



Communication Minor Impact of A258D Mutation on Biochemical and Enzymatic Properties of Leishmania infantum GDP-Mannose Pyrophosphorylase

Wei Mao¹, Noureddine Lazar², Herman van Tilbeurgh², Philippe M. Loiseau¹ and Sébastien Pomel^{1,*}

- ¹ Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, BioCIS, 92290 Châtenay-Malabry, France; maowei@hotmail.fr (W.M.); philippe.loiseau@universite-paris-saclay.fr (P.M.L.)
- ² Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC), 91198 Gif-sur-Yvette, France; noureddine.lazar@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr (N.L.); herman.van-tilbeurgh@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr (H.v.T.)
- * Correspondence: sebastien.pomel@universite-paris-saclay.fr

Abstract: Background: Leishmaniasis, a vector-borne disease caused by the protozoan parasite from the genus *Leishmania*, is endemic to tropical and subtropical areas. Few treatments are available against leishmaniasis, with all presenting issues of toxicity, resistance, and/or cost. In this context, the development of new antileishmanial drugs is urgently needed. GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase (GDP-MP), an enzyme involved in the mannosylation pathway, has been described to constitute an attractive therapeutic target for the development of specific antileishmanial agents. Methods: In this work, we produced, purified, and analyzed the enzymatic properties of the recombinant *L. infantum* GDP-MP (*Li*GDP-MP), a single leishmanial GDP-MP that presents mutation of an aspartate instead of an alanine at position 258, which is also the single residue difference with the homolog in *L. donovani*: *Ld*GDP-MP. Results: The purified *Li*GDP-MP displayed high substrate and cofactor specificities, a sequential random mechanism of reaction, and the following kinetic constants: V_m at 0.6 μ M·min⁻¹, K_m from 15–18 μ M, k_{cat} from 12.5–13 min⁻¹, and k_{cat}/K_m at around 0.8 min⁻¹ μ M⁻¹. Conclusions: These results show that *Li*GDP-MP has similar biochemical and enzymatic properties to *Ld*GDP-MP. Further studies are needed to determine the advantage for *L. infantum* of the A258D residue change in GDP-MP.

Keywords: GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase; Leishmania infantum; enzyme properties

1. Introduction

Leishmaniases are a complex of neglected tropical and sub-tropical diseases caused by the protozoan parasite from the genus Leishmania and transmitted by phlebotomine sandflies. During its life cycle, the parasite alternates from a flagellate mobile form in the insect vector, called a promastigote, to an intracellular form in mammalian cells, called an amastigote. Three main forms of this parasitosis can be encountered: (i) cutaneous (CL), which causes skin sores and ulcers and can be self-healing; (ii) muco-cutaneous (MCL), which causes disfiguring skin lesions; and (iii) visceral (VL), which is lethal without treatment. Currently, 50,000 to 90,000 new cases of VL and 600,000 to 1 million new cases of CL are estimated to occur annually worldwide [1]. Depending on the *Leishmania* species, the parasites display a preferential cutaneous or visceral tropism, and are differentially distributed over the world. For instance, CL can be caused by *L. major* in North Africa and *L.* mexicana in South America, MCL by L. braziliensis in South America, and VL by L. donovani in East Africa and India. Human VL cases are mostly caused by two *Leishmania* species worldwide: L. donovani and L. infantum. These latter Leishmania species are phylogenetically closely related, as they are in the same L. donovani complex [2]. However, L. infantum causes zoonotic VL as its reservoir is the dog while *L. donovani* is anthroponotic [3]. Moreover,



Citation: Mao, W.; Lazar, N.; van Tilbeurgh, H.; Loiseau, P.M.; Pomel, S. Minor Impact of A258D Mutation on Biochemical and Enzymatic Properties of *Leishmania infantum* GDP-Mannose Pyrophosphorylase. *Microorganisms* 2022, 10, 231. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/microorganisms10020231

Academic Editor: José Ma. Alunda

Received: 31 December 2021 Accepted: 17 January 2022 Published: 21 January 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). canine leishmaniasis (CanL) is endemic to the Mediterranean Basin, especially in Southern Europe, where an estimated 2.5 million dogs are currently infected by *L. infantum* [4,5]. The control of leishmaniasis mainly relies on chemotherapy despite limitations of toxicity and drug resistance [6]. Therefore, the development of new antileishmanial drugs is currently crucial to circumvent these drawbacks and to diversify the drug arsenal. In this way, a strategy consisting of conceiving new antileishmanial agents specifically directed against a biochemical target in the parasite appears to be promising.

The GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase (GDP-MP) is a ubiquitous glycosylation enzyme catalyzing the formation of GDP-mannose from mannose-1-phosphate (Man-1-P) and GTP [7]. In *Leishmania*, this nucleotide-sugar is an activated form of mannose used to biosynthesize a wide range of glycoconjugates, such as LipoPhosGhoglycan (LPG), ProteoPhosphoGlycan (PPG), or GlycosylPhosphatidylInositol (GPI), which are essential for host–cell recognition [8]. A knockout of the gene encoding for GDP-MP in *L. mexicana* led to perturbed morphology and cytokinesis and to retarded growth in the promastigote form, and to a lack of development and rapid elimination of the amastigote form within the macrophage [9,10]. Moreover, these mutant parasites are rapidly cleared in infected mice, showing that GDP-MP is essential for amastigote survival both in vitro and in vivo [9,10]. Moreover, several differences have been identified in the catalytic site of leishmanial GDP-MP in comparison with the human counterpart [11,12], making this enzyme an attractive therapeutic target to develop new specific antileishmanial agents [13]. Furthermore, some studies highlight a possible relationship between GDP-MP and drug resistance [14,15].

Recombinant GDP-MPs from two geographically distant *Leishmania* species, *L. donovani* (*Ld*GDP-MP) and *L. mexicana* (*Lm*GDP-MP), and from human (*h*GDP-MP) have been previously expressed, produced, and purified, and their enzymatic properties have been characterized [16,17]. In the present work, we purified a third leishmanial GDP-MP, called *Li*GDP-MP, from the species *L. infantum*, presenting a unique amino acid difference with *Ld*GDP-MP. Following the determination of the optimal parameters of enzymatic reaction (temperature, pH, substrate and cofactor concentrations), we characterized the substrate and cofactor specificities, kinetic constants, and the mechanism of reaction of *Li*GDP-MP and compared them with those of *Ld*GDP-MP and *h*GDP-MP.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals and Media

All chemicals and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France), except for GTP, malachite green, and Na-pyrophosphate, which were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Illkirch, France).

Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium, Luria-Bertani (LB) broth medium and penicillinstreptomycin were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Illkirch, France).

2.2. Identification of Leishmanial GDP-MP Sequences

The leishmanial GDP-MP sequences were identified by BLASTP analysis (https: //blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PAGE=Proteins; accessed on 16 January 2022) [18] using *Li*GDP-MP from *L. infantum* strain JPCM5 (MCAN/ES/98/LLM-877; accession number: CAM68115.1), *Ld*GDP-MP from *L. donovani* strain HU3 (MHOM/ET/67/HU3; accession number: CAC5430144.1), or any leishmanial GDP-MP further identified from *L. braziliensis* (strain MHOM/BR/75/M2904; accession number: CAM36519.1), *L. guyanensis* (strain MHOM/BR/75/M4147; accession number: CCM15710.1), *L. panamensis* (strain MHOM/PA/94/PSC-1; accession number: AIN98464.1), *L. mexicana* (strain MNYC/BZ/62/ M379; accession number: CAC27419.1) or *L. major* (strain MHOM/IL/81/Friedlin; accession number: CAJ03868.1) as a query. All these leishmanial GDP-MP sequences, besides *h*GDP-MP (β2 subunit; accession number: NP_068806.1) and *T. maritima* GDP-MP (accession number: 2X5S_A), which were previously crystallized, were further aligned using Clustal Omega [19,20].

2.3. DNA Extraction, PCR and Cloning Strategy

The genomic DNA (gDNA) from *L. infantum* JPCM5 strain (MCAN/ES/98/LLM-877) was extracted by DNAzol reagent according to the manufacturer's instructions (Fisher Scientific, Illkirch, France). The Li*GDP-MP* gene was amplified from *L. infantum* gDNA using the following oligonucleotide primers: 5'-AACCATATGTCTGCATCCGATGGCC-3' (forward-NdeI) and 5'-GATCTCGAGCATGATGATGATCCCAGGC-3' (reverse-XhoI). The PCR conditions were the same as previously described by Mao and collaborators [16] to amplify the gene encoding for *Leishmania donovani* GDP-MP (*Ld*GDP-MP). Briefly, the PCR mix contained 1 ng of DNA, 1 mM MgCl₂, 0.4 mM dNTPs, 2 pmol/ μ L of each primer, and 1 U of Hot Diamond Taq (Eurogentec, Liege, Belgium). The PCR was performed with (1) denaturation for 3 min at 95 °C, (2) 30 cycles of denaturation for 30 s at 95 °C annealing at 54 °C for 30 s elongation at 72 °C for 90 s, and (3) extension for 15 min at 72 °C.

The amplified fragment was purified on 1% agarose gel using a Qiaquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France) and double digested with NdeI and XhoI (New England Biolabs, Evry, France). This fragment was ligated into a NdeI/XhoI digested pET-21b(+) expression vector (Merck, Fontenay-sous-Bois, France), which encodes for a His6 tag fused at the C-terminal end of the protein of interest. The product of ligation was transformed into the DH5 α *E. coli* strain following the recommendations of the manufacturer (Fisher Scientific, Illkirch, France). The positive clones were selected with ampicillin antibiotic and the plasmids were extracted using a QIAprep Spin Miniprep kit (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France). The sequence of three positive clones was verified on both strands (Eurofins, Nantes, France), prior to *E. coli* BL21(DE3) (Fisher Scientific, Illkirch, France) transformation for protein expression.

All the following steps concerning the production, purification, and enzymatic assays of *Li*GDP-MP (Sections 2.4–2.6) were performed at the same time as a previously published study [16], side-by-side with *Ld*GDP-MP and *h*GDP-MP, allowing the avoidance of any technical variation and comparison of the biochemical and enzymatic properties between these GDP-MPs.

2.4. Production of Recombinant LiGDP-MP

The experimental conditions for the production of recombinant *Li*GDP-MP were identical to the conditions for the production of recombinant *Ld*GDP-MP previously described by Mao and collaborators [16]. Briefly, after an overnight preculture at 37 °C under rotation at 200 rpm in LB broth media supplemented with 100 µg/mL ampicillin, a 1:100 dilution of *E. coli* BL21(DE3) was inoculated in 800 mL of LB supplemented with 100 µg/mL ampicillin and cultured at 37 °C under rotation at 200 rpm until the OD_{600nm} reached 0.6. Protein expression was induced by adding IPTG (IsoPropyl β -D-1-ThioGalactopyranoside) to a final concentration of 0.1 mM and cultures were further incubated at 30 °C under rotation at 200 rpm for 4 h. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 3300× *g* for 30 min at 8 °C and the pellet was suspended in 40 mL of lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100 (*v*/*v*), 100 µM PMSF (PhenylMethylSulfonyl Fluoride), and 400 µg/mL lysozyme. The protein extract was then sonicated 4 times for 45 s on ice at an amplitude at 40 and duty cycle of 90 (Branson Sonifier 250; Fisher Scientific, Illkirch, France) and further centrifugated at 20,000× *g* for 30 min at 8 °C. The supernatant was collected and used for purification.

2.5. Purification of Recombinant LiGDP-MP

The supernatant prepared in Section 2.4 was first loaded on an affinity chromatography column containing Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) agarose with immobilized nickel ion (Ni-NTA agarose, Qiagen; Courtaboeuf, France), pre-equilibrated with 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT (DiThioTreitol; buffer A). After a wash with buffer A, which contained 20 mM imidazole, the protein was eluted in buffer A, which contained increasing concentrations of imidazole, from 100 to 400 mM. Each eluted fraction was analyzed by 15% acrylamide SDS-PAGE (Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis) followed by Coomassie blue staining (0.1% Coomassie brilliant blue R-250 in 50% methanol, 10% acetic acid). The fractions containing the uppermost quantity of the protein of interest with the lowest amount of protein contaminants were then selected. The fractions containing *Li*GDP-MP were concentrated by an Amicon Ultra 5000 MWCO membrane tube (Merck, Fontenay-sous-Bois, France) and finally loaded on a Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) SuperdexTM 200 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare, Buc, France), pre-equilibrated with 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1 M NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 10% glycerol. This column was connected to an NGC Chromatography Systems (Biorad, Marnes-La-Coquette, France). The SEC purification result was analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie blue staining, and the fraction containing *Li*GDP-MP was collected and concentrated at 12.8 mg/mL by an Amicon Ultra 5000 MWCO membrane tube (Merck, Fontenay-sous-Bois, France) for further enzymatic assays.

2.6. Enzymatic Assays

GDP-MP catalyzes the following reaction:

Man-1-P + GTP \leftrightarrows GDP-Mannose + Pyrophosphate

The optimal condition assays of *Li*GDP-MP were determined by varying the conditions of a single parameter (time of reaction, enzyme concentration, substrate, cofactor, temperature, or pH) while maintaining optimal conditions for the other parameters, as previously described [16]. Optimization of the *Li*GDP-MP enzymatic assays was performed in triplicates in three independent experiments.

Briefly, the optimal *Li*GDP-MP enzymatic assays were performed at 37 °C for 20 min in a medium containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 μ M Man-1-P, 100 μ M GTP, 5 mM MgCl₂, 2 ng/ μ L *Li*GDP-MP (Supplementary Table S1), supplemented with 1 mM DTT. Inorganic pyrophosphatase, which hydrolyzes the inorganic pyrophosphates generated by the GDP-MPs in inorganic phosphates, was added at 0.1 U/mL (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France). The reaction was initiated by the addition of GDP-MP and was stopped after adding 100 μ L of revelation buffer containing malachite green (0.03% *w*/*v*), ammonium molybdate (0.2% *w*/*v*), and Triton X-100 (0.05% *v*/*v*) in HCl (0.7 M) for 5 min at 37 °C. The quantity of inorganic phosphate generated, representing GDP-MP activity, was determined by measuring OD_{650nm} (Lab systems Multiskan MS; Fisher Scientific, Illkirch, France). The molar extinction coefficient ε of the end product detected was calculated at 27,207 M⁻¹cm⁻¹ [16]. The kinetic constants K_m, V_m, and k_{cat} were determined by nonlinear regression using GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad software company, San Diego, CA, USA).

3. Results

A sequence alignment study of 7 leishmanial GDP-MPs gathered by BLASTP analysis revealed that both *Ld*GDP-MP and *Li*GDP-MP display a high identity of 99.74%, with a difference of only one amino acid at position 258, from an alanine (A) in *Ld*GDP-MP to an aspartate (D) in *Li*GDP-MP (A258D; Figure 1). More importantly, among all the leishmanial GDP-MPs collected, *Li*GDP-MP was the single protein presenting an aspartate at position 258 (Figure 1), whereas the others, as for *Ld*GDP-MP, displayed an alanine at this position. Although this amino acid position is not involved in substrate binding and is outside the enzyme catalytic site, based on the GDP-MP crystal structures and molecular models previously described (Figure 1) [11,12,21,22], this residue difference is a major change, as aspartate is charged and not alanine. Therefore, in the present work, we purified *Li*GDP-MP in order to determine the effect of this residue substitution on the enzyme properties and to further characterize the similarities and specificities between human and leishmanial GDP-MPs.

т.	maritima	VMKALILAGCSGERFWPLSTPETPKQFLKLFGNKSLMRWTFERVLEEMDPKDVIVVTHKDYVE	63
н.	sapiens	MKALILVGGYGTRLRPLTL-STPKPLVD-FCNKPILLHQVEALAAA-GVDHVIIAVSYMSQVLEKEMK	65
L.	braziliensis	MSAPDGQGMRAVILVGGFGTRLRPLTL-TTPKPLVP-FCNKPMIIHQIEALKAV-GVTEVIIAVAYRPETMKAELD	73
L.	panamensis	MSAPDGQGMRAVILVGGFGTRLRPLTL-TTPKPLVP-FCNKPMIIHQIEALKAV-GVTEVIIAVAYRPETMKAELD	73
L.	guyanensis	MSAPDGQGMRAVILVGGFGTRLRPLTL-TTPKPLIP-FCNKPMIIHQIEALKAV-GVTEVILAVAYRPETMKAELD	73
L.	mexicana	MSASDGQGMRAVILVGGFGTRLRPLTL-TTPKPLVP-FCNKPMIIHQIEALKAV-GVTEVIIAVAYRPEAMKEQMD	73
L.	major	MSSSDGQGMRAVILVGGFGTRLRPLTL-TTPKPLVP-FCNKPMIIHQIEALKAV-GVTEVILAVAYRPEAMKEQMD	73
L.	donovani	MSASDGQGMRAVILVGGFGTRLRPLTL-TTPKPLVP-FCNKPMIIHQIEALKAV-GVTEVILAVAYRPEAMKEQMD	73
L.	infantum	MSASDGQGMRAVILVGGFGTRLRPLTL-TTPKPLVP-FCNKPMIIHQIEALKAV-GVTEVILAVAYRPEAMKEQMD	73
т.	maritima	RTKKELPELP-DENIIAEPMKKNTAFACFIGTKLADDDEPVLVIPADHRIPDTKKFWKTVKKALDALEKYDG	134
н.	sapiens	AQEQRLGIRISMSHEEEPLGTACPLALARDLL-SETADPFFVINSDVICDF-PFQAMVQFHRHHGQ	129
L.	braziliensis	EWSQKLGISFVFSVEEEPLGTAGPLGLARDIL-LQDDKPFFVINSDVTCRF-PLQELLSFHQAHGG	137
L.	panamensis	EWSQKLGISFVFSVEEEPLGTAGPLGLARDIL-LQDDKPFFVINSDVTCKF-PLQELLSFHQAHGG	137
L.	guyanensis	EWSQKLGISFVFSVEEEPLGTAGPLGLARDIL-LQDDKPFFVINSDVTCKF-PLQDLLSFHQAHGG	137
L.	mexicana	EWSRKLGVSFVFSVEEDPLGTAGPLALARDIL-MQDDKPFFVINSDVTCMF-PLQELLDFHKARGG	137
L.	major	EWSRKLGVLFVFSVEEEPLGTAGPLALARDIL-MQDDKPFFVINSDVTCPF-PMQELLDFHKAHGG	137
L.	donovani	EWSRKLGVSFVFSVEEEPLGTAGPLALARDIL-MQDDKPFFVINSDVTCTF-PMQELLDFHKAHGG	137
L.	infantum	EWSRKLGVSFVFSVEEEPLGTAGPLALARDIL-MQDDKPFFVLNSDVTCTF-PMQELLDFHKAHGG	137
т.	maritima	LFTFGIVPT-RPETGYGYIEIGEELEEGVHKVAQFREKPDLETAKKFVESGRFLWNSGMFLWKAREFIEEVKV	206
н.	sapiens	EGSILVTKVE-EPSKYGVVVCEADTGRIHRFVEKPQVFVSNKINAGMYI	177
L.	braziliensis	EGTIMVSQVE-QWERYQVVVYSPQTYQIERFVERPKKFLGDRINAGIYI	185
L.	panamensis	EGTIMVSQVE-QWERYQVVVYSPQTYQIERFVERPKKFLGDRINAGIYI	185
L.	guyanensis	EGTIMVSQVE-QWERYQVVVYSPQTYQIERFVERPKKFLGDRINAGIYI	185
L.	mexicana	EGTIMVSQVT-QWERYQVVVYSQQSYQIERFVERPSSFLGDRVNAGIYI	185
L.	major	EGTIMVSQVT-QWERYQVVVYSPQNYQIERFVERPSSFLGDRINAGIYI	185
L.	donovani	EGTIMVSQVT-QWERYQVVVYSPQNYQIERFVERPSRFLGDRINAGIYI	185
L.	infantum	EGTIMVSQVT-QWEKYGVVVYSPQNYQIERFVEKPSRFLGDRINAGIYI	185
m	manitima		270
т.	maritima	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEMSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD	278
т. н.	maritima sapiens	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPKDFIEKEVFPIMAKEGQLYAMELQGFWMDIGQPKDFIEGWC	278
т. н. L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPKDFISIEKEVFPIMAKEGQLYAMELQGFWMDIGQPKDFIIGMC FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDFIIGMS	278 230 238
Т. Н. L. L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPTSIEKEVFPIMAKEGQLYAMELQGFWMDIGQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFVVEGFWMDIGQPKDYLIGMS FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFVVEGFWMDIGQPKDYLIGMT	278 230 238 238
T. H. L. L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mevicana	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPTSIEKEVFPIMAKEGQLYAMELQGFWMDIGQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT	278 230 238 238 238
T. H. L. L. L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPTSIEKEVFPIMAKEGQLYAMELQGFWMDIGQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILDRIPPCRASIEKEIFPAMAAEGLYAFNLEGFWMDVGQPKDYILGMT	278 230 238 238 238 238 238
T. H. L. L. L. L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major doporani	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPTSIEKEVFPIMAKEGQLYAMELQGFWMDIGQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILDRIPPCRTSIEKEIFPMAAEGQLYAFNLEGFWMDVGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILDRIPPCRTSIEKEIFPSMAAEGQLYAFNLEGFWMDVGQPKDYILGMT	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238
T. H. L. L. L. L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRIQLQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPPQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238
T. H. L. L. L. L. L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis ganamensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPKDTIEKEVFPIMAKEGQLYAMELQGFWMDIGQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMS FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILDRIPPCRASIEKEIFPMAAEGQLYAFNLEGFWMDVGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILDRIPPCRTSIEKEIFPMAAEGQLYAFNLEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILDRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238
T. H. L. L. L. L. L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238
T. H. L. L. L. L. T.	maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMS FNKSILKRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238
T. H. L. L. L. L. T.	maritima sapiens braziliensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPKDFIIGKC FNKSILKRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238
T. H. L. L. L. L. T. H.	maritima sapiens braziliensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPKDFIIGKC FNKSILKRIPPQPKDFIIGKEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMS FNKSILKRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238
T. H. L. L. L. L. T. H.	maritima sapiens braziliensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPKDFITGMC FNKSILKRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 326 286 305 305 305
T. H. L. L. L. L. T. H. L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPKDTIEKEVFPIMAKEGQLYAMELQGFWMDIGQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILDRIPPCRASIEKEIFPMAAEGELYAFNLEGFWMDVGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILDRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 326 286 305 305 305
T. H. L. L. L. L. T. H. L. L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis guyanensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISV DYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPKDTIEKEVFPIMAKEGQLYAMELQGFWMDIGQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILKRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238
T. H.L. L. L. L. T. H. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis guyanensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISV DYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPKDTIEKEVFPIMAKEGQLYAMELQGFWMDIGQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILKRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238
T.H.L.L.L. L.L.L.T.H.L.L.L.L.L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 326 286 305 305 305 305 305
T. H. L. L. L. L. T. H. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPKDFITGMC FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAMELQGFWMDIGQPKDFITGMC FNKSILKRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 326 286 305 305 305 305 305 305 305
T.H.L.L.L. L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPKDFITGMC FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILKRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 326 286 305 305 305 305 305 305 305
T. H. L. L. L. T. H. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEWSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPKDFITGMC FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILKRIPPCRASIEKEIFPVMASEGQLYAFNVEGFWMDIGQPKDYILGMT FNKSILKRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 326 286 305 305 305 305 305 305 305 305 305
T.H.L.L.L. T.H.L.L.L. T.H.L.L.L. T.H.L.L.L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEMS LGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRIQLQPTSIEKEVFPIMAKEGQLYAMELQGFWDIGQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238
T.H.L.L.L. T.H.L.L.L. T.H.L.L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis guyanensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISVDYAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFEASDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPTSIEKEVFPIMAKEGQLYAMELQGFWDIGQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 305 305 305 305 305 305 305 305 305 305
T.H.L.L.L. T.H.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis guyanensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis guyanensis	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISV YAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFENSDLGNWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPKDFLTGMC PNKSILKRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 326 286 305 305 305 305 305 305 305 305 305 305
T.H.L.L.L. T.H.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis guyanensis mexicana	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISV YAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFENS LGSWSSVREIEGYTEESD LSPAVLRRIQLQPTSIEKEVFPIMAKEGQLYAMELQGFWDIGQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 305 305 305 305 305 305 305 305 305 305
T.H.L.L.L. T.H.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISV YAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFENSU LSPAVLRRIQLQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPPQPKDFLTGMC FNKSILKRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238
T.H.L.L.L. T.H.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.L.	maritima sapiens braziliensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani infantum maritima sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana sapiens braziliensis panamensis guyanensis mexicana major donovani	CEPSIYENL-KDVDPRNFEELKKAYEKVPSISU YAVMEKSKKVRVVKADFENSUGUAWSKIGGSWCHEDISVLGDVEVKDGVLGKVLPNKDVGAKVLPNKDVGGTVVCGFWDIG SPRSILKRIPP	278 230 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238

Figure 1. Sequence alignment of *T. maritima*, human, and leishmanial GDP-MPs. The consensus pyrophosphorylase signature sequence GXGXRLXPLX₅PK and the GDP-MP active site motif F(V)EKP [11,19] are highlighted in grey. Black boxes represent the amino acids involved in GDP-mannose binding in *T. maritima* and human GDP-MPs identified from their crystal or cryo-EM structures previously determined, with the PDB (Protein Data Bank) codes 2X5Z and 7D72, respectively [19,20]. The red box, situated outside the active site, highlights the mutation A258D between *Li*GDP-MP and other leishmanial GDP-MPs. Sequence accession numbers of GDP-MPs from *T. maritima*, *H. sapiens* (*h*GDP-MP), *L. braziliensis*, *L. panamensis*, *L. guyanensis*, *L. mexicana*, *L. major*, *L. donovani* (*Ld*GDP-MP), and *L. infantum* (*Li*GDP-MP) are 2X5S_A, NP_068806.1, CAM36519.1, AIN98464.1, CCM15710.1, CAC27419.1, CAJ03868.1, CAC5430144.1, and CAM68115.1, respectively.

Following cloning of the LiGDP-MP gene into a bacterial expression vector, the recombinant His-6-tagged enzyme was produced and further purified using nickel affinity chromatography followed by size exclusion chromatography (Figure 2A). The gel filtration profile showed 4 peaks: one major peak at 9 mL followed by three smaller peaks at 13.5, 15, and 16 mL (Figure 2B). The analysis in SDS-PAGE of the fractions from peaks 1 to 4 revealed a major band at 42 kDa corresponding to the predicted molecular weight of *Li*GDP-MP and displaying 73% sequence coverage with *Li*GDP-MP in mass spectrometry, with the presence of a D residue at position 258 as expected (Figure 2C and Supplementary Figure S1). The estimated molecular weight of peak 1 was very high, at around 2000 kDa, with negligible enzyme activity, indicating that *Li*GDP-MP acquired an aggregated soluble form in these fractions (Figure 2B). However, the elution volumes of peaks 2, 3, and 4 approximately corresponded to the molecular weights of the hexameric, trimeric, and monomeric forms of the enzyme. All fractions of these three peaks displayed major and comparable enzyme activities and were therefore pooled for further enzymatic assays, as previously described for *Ld*GDP-MP [16].





Figure 2. Purification of *Li*GDP-MP. (**A**) Coomassie blue-stained SDS-PAGE of *Li*GDP-MP purified from the Ni-NTA column. TOT: total; SN: Supernatant; FT: Flow Through; W: Wash; E1, E2 and E4: elution fraction at 100, 200, and 400 mM imidazole, respectively. The arrowhead shows the protein of interest. The protein ladder on the left is indicated in kDa. (**B**) Size exclusion chromatography profile of *Li*GDP-MP. In the inset, the filled triangles and open circles represent standard proteins and the peaks of the protein of interest, respectively. mAU = milli-Absorbance Unit. MW = Molecular Weight. (**C**) Coomassie blue-stained SDS-PAGE of the fraction volumes of peaks 1 (8.5–10 mL), 2 (12.5–13.5 mL), 3 (14–15.5 mL), and 4 (16–16.5 mL). Lo is the loading control. The arrowhead shows the protein of interest. The protein ladder on the left is indicated in kDa.

The best reaction conditions of *Li*GDP-MP were obtained at 37 °C, pH7.6, with a 30 min reaction time and an enzyme concentration of 2 ng/ μ L (Supplementary Figures S2–S4 and Table S1). Since no significant differences were obtained with a 20 min reaction time, as previously described for other leishmanial GDP-MPs and *h*GDP-MP [16], all further enzymatic reactions were performed with a reaction time of 20 min. The analysis of Michaelis–Menten plots allowed determination of the optimal concentrations of both substrates, Man-1-P and GTP, at 100 μ M (Supplementary Figure S4D,E). Maximal *Li*GDP-MP activity was obtained with 5 mM Mg²⁺, and no activity was observed in the absence of this cofactor (Supplementary Figure S4C and Figure 3C). Furthermore, no GDP-MP activity was detected in the presence of other divalent ions, such as Ca²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, or Zn²⁺, except for Mn²⁺, which exhibited 32% of the activity compared to Mg²⁺ (Figure 3C). In addition, *Li*GDP-MP was inactive in the presence of any substrate analogue, either a nucleotide triphosphate distinct from GTP, or a sugar monophosphate other than Man-1-P (Figure 3A,B).



Figure 3. Determination of *Li*GDP-MP substrate and cofactor specificities. The NTPs GTP, ATP, CTP, TTP, UTP, and ITP (**A**), and sugar monophosphates Man-1-P, Glu-1-P, and Gal-1-P (**B**) were used at 100 μ M. All cofactors Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Ca²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, and Zn²⁺ (**C**) were used at 5 mM. In each graph, enzyme activities were calculated relative to the maximal activity obtained and are expressed as a percent. The results correspond to the mean of three independent experiments \pm SD.

Following the characterization of the optimal conditions for the *Li*GDP-MP enzymatic reaction (Supplementary Table S1), we determined the kinetic constants of the enzyme for both substrates, GTP and Man-1-P, using Lineweaver–Burk double reciprocal plots (Supplementary Figure S5 and Table 1). Each kinetic constant was similar for GTP and Man-1-P: for both substrates, *Li*GDP-MP displayed a maximal velocity (V_m) at 0.6 μ M·min⁻¹, K_m between 15 and 18 μ M, a turnover rate (k_{cat}) between 12.5 and 13 min⁻¹, and a catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_m) of around 0.8 min⁻¹ μ M⁻¹ (Table 1).

	Substrate	$egin{array}{c} \mathbf{V}_m \ \mathbf{(\mu M \cdot min^{-1})} \ \pm \ \mathbf{SD} \end{array}$	${ m K}_m$ ($\mu { m M}$) \pm SD	$rac{k_{cat}~(min^{-1})}{\pm~SD}$	$egin{aligned} & \mathbf{k}_{cat}/\mathbf{K}_m \ & \mathbf{(min^{-1} \mu M^{-1})} \ & \pm \mathbf{SD} \end{aligned}$	Reference
LiGDP-MP	Man-1-P GTP	$\begin{array}{c} 0.60 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.63 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.72 \pm 1.45 \\ 17.95 \pm 1.61 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.47 \pm 0.47 \\ 13.13 \pm 0.43 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.85 \pm 0.06 \\ 0.73 \pm 0.04 \end{array}$	This study
LdGDP-MP	Man-1-P GTP	$\begin{array}{c} 0.30 \pm 0.06 \\ 0.39 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9.98 \pm 6.15 \\ 16.84 \pm 3.29 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.23 \pm 1.24 \\ 8.05 \pm 0.71 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.75 \pm 0.33 \\ 0.48 \pm 0.05 \end{array}$	[14]
hGDP-MP	Man-1-P GTP	$\begin{array}{c} 0.47 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.44 \pm 0.01 \end{array}$	$6.98 \pm 0.64 \\ 7.08 \pm 0.57$	$9.30 \pm 0.19 \\ 8.74 \pm 0.14$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.34\pm0.11\\ 1.24\pm0.10\end{array}$	[14]

Table 1. Kinetic constants (V_m , K_m , k_{cat} , k_{cat}/K_m) of *Li*GDP-MP, *Ld*GDP-MP, and *h*GDP-MP for both substrates Man-1-P and GTP.

In order to determine the kinetics of substrate binding, the mechanism of reaction of *Li*GDP-MP was then further investigated by measuring the enzyme activity using a range of GTP concentrations and fixed Man-1-P concentrations (Figure 4A), and vice versa (Figure 4B). Both double inverse plots intersect on the left of the 1/y axis. These results are therefore consistent with the formation of a ternary complex, composed of the enzyme, GTP, and Man-1-P, with a sequential mechanism of substrate binding [23]. Moreover, the intersection of both double plots above the 1/x axis is in favor of a sequential random mechanism, where the fixation of one substrate is favored by the previous fixation of the other.



Figure 4. Determination of the *Li*GDP-MP mechanism of reaction. (**A**) Lineweaver–Burk plots 1/V = f(1/[GTP]). Constant concentrations of Man-1-P were held at 10, 20, 40, and 80 μ M. (**B**) Lineweaver–Burk plots 1/V = f(1/[Man-1-P]). Constant concentrations of GTP were held at 10, 20, 40, and 80 μ M. The results expressed correspond to the mean of three independent experiments \pm SD.

4. Discussion

In the present work, the GDP-MP from L. infantum was produced in Escherichia coli and further purified in order to compare its biochemical and enzymatic properties with other recombinant GDP-MPs previously purified [16]. Two chromatography columns were necessary to purify LiGDP-MP, as previously described for LdGDP-MP [16]: nickel affinity chromatography and size exclusion chromatography (SEC). This latter purification step showed that *Li*GDP-MP was purified in different oligomerization states: one at a very high molecular weight containing presumably soluble aggregates, and three others matching the hexamer, trimer, and monomer forms of the enzyme. Leishmanial GDP-MPs have previously been described to hexamerize in conditions with a high enzyme concentration, and to dissociate in trimers or monomers in low ionic conditions [16,24]. Depending on their quaternary structure stability, a mixture of hexameric, trimeric, and monomeric forms could be observed for some leishmanial GDP-MPs, such as LdGDP-MP [16]. In mammals, native GDP-MP is a complex of 450 kDa composed of subunits α and β , where only β presents enzyme activity and α has a regulatory role [25,26]. Especially, native human GDP-MP is composed of four α subunits and eight β , with two sets of four subunits organized in two dimers of dimers, and single mutations in either α or β subunits alter the overall complex stability and enzyme activity [22]. Likewise, in other NTP-transferases, such as UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase, single amino acid replacements, either in residues at the C-terminal end involved in enzyme oligomerization or in the active site, could alter the enzyme quaternary structure and/or activity [27,28]. However, in LiGDP-MP, the single mutation A258D, which is outside of the catalytic site at the C-terminal end, did not alter the enzyme quaternary structure's stability, as the SEC profile was similar to the one previously obtained with LdGDP-MP [16]. Nevertheless, this single mutation could affect

protein interactions, and the enzyme location within the parasite, and further experiments would be needed to analyze in detail GDP-MP's implications at the cellular level.

The optimal reaction conditions were identical between *Li*GDP-MP and *Ld*GDP-MP for all parameters measured: time of reaction (30 min), temperature (37 °C), pH (7.6), substrate (100 μ M), enzyme (2 ng/ μ L), and Mg²⁺ concentrations (5 mM; Supplementary Table S1). Moreover, the enzyme LiGDP-MP displayed 32% of the activity with the cofactor Mn²⁺ in comparison with Mg²⁺, but no enzyme activity was observed with any substrate analogue. Likewise, LdGDP-MP was not active in the presence of any GTP or Man-1-P analogue and displayed 33% of the activity in the presence of Mn^{2+} in comparison to Mg^{2+} [16]. These results show that, as for LdGDP-MP, LiGDP-MP is highly specific to the substrates Man-1-P and GTP and to the cofactor Mg^{2+} , besides moderate activity with Mn^{2+} . A high substrate specificity was also previously described for bacterial and human GDP-MPs [16,29]. In T. brucei, GDP-MP was also described to be very specific to GTP and Man-1-P, apart from a weak affinity observed for ATP, with a K_m value of 290 μ M [30]. Moreover, in line with our results, bacterial GDP-MP has been described to display approximately 50% of the activity in the presence of Mn²⁺ [31]. Furthermore, in contrast to *Li*GDP-MP or *Ld*GDP-MP, *h*GDP-MP displayed a slightly acidic pH optimum (pH 6.5), required a low Mg²⁺ concentration at 1 mM, did not show any activity in the presence of Mn²⁺, and displayed 24% of the activity in the presence of the nucleotide ITP in comparison to GTP (Supplementary Table S1) [16].

Concerning the kinetic constants, *Li*GDP-MP displayed K_m of 15 and 18 μ M for Man-1-P and GTP, respectively, in the same range as LdGDP-MP, while hGDP-MP presented a noticeably higher affinity to both substrates with K_m values of $\approx 7 \mu M$ [16]. However, these differences seem to be minor since K_m values for *h*GDP-MP have recently been determined at 11 to 12 µM for both substrates [22]. Therefore, our results in the present work, in line with data previously published [16,22,25,26], show that both mammalian and leishmanial GDP-MPs have similar affinities for both Man-1-P and GTP. Nonetheless, some bacterial and trypanosomal GDP-MPs have shown K_m values in the same range, from 8 to 15 μ M for Man-1-P, but displayed lower affinity for the substrate GTP, with high K_m values varying between 40 and 200 μ M [21,30,32–35]. With V_m of \approx 0.6 μ M·min⁻¹ and k_{cat} between 12.5 and 13 min⁻¹, *Li*GDP-MP exhibited slightly higher velocities and turnover rates with both substrates in comparison to both *h*GDP-MP and *Ld*GDP-MP, with the latter displaying V_m values between 0.30 and 0.39 μ M·min⁻¹ and k_{cat} values between 6.23 and 8.05 min⁻¹ (Table 1) [16]. Moreover, the catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_m ratios) values of both *Li*GDP-MP and *Ld*GDP-MP were similar, between 0.5 and 0.85 min⁻¹ μ M⁻¹, and were slightly lower than those of hGDP-MP previously estimated to be between 1.24 and 1.87 min⁻¹ μ M⁻¹ [16,22]. Nevertheless, these k_{cat} and k_{cat}/K_m values are markedly lower than those previously determined in some bacterial GDP-MPs, varying from 360 to 6480 min⁻¹ for k_{cat} , and from 9 to 90 min⁻¹ μ M⁻¹ for k_{cat}/K_m [36,37], indicating that the differences in velocities, turnover rates, and catalytic efficiencies observed between *Li*GDP-MP, *Ld*GDP-MP, and *h*GDP-MP are modest.

Moreover, the mechanism of reaction of *Li*GDP-MP was determined as a sequential random mechanism, where a ternary complex composed of GTP, Man-1-P, and the enzyme is formed. These data are in contrast with a previous work showing a ping-pong mechanism for a bacterial nucleotidyltransferase, where a product is released after the fixation of the first substrate but before the fixation of the second [38]. However, they are in agreement with several studies showing the formation of a ternary complex with a sequential mechanism for some leishmanial, human, or bacterial GDP-MPs, or other nucleotidyltransferases, such as ADP-glucose, TDP-glucose, and UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylases [16,21,39–42]. Furthermore, both leishmanial and human GDP-MPs and mammalian nucleotidyltransferase have been described to exhibit a sequential random mechanism [16,42], which is consistent with our data regarding *Li*GDP-MP.

Altogether, these results further highlight common biochemical and enzymatic properties between both *Li*GDP-MP and *Ld*GDP-MP. These leishmanial GDP-MPs have similar quaternary structure stability, substrate or cofactor specificities, K_m and k_{cat}/K_m kinetic constants, and mechanisms of reaction. The only differences noticed between LiGDP-MP and LdGDP-MP concerned the V_m and k_{cat} kinetic constants, but these differences were minor. Therefore, the single A258D mutation in LiGDP-MP did not substantially change the biochemical or enzymatic properties in comparison to LdGDP-MP. This residue mutation may have changed other GDP-MP properties, such as the protein half-life or partners within the parasite, potentially providing an evolutionary advantage for *L. infantum* and *L.* donovani. Further biochemical and cellular studies are necessary to verify this hypothesis. Consequently, hGDP-MP displayed the same peculiarities in comparison to both LiGDP-MP and *Ld*GDP-MP: *h*GDP-MP is an enzyme composed of four subunits α and eight subunits β displaying a slightly more acidic optimal pH, a lower Mg²⁺ optimal concentration, a weak but substantial enzyme activity in the presence of ITP, and moderately higher affinities and catalytic efficiencies for both Man-1-P and GTP in comparison to both leishmanial GDP-MPs. These differences regarding the biochemical and enzymatic properties between human and leishmanial GDP-MPs could be further exploited to design new GDP-MP inhibitors. Especially, a comparison of the quaternary structures of leishmanial and human GDP-MPs, which are homo-hexameric and hetero-dodecameric, respectively, is a promising approach to the design of new antileishmanial compounds that specifically inhibit the enzyme of this parasite.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/microorganisms10020231/s1, Table S1: Optimal parameters of *Li*GDP-MP, *Ld*GDP-MP and *h*GDP-MP enzymatic reactions; Figure S1: Mass spectrometry analysis of *Li*GDP-MP; Figure S2: Determination of optimal reaction time of *Li*GDP-MP; Figure S3: Determination of *Li*GDP-MP optimal concentration of enzyme assay; Figure S4: Determination of *Li*GDP-MP optimal conditions of enzymatic reaction; Figure S5: Determination of *Li*GDP-MP kinetic constants for both substrates GTP and Man-1-P.

Author Contributions: W.M. performed the experiments and analyzed the data; N.L. and H.v.T. conceived purification experiments; P.M.L. edited the article; S.P. conceived the project and experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the article. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by DIM Malinf from Région Île-de-France.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: We thank Stéphanie Nicolaÿ and David Cornu for their expertise in MALDI-TOF analyses.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- World Health Organization. Leishmaniasis Fact Sheet, 20 May 2021. Available online: https://www.who.int/news-room/factsheets/detail/leishmaniasis (accessed on 29 October 2021).
- Franssen, S.U.; Durrant, C.; Stark, O.; Moser, B.; Downing, T.; Imamura, H.; Dujardin, J.-C.; Sanders, M.J.; Mauricio, I.; Miles, M.A.; et al. Global genome diversity of the *Leishmania donovani* complex. *Elife* 2020, *9*, 51243. [CrossRef]
- 3. Ready, P. Epidemiology of visceral leishmaniasis. *Clin. Epidemiol.* **2014**, *6*, 147–154. [CrossRef]
- Maia, C.; Cardoso, L. Spread of *Leishmania infantum* in Europe with dog travelling. *Vet. Parasitol.* 2015, 213, 2–11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Le Rutte, E.A.; van Straten, R.; Overgaauw, P.A. Awareness and control of canine leishmaniosis: A survey among Spanish and French veterinarians. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2018**, *253*, 87–93. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Roatt, B.M.; Cardoso, J.M.D.O.; De Brito, R.C.F.; Coura-Vital, W.; Aguiar-Soares, R.D.D.O.; Reis, A.B. Recent advances and new strategies on leishmaniasis treatment. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2020, 104, 8965–8977. [CrossRef]
- Freeze, H.H.; Elbein, A.D. Glycosylation precursors. In *Essentials of Glycobiology*, 2nd ed.; Varki, A., Cummings, R.D., Esko, J.D., Freeze, H.H., Stanley, P., Bertozzi, C.R., Hart, G.W., Etzler, M.E., Eds.; Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: New York, NY, USA, 2009; pp. 47–61.

- Pomel, S.; Loiseau, P.M. GDP-mannose: A key-point for target identification and drug design in kinetoplastids. In *Trypanosomatid Diseases: Molecular Routes to Drug Discoveries*; Jäger, T., Koch, O., Flohe, L., Eds.; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH and Co. KGaA: Weinheim, Germany, 2013; pp. 315–334.
- Garami, A.R.; Ilg, T. Disruption of mannose activation in *Leishmania mexicana*: GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase is required for virulence, but not for viability. *EMBO J.* 2001, 20, 3657–3666. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Stewart, J.; Curtis, J.; Spurck, T.P.; Ilg, T.; Garami, A.R.; Baldwin, T.; Courret, N.; McFadden, G.I.; Davis, A.; Handman, E. Characterisation of a *Leishmania mexicana* knockout lacking guanosine diphosphate-mannose pyrophosphorylase. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 2005, 35, 861–873. [CrossRef]
- 11. Pomel, S.; Rodrigo, J.; Hendra, F.; Cavé, C.; Loiseau, P. In silicoanalysis of a therapeutic target in *Leishmania infantum*: The guanosine-diphospho-D-mannose pyrophosphorylase. *Parasite* **2012**, *19*, 63–70. [CrossRef]
- 12. Daligaux, P.; Bernadat, G.; Tran, L.; Cavé, C.; Loiseau, P.M.; Pomel, S.; Ha-Duong, T. Comparative study of structural models of *Leishmania donovani* and human GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylases. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2016**, *107*, 109–118. [CrossRef]
- Levaique, H.; Pamlard, O.; Apel, C.; Bignon, J.; Arriola, M.; Kuhner, R.; Awang, K.; Loiseau, P.; Litaudon, M.; Pomel, S. Alkylresorcinol derivatives as inhibitors of GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase with antileishmanial activities. *Molecules* 2021, 26, 1551. [CrossRef]
- Carnielli, J.B.; de Andrade, H.M.; Pires, S.F.; Chapeaurouge, A.D.; Perales, J.; Monti-Rocha, R.; Carvalho, S.F.; Ribeiro, L.P.; Dietze, R.; Figueiredo, S.G.; et al. Proteomic analysis of the soluble proteomes of miltefosine-sensitive and -resistant *Leishmania infantum chagasi* isolates obtained from Brazilian patients with different treatment outcomes. J. Proteom. 2014, 108, 198–208. [CrossRef]
- 15. Pountain, A.W.; Barrett, M.P. Untargeted metabolomics to understand the basis of phenotypic differences in amphotericin B-resistant *Leishmania* parasites. *Wellcome Open Res.* **2019**, *4*, 176. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mao, W.; Daligaux, P.; Lazar, N.; Ha-Duong, T.; Cavé, C.; Van Tilbeurgh, H.; Loiseau, P.; Pomel, S. Biochemical analysis of leishmanial and human GDP-Mannose Pyrophosphorylases and selection of inhibitors as new leads. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, *7*, 751. [CrossRef]
- 17. Pomel, S.; Mao, W.; Ha-Duong, T.; Cavé, C.; Loiseau, P. GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase: A biologically validated target for drug development against leishmaniasis. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **2019**, *9*, 186. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Altschul, S.F.; Gish, W.; Miller, W.; Myers, E.W.; Lipman, D.J. Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 1990, 215, 403–410. [CrossRef]
- 19. Larkin, M.A.; Blackshields, G.; Brown, N.P.; Chenna, R.; McGettigan, P.A.; McWilliam, H.; Valentin, F.; Wallace, I.M.; Wilm, A.; Lopez, R.; et al. Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics* **2007**, *23*, 2947–2948. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sievers, F.; Wilm, A.; Dineen, D.; Gibson, T.J.; Karplus, K.; Li, W.; Lopez, R.; McWilliam, H.; Remmert, M.; Söding, J.; et al. Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 2011, 7, 539. [CrossRef]
- Pelissier, M.-C.; Lesley, S.A.; Kuhn, P.; Bourne, Y. Structural insights into the catalytic mechanism of bacterial guanosinediphospho-d-mannose pyrophosphorylase and its regulation by divalent ions. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2010, 285, 27468–27476. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Zheng, L.; Liu, Z.; Wang, Y.; Yang, F.; Wang, J.; Huang, W.; Qin, J.; Tian, M.; Cai, X.; Liu, X.; et al. Cryo-EM structures of human GMPPA–GMPPB complex reveal how cells maintain GDP-mannose homeostasis. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **2021**, *28*. [CrossRef]
- 23. Rudolf, F.B.; Fromm, H.J. Plotting methods for analyzing enzyme rate data. In *Contemporary Enzyme Kinetics and Mechanism*; Purich, D.L., Ed.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, 1983; pp. 53–74.
- 24. Davis, A.J.; Perugini, M.; Smith, B.; Stewart, J.; Ilg, T.; Hodder, A.N.; Handman, E. Properties of GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase, a critical enzyme and drug target in *Leishmania mexicana*. J. Biol. Chem. **2004**, 279, 12462–12468. [CrossRef]
- 25. Szumilo, T.; Drake, R.; York, J.; Elbein, A. GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase. Purification to homogeneity, properties, and utilization to prepare photoaffinity analogs. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1993**, *268*, 17943–17950. [CrossRef]
- Ning, B.; Elbein, A.D. Cloning, expression and characterization of the pig liver GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase. Evidence that GDP-mannose and GDP-Glc pyrophosphorylases are different proteins. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 2000, 267, 6866–6874. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Führing, J.; Damerow, S.; Fedorov, R.; Schneider, J.; Münster-Kühnel, A.-K.; Gerardy-Schahn, R. Octamerization is essential for enzymatic function of human UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase. *Glycobiology* 2013, 23, 426–437. [CrossRef]
- Führing, J.I.; Cramer, J.T.; Schneider, J.; Baruch, P.; Gerardy-Schahn, R.; Fedorov, R. A quaternary mechanism enables the complex biological functions of octameric human UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase, a key enzyme in cell metabolism. *Sci. Rep.* 2015, 5, 9618. [CrossRef]
- 29. Ning, B.; Elbein, A. Purification and properties of mycobacterial GDP–mannose pyrophosphorylase. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **1999**, 362, 339–345. [CrossRef]
- 30. Denton, H.; Fyffe, S.; Smith, T.K. GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase is essential in the bloodstream form of *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Biochem. J.* **2010**, 425, 603–614. [CrossRef]
- Shinabarger, D.; Berry, A.; May, T.B.; Rothmel, R.; Fialho, A.; Chakrabarty, A.M. Purification and characterization of phosphomannose isomerase-guanosine diphospho-D-mannose pyrophosphorylase. A bifunctional enzyme in the alginate biosynthetic pathway of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J. Biol. Chem. 1991, 266, 2080–2088. [CrossRef]

- 32. May, T.; Shinabarger, D.; Boyd, A.; Chakrabarty, A. Identification of amino acid residues involved in the activity of phosphomannose isomerase-guanosine 5'-diphospho-D-mannose pyrophosphorylase. A bifunctional enzyme in the alginate biosynthetic pathway of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J. Biol. Chem. **1994**, 269, 4872–4877. [CrossRef]
- Elling, L.; Ritter, J.E.; Verseck, S. Expression, purification and characterization of recombinant phosphomannomutase and GDPα-D-mannose pyrophosphorylase from *Salmonella enterica*, group B, for the synthesis of GDP-α-D-mannose from D-mannose. *Glycobiology* **1996**, *6*, 591–597. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fey, S.; Elling, L.; Kragl, U. The cofactor Mg²⁺—A key switch for effective continuous enzymatic production of GDP-mannose using recombinant GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase. *Carbohydr. Res.* 1997, 305, 475–481. [CrossRef]
- 35. Zmuda, F.; Shepherd, S.M.; Ferguson, M.; Gray, D.W.; Torrie, L.S.; De Rycker, M. *Trypanosoma* cruzi Phosphomannomutase and Guanosine Diphosphate-Mannose Pyrophosphorylase Ligandability Assessment. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2019**, 63, e01082-19. [CrossRef]
- Mizanur, R.M.; Pohl, N.L.B. Phosphomannose isomerase/GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase from *Pyrococcus furiosus*: A thermostable biocatalyst for the synthesis of guanidinediphosphate-activated and mannose-containing sugar nucleotides. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2009, 7, 2135–2139. [CrossRef]
- Zou, L.; Zheng, R.B.; Lowary, T.L. Studies on the substrate specificity of a GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase from *Salmonella* enterica. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2012, 8, 1219–1226. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lindquist, L.; Kaiser, R.; Reeves, P.R.; Lindberg, A.A. Purification, characterization and HPLC assay of *Salmonella* glucose-1-phosphate thymidylyltransferase from the cloned rfbA gene. *JBIC J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, 211, 763–770. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Boehlein, S.K.; Shaw, J.R.; Hwang, S.K.; Stewart, J.D.; Hannah, L.C. Deciphering the kinetic mechanisms controlling selected plant ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylases. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2013, 535, 215–226. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- A Barton, W.; Lesniak, J.; Biggins, J.B.; Jeffrey, P.D.; Jiang, J.; Rajashankar, K.R.; Thorson, J.S.; Nikolov, D.B. Structure, mechanism and engineering of a nucleotidylyltransferase as a first step toward glycorandomization. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 2001, *8*, 545–551. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zuccotti, S.; Zanardi, D.; Rosano, C.; Sturla, L.; Tonetti, M.; Bolognesi, M. Kinetic and crystallographic analyses support a sequential-ordered bi bi catalytic mechanism for *Escherichia coli* glucose-1-phosphate thymidylyltransferase. *J. Mol. Biol.* 2001, 313, 831–843. [CrossRef]
- 42. Persat, F.; Azzar, G.; Martel, M.-B.; Got, R. Properties of uridine diphosphate glucose pyrophosphorylase from Golgi apparatus of liver. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1983, 749, 329–332. [CrossRef]