.t001

## Reference

1. Faggion CM Jr, Wu Y-C, Scheidgen M, Tu Y-K (2015) Effect of Risk of Bias on the Effect Size of Meta-Analytic Estimates in Randomized Controlled Trials in Periodontology and Implant Dentistry. PLoS ONE 10(9): e0139030. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0139030](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139030) PMID: [26422698](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26422698/)