Check for updates

EDITORIALS

8 Steps toward Cell Therapy for Cystic Fibrosis

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is an autosomal recessive genetic disorder affecting \sim 70,000 persons worldwide (1). Mutations in the CFTR (CF transmembrane conductance regulator) gene that affect production, processing, and function of the CFTR protein dramatically alter the biophysical properties of airway mucus leading to airway obstruction, chronic infection, and inflammation, eventually culminating in organ damage and failure. Recent advances in pharmacotherapy (2) led to U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval of triple-combination CFTR modulating therapy for individuals with at least one copy of the most common *CFTR* mutation, p.Phe508 del (commonly referred to as Δ F508). Although this therapy is generally well tolerated, it involves indefinite adherence to a twice-daily dosing regimen, effects on long-term outcomes are still under investigation, and $\sim 10\%$ of individuals with CF are not candidates for this or other currently available pharmacotherapeutic regimens because of the nature of their CFTR mutations.

Using gene therapy to introduce a functional CFTR gene or repair mutant CFTR has obvious appeal and has been eagerly pursued over the three decades since CFTR was identified (3). Clinical trials involving liposome- or viral vector-mediated delivery of CFTR transgenes to the airway failed to achieve desired clinical outcomes because of inefficiencies in transgene delivery and other obstacles. New gene-delivery systems and the emergence of powerful gene-editing methods such as CRISPR promise to improve our ability to introduce and repair airway epithelial-cell genes. However, using these tools *in vivo* presents significant challenges, including those imposed by the need to deliver transgenes or editing systems across barriers formed by mucus and epithelial tight junctions. Cell therapy is an alternative approach. Here, autologous cells undergo ex vivo correction of CFTR gene mutations and are then engrafted into the airways, avoiding the need for immunosuppression. Although advances in our understanding of stem-cell biology may open avenues for reprogramming of cells from other sites, one appealing approach involves the use of airway epithelial cells for CF cell therapy. Based on mouse models, it has been estimated that 60 million cells will be required for each cell-therapy treatment (4). Because the number of airway epithelial cells that can be safely harvested using bronchoscopy or other approaches is considerably smaller, it will be important to use culture methods that allow for expansion of epithelial cells while still maintaining "stemness" characteristics and capacity for differentiation into critical CFTRexpressing cell types.

In this issue of the *Journal*, Lee and colleagues (pp. 374–385) address these challenges by investigating how human bronchial epithelial-cell culture conditions affect cell proliferation and cell

function (5). Airway epithelial cells have conventionally been propagated on placental or hide collagen-coated plates in bronchial epithelial growth medium, a method that results in limited cell expansion. The discovery that the Rho kinase inhibitor Y-27632, in combination with fibroblast feeder cells, induces indefinite proliferation of primary epithelial cells (6) and the subsequent application of this conditionally reprogrammed cell (CRC) method to human airway epithelial-cell culture (7, 8) provided a means to overcome this limitation. As expected from earlier studies (8-10), Lee and colleagues (5) found that the CRC method, compared with the conventional bronchial epithelial growth medium method, generated cells that proliferated much more rapidly, yielding ~ 20 population doublings ($\sim 10^6$ -fold expansion) over just 3 weeks in culture. The CRC method also maintained higher expression of the low-affinity NGFR (nerve growth factor receptor), a marker for a highly proliferative subset of airway basal cells (11). The CRC method generated a large population of NGFR-high cells even when starting with NGFR-negative cells from first-passage conventional cultures, implying that less proliferative basal cells are reprogrammed to be highly proliferative basal cells by the CRC method. A competitive repopulation assay was used to model the effects of introducing cultured non-CF cells into a population of CF epithelial cells. First-passage conventional and CRCs performed similarly, but by passage 3, CRCs substantially outperformed conventional cells as measured by cell abundance and CFTR function. Restoration of a substantial amount of CFTR ion transport could be accomplished with a modest proportion of non-CF cells: there was measurable improvement in transport with starting ratios as low as 1 non-CF cell to 99 CF cells and 50% restoration of function when non-CF cells represented only ${\sim}10\%$ of all cells in mature cultures. This suggests that cell therapy could be successful, even with limited cell engraftment. A novel feature of this study is that Lee and colleagues (5) examined the generation of ionocytes, a recently described rare cell type with high CFTR expression, and found that CRCs produced four times more ionocytes than conventional conditions after a single passage. As the authors note, the observation that this increase in ionocytes was not accompanied by a substantial difference in ion transport function in the cultures raises questions about whether ionocytes are major contributors to net ion transport in the airway epithelium.

The report from Lee and colleagues (5) adds to a growing body of evidence that indicates that it is possible to massively expand basal or basal-like epithelial cells while retaining their capacity to differentiate into multiple cell types that serve distinct functions in the airway epithelium. The CRC method used by Lee and

Originally Published in Press as DOI: 10.1165/rcmb.2020-0235ED on July 10, 2020

³This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). For commercial usage and reprints, please contact Diane Gern (dgern@thoracic.org).

Supported by U.S. National Institutes of Health grants U19 AI 077439 and R35 HL145235 and by Collaborative Research Grant URNOV19XX0 from the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation (K.D.K. and D.J.E).

colleagues requires feeder cells, which could raise safety concerns for cell therapy applications. Other methods for cell reprogramming that have recently been described may provide similar or greater efficiency without a need for feeder cells (12, 13). Progress in culture systems must be accompanied by progress in other steps required for cell therapy of CF. Although earlier efforts concentrated on introducing a CFTR transgene, recent work has increasingly turned to using gene editing, including a recent report using Cas9 and adeno-associated virus 6 to correct the endogenous CFTR gene in cultured airway basal stem cells from CF patients with the Δ F508 mutation (14). We (15) and others (16) recently developed methods for efficient gene targeting in cultured human airway epithelial cells via direct delivery of guide RNA-recombinant Cas9 complexes by electroporation, without a requirement for plasmids, viruses, or antibiotic selection. Although this approach was designed for gene inactivation, other plasmidand virus-free CRISPR approaches that correct CFTR mutations by homology-directed repair or base editing could be used for cell therapy. After CFTR correction and cell expansion, cells will need to be engrafted in individuals with CF. Despite considerable progress in understanding the complex biology relevant to lung repair and regeneration (17), much more needs to be done to understand how to prepare the airways, deliver cells, and monitor engraftment efficiency in vivo. To provide long-lasting benefits, engrafted cells will need to serve as self-renewing precursors for critical CFTR-expressing cells types. Clinically meaningful benefits of cell therapy may require widespread engraftment of cells in both airways and glands because both are involved in CF, although results from Lee and colleagues (5) suggest that replacement of even a modest fraction of epithelial cells may have physiologically meaningful effects. Although many challenges lay ahead, advances reported by Lee and colleagues and other groups are cause for optimism about the potential of cell therapy for CF.

Author disclosures are available with the text of this article at www.atsjournals.org.

Kyung Duk Koh, Ph.D. David J. Erle, M.D. Department of Medicine University of California, San Francisco San Francisco, California

ORCID IDs: 0000-0003-3326-8217 (K.D.K.); 0000-0002-2171-0648 (D.J.E.).

References

1. Cutting GR. Cystic fibrosis genetics: from molecular understanding to clinical application. *Nat Rev Genet* 2015;16:45–56.

- Middleton PG, Mall MA, Dřevínek P, Lands LC, McKone EF, Polineni D, et al.; VX17-445-102 Study Group. Elexacaftor-tezacaftor-ivacaftor for cystic fibrosis with a single Phe508del allele. N Engl J Med 2019;381: 1809–1819.
- Yan Z, McCray PB Jr, Engelhardt JF. Advances in gene therapy for cystic fibrosis lung disease. *Hum Mol Genet* 2019;28:R88–R94.
- Ghosh M, Ahmad S, White CW, Reynolds SD. Transplantation of airway epithelial stem/progenitor cells: a future for cell-based therapy. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 2017;56:1–10.
- Lee RE, Miller SM, Mascenik TM, Lewis CA, Dang H, Boggs ZH, et al. Assessing human airway epithelial progenitor cells for cystic fibrosis cell therapy. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2020;63:374–385.
- Liu X, Ory V, Chapman S, Yuan H, Albanese C, Kallakury B, et al. ROCK inhibitor and feeder cells induce the conditional reprogramming of epithelial cells. Am J Pathol 2012;180:599–607.
- Suprynowicz FA, Upadhyay G, Krawczyk E, Kramer SC, Hebert JD, Liu X, et al. Conditionally reprogrammed cells represent a stem-like state of adult epithelial cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2012;109: 20035–20040.
- Reynolds SD, Rios C, Wesolowska-Andersen A, Zhuang Y, Pinter M, Happoldt C, et al. Airway progenitor clone formation is enhanced by Y-27632-dependent changes in the transcriptome. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2016;55:323–336.
- Peters-Hall JR, Coquelin ML, Torres MJ, LaRanger R, Alabi BR, Sho S, et al. Long-term culture and cloning of primary human bronchial basal cells that maintain multipotent differentiation capacity and CFTR channel function. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2018;315: L313–L327.
- Hayes D Jr, Kopp BT, Hill CL, Lallier SW, Schwartz CM, Tadesse M, et al. Cell therapy for cystic fibrosis lung disease: regenerative basal cell amplification. Stem Cells Transl Med 2019;8: 225–235.
- Rock JR, Onaitis MW, Rawlins EL, Lu Y, Clark CP, Xue Y, et al. Basal cells as stem cells of the mouse trachea and human airway epithelium. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009;106:12771–12775.
- Mou H, Vinarsky V, Tata PR, Brazauskas K, Choi SH, Crooke AK, et al. Dual SMAD signaling inhibition enables long-term expansion of diverse epithelial basal cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2016;19:217–231.
- Zhang C, Lee HJ, Shrivastava A, Wang R, McQuiston TJ, Challberg SS, et al. Long-term *in vitro* expansion of epithelial stem cells enabled by pharmacological inhibition of PAK1-ROCK-myosin II and TGF-β signaling. *Cell Rep* 2018;25:598–610, e5.
- Vaidyanathan S, Salahudeen AA, Sellers ZM, Bravo DT, Choi SS, Batish A, et al. High-efficiency, selection-free gene repair in airway stem cells from cystic fibrosis patients rescues CFTR function in differentiated epithelia. *Cell Stem Cell* 2020;26:161–171, e4.
- Koh KD, Siddiqui S, Cheng D, Bonser LR, Sun DI, Zlock LT, et al. Efficient RNP-directed human gene targeting reveals SPDEF is required for IL-13-induced mucostasis. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2020;62:373–381.
- Rapiteanu R, Karagyozova T, Zimmermann N, Singh K, Wayne G, Martufi M, et al. Highly efficient genome editing in primary human bronchial epithelial cells differentiated at air-liquid interface. *Eur Respir J* 2020;55:1900950.
- 17. Basil MC, Katzen J, Engler AE, Guo M, Herriges MJ, Kathiriya JJ, *et al.* The cellular and physiological basis for lung repair and regeneration: past, present, and future. *Cell Stem Cell* 2020;26: 482–502.