Factors associated with work ability and intention to leave nursing profession: a nested case-control study

Maria Carmen MARTINEZ^{1*}, Maria do Rosário Dias de Oliveira LATORRE² and Frida Marina FISCHER³

Received April 9, 2021 and accepted June 6, 2021 Published online in J-STAGE October 8, 2021 DOI https://doi.org/10.2486/indhealth.2021-0085

Abstract: This study aims to identify factors associated with impaired work ability and intention to leave the nursing profession. This is a case-control nested within a cross-sectional study. Samples were randomly selected for work ability (475 controls and 158 cases) and intention to leave profession (454 controls and 151 cases). Data on demographic, lifestyle, occupational features, work environment, work ability and intention to leave profession were collected. Multiple logistic regression analysis was performed. Factors associated with work ability impairment were: risk for moderate (OR=1.28) and high (OR=2.26) job strain, effort-reward imbalance (OR=2.82), high overcommitment (OR=1.77), situations that may contribute to musculoskeletal pain/injury with moderate (OR=1.82) or high (OR=2.58) exposures, degree level (OR=2.13) or elementary/high school level (OR=1.67), and low physical activity (OR=1.74). Age of 31–40 years (OR=0.26) and ≥41 years (OR=0.27) were protective factors. Factors associated with intention to leave profession were: high risk for job strain (OR=1.81), effort-reward imbalance (OR=3.25), situations that may contribute to musculoskeletal pain/injury with high exposure (OR=1.54), and insomnia symptoms (OR=2.72). Age >40 years was a protective factor (OR=0.50). Individual characteristics and occupational conditions were associated with work ability impairment and intention to leave profession. Measures to improve working conditions and individual resources were recommended.

Key words: Work ability, Nursing workforce, Work environment, Risk prevention, Occupational health, Work capacity evaluation

Introduction

Work ability is defined as a worker's physical and mental conditions to cope with the physical and mental demands of work^{1–3)}. Work ability is a measure of the balance between individual resources (health status, functionalities, profes-

¹WAF Informatics & Health, Brazil

²University of São Paulo, School of Public Health, Department of Epidemiology, Brazil

³University of São Paulo, School of Public Health, Department of Environmental Health, Brazil

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed.
E-mail address: mcmarti@uol.com.br

©2022 National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health

30 M MARTINEZ et al.

sional competencies, values, attitudes and motivation) and work-related characteristics (demands, content, environment, organization and management), influenced by external social and family factors^{1–3}). The predictive value of Work ability for sick leave, use of health services and employability is recognized, and influences premature departure from the profession^{4–6}).

Early exit from the profession, before statutory retirement age, may be the result of pressure or attraction factors. Pressure factors are adverse aspects that make people wish to give up work, such as negative working conditions or health problems. Attraction factors are incentives, such as the chance to study, pursue a new career or pension rules⁴). Intention to leave is predictor of a definitive decision to exit the profession within 12 months of exhibiting intention to leave⁴).

Nurses accounts for around 50% of the workforce in the health sector. Nursing professionals are involved in a range of roles and care and management settings, working to meet demographic, universal health coverage and health-care challenges^{7–10}). Impaired work ability and early exit from the profession negatively impacts the jobs market, as well as health and pension systems in terms of maintaining a sufficient, high quality workforce^{4, 6)}. The nursing profession continues to face problems involving poor working conditions, ineffective public policy, understaffing, low recognition, and restricted autonomy, aspects which can affect both work ability and intention to leave^{4, 6–8)}. The present case-control study sought to identify factors associated with work ability impairment and intention to leave among nursing professionals.

Method

Study design and participants

A cross-sectional study was conducted among nursing professionals of São Paulo state (25% of contingent in Brazil), registered in 14 regional subsections of the Regional Nursing Council. Of the 411,162 professionals eligible, 1.0% (3,993 volunteers) enrolled on the study. Of this population, 942 (23.6%) were currently not practicing in the profession, while 3,051 (76.4%) were in active service, giving an overall enrolment rate of 0.74%.

In order to investigate factors associated with impaired work ability and with intention to leave nursing we decided to conduct two nested case-control analysis. The first one was to investigate factors associated with impaired work ability.

The sample size was calculated assuming a 30% event

rate for work ability (11), α =5% alpha and (1- β)=90.0%. The cases were considered the people who had impaired (moderate and low) work ability (158 cases). We randomly sampled 3 controls per case, and a 20.0% replacement rate (475 controls), among those who had preserved (excellent or good) work ability.

The second study was to investigate factors associated with intention to leave nursing. The sample size was calculated assuming a 35% for intention to leave (11), α =5% and (1- β)=90.0%, inclusion of 3 control subjects per case, and a 20.0% replacement rate. The cases were considered the people who had intention to leave (151 cases). We randomly sampled 3 controls per case, and a 20.0% replacement rate (454 controls), among those who had no intention to leave.

Data collection and studied variables

Data collection was carried out between October 2018 and March 2019 with the support of Regional Nursing Council of São Paulo, which sent out emails to the professionals containing a link for internet access to access the data collection form. The form contained questions on sociodemographic characteristics (sex, age, marital status, place of residence, monthly family income, Regional Nursing Council subsection), lifestyle (tobacco use, CAGE questionnaire for assessing alcohol use risk¹¹⁾, physical activity level, body mass index, the Karolinska Sleep Questionnaire - KSQ for assessing sleep quality¹²⁾, occupational history (age at joining the workforce, time working in nursing, nursing education, employment contract type, area of expertise, position/function, work shift, working week, recent history of work-related disease or injury). Urban development index was estimated using municipal data.

The psychosocial work environment was assessed using the Job Stress Scale (JSS) adapted from the Job Content Questionnaire (JCQ) for use in Brazil, based on the Demand-Control Model, measuring demands, control and social support at work^{13, 14)}. Dimension scores were categorized into high or low according to mean point of the score⁶⁾. Demand and control dimensions were combined into 4 categories of risk for job strain (high job strain, active job, low job strain and passive job)¹³⁾. Demand/control ratio was estimated, yielding a score ranging from 0.21 to 3.33 points, subsequently categorized by tertiles, where higher scores indicate greater risk of job strain^{2, 15, 16)}.

Psychosocial environment was also assessed using the Brazilian version of the Effort-Reward Imbalance (ERI) questionnaire, structured based on the theoretic model bearing the same name and comprising the dimensions ef-

fort, reward and overcommitment^{17, 18)}. The effort-reward ratio was calculated and multiplied by 6/11, giving a coefficient ranging from 0.17 to 5.00 points, where scores above 1.0 indicate imbalance^{17, 18)}. Scores were then also categorized into tertiles, with higher score indicating greater risk of job strain²⁾.

Working conditions that may contribute to musculoskeletal disorders were assessed using the version of the Work-Related Activities That May Contribute To Job-Related Pain and/or Injury (WRAPI) scale validated for use in Brazil¹⁹. This is a 15-item instrument yielding a score of 0–150 points, with higher scores indicating worse situation¹⁹. Scores on the scale were categorized into tertiles².

The work ability outcome was measured using the Brazilian version of the Work Ability Index – WAI²⁰⁾, comprising 7 dimensions and yielding a score of 7–49 points. Scores were calculated according to Tuomi *et al.* (2005)³⁾ and Kujala *et al.* (2005)²¹⁾, considering differentiation of workers from 35 years of age and older. The variable was dichotomized into cases (impaired work ability – moderate and low) and control subjects (preserved work ability – excellent or good).

The intention to leave outcome was assessed based on the question from the NEXT-Study (Nurses' Early Exit Study), "How often during the course of the past year have you thought about giving up nursing?", with 6 response categories. The variable was dichotomized into cases (presence of intention to leave nursing profession, with answer categories "sometimes a month", "sometimes a week", "every day") and control subjects (with answer categories "never" or "sometimes a year")4.

Assessment using Cronbach's Alpha coefficient revealed that all scales provided satisfactory reliability (>0.65).

Statistical analysis

To verify the association between work ability and intention to leave, the chi square test was used. We analysed the 2 outcomes (impaired work ability or intention to leave) separately, using the chi-square test and univariate/multiple logistic regression models. Model fit was determined using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test. The risk measure was odds ratio (OR) and a 95% confidence interval.

Ethical aspects

The study was approved by the Regional Nursing Council of São Paulo and by the Research Ethics Committee of the School of Public Health, University of São Paulo (ruling nº 2.614.513). The researchers were not given access to the databases containing registration information on the

professionals in order to ensure information security rules (invulnerability and confidentiality) of Regional Nursing Council of São Paulo. All participants signed the Free and Informed Consent Form and confidentiality of individuals' data was guaranteed. The study observed the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and of the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences.

Results

There was a strong association was found between impaired work ability and intention to leave (p<0.001) (data not shown). Among those with intention to leave 10.4% were individuals with excellent work ability, 22.0% were individuals with good work ability, 39.4% had moderate work ability and 46.9% had low work ability. Because of this, impaired work ability is not included in the model for intention to leave.

Univariate analysis revealed a statistically significant association between work ability and the younger age group (p<0.001), lower monthly family income (p=0.021), sedentarism (p=0.002), intermediate or poor sleep quality (p<0.001) and insomnia (p<0.001). The factors showing significant associations with intention to leave were: male gender (p=0.001), age groups ≤ 40 years (p<0.001), married/partner or single marital status (p=0.042), intermediate or poor sleep quality (p<0.001) and insomnia (p<0.001) (Table 1).

The occupational categories/variables associated with impaired work ability were: qualification as nursing technician or assistant (p=0.037), qualified but without post-graduate degree (p=0.001), time in the profession of 6–10 years (p<0.001), function involving provision of care to patients (p=0.017), and history of work-related disease or injury (p<0.001). Associations with intention to leave were: time in profession of 6–15 years (p=0.014), involvement in hospital areas or emergency service (p=0.014), holding second job (p=0.017), and history of work-related disease or injury (p=0.008) (Table 2).

All job characteristics had a statistically significant association, with increased risk of work ability impairment in cases of worst exposures to job stressors (all p<0.004). The same pattern was found for intention to leave (all p<0.001), except for the variables job demand, control, psychosocial risk situation and job strain (Table 3).

Multiple logistic regression analysis showed that the independent variables associated with work ability impairment were: demand/control ratio indicating high exposure to psychosocial risk for strain (OR=2.26; 95% CI=1.32—

Table 1. Distribution of controls and cases according to demographic and lifestyle characteristics, Nursing workers, São Paulo State, 2019

Variable Controls Cases Total Forting Controls Co				Impaii	Impaired work ability	ility				Inte	Intention to leave nursing profession	ve nursing	profession		
nuckers by the control of the contro	Variable	Con	trols	Cas	es	To	tal	*	Cont	rols	Cases	es	Total	tal	-31
le l		no	%	n°	%	n°	%	p^*	n°	%	n°	%	no	%	p^{x}
lience (1971) 142 50.6 41 49.4 83 100.0 0.227 575 171.0 10.0 10.0 1.27 575 171.0 10.0 10.0 1.27 575 171.0 10.0 10.0 1.2 171 172 173 184 185 171 172 173 185 171 172 173 185 171 172 173 173 185 173 173 173 173 173 173 173 173 173 173	Sex	200	, F	136	0 30	903	0001	0000	000	3 11	116	3 00	515	0 001	000
trical Ministry 42	remaie Male	392 83	79.0 79.0	22	21.0	320 105	100.0	0.299	55	61.1	35	38.9	90	100.0	0.001
the control of the co	Age (years)		2	i i	2				,		,				
40 40 41 41 424 479.2 452 458 471 400 471 470 470 470 470 470	≤30	42	9.09	41	49.4	83	100.0	<0.001	72	6.69	31	30.1	103	100.0	< 0.001
status 248 79.2 65 20.8 313 1000 229 82.1 riced / widowed 348 76.2 96 23.8 444 1000 0.635 29.2 73.7 oved / widowed 113 73.4 41 26.6 154 1000 0.635 29.2 73.7 by family income (US dollars) 113 73.4 41 26.6 154 1000 0.631 111 71.6 3.5 and <1804 10.0 10.0 0.021 111 71.6 98.3 10.0 0.021 111 71.6 3.5 and <1804 2.0 4.0 4.0 10.0 0.021 111 71.6 3.5 and <1804 3.0 4.0	31–40	185	78.1	52	21.9	237	100.0		153	9.89	70	31.4	223	100.0	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	≥41	248	79.2	65	20.8	313	100.0		229	82.1	20	17.9	279	100.0	
riced (bings with a partner	Marital status														
overed vividowed 154 72.0 2 1 28.0 75 1000 69 86.3 gg	Married / living with a partner	308	76.2	96	23.8	404	100.0	0.635	292	73.7	104	26.3	396	100.0	0.042
13 73.4 41 26.6 154 100.0 93 72.1 149 81.9 31 181 182 100.0 0.021 111 71.6 3.5 and <1,804 149 81.9 31 181 182 100.0 0.021 111 71.6 3.5 and <1,804 194 74.1 74.2 74.1 74.1 74.2 74.1 74.1 74.2 74.1 74.1 74.2 74.1 74.2 74.1 74.2 74.1 74.2 74.2 74.1 74.2 74.2 74.1 74.2 74.2 74.1 74.2 74.2 74.1 74.2 74.2 74.1 74.2 74.2 74.1 74.2 74.2 74.1 74.2 74.1 74.2 74.1 74.2 74.1 74.2 74.1 74.2 74.1 74.1 74.2 74.1 74.	Divorced / widowed	54	72.0	21	28.0	75	100.0		69	86.3	Ξ;	13.8	08	100.0	
Work patron (US dollars) 149 81.9 33 18.1 18.2 100.0 0.021 111 71.6 3.5 and <1.804	Single	113	73.4	41	56.6	154	100.0		93	72.1	36	27.9	129	100.0	
3.3 and c1,804	Monthly family income (US dollars)	140	010	,,	- 01	601	1000	1000	111	7 12	7	7 00	155	0 001	0,742
7.5 Gravitation of the following control of th	/1,804 >773 5 and <1 804	217	61.9	55 75	18.1	182 203	100.0	0.021	731	78.0	4 3	4.07 0.00	296	100.0	0.242
of residence july 75.8 6.2 24.2 256 100.0 0.722 199 76.2 minyside district fields and the state color of the	<773.5 and 1,004	109	0.69	49	31.0	158	100.0		112	7.27	24	27.3	154	100.0	
vision of the state 194 75.8 62 24.2 256 100.0 0.722 199 76.2 numbyside andewolopment Index 303 77.9 86 25.3 377 100.0 0.096 290 75.7 v high 4 4 169 70.7 70 29.3 239 100.0 0.096 290 75.7 dism 3 60.0 29.3 239 100.0 0.190 347 75.9 dism 3 60.0 2 40.0 5 100.0 15.7 14.0 dism 3 73.1 125 24.9 465 100.0 0.190 347 75.9 rest smoked 9 73.1 123 20.0 115 100.0 30.0 37.7 75.9 rest smoker 461 75.2 12.2 24.8 61.3 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 12.9 75.9 star 14<	Place of residence														
Intryside Intryside Intryside Indevelopment Index Inde	Capital of the state	194	75.8	62	24.2	256	100.0	0.722	199	76.2	62	23.8	261	100.0	0.551
n development Index y high h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h	Countryside	281	74.5	96	25.5	377	100.0		255	74.1	68	25.9	344	100.0	
y high ham and had been solved by high ham been solved by high ham been solved by high ham been solved by high had been solved by high high had been solved by high high had been solved by high high high high high high high hig	Human development Index														
dium dium d	Very high	303	77.9	98	22.1	389	100.0	960.0	290	75.7	93	24.3	383	100.0	0.870
dium dium 3 60.0 2 40.0 5 100.0 5 71.4 nig resmoked 340 73.1 125 26.9 465 100.0 92 80.0 23 20.0 115 100.0 77 76.2 rent smoker 43 81.1 10 18.9 53 100.0 77 76.2 rent smoker 44 70.0 6 30.0 0.597 439 75.6 rent smoker 45 81.1 10 18.9 53 100.0 77 76.2 rent smoker 46 75.2 15.2 24.8 613 100.0 78 62.5 rent smoker 47 70.0 15.2 24.8 613 100.0 78 62.5 rent smoker 48 70.1 100 29.9 334 100.0 78 78.0 rent smoker 49 80.0 58 19.4 299 100.0 70 70 78.0 113 77.1 1 123 77.4 24 26.3 100.0 100 0.908 167 78.8 113 71.1 1 124 72. 28.6 77 100.0 125 77.8 85.5 126 77.8 85.5 127 88.6 77 100.0 128 88.7 20.0 435 100.0 129 67.0 100.0 120 67.0 120 67.0 121 88.5 39 12.5 313 100.0 120 67.0 121 88.5 32.0 122 88.5 32.0 123 74.7 55.0 124 88.5 32.0 125 74 87.5 39 12.5 313 100.0 126 88.3 35.0 127 88.5 128 75.6 42.6 61 100.0 128 88.3 57.4 56.0 129 75.6 75.8 120 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120	High	169	70.7	70	29.3	239	100.0		159	74.0	26	26.0	215	100.0	
mig support su	Medium	3	0.09	2	40.0	5	100.0		5	71.4	7	28.6	7	100.0	
rer smoked 340 73.1 125 26.9 465 100.0 0.190 347 75.9 mer smoker 43 81.1 10 18.9 53 100.0 77 76.2 rent smoker 441 75.2 152 24.8 613 100.0 0.597 439 75.6 star practice of physical activity 241 80.6 58 19.4 299 100.0 0.002 194 78.2 mass index mail 183 76.6 56 23.4 239 100.0 0.908 167 78.0 renveight 104 74.5 56 25.5 220 100.0 0.908 167 78.0 strinformed 451 75.2 152 24.6 61 100.0 0.908 167 78.0 252 71.4 2 2.8 7 100.0 0.908 167 78.0 352 71.4 2 2.8 7 100.0 0.908 167 78.0 353 77.4 2 2.8 7 100.0 0.908 17.8 85.5 armediate 35 57.4 26 42.6 61 100.0 0.908 17.8 87.5 armediate 35 57.4 26 42.6 61 100.0 0.908 17.8 87.5 armediate 36 87.3 100.0 0.901 196 88.3 armediate 37 87.5 100.0 0.901 196 88.3 armediate 38 19.4 26.3 137 100.0 0.901 196 88.3 armediate 39 12.5 313 100.0 0.901 196 88.3 armediate 40 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.	Smoking														
mer smoker 92 80.0 13 20.0 115 100.0 77 76.2 rent smoker 43 81.1 10 18.9 53 100.0 30 63.8 rent smoker 461 75.2 15.2 24.8 613 100.0 0.597 439 75.6 15 62.5 rent smoker 461 75.2 15 24.8 613 100.0 0.597 439 75.6 15 62.5 rent smoker 461 75.2 15 24.8 613 100.0 0.597 439 75.6 15 62.5 194 78.2 19	Never smoked	340	73.1	125	26.9	465	100.0	0.190	347	75.9	110	24.1	457	100.0	0.180
rent smoker 43 81.1 10 18.9 53 100.0 30 63.8 ol use risk 461 75.2 152 24.8 613 100.0 0.597 439 75.6 14 70.0 6 30.0 20 100.0 15 62.5 ar practice of physical activity mass index mass index mass index mass index 183 76.6 56 25.4 220 100.0 190 0.908 167 78.0 113 73.7 44 26.3 167 100.0 110 0.908 167 78.0 111 71.1 212 73.7 44 26.3 167 100.0 32 67.2 45 51.4 34 80.0 87 20.0 435 100.0 35 67.0 36 88.3 17 100.0 30 67.0 31 100.0 60.001 196 88.3 32 67.4 26 42.6 61 100.0 32 67.2 45 51.9 32 10.5 60.0 100.0 47 75.0 150.0 40.0 190 190 87 75.0 47 75.0 150.0 43.7 100.0 47 75.0 150.0 43.7 100.0 47 75.0 150.0 43.7 100.0 47 75.0 150.0 43.7 100.0 47 75.0 150.0 43.7 100.0 47 75.0 150.0 43.7 100.0 47 75.0 150.0 43.7 100.0 47 75.0 150.0 43.7 100.0 48 61.5 45.8 119	Former smoker	92	80.0	23	20.0	115	100.0		77	76.2	24	23.8	101	100.0	
of use risk 461 75.2 15.2 24.8 61.3 100.0 0.597 439 75.6 14 70.0 6 30.0 20 100.0 100.0 15.5 ar practice of physical activity 241 80.6 58 19.4 299 100.0 0.002 194 78.2 mass index mal mal mass index mal mal mal mal mal mal mal ma	Current smoker	43	81.1	10	18.9	23	100.0		30	63.8	17	36.2	47	100.0	
the practice of physical activity at practice of physical activity 241 80.6 58 19.4 299 100.0 0.002 194 78.2 234 70.1 100 29.9 334 100.0 0.002 194 78.2 mass index 183 76.6 58 23.4 239 100.0 0.908 167 78.0 44 26.3 167 100.0 0.908 167 78.0 45 28.6 7 100.0 0.908 167 78.0 and informed and i	Alcohol use risk	Ş	1				•	(i			Š	•	
ary practice of physical activity 241 80.6 58 19.4 299 100.0 0.002 194 78.2 234 70.1 100 29.9 334 100.0 0.002 194 78.2 mass index 183 76.6 56 23.4 239 100.0 0.908 167 78.0 44 26.3 167 100.0 0.908 167 78.0 8 61.5 advantage adv	No.	461	75.2	152	24.8	613	100.0	0.597	439	75.6	142	24.4 7 7	581	100.0	0.147
ar practice of physical activity 241 80.6 58 19.4 299 100.0 0.002 194 78.2 234 70.1 100 29.9 334 100.0 2.00 2.00 72.8 mass index mas index mal mass index mal serveight 164 74.5 56 25.5 220 100.0 100.0 116 75.8 sity activity acti	Yes	41	0.0/	9	30.0	70	100.0		S	67.5	6	37.5	74	100.0	
mass index 183 76.6 56 23.4 239 100.0 0.908 167 78.0 164 74.5 56 25.5 220 100.0 166 75.8 113 71.1 2 28.6 7 100.0 <0.001 272 85.5 4 2 28.6 7 100.0 <0.001 272 85.5 274 87.5 32.8 137 100.0 <0.001 130 67.0 inia 274 87.5 39 12.5 313 100.0 <0.001 196 88.3 inia 275 62.5 220 100.0 0.908 167 78.0 inia 276 87.5 25.0 100.0 0.908 167 87.5 inia 277 87.5 85.5 inia 278 87.5 32.8 119 37.2 320 100.0 <0.001 196 88.3 inia 279 87.5 320 100.0 0.908 167 87.5 inia 270 87.5 37.5 100.0 0.908 167 87.5 inia 271 87.5 37.5 100.0 0.908 167 87.5 inia 271 87.5 37.5 100.0 0.908 17.5 inia 272 87.5 100.0 0.908 17.5 inia 273 100.0 0.908 167 87.5 inia 274 87.5 39 10.0 0.908 17.5 inia 275 87.5 inia 276 87.5 25.0 inia	Regular practice of physical activity	271	9 00	0.5	10.4	000	100	0000	101	0,01	77	010	010	001	0.121
mass index 183 76.6 56 23.4 239 100.0 0.908 167 78.0 164 74.5 56 25.5 220 100.0 165 75.8 113 71.1 113 71.1 113 71.1 113 71.1 113 71.1 113 71.1 113 71.1 114 2. 28.6 7 100.0 <0.001 272 85.5 20.0 435 100.0 <0.001 272 85.5 20.0 42.6 61 100.0 20.0 67.0 130 67.0 131 100.0 <0.001 196 88.3 141 37.2 320 100.0 <0.001 196 88.3 142 6.2 8.3 100.0 <0.001 196 88.3 143 100.0 <0.001 196 88.3 144 26.3 10.0 10.0 0.0 10.0 155 10.0 10.0 0.0 10.0 10.0 156 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 157 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 158 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175	No.	227	80.0 70.1	ر ا	19.4	227	100.0	0.002	761	7.07	4 6	0.17	257	100.0	0.131
male 183 76.6 56 23.4 239 100.0 0.908 167 78.0 erweight 164 74.5 56 25.5 220 100.0 113 71.1 ssity 123 73.7 44 26.3 167 100.0 113 71.1 infomed 123 73.7 44 26.3 167 100.0 8 61.5 quality 348 80.0 87 20.0 435 100.0 60.001 272 85.5 ord 92 67.2 45 32.8 137 100.0 52 55.9 nr 35 57.4 26 42.6 61 100.0 52 55.9 s 20 12.5 313 100.0 60.001 196 88.3 s 20 12.5 31.2 32.0 100.0 60.001 196 87.4 s 20 12.5 32.0	Body mass index	+ 67	1.07	100	(:(1	-	100.0		007	0:1		i	00	100.0	
rate informed 164 74.5 56 25.5 220 100.0 167 75.8 113 71.1 113 71.	Normal	183	992	95	23.4	230	100 0	806.0	167	78.0	47	22.0	214	100 0	966 0
informed characters	Overweight	162	74.5	25	25.5	220	100.0		166	25.5	23	24.2	219	100.0	2
informed the control of the control	Obesity	123	73.7	3 4	26.3	167	100 0		113	71.1	46	28.0	159	100 0	
quality adquality adquality adquality adquality add solve 87 20.0 435 100.0 <0.001 272 85.5 add solve 87 20.0 435 100.0 <0.001 272 85.5 ania 274 87.5 39 12.5 313 100.0 <0.001 196 88.3 add solve 88.3 100.0 <0.001 100.0 100.0 add solve 88.3 100.0 100.0 add	Not informed	í	. 17	. 2	28.6	7	100.0		ç ∞	61.5	<u> </u>	38.5	3 = 2	100.0	
348 80.0 87 20.0 435 100.0 <0.001 272 85.5 serinediate 92 67.2 45 32.8 137 100.0 <0.001 272 85.5 serinediate 35 57.4 26 42.6 61 100.0 52 55.9 serine at a serine a	Sleep quality	,		1							,		;		
rmediate 92 67.2 45 32.8 137 100.0 130 67.0 or 35 57.4 26 42.6 61 100.0 52 55.9 his 274 87.5 39 12.5 313 100.0 <0.001 196 88.3 con 120 150 100.0 con 130 67.4 st. 150 150 100.0 con 130 67.4 st. 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150	Good	348	80.0	87	20.0	435	100.0	<0.001	272	85.5	46	14.5	318	100.0	<0.001
r 35 57.4 26 42.6 61 100.0 52 55.9 nia 274 87.5 39 12.5 313 100.0 <0.001 196 88.3 5 201 62.8 119 37.2 320 100.0 258 67.4 1 2 201 62.8 159 25.0 52.1 100.0 45.1 150.0	Intermediate	92	67.2	45	32.8	137	100.0		130	67.0	64	33.0	194	100.0	
nia 274 87.5 39 12.5 313 100.0 <0.001 196 88.3 5 201 62.8 119 37.2 320 100.0 258 67.4 6 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35	Poor	35	57.4	26	45.6	61	100.0		52	55.9	41	44.1	93	100.0	
313 100.0 <0.001 196 88.3 201 62.8 119 37.2 320 100.0 258 67.4 475 75.0 159 35.0 633 100.0 45.4 75.0	Insomnia														
; 201 62.8 119 37.2 320 100.0 258 67.4 475 75.0 169 25.0 633 100.0 45.4 75.0	No	274	87.5	39	12.5	313	100.0	<0.001	196	88.3	26	11.7	222	100.0	<0.001
757 757 0000 553 050 051 051 051	Yes	201	62.8	119	37.2	320	100.0		258	67.4	125	32.6	383	100.0	
15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0	Total	475	75.0	158	25.0	633	100.0		454	75.0	151	25.0	605	100.0	

Table 2. Distribution of controls and cases according to occupational features, Nursing workers, São Paulo State, 2019

Table 2: Distribution of controls and cases according to occup	d and so occup	anonai icatui es, ivu	atures,	Sinc	TOTAL S, SAO I AM	ao i aun	State, 2017							
			Impa	Impaired work ability	ılıty				Intent	tion to leav	Intention to leave nursing profession	rofession		
Variable	Controls	ls	ű	Cases	Total	al	*	Controls	sle	Cases	es	Total	al	-X
	no	%	n°	%	n°	%	p^{x}	n°	%	n°	%	n°	%	<i>p</i> *
Professional category	371	78.3	08	7.10	710	1000	0.037	776	73.6	00	78.7	375	001	0.735
Nurse fechnician	128	. o. y	6 v	31.7	186	100.0	0.037	151	75.9	66 84 84	24.1	199	100.0	0.233
Nurse assistant	26	70.3	7	29.7	37	100.0		27	87.1	4	12.9	31	100.0	
Nursing education														
College education with postgraduate degree	267	81.4	61	18.6	328	100.0	0.001	216	74.7	73	25.3	289	100.0	0.374
College education	54	62.9	28	34.1	82	100.0		09	8.69	56	30.2	98	100.0	
High and elementary school	154	69.1	69	30.9	223	100.0		178	77.4	52	22.6	230	100.0	
Age at joining the workforce (years)														
>18	231	75.5	75	24.5	306	100.0	0.590	243	9.77	70	22.4	313	100.0	0.311
>14 and<18	184	73.3	29	26.7	251	100.0		166	72.2	49	27.8	230	100.0	
<14	09	78.9	16	21.1	9/	100.0		45	72.6	17	27.4	62	100.0	
Time in the nursing profession (years)														
9>	63	8.9/	19	23.2	82	100.0	<0.001	72	75.8	23	24.2	95	100.0	0.014
6-10	88	61.5	55	38.5	143	100.0		93	6.99	46	33.1	139	100.0	
11–15	68	80.2	22	19.8	111	100.0		81	71.1	33	28.9	114	100.0	
≥16	235	79.1	62	20.9	297	100.0		208	6.08	49	19.1	257	100.0	
Contract type of main employer														
Formal contract in a private institution	250	76.5	77	23.5	327	100.0	809.0	220	72.1	82	27.9	305	100.0	0.105
Civil servant	165	74.3	57	25.7	222	100.0		179	79.9	45	20.1	224	100.0	
Others	09	71.4	24	28.6	84	100.0		55	72.4	21	27.6	9/	100.0	
Working sector														
Hospital	234	77.5	89	22.5	302	100.0	0.112	215	71.0	88	29.0	303	100.0	0.014
Primary health care	68	0.69	40	31.0	129	100.0		94	77.0	28	23.0	122	100.0	
Emergency service	55	82.1	12	17.9	29	100.0		40	70.2	17	29.8	57	100.0	
Others	26	71.9	38	28.1	135	100.0		105	85.4	18	14.6	123	100.0	
Main job														
Direct patient care	280	71.8	110	28.2	390	100.0	0.017	310	74.0	109	26.0	419	100.0	0.368
Others	195	80.2	48	19.8	243	100.0		4	77.4	42	22.6	186	100.0	
Holding a second job														
No	324	75.2	107	24.8	431	100.0	0.909	307	78.1	98	21.9	393	100.0	0.017
Yes	151	74.8	51	25.2	202	100.0		147	69.3	9	30.7	212	100.0	
Working at night shift (1^{st} and/or 2^{nd} job)	;				į		!	:	,					
No.	353	75.1	117	24.9	470	100.0	0.947	343	76.9	103	$\frac{23.1}{2.0}$	446	100.0	0.076
Yes	122	74.8	41	25.2	163	100.0		111	8.69	48	30.2	159	100.0	
Total weekly working hours	•	1						,	1					
Not informed	24	85.7	4	14.3	78	100.0	0.520	24	0.96	_	0.4	25	100.0	0.1
40–59	157	76.2	49	23.8	206	100.0		155	74.5	53	25.5	208	100.0	
62-09	194	73	70	27	264	100.0		175	73.5	63	26.5	238	100.0	
08<	100	74.1	35	25.9	135	100.0		100	74.6	34	25.4	134	100.0	
Work injury or work-related illness														
No	406	82.5	98	17.5	492	100.0	<0.001	329	78.1	92	21.9	421	100.0	0.008
Yes	69	48.9	72	51.1	141	100.0		125	6.79	59	32.1	184	100.0	
Total	475	75.0	158	25.0	633	100.0		454	75.0	151	25.0	605	100.0	
* Chi square test														

Table 3. Distribution of controls and cases according to working conditions, Nursing workers, São Paulo State, 2019

Variable Controlls Controlls Controlls Controlls F % Total % <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th> drive</th><th>turbance wound</th><th>,</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>******</th><th></th><th>Sincipii S</th><th>Intention to leave the sing profession</th><th></th><th></th></th<>				drive	turbance wound	,				******		Sincipii S	Intention to leave the sing profession		
nde at work	Variable	Cont	trols	Ca	ses	To	tal	*	Cont	rols	Cas	ses	Total	lal	*
by any order of the control of the c		n°	%	no	%	n°	%	p*	n°	%	no	%	n°	%	p^*
state work v septement and work environment v state work environment	Demands at work Lower High	300	87.4	11	12.6	87	100.0	0.004	395	83.1	12	16.9	71	100.0	0.095
by support at work 419 77.3 123 22.7 54.2 100.0 0.001 378 55.8 12.1 support at work 418 76.8 12.2 22.2 59.1 100.0 0.001 378 55.8 12.1 of particles and decreased extrivities that lead do pain and/or injury 438 76.8 13.2 22.7 100.0 0.001 158 86.8 24.4 of cleared extrivities that lead do pain and/or injury 20.7 87.3 30 12.7 237 100.0 -0.001 158 86.8 24.4 48.4 4	Control at work		1.0	Ì	557	2	0.001			P.	(61	0.04	,	0.001	
support at work 56 61.5 35 38.5 91 1000 76 71.7 30 h value out of at work 438 76.8 132 23.2 570 100.0 45 48.4 48 ond-control ratio 27 87.3 30 12.7 23.7 100.0 45 48.4 48 decade 121 57.9 88 42.1 20.0 100.0 45 48.4 48 social work environment 121 57.9 88 42.1 100.0 400.0 118 88.8 24 social work environment 60 85.7 10.0 40.0 118 88.8 24 48 social work environment 121 57.9 88 42.1 100.0 40.0 118 88.8 24 48 social work environment 60 85.7 10 60.0 10.0 48.8 81.4 11 swelph 98 47.1	High	419	77.3	123	22.7	542	100.0	0.001	378	75.8	121	24.2	499	100.0	0.381
support at work 438 76.8 13.2 23.2 57.0 100.0 0.002 45. 45.4 48 of control at work 37 38.7 26 41.3 63 100.0 0.001 158 86.8 24 decrete 40. 21.7 37.0 30.0 21.7 100.0 0.001 158 86.8 24 swell work environment 60 85.7 10 14.3 70 100.0 0.001 48 81.4 111 swell work environment 60 85.7 10 14.3 70 100.0 0.001 48 81.4 111 swell work environment 60 85.7 10 14.3 70 100.0 0.001 48 81.4 111 versuring both work 40 54.1 13 45.9 74 100.0 6.001 49 47.6 9.1 versuring moderner 41 56 61.5 32 22	Low	99	61.5	35	38.5	91	100.0		92	71.7	30	28.3	106	100.0	
h which we have the control ratio by the control ra	Social support at work		l			i		0		C C				0	9
of control ratio 57 38.7 20 41.3 63 100.0 40.0 45 45.9 45.0 of control ratio v cherate 147 38.4 21.4 27.7 100.0 60.001 188 86.8 24 b characte 147 37.9 48 42.1 20.9 100.0 60.001 188 86.8 24 social work environment 60 85.7 10 14.3 70 100.0 60.001 48 81.4 111 sive job 140 85.7 10 143 70 100.0 60.001 48 81.4 111 sive job 150 94.1 13.4 45.9 74 100.0 60.01 33 75.0 110 sive job 150 14.1 34 45.9 74 100.0 11.0 48 81.4 111 sive job 150 15 25.1 10.0 0.0 10.0 0.0	High	438	76.8	132	23.2	570	100.0	0.002	409	79.9	103	20.1	512	100.0	<0.001
decrate by the control ratio of the control ratio r	Low	3/	28.7	70	41.3	63	100.0		45	48.4	48	91.6	93	100.0	
derate () 27	Demand/control rano	700	0.10	00		1,00	100	100 0	150	0 70	ć		601	0001	000
oscial work environment (a) 8.77 8.8 4 21.4 187 100.0 144 80.0 30 boscial work environment (b) 8.77 10 14.3 70 100.0 (a) 14.4 80.0 30 boscial work environment (c) 8.77 11 113 23.9 76.1 113 23.9 76.1 113 23.9 76.1 113 23.9 77.1 100.0 (a) 11 19.1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 100.0 (a) 11 19.1 11 11 11 11 11 100.0 (a) 11 19.1 11 11 11 11 11 100.0 (a) 11 19.1 11 11 11 11 11 100.0 (a) 11 19.1 11 11 11 11 11 11 100.0 (a) 11 19.1 11 11 11 11 11 100.0 (a) 11 19.1 11 11 11 11 11 11 100.0 (a) 11 19.1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	Low	707	8/. 5.00	30	7.7	757	100.0	<0.001	138	80.8	4 6	13.7	781	100.0	<0.001
sectial work environment 121 57.5 88 4.2.1 209 100.0 152 62.5 91 sectial work environment 60 85.7 110 14.3 70 100.0 4.0.01 48 81.4 111 hstrain 60 85.7 110 14.3 70 100.0 11 91.7 1 100.0 11 91.7 1 100.0 11 91.7 1 100.0 11 91.7 1 100.0 11 91.7 1 100.0 11 91.7 1 100.0 11 91.7 1 100.0 11 91.7 1 100.0 11 91.7 1 100.0 11 91.7 1 100.0 11 91.7 1 100.0 11 91.7 1 100.0 11 91.7 1 100.0 11 91.7 1 100.0 11 91.7 1 100.0 1 11 91.7 1 11 100.0 1 11 91.7 1 11 100.0 1 11 91.7 1 11 100.0 1 11 91.7 1 11 91.0 1 11 91.7 1 11 91.0 1 91.7 1 11 91.0 1 91.7 1 11 91.0 1 91.7 1	Moderate	4.5	0.0/	9	4.17	/81	100.0		<u> </u>	80.0	30	20.0	180	100.0	
Section work environment 50 85.7 10 14.3 239 77.0 100.0 40.001 48 81.4 11 51 52 76.1 113 23.9 47.2 100.0 50.0 11 51 54.1 13 23.9 47.2 100.0 55.0 110 51 54.1 13 23.9 47.2 100.0 55.0 110 51 54.1 13 23.9 47.2 100.0 55.0 110 52 6 61.3 12 22.7 54.2 100.0 50.0 1 378 75.8 121 53 81 work 54 6 61.5 35 38.5 91 100.0 50.0 1 378 75.8 121 54 at work 55 6 61.5 35 38.5 91 100.0 50.0 1 384 83.5 75 65 61.5 12 12.3 22.7 54.0 100.0 50.0 1 384 83.5 75 65 61.5 12 12.3 22.7 54.0 100.0 50.0 1 384 83.5 75 65 61.5 12.3 22.7 54.0 113 100.0 50.0 1 384 83.5 75 65 61.5 12.3 22.7 54.0 113 100.0 50.0 1 384 83.5 75 65 61.5 12.3 22.7 54.0 113 100.0 50.0 1 384 83.5 75 65 61.5 12.3 22.7 54.0 113 100.0 50.0 1 384 83.5 75 65 61.5 12.3 22.7 54.0 113 100.0 50.0 1 154 80.1 111 65 61.5 12.3 22.7 54.0 100.0 50.0 1 144 80.4 101 65 61.5 12.3 22.7 54.0 100.0 50.0 1 187 91.7 11 65 61.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.0 100.0 50.0 1 187 91.7 11 65 61.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 1	High	171	6./6	88	47.1	709	100.0		761	9.79	91	5/.4	743	100.0	
ve yearn 50 85.7 10 14.3 70 1000 <0.0001 33 75.1 11 sive job sive job 16 94.1 1 5.9 17 100.0 <0.001 33 75.1 11 ain sive job 16 94.1 1 5.9 17 100.0 <0.001 33 75.8 11 ain 40 54.1 3.4 45.9 17 100.0 <0.001 378 75.8 121 ain 41 77.3 12.2 22.7 54.2 100.0 <0.001 378 75.8 121 v 45 6 6.1.5 35 38.5 91 100 <0.001 30 37.8 121 v 45 78 10.5 20.2 52.0 100.0 <0.001 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 v 45 30.0 31.2 32.2 32.2 32.2	Psychosocial work environment	,	1	•	,	Î	•	0	•		;			•	,
sive job billowing by the job billowing billow by the job billowing billow by the job billow	Low strain	09	85.7	10	14.3	70	100.0	<0.001	48	81.4	= 1	18.6	59	100.0	0.189
sive job by strain by stra	Active job	359	76.1	113	23.9	472	100.0		330	75.0	110	25.0	440	100.0	
h strain 40 54.1 34 45.9 74 100.0 ain 410 77.3 123 22.7 542 100.0 50 61.5 35 38.5 91 100.0 70 71.7 30 s at work 411 79.8 105 20.2 520 100.0 62 61.1 378 75.8 121 42 78.2 123 21.8 563 100.0 70 48.3 75 43 45.9 77.1 70.0 44 78.2 123 21.8 563 100.0 70 48.3 75 49 47.6 54 40 78.2 123 21.8 563 100.0 40 49 47.6 54 40 78.2 129 580 100.0 40 40 40 58.1 40 40 60.0 40 40 60.0 40 40 60.0 40 40 60.0 40 40 60.0 40 40 60.0 40 40 60.0 40 40 60.0 40 40 60.0 40 40 60.0 40 40 60.0 40 40 60.0 40 40.0 4	Passive job	16	94.1	_	5.9	17	100.0		11	91.7	-	8.3	12	100.0	
ain 419 77.3 123 22.7 542 100.0 6.0.01 378 75.8 121 5 6 61.5 35 38.5 91 100.0 5 at work 415 79.8 105 20.2 520 100.0 6 53.1 53 46.9 113 100.0 6 6 53.1 53 46.9 113 100.0 6 6 61.5 73.1 53 46.9 113 100.0 6 6 61.5 73.1 53 46.9 113 100.0 6 70 100.0 7 6 48.3 75 6 8 74 6 10.0 7 7 7 48.3 75 7 8 75.8 7 8 75.8 7 8 75.8 7 9 7 1.7 8 8 8 9 9 9 1 1.4 8 8 8 9 9 9 1 1.4 8 8 9 9 9 1 1.7 8 8 8 9 9 9 1 1.4 8 1 1.3 8 8 8 9 9 9 1 1.4 8 13.1 8 1	High strain	40	54.1	34	45.9	74	100.0		65	69.1	29	30.9	94	100.0	
s at work s at work s at work s at work s and work s at work v committee v committe	Job strain														
s at work 415 79.8 105 20.2 520 100.0 <0.001 384 83.5 76 h statwork 416 79.8 105 20.2 520 100.0 <0.001 384 83.5 76 ds at work 417 79.8 105 20.2 520 100.0 <0.001 384 83.5 76 ds at work 418 78.2 123 21.8 563 100.0 <0.001 405 80.7 57 h ommitment 531 82.1 72 179 403 100.0 <0.001 154 88.1 111 reward imbalance 453 78.1 127 21.9 580 100.0 <0.001 187 91.7 111 reward ratio 533 88.6 30 11.4 263 100.0 <0.001 187 91.7 17 derate 544 71.6 65 28.4 229 100.0 555 60 100 0 0.001 187 91.7 17 derate 556 100 0 0.001 187 91.7 17 derate 557 100 0 0.001 187 91.7 17 derate 558 100 0 0.001 187 91.7 17 derate 559 100 0 0.001 187 91.7 17 derate 550 100 0 0.001 183 91.7 17 derate 551 100 0 0.001 183 91.7 17 derate 552 100 0 0.001 183 91.7 17 derate 553 100 0 0.001 183 91.7 17 derate 553 100 0 0.001 183 91.7 17 derate 554 13.1 13.1 236 100.0 183 91.7 13 derate 557 14.5 13.1 23.5 100.0 11.5 13 derate 558 100 0 0.001 183 91.7 14 derate 559 100 0 0.001 183 91.7 14 derate 559 100 0 0.001 183 91.7 14 derate 559 100 0 0.001 183 91.7 14 derate 550 100 0 0.001 183 91.7 14 derate 550 100 0 0.001 155 133 64.3 14 derate 550 100 0 0.001 155 135 14 derate	No	419	77.3	123	22.7	542	100.0	<0.001	378	75.8	121	24.2	499	100.0	0.381
s at work v h h total serious	Yes	56	61.5	35	38.5	91	100.0		92	71.7	30	28.3	106	100.0	
v at start with the color of st	Efforts at work														
h by the	Low	415	79.8	105	20.2	520	100.0	<0.001	384	83.5	92	16.5	460	100.0	< 0.001
ds at work ds at w	High	09	53.1	53	46.9	113	100.0		70	48.3	75	51.7	145	100.0	
h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h	Rewards at work														
v ommitment volument ment ment ment ment ment the domination of the point and/or injury 35 50.0 35 50.0 70 100.0 49 47.6 54 ommitment volumitment volumitment volumitment to the point and/or injury and reate decrete 331 82.1 72 17.9 403 100.0 <0.001	High	440	78.2	123	21.8	563	100.0	<0.001	405	80.7	76	19.3	502	100.0	< 0.001
ommitment v v h h h reward imbalance 453 78.1 127 21.9 403 100.0 <0.001 300 88.2 40 h reward imbalance 453 78.1 127 21.9 580 100.0 <0.001 414 80.4 101 22 41.5 31 58.5 53 100.0 <0.001 187 91.7 17 v derate 453 78.1 127 21.9 580 100.0 <0.001 187 91.7 17 v derate b h related activities that lead do pain and/or injury 455 75.0 158 75.0 158 75.0 100.0 460 0.001 162 82.7 34 475 75.0 158 75.0 158 75.0 100.0 470 158 75.0 158 75.0 100.0 470 475 75.0 158 75.0 100.0 470 475 75.0 158 75.0 158 75.0 100.0 470 151 75.0 151 75	Low	35	50.0	35	50.0	70	100.0		49	47.6	54	52.4	103	100.0	
very state of the contract of	Overcommitment														
hereward imbalance 453 78.1 127 21.9 580 100.0 <0.001 414 80.4 101 25 41.5 31 58.5 53 100.0 <0.001 414 80.4 101 40 44.4 50 40 44.4 50 114 71.6 65 28.4 229 100.0 100 105 80.0 40 107 53.2 94 118 73.3 55.3 63 44.7 141 100.0 100 119 78.5 53 60.0 114 100.0 116 80.0 40 119 78.5 53 67 100.0 116 80.0 40 119 78.5 53 71.1 20.0 100.0 116 80.0 40 110 80.	Low	331	82.1	72	17.9	403	100.0	<0.001	300	88.2	40	11.8	340	100.0	< 0.001
reward imbalance 453 78.1 127 21.9 580 100.0 <0.001 414 80.4 101 22 41.5 31 58.5 53 100.0 40 44.4 50 101 40.4 44.4 50 102 41.5 31 58.5 53 100.0 103 40.4 41.4 50 104 41.4 50 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 50.4 101 105 41.4 51.4 101 105 41.4 51.4 101 105 41.4 51.4 101 105 41.4 51.4 101 105 41.4 51.4 101 105 41.4 51.4 101 105 41.4 51.4 101 105 41.4 51.4 101 105 41.4 51.4 101 105 41.4 51.4 101 105 41.4 51.4 101 105 41.4 51.4 101 105 41.4 51.4 101 105 41.4 51.4 101 105 41.	High	14	62.6	98	37.4	230	100.0		154	58.1	1111	41.9	265	100.0	
terward ratio v reward ratio v derate derate derate derate derate derate derate derate 1.2	Effort-reward imbalance														
treward ratio v derate by related activities that lead do pain and/or injury derate control of the control	No	453	78.1	127	21.9	580	100.0	<0.001	414	80.4	101	19.6	515	100.0	< 0.001
reward ratio v derate v derate b	Yes	22	41.5	31	58.5	53	100.0		40	44.4	50	55.6	06	100.0	
v derate derate	Effort-reward ratio														
derate 164 71.6 65 28.4 229 100.0 160 80.0 40 h 78 55.3 63 44.7 141 100.0 107 53.2 94 related activities that lead do pain and/or injury 205 86.9 31 13.1 236 100.0 <0.001	Low	233	88.6	30	11.4	263	100.0	<0.001	187	91.7	17	8.3	204	100.0	< 0.001
h 78 55.3 63 44.7 141 100.0 107 53.2 94 related activities that lead do pain and/or injury 205 86.9 31 13.1 236 100.0 <0.001 162 82.7 34 derate 127 62.9 75 37.1 202 100.0 139 64.3 74 475 75.0 158 25.0 633 100.0 454 75.0 151	Moderate	164	71.6	65	28.4	229	100.0		160	80.0	40	20.0	200	100.0	
related activities that lead do pain and/or injury 205 86.9 31 13.1 236 100.0 <0.001 162 82.7 34 derate 143 73.3 52 26.7 195 100.0 159 78.7 43 h 127 62.9 75 37.1 202 100.0 133 64.3 74 75.0 158 25.0 633 100.0 454 75.0 151	High	78	55.3	63	7.44	141	100.0		107	53.2	94	46.8	201	100.0	
derate 205 86.9 31 13.1 236 100.0 <0.001 162 82.7 34 derate 143 73.3 52 26.7 195 100.0 159 78.7 43 h 127 62.9 75 37.1 202 100.0 133 64.3 74 475 75.0 158 25.0 633 100.0 454 75.0 151	Work-related activities that lead do pain and/or injury			}		:				!			;		
derate derate 143 73.3 52 26.7 195 100.0 159 78.7 43 h 127 62.9 75 37.1 202 100.0 133 64.3 74 475 75.0 158 25.0 633 100.0 444 75.0 151	low	205	86.9	7	13.1	236	100.0	<0.001	162	82.7	34	17.3	196	100.0	<0.001
h 127 (2.5) 75 37.1 202 100.0 133 64.3 74 475 75.0 158 25.0 633 100.0 454 75.0 151	Moderate	143	73.3	52	7.97	105	1000	100.00	150	187	t 4	21.5	202	100.0	70.00
475 750 158 25.0 633 1000 454 750 151	High	7.71	6.67	2. 2.	37.1	202	1000		133	64.3	£ 47	35.7	202	1000	
	T.4-1	121	75.0	021		202	100.0		757	0.50	151	75.0	107	1000	
101 007 101 0001 000 000 001 007	I Otal	4/5	0.67	138	72.0	022	100.0		424	0.67	151	72.0	cno	100.0	

Industrial Health 2022, **60**, 29-39

3.90), effort-reward imbalance (OR=2.82, 95% CI=1.44–2.75), high overcommitment (OR=1.77, 95% CI=1.14–2.75), work-related activities that may contribute to job-related pain and/or injury with high (2.58, 95% CI=1.51–4.40) or moderate (OR=1.82, 95% CI=1.06–3.14) exposure, age group of 31 to 40 years (OR=0.26, 95% CI=0.14–0.47) or \geq 41 years (OR=0.27, 95% CI=0.15–0.49), degree education (OR=2.13, 95% CI=1.15–3.95) or high school/primary education (OR=1.67, 95% CI=1.05–2.67) and sedentarism (OR=1.74, 95% CI=1.15–2.66). The model was controlled for gender, and residuals analysis showed good fit (χ ²=1.81; p=0.986) (Table 4).

Multiple logistic regression analysis showed that the independent variables associated with intention to leave were: demand/control ratio indicating high exposure to psychosocial risk for job strain (OR=1.81, 95% CI=1.18–2.76), effort-reward imbalance (OR=3.25, 95% CI=1.93–5.47), work-related activities that may contribute to work-related pain and/or injury with high exposure (1.54, 95% CI=1.00–2.35), age group ≥41 years (OR=0.50, 95% CI=0.33–0.77), presence of insomnia symptoms (OR=2.72, 95% CI=1.65–4.47) and female gender (OR=2.70, 95% CI=1.58–4.62). The residuals analysis showed good fit

 $(\chi^2=2.48; p=0.963)$ (Table 5).

Discussion

The study results showed an association between work ability and intention to leave. This behavior in nursing is supported by the existing literature^{4, 7, 22)}, where individuals with impaired work ability have a greater likelihood of giving up work, including before statutory retirement age^{10, 23)}. The decision to leave the nursing profession is preceded by intention to leave, which in turn is influenced by a range of underlying factors, such as cumulative or sudden exposures, consequences on private life, besides personal and macrosocial conditions, health and pension systems and the job market⁴⁾.

In the present study, the factors associated with work ability impairment and intention to leave were analyzed. Several factors were common to both outcomes: high psychosocial risk for job strain, effort-reward imbalance, exposure to situations that may contribute to musculoskeletal pain/injury, and younger age. Overcommitment, lower professional qualifications and sedentarism were associated with impaired work ability, whereas insomnia symptoms

Table 4. Multiple logistic regression analysis of factors associated with impaired work ability, Nursing workers, São Paulo State, 2019

W : 11	OP	95% C	I (OR)	
Variables	OR	Inf.	Sup.	p
Demand/control ratio				
Low	1.00			
Moderate	1.28	0.73	2.23	0.396
High	2.26	1.32	3.90	0.003
Effort-reward imbalance				
No	1.00			
Yes	2.82	1.44	5.52	0.002
Overcommitment				
Low	1.00			
High	1.77	1.14	2.75	0.011
Work-related activities that lead do pain and/or injury				
Low	1.00			
Moderate	1.82	1.06	3.14	0.031
High	2.58	1.51	4.40	0.001
Age (years)				
≤30	1.00			
31–40	0.26	0.14	0.47	< 0.001
≥41	0.27	0.15	0.49	< 0.001
Nursing education				
College education with postgraduate degree	1.00			
College education	2.13	1.15	3.95	0.016
High /Elementary school	1.67	1.05	2.67	0.030
Regular practice of physical activity				
Yes	1.00			
No	1.74	1.15	2.66	0.010

The model was adjusted for gender. Hosmer-Lemshow test: $\chi^2=1.81$; p=0.986.

36 M MARTINEZ et al.

Table 5. Multiple logistic regression analysis of factors associated with intention to leave nursing profession, Nursing Workers, São Paulo State, 2019. Paulo State, 2019

	OP	95% C	I (OR)	
	OR	Inf.	Sup.	p
Demand/control ratio				
Low / moderate	1.00			
High	1.81	1.18	2.76	0.006
Effort-reward imbalance				
No	1.00			
Yes	3.25	1.93	5.47	< 0.001
Work-related activities that lead do pain and/or injury				
Low / moderate	1.00			
High	1.54	1.00	2.35	0.048
Age (years)				
≤40	1.00			
≥41	0.50	0.33	0.77	0.002
Insomnia				
No	1.00			
Yes	2.72	1.65	4.47	< 0.001
Sex				
Female	1.00			
Male	2.70	1.58	4.62	< 0.001

Hosmer-Lemshow test: $\chi^2=2.48$; p=0.963.

and male gender were also associated with intention to leave.

Of the sociodemographic factors assessed, higher age proved protective against work ability impairment and intention to leave. The association between age and work ability may be absent or non-linear, since aspects such as qualifications, coping capacity and working conditions can be more favourable among older workers, thereby protecting their work ability^{2, 10)}. With regard to intention to leave, there is evidence that younger adults are more exposed to tasks involving higher physical load, content and limited autonomy, low pay and greater interest in pursuing new professional avenues, whereas older individuals face greater difficulties finding a new job^{2, 4, 24)}. A study of nurses in Brazil found age to be a protective factor for leaving the profession²⁴⁾. The effect of worker health should also be taken into account, with early exit of individuals with disability, poorer health or who are submitted to greater workloads^{2, 24)}.

No association between sex and work ability was found in the present study, but men had higher risk of intention to leave. This absence of association between gender and work ability has been reported in previous studies, where factors such as working conditions proved more relevant²³). The gender association with intention to leave was also observed in previous studies, showing that men more often wish to change profession^{4, 24}), possibly because males in nursing can feel professionally frustrated by aspects such

as choice and low recognition of the profession, as well clashes with colleagues and clients, leading to dissatisfaction and consequent intention to leave²⁴).

Sedentary individuals had higher risk of work ability impairment, echoing findings of a study on nursing professional at a private hospital in São Paulo²⁵). Engagement in physical activity helps prevent impairment and enhance work ability^{25, 26}). The protective role of exercise can be explained by preservation of musculoskeletal and cardiorespiratory capacity, control of body weight, attenuation of emotional reactions to stress, and improvement in self-esteem^{26, 27}). However, this same association was not seen for intention to leave, which tends to be more impacted by job pressure factors and by attraction through external incentives⁴).

Insomnia was not associated with work ability impairment on the multiple model for job variables, but represented greater risk for intention to leave. Insomnia is associated with daytime sleepiness and fatigue, inability to perform complex tasks, impacting performance, job turnover, absenteeism and job dissatisfaction, potentially leading to intention to leave²⁸. A study of Greek nurses found that insomnia was associated with burnout, emotional exhaustion, depersonalization and limitation in personal restrictions²⁹.

Level of professional qualifications was associated with work ability, but not with intention to leave. Impaired work ability was more frequent in individuals with primary or high school education and, to a greater degree, among those holding a graduate degree versus a post-graduate degree. Professionals educated to high school/primary level are generally nursing assistants or technicians, categories that perform predominantly care-related tasks involving high physical and mental load, favouring the occurrence of musculoskeletal and mental health disorders with consequent work ability impairment²). Nursing professionals holding degrees, but not post-graduate qualifications, are typically engaged in both patient care and administration duties. This group enjoys less autonomy than professionals with post-graduate qualifications, who hold more senior management or institutional posts²⁴). These loads, determined by working conditions and organizational environment, can favour impairment of work ability.

External work-related factors create loads and stresses which can favour work ability impairment and intention to leave⁴⁾. In the present study, several stressors of the psychosocial and physical work environment were associated with these outcomes, evidencing a dose-response relationship, with worse outcomes correlating with increased exposure to stressors.

The high demand-control ratio, representing greater exposure to psychosocial risk for job strain, was associated with higher risk for both work ability impairment and intention to leave. According to the demand-control model, jobs characterized by high psychosocial demands and low control favour the occurrence of psychosocial stress¹⁶⁾. The resultant burnout has a deleterious effect on physical and mental health, impairing work ability and encouraging attempts to avoid these situations through intention to leave^{2, 4)}. Other nursing studies have shown similar results, even after adjusting for other potential confounders^{2, 24)}.

The risk for work ability impairment and intention to leave was greater among those professionals with more marked effort-reward imbalance. ERI was especially relevant for these two outcomes, even when assessed alongside other job stressors^{2, 30}). Imbalance between effort and reward represents a risk for the occurrence of physical and mental health problems and reflects aspects of social reciprocity, pointing to the need for interventions centered on rewards in terms of esteem, recognition, and possibilities for development and career⁴). These results are in line with those of other studies in Brazil investigating work ability²) and intention to leave²⁴).

Professionals displaying overcommitment had higher risk for work ability impairment, but this factor showed no association with intention to leave. Individuals exhibiting overcommitment can underestimate work demands while overestimating their resources to cope, maximizing the effects of stress and rendering them more susceptible to exhaustion and sickness and consequent impairment in work ability⁴). In inadequate work situations, this individual pattern intrinsic to motivation is reinforced by external pressure^{4, 18}). Similar results documented in other nursing studies reflect the impairment profile characterizing these professionals²).

Greater exposure to situations that may contribute to musculoskeletal pain or injury was a risk for work ability impairment and for intention to leave, confirming results of earlier studies^{2, 22)}. The high physical loads of nursing are determinants of physical problems, particularly musculoskeletal disorders, leading to impaired work ability^{2, 4, 31)}. The NEXT-Study found a clear association between lifting and bending activities and intention to leave, a phenomena more prevalent among nurses with higher level of disability, given that professionals with good health status are more resilient to a high level of exposure to physical tasks⁴⁾.

In this study, individual characteristics (sociodemographic, lifestyle), particularly those related to the physical and psychosocial work environment, were associated with work ability impairment. Excessive physical and/or mental work increases susceptibility to disease, with consequent limitation in the ability to perform work activities, contributing to voluntary exit from the job or otherwise, and predicting intention to leave²). An assessment of the constructive model describing the "House of Work Ability" showed that work-related issues explained over 30% of work ability variance¹); in the NEXT-Study, around 20% of work ability variance was explained by working conditions, predominantly work organizational factors⁴). These are adverse pressure factors that make workers wish to leave their job in its present form, favoring intention to leave⁴).

The study results corroborate previous reports, providing fresh insights while highlighting the need to promote individual resources and improve conditions of the physical and psychosocial work environment as a strategy for enhancing work ability and retaining professionals in the workforce. The study limitation: the target population was nursing professionals living in the state of Sao Paulo, Brazil. Thus, the results of this study may be applicable to locations with similar socioeconomic conditions to those here described. The work ability impairment and the intention to leave are especially relevant when we consider that the nursing profession plays a vital role in the health systems^{7–9, 31)}.

The scarcity of nursing professionals and evasion in search of better conditions are prevalent problems in both developed and developing countries. The inadequate work38 M MARTINEZ et al.

ing conditions and the lack of recognition and professional perspectives are at the root of this problem^{2, 4, 7, 32)}. These issues are cause for concern in the context of demographic aging and the increased burden on health services, together with a dwindling interest in taking up the profession^{4, 8, 31)}.

The sheer number of psychosocial job factors impacting health, work ability and intention to leave is noteworthy, aspects which should be embraced in preventive and corrective practices. Recommended strategies include reducing workloads and optimizing resources, such as quality of leadership, opportunities for development, staffing levels and recognition^{4, 7, 31)}.

Conclusions

This study showed that individual characteristics, particularly inadequate working conditions, were associated with work ability impairment and intention to leave. Preventive public and institutional policies should include measures that promote improvements in the physical and psychosocial work environment, as well as strengthening individual resources.

References

- Ilmarinen J, Tuomi K, Seitsampo J (2005) New dimensions of work ability. Int Congr Ser 1280, 3–7.
- 2) Martinez MC, Latorre MRDO, Fischer FM (2015) A cohort study of psychosocial work stressors on work ability among Brazilian hospital workers? Am J Ind Med **58**, 795–806.
- Tuomi K, Ilmarinen J, Jahkola A, Katajarinne L, Tulkki A (2005) Índice de capacidade para o trabalho, 59, EduFSCar, São Carlos (in Portuguese).
- 4) Hasselhorn HM, Müller BH, Tackenberg P, University of Wuppertal, NEXT-Study Coordination, editors (2005) NEXT Scientific Report July 2005, 81, University of Wuppertal, W u p p e r t a l . h t t p s : // w w w . r e s e a r c h g a t e . n e t / publication/260592268_Next_Scientific_Report_July_2005. Accessed June 06, 2021.
- 5) Hult M, Pietilä AM, Saaranen T (2020) Improving employment opportunities of the unemployed by health and work ability promotion in Finland. Health Promot Int **35**, 518–26.
- 6) Martinez MC, Fischer FM (2019) Work ability as determinant of termination of employment. To resign or be dismissed? J Occup Environ Med 61, e272–81.
- 7) Bordignon M, Monteiro MI (2019) Predictors of nursing workers' intention to leave the work unit, health institution and profession. Rev Lat Am Enfermagem 27, e3219.
- 8) Cassiani SHB, Neto JCGL (2018) Nursing perspectives and the "Nursing Now" campaign. Rev Bras Enferm 71, 2351–2.
- 9) The Lancet (2019) 2020: unleashing the full potential of nursing. The Lancet **394**, 1879.

10) von Bonsdorff ME, Kokko K, Seitsamo J, von Bonsdorff MB, Nygård CH, Ilmarinen J, Rantanen T (2011) Work strain in midlife and 28-year work ability trajectories. Scand J Work Environ Health 37, 455–63.

- 11) Marques ACPR, Ribeiro M (2003) Álcool: abuso e dependência. In: Usuários de substâncias psicoativas: abordagem, diagnóstico e tratamento, Laranjeira R, Oliveira R, Nobre MR, Bernardo, WM (Coords), 2nd Ed., 29–47, CREMESP - Conselho Regional de Medicina do Estado de São Paulo / AMB - Associação Médica Brasileira, São Paulo (in Portuguese).
- 12) Nordin M, Akerstedt T, Nordin S (2013) Psychometric evaluation and normative data for the Karolinska Sleep Questionnaire. Sleep Biol Rhythms 11, 216–26.
- 13) Alves MGM, Chor D, Faerstein E, Lopes CS, Wenerck GL (2004) Short version of the "job stress scale": a Portuguese-language adaptation. Rev Saude Publica **38**, 164–71.
- 14) Karasek R, Brisson C, Kawakami N, Bongers IHP, Amick B (1998) The Job Content Questionnaire (JCQ): an instrument for internationally comparative assessments of psychosocial job characteristics. J Occup Health Psychol 3, 322–55.
- 15) Landsbergis PA, Schnall PL, Warren K, Pickering TG, Schwartz JE (1994) Association between ambulatory blood pressure and alternative formulations of job strain. Scand J Work Environ Health 20, 349–63.
- 16) Theorell T, Perski A, Akerstedt T, Sigala F, Ahlberg-Hulten G, Svensson J, Eneroth P (1988) Changes in job strain in relation to changes in physiological state. A longitudinal study. Scand J Work Environ Health 14, 189–96.
- 17) Chor D, Werneck GL, Faerstein E, Alves MGM, Rotenberg L (2008) The Brazilian version of the effort-reward imbalance questionnaire to assess job stress. Cad Saude Publica 24, 219–24.
- 18) Siegrist J (2005) Social reciprocity and health: new scientific evidence and policy implications. Psychoneuroendocrinology 30, 1033–8.
- 19) Coluci MZO, Alexandre NMC (2009) Cross-cultural adaptation of an instrument to measure work related activities that may contribute to osteomuscular symptoms. Acta Paul Enferm 22, 149–54.
- 20) Martinez MC, Latorre MRDO, Fischer FM (2009) Validity and reliability of the Brazilian version of the Work Ability Index questionnaire. Rev Saude Publica 43, 525–32.
- 21) Kujala V, Remes J, Ek E, Tammelin T, Laitinen J (2005) Classification of work ability index among young employees. Occup Med 55, 399–401.
- 22) Rongen A, Robroek SJW, van der Heijden BIJM, Schouteten R, Hasselhorn HM, Burdorf A (2014) Influence of workrelated characteristics and work ability on changing employer or leaving the profession among nursing staff. J Nurs Manag 22, 1065–75.
- 23) Prakash KC, Oakman J, Nygård CH, Siukola A, Lumme-Sandt K, Nikander P, Neupane S (2019) Intention to retire in employees over 50 years. What is the role of work ability and work life satisfaction? Int J Environ Res Public Health 16,

2500.

- 24) Oliveira DR, Griep RH, Portela LF, Rotenberg L (2017) Intention to leave profession, psychosocial environment and self-rated health among registered nurses from large hospitals in Brazil: a cross-sectional study. BMC Health Serv Res 17, 21.
- 25) Fischer FM, Martinez MC (2013) Individual features, working conditions and work injuries are associated with work ability among nursing professionals. Work **45**, 509–17.
- 26) Arvidson E, Börjesson M, Ahlborg Jr G, Lindegard A, Jonsdottir IH (2013) The level of leisure time physical activity is associated with work ability a cross sectional and prospective study of health care workers. BMC Public Health 13, 855.
- 27) Päivärinne V, Kautiainen H, Heinonen A, Kiviranta I (2019) Relationships of leisure-time physical activity and work ability between different occupational physical demands in adult working men. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 92, 739– 46.

- 28) Kousloglou SA, Mouzas OD, Bonotis IK, Roupa IZ, Vasilopoulos A, Angelopoulos NV (2014) Insomnia and burnout in Greek Nurses. Hippokratia 18, 150–5.
- 29) Lian Y, Xiao J, Liu Y, Ning L, Guan S, Ge H, Li F, Liu J (2015) Associations between insomnia, sleep duration and poor work ability. J Psychosom Res **78**, 45–51.
- 30) Bethge M, Radoschewski FM, Gutenbrunner C (2012) Effortreward imbalance and work ability: cross-sectional and longitudinal findings from the Second German Sociomedical Panel of Employees. BMC Public Health 12, 875.
- 31) van der Heijden B, Mahoney CB, Xu Y (2019) Impact of job demands and resources on nurses' burnout and occupational turnover intention towards an age-moderated mediation model for the nursing profession. Int J Environ Res Public Health 16, 2011.
- 32) Marć M, Bartosiewicz A, Burzyńska J, Chmiel Z, Januszewicz P (2019) A nursing shortage a prospect of global and local policies. Int Nurs Rev 66, 9–16.