SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

OPEN

Dynamic response of the nonlocal strain-stress gradient in laminated polymer composites microtubes

Mohammad Amin Oyarhossein¹, As'ad Alizadeh², Mostafa Habibi^{3*}, Mahmoud Makkiabadi⁴, Mohsen Daman⁵, Hamed Safarpour⁵ & Dong Won Jung^{6*}

This study presents the frequency analysis of a size-dependent laminated polymer composite microtube using a nonlocal strain-stress gradient (NSG) model. By applying energy methods (known as Hamilton's principle), the motion equations of the laminated micro tube composites are developed. The thermodynamic equations of the laminated microtube are based on first-order shear deformation theory (FSDT), and a generalized differential quadrature method (GDQM) is employed to find the model for the natural frequencies. The results show that by considering C-F boundary conditions (BCs) and every even layers' number in lower value of length scale parameter, the frequency of the structure drops by soaring this parameter. However, this matter is inverse in its higher value. Eventually, the ply angle's influences, nonlocality as well as length scale element on the vibration of the laminated composite microstructure are investigated.

Reinforced laminated composites with graphene nanoplatelets (GPL) reinforcement are increasingly used in various applications due to its outstanding features, namely high tensile strength, high modulus, and lightweight¹⁻³⁸. Based on an experimental study, Rafiee *et al.*³⁹ showed that the reinforced structures with GPL have better behaviors in comparison with them reinforced with multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT). Moreover, a considerable number of studies^{13,23-25,27-33,40-50} claimed that considering the GPL reinforcement in the epoxy matrix provides a significant improvement in the thermo-electro-mechanical properties^{37,51,52} and dynamic responses of the nanostructures^{19,51-55}, based on this matter present work is a momentous field of study. Recently, the reinforcement is used in many applications such as sensor and actuator^{56,57}. It is notable that when the size of a structure is changed from macro to nano/micro-scale the size-dependent effect should be considered using nonclassical theories⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰. Nonlocal strain-stress gradient (NSG) theory is one of those useful theories for estimating the mechanical behaviors of the micro/nano structures⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰. The wave responses of a beam with NSG theory is presented by Lim et al.⁵⁸. Also, the size-dependent effect on the dynamic response of the nanobeams using a nonlocal theory is investigated in refs. ^{59,60}. Besides, refs. ^{27,34,61-79} investigated the stability/instability analysis of the complex micro/nanostructures with the aid of analytical and numerical methods. In the scope of electro-mechanics of the shell with a piezo material, Shojaeefard et al.⁷³ dealt with frequency analysis for different boundary conditions on a rotary cylindrical piezoelectric nanoshell surrounded by an elastic foundation. Also, they used the GDQ method for solving the problems. Electro-dynamical behavior of conical nanotubes applying moderately thin theory and a size-dependent theory has been studied by Dehkordi et al.⁸⁰. Flex electric effects on the frequency of the nano-smart tube have been carried out in that paper. Arefi⁸¹ employed nonlocal elasticity theory and FSDT for investigation bending of double-curved size-dependent piezoelectric shells. Transverse loads and voltage are applied in that nano model surrounded by Pasternak and Winkler elastic foundations. They also examined the nonlocality, voltage, viscoelastic parameters on the electro-mechanic behaviors of the piezo nanostructure⁸². Razavi et al.⁸³ published a paper about modeling a nanoshell made of functionally graded piezoelectric materials. They illustrated the impacts of dimensional parameters on the frequency of the mentioned nano model. Ninh and Bich⁸⁴ demonstrated the nonlinear dynamic behavior of the electrically FG

¹Department of Civil Engineering, University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal. ²Department of Mechanical Engineering, Urmia University of Technology, Urmia, Iran. ³Center of Excellence in Design, Robotics, and Automation, School of Mechanical Engineering, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran. ⁴Department of Mechanical Engineering, Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran, Iran. ⁵Department of Mechanics, Imam Khomeini International University, Qazvin, Iran. ⁶School of Mechanical Engineering, Jeju National University, Jeju, Jeju-do, 690-756, South Korea. *email: moctafa_habibi@yahoo.com; jdwcheju@jejunu.ac.kr



Figure 1. The geometry of a laminated composite microtube.

nano cylindrical shells in the thermal conditions. An FG shell reinforced with a carbon nanotube is taken into account in the inner and outer surfaces surrounded by piezo layers. Fang et al.⁸⁵ engaged with thick theory and electro-mechanic model to study the nonlinear frequency of a size-dependent shell surrounded by a piezo layer. They studied the frequency curves of the nanoshell. Eftekhari et al.⁸⁶ presented the dynamics of an FG cylindrical shell reinforced with carbon nanotube and the structure surrounded by PIAC in an orthotropic elastic medium and thermal site. They in this work applied an exact method along with DQ solution to figure out the equations and impacts of the electromagnetic field and a wide range of patterns of CNT ratio on dynamics of the system is presented. Vinyas⁸⁷ encountered with FE modeling for frequency analysis of a plate which this structure has an MEE property. He considered moderately thick theory for modeling the problem. He emphasized that CNT pattern and volume of the reinforcement have a significant impact on the free vibration of the structure. Zhu et al.88 did a study on the free vibration of a PIAC nano cylindrical shell, and by employing the perturbation method, they solved the governing equations. They investigated the impact of surface energy on the dynamics of the nano smart structure. Fan *et al.*⁸⁹ researched dynamics of a conic small scale structure. A couple of piezoelectric layers surrounded outer and inner layers of a conical CNTRC. It should be noted that this kind of structure can be used in the complex smart structures such as^{37,51,52}. An intelligent controller equipped with a fast fault diagnosis method not only can guarantee the stability of a dynamic system but also it can predict or diagnose any fault in any complicated system⁹⁰. For the first time, the presented study investigates the vibration analysis of a laminated composite microtube taking into consideration NSGT and exact values of nonlocalities and length scale parameters. The dynamic equations of the laminated microtube are based on FSDT and GDQM is implemented to solve these equations and obtain the natural frequency of the current model. Eventually, the current study has been made into the influences of the different types of the laminated parameters on the mechanical stability of the laminated composite microstructure employing continuum mechanics model.

Mathematic Model

In Fig. 1, a laminated composite microtube with consideration of thermal effects is sketched, where *R* is the radius of the tube's middle surface and *h* is the thickness of the microtube. Also, $\overline{\theta}$ is the ply angle of each layer. The material of the microstructure is considered as a laminated composite.

NSG model. The fundamental equation can be expressed as follows due to the NSG model^{35,91–93}:

$$(1 - \mu^2 \nabla^2) t_{ij} = C_{ijck} (1 - l^2 \nabla^2) \varepsilon_{ck} \tag{1}$$

where, $\nabla^2 = \partial^2 / \partial x^2 + \partial^2 / R^2 \partial \theta^2$, t_{ij} , C_{ijck} , and ε_{ck} respectively are the NSG stress, elasticity tensors, and strain. The tensor of NSG stress can be defined as follows³⁵:

$$t_{ij} = \sigma_{ij} - \nabla \sigma_{ij}^{(1)} \tag{2}$$

here σ_{ij} and $\sigma_{ij}^{(1)}$ presents the components of primary and micro size stresses, respectively. The *l* and μ are constant values standing for the higher-order strain gradient stress and non-invariant influence. Recent experimental researches also demonstrated the calibrated values of the size-dependent factors. The strain tensor could be written as:

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}) \tag{3}$$

where, u_i stands for the elements of the displacement vector. Due to the Eq. (2), the relation between stress and strain of the mentioned structure would be presented as⁹⁴:

$$(1 - \mu^{2} \nabla^{2}) \begin{bmatrix} t_{xx} \\ t_{\theta\theta} \\ t_{x\theta} \end{bmatrix} = (1 - l^{2} \nabla^{2}) \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & 0 \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{66} \end{bmatrix}^{(L)} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{xx} \\ \varepsilon_{\theta\theta} \\ \varepsilon_{x\theta} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(1 - \mu^{2} \nabla^{2}) \begin{bmatrix} t_{\thetaz} \\ t_{xz} \end{bmatrix} = (1 - l^{2} \nabla^{2}) \begin{bmatrix} C_{44} & 0 \\ 0 & C_{55} \end{bmatrix}^{(L)} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{\thetaz} \\ \varepsilon_{xz} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(4)$$

Equation (4) defines temperature changes as well as thermal expansion as ΔT and α , respectively. In the case of laminated composites, the elements of the tensor of elasticity are defined as the orthotropic material's lessened elastic constants of the *L*th layer, and the next equations express the mentioned relations⁹⁴:

$$C_{11} = Q_{11} \cos^{4} \theta + 2(Q_{12} + 2Q_{44}) \sin^{2} \theta \cos^{2} \theta + Q_{22} \sin^{4} \theta$$

$$C_{12} = (Q_{11} + Q_{22} - 4Q_{44}) \sin^{2} \overline{\theta} \cos^{2} \overline{\theta} + Q_{12} (\sin^{4} \overline{\theta} + \cos^{4} \overline{\theta})$$

$$C_{22} = Q_{11} \sin^{4} \overline{\theta} + 2(Q_{12} + 2Q_{44}) \sin^{2} \overline{\theta} \cos^{2} \overline{\theta} + Q_{22} \cos^{4} \overline{\theta}$$

$$C_{44} = Q_{44} \cos^{4} \overline{\theta} + Q_{55} \sin^{4} \overline{\theta}$$

$$C_{55} = Q_{55} \cos^{4} \overline{\theta} + Q_{66} \sin^{4} \overline{\theta}$$

$$C_{66} = (Q_{11} + Q_{22} - 2Q_{12}) \sin^{2} \overline{\theta} \cos^{2} \overline{\theta} + Q_{66} (\cos^{2} \overline{\theta} - \sin^{2} \overline{\theta})^{2}$$
(5)

The aforementioned equations express the relation between stress and strain components for the L*th* orthotropic lamina referred to as the lamina's principal material axes *x*, θ , and *z*. In Eq. (5), Q_{ij} components are expressed by the following equations:

$$Q_{11} = \frac{E_1}{1 - \nu^2}, \quad Q_{12} = \frac{\nu_{12}E_2}{1 - \nu^2}, \quad Q_{22} = \frac{E_2}{1 - \nu^2}$$

$$Q_{66} = G_{12}, \qquad Q_{44} = G_{23}, \qquad Q_{55} = G_{13}$$
(6)

Displacement field. FSDT enables us to define the displacement field of a laminated microtube as following equations:

$$U(\mathbf{x}, \theta, z, t) = u(\mathbf{x}, \theta, z) + z\psi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}, \theta, t)$$

$$V(\mathbf{x}, \theta, z, t) = v(\mathbf{x}, \theta, z) + z\psi_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, \theta, t)$$

$$W(\mathbf{x}, \theta, z, t) = w(\mathbf{x}, \theta, t)$$
(7)

As well as that, $u(x, \theta, t)$, $v(x, \theta, t)$ along with $w(x, \theta, t)$, respectively demonstrate the displacements of the neutral surface in x and θ axes. $\psi_x(x, \theta, t)$ as well as $\psi_{\theta}(x, \theta, t)$ illustrate the cross section rotations around θ and x- directions. By inserting Eq. (7) into Eq. (3), the strain tensor's components can be obtained by the following equations:

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_{xx} &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + z \frac{\partial \psi_x}{\partial x} \\ \varepsilon_{\theta\theta} &= \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} + \frac{z}{R} \frac{\partial \psi_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{w}{R} \\ \varepsilon_{xz} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_x + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) \\ \varepsilon_{x\theta} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{z}{2} \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \psi_x}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \psi_{\theta}}{\partial x} \right) \\ \varepsilon_{\theta z} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{\theta} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} - \frac{v}{R} \right) \end{split}$$
(8)

Governing equations and boundary conditions. The motion equations, along with the possible BCs related to the mentioned structure would be extracted applying energy methods (Hamilton principle) Based on FSDT and the NSG model by the following equation:

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\delta K - \delta \Pi_s) dt = 0 \tag{9}$$

here, K illustrates the kinetic energy, Π_s defines strain energy and the work done by forces imposed can be shown as Π_w . For a usual micro tube exposed to the high level of temperature situation, it is suggested that the temperature distributes through its thickness.

Based on NSG model, Eq. (10) defines the strain energy³⁵:



Figure 2. The flow chart of GDQM.

Material properties	E ₁	E ₂	G ₁₂	G ₁₃	G ₂₃	α ₁	α2	ν
Values	140 GPa	10 GPa	7 GPa	7 GPa	7 GPa	$-0.3 \times 10^{-6}/K$	$28~\times~10^{-6}/K$	0.078

Table 1. The material properties of AS/3501 graphite-epoxy layers⁹⁴.

$$\delta K = \int_{Z} \iint_{A} \rho \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + z \frac{\partial \psi_{x}}{\partial t} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta u + z \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta \psi_{x} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + z \frac{\partial \psi_{\theta}}{\partial t} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta v + z \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta \psi_{\theta} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta w \right\} R \, dz \, dx \, d\theta$$

$$\tag{10}$$

And also, the strain energy can be defined as the following equation due to the NSG model³⁵:

$$\Pi_{s} = \frac{1}{2} \iiint_{V} \left(\sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} + \sigma_{ij}^{(1)} \nabla \varepsilon_{ij} \right) dV \Rightarrow \delta \Pi_{s} = \iiint_{S} t_{ij} \delta \varepsilon_{ij} dV + \iint_{A} \sigma_{ij}^{(1)} \delta \varepsilon_{ij} \Big|_{0}^{L} dS$$
(11)

The components of the Eq. (11) and governing equations of the laminated microtube are given in the appendix.

Solution Method

One of the best numerical methods which are well known for its accuracy and convergence is the Differential quadrature method (DQM)^{95,96}. In this method, it is essential which the numbers of seed should be optimal^{19,26,97–99}, which means that due to increasing the computational charge, too many seeds are not applicable, employing the few seeds, however, would lead to a negative impact on the accuracy of the results. At first, this method encounters its users with a limitation in which they could not use too many seeds owning to the algebraic weighting function. Shu^{100,101} improve the basic model of DQM with the aid of an explicit formula and decomposition technique so that he renamed the modified method to GDQ. GDQM is employed to find the solutions of governing equations beneath various boundary conditions. The flow chart of the aforementioned solution method is presented in Fig. 2.

With a view of this method estimated r-th defined by f(x) as follow:

$$\left. \frac{\partial^r f(x)}{\partial x^r} \right|_{x=x_p} = \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij}^{(r)} f(x_i)$$
(12)

n and Cij called the number of seed and weighting coefficients in order which the second one computes as below:



Figure 3. The grid point numbers' effects on the convergency of the results for the nondimensional frequency of the laminated composites microtube for different boundary conditions when L/R = 10, h/R = 0.1, $\mu = l = 0.1$ *nm*.

h/R	n	ref. 103 (<i>l</i> =0)	Present research (l=0)	ref. 103 (<i>l</i> =h)	Present research (l=h)
0.02	1	0.1954	0.1954	0.1955	0.1954
	2	0.2532	0.2527	0.2575	0.2573
	3	0.2772	0.2758	0.3067	0.3062
0.05	1	0.1959	0.1954	0.1963	0.1958
	2	0.2623	0.2588	0.2869	0.2854
	3	0.3220	0.3140	0.4586	0.4545

Table 2. Evaluation of three vibrational modes of isotropic homogeneous microtube (various thickness values are considered).

$$C_{ij}^{(1)} = \frac{M(x_i)}{(x_i - x_j)M(x_j)} \quad i, j = 1, 2, ..., n \text{ and } i \neq j$$

$$C_{ij}^{(1)} = -\sum_{j=1, i \neq j}^n C_{ij}^{(1)} \qquad i = j$$

where,

$$M(x_i) = \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} (x_i - x_j)$$
(14)

(13)



Figure 4. The effects of *l* and even layers number on the vibration of the structure under the boundary condition of C-C.



Figure 5. The effects of *l* and even layers number on the vibration of the structure under the boundary condition of C-S.

As well as these higher-order weight coefficients are as follows:

$$C_{ij}^{(r)} = r \left[C_{ij}^{(r-1)} C_{ij}^{(1)} - \frac{C_{ij}^{(r-1)}}{(x_i - x_j)} \right] \quad i, j = 1, 2, ..., n, i \neq j \text{ and } 2 \leq r \leq n-1$$

$$C_{ii}^{(r)} = -\sum_{i=1, i\neq j}^{n} C_{ij}^{(r)} \qquad i, j = 1, 2, ..., n \text{ and } 1 \leq r \leq n-1$$
(15)

In the present research investigation, a seeds can be expressed as follows due to' non-uniform set is chosen along x and θ excess:

$$x_i = \frac{L}{2} \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{(i-1)}{(N_i - 1)}\pi\right) \right) \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N_i$$
(16)

The freedom degrees can be taken into consideration as follows:



Figure 6. The effects of *l* and even layers number on the vibration of the structure under the boundary condition of S-S.





$$u(x, \theta, t) = U(x)\cos(n\theta)e^{i\omega t},$$

$$v(x, \theta, t) = V(x)\sin(n\theta)e^{i\omega t},$$

$$w(x, \theta, t) = W(x)\cos(n\theta)e^{i\omega t},$$

$$\psi_x(x, \theta, t) = \Psi_x(x)\cos(n\theta)e^{i\omega t},$$

$$\psi_a(x, \theta, t) = \Psi_a(x)\sin(n\theta)e^{i\omega t}.$$
(17)

Reorganizing the quadrature analogs of boundary conditions along with field equations into the generalized eigenvalue problem's fabric obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M_{dd} & \begin{bmatrix} M_{db} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M_{bd} & \begin{bmatrix} M_{db} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \omega^2 + \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K_{dd} & \begin{bmatrix} K_{db} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} K_{bd} & \begin{bmatrix} K_{bb} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_d \\ \delta_b \end{bmatrix} = 0$$
(18)



Figure 8. The effects of *l* and odd layers number on the vibration of the structure under the boundary condition of C-C.





where the subscripts d and b pertained to the grid points' domain and boundary, respectively. As well as this, the displacement vector is shown by δ . Equation (18), however, may be changed to a fundamental problem of eigenvalue:

$$\begin{split} [K^{\acute{o}}]\{\delta_{i}\} &= (\omega^{2})[M^{\acute{o}}]\{\delta_{i}\} \\ [K^{\acute{o}}] &= [K_{dd} - K_{db}K_{bb}{}^{-1}\!K_{bd}] \\ [M^{\acute{o}}] &= [M_{dd} - M_{db}K_{bb}{}^{-1}\!K_{bd}] \end{split}$$
(19)

As well as this, dimensionless natural frequency and dimensionless temperature difference are defined as bellow:

$$\Omega = 10 \times \omega L \left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{E}} \right)$$
(20)



Figure 10. The effects of *l* and odd layers number on the vibration of the structure under the boundary condition of S-S.



Figure 11. Effects of *l* and odd layers' number on the vibration of the structure under boundary conditions of C-F.

Result and Discussion

In this paper, the laminated composite micro tube's material properties are given in Table 1. The most prominent superiority of AS/3501 composite compared with conventional composites are their higher stiffness and strength as well as less density¹⁰².

Convergencey. Achieving a higher degree of results accuracy in the GDQ solution method is strongly dependent on adequate grid point numbers. The convergence has been conducted for a range of materials along with various boundary conditions (Clamped-Clamped (C-C), Clamped-Simply (C-S), Simply-Simply (S-S), and Clamped-Free (C-F)). At the same time, this would be observed that the stiffness of microtube under C-C boundary conditions is much more than the microtube under C-F boundary conditions leading to a lower nondimensional critical temperature. According to Fig. 3, twenty grid points are adequate for the convergence of the results presented.

Validation. For validating the results presented in this study with other research papers, Table 2 evaluates outcomes for the micro tube's nondimensional frequency and the outcomes presented by ref. ¹⁰³, for different geometrical parameters. Besides, the results disclose that the decrease of nondimensional length scale element



Figure 12. The effects of μ and even layers number on the vibration of the structure under the boundary condition of C-C.





(h/l) may result in a drop in the natural frequency. The mentioned formulation, however, is validated by those available in the literature. Table 2 illustrates a decent agreement between the presented outcomes and reference.

length scale influences on the frequency of the laminated composite microstructure. Figures 4–11 illustrate the influence of the various angles of symmetric laminate, the number of layers and length scale element on the frequency for a range of boundary conditions. The proposed structure is a laminated composite microtube with R = 1 nm and h = R/10. The small scale factors are suggested to be $\mu = 0.55$ nm, l = 0.35 nm in the relevant models³⁵.

Even–layered laminates' comparison. According to Figs. 4–7, for C-C, C-S as well as S-S boundary conditions, increasing the length scale parameter, the figures presented to demonstrate a similar behavior in the all mentioned cases. By rising the element of length scale, the frequency of the microstructure increases. These figures present that, by boosting the even layers' number of the laminated composite, the frequency of the structure increases. Such increases are considerable for C-C boundary conditions and boost the stability of such structures. The difference between Figs. 4–6 are that the dimensionless frequency parameter of the C-C boundary condition, the microstructure stability would be enhanced. Also, a new result is presented in the boundary



Figure 14. The effects of μ and even layers number on the vibration of the structure under the boundary condition of S-S.



Figure 15. Effects of μ and even layers number on the vibration of the structure under the boundary condition of C-F.

condition of C-F in Fig. 7. For this regard it may be observed, the effect of length scale factor on the frequency is much more changeable. Moreover, for every even layer number, in lower value of length scale factor ($0 \le l^{for four layers} \le 0.0183$, $0 \le l^{for six layers} \le 0.0278$, $0 \le l^{for eight layers} \le 0.04$), by raising the value of length scale factor, the frequency of the structure drops but in higher value ($l^{for four layers} \ge 0.0183$, $l^{for eight layers} \ge 0.0278$, $l^{for six layers} \ge 0.0278$, $l^{for eight layers} \ge 0.0278$, $l^{for six layers} \ge 0.0278$, $l^{for eight layers} \ge 0.0278$, $l^{for six layers} \ge 0.0278$, $l^{for six layers} \ge 0.0278$, $l^{for eight layers} \ge 0.04$) of length scale parameter, this matter is inverse. Besides, this figure shows that even layers' number effect on the frequency, change in l=0.872 nm. So, for length scale parameter less than 0.872 nm, whenever the composite layers increase, the frequency increases as well, while for l > 0.872 nm the reverse is true.

Odd-layered laminates' comparison. The dimensionless frequency respect to the length scale factor for various odd layers' numbers of the laminated composite and S-S, C-S, C-C along with C-F boundary conditions are depicted in Figs. 8–11. It is observed that rising the length scale factor causes the frequency of the system to increase. It is clear from Figs. 8–11, because of increasing stiffness of structure with rising odd layers' number, the variation of frequency with an increase of odd layers' number decreases. As mentioned earlier, the dynamic stability can be enhanced if the length scale factor increases. This enhancement is more significant in the C-C boundary condition. The difference between these figures is that the effects of odd layers' numbers on the vibration of the structure with C-F boundary conditions are much less than in comparison with other boundary conditions. For



Figure 16. The effects of μ and odd layers number on the vibration of the structure under C-C boundary conditions.



Figure 17. The effects of μ and odd layers number on the vibration of the structure under C-S boundary conditions.

more comprehensive, the odd layers' number indeed has a positive effect on the frequency of the microtube with C-F boundary condition, but this effect is minimal and can be ignored.

Influences of the nonlocal parameter on the frequency of the laminated composite microstructure. Figures 12–19 demonstrate the impact of the angles' different symmetric laminate, layers number as well as nonlocality on the vibration for different boundary conditions.

Even–layered laminates' comparison. Regarding Figs. 12–15, for C-C, C-S, S-S, and C-F boundary conditions, increasing the nonlocal parameter, all figures demonstrate similar mechanical behavior. By rising the nonlocal parameter, the frequency of the micro-scaled structure drops. These figures present that, by boosting the even layers' number of the laminated composite, the frequency of the microstructure increases. The mentioned increment is considerable for C-C boundary conditions and enhances the stability of the structure. For more comprehensive, increasing even layers' numbers indeed has a positive effect on the frequency but, nonlocality has an inverse influence on the frequency. The difference between Figs. 12–15 is that the dimensionless fundamental vibration mode of the C-C boundary condition is more than other boundary conditions. This means the C-C boundary



Figure 18. The effects of μ and odd layers number on the vibration of the structure under S-S boundary conditions.







condition improves the structure stability. As mentioned earlier, increasing layers' numbers have a direct effect on the frequency so, and this positive point is much more significant than when the boundary condition is C-F. As a new result for literature, increasing even layers' numbers play a prominent role in the stability of the C-F laminated composite microtube.

Odd-layered laminates' comparison. The dimensionless frequency versus the nonlocal parameter for different odd layers number of the laminated composite and S-S, C-S, C-C, and C-F boundary conditions are depicted in Figs. 16–19. It can be illustrated that increasing the nonlocal parameter causes the frequency of the system to decreases. It is clear from Figs. 16–19, because of increasing stiffness of structure with rising odd layers' number, the variation of frequency with increasing of odd layers' number increases. As mentioned earlier, by increasing the nonlocal parameter, the dynamic stability is boosted. This enhancement is more significant in the C-C boundary condition. The difference between these figures is that the effects of odd layers' numbers on the frequency of the structure with C-F boundary conditions are much more than in comparison with other boundary conditions.

Conclusion

The present research work investigated the stability of a small-scaled laminated composite microtube using the NSG model. The governing motion equations pertained to the laminated composite microtube have been obtained by employing energy methods, and GDQM enabled us to solve the obtained equations. The current investigation evaluated dynamic stability analysis of a laminated composite microtube considering continuum mechanics for the first time. Ultimately, by employing the mentioned continuum theory, this study has been made

into the impact of the various kinds of laminated composite microtube parameters on the vibrational characteristics of the microstructure. The most prominent results obtained in the current paper can be found as follows:

- 1. For C-F boundary condition and every even layers' number, in the lower values of length scale parameter, as this factor increases, the fundamental frequency of the structure decreases but in higher values of length scale factor this matter becomes inverse.
- 2. For the C-F boundary condition and even layers' number, the impact of the length scale factor on the natural frequency is more changeable.
- 3. The more the length scale values and the layers' number increase, the more structure's frequency becomes for C-C, C-S as well as S-S boundary conditions and every even and odd layers' number.
- 4. The odd layers' number has a positive effect on the frequency of the microtube with C-F boundary condition, but this effect is minimal and can be ignored.

Appendix

The strain energy variation can be derived as the following equations:

$$\delta\Pi_{s} = \iint_{A} \left\{ \begin{split} N_{xx} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta u + M_{xx} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta \psi_{x} + N_{\theta \theta} \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \delta v + \frac{1}{R} \delta w \right) \\ + \frac{1}{R} M_{\theta \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \delta \psi_{\theta} + Q_{xz} \left(\delta \psi_{x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta w \right) + N_{x\theta} \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \delta u + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta v \right) \\ + M_{x\theta} \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \delta \psi_{x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta \psi_{\theta} \right) + Q_{z\theta} \left(\delta \psi_{\theta} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \delta w - \frac{1}{R} \delta v \right) \\ + \int \left\{ \begin{split} N_{xx}^{(1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta u + M_{xx}^{(1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta \psi_{x} + N_{\theta \theta}^{(1)} \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \delta v + \frac{1}{R} \delta w \right) \\ + \frac{1}{R} M_{\theta \theta}^{(1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \delta \psi_{\theta} + Q_{xz}^{(1)} \left(\delta \psi_{x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta w \right) + N_{x\theta}^{(1)} \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \delta u + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta v \right) \\ + \left\{ \begin{split} H_{x\theta}^{(1)} \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \delta \psi_{x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta \psi_{\theta} \right) + Q_{\theta z}^{(1)} \left(\delta \psi_{\theta} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \delta u - \frac{1}{R} \delta v \right) \\ + \left\{ \begin{split} H_{x\theta}^{(1)} \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \delta \psi_{x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta \psi_{\theta} \right) + Q_{\theta z}^{(1)} \left(\delta \psi_{\theta} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \delta w - \frac{1}{R} \delta v \right) \\ \end{split} \right\} \right\}$$
(1)

where the momentum and force resultants are:

$$\begin{cases} N_{xx}, N_{\theta\theta}, N_{x\theta} \\ N_{xx}^{(1)}, N_{\theta\theta}^{(1)}, N_{x\theta}^{(1)} \end{cases} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \begin{cases} t_{xx}, t_{\theta\theta}, t_{x\theta} \\ \sigma_{xx}^{(1)}, \sigma_{\theta\theta}^{(1)}, \sigma_{x\theta}^{(1)} \end{cases} dz \\ \begin{cases} M_{xx}, M_{\theta\theta}, M_{x\theta} \\ M_{xx}^{(1)}, M_{\theta\theta}^{(1)}, M_{x\theta}^{(1)} \end{cases} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \begin{cases} t_{xx}, t_{\theta\theta}, t_{x\theta} \\ \sigma_{xx}^{(1)}, \sigma_{\theta\theta}^{(1)}, \sigma_{x\theta}^{(1)} \end{cases} dz \\ \begin{cases} Q_{xz}, Q_{\thetaz} \\ Q_{xz}^{(1)}, Q_{\thetaz}^{(1)} \end{cases} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} K_s \begin{cases} t_{xz}, t_{\thetaz} \\ \sigma_{xx}^{(1)}, \sigma_{\thetaz}^{(1)} \end{cases} dz \end{cases}$$
(2)

Governing motion equations for a microtube due to the FSDT as well as NSG model are presented inserting Eqs. (10), (11) into Eq. (9) and integrating as follows:

$$\begin{split} \delta u : A_{11} & \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + l^2 \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta^2} \right) + B_{11} & \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi_x}{\partial x^2} + l^2 \frac{\partial^4 \psi_x}{\partial x^4} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^4 \psi_x}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta^2} \right) \\ & + A_{12} & \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial \theta} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^3} - \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial x \partial \theta^3} - \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x \partial \theta^2} \right) \\ & + B_{12} & \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_\theta}{\partial x \partial \theta} - \frac{l^2}{R} \frac{\partial^4 \psi_\theta}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} - \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^4 \psi_\theta}{\partial x \partial \theta^3} \right) - N_h & \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial \theta} - \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} \right) \\ & + \frac{A_{66}}{R} & \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial \theta} - \frac{l^2}{R} \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta^2} - l^2 \frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} - \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial \theta^4} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial x \partial \theta^3} \right) \\ & + \frac{B_{66}}{R} & \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_x}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi_\theta}{\partial x \partial \theta} - \frac{l^2}{R} \frac{\partial^4 \psi_x}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta^2} - l^2 \frac{\partial^4 \psi_\theta}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} - \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^4 \psi_x}{\partial \theta^4} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^4 \psi_\theta}{\partial x \partial \theta^3} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{R} & \left(1 - \mu^2 \nabla^2 \right) & \left(I_0 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + I_1 \frac{\partial^2 \psi_x}{\partial t^2} \right) \end{split}$$

=

(3)

$$\begin{split} \delta \nu &: \frac{A_{12}}{R} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial \theta} + l^2 \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x \partial \theta^3} \right) + \frac{B_{12}}{R} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi_x}{\partial x \partial \theta} + l^2 \frac{\partial^4 \psi_x}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^4 \psi_x}{\partial x \partial \theta^3} \right) \\ &+ \frac{A_{22}}{R} \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta^2} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} - \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial \theta^4} - \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial \theta^3} \right) \\ &+ \frac{B_{22}}{R} \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_\theta}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{l^2}{R} \frac{\partial^4 \psi_\theta}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta^2} - \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^4 \psi_\theta}{\partial \theta^4} \right) \\ &+ A_{66} \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} - \frac{l^2}{R} \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} - l^2 \frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial x^4} - \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x \partial \theta^3} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta^2} \right) \\ &+ B_{66} \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_x}{\partial x \partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi_\theta}{\partial x^2} - \frac{l^2}{R} \frac{\partial^4 \psi_x}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} - l^2 \frac{\partial^4 \psi_\theta}{\partial x^4} - \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^4 \psi_x}{\partial x \partial \theta^3} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^4 \psi_\theta}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta^2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{K_s A_{44}}{R} \left(\psi_\theta + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} - \frac{v}{R} - l^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi_\theta}{\partial x^2} - \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} + \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_\theta}{\partial x^2} \right) = (1 - \mu^2 \nabla^2) \left(I_0 \left[\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} \right] + I_1 \left[\frac{\partial^2 \psi_\theta}{\partial t^2} \right] \right) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \delta_{w:} & \frac{A_{12}}{R} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + l^2 \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} + \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x \partial \theta^2} \right) + \frac{B_{12}}{R} \left(\frac{\partial \psi_x}{\partial x} + l^2 \frac{\partial^3 \psi_x}{\partial x^3} + \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^3 \psi_x}{\partial x \partial \theta^2} \right) \\ & + \frac{A_{22}}{R} \left(-\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} - \frac{w}{R} + \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} + \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial \theta^3} + \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2} \right) \\ & + \frac{B_{22}}{R} \left(-\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \psi_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^3 \psi_\theta}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} + \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^3 \psi_\theta}{\partial \theta^3} \right) \\ & + K_s A_{55} \left(\frac{\partial \psi_x}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} - l^2 \frac{\partial^3 \psi_x}{\partial x^3} - l^2 \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^3 \psi_x}{\partial x \partial \theta^2} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta^2} \right) \\ & + \frac{K_s A_{44}}{R} \left(\frac{\partial \psi_\theta}{\partial \theta} - \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} - l^2 \frac{\partial^3 \psi_\theta}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} - \frac{l^2}{R} \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta^2} + \frac{l^2}{R} \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} \right) \\ & - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^3 \psi_\theta}{\partial \theta^3} - \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial \theta^4} + \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial \theta^3} \right) = (1 - \mu^2 \nabla^2) \left(I_0 \left(\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} \right) \right) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \delta\psi_{\mathbf{x}} &: B_{11} \bigg(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} - l^{2} \frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial x^{4}} - \frac{l^{2}}{R^{2}} \frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial x^{2} \partial \theta^{2}} \bigg) + D_{11} \bigg(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial x^{2}} - l^{2} \frac{\partial^{4} \psi_{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial x^{4}} - \frac{l^{2}}{R^{2}} \frac{\partial^{4} \psi_{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial x^{2} \partial \theta^{2}} \bigg) \\ &+ B_{12} \bigg(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x \partial \theta} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} - \frac{l^{2}}{R^{2}} \frac{\partial^{4} v}{\partial x^{3} \partial \theta} - \frac{l^{2}}{R^{2}} \frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial x^{3}} - \frac{l^{2}}{R^{3}} \frac{\partial^{4} v}{\partial x \partial \theta^{3}} - \frac{l^{2}}{R^{3}} \frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial x \partial \theta^{2}} \bigg) \\ &+ D_{12} \bigg(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{\theta}}{\partial x \partial \theta} + \frac{l^{2}}{R^{2}} \frac{\partial^{4} \psi_{\theta}}{\partial x^{3} \partial \theta} - \frac{l^{2}}{R^{3}} \frac{\partial^{4} \psi_{\theta}}{\partial x \partial \theta^{3}} \bigg) \\ &+ \frac{B_{66}}{R} \bigg(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \theta^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x \partial \theta} - \frac{l^{2}}{R} \frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial x^{2} \partial \theta^{2}} - l^{2} \frac{\partial^{4} v}{\partial x^{3} \partial \theta} - \frac{l^{2}}{R^{3}} \frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial \theta^{4}} - \frac{l^{2}}{R^{2}} \frac{\partial^{4} v}{\partial x \partial \theta^{3}} \bigg) \\ &+ \frac{D_{66}}{R} \bigg(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \theta^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{\theta}}{\partial x \partial \theta} - \frac{l^{2}}{R} \frac{\partial^{4} \psi_{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial x^{2} \partial \theta^{2}} - l^{2} \frac{\partial^{4} \psi_{\theta}}{\partial x^{3} \partial \theta} - \frac{l^{2}}{R^{3}} \frac{\partial^{4} \psi_{\theta}}{\partial x^{3} \partial \theta} - \frac{l^{2}}{R^{3}} \frac{\partial^{4} \psi_{\theta}}{\partial x^{3} \partial \theta} - \frac{l^{2}}{R^{3}} \frac{\partial^{4} \psi_{\theta}}{\partial x^{2} \partial \theta^{2}} \bigg) \\ &- K_{s} A_{55} \bigg(\psi_{x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} - l^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{x}}{\partial x^{2}} - l^{2} \frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial x^{3}} - \frac{l^{2}}{R^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{x}}{\partial \theta^{2}} - \frac{l^{2}}{R^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{x}}{\partial \theta^{2}} \bigg) \\ &(1 - \mu^{2} \nabla^{2}) \bigg(I_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}} + I_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{x}}{\partial t^{2}} \bigg) \end{split}$$

(5)

(4)

=

(6)

$$\begin{split} \delta\psi_{\theta} &: \frac{B_{12}}{R} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial \theta} - l^2 \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x \partial \theta^3} \right) + \frac{D_{12}}{R} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi_x}{\partial x \partial \theta} - l^2 \frac{\partial^4 \psi_x}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^4 \psi_x}{\partial x \partial \theta^3} \right) \\ &+ \frac{B_{22}}{R} \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta^2} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} - \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial \theta^4} - \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial \theta^3} \right) \\ &+ \frac{D_{22}}{R} \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_\theta}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{l^2}{R} \frac{\partial^4 \psi_\theta}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta^2} - \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^4 \psi_\theta}{\partial \theta^4} \right) \\ &+ B_{66} \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial \theta} - \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} - \frac{l^2}{R} \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} - l^2 \frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial x^4} - \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x \partial \theta^3} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta^2} \right) \\ &+ D_{66} \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_x}{\partial x \partial \theta} - \frac{\partial^2 \psi_\theta}{\partial x^2} - \frac{l^2}{R} \frac{\partial^4 \psi_x}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} - l^2 \frac{\partial^4 \psi_\theta}{\partial x^4} - \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^4 \psi_x}{\partial x \partial \theta^3} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^4 \psi_\theta}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta^2} \right) \\ &- k_s A_{44} \left(\psi_\theta + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} - \frac{v}{R} - l^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi_\theta}{\partial x^2} - \frac{l^2}{R} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} + \frac{l^2}{R} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta^3} - \frac{l^2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_\theta}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial \theta^3} \right) \\ &+ \frac{l^2}{R^3} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \theta^2} \right) = (1 - \mu^2 \nabla^2) \left[I_1 \left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} \right) + I_2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi_\theta}{\partial t^2} \right) \right] \end{split}$$

here, the defined elements in Eqs. (3)–(7) are explained as:

$$\{A_{11} \ A_{12} \ A_{22} \ A_{66} \ A_{44} \ A_{55}\} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \{C_{11} \ C_{12} \ C_{22} \ C_{66} \ C_{44} \ C_{55}\} dz \{B_{11} \ B_{12} \ B_{22} \ B_{66}\} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \{C_{11} \ C_{12} \ C_{22} \ C_{66}\} z dz \{D_{11} \ D_{12} \ D_{22} \ D_{66}\} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \{C_{11} \ C_{12} \ C_{22} \ C_{66}\} z^2 dz \{I_0 \ I_1 \ I_2\} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho(z, T) \{I \ z \ z^2\} dz$$

$$(8)$$

1.10

Received: 9 August 2019; Accepted: 3 March 2020; Published online: 27 March 2020

References

- Gao, W., Dimitrov, D. & Abdo, H. Tight independent set neighborhood union condition for fractional critical deleted graphs and ID deleted graphs. Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems-S 12, 711–721 (2018).
- Gao, W., Guirao, J. L. G., Basavanagoud, B. & Wu, J. Partial multi-dividing ontology learning algorithm. Information Sciences 467, 35–58 (2018).
- Gao, W., Wang, W., Dimitrov, D. & Wang, Y. Nano properties analysis via fourth multiplicative ABC indicator calculating. Arabian journal of chemistry 11, 793–801 (2018).
- Gao, W., Wu, H., Siddiqui, M. K. & Baig, A. Q. Study of biological networks using graph theory. Saudi J. Biol. Sci. 25, 1212–1219 (2018).
- Gao, W., Guirao, J. L. G., Abdel-Aty, M. & Xi, W. An independent set degree condition for fractional critical deleted graphs. Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems-S 12, 877–886 (2019).
- Qiao, W., Yang, Z., Kang, Z. & Pan, Z. Short-term natural gas consumption prediction based on Volterra adaptive filter and improved whale optimization algorithm. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 87, 103323 (2020).
- 7. Qiao, W. & Yang, Z. Forecast the electricity price of US using a wavelet transform-based hybrid model. Energy, 116704 (2019).
- 8. Qiao, W. & Yang, Z. Solving large-scale function optimization problem by using a new metaheuristic algorithm based on quantum dolphin swarm algorithm. *IEEE Access* 7, 138972–138989 (2019).
- Qiao, W. et al. The Forecasting of PM2. 5 Using a Hybrid Model Based on Wavelet Transform and an Improved Deep Learning Algorithm. IEEE Access 7, 142814–142825 (2019).
- Qiao, W. & Yang, Z. Modified dolphin swarm algorithm based on chaotic maps for solving high-dimensional function optimization problems. *IEEE Access* 7, 110472–110486 (2019).
- Qiao, W., Huang, K., Azimi, M. & Han, S. A Novel Hybrid Prediction Model for Hourly Gas Consumption in Supply Side Based on Improved Machine Learning Algorithms. *IEEE Access* (2019).
- Habibi, M., Hashemi, R., Ghazanfari, A., Naghdabadi, R. & Assempour, A. Forming limit diagrams by including the M–K model in finite element simulation considering the effect of bending. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part L: Journal of Materials: Design and Applications 232, 625–636 (2018).
- Habibi, M. et al. Enhancing the mechanical properties and formability of low carbon steel with dual-phase microstructures. Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance 25, 382–389 (2016).
- Habibi, M., Hashemi, R., Tafti, M. F. & Assempour, A. Experimental investigation of mechanical properties, formability and forming limit diagrams for tailor-welded blanks produced by friction stir welding. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes* 31, 310–323 (2018).
- Habibi, M., Ghazanfari, A., Assempour, A., Naghdabadi, R. & Hashemi, R. Determination of forming limit diagram using two modified finite element models. *Mechanical Engineering* 48 (2017).
- Ghazanfari, A., Assempuor, A., Habibi, M. & Hashemi, R. Investigation on the effective range of the through thickness shear stress on forming limit diagram using a modified Marciniak–Kuczynski model. *Modares Mechanical Engineering* 16, 137–143 (2016).

(7)

- Hosseini, S., Habibi, M. & Assempour, A. Experimental and numerical determination of forming limit diagram of steel-copper two-layer sheet considering the interface between the layers. *Modares Mechanical Engineering* 18, 174–181 (2018).
- Fazaeli, A., Habibi, M. & Ekrami, A. a. Experimental and finite element comparison of mechanical properties and formability of dual phase steel and ferrite - pearlite steel with the same chemical composition. *Metallurgical Engineering* 19, 84–93, https://doi. org/10.22076/me.2017.41458.1064 (2016).
- Alipour, M. et al. Finite element and experimental method for analyzing the effects of martensite morphologies on the formability of DP steels. Mechanics Based Design of Structures and Machines, 1–17 (2019).
- ghazanfari, A. et al. prediction of FLD for sheet metal by considering through-thickness shear stresses. Mechanics Based Design of Structures and Machines, https://doi.org/10.1080/15397734.2019.1662310.
- Hashemi, H. et al. Influence of imperfection on amplitude and resonance frequency of a reinforcement compositionally graded nanostructure. Waves in Random and Complex Media. https://doi.org/10.1080/17455030.2019.1662968.
- 22. Fazaeli, A., Karami, J. S., Habibi, M. & Payganeh, G. Experimental and Finite Element Investigation of Titanium Tubes Hot Gas Forming and Production of Square Cross-Section Specimens.
- Ebrahimi, F., Hashemabadi, D., Habibi, M. & Safarpour, H. Thermal buckling and forced vibration characteristics of a porous GNP reinforced nanocomposite cylindrical shell. *Microsystem Technologies*, 1–13 (2019).
- Habibi, M., Hashemabadi, D. & Safarpour, H. Vibration analysis of a high-speed rotating GPLRC nanostructure coupled with a piezoelectric actuator. *The European Physical Journal Plus* 134, 307 (2019).
- Mohammadi, A., Lashini, H., Habibi, M. & Safarpour, H. Influence of viscoelastic foundation on dynamic behaviour of the double walled cylindrical inhomogeneous micro shell using MCST and with the aid of GDQM. *Journal of Solid Mechanics* 11, 440–453 (2019).
- Mohammadgholiha, M., Shokrgozar, A., Habibi, M. & Safarpour, H. Buckling and frequency analysis of the nonlocal strain-stress gradient shell reinforced with graphene nanoplatelets. *Journal of Vibration and Control*, 1077546319863251 (2019).
- Habibi, M., Taghdir, A. & Safarpour, H. Stability analysis of an electrically cylindrical nanoshell reinforced with graphene nanoplatelets. *Composites Part B: Engineering* 175, 107125 (2019).
- Safarpour, H., Pourghader, J. & Habibi, M. Influence of spring-mass systems on frequency behavior and critical voltage of a highspeed rotating cantilever cylindrical three-dimensional shell coupled with piezoelectric actuator. *Journal of Vibration and Control* 25, 1543–1557 (2019).
- Habibi, M., Mohammadgholiha, M. & Safarpour, H. Wave propagation characteristics of the electrically GNP-reinforced nanocomposite cylindrical shell. *Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering* 41, 221 (2019).
- Ebrahimi, F., Hajilak, Z. E., Habibi, M. & Safarpour, H. Buckling and vibration characteristics of a carbon nanotube-reinforced spinning cantilever cylindrical 3D shell conveying viscous fluid flow and carrying spring-mass systems under various temperature distributions. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science, 0954406219832323 (2019).
- Esmailpoor Hajilak, Z. et al. Multilayer GPLRC composite cylindrical nanoshell using modified strain gradient theory. Mechanics Based Design of Structures and Machines, 1–25 (2019).
- Pourjabari, A., Hajilak, Z. E., Mohammadi, A., Habibi, M. & Safarpour, H. Effect of porosity on free and forced vibration characteristics of the GPL reinforcement composite nanostructures. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* 77, 2608–2626 (2019).
- 33. Safarpour, H., Ghanizadeh, S. A. & Habibi, M. Wave propagation characteristics of a cylindrical laminated composite nanoshell in thermal environment based on the nonlocal strain gradient theory. *The European Physical Journal Plus* 133, 532 (2018).
- Safarpour, H., Hajilak, Z. E. & Habibi, M. A size-dependent exact theory for thermal buckling, free and forced vibration analysis of temperature dependent FG multilayer GPLRC composite nanostructures restring on elastic foundation. *International Journal of Mechanics and Materials in Design*, 1–15 (2018).
- Ebrahimi, F., Habibi, M. & Safarpour, H. On modeling of wave propagation in a thermally affected GNP-reinforced imperfect nanocomposite shell. *Engineering with Computers*, 1–15 (2018).
- Zabihi, O. *et al.* Simultaneous electrochemical-assisted exfoliation and *in situ* surface functionalization towards large-scale production of few-layer graphene. *FlatChem* 18, 100132, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flatc.2019.100132 (2019).
- 37. Nadri, S. et al. In 2018 IEEE/MTT-S International Microwave Symposium-IMS. 769-772 (IEEE).
- Shokrgozar, A., Ghabussi, A., Ebrahimi, F., Habibi, M. & Safarpour, H. Viscoelastic dynamics and static responses of a graphene nanoplatelets-reinforced composite cylindrical microshell. *Mechanics Based Design of Structures and Machines*, 1–28 (2020).
- 39. Rafiee, M. A. et al. Enhanced mechanical properties of nanocomposites at low graphene content. ACS nano 3, 3884-3890 (2009).
- Ghabussi, A. et al. Free vibration analysis of an electro-elastic GPLRC cylindrical shell surrounded by viscoelastic foundation using modified length-couple stress parameter. Mechanics Based Design of Structures and Machines, 1–25 (2019).
- Habibi, M., Mohammadi, A., Safarpour, H. & Ghadiri, M. Effect of porosity on buckling and vibrational characteristics of the imperfect GPLRC composite nanoshell. *Mechanics Based Design of Structures and Machines*, 1–30 (2019).
- Habibi, M., Mohammadi, A., Safarpour, H., Shavalipour, A. & Ghadiri, M. Wave propagation analysis of the laminated cylindrical nanoshell coupled with a piezoelectric actuator. *Mechanics Based Design of Structures and Machines*, 1–19 (2019).
- Ebrahimi, F., Mohammadi, K., Barouti, M. M. & Habibi, M. Wave propagation analysis of a spinning porous graphene nanoplateletreinforced nanoshell. Waves in Random and Complex Media, 1–27 (2019).
- 44. Shokrgozar, A., Safarpour, H. & Habibi, M. Influence of system parameters on buckling and frequency analysis of a spinning cantilever cylindrical 3D shell coupled with piezoelectric actuator. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science, 0954406219883312 (2019).
- Mohammadgholiha, M., Shokrgozar, A., Habibi, M. & Safarpour, H. Buckling and frequency analysis of the nonlocal strain-stress gradient shell reinforced with graphene nanoplatelets. *Journal of Vibration and Control* 25, 2627–2640 (2019).
- Ebrahimi, F., Habibi, M. & Safarpour, H. On modeling of wave propagation in a thermally affected GNP-reinforced imperfect nanocomposite shell. *Engineering with Computers* 35, 1375–1389 (2019).
- Safarpour, H., Hajilak, Z. E. & Habibi, M. A size-dependent exact theory for thermal buckling, free and forced vibration analysis of temperature dependent FG multilayer GPLRC composite nanostructures restring on elastic foundation. *International Journal of Mechanics and Materials in Design* 15, 569–583 (2019).
- Hashemi, H. R. et al. Influence of imperfection on amplitude and resonance frequency of a reinforcement compositionally graded nanostructure. Waves in Random and Complex Media, 1–27 (2019).
- AriaGhabussi, J. A. M., Mohammad SadeghRohanimanesh. Improving seismic performance of portal frame structures with steel curved dampers. *Structures*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.istruc.2019.12.025 (2020).
- Moayedi, H. et al. Thermal buckling responses of a graphene reinforced composite micropanel structure. International Journal of Applied Mechanics (2020).
- Jafari, M., Moradi, G., Shirazi, R. S. & Mirzavand, R. Design and implementation of a six-port junction based on substrate integrated waveguide. Turkish Journal of Electrical Engineering & Computer Sciences 25, 2547–2553 (2017).
- Nadri, S. et al. Measurement and Extraction of Parasitic Parameters of Quasi-Vertical Schottky Diodes at Submillimeter Wavelengths. IEEE Microwave and Wireless Components Letters 29, 474–476 (2019).

- Zabihi, O., Ahmadi, M., Abdollahi, T., Nikafshar, S. & Naebe, M. Collision-induced activation: Towards industrially scalable approach to graphite nanoplatelets functionalization for superior polymer nanocomposites. *Scientific Reports* 7, 3560, https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-017-03890-8 (2017).
- Iori, E. S., Ebrahimi, F., Supeni, E. E. B., Habibi, M. & Safarpour, H. Frequency characteristics of a GPL-reinforced composite microdisk coupled with a piezoelectric layer. *The European Physical Journal Plus* 135, 144, https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-020-00217-x (2020).
- Moayedi, H., Habibi, M., Safarpour, H., Safarpour, M. & Foong, L. Buckling and Frequency Responses of A Graphen Nanoplatelet Reinforced Composite Microdisk. International Journal of Applied Mechanics.
- Dezfuli, A. A., Shokouhmand, A., Oveis, A. H. & Norouzi, Y. Reduced complexity and near optimum detector for linear-frequencymodulated and phase-modulated LPI radar signals. *IET Radar, Sonar & Navigation* 13, 593–600 (2018).
- Gharamohammadi, A., Darestani, M. R. Y., Taghavirashidizadeh, A., Abbasi, A. & Shokouhmand, A. Electromagnetic Sensor to Detect Objects by Classification and Neural Networks. *Sensor Letters* 17, 710–715 (2019).
- Lim, C., Zhang, G. & Reddy, J. A higher-order nonlocal elasticity and strain gradient theory and its applications in wave propagation. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* 78, 298–313 (2015).
- Barretta, R., Luciano, R., de Sciarra, F. M. & Ruta, G. Stress-driven nonlocal integral model for Timoshenko elastic nano-beams. European Journal of Mechanics-A/Solids 72, 275–286 (2018).
- Barretta, R. & de Sciarra, F. M. Constitutive boundary conditions for nonlocal strain gradient elastic nano-beams. International Journal of Engineering Science 130, 187–198 (2018).
- Safarpour, H., Mohammadi, K. & Ghadiri, M. Temperature-dependent vibration analysis of a FG viscoelastic cylindrical microshell under various thermal distribution via modified length scale parameter: a numerical solution. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior* of Materials 26, 9–24 (2017).
- Safarpour, H., Mohammadi, K., Ghadiri, M. & Barooti, M. M. Effect of porosity on flexural vibration of CNT-reinforced cylindrical shells in thermal environment using GDQM. *International Journal of Structural Stability and Dynamics* 18, 1850123 (2018).
- SafarPour, H., Hosseini, M. & Ghadiri, M. Influence of three-parameter viscoelastic medium on vibration behavior of a cylindrical nonhomogeneous microshell in thermal environment: An exact solution. *Journal of Thermal Stresses* 40, 1353–1367 (2017).
- 64. Mohammadi, K., Barouti, M. M., Safarpour, H. & Ghadiri, M. Effect of distributed axial loading on dynamic stability and buckling analysis of a viscoelastic DWCNT conveying viscous fluid flow. *Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering* 41, 93 (2019).
- Ebrahimi, F. & Safarpour, H. Vibration analysis of inhomogeneous nonlocal beams via a modified couple stress theory incorporating surface effects. Wind and Structures 27, 431–438 (2018).
- Safarpour, H., Barooti, M. & Ghadiri, M. Influence of Rotation on Vibration Behavior of a Functionally Graded Moderately Thick Cylindrical Nanoshell Considering Initial Hoop Tension. *Journal of Solid Mechanics* 11, 254–271 (2019).
- Ghadiri, M., Shafiei, N. & Safarpour, H. Influence of surface effects on vibration behavior of a rotary functionally graded nanobeam based on Eringen's nonlocal elasticity. *Microsystem Technologies* 23, 1045–1065 (2017).
- Ghadiri, M. & SafarPour, H. Free vibration analysis of size-dependent functionally graded porous cylindrical microshells in thermal environment. *Journal of Thermal Stresses* 40, 55–71 (2017).
- 69. Ghadiri, M. & Safarpour, H. Free vibration analysis of embedded magneto-electro-thermo-elastic cylindrical nanoshell based on the modified couple stress theory. *Applied Physics A* **122**, 833 (2016).
- Barooti, M. M., Safarpour, H. & Ghadiri, M. Critical speed and free vibration analysis of spinning 3D single-walled carbon nanotubes resting on elastic foundations. *The European Physical Journal Plus* 132, 6 (2017).
- SafarPour, H. & Ghadiri, M. Critical rotational speed, critical velocity of fluid flow and free vibration analysis of a spinning SWCNT conveying viscous fluid. *Microfluidics and Nanofluidics* 21, 22 (2017).
- SafarPour, H., Mohammadi, K., Ghadiri, M. & Rajabpour, A. Influence of various temperature distributions on critical speed and vibrational characteristics of rotating cylindrical microshells with modified lengthscale parameter. *The European Physical Journal Plus* 132, 281 (2017).
- Shojaeefard, M., Mahinzare, M., Safarpour, H., Googarchin, H. S. & Ghadiri, M. Free vibration of an ultra-fast-rotating-induced cylindrical nano-shell resting on a Winkler foundation under thermo-electro-magneto-elastic condition. *Applied Mathematical Modelling* 61, 255–279 (2018).
- SafarPour, H., Ghanbari, B. & Ghadiri, M. Buckling and free vibration analysis of high speed rotating carbon nanotube reinforced cylindrical piezoelectric shell. *Applied Mathematical Modelling* 65, 428–442 (2019).
- Hosseini, M., Bahaadini, R. & Makkiabadi, M. Application of the Green function method to flow-thermoelastic forced vibration analysis of viscoelastic carbon nanotubes. *Microfluidics and Nanofluidics* 22, 6 (2018).
- Safarpour, M., Rahimi, A. & Alibeigloo, A. Static and free vibration analysis of graphene platelets reinforced composite truncated conical shell, cylindrical shell, and annular plate using theory of elasticity and DQM. *Mechanics Based Design of Structures and Machines*, 1–29 (2019).
- Shahgholian-Ghahfarokhi, D., Safarpour, M. & Rahimi, A. Torsional buckling analyses of functionally graded porous nanocomposite cylindrical shells reinforced with graphene platelets (GPLs). *Mechanics Based Design of Structures and Machines*, 1–22 (2019).
- Bisheh, H., Alibeigloo, A., Safarpour, M. & Rahimi, A. Three-Dimensional Static and Free Vibrational Analysis of Graphene Reinforced Composite Circular/Annular Plate using Differential Quadrature Method. *International Journal of Applied Mechanics*.
- Safarpour, M., Rahimi, A., Alibeigloo, A., Bisheh, H. & Forooghi, A. Parametric study of three-dimensional bending and frequency of FG-GPLRC porous circular and annular plates on different boundary conditions. *Mechanics Based Design of Structures and Machines*, 1–31 (2019).
- Dehkordi, S. F. & Beni, Y. T. Electro-mechanical free vibration of single-walled piezoelectric/flexoelectric nano cones using consistent couple stress theory. *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences* 128, 125–139 (2017).
- Arefi, M. Analysis of a doubly curved piezoelectric nano shell: Nonlocal electro-elastic bending solution. European Journal of Mechanics-A/Solids 70, 226–237 (2018).
- Zabihi, O., Ahmadi, M. & Naebe, M. Self-assembly of quaternized chitosan nanoparticles within nanoclay layers for enhancement of interfacial properties in toughened polymer nanocomposites. *Materials & Design* 119, 277–289, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. matdes.2017.01.079 (2017).
- Razavi, H., Babadi, A. F. & Beni, Y. T. Free vibration analysis of functionally graded piezoelectric cylindrical nanoshell based on consistent couple stress theory. *Composite Structures* 160, 1299–1309 (2017).
- Ninh, D. G. & Bich, D. H. Characteristics of nonlinear vibration of nanocomposite cylindrical shells with piezoelectric actuators under thermo-mechanical loads. *Aerospace Science and Technology* 77, 595–609 (2018).
- Fang, X.-Q. & Zhu, C.-S. Size-dependent nonlinear vibration of nonhomogeneous shell embedded with a piezoelectric layer based on surface/interface theory. *Composite Structures* 160, 1191–1197 (2017).
- Eftekhar, H., Zeynali, H. & Nasihatgozar, M. Electro-magneto temperature-dependent vibration analysis of functionally gradedcarbon nanotube-reinforced piezoelectric Mindlin cylindrical shells resting on a temperature-dependent, orthotropic elastic medium. *Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures* 25, 1–14 (2018).
- Vinyas, M. A higher-order free vibration analysis of carbon nanotube-reinforced magneto-electro-elastic plates using finite element methods. *Composites Part B: Engineering* 158, 286–301 (2019).

- Zhu, C.-S., Fang, X.-Q., Liu, J.-X. & Li, H.-Y. Surface energy effect on nonlinear free vibration behavior of orthotropic piezoelectric cylindrical nano-shells. *European Journal of Mechanics-A/Solids* 66, 423–432 (2017).
- Fan, J., Huang, J., Ding, J. & Zhang, J. Free vibration of functionally graded carbon nanotube-reinforced conical panels integrated with piezoelectric layers subjected to elastically restrained boundary conditions. *Advances in Mechanical Engineering* 9, 1687814017711811 (2017).
- Hashemi, S. R. et al. In ASME 2018 Power Conference collocated with the ASME 2018 12th International Conference on Energy Sustainability and the ASME 2018 Nuclear Forum. (American Society of Mechanical Engineers Digital Collection).
- 91. E. C. Aifantis, Update on a class of gradient theories. Mechanics of Materials 35(3-6), 259-280 (2003).
- 92. Elias, C. Aifantis, On the gradient approach Relation to Eringen's nonlocal theory. *International Journal of Engineering Science* **49**(12), 1367–1377 (2011).
- Aifantis, E. C. "Internal Length Gradient (ILG) Material Mechanics Across Scales and Disciplines" in Advances in Applied Mechanics, Vol. 49, 1–110 (Elsevier, 2016).
- 94. Reddy, J. N. Mechanics of laminated composite plates and shells: theory and analysis. (CRC press, 2004).
- Bellman, R. & Casti, J. Differential quadrature and long-term integration. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 34, 235–238 (1971).
- 96. Bellman, R., Kashef, B. & Casti, J. Differential quadrature: a technique for the rapid solution of nonlinear partial differential equations. *Journal of computational physics* **10**, 40–52 (1972).
- Modaresahmadi, S., Hosseinpour, A. & Williams, W. B. In 2019 IEEE Texas Power and Energy Conference (TPEC). 1–6 (IEEE).
 Chero, E., Torabi, M., Zahabi, H., Ghafoorisadatieh, A. & Bina, K. Numerical analysis of the circular settling tank. Drinking Water
- Engineering and Science 12, 39–44 (2019).
 99. Asl, M. H., Farivar, B. & Momenzadeh, S. Investigation of the rigidity of welded shear tab connections. *Engineering Structures* 179, 353–366 (2019).
- 100. Shu, C. Differential quadrature and its application in engineering. (Springer Science & Business Media, 2012).
- Shu, C. & Richards, B. E. Application of generalized differential quadrature to solve two-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids 15, 791–798 (1992).
- 102. Tsai, S. Introduction to composite materials. (Routledge, 2018).
- Tadi Beni, Y., Mehralian, F. & Zeighampour, H. The modified couple stress functionally graded cylindrical thin shell formulation. Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures 23, 791–801 (2016).

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the 2020 scientific promotion program funded by Jeju National University.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, M.A.O., A.A., M.H., M.M.; methodology, M.A.O., A.A., M.H., M.M., M.D., H.S.; formalanalysis, M.H., M.M., M.D., H.S.; resources, M.H., D.W.J.; writing—original draft preparation, M.A.O., A.A., M.H., M.M., M.D., H.S.; writing—review and editing, M.A.O., A.A., D.W.J.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.H. or D.W.J.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020