www.bjcancer.com

Clinical outcome and risk factors for recurrence in borderline ovarian tumours

Y Yokoyama^{*,1}, T Moriya², T Takano³, T Shoji⁴, O Takahashi⁵, K Nakahara⁶, H Yamada⁷, N Yaegashi³, K Okamura³, T Izutsu⁴, T Sugiyama⁴, T Tanaka⁵, H Kurachi⁶, A Sato⁷, T Tase⁸ and H Mizunuma¹

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hirosaki University School of Medicine, 5 Zaifu-cho, Hirosaki 036-8562, Japan; ²Department of Pathology, Tohoku University School of Medicine, 1-1 Seiryo-cho, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8574, Japan; ³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Tohoku University School of Medicine, 1-1 Seiryo-cho, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8574, Japan; ⁴Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Iwate Medical University School of Medicine, 19-1 Uchimaru, Morioka 020-8505, Japan; ⁵Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Akita University School of Medicine, 1-1-1 Hondo, Akita 010-8543, Japan; ⁶Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Yamagata University School of Medicine, 2-2-2 Iidanishi, Yamagata 990-9585, Japan; ⁷Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine Fukushima Medical University, 1 Hikarigaoka, Fukushima 960-1295, Japan; ⁸Department of Gynecology, Miyagi Cancer Center, 47-1 Aishima, Natori 981-1293, Japan

We investigated the long-term prognosis of borderline ovarian tumours and determined risk factors for recurrence. One hundred and twenty-one borderline ovarian tumours treated between 1994 and 2003 at the participating institutions in the Tohoku Gynecologic Cancer Unit were retrospectively investigated for clinical stage, histopathological subtype, surgical technique, postoperative chemotherapy, the presence or absence of recurrence, and prognosis. The median follow-up period was 57 months (1-126 months). One hundred and nine cases (90.6%) were at clinical stage I. The histopathological subtypes consisted of 91 cases of mucinous tumour (75.2%), 27 cases of serous tumour (22.3%), and three cases of endometrioid tumour. Conservative surgery was used in 53 cases (43.8%), radical surgery in 68 cases (56.2%), a staging laparotomy in 43 cases (35.5%), and postoperative adjuvant therapy in 30 cases (24.8%). Recurrence was found in eight cases, but no tumour-related deaths were reported. Although no significant difference in disease-free survival rate was seen between different clinical stages, the difference in disease-free survival rate between serous and nonserous (mucinous and endometrioid) types was significant (P < 0.05). The 10-year disease-free survival rate was 89.1% for the radical surgery group and 57.4% for the conservative surgery group – this difference was significant (P < 0.05). In the conservative surgery group, cystectomy and serous tumour were independent risk factors for recurrence. Although recurrence was observed, the long-term prognosis of borderline ovarian tumour was favourable, without tumour-related deaths. Considering the favourable prognosis, conservative surgery can be chosen as far as the patient has a nonserous tumour and receive adnexectomy. However, in cases of serous type and/or receiving cystectomy special care should be given as relative risk rates of recurrence elevate by 2-4-folds.

British Journal of Cancer (2006) **94,** 1586–1591. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6603139 www.bjcancer.com Published online 9 May 2006 © 2006 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: borderline ovarian tumour; conservative surgery; cystectomy; serous tumour; multivariate analysis

Taylor (1929) found that some epithelial ovarian tumours showed clinically intermediate behaviour between benign and malignant, and called them 'semimalignant'. The International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) has formally introduced this concept as 'carcinoma of low malignant potential' in 1971, and the World Health Organization (WHO) as 'borderline tumour' in 1973, when the histological diagnostic criteria was proposed. The concept of borderline ovarian tumours was histologically defined as a disease entity that had been proposed clinically, and the adequacy of this histological definition has been repeatedly verified clinically.

*Correspondence: Dr Y Yokoyama;

E-mail: yokoyama@cc.hirosaki-u.ac.jp Revised 13 February 2006; accepted 31 March 2006; published online 9 May 2006

With an accumulated experience and knowledge regarding the characteristics and management of borderline ovarian tumours, reclassification and redefinition have been attempted (Seidman and Kurman, 1996), and new prognostic factors have been proposed (de Nictolis et al, 1992; Gershenson et al, 1999). At present, many conflicting reports are causing confusion. As many of the patients are relatively young (Harris et al, 1992), preservation of fertility has been attempted with favourable results (Morice et al, 2001). However, there are also reports of recurrence or poor prognosis (Kaern et al, 1993; Gilks, 2003), and more precise prognostic factors are required. We believe that it is important to get a clear picture of the present status of borderline ovarian tumours, as it has been more than 30 years since the introduction of the concept of these tumours. Our retrospective multicentre study conducted an overall clinical analysis of borderline ovarian tumours. Our ultimate aim is to investigate the long-term prognosis of borderline ovarian tumours, and to determine the risk factors for recurrence.

Information on 124 patients with a diagnosis of epithelial borderline ovarian tumour who were treated at the Tohoku Gynecologic Cancer Unit consisting of eight institutes from 1994 to 2003 was collected using each institutional databases.

Central pathological review was adopted in this study. One of the authors reviewed 124 cases diagnosed by the gynaecological pathologists of each institutes concerning histologic typing and grading of the primary lesion and 121 cases of those were determined as an epithelial borderline tumour. The histopathologic criteria embodied in a recent conference with published commentaries (Bell *et al*, 2004; Ronnett *et al*, 2004), some of which are included in the current WHO classification of ovarian tumours (Tavassoli and Devilee, 2003) were used for the diagnosis of borderline ovarian tumours in this study. These tumours were staged according to FIGO criteria (1987).

Radical surgery was defined as hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy. Conservative surgery was defined as any surgery that preserved the uterus and one or both ovaries. Conservative surgical procedure was performed as cystectomy or adnexectomy. Peritoneal cytology was performed systematically in both surgical procedures. Surgical staging in the present study was defined as including peritoneal cytology, omentectomy, and pelvic lymphadenectomy with or without paraaortic exploration (lymphadenectomy or biopsy or palpation), and peritoneal biopsy in radical or conservative surgery on occasion. These surgical procedures were performed depending on the surgical teams who provided the treatment and whether borderline tumour was diagnosed during or after the surgical procedure.

With regard to adjuvant chemotherapy, all women with advanced disease, those with stage Ic, and those with a likely persistence of residual tumour after cystectomy received platinumbased treatment in the early years of this study. Thereafter, chemotherapy was usually confined to women with advanced disease.

Comparisons of categorical variables were conduced by twotailed χ^2 and Fisher's exact tests where appropriate. Evaluation of independent factors predicting disease-specific recurrence was conducted by nominal logistic regression analysis. Survival estimates were calculated using the Kaplan–Meier product limit method. Comparison between survival curves was made using the generalised Wilcoxon's test. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. The patients who lost to follow-up were censored from the survival data.

Detailed information regarding patient's characteristics, treatment method, recurrence, and prognosis of the disease was abstracted from the medical record. We did not request institutional review board approval for this study because of its retrospective nature.

RESULTS

The median age of patients was 43 years old (range 15-76 years). Fifty-one patients (42.1%) were below 40 years of age, and 29

Table I Clinical and histopathologic characteristics of patients with borderline tumours

Number of patients 94 8 0 2 17 121 Age (years) 7 0 0 0 0 7 20-30 14 1 0 0 4 19 31-40 19 4 0 0 2 25 4 5 14 1 0 0 2 17 51-60 17 1 0 1 5 24 29 1 4 29 Histological type 0 1 4 29 1 4 29 1 3 Serous 19 4 0 0 1 4 29 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 3 1 3 3 1 1 3 3 1 1 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Alive with NED	Recurrence	Died of disease	Died of ICD	Lost	Tota
Age (vers) · <th< td=""><td>Number of patients</td><td>94</td><td>8</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>17</td><td> 2 </td></th<>	Number of patients	94	8	0	2	17	2
20^{-20} 7 0 0 0 0 7 20^{-30} 14 1 0 0 4 19 $41 - 50$ 14 1 0 0 2 17 $51 - 60$ 17 1 0 1 4 29 Histological type - 0 2 12 91 Mucinous 73 4 0 2 12 91 Serous 19 4 0 0 4 27 Endometriad 2 0 0 0 1 3 Stage -	Age (years)						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<20 ´	7	0	0	0	0	7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20-30	14	I	0	0	4	19
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31-40	19	4	0	0	2	25
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	41-50	14	I	0	0	2	17
> 60 23 I 0 I 4 29 Histological type Mucinous 73 4 0 2 12 91 Serous 19 4 0 0 4 27 Endometriod 2 0 0 0 1 3 Stoge	51-60	17	1	0		5	24
Histological type Mucinous 73 4 0 2 12 91 Serous 19 4 0 0 4 27 Endometriod 2 0 0 1 37 Stoge	> 60	23	I	0	I	4	29
Mucinous 73 4 0 2 12 91 Serous 19 4 0 0 4 27 Endometriod 2 0 0 0 1 3 Stage	Histological type						
Serous 19 4 0 0 4 27 Endometriod 2 0 0 0 1 3 Stage I 0 0 2 10 78 Ib 1 0 0 0 0 1 3 Ib 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 IL 2 0 0 0 0 2 1 IL 2 0 0 0 1 2 IL 0 1 0 0 1 2 ILL 3 0 0 0 1 2 ILL 3 0 0 0 1 2 ILL 3 0 0 0 1 1 VIN 1 0 0 0 1 1 Staged 37 6 0 1 3 <td>Mucinous</td> <td>73</td> <td>4</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>12</td> <td>91</td>	Mucinous	73	4	0	2	12	91
Endometriod 2 0 0 0 1 3 Stage	Serous	19	4	0	0	4	27
Stoge Ia 62 4 0 2 10 78 Ib I 0 0 0 0 1 78 Ib I 0 0 0 0 1 78 Ic 24 3 0 0 3 30 Il 2 0 0 0 1 2 Illa 0 1 0 0 1 2 Illb 1 0 0 0 1 2 Illc 3 0 0 0 1 2 Ill 3 0 0 0 1 4 V 1 0 0 0 1 1 Stagin Iprocedure Radical 57 2 0 2 7 68 Conservative 37 6 0 1 3 43 Unstaged 58 5	Endometriod	2	0	0	0	I	3
Ia 62 4 0 2 10 78 Ib 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 Ic 24 3 0 0 3 30 II 2 0 0 0 3 30 III 2 0 0 0 1 22 Illa 0 0 0 1 22 1 2 IIIb 1 0 0 0 1 2 IIIb 1 0 0 0 1 2 IIIc 3 0 0 0 1 4 V 1 0 0 0 1 1 Unknown 0 0 0 0 1 1 Staging laparotomy 3 6 0 1 3 43 Unstaged 36 3 0 1 3 43 30 Xes 22 4 0 1 3 30 <td>Stage</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Stage						
Ib I 0 0 0 0 1 Ic 24 3 0 0 3 30 II 2 0 0 0 12 Illa 0 1 0 0 12 Illb 1 0 0 1 22 Illc 3 0 0 0 1 2 Illc 3 0 0 0 1 4 IV 1 0 0 0 0 1 4 V 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 Stagical procedure 7 68 0 0 10 53 Staging laparotomy 3 2 0 2 7 68 Conservative 36 3 0 1 3 43 Unstaged 58 5 0 1 14 78 <	la	62	4	0	2	10	78
Ic 24 3 0 0 3 30 II 2 0 0 0 0 2 Illa 0 1 0 0 1 2 Illb 1 0 0 1 2 Illb 1 0 0 1 2 Illc 3 0 0 0 1 2 V 1 0 0 0 0 1 4 V 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 Unknown 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 Stagical procedure Radical 57 2 0 2 7 68 Conservative 37 6 0 0 10 53 Staged 36 3 0 1 3 43 Unstaged 58 5 <	lb	I	0	0	0	0	1
II 2 0 0 0 2 IIIa 0 1 0 0 1 2 IIIb 1 0 0 0 1 2 IIIb 1 0 0 0 1 2 IIIc 3 0 0 0 1 2 IV 1 0 0 0 1 4 V 1 0 0 0 1 1 Strgical procedure Radical 57 2 0 2 7 68 Conservative 37 6 0 0 10 53 Staging laparotomy Staged 36 3 0 1 3 43 Unstaged 58 5 0 1 14 78 Adjuvant chemotherapy Yes 22 4 0 1 3 30 No 72 4 0 1 14 91	lc	24	3	0	0	3	30
Illa 0 I 0 0 I 2 Illb 1 0 0 0 1 2 Illc 3 0 0 0 1 4 IV 1 0 0 0 0 1 4 IV 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 Unknown 0 0 0 0 1 1 Surgical procedure 7 68 0 0 10 53 Staging laparotomy 3 6 0 1 3 43 Unstaged 36 3 0 1 14 78 Adjuvant chemotherapy 7 4 0 1 14 91	11	2	0	0	0	0	2
IIIb I 0 0 1 2 IIIc 3 0 0 0 1 4 IV I 0 0 0 0 1 4 IV I 0 0 0 0 1 4 Unknown 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 Surgical procedure	Illa	0	1	0	0	I	2
Illc 3 0 0 0 1 4 IV 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 Unknown 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 Surgical procedure Image: Conservative 57 2 0 2 7 68 Conservative 37 6 0 0 10 53 Staging laparotomy 5 0 1 3 43 Unstaged 36 3 0 1 3 43 Adjuvant chemotherapy Yes 22 4 0 1 3 300 No 72 4 0 1 14 91	IIIb	I	0	0	0	I	2
IV I 0 0 0 0 1 1 Unknown 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 Surgical procedure Radical 57 2 0 2 7 68 Conservative 37 6 0 0 10 53 Staged 36 3 0 1 3 43 Unstaged 58 5 0 1 14 78 Adjuvant chemotherapy Yes 22 4 0 1 3 300 No 72 4 0 1 14 91	llic	3	0	0	0	1	4
Unknown 0 0 0 0 1 1 Surgical procedure Radical 57 2 0 2 7 68 Conservative 37 6 0 0 10 53 Staging laparotomy Staged 36 3 0 1 3 43 Unstaged 58 5 0 1 14 78 Adjuvant chemotherapy No 72 4 0 1 3 300	IV		0	0	0	0	1
Surgical procedure S7 2 0 2 7 68 Radical 57 2 0 2 7 68 Conservative 37 6 0 0 10 53 Staging laparotomy 5 36 3 0 1 3 43 Unstaged 36 5 0 1 14 78 Adjuvant chemotherapy Yes 22 4 0 1 3 300 No 72 4 0 1 14 91	Unknown	0	0	0	0	I	I
Radical 57 2 0 2 7 68 Conservative 37 6 0 0 10 53 Staged 36 3 0 1 3 43 Unstaged 58 5 0 1 14 78 Adjuvant chemotherapy Yes 22 4 0 1 3 300 No 72 4 0 1 14 91	Surgical procedure						
Conservative 37 6 0 0 10 53 Staging laparotomy Staged 36 3 0 1 3 43 Unstaged 58 5 0 1 14 78 Adjuvant chemotherapy Yes 22 4 0 1 3 300 No 72 4 0 1 14 91	Radical	57	2	0	2	7	68
Staging laparotomy Staged 36 3 0 I 3 43 Staged 36 3 0 I 3 43 Unstaged 58 5 0 I 14 78 Adjuvant chemotherapy Yes 22 4 0 I 3 30 No 72 4 0 I 14 91	Conservative	37	6	0	0	10	53
Staged 36 3 0 I 3 43 Unstaged 58 5 0 I 14 78 Adjuvant chemotherapy Yes 22 4 0 I 3 30 No 72 4 0 I 14 91	Staging laparotomy						
Unstaged 58 5 0 I 14 78 Adjuvant chemotherapy Yes 22 4 0 I 3 30 No 72 4 0 I 14 91	Staged	36	3	0		3	43
Adjuvant chemotherapy Yes 22 4 0 I 3 30 No 72 4 0 I 14 91	Unstaged	58	5	0	I	14	78
Yes2240I330No7240II49I	Adjuvant chemotherapy						
No 72 4 0 I 14 91	Yes	22	4	0		3	30
	No	72	4	0		14	91

NED = no evidence of disease; ICD = intercurrent disease.

patients (24.0%) were above 60 years of age (Table 1). The followup period varied from 1 to 126 months, with a median of 57 months. One hundred and nine patients (90.6%) had stage I disease, two had stage II disease, and nine had stage III and IV disease (Table 1). The dominant histopathological subtypes were mucinous (91 cases; 75.2%) and serous (27 cases; 22.3%) (Table 1). Only three tumours (2.5%) were of endometrioid type. Seventyfive (82.4%) and 16 of the 91 mucinous borderline tumours were intestinal and endocervical types, respectively. Radical treatment was performed in 68 (56.2%) patients, and 53 (43.8%) patients underwent conservative management (Table 1). Complete surgical staging was performed in 43 (35.5%) patients (Table 1). Adjuvant chemotherapy was given to 30 (24.8%) patients (Table 1). Seventeen patients were lost to follow-up, and two patients died of the other diseases (Table 1). Four patients had a mucinous tumour with pseudomyxoma peritonei and were excluded from the present study because presence of pseudomyxoma peritonei changes the scope of management and the category of pseudomyxoma peritonei is recognised as tumour that can simulate primary mucinous borderline ovarian tumour (Ronnett et al, 2004).

Among 102 patients who were finally evaluated for clinical outcome and prognostic factors, eight had tumour recurrence but none of them died of the disease (Table 1). The median time to recurrence was 46 ± 33 months (range 14 - 107 months). The 5- and 10-year disease-free survival rates were 91.7 and 69.2% for stage I diseases, respectively, and the 5- and 7-year disease-free survival rates were 100 and 66.7% for stage II-IV diseases, respectively (Figure 1A). The 10-year disease-free survival rate was 91.5 and 36.0% for mucinous and serous tumours, respectively (Figure 1B). Although no significant differences in disease-free survival rate were seen between different clinical stages, the difference between serous and nonserous (mucinous and endometrioid) types was significant. On the other hand, the 10-year disease-free survival rate was 89.1% for the radical surgery group and 57.4% for the conservative surgery group (Figure 1C). This difference was significant (P < 0.05). In univariate analysis, serous type and conservative surgery were found to be important variables affecting recurrence of disease (Table 2). Frequency of recurrence was not influenced by clinical stage, staging laparotomy, and postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy (Table 2). Multivariate analysis showed that only conservative surgery had independent prognostic value regarding recurrence of disease (Hazard ratio 2.2, 95% confidence interval, 0.02-0.52) (Table 2). Subsequently, risk factors for recurrence were evaluated among 43 patients who underwent conservative surgery (Table 3). Of these patients, six had tumour recurrence (Table 3). Three of eight patients who had cystectomy and three of 35 patients who had adnexectomy experienced tumour recurrence (Table 3, P<0.03). Recurrence occurred more frequently in patients with serous tumour than with nonserous tumour (Table 3). No correlation was found between recurrence and the factors such as clinical stage, staging laparotomy, or postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy among conservative surgery group (Table 3). Multivariate analysis confirmed cystectomy and serous type as an independent risk factor for recurrence of disease among the patients who underwent conservative surgery (Table 3). Table 4 shows estimated relative risk of having recurrence of disease for different combination of procedure of conservative surgery and histopathological subtype. For example, the relative risk for a patient receiving cystectomy for her serous tumour is 4.33 times greater than the risk for a patient receiving adnectomy for her nonserous tumour.

The clinical and pathological features of the eight patients who developed recurrence were demonstrated in Table 5. None of these eight patients died of progression of their disease. Three of the four serous tumours with recurrence were a noninvasive peritoneal implant, one of which was diagnosed as a serous adenocarcinoma at recurrence. The case developed adenocarcinoma in contralateral



Figure I (A) Clinical stages and disease-free survival in patients with borderline ovarian tumour. There is no significant difference between two curves. (B) Histological type and disease-free survival in patients with borderline ovarian tumour. There is significant difference in disease-free survival between serous and nonserous (mucinous and endometrioid) type (P < 0.05). (C) Surgical procedure and disease-free survival in patients with borderline ovarian tumour. There is significant difference between two curves (P < 0.05).

ovary 107 months after cystectomy. All mucinous tumours with recurrence were of intestinal subtype. All patients with recurrence who were initially treated conservatively are free of disease after secondary surgical treatment.

DISCUSSION

It has been shown that the 5-year survival rate was 95-97% for stage I and 65-87% for stages II and III (Trope *et al*, 2000; Trimble *et al*, 2002; Sherman *et al*, 2004) suggesting that the prognosis for borderline ovarian tumours depends on extraovarian extension of the tumour. In addition, prognostic factors included clinical stage, histopathological subtype, and residual tumour, but the surgical method was not regarded as a prognostic factor (Trope *et al*, 2000; Gilks, 2003). The results of the present study, however, showed neither the stage nor the histopathological subtype of the disease was related with long-term prognosis, but showed that disease-free survival rates were significantly lower in cases managed by conservative surgery (Figure 1).

Factors	Recurrence (n=8)	No recurrence (n=94)	Univariate P	Multivariate P
Mean age (years) Histology, n (%)	42.2 <u>+</u> 13.7	43.5±16.2		
Serous Nonserous	4 (50) 4 (50)	19 (20.2) 75 (79.8)	0.053	0.09
Surgical procedure,	n (%)			
Radical Conservative	2 (33.3) 6 (66.7)	57 (60.6) 37 (39.4)	0.05	0.031
Staging laparotomy	ı, n (%)			
Staged Unstaged	3 (37.5) 5 (62.5)	36 (38.3) 58 (61.7)	0.96	0.58
Stage, n (%)				
I II—IV	7 (87.5) I (12.5)	87 (92.6) 7 (7.4)	0.61	0.79
Adjuvant chemothe	erapy, n (%)			
Yes No	4 (50) 4 (50)	22 (23.4) 72 (76.6)	0.098	0.33

Table 3 Risk factors for recurrence in the patients who underwent conservative surgery for borderline tumours

Factors	Recurrence (n=6)	No recurrence (n=37)	Univariate P	Multivariate P
Surgical procedure Cystectomy Adnexectomy	, <i>n (%)</i> 3 (50) 3 (50)	5 (13.5) 32 (86.5)	0.03	0.047
Staging laparotom Staged Unstaged	y, n (%) I (16.7) 5 (83.3)	3 (8.1) 34 (91.9)	0.51	0.137
Adjuvant chemoth Yes No	erapy, n (%) 3 (50) 3 (50)	7 (18.9) 30 (81.1)	0.095	0.593
Stage, n (%) la lc	3 (50) 3 (50)	23 (62.2) 14 (37.8)	0.57	0.198
Histology, n (%) Serous Non-serous	3 (50) 3 (50)	6 (16.2) 31 (83.8)	0.059	0.041

Table 4	Relative risk	of recurrence	in	borderline tumours
---------	---------------	---------------	----	--------------------

	Histologic	al type
Conservative surgery	Nonserous	Serous
Adnexectomy		2.11
Cystectomy	2.05	4.33

In our study, surgical procedure was found to be an independent risk factor for recurrence and the risk could be reduced by radical surgery (Table 2). Because borderline tumours are seen more frequently in younger females than definitive carcinomas (Harris et al, 1992), whether conservative surgery is appropriate for

c	linical outcome i	in	borderline	ovarian	tumours
{	Yokovama et al				

Age	Histological type	Stage	Initial surgery	Staging procedure	Adjuvant chemotherapy	Time to recurrence	Site of recurrence	Treatment after recurrence	Histology of recurrence site	Status
33	SBT, noninvasive	la	Conservative	(-)	(+)	74	Intrapelvis	Surgery alone	SBT	NED
35	SBT, noninvasive	la	(admostrative	(-)	(-)	36	Contralateral ovary	Surgery alone	SBT	NED
36	SBT, noninvasive	la	Conservative	(-)	(+)	107	Contralateral ovary	Surgery+chemotherapy	Serous	NED
46	SBT, invasive	Illa	(cystectomy) Radical	(+)	(+)	62	Perihepatic	Chemotherapy alone	Unknown	AWD
28	MBT, intestinal	lc	Conservative	(-)	(-)	14	Ipsilateral ovary	Surgery alone	MBT, intestinal	NED
35	MBT, intestinal	U	(cystectomy) Conservative	(+)	(+)	16	Intrapelvis	Surgery alone	MBT, intestinal	NED
58	MBT, intestinal	<u>c</u>	(cystectomy) Conservative	(-)	(-)	20	Intrapelvis	Surgery alone	MBT, intestinal	NED
67	MBT, intestinal	la	(adnexectomy) Radical	(+)	(-)	35	Lung	None	Unknown	AWD

1589

1500

borderline ovarian tumours is an important matter to be resolved. Zanetta et al (2001) reported that only three of 119 stage I (2.5%) cases that underwent radical surgery recurred, whereas 20 out of 164 stage I (12.1%) cases that underwent conservative surgery recurred, with one case resulted in death from the disease. Morice et al (2001) demonstrated that the majority of recurrent cases, including stages II and III, were cured completely by subsequent surgery, and few cases resulted in death. More over, Donnez et al (2003) reported that although recurrence was commoner in cases treated by conservative surgery (3 out of 16, 18.7%) than by radical surgery (0 out of 59, 0%), subsequent treatment resulted in no tumour-related deaths, and 63.6% of conservative surgery cases subsequently became pregnant, suggesting that conservative surgery can be an option for management of borderline malignant ovarian tumours in young subjects who need to reserve fertility. However, it is also reported that all of deaths as a result from recurrence were seen in cases treated by conservative surgery (Morris et al, 2000; Zanetta et al, 2001). Therefore, it is of quite importance to investigate underlying risk factors for recurrence after conservative surgery. As shown in Table 3, we found that cystectomy and serous tumours were independent risk factors for recurrence in patients who received conservative surgery. Previous reports have shown that recurrence after cystectomy did not necessarily occur ipsilaterally (Morris et al, 2000, 2001; Zanetta et al, 2001). So it seems that the residual tumour during cystectomy is solely responsible for recurrence. Then, it may be rational for young women who wish pregnancy to select cystectomy as an option if the surgical margin is free of tumour. However, results by Morice et al (2001) did not support this as they found that the recurrence rate was high after cystectomy compared with adnexectomy. Morris et al (2000) also demonstrated that recurrence was higher in cases treated by cystectomy rather than by adnexectomy. The present study confirmed this and further demonstrated for the first time that a difference in pathohistology affects the recurrence rate. As shown in Table 3, it was revealed that serous tumour is a significant risk factor for recurrence in cases managed by conservative surgery. Morris et al (2000) also showed similar tendency, but they regrettably missed statistical analysis. As shown in Table 4, the present study clearly demonstrated that the risk of recurrence when serous tumours were treated by cystectomy was approximately four times higher than for adnexectomy of nonserous tumours.

As a prognostic factor for borderline ovarian serous tumours, the concept of peritoneal implant is attracting attention (Bell *et al*, 1988). When estimating the prognosis of borderline ovarian serous tumours, peritoneal lesions should be explored and biopsied at the time of the surgery – in other words, accurate surgical staging is required. Clinical stage is one of the most important prognostic factors in borderline ovarian tumours (Kliman *et al*, 1986), and an accurate surgical staging is indispensable for follow-up after conservative surgery, as well as selecting postoperative therapy. Winter *et al* (2002) compared 48 cases that underwent complete surgical staging, and 45 cases without surgical staging – a higher stage was found in 17% (8 out of 48) of those assessed by surgical staging, but there was no difference in recurrence and survival rates between the groups. Camatte *et al* (2002) found metastasis to

lymph nodes in 19% (8 out of 42) of cases. All cases with metastases were seen with borderline ovarian serous tumours associated with peritoneal dissemination, but no cases resulted in death - there was no difference in prognosis when compared with cases without metastases. The presence or absence of a peritoneal lesion is an important predictive factor of recurrence as well as an important prognostic factor, and we do not deny the importance of surgical examination of the abdominal cavity where possible. However, many reports have indicated that the presence or absence of lymph node metastasis is not related to the prognosis for borderline ovarian tumours (Camatte et al, 2002; Winter et al, 2002), and it is still debatable whether or not to perform a biopsy or dissection of the lymph nodes. As shown in Table 3, the present study could not show a significant relevance to risk of recurrence. The limitation of the present study is that surgical staging was not considered beforehand in all cases so that our data may be biased in this respect. Further studies using a prospective design with emphasis on surgical staging are required to investigate the risk of recurrence in borderline ovarian serous tumours after conservative surgery. Therefore, it is important that conservative surgery should only be performed in cases that truly require conservative surgery, after giving a full explanation of the risk of recurrence.

Barakat et al (1995) reported that cisplatin-based chemotherapy induced complete remission in six of 23 (26%) advanced cases with macroscopic diseases, and in 17 of 25 (68%) cases with microscopic disease, and proposed that adjuvant chemotherapy could be considered as a therapeutic option although a lifeextension effect of chemotherapy was not clear. In the present study, the regimen or frequency of chemotherapy used was not uniform, and differed among institutions, and no relationship was found between the presence or absence of postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy and recurrence (Table 2). Kaern et al (1993) showed that adjuvant chemotherapy did not improve neither recurrence free survival nor overall survival rate in 364 cases without residual tumour. Morice et al (2001) demonstrated that postoperative chemotherapy did not improve the survival rate in 80 cases of advanced borderline ovarian serous tumour in stages II and III with extraovarian extension, and that deaths were more closely related to the treatment than to the tumour. Thus, the efficacy of chemotherapy for borderline ovarian tumours is not yet established.

In conclusion, although recurrence was detected in eight out of 102 cases with borderline ovarian tumour that were available for follow-up, no tumour-related deaths were found, and there was a favourable long-term prognosis. Although the relative risk of recurrence is high, conservative surgery appears to be worth trying to preserve fertility, considering the favourable prognosis. When considering conservative surgery, special care should be given when cystectomy is chosen as a surgical procedure or the histological subtype is borderline serous ovarian tumour. Consensus has not been reached on such issues as to the significance of surgical staging, the indication for postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy, or the indications for conservative surgery. To reinforce the present study results, we expect that a large scaled prospective clinical study involving many institutions will be designed to obtain more evidence.

REFERENCES

- Barakat RR, Benjamin I, Lewis Jr JL, Saigo PE, Curtin JP, Hoskins WJ (1995) Platinum-based chemotherapy for advanced-stage serous ovarian carcinoma of low malignant potential. *Gynecol Oncol* 59: 390-393
- Bell DA, Longacre TA, Prat J, Kohn EC, Soslow RA, Ellensosn LH, Malpica A, Stoler MH, Kurman RJ (2004) Serous borderline (low malignant potential, atypical proliferative) ovarian tumors: Workshop perspectives. *Hum Pathol* 35: 934–948
- Bell DA, Weinstock MA, Scully RE (1988) Peritoneal implants of ovarian serous borderline tumors. Histologic features and prognosis. *Cancer* 62: 2212-2222
- Camatte S, Morice P, Atallah D, Pautier P, Lhomme C, Haie-Meder C, Duvillard P, Castaigne D (2002) Lymph node disorders and prognostic value of nodal involvement in patients treated for a borderline ovarian tumor: an analysis of a series of 42 lymphadenectomies. *J Am Coll Surg* **195:** 332-338

<u>Clin</u>ical Studies

- Donnez J, Munschke A, Berliere M, Pirard C, Jadoul P, Smets M, Squifflet J (2003) Safety of conservative management and fertility outcome in women with borderline tumors of the ovary. *Fertil Steril* **79**: 1216-1221
- Gershenson DM, Deavers M, Diaz S, Tortolero-Luna G, Miller BE, Bast Jr RC, Mills GB, Silva EG (1999) Prognostic significance of p53 expression in advanced-stage ovarian serous borderline tumors. *Clin Cancer Res* 5: 4053-4058
- Gilks CB (2003) Advanced stage serous borderline tumors of the ovary: A clinicopathological study of 49 cases. Int J Gynecol Pathol 22: 29-36
- Harris R, Whittemore AS, İtnyre J (1992) Characteristics relating to ovarian cancer risk: collaborative analysis of 12 US case-control studies. III. Epithelial tumors of low malignant potential in white women. Collaborative Ovarian Cancer Group. Am J Epidemiol 136: 1204-1211
- International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (1987) Changes in definitions of clinical staging for carcinoma of the cervix and ovary. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* **156**: 263–264
- Kaern J, Trope CG, Abeler VM (1993) A retrospective study of 370 borderline tumors of the ovary treated at the Norwegian Radium Hospital from 1970 to 1982. A review of clinicopathologic features and treatment modalities. *Cancer* 71: 1810–1820
- Kliman L, Rome RM, Fortune DW (1986) Low malignant potential tumors of the ovary: a study of 76 cases. *Obstet Gynecol* **68**: 338-344
- Morice P, Camatte S, El Hassan J, Pautier P, Duvillard P, Castaigne D (2001) Clinical outcomes and fertility after conservative treatment of ovarian borderline tumors. *Fertil Steril* **75**: 92–96
- Morris RT, Gershenson DM, Silva EG, Follen M, Morris M, Wharton JT (2000) Outcome and reproductive function after conservative surgery for borderline ovarian tumors. *Obstet Gynecol* **95:** 541–547
- de Nictolis M, Montironi R, Tommasoni S, Carinelli S, Ojeda B, Matias-Guiu X, Prat J (1992) Serous borderline tumors of the ovary. A

clinicopathologic, immunohistochemical, and quantitative study of 44 cases. *Cancer* **70:** 152–160

- Ronnett BM, Kajdacsy-Balla A, Gilks CB, Merino MJ, Silva E, Werness BA, Young RH (2004) Mucinous borderline ovarian tumors: points of general agreement and persistent controversies regarding nomenclature, diagnostic criteria, and behavior. *Hum Pathol* 35: 949-960
- Seidman JD, Kurman RJ (1996) Subclassification of serous borderline tumors of the ovary into benign and malignant types. A clinicopathologic study of 65 advanced stage cases. *Am J Surg Pathol* **20:** 1331–1345
- Sherman ME, Mink PJ, Curtis R, Cote TR, Brooks S, Hartge P, Devesa S (2004) Survival among women with borderline ovarian tumors and ovarian carcinoma: a population-based analysis. *Cancer* 100: 1045-1052
- Tavassoli FA, Devilee P (2003) Tumours of the ovary and peritoneum. World Health Organization classification of tumours: Pathology and genetics of tumours of the breast and female genital organs. Oxford University Press: UK
- Taylor HC (1929) Malignant and semi-malignant tumors of the ovary. Surg Gynecol Obstet 48: 701-712
- Trimble CL, Kosary C, Trimble EL (2002) Long-term survival and patterns of care in women with ovarian tumors of low malignant potential. *Gynecol Oncol* 86: 34-37
- Trope CG, Kristensen G, Makar A (2000) Surgery for borderline tumor of the ovary. *Semin Surg Oncol* 19: 69-75
- Winter 3rd WE, Kucera PR, Rodgers W, McBroom JW, Olsen C, Maxwell GL (2002) Surgical staging in patients with ovarian tumors of low malignant potential. *Obstet Gynecol* **100**: 671–676
- Zanetta G, Rota S, Chiari S, Bonazzi C, Bratina G, Mangioni C (2001) Behavior of borderline tumors with particular interest to persistence, recurrence, and progression to invasive carcinoma: a prospective study. *J Clin Oncol* **19:** 2658–2664