

# Longitudinal Changes of One-Carbon Metabolites and Amino Acid Concentrations during Pregnancy in the Women First Maternal Nutrition Trial

Stephanie P Gilley,<sup>1</sup> Nicholas E Weaver,<sup>2</sup> Evan L Sticca,<sup>3</sup> Purevsuren Jambal,<sup>1</sup> Alexandra Palacios,<sup>1</sup> Mattie E Kerns,<sup>1</sup> Pratibha Anand,<sup>1</sup> Jennifer F Kemp,<sup>1</sup> Jamie E Westcott,<sup>1</sup> Lester Figueroa,<sup>4</sup> Ana Lucía Garcés,<sup>4</sup> Sumera A Ali,<sup>5</sup> Omrana Pasha,<sup>5,6</sup> Sarah Saleem,<sup>5</sup> K Michael Hambidge,<sup>1</sup> Audrey E Hendricks,<sup>2,3</sup> Nancy F Krebs,<sup>1</sup> and Sarah J Borengasser<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Section of Nutrition, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA; <sup>2</sup>Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Colorado Denver, Denver, CO, USA; <sup>3</sup>Human Medical Genetics and Genomics Program, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA; <sup>4</sup>Institute of Nutrition in Central America and Panama, Guatemala City, Guatemala; <sup>5</sup>Aga Khan University, Department of Community Health Sciences, Karachi, Pakistan; and <sup>6</sup>Department of Population, Family and Reproductive Health, Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Maternal dietary restriction and supplementation of one-carbon (1C) metabolites can impact offspring growth and DNA methylation. However, longitudinal research of 1C metabolite and amino acid (AA) concentrations over the reproductive cycle of human pregnancy is limited. **Objective:** To investigate longitudinal 1C metabolite and AA concentrations prior to and during pregnancy and the effects of a small-quantity lipid-based nutrition supplement (LNS) containing >20 micronutrients and prepregnancy BMI (ppBMI).

**Methods:** This study was an ancillary study of the Women First Trial (NCT01883193, clinicaltrials.gov) focused on a subset of Guatemalan women (n = 134), 49% of whom entered pregnancy with a BMI  $\geq$ 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Ninety-five women received LNS during pregnancy (+LNS group), while the remainder did not (-LNS group). A subset of women from the Pakistan study site (n = 179) were used as a replication cohort, 124 of whom received LNS. Maternal blood was longitudinally collected on dried blood spot (DBS) cards at preconception, and at 12 and 34 wk gestation. A targeted metabolomics assay was performed on DBS samples at each time point using LC-MS/MS. Longitudinal analyses were performed using linear mixed modeling to investigate the influence of time, LNS, and ppBMI.

**Results:** Concentrations of 23 of 27 metabolites, including betaine, choline, and serine, changed from preconception across gestation after application of a Bonferroni multiple testing correction (P < 0.00185). Sixteen of those metabolites showed similar changes in the replication cohort. Asymmetric and symmetric dimethylarginine were decreased by LNS in the participants from Guatemala. Only tyrosine was statistically associated with ppBMI at both study sites.

**Conclusions:** Time influenced most 1C metabolite and AA concentrations with a high degree of similarity between the 2 diverse study populations. These patterns were not significantly altered by LNS consumption or ppBMI. Future investigations will focus on 1C metabolite changes associated with infant outcomes, including DNA methylation. This trial was registered at clinicaltrials.gov as NCT01883193. *Curr Dev Nutr* 2019;4:nzz132.

Keywords: one-carbon metabolism, amino acids, preconception, pregnancy, BMI, obesity, malnutrition, supplementation, triple nutrition burden Copyright © The Author(s) 2019. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Manuscript received June 6, 2019. Initial review completed October 9, 2019. Revision accepted November 15, 2019. Published online November 18, 2019.

NFK and SJB contributed equally to this work.

Author disclosures: The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Availability of data and material: The metabolomics datasets will be deposited to the University of California San Diego Metabolomics Workbench (www.metabolomicsworkbench.org). Supplemental Table 1 and Supplemental Figures 1 and 2 are available from the "Supplementary data" link in the online posting of the article and from the same link in the online table of contents at https://academic.oup.com/cdn/.

Address correspondence to SB (e-mail: sarah.borengasser@cuanschutz.edu).

Abbreviations used: AA, amino acid; ADMA, asymmetric dimethylarginine; DBS, dried blood spot; LME, linear mixed effect; LNS, small-quantity lipid-based nutrition supplement; ppBMI, prepregnancy BMI; SDMA, symmetric dimethylarginine; SES, socioeconomic status; 1C, one carbon.

#### Introduction

A growing number of low- and middle-income countries suffer from the triple malnutrition burden of undernutrition (including stunting), obesity, and micronutrient deficiencies (1, 2). Poor nutrition is a major contributing factor as low- and middle-income countries undergo a "nutrition transition" toward a more Westernized diet, with resultant increases in obesity rates (3, 4). In particular, Guatemalan households

Supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation OPP1055867 (NFK and KMH); the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development and Office of Dietary Supplements U10 HD076474 (KMH and NFK); and the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases K01 DK109077 (SJB). This publication was also made possible by the University of Florida's Southeast Center for Integrated Metabolomics through grant number U24 DK097209 from the National Institute of Health's Common Fund metabolomics program. The funding bodies had no role in the design of the present study, nor with collection, analysis, and interpretation of data or in writing the manuscript.

have been reported to have the highest worldwide prevalence of concurrent maternal obesity with offspring stunting and only small population improvements over time (4, 5).

Pregnant women with obesity, undernutrition, and/or micronutrient deficiencies expose their embryos and fetuses to these conditions, which are known to impart increased risk to the offspring for obesity, cardiovascular disease, and diabetes in later life (6–10), a process commonly referred to as the developmental origins of health and disease hypothesis (8, 11). Several epidemiological studies have shown that maternal nutritional status plays a particularly important role at the time of conception and during pregnancy, including maternal exposures during the Dutch famine, seasonal availability of food in The Gambia, obesity, and other forms of malnutrition (7, 8, 11, 12). Interventions targeting maternal nutrition have the potential to improve pregnancy and offspring outcomes (13).

Epigenetic changes are a leading candidate for understanding the link between in utero nutrition exposures and long-term infant health (11, 12, 14, 15). More specifically, maternal nutrition is known to influence the offspring epigenome through the one-carbon (1C) metabolism pathway, as intake of methyl donors and cofactors is required for DNA methylation (12, 15–18). Increased demand for nutrients that serve as methyl donors and cofactors, such as folate, choline, and vitamins B6 and B12, occurs during pregnancy (12, 19, 20). In addition, the essential amino acid (AA) methionine, derived from both dietary and cellular sources, serves as the primary methyl donor for DNA methylation (6, 11, 19, 20), and there is emerging evidence that lipids can influence expression of genes involved in 1C metabolism (21, 22). Further, maternal 1C pathway metabolites and AA concentrations have been associated with fetal growth, making their study important for understanding and preventing stunting (20, 23–25).

Dietary intake and supplementation can influence concentrations of several 1C metabolites and AA during pregnancy (26–28). In addition, some 1C metabolites can serve as biomarkers prior to the presentation of clinical manifestations. For example, betaine concentrations are lower in the second trimester in women who later develop gestational diabetes (29), and homocysteine and asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) are elevated prior to diagnosis of pregnancy hypertensive disorders (30).

Only a few studies have assessed temporal changes in 1C metabolite and AA concentrations during pregnancy (31-34). Of these, most did not longitudinally assess women from prior to conception through pregnancy, nor were possible effects of additional nutrient supplementation or prepregnancy BMI (ppBMI) considered. The present study prospectively measured 1C metabolite and AA concentrations in Guatemalan women prior to conception through late pregnancy as part of the Women First Preconception Maternal Nutrition Trial (Women First Trial) (35, 36). We further compared women receiving a smallquantity lipid-based nutrition supplement (LNS) containing >20 micronutrients, including folate and several B vitamins involved in 1C metabolism, to those following local standard-of-care medical guidelines. We hypothesized that 1C metabolite and AA concentrations in maternal blood would vary by time, and that these trajectories would be further influenced by LNS and ppBMI. Understanding the natural course of these metabolites in the same women over the course of pregnancy and how they are influenced by nutritional supplements and ppBMI may help inform future studies involving supplementation with methyl donors during pregnancy.

#### Methods

#### Study design and intervention

This study was an ancillary study of a large, randomized controlled trial called the Women First Trial (clinicaltrials.gov NCT01883193), which hypothesized that the timing of maternal LNS consumption (Nutriset) would influence birth length in low-resource communities (35, 36). LNS composition (Supplemental Table 1) has been previously described and includes protein, polyunsaturated fatty acids, a favorable  $\omega$ -3 to  $\omega$ -6 fatty acid ratio, and >20 micronutrients, including 400- $\mu$ g folate, 2.8-mg riboflavin, 5.2- $\mu$ g vitamin B12, and 3.8-mg vitamin B6 (35, 36). After enrollment, subjects (n = 7387) were randomly assigned to 1 of 3 study arms: 1) consumed LNS starting at least 3 mo prior to conception until delivery; 2) consumed LNS starting at 12 wk of gestation until delivery; or 3) followed the local standard of care without LNS consumption (35). Women in the intervention arms were additionally given a lipid-based protein energy supplement if they had a BMI <20 kg/m<sup>2</sup> at any time while receiving LNS, or if their gestational weight gain was below the Institute of Medicine's recommendations (35, 36). The study sites for the trial were Guatemala, Pakistan, Democratic Republic of the Congo, and India. The Women First Trial study protocol was approved by the University of Colorado Institutional Review Board. In addition, ethics committees and local Ministries of Health granted approval at each research site. Women were enrolled in the trial and provided informed consent in accordance with the principles described in the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975 as revised in 1983.

The present study focused on a subcohort of rural Guatemalan mothers (n = 134). Subjects were assigned to 2 groups, those who consumed LNS at any time during pregnancy, whether initiated prior to conception or at 12 wk of gestation (+LNS; n = 95), compared to those who did not (-LNS; n = 39). The study design is shown in **Figure 1**. A replication cohort was selected from the Pakistan study site (+LNS: 124 women; -LNS: 55 women). Subjects were excluded from this ancillary study if they did not conceive and deliver an infant during the course of the larger trial. Subjects were chosen to ensure that LNS groups within each study site were well matched for age, parity, ppBMI, height, and socioeconomic status (SES) (Table 1). Maternal height and weight were obtained during an in-person study visit by on-site study personnel who were trained to measure subject anthropometrics using an electronic scale and adult stadiometer. Preconception weight status was defined as underweight (BMI: <18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), normal weight (BMI: 18.5-24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), overweight (BMI: 25-29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), or obese (BMI: >30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). The SES indicator score was calculated as a score from 0 to 6, with 6 indicating the highest SES status (36). Each SES indicator equaled 1 and all were tallied together to generate the SES indicator score. SES indicators included: (1) electricity, (2) improved water source, (3) sanitation, (4) man-made flooring, (5) improved cooking fuels, and (6) household assets (possessing >1 television, telephone, bike, motorized bike, or scooter, or owns a car or truck).

LNS compliance was assessed by subject self-report through daily calendars as well as by collection of empty and unused intervention sachets by on-site study personnel (35, 36). Any additional folate supplementation was self-reported by subjects at study enrollment, 12 wk, and 34 wk. Frequency was reported as daily, weekly, or monthly. After delivery, study personnel recorded whether the woman had any hyperten-



**FIGURE 1** Study and analysis design schematic. At study enrollment women were randomized into 1 of 3 study arms. For the present analysis, blood draws that occurred when the subjects were not consuming LNS (represented by unfilled blood drops) were considered unsupplemented time points; blood draws that occurred when the subjects had taken LNS for at least 12 wk (represented by solid blood drops) were considered supplemented time points. Arms 1 and 2 were combined and represent the +LNS group, while Arm 3 comprised the -LNS group. LNS, small-quantity lipid-based nutrition supplement.

sion during pregnancy (including gestational hypertension, preeclampsia, and eclampsia). Specific vital sign values and the results of any clinical tests such as urine protein or platelet count were not recorded.

# Blood collection and 1C metabolite/AA profiling using dried blood spot cards

Blood samples were collected at study enrollment, 12 wk gestation, and 34 wk gestation by trained study personnel (**Figure 1**). Women were not fasting at the time of blood draw. Approximately 0.5 mL of whole blood was applied to a Whatman 903 protein saver dried blood spot (DBS) card (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and dried for at least 4 hours. DBS cards were then stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C with desiccant packs and humidity indicator cards.

A targeted quantitative 1C and AA analysis panel was performed using LC-MS/MS at the Southeast Center for Integrated Metabolomics at the University of Florida. The standard panel consisted of 36 amino acids, methylated amino acids and 1C metabolites. From this panel, the light-sensitive metabolites S-adenosylmethionine and S-adenosylhomocysteine were excluded as the DBS cards were not

specifically protected from light. Briefly, two 3-mm punches from DBS samples collected at all 3 study time points were used for 2 assays to determine the 1C metabolite and AA concentrations. For the 1C-AA assay, blood spots were rehydrated with water and internal standard mix. After sonication, acetonitrile was added to precipitate protein, the sample was vortexed, and sonicated again (37). For the thiol assay, DBSs were rehydrated with water, internal standard mix, and BondBreaker (ThermoFisher Scientific). After sonication, a fresh preparation of 100 mM iodoacetic acid in 200 mM ammonium bicarbonate/200 mM ammonium hydroxide was added. After this mixture was incubated in the dark, acetonitrile was added, and then the sample was vortexed and sonicated again (38). All samples from both assays were then centrifuged (2500  $\times$  g for 10 min at 5°C), and the supernatant was transferred to a 96-well plate for analysis by LC-MS/MS. Samples were chromatographed on a Waters Cortecs HILIC column and eluted with an acetonitrile-water gradient containing ammonium formate and formic acid. Mass spectrometric detection was on a Bruker EvoQ Elite MS/MS (Billerica) in positive ion mode, using a heated electrospray ionization source. For metabolite quantitation, authentic isotopically labeled forms of each metabolite were used as internal standards. Peak area ratios were calculated by dividing the metabolite peak area by the peak area of its internal standard. Metabolite concentrations were calculated by comparing these peak area ratios to standard curves prepared using authentic standards.

## Quality control and statistical analysis

Subjects were grouped by LNS status instead of according to their original Women First Trial arm because 1) biological samples were not collected from Arm 3 subjects at 12 wk of gestation, due to trial study design (Figure 1) (35); and 2) because change over pregnancy was of primary interest. Subjects in Arm 2 had blood drawn at 12 wk gestation, which was prior to supplementation; thus, the study groupings allowed for Arm 2 subjects to fill in the sample size at the 12-wk time point to allow LNS status differences to be tested. Metabolites with missing concentration measurements for >10% of samples were removed from further analysis. Following natural log transformation, metabolite concentrations were normalized within each experimental batch by subtracting off the mean log(metabolite concentration) for prepregnancy values within each batch, e.g., log(12 wk) – [mean log(preconception)]. This resulted in a within-batch mean of zero at preconception and change from prepregnancy for other time points. Statistical outliers were defined as 1.5 times the IQR below or above the first or third quartiles, respectively. All analyses were run with and without outliers to assess robustness of the results. Individuals with missing metabolite values were excluded only from the analysis for which they were missing data.

Significant differences in subject characteristic covariates between -LNS and +LNS groups within and between each study site were determined by unpaired *t* test for continuous variables and by chi-square test for categorical variables. Linear mixed effect (LME) models with a random intercept for the individual subject were used to assess the relation between each metabolite and time, controlling for geographic cluster (i.e., region in Guatemala or Pakistan where women were recruited to the study), LNS status at the time of blood sampling, and ppBMI. Time (i.e., preconception, 12 wk, or 34 wk) was assessed as a categorical variable, and ppBMI was assessed as a continuous variable.

		Guatemala (pri	mary cohort)			Pakistan (valida	ation cohort)	
Characteristic	Overall	-LNS	+LNS	P value	Overall	-LNS	+LNS	P value
n (%)	134	39 (29.1)	95 (70.9)		179	55 (30.7)	124 (69.3)	
Maternal age at enrollment, yr	23.4 (16–35)	$24.1 \pm 0.8$	$23.4 \pm 0.5$	0.400	23.2 (16–32)	$23.2 \pm 0.5$	$23.2 \pm 0.4$	0.976
Maternal age at delivery, yr	24.5 (17–36)	$25.6\pm0.8$	$24.8\pm0.5$	0.384	24.1 (17–33)	$23.9 \pm 0.5$	$24.2 \pm 0.4$	0.676
BMI at enrollment, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	25.2 (18.8–38.4)	$26.1 \pm 0.7$	$25.7 \pm 0.4$	0.624	19.1 (13.8–32.1)**	$19.1\pm0.3^{\wedge\wedge}$	$19.1 \pm 0.3^{\ddagger}$	0.946
Underweight	0	0	0		92 (51.4)**	27 (49.1)^^	65 (52.4) <sup>‡</sup>	0.681
Normal weight	68 (50.7)	21 (53.8)	47 (49.5%)	0.646	82 (45.8)	27 (49.1)	55 (44.4)	0.557
Overweight/obese	66 (49.3)	18 (46.2)	48 (50.5)	0.646	5 (2.8)**	1 (1.8)^^	4 (3.2) <sup>‡</sup>	0.578
Maternal height, cm	149.9 (132.2–158.6)	$145.6 \pm 0.7$	$146.1 \pm 0.6$	0.602	152.8 (134–178)**	$152.9\pm0.8^{\wedge\wedge}$	$152.8 \pm 0.6^{\ddagger}$	0.894
Improved SES score	3.3 (1–6)	$3.9 \pm 0.1$	$3.8 \pm 0.1$	0.605	3.0 (0–6)**	$2.9\pm0.2^{\wedge}$	$3.0 \pm 0.1^{\pm}$	0.654
Parity	1.6 (0–5)	$1.8 \pm 0.2$	$1.6 \pm 0.1$	0.202	1.6 (0–5)	$1.6 \pm 0.2$	$1.5 \pm 0.1$	0.697
Vaginal delivery	74 (55.2)	22 (56.4)	52 (54.7)	0.860	162 (90.5)**	50 (90.9)	112 (90.3) <sup>‡</sup>	0.626
Female infant	457 (42.5)	16 (41.0)	41 (43.2)	0.821	96 (53.4)*	25 (45.5)	71 (57.3) <sup>†</sup>	0.177
Compliance with LNS	I		73.4 (30.4–99.1)		I	ļ	87.0 (43-100) <sup>‡</sup>	
Total days on LNS	Ι		346 (139–747)	I	Ι	I	356.9 (139–786)	
Receiving calorie supplement	Ι		6 (6.3)	I	Ι	I	118 (95.2) <sup>‡</sup>	
Taking folate supplement at baseline	28 (20.9)	20.5 (8)	21.1 (20)	0.944	**	< O	0#	I
Taking folate supplement at 12 wk	41.0 (55)	69.2 (27)	29.5 (28)	< 0.0001	2.8 (5)**	5.5 (3) <sup>^^</sup>	1.6 (2) <sup>‡</sup>	0.150
Taking folate supplement at 34 wk	30.6 (41)	84.6 (33)	8.4 (8)	< 0.0001	8.9 (16)**	23.6 (13) <sup>^^</sup>	2.4 (3) <sup>†</sup>	<0.0001
<sup>1</sup> Values are means (ranges), percentage study sites were determined by unpair * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.0001; between -LN	es (n), or means $\pm$ SEMs unle ed t test for continuous vari S groups, ^P < 0.05; ^^P <	ess otherwise indica ables and chi-squa 0.0001; and betwe	ted. Significant differences is test for categorical varia en +LNS groups, <sup>†</sup> $P < 0.0$	s ( <i>P</i> < 0.05, indic. ables. Symbols d )5; <sup>‡</sup> <i>P</i> < 0.0001.1	ated in <i>italics</i> ) , between - enote significant differen _NS, small-quantity lipid-l	–LNS and +LNS gro ces between the Gu pased nutrition supp	ups within each study site latemalan and Pakistani c. lement; SES, socioeconor	and between ohorts overall, nic status.

**TABLE 1** Subject characteristics for the primary Guatemalan cohort and for the Pakistani replication cohort<sup>1</sup>

Potential interactions between LNS status and time as well as ppBMI and time were assessed. Interactions were removed from the final LME models as they were not significant (i.e., P > 0.05). Results for the relations of metabolite concentrations with ppBMI, LNS status, and time are reported from the model without interaction terms. Significance was assessed using a conservative Bonferroni correction for 27 metabolites (P < 0.05/27 = 0.00185) in the Guatemalan cohort. Replication in the Pakistani cohort was assessed as having effects in the same direction as the Guatemalan cohort at a nominal significance threshold of P < 0.05 as well as the more conservative Bonferroni threshold of P < 0.00185. Adjusted P values and 95% CIs for ad hoc pairwise comparisons of time of blood sampling were calculated using Tukey's adjustment. Compliance was not considered part of the statistical model, consistent with use of a modified intention-to-treat analysis for other study outcomes (36).

# Results

# Subject characteristics

A total of 134 Guatemalan women were included in this ancillary study (Table 1), in which 29% of women did not consume LNS and 71% of women did. Of the +LNS group, 49.5% (n = 47) started LNS at least 3 mo prior to conception and the remainder (n = 48) initiated LNS at 12 wk of gestation. Six women in the +LNS group received an additional calorie supplement due to inadequate gestational weight gain. Subjects were well matched for several maternal characteristics that included age, parity, height, ppBMI, SES, mode of delivery, and infant sex, as shown in Table 1. Women assigned to the -LNS group were advised to follow local medical care guidelines regarding supplementation with nutrients, including folate. At 34 wk, 84.9% of the women in the -LNS group reported taking folate at least weekly. Women assigned to the intervention were asked to abstain from taking any nonstudy supplements; however, some women did report taking additional folate (Table 1). At study enrollment, 49% of the 134 women were overweight (n = 44) or obese (n = 22). The remaining 68 women had a normal ppBMI.

## 1C metabolite levels

Seven metabolites (carnitine, deoxycarnitine, glutamate, glycine, homocysteine, leucine, and methionine sulfoxide) had missingness >10% and were removed from further analysis. Twenty-three of the 27 remaining metabolites significantly changed over the course of pregnancy (**Table 2**). Only ADMA, arginine, glutathione, and methionine did not statistically change from preconception through 34 wk of pregnancy. The concentrations of 2 metabolites, ADMA and symmetric dimethylarginine (SDMA), were decreased at 34 wk in the +LNS group (**Supplemental Figure 1**). Temporal patterns were not altered by ppBMI, as the removal of ppBMI from the statistical model did not result in considerable change in the relations of 3 metabolites—homoarginine, tyrosine, and valine—were positively associated with ppBMI (Table 2). All results were excluded.

## Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy

Elevated ADMA concentrations have been previously reported to be associated with pregnancy-related hypertension and preeclampsia. As a result, we investigated incidences of these disorders more broadly in this subcohort and the entire Guatemalan study site. Only 6/134 subjects reported a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy (3 women each in the +LNS and -LNS groups). Statistical analysis was not performed to compare ADMA concentrations in the hypertensive compared with the normotensive subjects due to the small number of subjects involved. The incidence of hypertension in the entire Women First Guatemala study site (n = 22) did not vary with supplementation in women who delivered live infants (n = 792, data not shown).

# **Replication cohort**

A total of 179 Pakistani women were included as a replication cohort (Table 1). Similarly to the subject characteristics of the Guatemalan women, subject characteristics of the Pakistani women showed no significant differences between the +LNS and -LNS groups, with the exception of folate supplementation at 34 wk (Table 1). In the Pakistani cohort, unlike the Guatemalan cohort, 95.2% of +LNS subjects received Supplement 2 due to low BMI or insufficient gestational weight gain. There were also significant differences between women from Guatemala and Pakistan regarding ppBMI, maternal height, SES score, mode of delivery, infant sex, and folate supplementation (Table 1). Moreover, these 2 populations have different dietary intake (39).

Despite the differences in subject characteristics and environmental factors between the primary and replication cohorts, 16 of the 23 metabolite concentrations replicated for changes over time (P < 0.05), showing similar fold-change patterns over pregnancy. Of these, all but cysteine replicated at P < 0.00185 (Table 3; Supplemental Figure 2). Choline, taurine, and threonine also changed over pregnancy, but with distinct patterns in the 2 populations. Methionine and arginine did not statistically change over pregnancy in either cohort. Only ADMA and glutathione had temporal changes in the Pakistani subjects (P < 0.00185) but not in the Guatemalan subjects. The effect of supplementation on ADMA and SDMA concentrations at 34 wk in Guatemala was not replicated in Pakistan. Similar to Guatemala, ppBMI did not significantly influence the relations of time and concentration for any metabolite. The positive association of tyrosine concentration with ppBMI seen in Guatemala was replicated (P = 0.0167); isoleucine was positively associated with ppBMI (P = 0.0014) in Pakistan but not in Guatemala.

## Discussion

This study makes a significant contribution toward our understanding of the effect of a LNS intervention and ppBMI on longitudinal 1C metabolite and AA concentrations from prior to conception through late pregnancy. It is particularly important to explore these effects in populations suffering from growth stunting, obesity, and poor nutrition in order to improve the long-term health of offspring exposed to the triple malnutrition burden in utero. We showed that nearly all of the measured 1C metabolites and AAs significantly changed during preg-

				Baseline to 1	2 wk	Baseline to 3	34 wk	12 to 34 v	vk
	Overall								
Metabolite	supplement <i>P</i> value	Overall time P value	Overall ppBMI <i>P</i> value	Fold change (95% Cl)	P value	Fold change (95% CI)	P value	Fold change (95% Cl)	P value
ADMA	6.54E-04	0.395	0.161	0.95 (0.86, 1.04)	0.382	0.98 (0.89, 1.07)	0.807	1.03 (0.95, 1.11)	0.722
Alanine	0.155	2.68E-12	0.066	0.85 (0.79, 0.91)	8.11E-08	0.80 (0.74, 0.86)	2.94E-12	0.94 (0.89, 1.00)	0.048
Arginine	0.418	0.173	0.886	0.97 (0.85, 1.11)	0.890	1.07 (0.93, 1.22)	0.512	1.09 (0.98, 1.23)	0.158
Betaine	0.870	<1.00E-16	0.694	0.46 (0.43, 0.50)	3.46E-14	0.36 (0.33, 0.39)	<1.00E-16	0.78 (0.73, 0.84)	1.34E-13
Choline	0.614	5.39E-04	0.690	1.15 (0.94, 1.41)	0.236	0.86 (0.69, 1.06)	0.200	0.74 (0.62, 0.89)	3.65E-04
Citrulline	0.059	<1.00E-16	0.399	0.67 (0.62, 0.73)	1.72E-14	0.60 (0.56, 0.65)	1.78E-13	0.90 (0.84, 0.96)	7.61E-04
Creatine	0.732	2.22E-16	0.003	1.05 (0.99, 1.12)	0.151	1.43 (1.33, 1.54)*	3.14E-14	1.36 (1.28, 1.44)*	1.96E-13
Creatinine	0.029	<1.00E-16	0.635	0.77 (0.73, 0.81)	1.71E-14	0.78 (0.74, 0.83)	1.95E-13	1.01 (0.97, 1.06)	0.800
Cysteine (total)	0.066	2.26E-06	0.351	1.00 (0.90, 1.12)	0.998	0.82 (0.73, 0.92)	2.53E-04	0.82 (0.75, 0.90)	6.22E-06
Glutamine	0.735	1.49E-04	0.323	0.88 (0.82, 0.95)	4.76E-04	0.88 (0.81, 0.95)	5.06E-04	0.99 (0.93, 1.06)	0.976
Glutathione (total)	0.869	0.072	0.370	1.06 (0.99, 1.14)	0.113	1.01 (0.94, 1.09)	0.911	0.95 (0.90, 1.02)	0.178
Histidine	0.096	2.03E-05	0.056	1.16 (1.07, 1.25)*	2.16E-05	1.07 (0.99, 1.16)	0.087	0.93 (0.87, 0.99)	0.017
Homoarginine	0.089	<1.00E-16	8.48E-04	2.09 (1.85, 2.36)*	<1.00E-16	1.73 (1.52, 1.98)*	6.32E-14	0.83 (0.74, 0.93)	2.58E-04
Isoleucine	0.580	1.95E-04	0.059	0.94 (0.84, 1.04)	0.316	0.83 (0.74, 0.93)	3.13E-04	0.89 (0.81, 0.97)	0.008
Lysine	0.079	4.85E-04	0.007	1.11 (1.03, 1.19)	0.003	1.02 (0.94, 1.10)	0.851	0.92 (0.86, 0.98)	0.005
Methionine	0.166	0.011	0.737	1.14 (1.01, 1.28)	0.027	1.02 (0.91, 1.15)	0.892	0.90 (0.81, 1.00)	0.040
Methylarginine	0.005	6.64E-04	0.703	0.84 (0.76, 0.94)	5.27E-04	0.88 (0.79, 0.98)	0.015	1.04 (0.95, 1.14)	0.544
Ornithine	0.223	< 1.00E-16	0.065	0.79 (0.71, 0.88)	2.69E-06	0.57 (0.51, 0.64)	3.08E-13	0.72 (0.66, 0.79)	1.53E-13
Phenylalanine	0.035	8.48E-04	0.009	1.04 (0.95, 1.14)	0.521	0.92 (0.84, 1.01)	0.090	0.88 (0.82, 0.96)	6.20E-04
Proline	0.326	<1.00E-16	0.438	0.57 (0.53, 0.61)	<1.00E-16	0.54 (0.49, 0.59)	2.00E-13	0.95 (0.88, 1.02)	0.167
SDMA	1.18E-05	< 1.00E-16	0.408	0.98 (0.91, 1.05)	0.723	1.37 (1.28, 1.48)*	2.32E-13	1.40 (1.32, 1.49)*	2.48E-13
Serine	0.028	1.97E-04	0.779	0.92 (0.84, 1.02)	0.132	0.84 (0.76, 0.93)	1.88E-04	0.91 (0.83, 0.99)	0.022
Taurine	0.040	2.01E-05	0.243	1.11 (1.03, 1.20)	0.002	0.99 (0.91, 1.07)	0.924	0.89 (0.83, 0.95)	7.48E-05
Threonine	0.003	8.94E-09	0.003	1.11 (1.01, 1.21)	0.024	1.28 (1.16, 1.41)*	1.45E-08	1.15 (1.07, 1.25)*	1.03E-04
Tryptophan	0.027	6.35E-05	0.029	1.10 (0.99, 1.23)	0.105	0.92 (0.82, 1.03)	0.186	0.84 (0.76, 0.92)	4.19E-05
Tyrosine	0.007	1.54E-05	0.0012	0.90 (0.84, 0.97)	0.005	0.85 (0.78, 0.92)	8.42E-06	0.94 (0.88, 1.01)	0.087
Valine	0.013	7.88E-13	0.0015	0.93 (0.87, 1.01)	0.076	0.79 (0.73, 0.86)	2.14E-11	0.85 (0.80, 0.90)	1.54E-08
<sup>1</sup> As a Bonferroni correction w. decreased at 34 wk in the +LN	as used to account for mu IS group. Homoarginine, t	litiple testing, signific tyrosine, and valine w	ance was set at $P < 0.0$	00185 (indicated in <i>italic</i> d with ppBMI. ADMA, a	s). *Denotes fold symmetric dimet	l changes representing hylarginine; ppBMI, pre	an increase over pregnancy BMI;	r time. Both ADMA and SDMA, symmetric dime	SDMA were ethylarginine.

**6** Gilley et al.

**TABLE 2** Fold change of log-transformed metabolite concentrations over time with *P* values in Guatemalan primary cohort<sup>1</sup>

Overall supplement P Metabolite         Overall supplement P value         Overall P value         Fold change           Metabolite         Value         P value         P value         P value         P value           Alanine         0.443         -1.00E-16         0.858         0.78 (0.72, 0.84)         5.41E-13           Alanine         0.413         <1.00E-16         0.858         0.78 (0.72, 0.84)         5.41E-13           Againe         0.160         0.0483         <1.00E-16         0.858         0.73 (0.64), 0.851         2.54E-13           Againe         0.807         <1.00E-16         0.882         1.766.075         0.318         1.17E-05           Croutine         0.807         <1.00E-16         0.495         0.72 (0.61, 0.85)         1.17E-05           Croutine         0.807         <1.00E-16         0.495         0.73 (0.64, 0.76)         4.01E-13           Croutine         0.631         <1.00E-16         0.127         0.583 (0.53, 0.44)         3.25E-14           Croutine         0.633         <1.00E-16         0.728 (0.51, 0.93)         0.11E-13           Croutine         0.633         <1.00E-16         0.728 (0.53, 0.44)         3.37E-14           Croutine         0.646         0.738 (0.53, 0.74)	Overall timeOverallFold changeP valueDerallFold changeP valueOverallFold change $(1)$ $0.058$ $0.74$ ( $0.68$ , $0.80$ ) $<1.00E-16$ $0.0485$ $0.74$ ( $0.68$ , $0.80$ ) $<1.00E-16$ $0.0485$ $0.74$ ( $0.68$ , $0.80$ ) $<1.00E-16$ $0.0495$ $0.74$ ( $0.68$ , $0.80$ ) $<1.00E-16$ $0.0495$ $0.74$ ( $0.68$ , $0.80$ ) $<1.00E-16$ $0.218$ $0.72$ ( $0.61$ , $0.85$ ) $<1.00E-16$ $0.218$ $0.72$ ( $0.61$ , $0.85$ ) $<1.00E-16$ $0.127$ $0.641$ $0.65$ ( $0.97$ , $1.15$ ) $<1.00E-16$ $0.127$ $0.681$ $0.65$ ( $0.97$ , $1.15$ ) $<1.00E-16$ $0.055$ $0.71$ ( $0.66$ , $0.76$ ) $0.003$ $0.0400$ $0.026$ $0.991$ , $1.16$ $0.0234$ $0.127$ $0.626$ $0.88$ ( $0.82$ , $0.941$ , $1.16$ ) $0.234$ $0.760$ $1.13$ ( $0.95$ , $1.35$ ) $1.09E-05$ $0.0014^{4}$ $0.84$ ( $0.76$ , $0.921$ , $0.921$ $0.122$ $0.0014^{4}$ $0.93$ ( $0.86$ , $1.101$ ) $0.937$ $0.0256$ $0.93$ ( $0.88$ , $1.101$ ) $0.937$ $0.075$ $0.99$ ( $0.88$ , $1.101$ ) $0.937$ $0.075$ $0.99$ ( $0.88$ , $1.101$ ) $0.937$ $0.075$ $0.99$ ( $0.88$ , $1.101$ ) $0.937$ $0.075$ $0.99$ ( $0.88$ , $1.101$ ) $0.937$ $0.075$ $0.99$ ( $0.88$ , $1.101$ ) $0.937$ $0.075$ $0.99$ ( $0.88$ , $1.101$ ) $0.937$ $0.075$ $0.99$ ( $0.88$ , $1.101$ )	Pvalue           5.41E-13           5.41E-13           2.75E-13           1.000           3.25E-14           1.100E-13           0.317           0.317           0.317           0.317           0.018           0.018           0.0317           0.0317           0.317           0.0317           0.0317           0.0317           0.0317           0.0317           0.0317           0.0317           0.0317           0.0317           0.0317           0.0317           0.0317           0.0317           0.0317           0.0317           0.0317           0.0317           0.0318           0.0318           0.0234           0.234           0.234           0.234	Fold change (CI) (CI) (CI) (CI) (CI) (CI) (CI) (CI)	<b>P value</b> 5.22E-08 0.005 0.017 9.48E-13	Fold change	:
upplement P AulueOverall timeOverall ppBMI P valueFold changeMetabolitevalueP valueP valueP valueAlanine0.413 $< 1.00E-16^\circ$ 0.8580.78 (0.72, 0.84)5.41E-13Alanine0.445 $< 1.00E-16^\circ$ 0.8580.78 (0.72, 0.84)5.41E-13Alanine0.16070.00220.01480.74 (0.68, 0.80)2.75E-13Arginine0.1607 $< 0.0024$ 0.01820.78 (0.72, 0.84)5.41E-13Arginine0.807 $< 1.00E-16$ 0.8811.00 (0.90, 1.11)1.000Creatine0.8007 $< 1.00E-16$ 0.6810.72 (0.61, 0.85)1.17E-05Creatine0.8007 $< 1.00E-16$ 0.6810.055 (0.97, 1.15)0.317Creatine0.8003 $< 1.00E-16$ 0.0230.71 (0.66, 0.76)0.916Creatine0.8003 $< 1.00E-16$ 0.0230.71 (0.66, 0.76)0.917Creatine0.8003 $< 1.00E-16$ 0.0230.71 (0.66, 0.76)0.917Creatine0.8003 $< 1.00E-16$ 0.8250.71 (0.66, 0.76)0.916Creatine0.8003 $< 0.234$ 0.72 (0.64, 0.76)0.916Creatine0.8003 $< 1.00E-16$ 0.8250.97 (0.66, 0.76)0.916Creatine0.8003 $< 0.234$ 0.71 (0.66, 0.76)0.9160.916Creatine0.660 $< 1.00E-16$ 0.8250.97 (0.66, 0.76)0.916Creatine0.545 $< 0.0226$ 0.92 (0.86, 1.10)0.918 <td< th=""><th>Overall timeOverallFold changeP valueppBMI P value(CI)<math>(1000E-16^{\circ})</math><math>0.858</math><math>0.78</math><math>0.284</math><math>&lt; 1.00E-16^{\circ}</math><math>0.858</math><math>0.78</math><math>0.84</math><math>&lt; 1.00E-16^{\circ}</math><math>0.318</math><math>0.74</math><math>0.680</math><math>0.80</math><math>&lt; 1.00E-16^{\circ}</math><math>0.318</math><math>0.74</math><math>0.680</math><math>0.90</math><math>&lt; 1.00E-16^{\circ}</math><math>0.318</math><math>0.74</math><math>0.680</math><math>0.74</math><math>&lt; 1.00E-16^{\circ}</math><math>0.218</math><math>0.72</math><math>0.641</math><math>0.85</math><math>&lt; 1.00E-16^{\circ}</math><math>0.218</math><math>0.72</math><math>0.641</math><math>0.85</math><math>&lt; 1.00E-16^{\circ}</math><math>0.218</math><math>0.72</math><math>0.641</math><math>0.72</math><math>&lt; 1.00E-16^{\circ}</math><math>0.127</math><math>0.72</math><math>0.71</math><math>0.991</math><math>&lt; 1.00E-16^{\circ}</math><math>0.127</math><math>0.681</math><math>0.72</math><math>0.991</math><math>&lt; 1.00E-16^{\circ}</math><math>0.127</math><math>0.127</math><math>0.64</math><math>0.74</math><math>&lt; 0.003</math><math>0.0255</math><math>0.71</math><math>0.662</math><math>0.931</math><math>0.736</math><math>0.825</math><math>1.02</math><math>0.931</math><math>0.991</math><math>0.736</math><math>0.825</math><math>0.760</math><math>0.921</math><math>0.921</math><math>0.234</math><math>0.760</math><math>0.760</math><math>0.921</math><math>0.921</math><math>0.2256</math><math>0.0014^{\circ}</math><math>0.931</math><math>0.86</math><math>0.101</math><math>0.937</math><math>0.075</math><math>0.93</math><math>0.86</math><math>0.911</math><math>0.726</math><math>0.3279</math><math>0.921</math><math>0.991</math><math>0.921</math><math>0.726</math><math>0.927</math><math>0.926</math><math>0.990</math><math>0.921</math><math>0.726</math><math>0.726</math><math>0.926</math><math>0.920</math><math>0.921</math><math>0.726</math><math>0.726</math><math>0.926</math><math>0.921</math><math>0.921</math></th><th>P value           5.41E-13           2.75E-13           1.006-13           3.25F-14           1.17E-05           1.17E-05           1.17E-05           1.17E-05           0.317           0.317           0.018           0.018           0.018           0.018           0.234           0.234           0.234           0.234           0.234           0.234</th><th>Fold change (Cl) 0.83 (0.77, 0.90) 0.93 (0.77, 0.90) 0.90 (0.83, 0.97) 1.13 (1.02, 1.26) 0.46 (0.58, 0.81) 0.54 (0.49, 0.59) 1.38 (1.26, 1.50) 0.58 (0.80, 0.96) 0.98 (0.85, 1.12) 0.98 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.95) 0.99 (0.95) 0.99 (0.95) 0.99 (0.95) 0.99 (0.95) 0.96 (0.95) 0</th><th><b>P value</b> 5.22E-08 0.005 0.017 9.48E-13</th><th>Fold change</th><th></th></td<>	Overall timeOverallFold changeP valueppBMI P value(CI) $(1000E-16^{\circ})$ $0.858$ $0.78$ $0.284$ $< 1.00E-16^{\circ}$ $0.858$ $0.78$ $0.84$ $< 1.00E-16^{\circ}$ $0.318$ $0.74$ $0.680$ $0.80$ $< 1.00E-16^{\circ}$ $0.318$ $0.74$ $0.680$ $0.90$ $< 1.00E-16^{\circ}$ $0.318$ $0.74$ $0.680$ $0.74$ $< 1.00E-16^{\circ}$ $0.218$ $0.72$ $0.641$ $0.85$ $< 1.00E-16^{\circ}$ $0.218$ $0.72$ $0.641$ $0.85$ $< 1.00E-16^{\circ}$ $0.218$ $0.72$ $0.641$ $0.72$ $< 1.00E-16^{\circ}$ $0.127$ $0.72$ $0.71$ $0.991$ $< 1.00E-16^{\circ}$ $0.127$ $0.681$ $0.72$ $0.991$ $< 1.00E-16^{\circ}$ $0.127$ $0.127$ $0.64$ $0.74$ $< 0.003$ $0.0255$ $0.71$ $0.662$ $0.931$ $0.736$ $0.825$ $1.02$ $0.931$ $0.991$ $0.736$ $0.825$ $0.760$ $0.921$ $0.921$ $0.234$ $0.760$ $0.760$ $0.921$ $0.921$ $0.2256$ $0.0014^{\circ}$ $0.931$ $0.86$ $0.101$ $0.937$ $0.075$ $0.93$ $0.86$ $0.911$ $0.726$ $0.3279$ $0.921$ $0.991$ $0.921$ $0.726$ $0.927$ $0.926$ $0.990$ $0.921$ $0.726$ $0.726$ $0.926$ $0.920$ $0.921$ $0.726$ $0.726$ $0.926$ $0.921$ $0.921$	P value           5.41E-13           2.75E-13           1.006-13           3.25F-14           1.17E-05           1.17E-05           1.17E-05           1.17E-05           0.317           0.317           0.018           0.018           0.018           0.018           0.234           0.234           0.234           0.234           0.234           0.234	Fold change (Cl) 0.83 (0.77, 0.90) 0.93 (0.77, 0.90) 0.90 (0.83, 0.97) 1.13 (1.02, 1.26) 0.46 (0.58, 0.81) 0.54 (0.49, 0.59) 1.38 (1.26, 1.50) 0.58 (0.80, 0.96) 0.98 (0.85, 1.12) 0.98 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.85, 1.12) 0.99 (0.95) 0.99 (0.95) 0.99 (0.95) 0.99 (0.95) 0.99 (0.95) 0.96 (0.95) 0	<b>P value</b> 5.22E-08 0.005 0.017 9.48E-13	Fold change	
ADMA $0.413$ $< 1.00E-16^{\circ}$ $0.358$ $0.78$ $0.72$ $0.844$ $5.41E-13$ Alanine $0.445$ $< 1.00E-16$ $0.048$ $0.74$ $(0.68, 0.80)$ $2.75E-13$ Arginine $0.160$ $0.016$ $0.0022$ $0.3318$ $1.00$ $(0.90, 1.11)$ $1.000$ Betaine $0.160$ $0.807$ $< 1.00E-16$ $0.2495$ $0.53$ $0.544$ $3.25E-14$ Detaine $0.807$ $< 1.00E-16$ $0.218$ $0.72$ $0.54$ $3.25E-14$ Detaine $0.310$ $< 1.00E-16$ $0.2318$ $0.771$ $1.00E-13$ $3.25E-14$ Creatine $0.331$ $< 1.00E-16$ $0.2318$ $0.771$ $1.00E-13$ $3.25E-14$ Creatine $0.631$ $< 1.00E-16$ $0.2318$ $0.771$ $1.00E-13$ $0.317$ Creatine $0.631$ $< 1.00E-16$ $0.127$ $1.02$ $0.971$ $1.00E-13$ Creatine $0.631$ $< 0.650$ $1.49E-05$ $0.321$ $0.317$ Creatine $0.631$ $0.650$ $1.48E-05$ $0.371$ $0.317$ Creatine $0.631$ $0.650$ $1.48E-05$ $0.321$ $0.317$ Creatine $0.631$ $0.650$ $1.48E-05$ $0.321$ $0.317$ Creatine $0.660$ $1.48E-05$ $0.325$ $0.71$ $0.660$ $0.79$ Custice $0.256$ $0.280$ $0.220$ $0.280$ $0.276$ $0.316$ Custice $0.127$ $0.222$ $0.224$ $0.76$ $0.98$ $0.76$ Custi	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	5.41E-13 2.75E-13 1.000 3.25E-14 1.17E-05 1.07E-13 0.317 4.01E-13 0.317 0.317 0.317 1.05E-13 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.057 1.63E-05 0.234 0.230E-05	0.83 (0.77, 0.90) 0.90 (0.83, 0.97) 1.13 (1.02, 1.26) 0.42 (0.38, 0.46) 0.68 (0.58, 0.81) 0.54 (0.49, 0.59) 1.38 (1.26, 1.50) 0.74, 0.84) 0.88 (0.85, 1.12) 0.98 (0.85, 1.12)	5.22E-08 0.005 0.017 9.48E-13	(CI)	P value
Alanine $0.445$ $< 1.00E \cdot 16$ $0.048$ $0.74 (0.68, 0.80)$ $2.75E \cdot 13$ Arginine $0.160$ $0.002$ $0.002$ $0.318$ $1.00 (0.90, 1.11)$ $1.000$ Betaine $0.307$ $< -1.00E \cdot 16$ $0.3495$ $0.53 (0.53, 0.64)$ $3.25E \cdot 14$ Choline $0.302$ $0.127$ $0.681$ $0.56 (0.59, 0.77)$ $1.00E \cdot 13$ Cruulline $0.710$ $< -1.00E \cdot 16$ $0.681$ $0.65 (0.59, 0.77)$ $1.00E \cdot 13$ Cruulline $0.730$ $< -1.00E \cdot 16$ $0.681$ $0.65 (0.59, 0.77)$ $1.00E \cdot 13$ Creatine $0.800$ $< -1.00E \cdot 16$ $0.736$ $0.71 (0.66, 0.76)$ $4.01E \cdot 13$ Creatine $0.631$ $< -1.00E \cdot 16$ $0.033$ $0.400$ $0.90 (0.82, 0.99)$ $0.317$ Creatine $0.633$ $< -1.00E \cdot 16$ $0.023$ $0.726$ $0.88 (0.82, 0.94)$ $0.376$ Glutamine $0.660$ $1.47E \cdot 05$ $0.226$ $0.80 (0.82, 0.94)$ $1.656 \cdot 0.57$ Glutathine (total) $0.660$ $1.47E \cdot 05$ $0.726$ $0.86 (0.71, 0.92)$ $2.90E \cdot 05$ Histiche $0.127$ $0.234$ $0.7760$ $1.13 (0.96, 0.72)$ $2.96E \cdot 05$ Homoarginine $0.122$ $0.25E \cdot 0.80 (0.71, 0.92)$ $2.90E \cdot 05$ Methylanine $0.122$ $2.55E \cdot 08$ $0.0014^{4^{1}}$ $0.84 (0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90E \cdot 05$ Methylanine $0.122$ $2.55E \cdot 08$ $0.0214^{4^{1}}$ $0.94 (0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90E \cdot 05$ Methylanine $0.123$ $0.1229$ $0.10$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.755-13 2.755-13 1.000 3.255-14 1.17E-05 1.17E-05 1.17E-05 0.317 4.01E-13 0.317 4.01E-13 0.317 1.0657 1.63E-05 0.234 0.234 0.234 0.234 0.234 0.234 0.234 0.234 0.234 0.234 0.234 0.2356-05 0.2346 0.234 0.2346 0.2346 0.2466 0.2466 0.2466 0.2466 0.24666 0.246666 0.246666 0.24666666666666666666666666666666666666	0.90 (0.83, 0.97) 1.13 (1.02, 1.26) 0.42 (0.38, 0.46) 0.68 (0.58, 0.81) 0.54 (0.49, 0.59) 1.38 (1.26, 1.50) 0.79 (0.74, 0.84) 0.88 (0.80, 0.96) 0.98 (0.85, 1.12)	0.005 0.017 9.48E-13	1.07 (1.00, 1.15)	0.035
Arginine0.1600.0020.3181.00 (0.90, 1.11)1.000Betaine0.807<1.00E-16	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.000 3.25E-14 1.17E-05 1.00E-13 0.317 4.01E-13 0.317 4.01E-13 0.057 1.63E-05 0.234 9.45E-06 * 9.45E-06	1.13 (1.02, 1.26) 0.42 (0.38, 0.46) 0.68 (0.58, 0.81) 0.54 (0.49, 0.59) 1.38 (1.26, 1.50) 0.79 (0.74, 0.84) 0.88 (0.80, 0.96) 0.98 (0.85, 1.12)	0.017 9.48E-13	1.22 (1.14, 1.31)	4.92E-10
Betaine $0.807$ <1.00E-16 $0.495$ $0.58$ ( $0.53$ , $0.64$ ) $3.25E-14$ Choline $0.882$ $1.76E-07$ $0.218$ $0.72$ ( $0.61$ , $0.85$ ) $1.17E-05$ Citrulline $0.710$ $<1.00E-16$ $0.681$ $0.65$ ( $0.57$ , $0.71$ ) $1.00E-13$ Creatine $0.631$ $<1.00E-16$ $0.127$ $1.05$ ( $0.97$ , $1.15$ ) $0.317$ Creatine $0.631$ $<1.00E-16$ $0.055$ $0.71$ ( $0.66$ , $0.76$ ) $4.01E-13$ Creatine $0.631$ $<1.00E-16$ $0.0055$ $0.71$ ( $0.66$ , $0.76$ ) $4.01E-13$ Creatine $0.545$ $0.033$ $0.0055$ $0.71$ ( $0.87$ , $0.79$ ) $4.01E-13$ Cutathione $0.545$ $0.033$ $0.234$ $0.235$ $0.234$ $0.357$ Cutathione $0.660$ $1.49F-05^{\circ}$ $0.226$ $0.88$ ( $0.82$ , $0.94$ ) $1.626$ Cutathione $0.660$ $1.49F-05^{\circ}$ $0.226$ $0.88$ ( $0.101$ ) $0.957$ Cutathione $0.660$ $1.49F-05^{\circ}$ $0.226$ $0.88$ ( $0.101$ ) $0.976$ Uncorrelation $0.163$ $1.026$ $0.234$ $1.02$ $0.97$ Lysine $0.127$ $2.55E-08$ $0.0014^{\circ}$ $0.84$ ( $0.76$ , $0.92$ ) $2.90E-05$ Lysine $0.127$ $2.55E-08$ $0.0014^{\circ}$ $0.84$ ( $0.76$ , $0.92$ ) $2.90E-05$ Lysine $0.127$ $2.55E-08$ $0.234$ $1.02$ $0.94$ ( $0.76$ , $0.92$ ) $2.90E-05$ Lysine $0.036$ $0.127$ $2.55E-08$ $0.94$ ( $0.76$ , $0.84$ , $1.01$ ) $0.96$ </td <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td>3.25E-14         1.17E-05         1.17E-05         1.00E-13         0.317         0.317         0.317         0.317         0.317         1.0657         0.317         0.0317         1.0557         0.0318         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0318         0.0318         0.0334         0.234         0.234         0.234         0.234         0.234         0.234</td> <td>0.42 (0.38, 0.46) 0.68 (0.58, 0.81) 0.54 (0.49, 0.59) 1.38 (1.26, 1.50) 0.79 (0.74, 0.84) 0.88 (0.80, 0.96) 0.98 (0.85, 1.12)</td> <td>9.48E-13</td> <td>1.14 (1.03, 1.25)</td> <td>0.006</td>	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.25E-14         1.17E-05         1.17E-05         1.00E-13         0.317         0.317         0.317         0.317         0.317         1.0657         0.317         0.0317         1.0557         0.0318         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0317         0.0318         0.0318         0.0334         0.234         0.234         0.234         0.234         0.234         0.234	0.42 (0.38, 0.46) 0.68 (0.58, 0.81) 0.54 (0.49, 0.59) 1.38 (1.26, 1.50) 0.79 (0.74, 0.84) 0.88 (0.80, 0.96) 0.98 (0.85, 1.12)	9.48E-13	1.14 (1.03, 1.25)	0.006
Choline $0.882$ $1.76E-07$ $0.218$ $0.72$ $(0.61, 0.85)$ $1.17E-05$ Citrulline $0.710$ $<1.00E-16$ $0.681$ $0.65$ $(0.57, 0.71)$ $1.00E-13$ Creatine $0.300$ $<1.00E-16$ $0.127$ $1.05$ $(0.77, 115)$ $0.317$ Creatinine $0.631$ $<1.00E-16$ $0.055$ $0.71$ $(0.66, 0.76)$ $4.01E-13$ Creatinine $0.631$ $<1.00E-16$ $0.0325$ $0.71$ $(0.66, 0.76)$ $4.01E-13$ Cysteine (total) $0.545$ $0.736$ $0.232$ $0.71$ $(0.82, 0.94)$ $1.0557$ Glutamine $0.6602$ $1.47E-05^6$ $0.232$ $0.38$ $0.82$ $0.347$ Histoine $0.163$ $1.47E-05^6$ $0.234$ $0.756$ $0.98$ $0.957$ Soleucine $0.163$ $1.09E-05$ $0.2766$ $0.98$ $0.0014^4^\circ$ $0.946$ Histoine $0.163$ $0.122$ $0.256$ $0.93$ $0.66, 1.01$ $0.956$ Isoleucine $0.127$ $2.55E-08$ $0.0014^4^\circ$ $0.84$ $0.79$ $2.90E-05$ Issine $0.1602$ $0.234$ $1.006-16^\circ$ $0.34$ $0.026$ $0.98$ $0.101$ Methylarginine $0.1602$ $0.326$ $0.38$ $0.0014^4^\circ$ $0.94$ $0.66, 0.702$ $2.90E-05$ Usine $0.127$ $0.256$ $0.93$ $0.0014^\circ$ $0.94$ $0.66, 0.71$ $0.960$ Methylarginine $0.032$ $0.0014^\circ$ $0.256$ $0.92$ $0.026$ $0.766$ Methy	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.17E-05 1.00E-13 0.317 0.317 0.317 0.317 1.00E-13 0.317 0.227 0.237 0.2270 0.2270 0.2270 0.2270 0.2270 0.2270 0.2270 0.2270 0.22700 0.22700 0.22700 0.22700 0.22700 0.2270000000000	0.68 (0.58, 0.81) 0.54 (0.49, 0.59) 1.38 (1.26, 1.50) 0.79 (0.74, 0.84) 0.88 (0.80, 0.96) 0.98 (0.85, 1.12)		0.72 (0.66, 0.78)	7.22E-13
Citrulline $0.710$ $< 1.00E-16$ $0.681$ $0.65 (0.59, 0.71)$ $1.00E-13$ Creatine $0.800$ $< 1.00E-16$ $0.127$ $1.05 (0.97, 1.15)$ $0.317$ Creatine $0.631$ $< 1.00E-16$ $0.055$ $0.71 (0.66, 0.76)$ $4.01E-13$ Creatine $0.631$ $< 1.00E-16$ $0.035$ $0.71 (0.66, 0.76)$ $4.01E-13$ Cysteine (total) $0.545$ $0.003$ $0.736$ $0.736$ $0.71 (0.66, 0.76)$ $4.01E-13$ Cysteine (total) $0.545$ $0.003$ $0.736$ $0.736$ $0.71 (0.66, 0.76)$ $4.01E-13$ Cysteine (total) $0.660$ $1.49F-05^{2}$ $0.736$ $0.234$ $0.72 (0.82, 0.94)$ $1.057$ Glutathione $0.600$ $1.49F-05^{2}$ $0.234$ $0.72 (0.82, 0.94)$ $1.63E-05$ Histidine $0.163$ $1.49F-05^{2}$ $0.234$ $0.72 (0.87, 0.92)$ $1.63E-05$ Solucine $0.122$ $1.09F-05$ $0.234$ $0.0714^{4}$ $0.84 (0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90E-05$ Homoarginine $0.122$ $2.55E-08$ $0.0014^{4}$ $0.84 (0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90E-05$ Lysine $0.122$ $2.55E-08$ $0.0014^{4}$ $0.84 (0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90E-05$ Lysine $0.0360$ $0.122$ $2.55E-08$ $0.0014^{4}$ $0.84 (0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90E-05$ Methionine $0.0326$ $0.0014^{4}$ $0.24 (0.86, 1.01)$ $0.706$ $0.706$ $0.706$ Methionine $0.386$ $0.0014^{4}$ $0.24 (0.86, 1.02)$ $0.776$ $0.770$ $0.796$ <tr< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td><td>1.00E-13           0.317           0.317           0.317           0.317           0.317           0.317           0.317           1.00E-13           0.018           0.018           0.018           0.037           0.037           0.037           0.037           0.037           0.037           0.037           0.038           0.038           0.334           0.334           0.234           0.234           0.234           0.234           0.234           0.234           0.290</td><td>0.54 (0.49, 0.59) 1.38 (1.26, 1.50) 0.79 (0.74, 0.84) 0.88 (0.80, 0.96) 0.98 (0.85, 1.12)</td><td>6.35E-07</td><td>0.95 (0.82, 1.10)</td><td>0.677</td></tr<>	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.00E-13           0.317           0.317           0.317           0.317           0.317           0.317           0.317           1.00E-13           0.018           0.018           0.018           0.037           0.037           0.037           0.037           0.037           0.037           0.037           0.038           0.038           0.334           0.334           0.234           0.234           0.234           0.234           0.234           0.234           0.290	0.54 (0.49, 0.59) 1.38 (1.26, 1.50) 0.79 (0.74, 0.84) 0.88 (0.80, 0.96) 0.98 (0.85, 1.12)	6.35E-07	0.95 (0.82, 1.10)	0.677
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.317 0.4.01E-13 0.018 0.957 1.63E-05 0.234 0.234 0.234 2.90E-05	1.38 (1.26, 1.50) 0.79 (0.74, 0.84) 0.88 (0.80, 0.96) 0.98 (0.85, 1.12)	1.21E-12	0.83 (0.77, 0.90)	4.05E-07
Creatinine $0.631$ $< 1.00E-16$ $0.055$ $0.71$ $(0.66, 0.76)$ $4.01E-13$ Cysteine (total) $0.545$ $0.003$ $0.400$ $0.90$ $(0.82, 0.99)$ $0.018$ Cysteine (total) $0.545$ $0.736$ $0.825$ $1.02$ $(0.82, 0.94)$ $1.63E-05$ Glutathione (total) $0.660$ $1.49E-05^{\wedge}$ $0.626$ $0.88$ $(0.82, 0.94)$ $1.63E-05$ Histidine $0.6602$ $0.234$ $0.760$ $1.13$ $(0.95, 1.35)$ $0.234$ Homoarginine $0.163$ $1.09E-05$ $0.252$ $0.234$ $0.760$ $1.14$ $1.48$ Homoarginine $0.127$ $2.55E-08$ $0.760$ $1.13$ $(0.92, 0.92)$ $2.90E-05$ Lysine $0.127$ $2.55E-08$ $0.0014^{\wedge}$ $0.84$ $(0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90E-05$ Lysine $0.360$ $0.722$ $0.256$ $0.99$ $(0.8, 1.10)$ $0.960$ Metholine $0.127$ $2.55E-08$ $0.0014^{\wedge}$ $0.84$ $(0.71, 0.89)$ $4.04E-06$ Usine $0.386$ $0.122$ $0.256$ $0.99$ $(0.8, 1.10)$ $0.960$ Metholine $0.386$ $0.100$ $1.61E-07$ $0.224$ $0.94$ $(0.71, 0.89)$ $4.04E-06$ Orrithine $0.726$ $0.97$ $0.224$ $0.264$ $0.91$ $7.97E-10$ Prenylalanine $0.788$ $0.720$ $0.72$ $0.66$ $0.766$ $0.766$ Proline $0.228$ $0.021$ $0.224$ $0.94$ $0.66$ $0.766$ Proline <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td><ul> <li>4.01E-13</li> <li>0.018</li> <li>0.957</li> <li>0.957</li> <li>1.63E-05</li> <li>0.234</li> <li>9.45E-06</li> <li>2.90E-05</li> </ul></td> <td>0.79 (0.74, 0.84) 0.88 (0.80, 0.96) 0.98 (0.85, 1.12)</td> <td>9.09E-13</td> <td>1.31 (1.21, 1.41)*</td> <td>8.20E-13</td>	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<ul> <li>4.01E-13</li> <li>0.018</li> <li>0.957</li> <li>0.957</li> <li>1.63E-05</li> <li>0.234</li> <li>9.45E-06</li> <li>2.90E-05</li> </ul>	0.79 (0.74, 0.84) 0.88 (0.80, 0.96) 0.98 (0.85, 1.12)	9.09E-13	1.31 (1.21, 1.41)*	8.20E-13
Cysteine (total) $0.545$ $0.003$ $0.400$ $0.90(0.82, 0.99)$ $0.018$ Glutamine $0.698$ $0.736$ $0.825$ $1.02(0.89, 1.16)$ $0.957$ Glutathione (total) $0.660$ $1.49F-05^{\wedge}$ $0.626$ $0.88(0.82, 0.94)$ $1.63E-05$ Histidine $0.602$ $0.234$ $0.760$ $1.13(0.95, 1.35)$ $0.234$ Homoarginine $0.163$ $1.09F-05$ $0.224$ $0.760$ $1.13(0.95, 1.35)$ $0.234$ Homoarginine $0.163$ $1.09F-05$ $0.2542$ $1.30(1.14, 1.48)^*$ $9.45F-06$ Isoleucine $0.127$ $2.55F-08$ $0.0014^{\wedge}$ $0.84(0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90F-05$ Lysine $0.127$ $2.55F-08$ $0.0014^{\wedge}$ $0.84(0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90F-05$ Lysine $0.127$ $2.55F-08$ $0.0014^{\wedge}$ $0.84(0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90F-05$ Lysine $0.127$ $2.55F-08$ $0.0014^{\wedge}$ $0.84(0.76, 0.92)$ $2.97F-06$ Methylarginine $0.127$ $2.55F-08$ $0.0014^{\wedge}$ $0.84(0.76, 0.92)$ $2.97F-10$ Methylarginine $0.3260$ $0.937$ $0.224$ $0.94(0.86, 1.10)$ $0.960$ Phenylalanine $0.784$ $0.740$ $0.836$ $0.722$ $0.726(0.60, 0.72)$ $7.97E-14$ Phenylalanine $0.784$ $0.740$ $0.834$ $0.79(0.75, 0.85)$ $2.62E-13$ Serine $0.832$ $0.431$ $0.73(0.65, 0.82)$ $7.77E-09$ Taurine $0.832$ $0.700$ $0.823$ $0.779$ $0.779$ Serine $0.70$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.018 0.957 1.63E-05 0.234 0.234 2.90E-05	0.88 (0.80, 0.96) 0.98 (0.85, 1.12)	9.99E-13	1.11 (1.04, 1.18)	2.29E-04
Glutamine $0.698$ $0.736$ $0.825$ $1.02 (0.89, 1.16)$ $0.957$ Glutathione (total) $0.660$ $1.49E-05^{\wedge}$ $0.626$ $0.88 (0.82, 0.94)$ $1.63E-05$ Histidine $0.602$ $0.234$ $0.760$ $1.13 (0.95, 1.35)$ $0.234$ Homoarginine $0.163$ $1.09E-05$ $0.234$ $0.760$ $1.13 (0.95, 1.35)$ $0.234$ Homoarginine $0.163$ $1.09E-05$ $0.224$ $0.760$ $1.13 (0.95, 1.35)$ $0.234$ Homoarginine $0.127$ $2.55E-08$ $0.0014^{\wedge}$ $0.84 (0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90E-05$ Lysine $0.127$ $2.55E-08$ $0.0014^{\wedge}$ $0.84 (0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90E-05$ Lysine $0.127$ $2.55E-08$ $0.0014^{\wedge}$ $0.84 (0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90E-05$ Lysine $0.3260$ $0.937$ $0.226$ $0.32 (0.84, 1.01)$ $0.108$ Methylarginine $1.000$ $1.61E-07$ $0.075$ $0.38 (0.71, 0.89)$ $4.04E-06$ Ornithine $0.784$ $0.140$ $0.224$ $0.94 (0.86, 1.02)$ $0.168$ Phenylalanine $0.784$ $0.740$ $0.74, 0.81$ $7.97E-14$ Proline $0.784$ $0.140$ $0.224$ $0.73 (0.65, 0.82)$ $7.77E-09$ Solma $0.832$ $0.146$ $0.731$ $0.79 (0.75, 0.85)$ $2.62E-13$ Taurine $0.706$ $0.714$ $0.824$ $0.73 (0.65, 0.82)$ $7.77E-09$ Theonine $0.700$ $0.823$ $0.779$ $0.834$ $0.037$ Threonine $0.744$ $0.834$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<ul> <li>0.957</li> <li>0.957</li> <li>1.63E-05</li> <li>0.234</li> <li>9.45E-06</li> <li>2.90E-05</li> </ul>	0.98 (0.85, 1.12)	0.003	0.98 (0.90, 1.06)	0.753
Glutathione (total) $0.660$ $1.49E-05^{\wedge}$ $0.626$ $0.88 (0.82, 0.94)$ $1.63E-05$ Histidine $0.602$ $0.234$ $0.760$ $1.13 (0.95, 1.35)$ $0.234$ Homoarginine $0.163$ $1.09E-05$ $0.234$ $1.30 (1.14, 1.48)^*$ $9.45E-06$ Isoleucine $0.127$ $2.55E-08$ $0.0014^{\wedge}$ $0.84 (0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90E-05$ Lysine $0.127$ $2.55E-08$ $0.0014^{\wedge}$ $0.84 (0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90E-05$ Lysine $0.127$ $2.55E-08$ $0.0014^{\wedge}$ $0.84 (0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90E-05$ Lysine $0.3260$ $0.937$ $0.2266$ $0.93 (0.84, 1.01)$ $0.108$ Methionine $0.3260$ $0.937$ $0.0755$ $0.99 (0.88, 1.10)$ $0.960$ Methioline $0.3260$ $0.937$ $0.075$ $0.93 (0.71, 0.89)$ $4.04E-06$ Ornithine $0.3260$ $0.784$ $0.140$ $0.724$ $0.94 (0.86, 1.02)$ $0.168$ Phenylalanine $0.784$ $0.140$ $0.224$ $0.74 (0.86, 1.02)$ $7.97E-14$ Proline $0.784$ $0.740$ $0.740$ $0.742$ $7.95E-14$ Soma $0.229$ $<1.00E-16$ $0.224$ $0.79 (0.75, 0.85)$ $2.62E-13$ Serine $0.706$ $0.832$ $0.79 (0.75, 0.85)$ $2.62E-13$ Taurine $0.744$ $0.834$ $0.79 (0.90, 0.72)$ $7.77E-09$ Theonine $0.706$ $0.719$ $0.79 (0.80, 0.72)$ $7.77E-09$ Taurine $0.744$ $0.834$ $0.779$ $0.798 (0.80, 1.00)$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.63E-05 0.234 9.45E-06 2.90E-05		0.913	0.96 (0.85, 1.08)	0.723
Histidine $0.602$ $0.234$ $0.760$ $1.13$ $(0.95, 1.35)$ $0.234$ Homoarginine $0.163$ $1.09E-05$ $0.542$ $1.30$ $(1.14, 1.48)^*$ $9.45E-06$ Isoleucine $0.127$ $2.55E-08$ $0.0014^{\wedge}$ $0.84$ $(0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90E-05$ Lysine $0.127$ $2.55E-08$ $0.0014^{\wedge}$ $0.84$ $(0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90E-05$ Lysine $0.127$ $2.55E-08$ $0.0014^{\wedge}$ $0.84$ $(0.76, 0.92)$ $2.90E-05$ Lysine $0.3260$ $0.937$ $0.226$ $0.93$ $(0.84, 1.01)$ $0.108$ Methylarginine $1.000$ $1.61E-07$ $0.075$ $0.80$ $(0.71, 0.89)$ $4.04E-06$ Ornithine $0.784$ $0.140$ $0.224$ $0.94$ $(0.81, 1.02)$ $0.168$ Phenylalanine $0.784$ $0.140$ $0.224$ $0.94$ $(0.86, 0.72)$ $7.97E-10$ Proline $0.285$ $<1.00E-16$ $0.140$ $0.224$ $0.79$ $(0.72)$ $7.95E-14$ Solma $0.032$ $<1.00E-16$ $0.140$ $0.224$ $0.79$ $(0.72)$ $7.95E-14$ Solma $0.032$ $<1.00E-16$ $0.728$ $0.79$ $(0.72)$ $7.95E-14$ Serine $0.832$ $1.46E-09$ $0.834$ $0.79$ $(0.80, 1.00)$ $0.037$ Taurine $0.706$ $0.010$ $0.823$ $0.777$ $0.88$ $0.090$ $0.037$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.234 )* 9.45E-06 2.90E-05	0.90 (0.84, 0.96)	7.02E-04	1.02 (0.96, 1.09)	0.666
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	1.09E-05         0.542         1.30 (1.14, 1.48)           2.55E-08         0.0014^{^{\circ}}         0.84 (0.76, 0.92)           0.122         0.256         0.93 (0.86, 1.01)           0.937         0.328         0.99 (0.88, 1.10)           0.937         0.328         0.99 (0.88, 1.10)           1.61E-07         0.075         0.90 (0.71, 0.89)           <1.06E-16	)* 9.45E-06 2.90E-05	1.11 (0.92, 1.32)	0.385	0.98 (0.83, 1.15)	0.941
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	2.55E-08 0.0014 <sup>^{\begin{tabular}{c} 0.84 (0.76, 0.92) 0.122 0.256 0.93 (0.86, 1.01) 0.937 0.328 0.99 (0.88, 1.10) 0.937 1.61E-07 0.075 0.80 (0.71, 0.89)   </sup>	2.90E-05	1.25 (1.09, 1.43)*	4.16E-04	0.96 (0.85, 1.08)	0.672
Lysine $0.998$ $0.122$ $0.256$ $0.93 (0.86, 1.01)$ $0.108$ Methionine $0.360$ $0.937$ $0.232$ $0.99 (0.88, 1.10)$ $0.960$ Methionine $0.360$ $0.937$ $0.328$ $0.99 (0.88, 1.10)$ $0.960$ Methionine $1.000$ $1.61E-07$ $0.075$ $0.80 (0.71, 0.89)$ $4.04E-06$ Ornithine $0.784$ $0.140$ $0.224$ $0.94 (0.86, 1.02)$ $0.168$ Phenylalanine $0.784$ $0.140$ $0.224$ $0.94 (0.86, 1.02)$ $0.168$ Proline $0.028$ $<1.00E-16$ $0.431$ $0.66 (0.60, 0.72)$ $7.97E-10$ Solution $0.032$ $<1.00E-16$ $0.728$ $0.79 (0.75, 0.85)$ $2.62E-13$ Serine $0.032$ $0.010$ $0.823$ $0.834$ $0.73 (0.65, 0.82)$ $7.77E-09$ Taurine $0.706$ $0.010$ $0.823$ $0.980 (0.09) (0.98)$ $0.007$ Threonine $0.434$ $3.19E-11$ $0.719$ $0.088 (0.80, 0.98)$ $0.007$	0.122 0.256 0.93 (0.86, 1.01) 0.937 0.328 0.99 (0.88, 1.10) 1.61E-07 0.075 0.80 (0.71, 0.89) <1.00E-16 0.359 0.72 (0.64, 0.81)	0010	0.79 (0.72, 0.84)	3.12E-08	0.94 (0.87, 1.03)	0.235
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0.937 0.328 0.99 (0.88, 1.10) 1.61E-07 0.075 0.80 (0.71, 0.89) <1.00E-16 0.359 0.72 (0.64, 0.81)	0.100	0.96 (0.88, 1.04)	0.371	1.02 (0.95, 1.10)	0.746
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	1.61E-07 0.075 0.80 (0.71, 0.89) <1.00E-16 0.359 0.72 (0.64, 0.81)	0.960	1.00 (0.89, 1.12)	1.000	1.01 (0.92, 1.12)	0.943
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	<pre>&lt;1.00E-16 0.359 0.72 (0.64, 0.81)</pre>	) 4.04E-06	0.79 (0.71, 0.88)	1.75E-06	0.99 (0.90, 1.09)	0.980
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		) 7.97E-10	0.59 (0.52, 0.66)	7.30E-13	0.81 (0.73, 0.91)	2.07E-05
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0.140 0.224 0.94 (0.86, 1.02)	0.168	0.94 (0.87, 1.02)	0.209	1.00 (0.93, 1.08)	0.995
SDMA         0.229         <1.00E-16         0.728         0.79 (0.75, 0.85)         2.62E-13           Serine         0.832         1.46E-09         0.834         0.73 (0.65, 0.82)         7.77E-09           Taurine         0.706         0.010         0.823         0.809 (0.80, 1.00)         0.037           Threonine         0.434         3.19E-11         0.719         0.88 (0.80, 0.98)         0.009	<1.00E-16 0.431 0.66 (0.60, 0.72)	) 7.95E-14	0.76 (0.69, 0.84)	1.88E-10	1.16 (1.07, 1.26)	1.59E-04
Serine         0.832         1.46E-09         0.834         0.73 (0.65, 0.82)         7.77E-09           Taurine         0.706         0.010         0.823         0.89 (0.80, 1.00)         0.037           Threonine         0.434         3.19E-11         0.719         0.88 (0.80, 0.98)         0.009	<1.00E-16 0.728 0.79 (0.75, 0.85)	2.62E-13	1.05 (0.98, 1.12)	0.184	1.32 (1.25, 1.40)*	1.04E-12
Taurine         0.706         0.010         0.823         0.89 (0.80, 1.00)         0.037           Threonine         0.434         3.19E-11         0.719         0.88 (0.80, 0.98)         0.009	1.46E-09 0.834 0.73 (0.65, 0.82)	7.77E-09	0.76 (0.67, 0.85)	3.35E-07	1.04 (0.93, 1.16)	0.729
Threonine 0.434 3.19E-11 0.719 0.88 (0.80, 0.98) 0.009	0.010 0.823 0.89 (0.80, 1.00)	0.037	0.87 (0.78, 0.98)	0.012	0.98 (0.88, 1.08)	0.872
	3.19E-11 0.719 0.88 (0.80, 0.98)	0.009	1.16 (1.05, 1.28)*	1.71E-03	1.31 (1.20, 1.44)*	2.48E-11
Tryptophan 0.362 <i>9.14E-05</i> 0.466 0.90, 1.08) 0.911	9.14E-05 0.466 0.98 (0.90, 1.08)	0.911	0.86 (0.79, 0.95)	7.29E-04	0.88 (0.81, 0.95)	7.99E-04
Tyrosine 0.525 2.99E-04 0.017 0.89 (0.81, 0.98) 0.009	2.99E-04 0.017 0.89 (0.81, 0.98)	0.009	0.86 (0.78, 0.94)	2.80E-04	0.96 (0.89, 1.04)	0.513
Valine 0.809 1.46E-13 0.088 0.85 (0.79, 0.91) 7.71E-07	1.46E-13 0.088 0.85 (0.79, 0.91)	7.71E-07	0.78 (0.72, 0.84)	9.76E-13	0.92 (0.86, 0.98)	0.009

nancy, with similar patterns between the primary study group and the replication cohort. Neither LNS consumption nor ppBMI significantly modified metabolite concentrations throughout the span of pregnancy.

The temporal concentration changes of many metabolites are similar to those previously reported in healthy pregnant women. For example, we found lower concentrations of betaine (28, 40), alanine (33, 41), citrulline, creatinine, and glutamine (33) at 12 wk compared to before pregnancy. At 34 wk of gestation compared to both preconception and 12 wk, we detected decreases in betaine (40, 42) and valine (27, 31, 43); increases in creatine (44), SDMA (45), and threonine (27, 31, 41); and no statistical changes in the concentrations of arginine (31, 41, 43, 45), glutathione (32), and methionine (31, 46).

Our results contrast with those of previous work for several metabolites, including ADMA (45), choline (28, 40), and isoleucine (31, 41). In other instances, published reports for several metabolites are highly variable, making direct comparisons to our results more complicated. For instance, there is conflicting information regarding the concentration changes of cysteine (27, 31, 32), phenylalanine (27, 41), and taurine (27, 31, 41) toward the end of pregnancy. Mixed results in the literature and between other studies and our findings could reflect differences in subject populations, techniques, or study design, including gestational age at time of blood draw. Other possibilities include fasting at the time of sampling (31, 47, 48) compared with not fasting (33, 34); use of plasma (31, 33, 43), whole blood (34, 47), or DBS cards; age of subjects (43); and/or measurement of metabolites using nuclear magnetic resonance (33) compared with MS (31, 47, 48).

As expected, the replication cohort from Pakistan showed striking differences in subject characteristics with our primary study population in Guatemala including ppBMI. Guatemala is the only Women First Trial site with high rates of maternal obesity (36); therefore, it was not possible to select a replication cohort that matched mean subject ppBMI. Despite these differences, we saw remarkable similarities in 1C metabolite and AA concentration changes from preconception through the third trimester. Sixteen 1C metabolites and AAs with significant changes between time points had the same direction of change in both populations (Table 3; Supplemental Figure 2). The strong commonalities in temporal metabolite patterns suggest a potentially high degree of generalizability among pregnant women, as the patterns were consistent regardless of diet, supplementation, ethnicity, and ppBMI. The biologic mechanisms for and implications of these temporal patterns are not precisely understood. Many of these metabolites are understudied, particularly in the context of pregnancy. Decreased maternal levels may reflect shunting nutrients to the fetus due to higher requirements. For example, betaine is directly involved in homocysteine recycling in the 1C pathway (6, 12, 40) and maternal levels may decrease due to the high rate of DNA methylation in the growing fetus. For other metabolites, fetal need did not appear to be driving concentrations; for example, those for methionine did not change from preconception through gestation.

Three metabolites had distinct patterns in the 2 populations: taurine, an AA not used in protein synthesis but which is important for fetal growth and placental function (49); threonine, an essential AA; and choline, a major component of cell membranes that is critical for fetal brain development (28, 42). Concentrations of all 3 increased in the Guatemala population during the first trimester but decreased in the Pakistan cohort (Supplemental Figure 2). Four other metabolites (glutamine, histidine, lysine, and phenylalanine) had overall time-related patterns that did not replicate. The reasons for these differences could be secondary to variations in underlying dietary intake (39), folate supplementation, or other differences in subject characteristics between the 2 populations, including ethnicity (31, 47). Intakes of choline and betaine were lower in Pakistan, although intakes in both the Pakistani and Guatemalan populations were well below the estimated average requirement (39). Lower protein intake in Pakistan (39) may account for the lower threonine concentration, based on data that threonine decreased in pregnant rats fed a protein-restricted diet (50). Overall, however, the differences were relatively small and may not be clinically relevant.

Contrary to our hypothesis, LNS consumption did not have a measurable impact on most 1C metabolite and AA concentration changes over the course of pregnancy. Only 2 related metabolites, ADMA and SDMA, had lower concentrations at 34 wk in Guatemalan women who received LNS, a finding that was not replicated in the Pakistan cohort. ADMA is a methylated AA that directly inhibits nitric oxide synthase, thereby increasing systemic vascular resistance and blood pressure. SDMA is a stereoisomer of ADMA which does not directly inhibit nitric oxide synthase (51, 52). ADMA, but not SDMA, is elevated during pregnancy in women with preeclampsia, a pattern seen prior to the development of clinical symptoms (30, 51-54). There was no difference in incidence of hypertension during pregnancy in women consuming LNS, making any clinical effect of this reduction unclear. However, the trial was not specifically designed to investigate hypertension or preeclampsia, and clinical data such as blood pressure measurements were not collected, thus limiting interpretation.

Previous reports have demonstrated the influence of diet and supplement intake on some of these metabolites during pregnancy (26-28). One possible reason we did not detect a difference is that that a high portion of the –LNS subjects were consuming additional folate (84.6% in Guatemala). Because homocysteine can be converted back to methionine in a folate-dependent or -independent fashion (12, 20), consumption of folate could be influencing the patterns reported here. However, significantly fewer women in the Pakistani replication cohort consumption of folate during pregnancy (23.6%), and daily dietary consumption of folate was also lower in Pakistan than in Guatemala (90 compared with 348  $\mu$ g/d, respectively) (39). The LNS did not contain choline or betaine, which could also account for the absence of statistical differences in these metabolites (28).

The Women First Trial observed increased linear growth in the offspring of women receiving the LNS intervention (36). The mechanisms responsible for increased birth length are not yet known. Only some of the metabolites reported here have been previously studied in relation to fetal growth, primarily birth weight. In pregnancies affected by fetal growth restriction or preeclampsia, maternal ADMA concentrations were inversely correlated with birth weight (55). Maternal betaine concentrations may also be negatively related to birth weight (56), although other investigators have reported no association (57). No relation has been found between birth weight and maternal concentrations of arginine (55), choline (57), creatine (44), or SDMA (55). Because LNS consumption did not have a measurable and consistent impact on metabolite concentrations, it is unlikely that alterations to the 1C pathway are responsible for increased birth length. In subsequent analyses we will investigate whether any metabolite can predict birth weight or length.

Despite covering a wide spectrum of ppBMI (13.8–38.4 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), we did not detect statistically significant differences due to ppBMI in 1C

metabolite or AA patterns over the course of pregnancy. Only tyrosine concentrations were positively associated with ppBMI in both cohorts, similar to a previous report (47). Tyrosine is also elevated in obese non-pregnant women (58) and in women with preeclampsia (59). Whether increased tyrosine indicates impaired placental perfusion in obese pregnant women is not currently known. Few studies have investigated the longitudinal influence of ppBMI on metabolite concentrations throughout pregnancy, especially with preconception measurements. Of these, most studies have similarly shown that elevated ppBMI has little effect on 1C metabolites and AAs in pregnant women (44, 47, 48, 60–63). One study that investigated third-trimester AA concentrations showed associations with ppBMI (47); however, this study used self-reported prepregnancy weight data that may have been subject to bias (64).

A limitation of this study is the use of DBS cards instead of serum or plasma samples. The use of DBS cards prevented our ability to reliably measure some critical 1C metabolites known to be influenced by maternal diet, particularly homocysteine, S-adenosyl methionine, Sadenosyl homocysteine, vitamins B6 and B12, and riboflavin (26). DBS cards were used due to their ease of collecting samples in field settings; their cost effectiveness for longitudinal collections; the lack of requirement for trained phlebotomists; and for their ease in shipping. These advantages allowed us to undertake these measurements in 2 understudied populations. Furthermore, DBS cards are widely used for measurement of AAs and other metabolites as part of newborn screening (65, 66) and other studies (67, 68). An additional limitation is that the subjects were not fasting at the time of blood draw. Previous work has shown differences in some metabolites between the fasted and unfasted state (47). However, all women in the study were not fasting, so any effect should be randomly distributed among study groups and should not disproportionately influence our results. Similar studies have likewise used nonfasting samples (32-34).

Despite these limitations, there are many strengths to this study. Most notably, the prospective longitudinal design, including preconception measurements, and targeted quantitative measurements of 1C metabolites and AAs are major strengths. Moreover, evaluation of LNS consumption, the assessment of ppBMI across a wide spectrum, and utilization of a replication cohort diverse from the initial population increase the generalizability of our findings.

In this study, we measured 1C metabolites and AAs longitudinally from preconception through the third trimester and evaluated the effects of LNS and ppBMI in a low- and a middle-income country, where it is increasingly important to address the triple burden of growth stunting, obesity, and micronutrient deficiencies to improve population health. We showed high concordance of most metabolite concentrations over time between the study site and our replication cohort and no significant relations of temporal patterns with LNS consumption or ppBMI. Future work will investigate associations of metabolite concentrations with pregnancy and infant outcomes, including DNA methylation at birth.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank the women who participated in the Women First Trial, as well as our other international collaborators and on-site study personnel. Thank you also to Eoin Quinlivan at the University of Florida for performing the MS. The authors' responsibilities were as follows—SJB, NFK, KMH, AEH, JEW, LF, ALG, SAA, OP, and SS: designed the research; PJ, JFK, SJB, AP, and MEK: conducted the research; SPG, AEH, NEW, ELS, and PA: analyzed data and performed statistical analysis; SPG and SJB: wrote the paper; SJB: had primary responsibility for final content; and all authors: read and approved the final manuscript.

#### References

- Peng W, Berry EM. Global nutrition 1990–2015: a shrinking hungry, and expanding fat world. PLoS One 2018;13(3):e0194821.
- Black RE, Victora CG, Walker SP, Bhutta ZA, Christian P, de Onis M, Ezzati M, Grantham-McGregor S, Katz J, Martorell R, et al. Maternal and child undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-income countries. Lancet 2013;382(9890):427–51.
- 3. Prentice AM. The double burden of malnutrition in countries passing through the economic transition. Ann Nutr Metab 2018;72(Suppl 3):47–54.
- Corvalan C, Garmendia ML, Jones-Smith J, Lutter CK, Miranda JJ, Pedraza LS, Popkin BM, Ramirez-Zea M, Salvo D, Stein AD. Nutrition status of children in Latin America. Obes Rev 2017;18(Suppl 2):7–18.
- 5. Ramirez-Zea M, Kroker-Lobos MF, Close-Fernandez R, Kanter R. The double burden of malnutrition in indigenous and nonindigenous Guatemalan populations. Am J Clin Nutr 2014;100(6):1644S–51S.
- McGee M, Bainbridge S, Fontaine-Bisson B. A crucial role for maternal dietary methyl donor intake in epigenetic programming and fetal growth outcomes. Nutr Rev 2018;76(6):469–78.
- Painter RC, de Rooij SR, Bossuyt PM, Simmers TA, Osmond C, Barker DJ, Bleker OP, Roseboom TJ. Early onset of coronary artery disease after prenatal exposure to the Dutch famine. Am J Clin Nutr 2006;84(2):322–7; quiz 466–7.
- 8. Barker DJ, Thornburg KL. The obstetric origins of health for a lifetime. Clin Obstet Gynecol 2013;56(3):511–9.
- 9. Hsu CN, Tain YL. The double-edged sword effects of maternal nutrition in the developmental programming of hypertension. Nutrients 2018;10(12):E1917.
- 10. Voerman E, Santos S, Patro Golab B, Amiano P, Ballester F, Barros H, Bergstrom A, Charles MA, Chatzi L, Chevrier C, et al. Maternal body mass index, gestational weight gain, and the risk of overweight and obesity across childhood: an individual participant data meta-analysis. PLoS Med 2019;16(2):e1002744.
- Hoffman DJ, Reynolds RM, Hardy DB. Developmental origins of health and disease: current knowledge and potential mechanisms. Nutr Rev 2017;75(12):951–70.
- 12. Dominguez-Salas P, Cox SE, Prentice AM, Hennig BJ, Moore SE. Maternal nutritional status, C(1) metabolism and offspring DNA methylation: a review of current evidence in human subjects. Proc Nutr Soc 2012;71(1):154–65.
- Das JK, Hoodbhoy Z, Salam RA, Bhutta AZ, Valenzuela-Rubio NG, Weise Prinzo Z, Bhutta ZA. Lipid-based nutrient supplements for maternal, birth, and infant developmental outcomes. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2018;8:CD012610.
- Waterland RA, Michels KB. Epigenetic epidemiology of the developmental origins hypothesis. Annu Rev Nutr 2007;27:363–88.
- 15. James P, Sajjadi S, Tomar AS, Saffari A, Fall CHD, Prentice AM, Shrestha S, Issarapu P, Yadav DK, Kaur L, et al. Candidate genes linking maternal nutrient exposure to offspring health via DNA methylation: a review of existing evidence in humans with specific focus on one-carbon metabolism. Int J Epidemiol 2018;47(6):1910–37.
- Dominguez-Salas P, Moore SE, Baker MS, Bergen AW, Cox SE, Dyer RA, Fulford AJ, Guan Y, Laritsky E, Silver MJ, et al. Maternal nutrition at conception modulates DNA methylation of human metastable epialleles. Nat Commun 2014;5:3746.
- 17. Waterland RA, Kellermayer R, Laritsky E, Rayco-Solon P, Harris RA, Travisano M, Zhang W, Torskaya MS, Zhang J, Shen L, et al. Season of conception in rural Gambia affects DNA methylation at putative human metastable epialleles. PLoS Genet 2010;6(12):e1001252.

- James PT, Dominguez-Salas P, Hennig BJ, Moore SE, Prentice AM, Silver MJ. Maternal one-carbon metabolism and infant DNA methylation between contrasting seasonal environments: a case study from The Gambia. Curr Dev Nutr 2019;3(1):nzy082.
- Steegers-Theunissen RP, Twigt J, Pestinger V, Sinclair KD. The periconceptional period, reproduction and long-term health of offspring: the importance of one-carbon metabolism. Hum Reprod Update 2013;19(6):640–55.
- Kalhan SC. One carbon metabolism in pregnancy: impact on maternal, fetal and neonatal health. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2016;435:48–60.
- 21. Khot V, Kale A, Joshi A, Chavan-Gautam P, Joshi S. Expression of genes encoding enzymes involved in the one carbon cycle in rat placenta is determined by maternal micronutrients (folic acid, vitamin B12) and omega-3 fatty acids. Biomed Res Int 2014;2014:613078.
- Chandler TL, White HM. Choline and methionine differentially alter methyl carbon metabolism in bovine neonatal hepatocytes. PLoS One 2017;12(2):e0171080.
- Yajnik CS, Deshmukh US. Fetal programming: maternal nutrition and role of one-carbon metabolism. Rev Endocr Metab Disord 2012;13(2):121–7.
- 24. Yajnik CS, Chandak GR, Joglekar C, Katre P, Bhat DS, Singh SN, Janipalli CS, Refsum H, Krishnaveni G, Veena S, et al. Maternal homocysteine in pregnancy and offspring birthweight: epidemiological associations and Mendelian randomization analysis. Int J Epidemiol 2014;43(5): 1487–97.
- 25. Rogne T, Tielemans MJ, Chong MF, Yajnik CS, Krishnaveni GV, Poston L, Jaddoe VW, Steegers EA, Joshi S, Chong YS, et al. Associations of maternal vitamin B12 concentration in pregnancy with the risks of preterm birth and low birth weight: a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual participant data. Am J Epidemiol 2017;185(3):212–23.
- 26. Dominguez-Salas P, Moore SE, Cole D, da Costa KA, Cox SE, Dyer RA, Fulford AJ, Innis SM, Waterland RA, Zeisel SH, et al. DNA methylation potential: dietary intake and blood concentrations of one-carbon metabolites and cofactors in rural African women. Am J Clin Nutr 2013;97(6): 1217–27.
- 27. Rossary A, Farges MC, Lamas B, Miles EA, Noakes PS, Kremmyda LS, Vlachava M, Diaper ND, Robinson SM, Godfrey KM, et al. Increased consumption of salmon during pregnancy partly prevents the decline of some plasma essential amino acid concentrations in pregnant women. Clin Nutr 2014;33(2):267–73.
- 28. Yan J, Jiang X, West AA, Perry CA, Malysheva OV, Devapatla S, Pressman E, Vermeylen F, Stabler SP, Allen RH, et al. Maternal choline intake modulates maternal and fetal biomarkers of choline metabolism in humans. Am J Clin Nutr 2012;95(5):1060–71.
- 29. Diaz SO, Pinto J, Graca G, Duarte IF, Barros AS, Galhano E, Pita C, Almeida Mdo C, Goodfellow BJ, Carreira IM, et al. Metabolic biomarkers of prenatal disorders: an exploratory NMR metabolomics study of second trimester maternal urine and blood plasma. J Proteome Res 2011;10(8):3732–42.
- 30. Maruta E, Wang J, Kotani T, Tsuda H, Nakano T, Imai K, Sumigama S, Niwa Y, Mitsui T, Yoshida S, et al. Association of serum asymmetric dimethylarginine, homocysteine, and l-arginine concentrations during early pregnancy with hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. Clin Chim Acta 2017;475:70–7.
- Lindsay KL, Hellmuth C, Uhl O, Buss C, Wadhwa PD, Koletzko B, Entringer S. Longitudinal metabolomic profiling of amino acids and lipids across healthy pregnancy. PLoS One 2015;10(12):e0145794.
- Viskova H, Vesela K, Janosikova B, Krijt J, Visek JA, Calda P. Plasma cysteine concentrations in uncomplicated pregnancies. Fetal Diagn Ther 2007;22(4):254–8.
- 33. Pinto J, Barros AS, Domingues MR, Goodfellow BJ, Galhano E, Pita C, Almeida Mdo C, Carreira IM, Gil AM. Following healthy pregnancy by NMR metabolomics of plasma and correlation to urine. J Proteome Res 2015;14(2):1263–74.
- 34. Cikot RJ, Steegers-Theunissen RP, Thomas CM, de Boo TM, Merkus HM, Steegers EA. Longitudinal vitamin and homocysteine levels in normal pregnancy. Br J Nutr 2001;85(1):49–58.
- 35. Hambidge KM, Krebs NF, Westcott JE, Garces A, Goudar SS, Kodkany BS, Pasha O, Tshefu A, Bose CL, Figueroa L, et al. Preconception

maternal nutrition: a multi-site randomized controlled trial. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2014;14:111.

- 36. Hambidge KM, Westcott JE, Garces A, Figueroa L, Goudar SS, Dhaded SM, Pasha O, Ali SA, Tshefu A, Lokangaka A, et al. A multicountry randomized controlled trial of comprehensive maternal nutrition supplementation initiated before conception: the Women First Trial. Am J Clin Nutr 2019;109(2):457–69.
- 37. da Silva VR, Rios-Avila L, Lamers Y, Ralat MA, Midttun O, Quinlivan EP, Garrett TJ, Coats B, Shankar MN, Percival SS, et al. Metabolite profile analysis reveals functional effects of 28-day vitamin B-6 restriction on one-carbon metabolism and tryptophan catabolic pathways in healthy men and women. J Nutr 2013;143(11):1719–27.
- 38. Loughlin AF, Skiles GL, Alberts DW, Schaefer WH. An ion exchange liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry method for the determination of reduced and oxidized glutathione and glutathione conjugates in hepatocytes. J Pharm Biomed Anal 2001;26(1):131–42.
- 39. Lander RL, Hambidge KM, Westcott JE, Tejeda G, Diba TS, Mastiholi SC, Khan US, Garces A, Figueroa L, Tshefu A, et al. Pregnant women in four low-middle income countries have a high prevalence of inadequate dietary intakes that are improved by dietary diversity. Nutrients 2019;11(7):E1560.
- 40. Velzing-Aarts FV, Holm PI, Fokkema MR, van der Dijs FP, Ueland PM, Muskiet FA. Plasma choline and betaine and their relation to plasma homocysteine in normal pregnancy. Am J Clin Nutr 2005;81(6): 1383–9.
- 41. Di Giulio AM, Carelli S, Castoldi RE, Gorio A, Taricco E, Cetin I. Plasma amino acid concentrations throughout normal pregnancy and early stages of intrauterine growth restricted pregnancy. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2004;15(6):356–62.
- 42. Friesen RW, Novak EM, Hasman D, Innis SM. Relationship of dimethylglycine, choline, and betaine with oxoproline in plasma of pregnant women and their newborn infants. J Nutr 2007;137(12):2641–6.
- 43. Thame MM, Hsu JW, Gibson R, Baker TM, Tang GJ, Badaloo AV, Fletcher HM, Jackson AA, Jahoor F. Adaptation of in vivo amino acid kinetics facilitates increased amino acid availability for fetal growth in adolescent and adult pregnancies alike. Br J Nutr 2014;112(11):1779–86.
- 44. Dickinson H, Davies-Tuck M, Ellery SJ, Grieger JA, Wallace EM, Snow RJ, Walker DW, Clifton VL. Maternal creatine in pregnancy: a retrospective cohort study. BJOG 2016;123(11):1830–8.
- 45. Valtonen P, Laitinen T, Lyyra-Laitinen T, Raitakari OT, Juonala M, Viikari JS, Heiskanen N, Vanninen E, Punnonen K, Heinonen S. Serum L-homoarginine concentration is elevated during normal pregnancy and is related to flow-mediated vasodilatation. Circ J 2008;72(11):1879–84.
- 46. Dasarathy J, Gruca LL, Bennett C, Parimi PS, Duenas C, Marczewski S, Fierro JL, Kalhan SC. Methionine metabolism in human pregnancy. Am J Clin Nutr 2010;91(2):357–65.
- 47. Jacob S, Nodzenski M, Reisetter AC, Bain JR, Muehlbauer MJ, Stevens RD, Ilkayeva OR, Lowe LP, Metzger BE, Newgard CB, et al. Targeted metabolomics demonstrates distinct and overlapping maternal metabolites associated with BMI, glucose, and insulin sensitivity during pregnancy across four ancestry groups. Diabetes Care 2017;40(7):911–9.
- 48. Luan H, Meng N, Liu P, Feng Q, Lin S, Fu J, Davidson R, Chen X, Rao W, Chen F, et al. Pregnancy-induced metabolic phenotype variations in maternal plasma. J Proteome Res 2014;13(3):1527–36.
- 49. Desforges M, Parsons L, Westwood M, Sibley CP, Greenwood SL. Taurine transport in human placental trophoblast is important for regulation of cell differentiation and survival. Cell Death Dis 2013;4:e559.
- Rees WD, Hay SM, Buchan V, Antipatis C, Palmer RM. The effects of maternal protein restriction on the growth of the rat fetus and its amino acid supply. Br J Nutr 1999;81(3):243–50.
- 51. Gumus E, Atalay MA, Cetinkaya Demir B, Sahin Gunes E. Possible role of asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) in prediction of perinatal outcome in preeclampsia and fetal growth retardation related to preeclampsia. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2016;29(23):3806–11.
- Tamas P, Bodis J, Sulyok E, Kovacs GL, Hantosi E, Molnar G, Martens-Lobenhoffer J, Bode-Boger SM. L-arginine metabolism in early-onset and late-onset pre-eclamptic pregnancies. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 2013;73(5):436–43.

- Bian Z, Shixia C, Duan T. First-trimester maternal serum levels of sFLT1, PGF and ADMA predict preeclampsia. PLoS One 2015;10(4):e0124684.
- 54. Nemeth B, Muranyi E, Hegyi P, Matrai P, Szakacs Z, Varju P, Hamvas S, Tinusz B, Budan F, Czimmer J, et al. Asymmetric dimethylarginine levels in preeclampsia—systematic review and meta-analysis. Placenta 2018;69: 57–63.
- 55. Tsikas D, Bollenbach A, Savvidou MD. Inverse correlation between maternal plasma asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) and birthweight percentile in women with impaired placental perfusion: circulating ADMA as an NO-independent indicator of fetal growth restriction? Amino Acids 2018;50(2):341–51.
- 56. Du YF, Wei Y, Yang J, Cheng ZY, Zuo XF, Wu TC, Shi HF, Wang XL. Maternal betaine status, but not that of choline or methionine, is inversely associated with infant birth weight. Br J Nutr 2019;121(11):1279–86.
- 57. Hogeveen M, den Heijer M, Semmekrot BA, Sporken JM, Ueland PM, Blom HJ. Umbilical choline and related methylamines betaine and dimethylglycine in relation to birth weight. Pediatr Res 2013;73(6):783–7.
- 58. Newgard CB, An J, Bain JR, Muehlbauer MJ, Stevens RD, Lien LF, Haqq AM, Shah SH, Arlotto M, Slentz CA, et al. A branched-chain amino acid-related metabolic signature that differentiates obese and lean humans and contributes to insulin resistance. Cell Metab 2009;9(4):311–26.
- Turner E, Brewster JA, Simpson NA, Walker JJ, Fisher J. Aromatic amino acid biomarkers of preeclampsia-a nuclear magnetic resonance investigation. Hypertens Pregnancy 2008;27(3):225–35.
- Han YS, Ha EH, Park HS, Kim YJ, Lee SS. Relationships between pregnancy outcomes, biochemical markers and pre-pregnancy body mass index. Int J Obes (Lond) 2011;35(4):570–7.

- Choi R, Choi S, Lim Y, Cho YY, Kim HJ, Kim SW, Chung JH, Oh SY, Lee SY. A prospective study on serum methylmalonic acid and homocysteine in pregnant women. Nutrients 2016;8(12):797.
- 62. Wang J, Kotani T, Tsuda H, Mano Y, Sumigama S, Li H, Komatsu K, Miki R, Maruta E, Niwa Y, et al. Is the serum l-arginine level during early pregnancy a predictor of pregnancy-induced hypertension? J Clin Biochem Nutr 2015;57(1):74–81.
- Hellmuth C, Lindsay KL, Uhl O, Buss C, Wadhwa PD, Koletzko B, Entringer S. Association of maternal prepregnancy BMI with metabolomic profile across gestation. Int J Obes (Lond) 2017;41(1):159–69.
- 64. Headen I, Cohen AK, Mujahid M, Abrams B. The accuracy of self-reported pregnancy-related weight: a systematic review. Obes Rev 2017;18(3): 350–69.
- 65. Chace DH, Adam BW, Smith SJ, Alexander JR, Hillman SL, Hannon WH. Validation of accuracy-based amino acid reference materials in dried-blood spots by tandem mass spectrometry for newborn screening assays. Clin Chem 1999;45(8 Pt 1):1269–77.
- Wagner M, Tonoli D, Varesio E, Hopfgartner G. The use of mass spectrometry to analyze dried blood spots. Mass Spectrom Rev 2016; 35(3):361–438.
- 67. Pearce EN, Caldwell KL. Urinary iodine, thyroid function, and thyroglobulin as biomarkers of iodine status. Am J Clin Nutr 2016;104(Suppl 3): 898S–901S.
- 68. Bruno C, Dufour-Rainfray D, Patin F, Vourc'h P, Guilloteau D, Maillot F, Labarthe F, Tardieu M, Andres CR, Emond P, et al. Validation of amino-acids measurement in dried blood spot by FIA-MS/MS for PKU management. Clin Biochem 2016;49(13–14):1047–50.