

OPEN

To Dissect or Not to Dissect? The Surgeon's Perspective on the Prediction of Greater Than or Equal to 4 Axillary Lymph Node Metastasis in Early-Stage Breast Cancer

A Comparative Analysis of the Per-Protocol Population of the SINODAR-ONE Clinical Trial

Corrado Tinterri, MD,*† Giuseppe Canavese, MD,* and Damiano Gentile, MD*†

Keywords: axillary lymph node dissection, breast cancer, surgery

ver the past few decades, the management of axillary surgery in breast cancer (BC) has undergone a significant evolution, shifting away from routine axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) toward more selective approaches or even omission of axillary surgery altogether in appropriately selected patients. The shift toward a less aggressive approach to axillary management is strongly supported by a substantial body of literature. The National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project B-04 trial randomly assigned clinically node-negative (cN0) BC patients to receive either radical mastectomy, total mastectomy, or total mastectomy and regional radiotherapy. After 25 years of follow-up, no significant difference in disease-free survival and overall survival (OS) was observed among the different groups of cN0 women.² The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer 10981-22023 AMAROS trial investigated whether axillary radiotherapy could replace ALND in patients with cN0 T1-2 BC and positive sentinel lymph node (SLN). After 10-year follow-up, both the axillary radiotherapy and ALND groups showed excellent axillary recurrence (0.9% vs 1.6% in the ALND and axillary radiotherapy group, respectively) and OS rates (14.0% vs 16.4% in the ALND and axillary radiotherapy group, respectively).3 The American College of Surgeons Oncology Group Z0011 trial randomized 891 women with cN0 BC and up to 2 positive SLNs detected after breast-conserving surgery (BCS) to either ALND or observation.

*From the Breast Unit, IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, Rozzano, Italy; and †Department of Biomedical Sciences, Humanitas University, Pieve Emanuele, Italy.

Disclosure: The authors declare that they have nothing to disclose.

Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's Web site (www.annalsofsurgery.com).

Reprints: Damiano Gentile, MD, Breast Unit, IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, Via Manzoni 56, 20089 Rozzano, Milan, Italy. E-mail: damiano.gentile@humanitas.it.

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Annals of Surgery Open (2024) 1:e405

Received: 23 June 2023; Accepted 19 February 2024

Published online 12 March 2024

DOI: 10.1097/AS9.00000000000000405

No statistically significant difference in OS was found after 9.3 years of follow-up.4 The SINODAR-ONE randomized clinical trial was designed to overcome the limitations of the Z0011 study, enrolling BC patients undergoing BCS or mastectomy. The initial study results reported that patients with cN0 T1-2 BC and 1-2 macrometastatic SLNs treated with BCS, omission of ALND, and adjuvant treatment did not present worse 3-year survival, regional, or distant recurrence rates than those treated with ALND.5 Only a few routine indications for ALND remain in current clinical practice. However, de-escalation of axillary surgery, especially in BC patients with 1-3 positive SLNs challenges the recently established criteria for adjuvant treatment. The RxPONDER trial investigated the effectiveness of utilizing the Oncotype DX recurrence score in assessing the benefits of chemotherapy in patients diagnosed with hormone receptorpositive, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative BC and having 1-3 positive lymph nodes. The monarch Etrial evaluated abemaciclib in the adjuvant setting for patients with high-risk (ie, ≥4 pathologically positive axillary nodes or 1–3 positive nodes and at least one of the following: tumor dimension ≥5 cm, G3, or Ki67 ≥20%) early-stage BC.8 Importantly, the Z0011 and SINODAR-ONE clinical trials showed that the rate of axillary tumor-burden left behind in early-stage BC when omitting ALND was at least 1 positive lymph node in 27% and 44% of cases respectively, as assessed by the ALND groups.^{4,5} Considering the significance of nodal disease extent in these studies, the question remains as to whether these patients should undergo further ALND to determine whether ≥4 nodes are positive to guide subsequent adjuvant therapies. To further investigate the latest controversies in axillary management of early-stage BC patients and predict the presence of ≥4 axillary lymph node metastasis, we evaluated patients with ≥4 positive nodes in the per-protocol population of the SINODAR-ONE clinical trial.

The SINODAR-ONE trial is a prospective noninferiority multicenter randomized study aimed at assessing the therapeutic role of ALND in patients undergoing either BCS or mastectomy for cN0 T1-2 BC with 1–2 positive SLNs. Patients were randomly assigned to either the removal of ≥10 axillary level I/ II nodes followed by adjuvant therapy (standard arm) or no further axillary surgery (experimental arm). We evaluated patients in the standard arm of the per-protocol population and a comparison of characteristics between patients with ≥4 metastatic lymph nodes and patients with 1–3 metastatic lymph nodes was performed. A multivariate analysis was performed using a logistic regression model to identify independent predictors of ≥4 axillary lymph node metastasis.

Overall, 403 cN0 T1-2 BC patients in the per-protocol population were randomized to receive ALND. Of these, 65 and 338 patients presented with ≥4 or 1–3 axillary lymph node metastasis, respectively. The following factors were found to significantly increase the probability to present ≥4 axillary lymph node metastasis: lobular histology versus other histology [odds ratio (OR) = 4.185], G3 versus G1-2 (OR = 5.930), pT2 versus pT1 (OR = 5.260), and 2 positive SLNs versus 1 positive SLN (OR = 13.188). Univariate and multivariate analyses are detailed in Supplemental Table 1, http://links.lww.com/AOSO/A298.

The findings of the monarchE trial, which evaluated the use of abemaciclib in high-risk early-stage BC, have sparked discussions regarding the necessity of ALND in specific cases. In this trial, high-risk patients, including those with ≥4 positive lymph nodes or 1-3 positive lymph nodes along with additional high-risk features such as tumor size ≥5 cm, G3, or Ki67 ≥20%, were randomly assigned to receive standard endocrine therapy with or without abemaciclib. The trial demonstrated a significant improvement in 2-year invasive disease-free survival with the addition of abemaciclib.8 Additionally, on the basis of the findings from the RxPONDER trial, it is recommended that premenopausal patients with 1-3 positive nodes undergo chemotherapy irrespective of their Oncotype DX recurrence score.⁷ The ongoing question persists as to whether patients who have 1-3 positive SLNs without any additional risk factors should undergo ALND to ascertain the presence of ≥4 positive lymph nodes. We suggest that a minority of cN0 T1-2 BC patients may be understaged if ALND is not performed. Nevertheless, the improvements and increasing effectiveness of combination therapies may sufficiently control and treat the axillary tumor-burden left behind, potentially reducing the need for extensive axillary surgery, as demonstrated by the promising 3-year oncological outcomes of the SINODAR-ONE trial. While ALND may still be considered, particularly in cases presenting with specific risk factors for additional axillary disease (such as lobular histology, G3 grading, pT2 stage, and the presence of 2 positive SLNs), our study suggests a refined perspective. Specifically, in instances where 3 out of the 4 identified predictive factors are present, we advocate for a proactive approach, recommending the performance of ALND. We believe that such a decision, made after multidisciplinary team discussion, contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of nodal involvement, balancing the risk of complications associated with ALND with the need for correct axillary staging for guiding personalized treatment strategies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Doctor Valeria Fontana, MD, from the Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Clinical Trials of IRCCS S. Martino, IST (Genova, Italy) for statistical support and consultation.

REFERENCES

- Gentilini O, Veronesi U. Abandoning sentinel lymph node biopsy in early breast cancer? A new trial in progress at the European Institute of Oncology of Milan (SOUND: Sentinel node vs Observation after axillary UltraSouND). Breast. 2012;21:678–681.
- Fisher B, Jeong J-H, Anderson S, et al. Twenty-five-year follow-up of a randomized trial comparing radical mastectomy, total mastectomy, and total mastectomy followed by irradiation. N Engl J Med. 2002;347:567–575.
- 3. Bartels SAL, Donker M, Poncet C, et al. Radiotherapy or surgery of the axilla after a positive sentinel node in breast cancer: 10-year results of the randomized controlled EORTC 10981-22023 AMAROS trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2022;41:2159–2165.
- Giuliano AE, Ballman KV, McCall L, et al. Effect of axillary dissection vs no axillary dissection on 10-year overall survival among women with invasive breast cancer and sentinel node metastasis: the ACOSOG Z0011 (Alliance) randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2017;318:918–926.
- Tinterri C, Gentile D, Gatzemeier W, et al; SINODAR-ONE Collaborative Group. Preservation of axillary lymph nodes compared with complete dissection in T1–2 breast cancer patients presenting one or two metastatic sentinel lymph nodes: the SINODAR-ONE multicenter randomized clinical trial. *Ann Surg Oncol*, 2022;29:5732–5744.
- Maggi N, Nussbaumer R, Holzer L, et al. Axillary surgery in nodepositive breast cancer. Breast. 2022;62:S50–S53.
- Kalinsky K, Barlow WE, Gralow JR, et al. 21-Gene assay to inform chemotherapy benefit in node-positive breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2021;385:2336–2347.
- Johnston SRD, Harbeck N, Hegg R, et al; monarchE Committee Members and Investigators. Abemaciclib combined with endocrine therapy for the adjuvant treatment of HR1, HER22, node-positive, highrisk, early breast cancer (monarchE). J Clin Oncol. 2020;38:3987–3998.