

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Holdbrooks AT, Ankenbauer KE, Hwang J, Bellis SL (2020) Regulation of inflammatory signaling by the ST6Gal-I sialyltransferase. PLoS ONE 15(11): e0241850. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0241850

Editor: Alain Haziot, INSERM, FRANCE

Received: February 25, 2020

Accepted: October 21, 2020

Published: November 9, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Holdbrooks et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its <u>Supporting</u> Information files.

Funding: This research was funded by grants from the: (1) American Heart Association (GRNT7710013 to S.L.B), <u>www.heart.org</u>, and (2) National Institutes of Health (R01 CA225177 to S.L. B), <u>www.nih.gov</u>. Andrew Holdbrooks (ATH) was supported an American Heart Association Predoctoral Fellowship, <u>www.heart.org</u>. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Regulation of inflammatory signaling by the ST6Gal-I sialyltransferase

Andrew T. Holdbrooks[®], Katherine E. Ankenbauer[®], Jihye Hwang₀, Susan L. Bellis₀*

Department of Cell, Developmental and Integrative Biology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, United States of America

So These authors contributed equally to this work.

* bellis@uab.edu

Abstract

The ST6Gal-I sialyltransferase, an enzyme that adds α 2-6-linked sialic acids to N-glycosylated proteins, regulates multiple immunological processes. However, the contribution of receptor sialylation to inflammatory signaling has been under-investigated. In the current study, we uncovered a role for ST6Gal-I in promoting sustained signaling through two prominent inflammatory pathways, NFkB and JAK/STAT. Using the U937 monocytic cell model, we determined that knockdown (KD) of ST6Gal-I expression had no effect on the rapid activation of NFkB by TNF (< 30 min), whereas long-term TNF-induced NFkB activation (2–6 hr) was diminished in ST6Gal-I-KD cells. These data align with prior work in epithelial cells showing that α2–6 sialylation of TNFR1 prolongs TNF-dependent NFκB activation. Similar to TNF, long-term, but not short-term, LPS-induced activation of NFkB was suppressed by ST6Gal-I KD. ST6Gal-I KD cells also exhibited reduced long-term IRF3 and STAT3 activation by LPS. Given that ST6Gal-I activity modulated LPS-dependent signaling, we conducted pull-down assays using SNA (a lectin specific for a2-6 sialic acids) to show that the LPS receptor, TLR4, is a substrate for sialylation by ST6Gal-I. We next assessed signaling by IFNy, IL-6 and GM-CSF, and found that ST6Gal-I-KD had a limited effect on STAT activation induced by these cytokines. To corroborate these findings, signaling was monitored in bone marrow derived macrophages (BMDMs) from mice with myeloid-specific deletion of ST6Gal-I (LysMCre/ST6Gal-I^{fl/fl}). In agreement with data from U937 cells, BMDMs with ST6Gal-I knockout displayed reduced long-term activation of NFkB by both TNF and LPS, and diminished long-term LPS-dependent STAT3 activation. However, STAT activation induced by IFNy, IL-6 and GM-CSF was comparable in wild-type and ST6Gal-I knockout BMDMs. These results implicate ST6Gal-I-mediated receptor sialylation in prolonging the activity of select signaling cascades including TNF/NFkB, LPS/NFkB, and LPS/STAT3, providing new insights into ST6Gal-I's role in modulating the inflammatory phenotype of monocytic cells.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist

Introduction

Sialyltransferases play a seminal role in a variety of immunological processes through their modulation of surface receptors. For example, ST6Gal-I, a Golgi sialyltransferase that adds α 2–6 linked sialic acids to *N*-glycosylated proteins, has been shown to be vital for granulopoiesis [1, 2], thymopoiesis [3], B cell proliferation [4] and antibody production [4, 5]. Moreover, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have revealed that single nucleotide polymorphisms of ST6Gal-I are linked to multiple immune-related disorders, including multiple sclerosis [6], coronary artery disease [7], type 2 diabetes [8, 9] and IgA nephropathy [10]. While many studies have examined the role of ST6Gal-I-mediated sialylation in modulating immune endpoints, limited attention has been paid to the mechanisms by which sialylation affects inflammatory signal transduction.

One of the most highly studied inflammatory signaling pathways is the NFKB axis. Pivotal to controlling multiple facets of innate and adaptive immunity, the NFκB transcription factor induces the expression of numerous pro-inflammatory genes [11]. Dysregulation of the NF κ B pathway fosters a myriad of inflammatory diseases [11, 12]. NF κ B signaling can be initiated by a variety of stimuli, however, two of the primary activators of NFkB are tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a constituent of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria. TNF and LPS both play central roles in regulating the activation of immune cells such as monocytes and macrophages. TNF stimulation of tumor necrosis factor receptor 1 (TNFR1), the receptor responsible for most TNF signaling events [13, 14], leads to the immediate recruitment of Complex I proteins, including TRADD, TRAF2, RIP1 and cIAP1/2, and subsequent activation of NF κ B [15, 16]. However, following these events, TNFR1 internalizes into the endosome, where Complex I is replaced by Complex II proteins (FADD, caspase 8), which are responsible for initiating caspase-mediated apoptosis [15, 16]. In the LPS signaling pathway [17], LPS initially interacts with shuttle proteins that subsequently facilitate LPS binding to the TLR4/MD-2 receptor complex. TLR4 then oligomerizes, prompting the recruitment of adaptor proteins such as MyD88. During early TLR4 signaling, MyD88 is the key adaptor protein responsible for the activation of NF κ B. Later on, TLR4 internalizes, leading to the recruitment of TRIF, which promotes activation of both NFKB and IRF3. In addition, LPS stimulation of TLR4 can indirectly activate JAK/STAT signaling [18, 19]. Similar to NF κ B, the JAK/STAT pathway promotes expression of a wide array of inflammatory genes, and is also the principal system through which numerous cytokines and growth factors signal [20].

Prior studies from our group have shown that signaling by TNFR1 is modulated by ST6Gal-I-mediated α 2–6 sialylation. In both epithelial cancer cells [21] and U937 monocytic cells [22], TNF-induced apoptosis is blocked by α 2–6 sialylation of TNFR1. The mechanism appears to be due to a sialylation-dependent impairment in TNFR1 internalization [21]. This consequently diverts downstream signaling toward sustained NFkB activation [21], given that TNFR1 internalization is required for apoptosis [23–25]. These results are consistent with other studies in which inhibitors of TNFR1 internalization prevented caspase-mediated apoptosis, while simultaneously prolonging the activation of NF κ B [23–25].

As with TNFR1, the sialylation status of TLR4 is reported to affect its activation of NF κ B [26]. However, in prior studies, the functional effects of TLR4 sialylation were inferred through the use of sialidases, enzymes that cleave sialic acids from glycoconjugates. Sialidases are not specific for the α 2–6 sialic acid linkage, and therefore the explicit contribution of ST6Gal-I in regulating the LPS/TLR4 axis has yet to be investigated. Additionally, the effect of sialylation on JAK/STAT signaling remains poorly understood. In the present investigation, we define novel roles for ST6Gal-I in regulating TNF and LPS-induced inflammatory signaling in cells of the monocytic lineage. Using U937 monocytic cells with ST6Gal-I knockdown (KD), or bone

marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) from mice with ST6Gal-I knockout, we show that loss of ST6Gal-I expression leads to a decrease in sustained activation of NF κ B induced by LPS and TNF. Furthermore, sustained activation of IRF3 and STAT3 by LPS is also attenuated in ST6Gal-I knockdown/knockout cells. These data provide new insights into the mechanisms by which α 2–6 sialylation regulates immune cell function.

Materials and methods

U937 cell lines

U1 cells were purchased from ATCC and maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% antibiotic/antimycotic supplements (A/A, GE Healthcare Hyclone). A stable, polyclonal ST6Gal-I KD cell line was created by transducing U937 cells with lentivirus encoding a shRNA against ST6Gal-I (Sigma, TN000000 35432, sequence CCGGCGTGTGCTACTACTACCAGAACTCGAGTTCTGGTAGTAGTAGCACA CGTTTTTG), followed by selection with 1 µg/ml of puromycin (Sigma). As a control, cells were stably transduced with an empty lentiviral vector. Puromycin was removed from the medium at least 2 days prior to all experiments.

Mice with conditional deletion of St6gal1

C57BL/6 mice homozygous for the floxed *St6gal1* gene (B6.129-St6gal1^{tm2Jxm}/J) were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. These mice were crossed to LysM-Cre mice (The Jackson Laboratory, B6.129P2-Lyz2^{tm1(cre)Ifo}/J) to generate myeloid-specific deletion of *St6gal1*. Control animals consisted of floxed *St6gal1* mice with no Cre-recombinase expression. All animal experiments were conducted with prior approval from the University of Alabama at Birmingham (UAB) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

BMDM isolation and culture

Bone marrow cells were flushed from the femurs of 8-12-week-old mice. Following red blood cell lysis (ACK Lysing Buffer, Gibco), cells were seeded in tissue culture treated dishes and incubated for 3–4 hr. Suspension cells were then pelleted and cultured in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% FBS, 1% A/A and 20 ng/ml of murine M-CSF (R&D Systems) for 4–6 days to obtain BMDMs.

Cell treatments

All cell treatments were performed in medium containing 1% serum (2 hr pre-incubation in 1% serum-containing medium, followed by administration of stimulatory factors in 1% serum). To examine signaling, cells were cultured for the indicated times with 10 ng/ml of each molecule–TNF (R&D Systems), LPS (Sigma), IFNγ, IL-6 and GM-CSF (all from Peprotech).

Immunoblotting

Cells were treated for indicated times and immediately lysed in radioimmune precipitation assay (RIPA) buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Pierce). Total protein concentration was measured by BCA (Pierce). Samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes. Membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk in TBS buffer containing 0.1% Tween 20 (TBS-T), and then incubated with antibodies against ST6Gal-I (R&D Systems, AF5924), pNF κ B–p65 (S536, Cell Signaling Technology, 3033), total NF κ B–p65 (Cell Signaling Technology, 8242), p-STAT1 (Y701, Cell

Signaling Technology, 7649), total STAT1 (Cell Signaling Technology, 14994), p-STAT3 (Y705, Cell Signaling Technology, 9145), total STAT3 (Cell Signaling Technology, 9139), p-STAT5 (Y694, Cell Signaling Technology, 4322), total STAT5 (Cell Signaling Technology, 94205), p-IRF3 (Cell Signaling Technology, 37829), total IRF3 (Cell Signaling Technology, 11904) and TLR4 (Novus, NB100-56566). Protein loading was verified using horseradish per-oxidase (HRP)–conjugated anti-actin (Abcam, ab20272) or HRP-conjugated anti-tubulin (Abcam, ab21058). Membranes were incubated with appropriate HRP-coupled secondary antibodies (anti-rabbit and anti-mouse IgG, Cell Signaling Technology; anti-goat IgG, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and protein was detected by ECL (Pierce), Clarity (Bio-Rad), or Super-Signal West Femto substrate (Pierce). At least two independent experiments for each cell treatment and associated immunoblots were performed.

SNA staining

To confirm that ST6Gal-I knockdown corresponded with a decrease in $\alpha 2$ -6 sialic acid on the cell surface, staining was performed with SNA lectin, which binds to $\alpha 2$ -6 sialic acid. Briefly, cells were incubated with FITC-conjugated SNA (Vector, B-1305) at a 1:400 dilution for 20 minutes at 4°C. SNA staining was then quantified via flow cytometry using an LSR-II (BD Bioscience).

SNA lectin precipitation

To verify that TLR4 is a substrate for ST6Gal-I and that α 2–6 sialylation of TLR4 corresponds with ST6Gal-I manipulation, 400 µg of U937 cell lysates (harvested and quantified as previously described) were incubated with 50 µl of SNA-conjugated agarose beads (Vector, AL-1303) overnight at 4°C. α 2–6–sialylated proteins bound to the beads were then precipitated by centrifugation, washed with PBS, resuspended in 1x SDS-PAGE sample buffer (Invitrogen) plus 10% 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma) and incubated at 95°C for 5 min. Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted for TLR4 (Novus, NB100-56566).

Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qRT-PCR)

RNA was extracted from U937 cells treated for 6 hr with TNF or LPS using the protocol for the PureLink RNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen). Total RNA concentration was measured, and cDNA was synthesized using the M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase protocol (Promega). qPCR samples were prepared using TaqMan Fast Advanced Master Mix (Thermo). Primers for IL-6 (Hs00985639_m1), IL-8 (Hs01555410_m1), and TNF (Hs00174128_m1) were obtained from Applied Biosystems. The StepOne Plus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) was used to determine mRNA levels. The data were analyzed using the comparative CT method to obtain relative quantitation values, which were normalized to GAPDH (Applied Biosystems, Hs02758991_gl). Values for cells treated with TNF or LPS were normalized to values for untreated cells to yield fold increases in cytokine induction. At least three independent experiments were conducted, with each independent experiment performed in triplicate.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

U937 cells were treated with TNF or LPS for 6 hr and cell culture supernatants were collected. As a control, supernatants from untreated cells were also collected 6 hr after seeding. ELISA kits for IL-6 (430504, Biolegend), IL-8 (431504, Biolegend) and TNF (430204 Biolegend) were used to measure cytokine abundance in conditioned media. At least three independent experiments were conducted, with each independent experiment performed in triplicate.

Results

Knockdown of ST6Gal-I expression decreases sustained TNF-induced NFκB activation

In prior studies using epithelial cancer cell models, we determined that $\alpha 2$ –6 sialylation of TNFR1 had no effect on the initial activation of NF κ B by TNF, but instead prolonged TNF-dependent NF κ B activation [21]. To study the role of ST6Gal-I in monocyte signaling, we transduced the U937 human monocytic cell line, which expresses high endogenous levels of ST6Gal-I, with lentivirus encoding shRNA for ST6Gal-I. Stable knockdown of ST6Gal-I (ST6-KD) was confirmed by immunoblotting (Fig 1A, EV = empty vector control). To verify that knockdown of ST6 led to a concomitant decrease in cell surface sialylation, EV and ST6-KD cells were stained using SNA, a lectin specific to $\alpha 2$ –6 sialic acid (Fig 1B). Cells were subjected to short-term (15–30 min), or long-term (2–6 hr), treatment with TNF. As shown in Fig 1C, short-term activation of NF κ B was equivalent in EV and ST6-KD cells, whereas NF κ B activity in ST6-KD cells is in line with our prior data showing that knockdown of ST6Gal-I in U937 cells greatly increases TNF-induced apoptosis [22].

Knockdown of ST6Gal-I expression diminishes long-term activation of NFκB by LPS

Considering the prominent role that NF κ B plays in mediating inflammation, we evaluated a potential function for ST6Gal-I in regulating another pathway known to initiate NF κ B activation, the LPS/TLR4 signaling axis. As with TNF stimulation of NF κ B, ST6Gal-I activity had little effect on early (\leq 30 min) LPS-induced NF κ B signaling (Fig 1D). However, ST6-KD cells treated with LPS for 6 hr demonstrated strikingly reduced phosphorylation of NF κ B.

ST6Gal-I activity modulates TNF and LPS-induced cytokine production

We evaluated the effects of α 2–6 sialylation on known inflammatory targets of NF κ B transcriptional activity, such as IL-6, IL-8 and TNF. qRT-PCR experiments revealed that ST6-KD cells had reduced mRNA expression of IL-6, IL-8 and TNF compared to EV cells following 6 hr of TNF treatment (Fig 2A). Likewise, LPS-treated ST6-KD cells had lower expression of IL-6 and TNF than EV cells, although no difference was noted in the expression of IL-8 (Fig 2B). To further assess cytokine production, ELISA assays were conducted on culture supernatants. Cells were either treated with TNF for 6 hrs, or left untreated (UT) for 6 hrs, and the conditioned media screened for levels of IL-6 and IL-8 (TNF secretion was not measured due to the presence of exogenous, recombinant TNF in the culture media). Relative to EV cells, ST6-KD cells secreted markedly lower levels of IL-6 and IL-8 in response to TNF (Fig 2C and 2D). Similarly, ELISAs were conducted on cells treated with or without LPS for 6 hr. As shown, EV cells secreted a greater amount of IL-6 and IL-8 than ST6-KD cells in response to LPS (Fig 2E and 2F). In contrast to IL-6 and IL-8, there was no detectable secretion of TNF from any of the cell populations, either untreated or LPS-treated (data not shown).

ST6Gal-I activity promotes long-term LPS-induced STAT3 activation

While the canonical LPS pathway centers on NF κ B, it has been suggested that LPS can indirectly activate JAK/STAT signaling, further amplifying the inflammatory response to LPS [18, 19]. Accordingly, we evaluated the contribution of ST6Gal-I to LPS-induced JAK/STAT activation. Interestingly, we did not observe any rapid activation of either STAT1 or STAT3 in U937 cells upon LPS treatment (Fig 3A). Of note, U937 cells are thought to have some degree



Fig 1. Knockdown of ST6Gal-I expression decreases sustained activation of NFκB by TNF and LPS. (A) U937 monocytic cells were stably transduced with lentivirus encoding shRNA for ST6Gal-I. Control cells were generated by transduction of an empty vector (EV) lentiviral construct. ST6Gal-I knockdown (ST6-KD) was confirmed by immunoblotting. (B) Surface sialylation levels were measured by staining cells with SNA-FITC, followed by flow cytometry. (C) U937 EV and ST6-KD cells were treated with TNF for 15–30 min ("short-term" timepoints), or 2–6 hr ("long-term" timepoints), and lysates were immunoblotted for phospho-NFκB (p-NFκBp65) or total NFκB (NFκBp65). (D) EV and ST6-KD cells were treated with LPS for short-term or long-term timepoints and lysates were immunoblotted for p-NFκBp65 or total NFκBp65. Dotted lines indicate that blots were spliced to remove irrelevant, intervening lanes (i.e., all lanes shown were on the same blot).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241850.g001

of constitutive STAT1 and STAT3 activation [27]. As with short-term LPS treatment, no differences in STAT1 activation were detected at 2 and 6 hr following incubation with LPS.



Fig 2. ST6Gal-I modulates TNF and LPS-induced cytokine production. (A-B) EV and ST6-KD cells were treated with TNF (A) or LPS (B) for 6 hrs, and induction of IL-6, IL-8 and TNF mRNA expression was measured by qRT-PCR. Values for TNF and LPS treated cells were normalized to their respective untreated controls. (C-D) EV and ST6-KD cells were treated with TNF for 6 hrs, or left untreated (UT), and ELISAs were conducted to measure secretion of IL-6 (C) and IL-8 (D). (E-F) Cells were treated with or without LPS for 6 hrs, and ELISAs used to measure

secretion of IL-6 (E) and IL-8 (F). Graphs depict means and standard deviations from representative experiments. At least three independent experiments were conducted.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241850.g002

However, LPS did activate STAT3 at long-term timepoints, and STAT3 phosphorylation was reduced in ST6-KD, compared to EV, cells at 2 and 6 hr after LPS treatment (Fig 3A).

TLR4 is a substrate for ST6Gal-I mediated sialylation

Given that ST6Gal-I activity modulated LPS-induced NF κ B and STAT3 activation, we evaluated whether the receptor responsible for propagating the LPS signal, TLR4, was a direct substrate for ST6Gal-I-mediated sialylation. Using agarose beads conjugated to SNA, α 2–6 sialylated proteins were precipitated, and the precipitates were then immunoblotted for TLR4. As shown in Fig 3B, TLR4 was α 2–6 sialylated in EV cells, however levels of α 2–6 sialylated TLR4 were undetectable in ST6-KD cells. On the other hand, the total expression of TLR4 in whole cell lysates was unaffected by ST6Gal-I KD. Although the specific mechanisms by which TLR4 sialylation modulates downstream signaling remain to be examined, these results highlight a prospective molecule involved in the sialylation-driven modifications of LPS signaling.



Fig 3. ST6Gal-I activity promotes long-term LPS-induced STAT3 activation. (A) U937 EV and ST6-KD cells were treated with LPS for short-term (15–30 min) or long-term (2–6 hr) timepoints, and lysates were immunoblotted for phosphorylated (activated) or total STAT1 and STAT3. (B) To assess whether TLR4 was α 2–6 sialylated, lysates from EV and ST6-KD cells were incubated with agarose-conjugated SNA lectin and sialylated proteins were then precipitated by centrifugation. The precipitates were immunoblotted for TLR4 (upper blot). Total TLR4 expression was evaluated by immunoblotting for TLR4 in whole cell lysates (middle blot). (C) Lysates were probed for phosphorylated or total IRF3, a downstream mediator of LPS signaling. At least two independent experiments were performed for each immunoblot.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241850.g003

Knockdown of ST6Gal-I diminishes IRF3 activation

Because knockdown of ST6Gal-I led to a decrease in the long-term activation of NF κ B by LPS, we evaluated activation of IRF3, a downstream target of the adaptor protein, TRIF. IRF3 is thought to be responsible for later-stages of TLR4-induced NFkB signaling [17]. While no detectable activation of IRF3 was noted at 15 or 30 min., IRF3 phosphorylation was observed at 2 hr., and levels were higher in the EV line at this time point (Fig 3C).

ST6Gal-I activity has no effect on long-term JAK/STAT signaling induced by IFN γ , IL-6, and GM-CSF

Since ST6Gal-I activity influenced TNF and LPS-induced signaling, we interrogated a role for ST6Gal-I in other cytokine-mediated inflammatory pathways. U937 cells were treated with IFNy and examined for activation of STAT1 and STAT3, both of which are well-known downstream targets of IFNY. As shown in Fig 4A, ST6Gal-I KD had little effect on the IFNY-dependent activation of STAT1 or STAT3 at any of the timepoints measured. We next evaluated STAT3 activation by IL-6. At 15 min following IL-6 treatment, comparable amounts of p-STAT3 were detected in EV and ST6-KD cells, although at 30 min, there appeared to be a modest decrease in p-STAT3 levels in ST6-KD cells (Fig 4B). At 2 and 6 hr after IL-6 treatment, STAT3 activation was equivalent in EV and ST6-KD cells (Fig 4B). Finally, we monitored the effects of GM-CSF on STAT5 activation. At 15 and 30 min. following GM-CSF treatment, pSTAT5 levels were lower than in untreated cells, suggesting an initial inhibitory effect of GM-CSF (Fig 4C). However, GM-CSF-treated ST6-KD cells appeared to retain higher levels of p-STAT5 than EV cells at these early time points. In contrast, STAT5 was strongly activated by GM-CSF at 2 and 6 hrs after treatment, although the levels of p-STAT5 were comparable in EV and ST6-KD cells (Fig 4C). In the aggregate, these results suggest that, although some relatively minor differences were observed in short-term STAT signaling, ST6Gal-I activity had no major effect on sustained signaling by IFNy, IL-6 or GM-CSF, in marked contrast to signaling induced by TNF and LPS. These data suggest that ST6Gal-I-mediated sialylation regulates the activity of specific receptors and their cognate signaling pathways, rather than fundamentally altering global inflammatory signaling.

Deletion of *St6gal1* in primary macrophages suppresses TNF and LPS signaling

To corroborate the findings gleaned from U937 monocytic cells, we generated mice lacking ST6Gal-I expression in myeloid lineage cells. C57BL/6 mice expressing a floxed *St6gal1* gene were crossed to LysM-Cre mice ("LysMCre/ST6^{fl/fl}"). Mice with floxed *St6gal1*, but lacking Cre-recombinase expression ("ST6^{fl/fl}"), were used as the control. Monocytes were isolated from the bone marrow of the mice and cultured under established conditions to generate BMDMs. Cre-mediated deletion of *St6gal1* in LysMCre/ST6^{fl/fl} mice was confirmed by immunoblotting for ST6Gal-I in BMDM lysates (Fig 5A), and SNA staining showed that BMDMs lacking ST6Gal-I had reduced $\alpha 2$ -6 sialylation (Fig 5B). We then examined signaling events occurring 2 to 6 hr following cytokine treatment. Similar to U937 ST6Gal-I KD cells, the long-term activation of NF κ B by TNF was dampened in BMDMs from *St6gal1* knock-out mice (Fig 5C). Interestingly, we did not observe any appreciable apoptosis in TNF-treated BMDMs (not shown). LPS-dependent activation of NF κ B was also reduced in *St6gal1* knockout BMDMs as compared with wild-type cells (Fig 5D). Additionally, *St6gal1* knockout mice had decreased activation of STAT3, whereas STAT1 activation was unaffected (Fig 5E). We then evaluated STAT signaling downstream of IFN γ , IL-6, and GM-CSF (Fig 5F–5H, respectively), and found



Fig 4. ST6Gal-I activity has a negligible effect on long-term JAK/STAT signaling induced by IFNγ, IL-6, or GM-CSF. (A) Cells treated with IFNγ for short-term or long-term timepoints were evaluated for activation of STAT1 and STAT3. (B) Cells treated with IL-6 for short-term or long-term timepoints were evaluated for activation of STAT5. (C) Cells treated with GM-CSF for short-term or long-term timepoints were evaluated for activation of STAT5. Immunoblots are representative of at least two independent experiments.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241850.g004



Fig 5. Deletion of *St6gal1* **in primary macrophages suppresses TNF and LPS signaling.** (A) Mice with floxed *St6gal1* were cross-bred with mice expressing Cre recombinase under control of the LysM promoter (LysM/Cre-ST6^{fl/d]}). Mice with floxed *St6gal1*, but lacking Cre recombinase (ST6^{fl/d]}), served as the control population. Monocytes were isolated from the bone marrow and exposed to M-CSF for 4–6 days to obtain BMDMs. Knockout of *St6gal1* in LysMCre/ST6^{fl/d]} mice was confirmed by immunoblotting. (B) Control and *St6gal1* knockout BMDMs were stained with

SNA-FITC and surface sialylation analyzed with flow cytometry. (C) Control and *St6gal1* knockout BMDMs were treated with TNF for 2 or 6 hr, and lysates immunoblotted for phospho- and total NFκBp65. (D) Control and *St6gal1* knockout BMDMs were treated with LPS for 2 or 6 hr, and lysates immunoblotted for phospho- and total NFκBp65. (E) Control and *St6gal1* knockout BMDMs were treated with LPS for 2 or 6 hr, and lysates immunoblotted for phospho- and total STAT1 and STAT3. (F) Control and *St6gal1* knockout BMDMs were treated with IFNγ for 2 or 6 hr, and lysates immunoblotted for phospho- and total STAT1 and STAT3. (G) Control and *St6gal1* knockout BMDMs were treated with IL-6 for 2 or 6 hr, and lysates immunoblotted for phospho- and total STAT3. (G) Control and *St6gal1* knockout BMDMs were treated with IL-6 for 2 or 6 hr, and lysates immunoblotted for phospho- and total STAT3. (H) Control and *St6gal1* knockout BMDMs were treated with GM-CSF for 2 or 6 hr, and lysates were immunoblotted for phospho- and total STAT5.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241850.g005

that activation of the relevant STATs by these three cytokines was equivalent in control and *St6gal1* knock-out BMDMs. Taken together, the data generated from U937 cells and BMDMs suggest that ST6Gal-I activity selectively promotes the sustained activation of three signaling nodes, TNF/NFkB, LPS/NFkB and LPS/STAT3.

Discussion

TNF is a potent pro-inflammatory cytokine released in response to trauma or infection, and is among the most abundant early mediators in inflamed tissues [28]. TNF expression is also induced by bacterial-derived LPS, which signals though pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) such as TLR4 [17]. TNF and LPS play critical roles in macrophage activation, regulating the production of numerous inflammatory cytokines. Additionally, TNF can promote macrophage survival or differentiation, depending upon context [28]. NF κ B is one of the principal downstream signaling mediators for both LPS and TNF. The regulation of NF κ B signaling is complex due to the presence of numerous intracellular activators and inhibitors. The temporal kinetics of NF κ B activation play a large part in functional outcomes. In some cell types, for example, fibroblasts, NF κ B signaling is oscillatory, with NF κ B activation rapidly turning on and off [29]. However, macrophages typically respond with a single NF κ B nuclear translocation event, which persists for as long as the stimulus remains [29]. Other investigators have reported that the long-lasting persistence of nuclear-localized (active) NF κ B in macrophages is correlated within enriched expression of inflammatory cytokine genes [30].

In the current study, we find that ST6Gal-I activity prolongs NFkB activation in response to TNF and LPS, which we hypothesize would promote the extended secretion of cytokines within the tissue microenvironment. Using monocytic cell lines engineered with ST6Gal-I knockdown or BMDMs with ST6Gal-I knockout, we determined that cells lacking ST6Gal-I had diminished long-term activation of NF κ B, and reduced cytokine production. Furthermore, LPS-induced activation of IRF3 and STAT3 was attenuated in ST6Gal-I deficient cells. To assess the specificity of ST6Gal-I sialylation in modulating inflammatory signaling, we monitored the activation of the JAK/STAT pathway by other cytokines. Interestingly, loss of ST6Gal-I had no apparent effect on either short- or long-term activation of STAT1 or STAT3 by IFN γ . In response to treatment with IL-6 or GM-CSF, minor differences were noted in the rapid activation of STATs, however long-term signaling induced by IL-6 or GM-CSF was comparable in cells with high or low ST6Gal-I expression. These findings suggest that TNF and LPS are predominant pathways by which ST6Gal-I influences inflammatory signaling.

ST6Gal-I's role in TNF signaling is mediated, at least in part, through an α 2–6 sialylationdependent block in TNFR1 internalization [21]. However, the mechanism by which α 2–6 sialylation regulates TLR4 signaling remains undetermined. In addition to modulating surface retention of receptors, α 2–6 sialylation has the potential to modify receptor conformation and clustering, and could also impact ligand binding. Importantly, dimerization of TLR4 is essential for its activation [17], and TLR4 internalization can impact signaling through recruitment of TRIF instead of MyD88, activating both NF κ B and IRF3 [31–33]. ST6Gal-I-mediated sialylation is known to affect the oligomerization and/or internalization of several surface receptors including CD45 and PECAM1 [34, 35]. Adding to the possible mechanisms of TLR4 regulation by ST6Gal-I, *N*-linked glycosylation of the TLR4-associated molecules, CD14 and MD-2, is essential for their function and stability [36, 37].

The relationship between sialylation and the LPS/TLR4 pathway has been investigated by others. As in our work, the SNA lectin was used to determine that TLR4 is $\alpha 2$ –6 sialylated [26]. The functional effects of TLR4 sialylation were also examined, however in this case, receptor sialylation was manipulated by treating the cell surface with sialidase enzymes rather than through direct modulation of ST6Gal-I expression. Following sialidase treatment, it was shown that removal of surface sialic acids increased LPS-induced NFkB signaling [26, 38]. However, the sialidase used in these prior studies cleaves both $\alpha 2$ –3 and $\alpha 2$ –6 sialic acids, which may not be biologically equivalent to selective changes in $\alpha 2$ –6 sialylation induced by alterations in ST6Gal-I expression. Fluctuations in ST6Gal-I levels are biologically relevant, in that ST6Gal-I expression is dynamically regulated in certain immune cell populations [3, 39, 40], and typically upregulated in epithelial malignancies [41, 42]. Moreover, another group utilized a sialidase specific for $\alpha 2$ –3 sialic acids, along with lectins that block either $\alpha 2$ –3 or $\alpha 2$ –6 sialic acids, and determined that it was the $\alpha 2$ –3, but not $\alpha 2$ –6, sialic acids that hindered TLR4 receptor activation and signaling [43]. In contrast to this work, our studies suggest that $\alpha 2$ –6 sialylation of TLR4 enhances LPS-dependent signaling.

The combined results presented in this manuscript add to the accumulating evidence implicating ST6Gal-I activity in immune cell signaling and function. Murine knockout of *St6gal1* impairs B cell maturation and antibody production [4] as well as thymopoiesis [3]. Additionally, deletion of *St6gal1* enhances the neutrophilic and Th2 response in murine models of peritonitis and allergic pulmonary inflammation, respectively [2, 44]. As well, extracellular sialylation of hematopoietic stem cells by secreted ST6Gal-I depletes neutrophil reserves, and upon challenge with LPS, results in decreased neutrophil infiltration [1]. In other studies, a highly pathogenic subset of stem-like CD4 T cells was identified in a mouse model of colitis. Significantly, these T cells have enriched ST6Gal-I and are also resistant to apoptosis [39]. Many of these aforementioned studies indicate that ST6Gal-I is vital for immune cell differentiation and/or activation, suggesting that ST6Gal-I regulates immune cell fate. Notably, ST6Gal-I levels are high in some immature or naive immune cell populations, including dendritic cells and T cells, but decline upon activation and/or differentiation of these cells [45–48].

In conclusion, the current findings augment our fundamental understanding of how ST6Gal-I-directed sialylation regulates two signaling pathways that are highly involved in inflammation, NF κ B and JAK/STAT. They also supplement the breadth of literature implicating ST6Gal-I as a major mediator of immunity. Ultimately, these results help bridge the knowledge gap between the cellular effects of receptor sialylation and the immune outcomes driven by ST6Gal-I.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. (PDF)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Andrew T. Holdbrooks, Susan L. Bellis.Data curation: Andrew T. Holdbrooks, Katherine E. Ankenbauer, Jihye Hwang.Formal analysis: Andrew T. Holdbrooks, Katherine E. Ankenbauer, Susan L. Bellis.

Funding acquisition: Susan L. Bellis.

Investigation: Andrew T. Holdbrooks, Katherine E. Ankenbauer, Susan L. Bellis.

Methodology: Andrew T. Holdbrooks, Katherine E. Ankenbauer.

Project administration: Susan L. Bellis.

Writing - original draft: Andrew T. Holdbrooks, Susan L. Bellis.

Writing – review & editing: Andrew T. Holdbrooks, Katherine E. Ankenbauer, Susan L. Bellis.

References

- Dougher CWL, Buffone A Jr., Nemeth MJ, Nasirikenari M, Irons EE, Bogner PN, et al. The blood-borne sialyltransferase ST6Gal-1 is a negative systemic regulator of granulopoiesis. J Leukoc Biol. 2017; 102 (2):507–16. https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.3A1216-538RR PMID: 28550122
- Nasirikenari M, Segal BH, Ostberg JR, Urbasic A, Lau JT. Altered granulopoietic profile and exaggerated acute neutrophilic inflammation in mice with targeted deficiency in the sialyltransferase ST6Gal I. Blood. 2006; 108(10):3397–405. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2006-04-014779 PMID: 16849643
- Marino JH, Tan C, Davis B, Han ES, Hickey M, Naukam R, et al. Disruption of thymopoiesis in ST6Gal I-deficient mice. Glycobiology. 2008; 18:719–26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwn051</u> PMID: 18535087
- 4. Hennet T, Chui D, Paulson JC, Marth JD. Immune regulation by the ST6Gal sialyltransferase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998; 95(8):4504–9. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.8.4504 PMID: 9539767
- Jones MB, Nasirikenari M, Lugade AA, Thanavala Y, Lau JT. Anti-inflammatory IgG production requires functional P1 promoter in beta-galactoside alpha2,6-sialyltransferase 1 (ST6Gal-1) gene. J Biol Chem. 2012; 287(19):15365–70. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.345710 PMID: 22427662
- Li KC, Palotie A, Yuan S, Bronnikov D, Chen D, Wei X, et al. Finding disease candidate genes by liquid association. Genome Biol. 2007; 8(10):R205. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-10-r205</u> PMID: 17915034
- Saade S, Cazier JB, Ghassibe-Sabbagh M, Youhanna S, Badro DA, Kamatani Y, et al. Large scale association analysis identifies three susceptibility loci for coronary artery disease. PLoS One. 2011; 6 (12):e29427. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0029427 PMID: 22216278
- Kooner JS, Saleheen D, Sim X, Sehmi J, Zhang W, Frossard P, et al. Genome-wide association study in individuals of South Asian ancestry identifies six new type 2 diabetes susceptibility loci. Nat Genet. 2011; 43(10):984–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.921 PMID: 21874001
- Lu S, Xie Y, Lin K, Li S, Zhou Y, Ma P, et al. Genome-wide association studies-derived susceptibility loci in type 2 diabetes: confirmation in a Chinese population. Clin Invest Med. 2012; 35(5):E327. https:// doi.org/10.25011/cim.v35i5.18706 PMID: 23043714
- Li M, Foo JN, Wang JQ, Low HQ, Tang XQ, Toh KY, et al. Identification of new susceptibility loci for IgA nephropathy in Han Chinese. Nat Commun. 2015; 6:7270. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8270 PMID: 26028593
- 11. Liu T, Zhang L, Joo D, Sun SC. NF-kappaB signaling in inflammation. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2017; 2.
- Tak PP, Firestein GS. NF-kappaB: a key role in inflammatory diseases. J Clin Invest. 2001; 107(1):7– 11. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCl11830 PMID: 11134171
- Barbara JA, Smith WB, Gamble JR, Van Ostade X, Vandenabeele P, Tavernier J, et al. Dissociation of TNF-alpha cytotoxic and proinflammatory activities by p55 receptor- and p75 receptor-selective TNFalpha mutants. EMBO J. 1994; 13(4):843–50. PMID: 7509279
- Puimege L, Libert C, Van Hauwermeiren F. Regulation and dysregulation of tumor necrosis factor receptor-1. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2014; 25(3):285–300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2014. 03.004 PMID: 24746195
- Micheau O, Tschopp J. Induction of TNF receptor I-mediated apoptosis via two sequential signaling complexes. Cell. 2003; 114(2):181–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(03)00521-x PMID: 12887920
- Schutze S, Tchikov V, Schneider-Brachert W. Regulation of TNFR1 and CD95 signalling by receptor compartmentalization. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2008; 9(8):655–62. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2430 PMID: 18545270

- 17. Lu YC, Yeh WC, Ohashi PS. LPS/TLR4 signal transduction pathway. Cytokine. 2008; 42(2):145–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cyto.2008.01.006 PMID: 18304834
- Qin H, Roberts KL, Niyongere SA, Cong Y, Elson CO, Benveniste EN. Molecular mechanism of lipopolysaccharide-induced SOCS-3 gene expression in macrophages and microglia. J Immunol. 2007; 179(9):5966–76. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.179.9.5966 PMID: 17947670
- Sikorski K, Chmielewski S, Olejnik A, Wesoly JZ, Heemann U, Baumann M, et al. STAT1 as a central mediator of IFNgamma and TLR4 signal integration in vascular dysfunction. JAKSTAT. 2012; 1(4):241– 9. https://doi.org/10.4161/jkst.22469 PMID: 24058779
- O'Shea JJ, Plenge R. JAK and STAT signaling molecules in immunoregulation and immune-mediated disease. Immunity. 2012; 36(4):542–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2012.03.014 PMID: 22520847
- Holdbrooks AT, Britain CM, Bellis SL. ST6Gal-I sialyltransferase promotes tumor necrosis factor (TNF)mediated cancer cell survival via sialylation of the TNF receptor 1 (TNFR1) death receptor. J Biol Chem. 2018; 293(5):1610–22. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M117.801480 PMID: 29233887
- Liu Z, Swindall AF, Kesterson RA, Schoeb TR, Bullard DC, Bellis SL. ST6Gal-I regulates macrophage apoptosis via alpha2-6 sialylation of the TNFR1 death receptor. J Biol Chem. 2011; 286(45):39654–62. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.276063 PMID: 21930713
- Schneider-Brachert W, Tchikov V, Merkel O, Jakob M, Hallas C, Kruse ML, et al. Inhibition of TNF receptor 1 internalization by adenovirus 14.7K as a novel immune escape mechanism. J Clin Invest. 2006; 116(11):2901–13. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCl23771 PMID: 17024246
- Schneider-Brachert W, Tchikov V, Neumeyer J, Jakob M, Winoto-Morbach S, Held-Feindt J, et al. Compartmentalization of TNF receptor 1 signaling: internalized TNF receptosomes as death signaling vesicles. Immunity. 2004; 21(3):415–28. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2004.08.017</u> PMID: 15357952
- Schutze S, Machleidt T, Adam D, Schwandner R, Wiegmann K, Kruse ML, et al. Inhibition of receptor internalization by monodansylcadaverine selectively blocks p55 tumor necrosis factor receptor death domain signaling. J Biol Chem. 1999; 274(15):10203–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.15.10203</u> PMID: 10187805
- 26. Feng C, Stamatos NM, Dragan AI, Medvedev A, Whitford M, Zhang L, et al. Sialyl residues modulate LPS-mediated signaling through the Toll-like receptor 4 complex. PLoS One. 2012; 7(4):e32359. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0032359 PMID: 22496731
- Spiekermann K, Biethahn S, Wilde S, Hiddemann W, Alves F. Constitutive activation of STAT transcription factors in acute myelogenous leukemia. Eur J Haematol. 2001; 67(2):63–71. PMID: 11722592
- Parameswaran N, Patial S. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha signaling in macrophages. Crit Rev Eukaryot Gene Expr. 20(2):87–103. https://doi.org/10.1615/critreveukargeneexpr.v20.i2.10 PMID: 21133840
- Dorrington MG, Fraser IDC. NF-kappaB Signaling in Macrophages: Dynamics, Crosstalk, and Signal Integration. Front Immunol. 2019; 10:705. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00705 PMID: 31024544
- Lane K, Van Valen D, DeFelice MM, Macklin DN, Kudo T, Jaimovich A, et al. Measuring Signaling and RNA-Seq in the Same Cell Links Gene Expression to Dynamic Patterns of NF-kappaB Activation. Cell Syst. 2017; 4(4):458–69 e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2017.03.010 PMID: 28396000
- Husebye H, Halaas O, Stenmark H, Tunheim G, Sandanger O, Bogen B, et al. Endocytic pathways regulate Toll-like receptor 4 signaling and link innate and adaptive immunity. The EMBO journal. 2006; 25 (4):683–92. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7600991 PMID: 16467847
- Kuzmich NN, Sivak KV, Chubarev VN, Porozov YB, Savateeva-Lyubimova TN, Peri F. TLR4 Signaling Pathway Modulators as Potential Therapeutics in Inflammation and Sepsis. Vaccines (Basel). 2017; 5 (4):34. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines5040034 PMID: 28976923
- Kawai T, Akira S. Signaling to NF-kB by Toll-like receptors. Trends Mol Med. 2007; 13(11):460–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2007.09.002 PMID: 18029230
- Amano M, Galvan M, He J, Baum LG. The ST6Gal I sialyltransferase selectively modifies N-glycans on CD45 to negatively regulate galectin-1-induced CD45 clustering, phosphatase modulation, and T cell death. J Biol Chem. 2003; 278(9):7469–75. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M209595200 PMID: 12499376
- Kitazume S, Imamaki R, Ogawa K, Komi Y, Futakawa S, Kojima S, et al. Alpha2,6-sialic acid on platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule (PECAM) regulates its homophilic interactions and downstream antiapoptotic signaling. J Biol Chem. 2010; 285(9):6515–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.073106</u> PMID: 20048157
- 36. da Silva Correia J, Ulevitch RJ. MD-2 and TLR4 N-linked glycosylations are important for a functional lipopolysaccharide receptor. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277(3):1845–54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.</u> M109910200 PMID: 11706042

- Meng J, Parroche P, Golenbock DT, McKnight CJ. The differential impact of disulfide bonds and Nlinked glycosylation on the stability and function of CD14. J Biol Chem. 2008; 283(6):3376–84. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M707640200 PMID: 18057002</u>
- Stamatos NM, Curreli S, Zella D, Cross AS. Desialylation of glycoconjugates on the surface of monocytes activates the extracellular signal-related kinases ERK 1/2 and results in enhanced production of specific cytokines. J Leukoc Biol. 2004; 75(2):307–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0503241</u> PMID: 14634064
- Shin B, Kress RL, Kramer PA, Darley-Usmar VM, Bellis SL, Harrington LE. Effector CD4 T cells with progenitor potential mediate chronic intestinal inflammation. J Exp Med. 2018; 215(7):1803–12. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1084/jem.20172335 PMID: 29915024</u>
- 40. Wang D, Ozhegov E, Wang L, Zhou A, Nie H, Li Y, et al. Sialylation and desialylation dynamics of monocytes upon differentiation and polarization to macrophages. Glycoconj J. 2016; 33(5):725–33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10719-016-9664-4</u> PMID: 27080991
- Garnham R, Scott E, Livermore KE, Munkley J. ST6GAL1: A key player in cancer. Oncol Lett. 2019; 18 (2):983–9. https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2019.10458 PMID: 31423157
- Lu J, Gu J. Significance of beta-Galactoside alpha2,6 Sialyltranferase 1 in Cancers. Molecules. 2015; 20(5):7509–27. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules20057509 PMID: 25919275
- 43. Amith SR, Jayanth P, Franchuk S, Finlay T, Seyrantepe V, Beyaert R, et al. Neu1 desialylation of sialyl α-2,3-linked β-galactosyl residues of TOLL-like receptor 4 is essential for receptor activation and cellular signaling. Cellular Signalling. 2010; 22(2):314–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2009.09.038</u> PMID: 19796680
- 44. Nasirikenari M, Chandrasekaran EV, Matta KL, Segal BH, Bogner PN, Lugade AA, et al. Altered eosinophil profile in mice with ST6Gal-1 deficiency: an additional role for ST6Gal-1 generated by the P1 promoter in regulating allergic inflammation. J Leukoc Biol. 2010; 87(3):457–66. <u>https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.</u> 1108704 PMID: 20007243
- Comelli EM, Sutton-Smith M, Yan Q, Amado M, Panico M, Gilmartin T, et al. Activation of murine CD4+ and CD8+ T lymphocytes leads to dramatic remodeling of N-linked glycans. J Immunol. 2006; 177 (4):2431–40. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.177.4.2431 PMID: 16888005
- Crespo HJ, Cabral MG, Teixeira AV, Lau JT, Trindade H, Videira PA. Effect of sialic acid loss on dendritic cell maturation. Immunology. 2009; 128(1 Suppl):e621–31.
- 47. Jenner J, Kerst G, Handgretinger R, Muller I. Increased alpha2,6-sialylation of surface proteins on tolerogenic, immature dendritic cells and regulatory T cells. Exp Hematol. 2006; 34(9):1212–8.
- **48.** Videira PA, Amado IF, Crespo HJ, Alguero MC, Dall'Olio F, Cabral MG, et al. Surface alpha 2-3- and alpha 2-6-sialylation of human monocytes and derived dendritic cells and its influence on endocytosis. Glycoconj J. 2008; 25(3):259–68. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10719-007-9092-6 PMID: 18080182