



LAST, a c-Myc-inducible long noncoding RNA, cooperates with CNBP to promote CCND1 mRNA stability in human cells

Limian Cao^{1†}, Pengfei Zhang^{1†}, Jinming Li², Mian Wu^{1,2*}

¹CAS Key Laboratory of Innate Immunity and Chronic Disease, CAS Center for Excellence in Molecular Cell Science, Innovation Center for Cell Signaling Network, School of Life Sciences, University of Science & Technology of China, Hefei, China; ²Translational Research Institute, Henan Provincial People's Hospital, School of Medicine, Henan University, Zhengzhou, China

Abstract Cyclin D1 is a critical regulator of cell cycle progression and works at the G1 to S-phase transition. Here, we report the isolation and characterization of the novel c-Myc-regulated IncRNA *LAST* (<u>LncRNA-Assisted S</u>tabilization of <u>T</u>ranscripts), which acts as a *CCND1* mRNA stabilizer. Mechanistically, *LAST* was shown to cooperate with CNBP to bind to the 5'UTR of *CCND1* mRNA to protect against possible nuclease targeting. In addition, data from CNBP RIP-seq and *LAST* RNA-seq showed that *CCND1* mRNA might not be the only target of *LAST* and CNBP; three additional mRNAs were shown to be post-transcriptional targets of *LAST* and CNBP. In a xenograft model, depletion of *LAST* diminished and ectopic expression of *LAST* induced tumor formation, which are suggestive of its oncogenic function. We thus report a previously unknown IncRNA involved in the fine-tuned regulation of *CCND1* mRNA stability, without which *CCND1* exhibits, at most, partial expression.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.001

Introduction

The oncoprotein c-Myc plays a pivotal role in multiple cellular processes, such as cell cycle progression, malignant transformation, differentiation suppression and apoptosis induction, predominantly through its transcription activity (Seth et al., 1993; Drayton et al., 2003; Wei et al., 2003; Demeterco et al., 2002; Prendergast, 1999; Amati et al., 1992; Lee et al., 1996; Hoffman and Liebermann, 2008). Indeed, as a master transcriptional factor, c-Myc regulates the expression of approximately 10–15% of genes in the genome, including a variety of protein-coding genes (Lin et al., 2012; Nie et al., 2012; Fernandez et al., 2003), such as CDKN1A, CDKN2B, CCND1, CCND2, CDK4 and E2F2 (Adhikary and Eilers, 2005).

Among c-Myc target genes, *CCND1* is of particular importance in cell cycle control and is characterized by the dramatic periodicity of the abundance of its protein product cyclin D1 throughout the cell cycle (*Sherr, 1995*). Cyclin D1 forms a complex with CDK4 or CDK6 and functions as a regulatory subunit whose activity is required for G1/S transition (*Sherr, 1995*; *Resnitzky et al., 1994*). Cyclin D1 also interacts with the tumor suppressor pRB1, which in turn positively regulates cyclin D1 expression (*DeGregori, 2004*). Mutation, amplification and overexpression of *CCND1* are frequently observed in cancer and have been reported to contribute to tumorigenesis (*Wiestner et al., 2007*; *Elsheikh et al., 2008*; *Musgrove et al., 2011*). Cyclin D1 is a short-lived protein with a rapid turnover rate (~24 min) due to degradation by the ubiquitin-proteasome system (*Diehl et al., 1998*; *Diehl et al., 1997*). While early studies showed that the Skp2 F-box protein is involved in cyclin D1 degradation (**Yu et al., 1998**), a recent study has identified two additional F-box proteins that play

*For correspondence: wumian@ustc.edu.cn

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 23

Received: 14 July 2017 Accepted: 02 December 2017 Published: 04 December 2017

Reviewing editor: Igor Ulitsky, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel

© Copyright Cao et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited. CC

eLife digest Cell division involves a series of steps in which the cell grows, duplicates its contents, and then divides into two. Together these steps are called the cell cycle, and the transition between each step must be controlled to make sure that events take place in the right order. Any loss of control can cause cells to divide in an unrestrained manner, which may lead to cancer.

Proteins called cyclins control progression through the cell cycle. As such, these proteins need to be produced in the correct amounts and at the correct times. Transcription factors are proteins that switch genes on or off to help regulate how much protein is made from those genes. A transcription factor known as c-Myc regulates the expression of the genes that encode the cyclins. Among these genes, one called *CCND1* is particularly important because it encodes a protein that controls a crucial transition in the cell cycle: it marks a 'point of no return', beyond which cells are committed to dividing.

When a transcription factor switches on a gene, the gene gets copied into a molecule of messenger RNA, which is then translated into protein. But, cells also contain genes that do not code for proteins. Transcription factors can bind to such non-coding genes, leading to the production of so-called long non-coding RNAs (often abbreviated to lncRNAs).

Many IncRNAs can affect the expression of other genes. Cao, Zhang et al. have now asked whether any IncRNAs regulate *CCND1* in human cells. The analysis revealed that the transcription factor c-Myc promotes the expression of a previously unidentified IncRNA. Cao, Zhang et al. name this IncRNA *LAST*, which is officially short for LncRNA-assisted stabilization of transcripts, and show thatit makes the *CCND1* messenger RNA more stable. In other words, it makes the messenger RNAs 'last' longer in the cell. This in turn, ensures that the cell cycle progresses in the correct manner, allowing cells to complete their division. In the absence of *LAST*, the *CCND1* messenger RNA becomes unstable and as a result the cell cycle does not progress.

Cao, Zhang et al. then explored the role of *LAST* in cancer cells. When human colon cancer cells that expressed *LAST* were implanted into mice, they formed tumors. Yet, reducing the expression of *LAST* in the colon cancer cells made the tumors grow slower.

Future challenges will be to understand how *LAST* makes messenger RNAs stable and further explore its role in cancer. A better understanding of this molecule could reveal whether it can be used to help doctors diagnose or treat cancers.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.002

important roles in targeting cyclin D1 for proteasome degradation (*Lin et al., 2006*; *Okabe et al., 2006*).

c-Myc can upregulate or downregulate expression of cyclin D1 in a context-dependent manner. On the one hand, c-Myc, together with Max, a co-transcription factor, activates *CCND1* transcription through an E box located at –558 nt in its promoter (*Kress et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2005; Guo et al., 2011*). On the other hand, simultaneous overexpression of c-Myc and ZO-2 enhances repression of the *CCND1* promoter through the E box in MDCK cells (*Gonzalez-Mariscal et al., 2009*). In addition, c-Myc has been reported to repress the cyclin DI promoter and antagonize USF-mediated transactivation in BALB/c-3T3, Rat6 and rat embryo fibroblasts (*Philipp et al., 1994*). In addition to c-Myc, multiple transcription factors, including AP-1, NF-κB, E2F and Oct-1, can bind to their respective *CCND1* promoters and regulate its expression (*Guo et al., 2011*). *CCND1* can also be regulated epigenetically through histone modifications; GATA3 cooperates with PARP1 to regulate *CCND1* transcription by modulating histone H1 incorporation (*Shan et al., 2014*). Moreover, post-transcriptional mechanisms are also involved in the regulation of *CCND1*, as exemplified by MYF5-mediated enhancement in *CCND1* mRNA translation, which contributes to early myogenesis (*Panda et al., 2016*). Mutations in *CCND1* leading to stable truncated transcripts are associated with increased cell proliferation and shortened survival of cancer patients (*Wiestner et al., 2007*).

Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs), which are defined as transcripts that are longer than 200 nucleotides and lack protein coding capacity, are emerging as important regulators of biological processes, including regulation of gene expression at multiple levels, such as chromatin remodeling, transcription, and post-transcriptional modulation (**Derrien et al., 2012**; **lorio and Croce, 2012**;

Bonasio and Shiekhattar, 2014; Wilusz et al., 2008). Genome-wide studies have shown that c-Myc transcriptionally regulates many lncRNA genes, such as *PVT1*, the CCAT family, and MYCLos, whereas a number of lncRNAs have been demonstrated to be important components of the c-Myc-mediated signaling network (**Colombo et al., 2015; Nissan et al., 2012; Ling et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2015b**). Nevertheless, whether lncRNAs participate in the regulation of *CCND1* remains to be fully studied.

Here, we report the isolation and characterization of the novel c-Myc regulated *LAST*, which acts as a *CCND1* mRNA stabilizer and without which *CCND1* mRNA becomes unstable and cell cycle arrest occurs in the G1 phase. Mechanistically, *LAST* cooperates with CNBP, a single-stranded DNA/RNA-binding factor, to bind to the 5' untranslated region of *CCND1* messenger RNA, possibly to protect against nuclease degradation. This report describes a model by which lncRNA stabilizes mRNA post-transcriptionally via 5'-end protection.

Results

Identification of *LAST*, a c-Myc-responsive long noncoding RNA that promotes cell proliferation

To identify novel functions of c-Myc-regulated long non-coding RNAs, doxycycline-treated or untreated P493-6 cells carrying a c-Myc tet-off system (*Kim et al., 2007*) were used to analyze the lncRNA expression profile via long non-coding RNA microarray analysis (*Supplementary file 1*, *GSE106916*). We selected five significantly c-Myc-downregulated lncRNAs (fold change above 8, P-value below 0.01) that were identified by the lncRNA microarray. Two of the five lncRNAs, namely, lncRNA-51 and lncRNA-52, along with CDK4 (positive control) were found to be downregulated when c-Myc expression was suppressed by doxycycline treatment (*Figure 1A*). Of these two c-Myc responsive lncRNAs, lncRNA-52 (RP11-660L16.2, ENST00000529369) was chosen for further investigation because knockdown of this lncRNA (*Figure 1B*) showed a *significant reduction in colony formation* (*Figure 1C and D*). lncRNA-52 is located approximately 1.8 Mb downstream of the *cyclin D1/CCND1* gene in a head-to-tail orientation (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1A*). As will be shown in the following sections, this lncRNA is able to promote the stability of mRNA transcripts, including *CCND1* mRNA; we therefore named it *LAST* (LncRNA-<u>A</u>ssisted <u>S</u>tabilization of <u>T</u>ranscripts).

To verify the existence of endogenousLAST and to determine its molecular size, northern blot analysis was performed. A band of approximately 700 nt in length was detected in both P493-6 and H1299 cells, but was absent in P493-6 cells treated with doxycycline, which suppresses c-Myc expression (*Figure 1E*). The apparent size of *LAST* was the same as predicted by the UCSC (University of California, Santa Cruz) Genome Browser, suggesting that *LAST* is a full-length transcript. To investigate the cellular compartment in which *LAST* is located, single molecule RNA fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) was performed in both HCT116 and H1299 cells. As shown in *Figure 1F*, *LAST* was predominantly localized in the cytosol. Cytosol localization of *LAST* was also confirmed by determining the levels of *LAST* in different sub-cellular fractions (*Figure 1G*). Moreover, the signal intensity of *LAST* was reduced in *LAST*-depleted cells (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1B*). It has been reported that lncRNAs are often present at relatively low copy numbers; hence, we measured the *LAST* transcript copy number per cell in various cell lines, including the normal cell lines HAFF, IMR90, and MCF10A and tumor cell lines HCT116, MCF7 and H1299. The *LAST* copy number was higher in tumor cells than in normal cells (*Figure 1H*).

Lentivirus-mediated gene knockdown of c-Myc decreased whereas ectopic expression of c-Myc increased *LAST* expression in HCT116, H1299 and MCF10A cells (*Figure 11 and J*). Furthermore, the levels of LAST and c-Myc appeared to be notably synchronous during cell cycle progression (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1C*). In particular, the c-Myc and *LAST* levels were decreased in G2/M (lane 2), followed by a rapid increase in the G1 phase (lane 3). These data suggest that *LAST* expression is positively regulated by c-Myc.

We next explored whether c-Myc regulates *LAST* expression at the transcriptional level. We first inspected the genomic sequence around the *LAST* gene using the JASPAR database (*Mathelier et al., 2016*). Six putative c-Myc binding sites (BS1, BS2, BS3, BS4, BS5 and BS6) were identified (*Figure 1K*, upper panel). Furthermore, we analyzed the genomic sequence around the *LAST* gene using the ENCODE database. Three fragments (F1, F2 and F3) were predicted to be



Figure 1. *LAST* is positively regulated by c-Myc. (A) P493-6 cells carrying a c-Myc tet-off system were treated with doxycycline (1 μ g/mL) for 24 hr. The levels of five lncRNAs (lncRNA-5639,-51, -52,-5630 and -5690) and the positive control *CDK4* were assessed by real-time RT–PCR analysis. Data shown are the mean ± SD (n = 3; ***p<0.001, two-tailed t-test). Cell lysates were also analyzed by western blotting with the indicated antibodies to ensure that gene expression was controlled by c-Myc. (B) HCT116 cells were infected with lentiviruses expressing control shRNA (sh-ctrl), shRNA-1,-2 against *Figure 1 continued on next page*



Figure 1 continued

IncRNA-52 or shRNA-1,-2 against IncRNA-51, as indicated. The lentivirus-mediated gene knockdown efficiencies for both IncRNA-52 and IncRNA-51 were analyzed by real-time RT-PCR. Data shown are the mean ± SD (n = 3; **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, two-tailed t-test). (C) Colonies of the above cells were stained with crystal violet and photographed after 14 days of incubation. (D) The number of colonies was counted and plotted in columns. (E) Total RNA from the indicated cell lines was subjected to northern blot analysis to determine the molecular size of LAST. (F) Single molecule RNA FISH detecting endogenous LAST molecules (green) in HCT116 and H1299. Chromosomal DNA (red) was stained with PI. (G) HCT116 and H1299 cells were fractionated into cytoplasmic and nuclear extracts. Total RNA extracted from each fraction was analyzed by real-time RT-PCR. Data shown are the mean ± SD (n = 3). Actin and U1 were used as markers for the cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions, respectively. (H) The LAST transcript copy numbers per cell in HAFF, IMR90, MCF10A, HCT116, MCF7 and H1299 cells were determined by absolute guantitative PCR (gPCR). Data shown are the mean ± SD (n = 3). (I) HCT116, H1299 and MCF10A cells were infected with lentiviruses expressing control shRNA or c-Myc shRNA. Ninety-six hours after infection, total RNA and cell lysates were analyzed by real-time RT-PCR and western blotting, respectively. Data shown are the mean ± SD (n = 3; **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, two-tailed t-test). (J) HCT116, H1299 and MCF10A cells were transfected with empty vector or FLAG-c-Myc. Twenty-four hours after transfection, total RNA was extracted from these cells and subjected to real-time RT-PCR analysis. Data shown are the mean ± SD (n = 3; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, two-tailed t-test). Cell lysates were also analyzed by western blotting using the indicated antibodies. (K) Schematic representation of putative c-Myc binding sites around the LAST gene, predicted c-Myc binding fragments, gPCR-amplified fragments from the ChIP assay and fragments used in the luciferase reporter assay (upper panel). Lysates from HCT116 cells were subjected to the ChIP assay with a normal rabbit IgG or c-Myc antibody. ChIP products were amplified by qPCR with the indicated pairs of primers (Table 1). Data shown are the mean \pm SD (n = 3; *p<0.05, two-tailed t-test) (lower panel). (L) Schematic diagram of the luciferase reporter systems constructed to assess LAST promoter activity. The indicated pGL3-based luciferase reporter constructs were generated to examine the transcriptional activities of two putative c-Myc binding sites, BS2 and BS3, in response to c-Myc induction. BS2M and BS3M indicate their corresponding mutant binding sites, which are written in black in the open boxes (upper panel). HCT116 cells were co-transfected with either FLAG-c-Myc or the control vector plus the indicated reporter constructs and Renilla luciferase plasmid. Twenty-four hours after transfection, reporter activity was measured and plotted after normalizing with respect to Renilla luciferase activity. Data shown are the mean \pm SD (n = 3; *p<0.05, two-tailed t-test) (lower panel). DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.003

The following source data and figure supplements are available for figure 1:

Source data 1. Source data for *Figure 1A, B, D, G, H, I, J, K and L*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.006 Figure supplement 1. LAST is positively regulated by c-Myc. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.004 Figure supplement 2. Uncropped images of blots. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.005

recognized by c-Myc (*Figure 1K*, upper panel). The chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay determined the association of c-Myc with chromatin fragments corresponding to the BS2 and BS3 sites (within F2 fragment) among all examined fragments (*Figure 1K*, lower panel). We further evaluated whether BS2 and BS3 conferred c-Myc-dependent transcriptional activity. DNA fragments containing wild-type BS2 and BS3 or their corresponding mutant binding sites were inserted into the promoter region of a firefly luciferase reporter plasmid (*Figure 1L*, upper panel). Luciferase expression from the reporter containing an individual BS2 or BS3 site was indeed induced by ectopic expression of c-Myc (*Figure 1L*, lower panel). By contrast, mutant BS2M and BS3M sites showed no response to c-Myc induction (*Figure 1L*, lower panel). These data demonstrate that c-Myc transactivates *LAST*.

LAST promotes G1/S transition and upregulates cyclin D1/CCND1

Knockdown of LAST results in reduced colony formation (**Figure 1C**), indicating that LAST normally promotes cell proliferation. To examine how LAST affects cell growth, the *cell cycle phase* distribution was analyzed by *flow* cytometric *analysis*. Knockdown of LAST caused a decrease in the percentage of cells in the S and G2/M phases and an increase in the percentage of cells in the G1 phase (**Figure 2—figure supplement 1A and B**), indicating that LAST knockdown prevents cell passage from the G1 phase into S phase. As a result, LAST was shown to promote G1/S phase transition.

Cell cycle regulation is controlled by many factors. To define which factor(s) were involved in *LAST*-mediated regulation, the mRNA levels of G1-related cyclins and CDKs genes were selected for comparison in HCT116 cells before and after *LAST* gene knockdown. Among all of the mRNAs examined, only the *CCND1* mRNA level was significantly decreased (*Figure 2A*). Among all of the cyclins or CDKs examined, only cyclin D1 was shown to be downregulated when *LAST* was depleted (*Figure 2B*). The lncRNA *PVT1* is known to be a c-Myc regulated lncRNA that is involved in c-Myc



Figure 2. *LAST* accelerates G1/S transition and upregulates *cyclin D1/CCND1*. (A) HCT116 cells were infected with lentiviruses expressing control shRNA, *LAST* shRNA-1 or -2. Ninety-six hours after infection, total RNA was extracted and the transcript levels for *LAST*, *CCND1*, *CCNE1*, *CDK2*, *CDK4* and *c-Myc* were analyzed by real-time RT-PCR. Data shown are the mean \pm SD (n = 3; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, two-tailed t-test). (B) Cell lysates prepared as described above (*Figure 2A*) were analyzed by western blotting to examine GAPDH, cyclin D1, cyclin E1, Actin, CDK2, CDK4 and c-Myc expression. (C) HAFF and H1299 cells were infected with lentiviruses expressing control shRNA or *LAST* shRNA. Ninety-six hours after infection, total RNA was analyzed by real-time RT-PCR to detect the level of *LAST* to determine its knockdown efficiency (lower panel). Total RNA was also analyzed by real-time RT-PCR to detect the level of *LAST* to detect successful expression of *LAST* (lower panel). Data shown are the mean \pm SD (n = 3; *p<0.05, **p<0.01). (D) HCT116, H1299 and HAFF cells were infected with lentiviruses expressing control RNA or *LAST*. Ninety-six hours after infection, total RNA was analyzed by real-time RT-PCR to detect the level of *CCND1* mRNA and by western blotting to examine the cyclin D1 protein level (upper panel). Data shown are the mean \pm SD (n = 3; *p<0.05, **p<0.01). (D) HCT116, H1299 and HAFF cells were infected with lentiviruses expressing control RNA or *LAST*. Ninety-six hours after infection, total RNA was analyzed by real-time RT-PCR to detect the level of *CCND1* mRNA and by western blotting to examine the cyclin D1 protein level (upper panel). Data shown are the mean \pm SD (n = 3; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, two-tailed t-test).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.007

The following source data and figure supplements are available for figure 2:

Source data 1. Source data for Figure 2A, C and D.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.010

Figure supplement 1. LAST knockdown prevents cell passage from the G1 phase into S phase.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.008

Figure supplement 2. Uncropped images of blots.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.009

stability and activity (**Colombo et al., 2015**). However, unlike PVT1, we found that LAST, which is also regulated by c-Myc (**Figure 1A**), does not affect c-Myc stability since knockdown of LAST did not change c-Myc expression at either the mRNA or protein levels (**Figure 2A and B**). The effect of LAST on cyclin D1/CCND1 was further verified in normal HAFF cells and tumor H1299 and HCT116 cells. Depletion of LAST decreased whereas over-expression of LAST increased cyclin D1/CCND1 expression at both the mRNA and protein levels (**Figure 2C and D**).

To test if the function of LAST is mediated through an effect on the adjacent genes, we checked the shRNA-mediated LAST knockdown effect on the two adjacent genes DHCR7 and NADSYN1 (Figure 1—figure supplement 1A) and found that LAST showed no effect on either the mRNA or protein levels of those two genes (Figure 2—figure supplement 1C). Furthermore, we introduced shRNA-resistant LAST into LAST depleted cells, and as shown in Figure 2—figure supplement 1D and E, both the CCND1 mRNA and protein levels were rescued. This result excludes off-target effects of LAST shRNA knockdown.

LAST cooperates with CNBP to regulate CCND1 mRNA stability

To investigate how LAST affects the CCND1 mRNA level, we first examined whether LAST regulates the CCND1 mRNA transcription process. The levels of both CCND1 pre-mRNA and mature mRNA were examined by primers against CCND1 mRNA intron- or exon-specific regions in HCT116 cells treated with and without LAST knockdown. The levels of CCND1 pre-mRNA containing four intronic regions were found to remain unaltered between control and LAST knockdown cells, whereas the levels of mature spliced CCND1 mRNA containing 5'UTR, CDS (coding sequences) and 3'UTR regions were greatly reduced upon LAST depletion (Figure 3-figure supplement 1A and B). These results suggest that LAST may post-transcriptionally regulate CCND1 mRNA. To evaluate the effect of LAST on the stability of CCND1 mRNA, HCT116 cells were treated with actinomycin D, which measures the decay of pre-existing mRNA. Knockdown of LAST resulted in a decrease of the halflife of CCND1 mRNA from 5 hr to 3 hr (Figure 3A), whereas over-expression of LAST increased its half-life from 5 hr to 9 hr (Figure 3B), indicating that LAST stabilizes CCND1 mRNA. To determine whether LAST interacts with CCND1 mRNA, we performed a biotinylated oligonucleotide pull-down assay, and as shown in Figure 3C, endogenous CCND1 mRNA but not CCNB1 mRNA co-precipitated with LAST, indicating an association between LAST and CCND1 mRNA. However, by careful inspection, we found there was no complementary base pairing between LAST and CCND1 mRNA. We therefore hypothesized that some protein(s) may mediate this binding. Proteins pulled down by LAST were separated by SDS PAGE, and a unique band with a molecular weight of approximately 20 kDa was revealed and identified as CNBP by mass spectrometry (Figure 3-figure supplement 1C, left panel). CNBP has a preference for binding single-stranded DNA and RNA (Flink and Morkin, 1995) and has been reported to function in the translation of ornithine decarboxylase mRNA (Sammons et al., 2010). To validate the MS Spectro result, we performed a LAST pull-down assay. A biotin-labeled antisense DNA probe against LAST pulled down CNBP, but not cyclin D1 (Figure 3-figure supplement 1C, right panel). To further demonstrate that CNBP can bridge CCND1 mRNA and LAST, we first pulled down CCND1 mRNA using a biotinylated antisense DNA probe as bait; both CNBP and LAST were coprecipitated (Figure 3D). The RIP assay further concluded that CNBP interacts with both CCND1 mRNA and LAST (Figure 3E). These data demonstrate that CNBP acts as a mediator for LAST and CCND1 mRNA binding. As shown in Figure 3F, CNBP knockdown in HCT116 led to a decrease in both the mRNA and protein levels of cyclin D1/CCND1. The effect of CNBP on the stability of CCND1 mRNA was evaluated in HCT116 cells treated with actinomycin D. The half-life of CCND1 mRNA was reduced from 5 hr to 3 hr as CNBP was depleted (Figure 3G), further demonstrating that CNBP prolongs the CCND1 mRNA half-life. Because CNBP predominantly resides in the cytosol, we investigated whether the association of CCND1 mRNA with LAST via CNBP only occurs in the cytosol. Using a LAST and CCND1 mRNA pull-down assay, we found that CNBP was co-precipitated by either LAST or CCND1 mRNA in the cytoplasm, but not the nucleus (Figure 3—figure supplement 1D). HNRNPK was used as a nuclear marker. Moreover, knockdown of CNBP was shown to result in decreased levels of CCND1 mRNA (Figure 3F). Further investigation revealed that knockdown of CNBP affected the level of mature CCND1 mRNA, but not unspliced CCND1 pre-mRNA, indicating that the protective role of CNBP in mature CCND1 mRNA stability occurred in the cytosol since nuclear unspliced CCND1 pre-mRNA was not affected when CNBP was silenced (Figure 3-figure supplement 1E). To further confirm that LAST affects CCND1 mRNA



Figure 3. *LAST* stabilizes *CCND1* mRNA via CNBP. (A) HCT116 cells expressing control shRNA, *LAST* shRNA-1 or -2 were treated with actinomycin D (1 µg/mL) for the indicated periods of time. Total RNA was purified and then analyzed by real-time RT-PCR to examine the mRNA half-life of *CCND1*. Data shown are the mean \pm SD (n = 3; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, two-tailed t-test). (B) HCT116 cells expressing control RNA or *LAST* were treated with actinomycin D (1 µg/mL) for the indicated periods of time. Total RNA was extracted and then analyzed by real-time RT-PCR to examine the mRNA *Figure 3 continued on next page*



Figure 3 continued

half-life of CCND1. Data shown are the mean ± SD (n = 3; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, two-tailed t-test). (C) HCT116 cell lysates were incubated with in vitro synthesized biotin-labeled sense or antisense DNA probes against LAST for the biotinylated oligonucleotide pull-down assay. The precipitates from the pull-down were analyzed by real-time RT-PCR to detect the interacting mRNAs. Data shown are the mean \pm SD (n = 3; **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, two-tailed t-test). (D) HCT116 cell lysates were incubated with in vitro synthesized biotin-labeled sense or antisense DNA probes against CCND1 mRNA for the biotin pull-down assay. The precipitates from the pull-down underwent real-time RT-PCR and western blot analyses to examine the levels of indicated RNAs and protein CNBP, respectively. Data shown are the mean ± SD (n = 3; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, two-tailed t-test). (E) HCT116 cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with an antibody against CNBP or normal mouse IgG_{2a} . Precipitated samples were analyzed by western blotting to ensure successful precipitation of CNBP and by real-time RT-PCR to detect the indicated coprecipitated RNAs. Data shown are the mean \pm SD (n = 3; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, two-tailed t-test). (F) HCT116 cells were infected with lentiviruses expressing control shRNA or CNBP shRNA. Ninety-six hours after infection, total RNA was subjected to real-time RT-PCR to compare the levels of CCND1 mRNA. Data shown are the mean \pm SD (n = 3; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, twotailed t-test). Cell lysates were also analyzed by western blotting with the indicated antibodies. (G) HCT116 cells expressing control shRNA or CNBP shRNA were treated with actinomycin D (1 µg/mL) for the indicated periods of time. Total RNA was then analyzed by real-time RT-PCR to examine the mRNA half-life of CCND1. Data shown are the mean ± SD (n = 3; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, two-tailed t-test). (H) HCT116 cells were infected with lentiviruses expressing control shRNA, LAST shRNA-1 or -2. Ninety-six hours after infection, total RNA was subjected to real-time RT-PCR to compare the levels of CNBP. Data shown are the mean ± SD (n = 3; **p<0.01, two-tailed t-test). Cell lysates were also analyzed by western blotting to examine actin and CNBP expression. (I) Cell lysates of HCT116 cells expressing control shRNA or CNBP shRNA were incubated separately with in vitro synthesized biotinlabeled sense or antisense DNA probes against CCND1 mRNA for the biotinylated oligonucleotide pull-down assay. The pull-down products were subjected to real-time RT-PCR analysis to examine the indicated RNA levels. Cell lysates from HCT116 treated with or without CNBP shRNA knockdown were subjected to western blotting to ensure knockdown of CNBP. Data shown are the mean \pm SD (n = 3; *p<0.05, ***p<0.001, two-tailed t-test). (J) Cell lysates of HCT116 cells expressing control shRNA or LAST shRNA-1 were incubated with in vitro synthesized biotin-labeled sense or antisense DNA probes against CCND1 mRNA for the biotin pull-down assay, followed by real-time RT-PCR analysis to examine the indicated RNA levels. Pull-down products were also subjected to western blotting with the indicated antibodies and real-time RT-PCR. Data shown are the mean \pm SD (n = 3; **p<0.01, two-tailed t-test).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.011

The following source data and figure supplements are available for figure 3:

Source data 1. Source data for *Figure 3A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I and J*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.014 Figure supplement 1. LAST stabilizes CCND1 mRNA via CNBP. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.012 Figure supplement 2. Uncropped images of blots. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.013

stability through CNBP, we knocked down CNBP in HCT116 cells. As shown in *Figure 3—figure supplement 1F*, the increased expression of *CCND1* mRNA caused by over-expression of *LAST* was diminished when CNBP was depleted (lanes 2 vs. 4). Thus, our hypothesis is that *LAST* affects *CCND1* mRNA via CNBP. Knockdown of *LAST* resulted in no change in CNBP at either the RNA or protein levels (*Figure 3H*), which suggests that *LAST* affects *CCND1* mRNA. It was therefore expected that knockdown of CNBP would reduce the association between *LAST* and *CCND1* mRNA. This was indeed the case. An RNA pull-down experiment was performed starting with the same amount of *CCND1* mRNA, and less *LAST* was co-precipitated as CNBP was depleted (*Figure 3I*). Similarly, when we pulled down the same amount of *CCND1* mRNA, less co-precipitated CNBP was detected as *LAST* was silenced. As a negative control, the RNA-binding protein HuR remained unchanged after *LAST* was knocked down (*Figure 3J*). These data suggest that *LAST* cooperates with CNBP to regulate *CCND1* mRNA stability.

Both LAST and CCND1 mRNA bind to CNBP through their G-rich motifs

To describe a detailed CNBP, LAST and CCND1 mRNA binding mechanism, we mapped the LAST and CNBP binding sites on CCND1 mRNA by RNA pull-down using different in vitro biotin-labeled fragments (**Figure 4A**, upper panel). We found that the 5'UTR but not 3'UTR-1,-2 and -3 of CCND1 mRNA was able to bind LAST and CNBP (**Figure 4A and B**), indicating that LAST and CNBP bind to the 5' region of CCND1 mRNA. To further determine whether LAST and CNBP bind to the CCND1 mRNA 5'UTR to enhance its stability, two CCND1 expression constructs were generated, as shown in **Figure 4—figure supplement 1A and B**. One construct contained the CCND1 coding region (CD) plus the 5'UTR and the other contained the CD alone. The expression plasmid plus LAST and





Figure 4. CNBP binds to LAST and CCND1 mRNA via their G-rich motifs. (A) Schematic illustration showing different parts, including the 5'UTR, CDS, 3'UTR-1, 3'UTR-2 and 3'UTR-3, in CCND1 mRNA (upper panel). HCT116 cell lysates were incubated with in vitro synthesized biotin-labeled CCND1 5'UTR as well as 3'UTR-1,-2, and -3 (upper panel), followed by RNA pull-down. Cell lysates incubated with no RNA were used as negative controls. Pull-down products were subjected to real-time RT-PCR. Data shown are the mean \pm SD (n = 3; **p<0.01, two-tailed t-test) (lower panel). (B) The pull-*Figure 4 continued on next page*



Figure 4 continued

down products from above were analyzed by western blotting with the indicated antibodies. (**C**) In-vitro synthetic biotin-labeled *CCND1* 5'UTR and unlabeled *LAST* plus Flag-CNBP were incubated for 3 hr at 4°C. The mixtures were first immunoprecipitated with M2 beads, followed by elution with 3 × FLAG peptides. Ten percent of the eluent was analyzed by western blotting or RT-PCR. The rest of the eluent was further immunoprecipitated with streptavidin beads. The immunoprecipitates were then washed. After elution, 10% of the eluent was analyzed by western blotting. Ninety percent of the eluent was used for real-time RT-PCR analysis. (**D**) A schematic illustration of two G-rich regions in the *CCND1* 5'UTR. Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was performed to detect the CNBP binding activity to its targeted G-rich region 1 and 2. (**E**) A schematic illustration of six G-rich regions in *LAST*. An electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was performed to detect the CNBP binding activity to its targeted G-rich motif A, B, C, D, E and F. (**F**) HCT116 cells were infected with lentiviruses expressing either control RNA; wild-type *LAST*; *LAST* individually mutated at G-rich-A, G-rich-C, G-rich-D and G-rich-F sites; or LAST mutated at the four G-rich-A, C, D and F sites combined. Ninety-six hours after infection, total RNA was analyzed by real-time RT-PCR to detect the successful expressing exogenous control RNA; wild-type *LAST*; *LAST* with a single mutation at G-rich-A, G-rich-C, G-rich-D or G-rich-D; and *LAST* with four mutations combined were analyzed by real-time RT-PCR to detect the mRNA level of *CCND1*. Data shown are the mean \pm SD (n = 3; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, two-tailed t-test).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.015

The following source data and figure supplements are available for figure 4:

Source data 1. Source data for *Figure 4A, F and G*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.019 Figure supplement 1. CNBP binds to CCND1 mRNA 5'UTR. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.016 Figure supplement 2. Both LAST and CCND1 mRNA bind to CNBP. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.017 Figure supplement 3. Uncropped images of blots. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.018

> CNBP or plasmid alone was individually transfected into 293T cells. Actinomycin D was added to measure the mRNA decay rate. The half-life of ectopically expressed CCND1 mRNA lacking the 5'UTR was not altered by the presence or absence of LAST and CNBP (Figure 4-figure supplement 1A). By contrast, the half-life of CCND1 mRNA bearing 5'-UTRs was extended from 4 hr in the absence of LAST and CNBP to 9 hr in the presence of LAST and CNBP, indicating that LAST and CNBP enhanced CCND1 mRNA stability via its 5'UTR (Figure 4-figure supplement 1B). In addition, we performed CNBP RIP sequencing, and an enrichment peak at the CCND1 5'UTR was observed (Figure 4-figure supplement 1C). This was consistent with the previous conclusion from Figure 4B. Thus, our hypothesis is that CNBP binds both CCND1 mRNA and LAST. We again examined whether LAST, the 5'-UTR of CCND1 mRNA and CNBP form a ternary complex by using a sequential immuno-precipitation assay (Figure 4-figure supplement 2A). By using an anti-FLAG antibody against FLAG-CNBP, both the biotin-labeled 5'-UTR of CCND1 mRNA and LAST were pulled down in an initial immunoprecipitation assay (Figure 4C, panel 1 and 3). The immunocomplexes were eluted and were subsequently precipitated by streptavidin sepharose beads against the biotin-labeled 5'-UTR of CCND1 mRNA. LAST and CNBP were present in the streptavidin-biotin precipitates (Figure 4C, panel 5 and 6), indicating that these three components indeed form a ternary complex.

> CNBP prefers to bind G-rich motifs, especially the GGAG core (Armas et al., 2008; Benhalevy et al., 2017). We checked the proportion of G-rich motifs in all of the peak sequences from the CNBP RIP samples. Nearly sixty percent of the CNBP enriched sequences contained the GGAG motif, and more than ninety percent of the peak sequences contained a GGR motif (Figure 4—figure supplement 2B). To assess the possible CNBP binding sites on LAST and CCND1 mRNA, the bioinformatics software tool QGRS Mapper was utilized (Kikin et al., 2006). Two G-rich sequences containing a GGAG core in the 5'UTR of CCND1 mRNA were identified (Figure 4D, upper part). An electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was performed, and the results showed that G-rich-1 and G-rich-2 in the CCND1 5'UTR were responsible for the binding of CNBP (Figure 4D, lower part). Among the six predicted G-rich sequences (G-rich-A to F) found in LAST (Figure 4E, upper part), four G-rich sequences (G-rich-A, C, D and F) were found to contain a GGAG core. G-rich-A, C, D and F from LAST were able to bind CNBP, whereas neither G-rich-B nor G-rich-E was able to bind CNBP (Figure 4E, lower part). Thus, CNBP only interacted with G-rich sequences that contained the GGAG core, but not those lacking the GGAG core. These data

suggest that both *CCND1* and *LAST* interact with CNBP via their G-rich motifs containing the GGAG core. Four G-rich regions (A, C, D and F) were mutated from GGAG to UUUU with either a single mutation or four combined mutations in *LAST*. We found that over-expression of *LAST* containing only one site mutation led to an increase in the *CCND1* mRNA level, whereas over-expression of *LAST* containing four G-rich site mutations nullified its effect on the *CCND1* mRNA level (*Figure 4F and G*). This result indicates that the effect of *LAST* on *CCND1* stability requires at least one of the four functional G-rich motifs. To define which domain of CNBP is responsible for binding *LAST* and the *CCND1* 5'UTR, a biotin-labeled RNA pull-down assay and deletion mapping were performed. According to the web site InterPro (*Hunter et al., 2009*), CNBP can be divided into four structural domains based on its zinc-finger arrangement (*Figure 4—figure supplement 2C*). As shown in *Figure 4—figure supplement 2C*, we concluded that *LAST* binds to the CNBP fragment corresponding to amino acids 92–134 (domain 2 + domain 3) (*Figure 4—figure supplement 2C*). Determination of the exact mechanism of these associations requires further investigation.

In addition to CCND1, LAST regulates the stability of other mRNAs

To globally identify transcripts that simultaneously meet the following requirements: (i) transcripts are downregulated by LAST knockdown and (ii) transcripts are able to bind to CNBP, we assembled two unbiased transcriptome profiles using LAST knockdown mRNA-seq and CNBP RIP-seq in HCT116 cells. The intersection of these two arrays is shown in *Figure 5A*, and 225 overlapping genes were found (*Supplementary file 2*). We further narrowed this list down to 75 genes (*Supplementary file 2*, bold part) based on the criteria that CNBP-enriched genes must be 4-fold above the input control level. Three mRNAs, namely, *SOX9*, *NFE2L1* and *PDF*, were also likely to be regulated by LAST, as knockdown of LAST led to a decrease in their levels (*Figure 5B*). Experimental verification showed that knockdown of LAST decreased (*Figure 5C*) whereas over-expression of LAST increased their half-lives (*Figure 5D*). In addition, CNBP deletion led to a decrease in the mRNA levels of *SOX9*, *NFE2L1* and *PDF* (*Figure 5E and F*). These data suggest that LAST, together with CNBP, can regulate the stabilization of additional mRNAs, such as *SOX9*, *NFE2L1* and *PDF*.

LAST promotes tumorigenesis

To further determine whether LAST regulates tumorigenesis, we used a xenograft mouse model. HCT116 cells stably expressing exogenous LAST or LAST shRNA-1 were injected subcutaneously into the dorsal flanks of nude mice (left (control) and right (treated), n = 7 for each group). According to animal care and enforcement, mice were sacrificed when the largest subcutaneous tumor mass on one flank was close to one cubic centimeter. Tumors expressing control shRNA or LAST shRNA-1 were excised after 6 weeks, and tumors expressing control RNA or LAST were excised after 3 weeks. Mice were sacrificed and tumors were excised. Knockdown of LAST decreased the tumorigenicity of HCT116 cells (Figure 6A and B). By contrast, induction of LAST promoted HCT116 cell tumorigenicity (Figure 6C and D). Furthermore, based on the TCGA dataset (Weinstein et al., 2013), we found that the LAST expression levels were higher in tumor tissues than normal tissues, including the human bladder, breast, colorectal, esophagus, head and neck, kidney, liver, lung, prostate and stomach. In addition, the CCND1 expression levels were higher in tumor tissues than in normal tissues, including the human bladder, breast, cervix, bile duct, colorectal, esophagus, head and neck, kidney, pancreas, stomach and uterus. In conclusion, both the LAST and CCND1 expression levels were higher in most tumor tissues than in their normal counterparts (Figure 6E and F, Figure 6-figure supplements 1 and 2). The above results suggest that LAST promotes tumorigenesis.

To assess the impact of *LAST* deficiency on gene expression in HTC116, we performed unbiased transcriptome profiling using RNA-seq in HCT116 cells. The absence of *LAST* downregulated expression of 667 genes (log2 (fold change) below - 0.58) (*Supplementary file 3*). We then performed pathway analysis in those genes and found the top 10 significant pathways that were significantly associated with 667 differentially expressed genes. Among these 10 pathways, the majority were associated with tumorigenesis (*Figure 6G*).

eLIFE Research article







Figure 5 continued

half-life of SOX9, NFE2L1 and PDF. Data shown are the mean \pm SD (n = 6; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, two-tailed t-test). (D) HCT116 cells expressing control RNA or LAST were treated with actinomycin D (1 µg/mL) for the indicated periods of time. Total RNAs were extracted and then analyzed by real-time RT-PCR to examine the mRNA half-life of SOX9, NFE2L1 and PDF. (E) HCT116 cells were infected with lentiviruses expressing control shRNA or CNBP shRNA. Ninety-six hours later, cell lysates were subjected to western blotting to detect the CNBP knockdown efficiency. (F) HCT116 cells were infected with lentiviruses expressing control shRNA or CNBP shRNA. Ninety-six hours after injection, total RNA was analyzed by realtime RT-PCR with the indicated primers. Data shown are the mean \pm SD (n = 3; ***p<0.001, two-tailed t-test).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.020

The following source data and figure supplement are available for figure 5:

Source data 1. Source data for Figure 5C, D and F.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.022

Figure supplement 1. Uncropped images of blots.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.021

Discussion

Cyclin D1 is a critical regulator of CDK kinase, which regulates cell cycle progression at the G1 to S-phase transition. Pre- or mature *CCND1* mRNA is regulated at different hierarchical levels bymultiple protein factors. Multiple classical transcriptional factors, such as c-Myc, E2F1, OCT1,RELA and c-Jun, have been reported to modulate *CCND1* at the transcriptional level (*Guo et al., 2011*). Epigenetic and post-transcriptional mechanisms are also involved in the regulation of *cyclin D1/CCND1* (16, 29, 30). Moreover, Pitx2 and HuR, which belong to the same ribonucleoprotein complex, also control the decay rate of *CCND1* mRNA (*Gherzi et al., 2010*). However, whether lncRNA(s) is (are) involved in the regulation of *CCND1* mRNA stability remains largely unaddressed. Very recently, NcRNA_{CCND1} was reported to negatively regulate *CCND1* transcription by recruiting TLS to the *CCND1* mRNA regulation. c-Myc induced-*LAST* cooperates with CNBP, by which *LAST* is guided to the 5' untranslated region of *CCND1* messenger RNA and thus stabilizes *CCND1* mRNA (*Figure 6H*). The detailed mechanism underlying this 5'end protection requires further characterization.

Normal growth control depends on the architecture of precise cell cycle control, and disturbing any component of this network could result in neoplastic growth and tumorigenesis. The G1/S transition is a major checkpoint in cell cycle progression, as it is a 'point of no return' beyond which cells are committed to dividing. Cyclin D1, along with its catalytically active partner CDK4, is a positive cell cycle regulator that advances the cell cycle from G1 to S phase (*McKay et al., 2002*). Instead of protein factors, in this study, we found a novel long noncoding RNA, *LAST*, that ensures normal cell cycle progression. Lacking this lncRNA causes cell cycle arrest at the G1/S stage due to decreased cyclin D1 and attenuates tumor growth. Both the *LAST* and *CCND1* expression levels are higher in most tumor tissues than in their corresponding normal tissues (*Figure 6—figure supplement 1A*). Conceivably, *LAST* could be a potential target for new cancer therapeutics. However, a correlation between the expression levels of *CCND1* and *LAST* in the 15 tumor types examined was not found (*Supplementary file 4*). In addition, there was no difference in survival when tumors were divided into those expressing high versus low *CCND1* or *LAST*. These results imply that the regulation of *CCND1* is more complicated than we had anticipated, and new functions of *LAST* need to be characterized.

CNBP encodes a nucleic-acid binding protein that has seven zinc-finger domains and a preference for binding single-stranded DNA and RNA (*Flink and Morkin, 1995*). Previous studies have shown that CNBP acts on cap-independent translation of ornithine decarboxylase mRNA (*Sammons et al., 2010*) and also functions in sterol-mediated transcriptional regulation as well as c-Myc transcription (*Rajavashisth et al., 1989; Murphy et al., 2009*). In this study, we found that CNBP possesses a new function. CNBP is able to guide lncRNA to bind to the 5'UTR of *CCND1* mRNA, acting as a mediator between *LAST* and *CCND1* mRNA.

IncRNAs are able to regulate their genomic neighborhoods in cis (*Quinn and Chang, 2016*). Examples of cis-acting IncRNAs include enhancer RNAs (eRNAs) (*De Santa et al., 2010*), imprinted IncRNAs (*Mancini-Dinardo et al., 2006*; *Sleutels et al., 2002*) and dosage compensation IncRNAs



Figure 6. *LAST* promotes tumorigenesis. (A) A total of 3×10^6 HCT116 cells expressing either control shRNA or *LAST* shRNA-1 were individually injected subcutaneously into the flanks of nude mice (n = 7 for each group) as indicated. Representative photographs of xenograft tumors in situ were taken 6 weeks after injection. (B) Tumors of the above nude mice (*Figure 6A*) were also selected to be weighed. Data shown are the mean ± SD (n = 7; ***p<0.001, two-tailed t-test). (C) A total of 3×10^6 HCT116 cells expressing either control RNA or *LAST* were individually injected subcutaneously into *Figure 6 continued on next page*



Figure 6 continued

the flanks of nude mice (n = 7 for each group) as indicated. Representative photographs of xenograft tumors in situ were taken 3 weeks after injection. (D) Tumors of the above nude mice (*Figure 6C*) were selected and weighed. Data shown are the mean \pm SD (n = 7; ***p<0.001, two-tailed t-test). (E) Data for the *LAST* and *CCND1* expression levels in COAD (colon adenocarcinoma) tumor and normal tissues were downloaded from the TCGA dataset. Box plots showing differential expression of *LAST* and *CCND1* between normal (n = 41) and tumor (n = 454) samples. Statistical analysis was performed using the two-tailed t-test (***p<0.001). (F) Data for *LAST* and *CCND1* expression levels in READ (rectum adenocarcinoma) tumor and normal tissues were downloaded from the TCGA dataset. Box plots showing differential expression between normal (n = 10) and tumor (n = 165) samples. Statistical analysis was performed using the two-tailed t-test (***p<0.001). (G) Pathway analysis of differentially downregulated genes (log2 (fold change) below - 0.58 in RNA-seq) in HCT116 with and without *LAST* knockdown. The top 10 significant pathways with enrichment scores are shown. (H) A schematic illustration of the proposed model depicting the role of c-Myc-induced *LAST* in regulating *CCND1* mRNA stability via CNBP. (I) Gene homology analysis of *LAST* in human, chimp, gorilla, rhesus, mouse, rabbit, dog, chicken and zebrafish. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.023

The following source data and figure supplements are available for figure 6:

Source data 1. Source data for Figure 6B, D, E and F.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.026

Figure supplement 1. The expression levels of LAST and CCND1 are both higher in most tumor tissues than in their normal counterparts. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.024

Figure supplement 2. The expression levels of LAST and CCND1 are both higher in most tumor tissues than in their normal counterparts. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.025

(Lee, 2012; Conrad and Akhtar, 2012). Homo sapiens cyclin D1/CCND1 and LAST are both located on chromosome 11, and the two genes are 1.8 Mb apart and in the same transcriptional direction (+strand). It is interesting to note that although CCND1 and LAST are both subjected to positive transcriptional regulation by c-Myc, they do not share the same promoter. Rather, CCND1 and LAST are transcribed separately by c-Myc via their respective promoters (Figures 1K and 6H). The relatively long distance between the CCND1 and LAST genes may preclude their direct interaction. Moreover, LAST was shown to borrow a trans-acting factor, CNBP, as a mediator to connect the 5'UTR of CCND1 mRNA and itself, thus affecting CCND1/cyclin D1 expression in trans. Without CNBP, LAST shows no effect on CCND1 (Figure 3—figure supplement 1F), further supporting the concept that LAST does not regulate CCND1 in cis. Therefore, co-location of CCND1 and LAST on the same chromosome appears to be a random event.

In summary, our findings from this investigation have uncovered a novel, c-Myc-induced, long non-coding RNA, LAST. The LAST gene is encoded physically on the same chromosome as CCND1. Normally, LAST interacts with CNBP, a RNA binding protein, by which it is guided towards the 5'UTR of CCND1 mRNA, leading to the stabilization of CCND1 mRNA, which in turn ensures orderly cell cycle progression. In the case of LAST dysregulation, CCND1 mRNA becomes unstable, resulting in decreased cyclin D1, inevitably causing cell cycle arrest and stoppage of cell division (*Figure 6H*). This is a novel mechanism for CCND1 mRNA regulation. Based on the importance of cyclin D1 in proliferative control and its ability to promote oncogenic transformation, this finding provides new insight into the complexity of the regulatory network underlying the mechanistic regulation of cyclin D1/CCND1. Moreover, this LAST/CNBP regulatory mode can be applied to other genes; three different mRNAs, SOX9, NFE2L1 and PDF, were identified with half-lives that were prolonged by LAST/CNBP. The lack of similarity between human LAST and transcripts of Mus musculus also precludes using mouse c-Myc-driven tumor models to further clarify the significance of the LAST in c-Myc-mediated cell cycle regulation and tumor growth in vivo (*Figure 6I*).

Materials and methods

Antibodies and reagents

The following antibodies were used for western blot analysis in this study: anti-c-Myc (Cell Signaling Technology); anti-GAPDH and anti- β -Actin (CMC-TAG); normal rabbit IgG, normal mouse IgG_{2a}, anti-HUR, anti-cyclin D1, anti-CNBP and anti-NADSYN1 (Santa Cruz); anti-FLAG (Sigma-Aldrich); anti-cyclin E1, anti-CDK2, and anti-CDK4 (ImmunoWay Biotechnology Company); anti-HNRNPK (ABclonal); anti-DHCR7 (ABCAM). Anti-c-Myc used for ChIP assay was from Santa Cruz. Thymidine, Nocodazole, Mimosine, EGF, hydrocortisone, Cholera Toxin, insulin and Doxycycline was from

Sigma-Aldrich. Actinomycin D was from Solarbio. Strepavidin beads for RNA pull-down assay was from Invitrogen.

Cell culture

H1299, HCT116, IMR90, 293T and HAFF cell lines were cultured in DMEM (Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium) medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum. P493-6 and MCF7 cell lines were cultured in RPMI medium 1640 containing 10% fetal bovine serum. MCF10A cell line were cultured in DMEM/F12 medium containing 5% horse serum, 20 μ g/mL EGF, 0.5 μ g/mL hydrocortisone, 100 ng/mL Cholera Toxin and 10 μ g/mL insulin. P493-6 cells carrying a c-Myc tet-off system were provided by professor Ping Gao. All other cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). All cells were tested by STR profiling (GenePrint 10 System kit from Promega and AuthentiFiler PCR Amplification Kit from ThermoFisher) to authenticate the identity. All cells were tested for mycoplasma contamination by Cell Culture Contamination Detection Kit (ThermoFisher).

Western blotting, northern blotting and real-time RT-PCR

Western blotting, Northern blotting and real-time RT-PCR were performed as described previously (*Zhang et al., 2016*).

Colony-formation assay

HCT116 cells (1 \times 10³) expressing control shRNA, lncRNA-52 shRNA-1,–2, lncRNA-51 shRNA-1 or –2 were cultured in a six-well plate. Ten days later, cells were fixed, stained with crystal violet and photographed.

Quantitation for the expression levels of LAST

The exact copy numbers of *LAST* transcripts per HAFF, IMR90, MCF10A, HCT116, MCF7 or H1299 cell were quantified by using quantitative real-time RT-PCR assay. In this assay, serially diluted RT-PCR products of *LAST* were used as templates to formulate standard curves, and the exact copies of *LAST* per cell were calculated accordingly.

ChIP assay

HCT116 cells were crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min. The ChIP assay was performed by using anti-c-Myc antibody and the Pierce Agarose ChIP kit (ThermoScientific, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Anti-Rabbit immunoglobulin G was used as a negative control. The bound DNA fragments were subjected to real-time PCR using the specific primers (**Table 1**).

Luciferase reporter assay

To determine the effect of c-Myc on *LAST* promoter, either p3xflag-Myc-CMV-24 or p3xflag-Myc-CMV-24-c-Myc was co-transfected into HCT116 cells together with individual pGL3, pGL3-BS2, pGL3-BS2M, pGL3-BS3 or pGL3-BS3M construct plus Renilla luciferase reporter plasmid. Twenty-four hours after transfection, firefly and Renilla luciferase activity were measured by a Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The data are represented as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments.

Cell cycle analysis

HCT116 cells were infected with lentiviruses and screened by puromycin, followed by plating into 6 mm dishes. During the proliferative exponential phase (50% confluency), cells were fixed in 70% ethanol overnight. Cells were then stained with propidium iodide and analyzed by flow cytometry.

RNA in situ hybridization

Name	Application	Sequence
qrt-IncRNA-5639-F	qRT-PCR	GACCTTGGGCTAGTTATTTTGTG
qrt-IncRNA-5639-R	qRT-PCR	TCCTCTCCTCTGTCTG
qrt-IncRNA-51-F	qRT-PCR	ACCACAGATCCAGTAGCCTAG
qrt-IncRNA-51-R	qRT-PCR	CCTAACCACACTCCAAGACAC
qrt-IncRNA-5630-F	qRT-PCR	СТССААСАТСАССААААССАС
qrt-IncRNA-5630-R	qRT-PCR	TCTTGGCATGTGGTATCTGTC
qrt-IncRNA-5690-F	qRT-PCR	TCGACATGAAACTTGGGTGG
qrt-IncRNA-5690-R	qRT-PCR	GGCCAAATTCACTTGATGCTC
qrt-LAST-F	qRT-PCR	GGATCCTCCATAAACGATCAG
qrt-LAST-R	qRT-PCR	AGCTGGTCGGTGGTCTCTTA
qrt-CNBP-F	qRT-PCR	CCTCGGATAGAGGTTTCCAG
qrt-CNBP -R	qRT-PCR	ACCGCAGTTATAGCAGGCTT
qrt-CDK4-F	qRT-PCR	CTGGTGTTTGAGCATGTAGACC
qrt-CDK4-R	qRT-PCR	AAACTGGCGCATCAGATCCTT
qrt-CDK2-F	RT-PCR	GCTAGCAGACTTTGGACTAGCCAG
qrt-CDK2-R	qRT-PCR	AGCTCGGTACCACAGGGTCA
qrt-CCNB1-F	qRT-PCR	AAGAGCTTTAAACTTTGGTCTGGG
qrt-CCNB1-R	qRT-PCR	CTTTGTAAGTCCTTGATTTACCATG
qrt-CCNE1-F	qRT-PCR	ATCAGCACTTTCTTGAGCAACA
qrt-CCNE1-R	qRT-PCR	TTGTGCCAAGTAAAAGGTCTCC
qrt-CCND1-CDS-F	qRT-PCR	ACGAAGGTCTGCGCGTGTT
qrt-CCND1-CDS-R	qRT-PCR	CCGCTGGCCATGAACTACCT
qrt-CCND1-5'UTR-F	qRT-PCR	CTGGAGCCTCCAGAGGGCTGT
qrt-CCND1-5'UTR-R	qRT-PCR	GCGCTCCCTCGCGCTCTTC
qrt-CCND1-3'UTR-1-F	qRT-PCR	GGAAAGCTTCATTCTCCTTGTTG
qrt-CCND1-3'UTR-1-R	qRT-PCR	TTCTTTTGCTTAAGTCAGAGATGGAA
qrt-CCND1-3'UTR-2-F	RT-PCR	CATTGATTCAGCCTGTTTGG
qrt-CCND1-3'UTR-2-R	qRT-PCR	GAATTCATCGGAACCGAACT
qrt-CCND1-3'UTR-3-F	RT-PCR	TCTCAATGAAGCCAGCTCACA
qrt-CCND1-3'UTR-3-R	RT-PCR	TTTTGGTTCGGCAGCTTG
qrt-CCND1-intron-1-F	qRT-PCR	CTTTGTTCAAGCAGCGAGTC
qrt-CCND1-intron-1-R	qRT-PCR	AAGGTCCTCCAAGCCGATA
qrt-CCND1-intron-2-F	qRT-PCR	CCCAGCTCCCTTGAGTCC
qrt-CCND1-intron-2-R	qRT-PCR	CGGTCCTGGATGTTGGAG
qrt-CCND1-intron-3-F	qRT-PCR	TTTGTCATCGGCCAGAAATA
qrt-CCND1-intron-3-R	qRT-PCR	GACCTTCAGAGCACAGACCA
qrt-CCND1-intron-4-F	qRT-PCR	ATGTGCGTGGCCAATAAATA
qrt-CCND1-intron-4-R	qRT-PCR	ATCCCAGGGTTTAACAGCAG
qrt-c-Myc-F	qRT-PCR	AGCGACTCTGAGGAGGAAC
qrt-c-Myc-R	qRT-PCR	TGTGAGGAGGTTTGCTGTG
qrt-PDF-F	qRT-PCR	GCTGCGGCGCTCCTATT
qrt-PDF-R	qRT-PCR	TTGGCACACGTGCGAGAAC
qrt-NFE2L1-F	qRT-PCR	TGGCTATGGTATCCACCCCA
qrt-NFE2L1-R	qRT-PCR	ACCAGCCAGGCATTTACCTC

Table 1 continued on next page

Table 1 continued

Name	Application	Sequence
qrt-SOX9-F	qRT-PCR	GCGAGCCCGATCTGAAGAAG
qrt-SOX9-R	qRT-PCR	GTTCTTGCTGGAGCCGTTGA
qrt-DHCR7-F	qRT-PCR	ATCTGCCATGACCACTTCGG
qrt-DHCR7-R	qRT-PCR	CAGACCCTGCAGCGTGTAAA
qrt-NADSYN1-F	qRT-PCR	GCCGTGAGGAGTGGAAATGA
qrt-NADSYN1-R	qRT-PCR	GTGGTCAGTATGCGTCCACA
qrt-TOMM6-F	qRT-PCR	TGCTGGCTCGGCTAATGAAA
qrt-TOMM6-R	qRT-PCR	TCCTATCAGTGGCAAAGCGG
qrt-CEBPG-F	qRT-PCR	GAGCATGCACAACCTTGC
qrt-CEBPG-R	qRT-PCR	CATTGTCGCCATCTGCTGTC
qrt-PRNP-F	qRT-PCR	GGAGAACTTCACCGAGACCG
qrt-PRNP-R	qRT-PCR	AGGACCATGCTCGATCCTCT
qrt-CHMP1B-F	qRT-PCR	GTTCAACCTGAAGTTCGCGG
qrt-CHMP1B-R	qRT-PCR	GGCATTTTCGGCGTGTATCC
qrt-MSX1-F	qRT-PCR	CCACTCGGTGTCAAAGTGGA
qrt-MSX1-R	qRT-PCR	GAAGGGGACACTTTGGGCTT
qrt-THAP11-F	qRT-PCR	AACCTGGTATCTGCTTCCGC
qrt-THAP11-R	qRT-PCR	TGAGATCGATGGGCTTCACG
qrt-C16orf91-F	qRT-PCR	ATGGGAAAGGGACATCAGCG
qrt-C16orf91-R	qRT-PCR	СТССССАСАССТГСТСААС
qrt-VMA21-F	qRT-PCR	CATCTGCACAGCACCTTACAGTTTGC
qrt-VMA21-R	qRT-PCR	GAAATGCAGCACATCCAAATCCTCCC
qrt-PLEC-F	qRT-PCR	CCGGGCAGTCTCTGAAGATG
qrt-PLEC-R	qRT-PCR	GCGTTTTCCCAAGGTTCCAG
qrt-DLG5-F	qRT-PCR	GATGACCCGGGAGAGAAACG
qrt-DLG5-R	qRT-PCR	GGATTCAGCCTGTGGTAGGG
qrt-EPPK1-F	qRT-PCR	GTGTGTGATGAGTGGCCACACC
qrt-EPPK1-R	qRT-PCR	CTCTGGGTACACTGGCCTGCTCT
qrt-HIST2H4A-F	qRT-PCR	GGCGGAAAAGGCTTAGGCAA
qrt-HIST2H4A-R	qRT-PCR	CCAGAGATCCGCTTAACGCC
qrt-MYH9-F	qRT-PCR	ATCTCGTGCTATCCGCCAAG
qrt-MYH9-R	qRT-PCR	GTTGTACGGCTCCAACAGGA
qrt-PPL-F	qRT-PCR	AGGCAAATACAGCCCCACTG
qrt-PPL-R	qRT-PCR	AGGTCACTCTGCATCTTGGC
qrt-PRKDC-F	qRT-PCR	GGACCTATAGCGTTGTGCCC
qrt-PRKDC-R	qRT-PCR	GATCACTCAGGTAAGCCGCC
qrt-GDF15-F	qRT-PCR	TCCAGATTCCGAGAGTTGCG
qrt-GDF15-R	qRT-PCR	CGAGGTCGGTGTTCGAATCT
qrt-Actin-F	qRT-PCR	GACCTGACTGACTACCTCATGAAGAT
qrt-Actin-R	qRT-PCR	GTCACACTTCATGATGGAGTTGAAGG
qrt-U6-F	qRT-PCR	GCTTCGGCAGCACATATACTAAAAT
qrt-U6-R	qRT-PCR	CGCTTCACGAATTTGCGTGTCAT
qrt-U1-F	qRT-PCR	GGCGAGGCTTATCCATTG
qrt-U1-R	qRT-PCR	CCCACTACCACAAATTATGC

Table 1 continued on next page

Table 1 continued

Name	Application	Sequence
sh-LAST-F-1	plasmid construction	ccggAAGAGGATCCTCCATAAACGActcgagTCGTTTATGGAGGATCCTCTTtttttg
sh-LAST-R-1	plasmid construction	aattcaaaaaAAGAGGATCCTCCATAAACGActcgagTCGTTTATGGAGGATCCTCTT
sh-LAST-F-2	plasmid construction	ccggTCAGCCATAGCAGCTGTGATTctcgagAATCACAGCTGCTATGGCTGAtttttg
sh-LAST-R-2	plasmid construction	aattcaaaaaTCAGCCATAGCAGCTGTGATTctcgagAATCACAGCTGCTATGGCTGA
sh-IncRNA-51-F-1	plasmid construction	ccggAAGCAGATGGAGGGAAGTTggatcc AACTTCCCTCCATCTGCTTtttttg
sh-IncRNA-51-R-1	plasmid construction	aattcaaaaaAAGCAGATGGAGGGAAGTTggatccAACTTCCCTCCATCTGCTT
sh-IncRNA-51-F-2	plasmid construction	ccggGGAAGCAGAGTAAGCAAGTGAGGATCCTCACTTGCTTACTCTGCTTCCtttttg
sh-IncRNA-51-R-2	plasmid construction	aattcaaaaaGGAAGCAGAGTAAGCAAGTGAGGATCCTCACTTGCTTACTCTGCTTCC
LAST-DNA-1-sense	IncRNA pull down	(biotin-)TAAACGATCAGCCATAGCA
LAST-DNA-1-antisense	IncRNA pull down	(biotin-)TGCTATGGCTGATCGTTTA
LAST-DNA-2-sense	IncRNA pull down	(biotin-)TCATCGTGCCTCAGTTTCC
LAST-DNA-2-antisense	IncRNA pull down	(biotin-)GGAAACTGAGGCACGATGA
LAST-DNA-3-sense	IncRNA pull down	(biotin-)ACAGACACAGTTCTTGGTC
LAST-DNA-3-antisense	IncRNA pull down	(biotin-)GACCAAGAACTGTGTCTGT
LAST-DNA-4-sense	IncRNA pull down	(biotin-)ATGGGTCATATATTACATG
LAST-DNA-4-antisense	IncRNA pull down	(biotin-)CATGTAATATATGACCCAT
LAST-DNA-5-sense	IncRNA pull down	(biotin-)GTTGAATATGTATGTTTAG
LAST-DNA-5-antisense	IncRNA pull down	(biotin-)CTAAACATACATATTCAAC
LAST-DNA-6-sense	IncRNA pull down	(biotin-)CCAGCCTCAGACAGATGGC
LAST-DNA-6-antisense	IncRNA pull down	(biotin-)GCCATCTGTCTGAGGCTGG
CCND1-DNA-1-sense	mRNA pull down	(biotin-)GCGCAGTAGCAGCAGCAGCA
CCND1-DNA-1-antisense	mRNA pull down	(biotin-)TGCTGCTCGCTGCTACTGCGC
CCND1-DNA-2-sense	mRNA pull down	(biotin-)CCGCTGGCCATGAACTACCTG
CCND1-DNA-2-antisense	mRNA pull down	(biotin-)CAGGTAGTTCATGGCCAGCGG
CCND1-DNA-3-sense	mRNA pull down	(biotin-)AACACGCGCAGACCTTCGTTG
CCND1-DNA-3-antisense	mRNA pull down	(biotin-)CAACGAAGGTCTGCGCGTGTT
CCND1-DNA-4-sense	mRNA pull down	(biotin-)CGTAGGTAGATGTGTAACCTCT
CCND1-DNA-4-antisense	mRNA pull down	(biotin-)AGAGGTTACACATCTACCTACG
CCND1-DNA-5-sense	mRNA pull down	(biotin-)AGAGTCATCTGATTGGACAGGC
CCND1-DNA-5-antisense	mRNA pull down	(biotin-GCCTGTCCAATCAGATGACTCT
CCND1-DNA-6-sense	mRNA pull down	(biotin-)AATGAAGCCAGCTCACAGTGCT
CCND1-DNA-6-antisense	mRNA pull down	(biotin-)AGCACTGTGAGCTGGCTTCATT
ChIP-LAST-a-F	qRT-PCR for ChIP	TTCCTGACAGCAGATTCCAG
ChIP-LAST-a-R	qRT-PCR for ChIP	TCTGCCATGTTTGGAGAATG
ChIP-LAST-b-F	qRT-PCR for ChIP	ACCTGCTCACCTGGGCAAGC
ChIP-LAST-b-R	qRT-PCR for ChIP	GGCAATCGCTGACATCATCCGGG
ChIP-LAST-c-F	qRT-PCR for ChIP	GGGATCCCAGCTGACCAGCTG
ChIP-LAST-c-R	qRT-PCR for ChIP	GAGGCACGATGATCCAGGTGATGAG
ChIP-LAST-d-F	qRT-PCR for ChIP	CTGAGCCACAGTGCGAGCCG
ChIP-LAST-d-R	qRT-PCR for ChIP	GACAGTAAGGCCTGTTACCCGAGC
ChIP-LAST-e-F	qRT-PCR for ChIP	AAGTCAAACAGCACGAACCC
ChIP-LAST-e-R	qRT-PCR for ChIP	CGGATGGGCATTGACGTTAT
ChIP-LAST-f-F	qRT-PCR for ChIP	TCAAGTGCAGTTCCTGTAGTTTC
ChIP-LAST-f-R	qRT-PCR for ChIP	GATGGCGCTGAATTCTTGGGAACC

Table 1 continued on next page

Table 1 continued

Name	Application	Sequence	
ChIP-LAST-g-F	qRT-PCR for ChIP	ТСССТТСТТСТСССТТСААА	
ChIP-LAST-g-R	qRT-PCR for ChIP	CCTAAAGACCAACGGGAAAC	
ChIP-LAST-h-F	qRT-PCR for ChIP	TCTAGGGTTCTGGGCTGTCT	
ChIP-LAST-h-R	qRT-PCR for ChIP	GTCAGGCTCACGAGACGAT	

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.027

UUUAUGGAGGAUCCUCUUCGCCCCGGGACGUGAGCCCUAGGACCAAGAACUGUGUCUG UUUUGCUCCUUGCGGUGCACCGGCGCCUGGACAUACGCUCCAUCAAUGUGCGUCGC-GAGCCGCUGAAGCCCCAUUUGCCGAGGGGGAAACUGAGGCACGAUG. The nuclei were counterstained with Pl.

Cytosolic/nuclear fractionation

HCT116 cells (1×10^7) were incubated with hypotonic buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, PH 7.4, 1 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM KCl) on ice for 5 min. An equal volume of hypotonic buffer containing 1% NP-40 was then added, and each sample was left on ice for another 5 min. After centrifugation at 5000 g for 5 min, the supernatant was collected as the cytosolic fraction. The pellets were re-suspended in nucleus resuspension buffer (20 mM HEPES, PH 7.9, 400 mM NaCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF), and incubated at 4°C for 30 min. Nuclear fraction was collected after removing insoluble membrane debris by centrifugation at 12000 g for 10 min.

RNA immunoprecipitation RT-PCR

RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) was performed as described previously (**Yang et al., 2014**). 1×10^7 cells were lysed in RIP buffer supplemented with RNase A inhibitor and DNase I before centrifugation. Cell lysates were precleared with protein A/G beads (Pierce) before they were incubated with protein A/G beads coated with the indicated antibodies at 4°C for 3 hr. After extensive washing, the bead-bound immunocomplexes were eluted using elution buffer (50 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 1% SDS, and 10 mM EDTA) at 65°C for 10 min. To isolate protein-associated RNAs from the eluted immunocomplexes, samples were treated with proteinase K, and RNAs were extracted by phenol/chloroform. Purified RNAs were then subjected to RT-PCR analysis.

RIP-seq (RIP sequencing)

RIP was performed as described previously (*Xiang et al., 2014*). Briefly, two 10 cm² dishes of HCT116 cells were washed three times with cold PBS and irradiated at 200 mJ/cm² at 254 nm in HL-2000 HybriLinkerTM UV Crosslinker. Cells were collected and resuspended in 1 ml RIP buffer. Cells were then homogenized and followed by 3 rounds of sonication on ice. Cell lysates were precleared with protein A/G beads (Pierce) before they were incubated with protein A/G beads coated with the indicated antibodies at 4°C for 3 hr. After extensive washing, the bead-bound immunocomplexes were eluted using elution buffer (50 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 1% SDS, and 10 mM EDTA) at 65°C for 10 min. To isolate protein-associated RNAs from the eluted immunocomplexes, samples were treated with proteinase K, and RNAs were extracted by phenol/chloroform. The sequencing was performed and analyzed by KangChen Bio-tech, Shanghai, China. The sequencing data were deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus database (GSE106918).

mRNA-seq (mRNA sequencing)

Total RNA from HCT116 cells expressing either control shRNA or *LAST* shRNA-1 was extracted by phenol/chloroform. The mRNA-seq was performed and analyzed by KangChen Bio-tech, Shanghai, China. The sequencing data were deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus database (GSE106917).

G-rich motifs analysis in RIP sequencing data

The peak-calling tool MACS2 (**Zhang et al., 2008**) (https://github.com/taoliu/MACS/) with default parameter settings was used to call enriched peaks with *RIP.bed* as input and *input.bed* as control. A PERL script was written to calculate the proportion of the peaks containing each of the five given motifs (TGGAGNW, TGGAG, GGAGNW, GGAG and GGR) in all the RIP peaks. To test the significance of G-rich motif enrichment, another PERL script was used to perform statistical simulations by generating 1000 random samples of DNA sequences with the same size and the same length distribution as that of the RIP peaks. For each given motif, the average proportion (with standard deviation) of motif-containing sequences in random samples was calculated. A U-test was performed for each G-rich motif to test the significance of the difference between the proportion of the motif-containing sequences in RIP peaks and that in random DNA samples.

Biotin pull-down assay

All processes were performed in the RNase-free conditions. For antisense oligomer affinity pulldown assay, sense or antisense biotin-labeled DNA oligomers corresponding to *LAST* or *CCND1* mRNA (1 μ M) were incubated with lysates from HCT116 cells (1 \times 10⁷) or the cytosolic/nuclear extracts. One hour after incubation, streptavidin-coupled agarose beads (Invitrogen) were added to isolate the RNA-protein complex or RNA-RNA complex. For in vitro RNA pull-down assay, 5 μ g in vitro-synthesized biotin-labeled RNA was incubated with lysates from HCT116 cells (1 \times 10⁷) for 3 hr. Streptavidin-coupled agarose beads (Invitrogen) were then added to the reaction mix to isolate the RNA-protein complex or RNA-RNA complex. Immunocomplexes were then analyzed by real-time RT-PCR or western blotting.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay

The electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was performed by using an EMSA/gel shift kit (Beyotime, China). Flag-CNBP protein was purified from 293T cells expressing Flag-CNBP. The biotinlabeled RNA fragments (as shown in *Figure 4D and E*) in vitro transcribed by T7 Transcription Kit (Epicentre, USA) were used in EMSA.

Xenograft mouse model

HCT116 cells expressing control RNA or LAST (3×10^{6}) were subcutaneously injected into the dorsal flank of 4-week-old male athymic nude mice (Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Co. Ltd.) (n = 7 mice per group). After 3 weeks, mice were sacrificed, and tumors were excised and weighed. HCT116 cells expressing control shRNA or LAST shRNA-1 (3×10^{6}) were subcutaneously injected into the dorsal flank of 4-week-old male athymic nude mice (Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Co. Ltd.) (n = 7 mice per group). After 6 weeks, mice were sacrificed, and tumors were excised and weighed. (n = 7 mice per group). After 6 weeks, mice were sacrificed, and tumors were excised and weighed. Mice were randomly assigned to different experimental groups. During testing the tumors' weight, the experimentalists were blinded to the information and shape of tumor tissue masses. Studies on animals were conducted with approval from the Animal Research Ethics Committee of the University of Science and Technology of China (Permit Number: USTCACUC1701003).

Acknowledgements

The results shown in *Figure 6E and F, Figure 6—figure supplements 1* and *2* are based upon data generated by the TCGA Research Network: http://cancergenome.nih.gov/. We would like to thank Professor Ping Gao for providing P493-6 cells carrying a c-Myc tet-off system. This work was supported by grants from the National Key R and D Program of China (2016YFC1302302) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (81430065 and 31371388).

Additional information

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
Ministry of Science and Tech- nology of the People's Repub- lic of China	2016YFC1302302	Mian Wu
National Natural Science Foundation of China	81430065	Mian Wu
National Natural Science Foundation of China	31371388	Mian Wu

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Limian Cao, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing; Pengfei Zhang, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Investigation, Visualization, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing; Jinming Li, Formal analysis, Visualization, Methodology; Mian Wu, Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Writing—original draft, Project administration, Writing—review and editing

Author ORCIDs

Mian Wu (i) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2714-0500

Ethics

Animal experimentation: Studies on animals in this paper were conducted with approval from the Animal Research Ethics Committee of the University of Science and Technology of China (Permit Number: USTCACUC1701003).

Decision letter and Author response

Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.049 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.050

Additional files

Supplementary files

• Supplementary file 1. IncRNA expression microarray data. Information of the selected IncRNAs is colored.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.028

• Supplementary file 2. Overlap of the CNBP RIP sequencing dataset and LAST knockdown mRNA sequencing dataset (downregulation). Information of SOX9, PDF, NFE2L1 and CCND1 is colored. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.029

• Supplementary file 3. *LAST* knockdown mRNA sequencing dataset (downregulation). DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.030

• Supplementary file 4. Correlation between LAST and CCND1 expression levels in fifteen TCGA tumor types.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.031

• Transparent reporting form

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30433.032

Major datasets

The following datasets were generated:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database, license, and accessibility information
Wu M, Zhang P, Cao L	2017	Myc regulates gene expression in P493-6 cell lines which carry a Myc tet-off system	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/geo/query/acc. cgi?acc=GSE106916	Publicly available at the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (accession no: GSE10 6916)
Wu M, Cao L, Zhang P	2017	The impact of IncRNA LAST knockdown on gene expression profile in HCT116 cells	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/geo/query/acc. cgi?acc=GSE106917	Publicly available at the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (accession no: GSE10 6917)
Wu M, Cao L, Zhang P	2017	Identification of CNBP binding mRNA and the binding sites/motifs in HCT116 cells	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/geo/query/acc. cgi?acc=GSE106918	Publicly available at the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (accession no: GSE10 6918)

The following previously published datasets were used:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database, license, and accessibility information
The Cancer Gen- ome Atlas Research Network, Weinstein JN, Collisson EA, Mills GB, Mills Shaw KR, Ozenberger BA, Ellrott K, Shmule- vich I, Sander C, Stuart JM	2013	The Cancer Genome Atlas	https://cancergenome. nih.gov/	The National Cancer Institute (NCI) provides access to all individuals seeking information on www. cancer.gov, including individuals who are disabled. To provide this information, the NCI website complies with Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act (as amended).
Mathelier A, Fornes O, Arenillas DJ, Chen C, Denay G, Lees J, Shai W, Shyr C, Tan G, Worsley- Hunt R, Zhang AW, Parcy F, Lenhard B, Sandelin A, Wasser- man WW	2016	The high-quality transcription factor binding profile database (JASPAR)	http://jaspar.genereg. net/	The database is ready to be deployed quickly for genome- wide studies through the JASPAR API.

Finn RD, Attwood TK, Babbitt PC, Ba- teman A, Bork P, Bridge AJ, Chang H, Dosztanyi Z, El- Gebali S, Fraser M, Gough J, Haft D, Holliday GL, Huang H, Huang X, Letunic I, Lopez R, Lu S, Marchler-Bauer A, Mi H, Mistry J, Na- tale DA, Necci M, Nuka G, Orengo CA, Park Y, Pesseat S, Piovesan D, Pot- ter SC, Rawlings ND, Redaschi N, Ri- chardson L, Rivoire C, Sangrador-Vegas A, Sigrist C, Sillitoe I, Smithers B, Squiz- zato S, Sutton G, Thanki N, Thomas PD, Tosatto SCE, Wu CH, Xenarios I, Yeh L, Young S, Mitchell AL	2009	InterPro: protein sequence analysis & classification	http://www.ebi.ac.uk/in- terpro/	New SOAP-based Web Services have been added to complement the existing InterProScan Web Service. These allow users to programmatically retrieve InterPro entry data such as the abstract, integrated signature lists or GO terms. Users can download a range of clients from http:// webservices/clients/ dbfetch, including PERL, C#.NET and Java clients, to access this data.
ENCODE Project Consortium	2004	Encyclopedia of DNA Elements	https://www.encodepro- ject.org/	Publicly available at ENCODE

Reference

- Adhikary S, Eilers M. 2005. Transcriptional regulation and transformation by Myc proteins. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology **6**:635–645. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm1703, PMID: 16064138
- Amati B, Dalton S, Brooks MW, Littlewood TD, Evan GI, Land H. 1992. Transcriptional activation by the human c-Myc oncoprotein in yeast requires interaction with Max. *Nature* **359**:423–426. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/359423a0, PMID: 1406955
- Armas P, Nasif S, Calcaterra NB. 2008. Cellular nucleic acid binding protein binds G-rich single-stranded nucleic acids and may function as a nucleic acid chaperone. *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry* **103**:1013–1036. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.21474, PMID: 17661353
- Benhalevy D, Gupta SK, Danan CH, Ghosal S, Sun HW, Kazemier HG, Paeschke K, Hafner M, Juranek SA. 2017. The human CCHC-type zinc finger nucleic acid-binding protein binds G-Rich elements in target mRNA coding sequences and promotes translation. *Cell Reports* **18**:2979–2990. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017. 02.080, PMID: 28329689
- Bonasio R, Shiekhattar R. 2014. Regulation of transcription by long noncoding RNAs. Annual Review of Genetics **48**:433–455. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-120213-092323, PMID: 25251851
- Colombo T, Farina L, Macino G, Paci P. 2015. PVT1: a rising star among oncogenic long noncoding RNAs. BioMed Research International **2015**:1–10. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/304208, PMID: 25883951
- Conrad T, Akhtar A. 2012. Dosage compensation in Drosophila melanogaster: epigenetic fine-tuning of chromosome-wide transcription. Nature Reviews Genetics 13:123–134. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3124, PMID: 22251873
- De Santa F, Barozzi I, Mietton F, Ghisletti S, Polletti S, Tusi BK, Muller H, Ragoussis J, Wei CL, Natoli G. 2010. A large fraction of extragenic RNA pol II transcription sites overlap enhancers. *PLoS Biology* **8**:e1000384. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000384, PMID: 20485488
- **DeGregori J.** 2004. The Rb network. *Journal of Cell Science* **117**:3411–3413. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs. 01189, PMID: 15252123
- Demeterco C, Itkin-Ansari P, Tyrberg B, Ford LP, Jarvis RA, Levine F. 2002. c-Myc controls proliferation versus differentiation in human pancreatic endocrine cells. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 87: 3475–3485. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.87.7.8700, PMID: 12107268
- Derrien T, Johnson R, Bussotti G, Tanzer A, Djebali S, Tilgner H, Guernec G, Martin D, Merkel A, Knowles DG, Lagarde J, Veeravalli L, Ruan X, Ruan Y, Lassmann T, Carninci P, Brown JB, Lipovich L, Gonzalez JM, Thomas M, et al. 2012. The GENCODE v7 catalog of human long noncoding RNAs: analysis of their gene structure, evolution, and expression. *Genome Research* 22:1775–1789. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.132159.111, PMID: 22955988
- Diehl JA, Zindy F, Sherr CJ. 1997. Inhibition of cyclin D1 phosphorylation on threonine-286 prevents its rapid degradation via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. Genes & Development 11:957–972. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1101/gad.11.8.957, PMID: 9136925

- Diehl JA, Cheng M, Roussel MF, Sherr CJ. 1998. Glycogen synthase kinase-3beta regulates cyclin D1 proteolysis and subcellular localization. Genes & Development 12:3499–3511. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.12.22. 3499, PMID: 9832503
- Drayton S, Rowe J, Jones R, Vatcheva R, Cuthbert-Heavens D, Marshall J, Fried M, Peters G. 2003. Tumor suppressor p16INK4a determines sensitivity of human cells to transformation by cooperating cellular oncogenes. *Cancer Cell* 4:301–310. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1535-6108(03)00242-3, PMID: 14585357
- Elsheikh S, Green AR, Aleskandarany MA, Grainge M, Paish CE, Lambros MB, Reis-Filho JS, Ellis IO. 2008. CCND1 amplification and cyclin D1 expression in breast cancer and their relation with proteomic subgroups and patient outcome. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment* **109**:325–335. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10549-007-9659-8, PMID: 17653856
- Fernandez PC, Frank SR, Wang L, Schroeder M, Liu S, Greene J, Cocito A, Amati B. 2003. Genomic targets of the human c-Myc protein. *Genes & Development* 17:1115–1129. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1067003, PMID: 12695333
- Flink IL, Morkin E. 1995. Organization of the gene encoding cellular nucleic acid-binding protein. Gene 163:279–282. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(95)00421-2, PMID: 7590281
- Gherzi R, Trabucchi M, Ponassi M, Gallouzi IE, Rosenfeld MG, Briata P. 2010. Akt2-mediated phosphorylation of Pitx2 controls Ccnd1 mRNA decay during muscle cell differentiation. *Cell Death and Differentiation* 17:975– 983. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2009.194, PMID: 20019746
- Gonzalez-Mariscal L, Tapia R, Huerta M, Lopez-Bayghen E. 2009. The tight junction protein ZO-2 blocks cell cycle progression and inhibits cyclin D1 expression. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences **1165**:121–125. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.04024.x, PMID: 19538296
- Guo ZY, Hao XH, Tan FF, Pei X, Shang LM, Jiang XL, Yang F. 2011. The elements of human cyclin D1 promoter and regulation involved. *Clinical Epigenetics* 2:63–76. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s13148-010-0018-y, PMID: 22704330
- Hoffman B, Liebermann DA. 2008. Apoptotic signaling by c-MYC. Oncogene 27:6462–6472. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1038/onc.2008.312, PMID: 18955973
- Hunter S, Apweiler R, Attwood TK, Bairoch A, Bateman A, Binns D, Bork P, Das U, Daugherty L, Duquenne L, Finn RD, Gough J, Haft D, Hulo N, Kahn D, Kelly E, Laugraud A, Letunic I, Lonsdale D, Lopez R, et al. 2009. InterPro: the integrative protein signature database. *Nucleic Acids Research* **37**:D211–D215. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn785, PMID: 18940856
- Iorio MV, Croce CM. 2012. MicroRNA dysregulation in cancer: diagnostics, monitoring and therapeutics. A comprehensive review. EMBO Molecular Medicine 4:143–159. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/emmm.201100209, PMID: 22351564
- Kikin O, D'Antonio L, Bagga PS. 2006. QGRS Mapper: a web-based server for predicting G-quadruplexes in nucleotide sequences. Nucleic Acids Research 34:W676–W682. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl253, PMID: 16845096
- Kim JW, Gao P, Liu YC, Semenza GL, Dang CV. 2007. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 and dysregulated c-Myc cooperatively induce vascular endothelial growth factor and metabolic switches hexokinase 2 and pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 1. Molecular and Cellular Biology 27:7381–7393. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB. 00440-07, PMID: 17785433
- Kim T, Cui R, Jeon YJ, Fadda P, Alder H, Croce CM. 2015a. MYC-repressed long noncoding RNAs antagonize MYC-induced cell proliferation and cell cycle progression. *Oncotarget* 6:18780–18789. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 18632/oncotarget.3909, PMID: 26003165
- Kim T, Jeon YJ, Cui R, Lee JH, Peng Y, Kim SH, Tili E, Alder H, Croce CM. 2015b. Role of MYC-regulated long noncoding RNAs in cell cycle regulation and tumorigenesis. JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute 107. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/dju505, PMID: 25663692
- Kress TR, Sabò A, Amati B. 2015. MYC: connecting selective transcriptional control to global RNA production. Nature Reviews Cancer 15:593–607. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3984, PMID: 26383138
- Lee LA, Dolde C, Barrett J, Wu CS, Dang CV. 1996. A link between c-Myc-mediated transcriptional repression and neoplastic transformation. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* **97**:1687–1695. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1172/ JCI118595, PMID: 8601634
- Lee JT. 2012. Epigenetic regulation by long noncoding RNAs. *Science* **338**:1435–1439. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.1231776, PMID: 23239728
- Lin DI, Barbash O, Kumar KG, Weber JD, Harper JW, Klein-Szanto AJ, Rustgi A, Fuchs SY, Diehl JA. 2006. Phosphorylation-dependent ubiquitination of cyclin D1 by the SCF(FBX4-alphaB crystallin) complex. *Molecular Cell* 24:355–366. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2006.09.007, PMID: 17081987
- Lin CY, Lovén J, Rahl PB, Paranal RM, Burge CB, Bradner JE, Lee TI, Young RA. 2012. Transcriptional amplification in tumor cells with elevated c-Myc. *Cell* **151**:56–67. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.08. 026, PMID: 23021215
- Ling H, Spizzo R, Atlasi Y, Nicoloso M, Shimizu M, Redis RS, Nishida N, Gafà R, Song J, Guo Z, Ivan C, Barbarotto E, De Vries I, Zhang X, Ferracin M, Churchman M, van Galen JF, Beverloo BH, Shariati M, Haderk F, et al. 2013. CCAT2, a novel noncoding RNA mapping to 8q24, underlies metastatic progression and chromosomal instability in colon cancer. *Genome Research* 23:1446–1461. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gr. 152942.112, PMID: 23796952
- Mancini-Dinardo D, Steele SJ, Levorse JM, Ingram RS, Tilghman SM. 2006. Elongation of the Kcnq1ot1 transcript is required for genomic imprinting of neighboring genes. *Genes & Development* **20**:1268–1282. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1416906, PMID: 16702402

- Mathelier A, Fornes O, Arenillas DJ, Chen CY, Denay G, Lee J, Shi W, Shyr C, Tan G, Worsley-Hunt R, Zhang AW, Parcy F, Lenhard B, Sandelin A, Wasserman WW. 2016. JASPAR 2016: a major expansion and update of the open-access database of transcription factor binding profiles. *Nucleic Acids Research* 44:D110–D115. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1176, PMID: 26531826
- McKay JA, Douglas JJ, Ross VG, Curran S, Loane JF, Ahmed FY, Cassidy J, McLeod HL, Murray GI. 2002. Analysis of key cell-cycle checkpoint proteins in colorectal tumours. *The Journal of Pathology* **196**:386–393. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/path.1053, PMID: 11920733
- Murphy MP, Beckett T, Webb R, Holler C, Niedowicz D. 2009 Cellular Nucleic Acid Binding Protein (CNBP) in Aging and Disease. *The Faseb Journal* **23**:671.7 . DOI: https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.1530-6860
- Musgrove EA, Caldon CE, Barraclough J, Stone A, Sutherland RL. 2011. Cyclin D as a therapeutic target in cancer. Nature Reviews Cancer 11:558–572. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3090, PMID: 21734724
- Nie Z, Hu G, Wei G, Cui K, Yamane A, Resch W, Wang R, Green DR, Tessarollo L, Casellas R, Zhao K, Levens D. 2012. c-Myc is a universal amplifier of expressed genes in lymphocytes and embryonic stem cells. *Cell* **151**:68–79. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.08.033, PMID: 23021216
- Nissan A, Stojadinovic A, Mitrani-Rosenbaum S, Halle D, Grinbaum R, Roistacher M, Bochem A, Dayanc BE, Ritter G, Gomceli I, Bostanci EB, Akoglu M, Chen YT, Old LJ, Gure AO. 2012. Colon cancer associated transcript-1: a novel RNA expressed in malignant and pre-malignant human tissues. *International Journal of Cancer* 130:1598–1606. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.26170, PMID: 21547902
- Okabe H, Lee SH, Phuchareon J, Albertson DG, McCormick F, Tetsu O. 2006. A critical role for FBXW8 and MAPK in cyclin D1 degradation and cancer cell proliferation. *PLoS One* **1**:e128. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000128, PMID: 17205132
- Panda AC, Abdelmohsen K, Martindale JL, Di Germanio C, Yang X, Grammatikakis I, Noh JH, Zhang Y, Lehrmann E, Dudekula DB, De S, Becker KG, White EJ, Wilson GM, de Cabo R, Gorospe M. 2016. Novel RNAbinding activity of MYF5 enhances Ccnd1/Cyclin D1 mRNA translation during myogenesis. *Nucleic Acids Research* 44:2393–2408. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw023, PMID: 26819411
- Philipp A, Schneider A, Väsrik I, Finke K, Xiong Y, Beach D, Alitalo K, Eilers M. 1994. Repression of cyclin D1: a novel function of MYC. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 14:4032–4043. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.14.6. 4032, PMID: 8196642
- Prendergast GC. 1999. Mechanisms of apoptosis by c-Myc. Oncogene 18:2967–2987. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1038/sj.onc.1202727, PMID: 10378693
- Quinn JJ, Chang HY. 2016. Unique features of long non-coding RNA biogenesis and function. Nature Reviews Genetics 17:47–62. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2015.10, PMID: 26666209
- Rajavashisth TB, Taylor AK, Andalibi A, Svenson KL, Lusis AJ. 1989. Identification of a zinc finger protein that binds to the sterol regulatory element. *Science* 245:640–643. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2562787, PMID: 2562787
- Resnitzky D, Gossen M, Bujard H, Reed SI. 1994. Acceleration of the G1/S phase transition by expression of cyclins D1 and E with an inducible system. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 14:1669–1679. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1128/MCB.14.3.1669, PMID: 8114703
- Sammons MA, Antons AK, Bendjennat M, Udd B, Krahe R, Link AJ. 2010. ZNF9 activation of IRES-mediated translation of the human ODC mRNA is decreased in myotonic dystrophy type 2. *PLoS One* 5:e9301. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009301, PMID: 20174632
- Seth A, Gupta S, Davis RJ. 1993. Cell cycle regulation of the c-Myc transcriptional activation domain. *Molecular* and Cellular Biology **13**:4125–4136. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.13.7.4125, PMID: 8321217
- Shan L, Li X, Liu L, Ding X, Wang Q, Zheng Y, Duan Y, Xuan C, Wang Y, Yang F, Shang Y, Shi L. 2014. GATA3 cooperates with PARP1 to regulate CCND1 transcription through modulating histone H1 incorporation. Oncogene 33:3205–3216. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2013.270, PMID: 23851505
- Sherr CJ. 1995. D-type cyclins. Trends in Biochemical Sciences 20:187–190. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-0004(00)89005-2, PMID: 7610482
- Sleutels F, Zwart R, Barlow DP. 2002. The non-coding Air RNA is required for silencing autosomal imprinted genes. *Nature* **415**:810–813. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/415810a, PMID: 11845212
- Wang X, Arai S, Song X, Reichart D, Du K, Pascual G, Tempst P, Rosenfeld MG, Glass CK, Kurokawa R. 2008. Induced ncRNAs allosterically modify RNA-binding proteins in cis to inhibit transcription. *Nature* 454:126–130. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06992, PMID: 18509338
- Wei W, Jobling WA, Chen W, Hahn WC, Sedivy JM. 2003. Abolition of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p16Ink4a and p21Cip1/Waf1 functions permits Ras-induced anchorage-independent growth in telomeraseimmortalized human fibroblasts. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 23:2859–2870. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/ MCB.23.8.2859-2870.2003, PMID: 12665584
- Weinstein JN, Collisson EA, Mills GB, Shaw KR, Ozenberger BA, Ellrott K, Shmulevich I, Sander C, Stuart JM, Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. 2013. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. *Nature Genetics* **45**:1113–1120. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2764, PMID: 24071849
- Wiestner A, Tehrani M, Chiorazzi M, Wright G, Gibellini F, Nakayama K, Liu H, Rosenwald A, Muller-Hermelink HK, Ott G, Chan WC, Greiner TC, Weisenburger DD, Vose J, Armitage JO, Gascoyne RD, Connors JM, Campo E, Montserrat E, Bosch F, et al. 2007. Point mutations and genomic deletions in CCND1 create stable truncated cyclin D1 mRNAs that are associated with increased proliferation rate and shorter survival. *Blood* **109**:4599–4606. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2006-08-039859, PMID: 17299095

- Wilusz JE, Freier SM, Spector DL. 2008. 3' end processing of a long nuclear-retained noncoding RNA yields a tRNA-like cytoplasmic RNA. *Cell* **135**:919–932. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.10.012, PMID: 1 9041754
- Xiang JF, Yin QF, Chen T, Zhang Y, Zhang XO, Wu Z, Zhang S, Wang HB, Ge J, Lu X, Yang L, Chen LL. 2014. Human colorectal cancer-specific CCAT1-L IncRNA regulates long-range chromatin interactions at the MYC locus. *Cell Research* 24:513–531. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2014.35, PMID: 24662484
- Yang F, Zhang H, Mei Y, Wu M. 2014. Reciprocal regulation of HIF-1α and lincRNA-p21 modulates the Warburg effect. *Molecular Cell* **53**:88–100. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2013.11.004, PMID: 24316222
- Yin QF, Yang L, Zhang Y, Xiang JF, Wu YW, Carmichael GG, Chen LL. 2012. Long noncoding RNAs with snoRNA ends. *Molecular Cell* **48**:219–230. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2012.07.033, PMID: 22959273
- Yu ZK, Gervais JL, Zhang H. 1998. Human CUL-1 associates with the SKP1/SKP2 complex and regulates p21 (CIP1/WAF1) and cyclin D proteins. PNAS **95**:11324–11329. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.19.11324, PMID: 9736735
- Yu Q, Ciemerych MA, Sicinski P. 2005. Ras and Myc can drive oncogenic cell proliferation through individual D-cyclins. Oncogene 24:7114–7119. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1208853, PMID: 16103884
- Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, Nusbaum C, Myers RM, Brown M, Li W, Liu XS. 2008. Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). *Genome Biology* **9**:R137. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2008-9-9-r137, PMID: 18798982
- Zhang P, Cao L, Fan P, Mei Y, Wu M. 2016. LncRNA-MIF, a c-Myc-activated long non-coding RNA, suppresses glycolysis by promoting Fbxw7-mediated c-Myc degradation. *EMBO Reports* **17**:1204–1220. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201642067, PMID: 27317567