



Radical Chain Mechanism Hot Paper

International Edition: DOI: 10.1002/anie.201810782 German Edition: DOI: 10.1002/ange.201810782

## Metal-Free Radical Borylation of Alkyl and Aryl Iodides

Ying Cheng, Christian Mück-Lichtenfeld, and Armido Studer\*

**Abstract:** A metal-free radical borylation of alkyl and aryl iodides with bis(catecholato)diboron  $(B_2cat_2)$  as the boron source under mild conditions is introduced. The borylation reaction is operationally easy to conduct and shows high functional group tolerance and broad substrate scope. Radical clock experiments and density functional theory calculations provide insights into the mechanism and rate constants for C-radical borylation with  $B_2cat_2$  are disclosed.

**B**oronic esters are highly valuable synthetic precursors, since the boronic ester moiety can be transformed into a wide range of useful functional groups.<sup>[1]</sup> Therefore, methods that allow to efficiently prepare alkyl and aryl boronates are demanded.

In recent years, various processes for transition metal catalyzed borylation of alkyl halides with diborons to access alkyl boronate esters have been developed (Scheme 1 A).<sup>[2-6]</sup> Steel, Marder, and Liu disclosed a CuCl/Xantphos-catalyzed borylation of alkyl halides.<sup>[2]</sup> The Ito group reported a similar reaction with diboron using a CuCl/Xantphos catalyst and stoichiometric KO'Bu.<sup>[3]</sup> Fu reported borylation of various alkyl electrophiles with a NiBr<sub>2</sub>/pybox catalyst,<sup>[4]</sup> and Marder introduced a ZnCl<sub>2</sub>/N-heterocyclic carbene catalyst system for the borylation of unactivated alkyl halides.<sup>[5]</sup> Recently, Cook disclosed a MnBr<sub>2</sub>/tetramethylethylenediamine-catalyzed borylation of alkyl halides using EtMgBr as a stoichiometric activator.<sup>[6]</sup> However, all these valuable methods require a transition metal catalyst, a ligand and a stoichiometric amount of a base. To our knowledge, metal and additive-free radical borylation of alkyl halides with diborons is unknown.<sup>[7]</sup>

Arylboronates can be obtained from haloarenes and diborons via reactive aryl radicals<sup>[8–13]</sup> (Scheme 1B). Along these lines, Marder developed a zinc(II)-catalyzed borylation of aryl halides using a stoichiometric base<sup>[8]</sup> and photoinduced



© 2018 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

A) Borylation of alkyl halides



B) Borylation of aryl halides



C) Transition-metal-free borylation of alkyl and aryl iodides (this work)



**Scheme 1.** Borylation of alkyl and aryl halides with diboron reagents  $[B_2pin_2=bis(pinacolato)diboron]$ .

aryl halide borylation was reported by Larionov,<sup>[9]</sup> Li<sup>[10]</sup> and Fu.<sup>[11]</sup> Recently, a pyridine-catalyzed radical borylation of aryl halides was disclosed by Jiao.<sup>[12]</sup> We present herein mild radical borylation of both alkyl and aryl iodides with commercial bis(catecholato)diboron as the boron source (Scheme 1 C).

We first explored the reaction of 1-iodooctane (1a) with bis(catecholato)diboron (2a) to alkyl boronate 3a under blue LED irradiation at ambient temperature using different solvents (Table 1). Due to instability of n-octylcatecholborane, the crude product was transesterified with pinacol and NEt<sub>3</sub> to give **3a**. In 1,2-dichloroethane (1,2-DCE), borylation did not proceed and 1a was recovered (Table 1, entry 1). In acetonitrile or tetrahydrofuran (THF), trace amounts of 3a were detected (entries 2, 3), but in methanol an encouraging 8% yield was obtained (entry 4). Significant improvement of the yield to 71% was achieved by using N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF)<sup>[14]</sup> (entry 5). Replacing B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub> by bis(pinacolato)diboron (B<sub>2</sub>pin<sub>2</sub>) or bis(neopentylglycolato)diboron (B<sub>2</sub>neo<sub>2</sub>) under otherwise identical conditions did not lead to any product formation (entries 6, 7). Using tetrahydroxydiboron  $[B_2(OH)_4]$  as the trapping reagent and subsequent treatment with pinacol and magnesium sulfate, only 7% of 3a was obtained (entry 8). Increasing the amount of B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub> to 4 equivalents led to a further improvement (88%, entry 9). A control experiment in the absence of light irradiation proceeded in low yield (entry 10).

Under optimized conditions, substrate scope was investigated. Borylation of 3-methylbutyliodide (1b) to 3b pro-

Table 1: Optimization of the reaction conditions.[a]

		+ P cot	blue LED, rt		o Bnin
$\sim$		+ D <sub>2</sub> Cal <sub>2</sub>	2) pinacol, NEt <sub>3</sub>	$\sim \sim \sim$	
	1a	2a		3a	
	1 equiv	n equiv			
Entry		n	Solvent		Yield [%]
1		2	1,2-DCE		0
2		2	MeCN		trace
3		2	THF		trace
4		2	MeOH		8
5		2	DMF		71
6 <sup>[b]</sup>		2	DMF		0
7 <sup>[c]</sup>		2	DMF		0
8 <sup>[d]</sup>		2	DMF		7
9		4	DMF		88
10 <sup>[e]</sup>		4	DMF		15

Column

[a] Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol), **2a** ( $n \times 0.2$  mmol), solvent (0.6 mL), 10 W blue LED, Ar, RT, 24 h; pinacol (0.8 mmol), NEt<sub>3</sub> (0.7 mL), 1 h, isolated yields. [b] B<sub>2</sub>pin<sub>2</sub> was used. [c] B<sub>2</sub>neo<sub>2</sub> was used. [d] B<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub> was used. [e] In the dark.



Scheme 2. Scope of alkyl iodides. Reaction conditions: 1a– u (0.2 mmol), 2a (0.8 mmol), DMF (0.6 mL), 10 W blue LED, Ar, RT, 24 h; pinacol (0.8 mmol), NEt<sub>3</sub> (0.7 mL), 1 h, isolated yields. [a] 2 equiv of 2a was used. ceeded in 80% yield (Scheme 2). With 1-chloro-6-iodohexane (1c) containing both an iodine and a chlorine atom, the borylation occurred chemoselectively by replacing the I-atom (3c, 94%). The primary alkyl iodide 1d bearing a perfluoroalkyl chain worked well (see 3d) and an alkyl iodide containing a carbazole moiety participated to provide 3e (70%). (2-Iodoethyl)benzene (1 f) and 4-(iodomethyl)cyclohex-1-ene (1g) were also borylated in good yields (91% and 82%). Alkynyl- (1h), nitrile- (1i), ester- (1j), ketone- (1k), and aldehyde-functionalities (11) are tolerated and the products **3h-l** were isolated in good yields (56-80%). Borodeiodination of secondary alkyl iodides worked well, as shown for 2-iodoheptane (1m) and 6-iodo-2-methylhept-2ene (1n) to provide 3m and 3n in 91% and 89% yield. Iodides containing cyclohexane, tetrahydropyran, Boc-protected piperidine (Boc = tert-butoxycarbonyl), arene and phenyl alkyl ether (10-s) turned out to be good substrates for the borylation. Compound 1t with both naphthyl and perfluorobutyl groups performed well to give 3t in 86 % yield. 1-Iodoadamantane (1u) was smoothly converted to 3u (90%), but tert-butyliodide could not be borylated under the standard conditions.

We were very pleased to find that under the same conditions aryl iodides can also be borylated (Scheme 3). However, as compared to the alkyl iodides slightly lower yields are generally achieved. Iodobenzene (4a) afforded



*Scheme 3.* Scope of aryl iodides. Reaction conditions: **4a-m** (0.2 mmol), **2a** (0.8 mmol), DMF (0.6 mL), 10 W blue LED, Ar, RT, 24 h; pinacol (0.8 mmol), NEt<sub>3</sub> (0.7 mL), 1 h, isolated yields.

phenyl pinacolboronate (**5a**, 61%) and iodoarenes **4b–g** bearing *para*-substituents performed well to give the aryl boronates **4b–g** in 46–71% yield. *Meta* and *ortho*-substituted aryl iodides **4h** and **4i** provided **5h** and **5i** in good yields (73% each). Borylation of 1-iodonaphthalene (**4j**), 9-iodophenanthrene (**4k**) and 3-iodothiophene (**4l**) was also successful (**5j– 1**). Our method, albeit less efficiently, is also applicable to the preparation of vinyl boronates, as documented by the borylation of 1-iodocyclohex-1-ene (**5m**, 32%).

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 16832–16836 © 2018 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim www.angewandte.org 16833

The facts that light was required and that conditions are similar to those reported by us and Aggarwal for alkyl radical borylations<sup>[7a,d,14a]</sup> indicated that these transformations are likely radical in nature. We therefore carried out radical clock experiments (Scheme 4). Cyclopropylmethyl iodide (6) gave



**Scheme 4.** Mechanistic experiments, isolated yields. [a] Yield was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy with 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as the internal standard.

exclusively the ring opening product 7, but 6-Iodo-1-hexene (8) reacted mainly to the uncyclized boronate 9a. Still, formation of small amounts of the cyclized product 9b indicated that radicals are involved. Moreover, this experiment revealed that trapping of a primary C-radical with  $B_2cat_2$  to be very fast. Successful cyclizing borylation of aryl iodide 10 to 11b further supported the radical mechanism. Such cyclizing borylations cannot be achieved using ionic type borylation processes.

The radical clock experiment with iodide 8 was chosen to estimate the rate constant for trapping of a primary C-radical with B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub>. To this end, a series of reactions with 6-iodo-1hexene (8) were conducted varying the concentration of diboron 2a. Using the ratio of non-cyclized 9a to cyclized product 9b at a given diboron concentration and considering the known rate constant  $(2.3 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 25 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}^{[15]})$  for the 5exo-cyclization of the 5-hexenyl radical, the second order rate constant for the borylation of the primary C-radical derived from 8 with  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> was estimated to be  $3.8 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (for details, see the Supporting Information (SI)). In analogy, we estimated the rate constant for trapping of the aryl radical derived from 1-(3-butenyl)-2-iodo-benzene (10) with B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub> to lie at  $7.4 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Hence, alkyl radical trapping with B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub> is a very fast process and its rate constant lies in the region of that for the reduction of a primary alkyl radical with Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH.<sup>[15]</sup> However, the rate constant for the reaction of B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub> with the aryl radical derived from 10 is around one order of magnitude smaller than that of the tin hydride reduction of a phenyl radical.<sup>[16]</sup> Likely, steric effects exerted by the ortho-substituent and the bulky diborane play a role. Notably, kinetic data on radical borylation are currently unavailable in the literature.

Based on these experiments and previous results,<sup>[14a]</sup> a plausible mechanism is depicted in Scheme 5. Initiation proceeds via light mediated C–I bond homolysis of iodide 1 to generate the alkyl/aryl radical  $\mathbf{A}$  which adds to B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub> to give



Scheme 5. Proposed mechanism.

the radical **B**. We found that for radicals **B** derived from secondary alkyl radicals, B–B bond homolysis is higher in energy than degenerate B–C bond homolysis to give B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub> and the starting radical **A**.<sup>[14a]</sup> The unusual large solvent effect can readily be understood involving the solvent. Hence, the radical **B** is trapped by DMF in an exothermic process to give **C**, best described by a weak B–B one electron  $\sigma$ -bond,<sup>[14]</sup> which readily homolyzes to give product boronate **3** along with the DMF-complexed boryl radical **D/E**. The chain is closed by an I-atom transfer reaction<sup>[17]</sup> of the iodide **1** via **F** to eventually give **G** and radical **A**.

The I-atom transfer was further investigated using DFT calculations (see SI for details). We looked at the spin density plots of the DMF-complexed boryl radical **D** and found highest spin density at the C-atom and only little spin at the boron atom (Figure 1). Therefore, the complexed boryl radical **D** is best described by resonance structure **E**, which certainly also influences its reactivity. In fact, I-transfer from CH<sub>3</sub>I to the C-atom in **E** to give **F** proceeds via a low barrier (9.5 kcalmol<sup>-1</sup>), whereas the barrier for I-transfer to the B-atom leading directly to **G** occurs at significantly higher barrier (18.3 kcalmol<sup>-1</sup>) (Figure 2). In a polar solvent, however, iodide **F** is not stable and easily isomerizes ( $\Delta G = -8$  kcalmol<sup>-1</sup>) to the zwitterion **G**. The barrier in vacuum is



*Figure 1.* Calculated spin density of radical D/E (PBE0-D3/def2-TZVP, isosurface value: 0.01 a.u.).



I-atom transfer to the boron atom of D/E ( $\Delta$ G‡ = 18.3 kcal/mol)



only 3.7 kcalmol<sup>-1</sup>, but becomes negative when solvation energies are included. Thus, **F** is most likely not a minimum structure under polar solvation conditions, but rather an ion pair ( $\mathbf{E}^+/\mathbf{I}^-$ ) which is formally formed by heterolytic fission of the C–I bond in **F** or by charge transfer from **E** to the I-atom. Zwitterion **G** is immediately formed by collapse of this ion pair.

In summary, a method for metal and additive-free borylation of alkyl and aryl iodides was introduced. The reaction proceeds under very mild conditions in good to excellent yields with a broad range of alkyl and aryl iodides. As compared to the reactions with aryl iodides, alkyl iodides generally lead to higher yields. Radical clock experiments and DFT calculations support the suggested radical chain mechanism.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the European Research Council (ERC Advanced Grant agreement No. 692640) for financial support.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Keywords:** borylation · iodides · metal-free synthesis · radical process

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 16832–16836 Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 17074–17078

- a) A. Suzuki, Acc. Chem. Res. 1982, 15, 178; b) N. Miyaura, A. Suzuki, Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457; c) Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions (Ed.: A. D. Meijere), 2nd ed., Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004; d) A. C. Frisch, M. Beller, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 674; Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 680; e) A. Rudolph, M. Lautens, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2656; Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 2694; f) R. Jana, T. P. Pathak, M. S. Sigman, Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1417; g) Boronic Acids: Preparation and Applications in Organic Synthesis Medicine and Materials (Ed.: D. G. Hall), 2nd ed., Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2011.
- [2] C.-T. Yang, Z.-Q. Zhang, H. Tajuddin, C.-C. Wu, J. Liang, J.-H. Liu, Y. Fu, M. Czyzewska, P. G. Steel, T. B. Marder, L. Liu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 528; *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *124*, 543.
- [3] a) H. Ito, K. Kubota, *Org. Lett.* 2012, *14*, 890; other examples for Cu-catalyzed borylation, see: b) J. H. Kim, Y. K. Chung, *RSC Adv.* 2014, *4*, 39755; c) S. K. Bose, S. Brand, H. O. Omoregie, M. Haehnel, J. Maier, G. Bringmann, T. B. Marder, *ACS Catal.* 2016, *6*, 8332.
- [4] a) A. S. Dudnik, G. C. Fu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, *134*, 10693; an additional example for Ni-catalyzed borylation, see: b) J. Yi, J.-H. Liu, J. Liang, J.-J. Dai, C.-T. Yang, Y. Fu, L. Liu, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2012, *354*, 1685.
- [5] S. K. Bose, K. Fucke, L. Liu, P. G. Steel, T. B. Marder, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1799; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 1829.
- [6] T. C. Atack, S. P. Cook, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 6139.
- [7] Metal-free radical borylation of carboxylic acids, see: a) A. Fawcett, J. Pradeilles, Y. Wang, T. Mutsuga, E. L. Myers, V. K. Aggarwal, *Science* 2017, 357, 283; transition metal catalyzed radical borylation of carboxylic acids, see: b) C. Li, J. Wang, L. M. Barton, S. Yu, M. Tian, D. S. Peters, M. Kumar, A. W. Yu, K. A. Johnson, A. K. Chatterjee, M. Yan, P. S. Baran, *Science* 2017, 356, eaam7355; c) D. Hu, L. Wang, P. Li, *Org. Lett.* 2017, *19*, 2770; deaminative radical borylation, see: d) J. Wu, L. He, A. Noble, V. K. Aggarwal, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, *140*, 10700.
- [8] S. K. Bose, A. Deißenberger, A. Eichhorn, P. G. Steel, Z. Lin, T. B. Marder, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11843; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 12009.
- [9] a) A. M. Mfuh, J. D. Doyle, B. Chhetri, H. D. Arman, O. V. Larionov, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 2985; b) A. M. Mfuh, V. T. Nguyen, B. Chhetri, J. E. Burch, J. D. Doyle, V. N. Nesterov, H. D. Arman, O. V. Larionov, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 8408; c) A. M. Mfuh, B. D. Schneider, W. Cruces, O. V. Larionov, Nat. Protoc. 2017, 12, 604.
- [10] K. Chen, S. Zhang, P. He, P. Li, Chem. Sci. 2016, 7, 3676.
- [11] M. Jiang, H. Yang, H. Fu, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 5248.
- [12] a) L. Zhang, L. Jiao, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 607; b) L. Zhang, L. Jiao, Chem. Sci. 2018, 9, 2711; an additional example for pyridine-catalyzed borylation, see: c) S. Pinet, V. Liautard, M. Debiais, M. Pucheault, Synthesis 2017, 49, 4759.
- [13] Radical borylation of other aryl precursors, see: a) F. Mo, Y. Jiang, D. Qiu, Y. Zhang, J. Wang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 1846; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 1890; b) J. Yu, L. Zhang, G. Yan, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 2625; c) D. Qiu, L. Jin, Z. Zheng, H. Meng, F. Mo, X. Wang, Y. Zhang, J. Wang, J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 1923; d) D. Qiu, Y. Zhang, J. Wang, Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 422; e) D. Qiu, H. Meng, L. Jin, S. Tang, S. Wang, F. Mo, Y. Zhang, J. Wang, Org. Synth. 2014, 91, 106; f) S. Ahammed, S. Nandi, D. Kundu, B. C. Ranu, Tetrahedron Lett. 2016, 57, 1551; g) X. Qi, L.-B. Jiang, C. Zhou, J.-B. Peng, X.-F. Wu, ChemistryOpen 2017, 6, 345; h) L. Candish, M. Teders, F. Glorius, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 7440; i) W. Liu, X. Yang, Y. Gao, C.-J. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 8621; Review, see: j) F. Mo, D. Qiu, Y. Zhang, J. Wang, Acc. Chem. Res. 2018, 51, 496.
- [14] a) Y. Cheng, C. Mück-Lichtenfeld, A. Studer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 6221; For other examples on systems featuring a BB





one electron  $\sigma$ -bond: b) R. L. Hudson, F. Williams, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. **1977**, 99, 7714; c) J. D. Hoefelmeyer, F. G. Gabbai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, 122, 9054; d) A. Hübner, A. M. Diehl, M. Diefenbach, B. Endeward, M. Bolte, H.-W. Lerner, M. Holthausen, M. Wagner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, 53, 4832; *Angew. Chem.* **2014**, 126, 4932.

- [15] M. Newcomb, Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 1151.
- [16] S. J. Garden, D. V. Avila, A. L. J. Beckwith, V. W. Bowry, K. U. Ingold, J. Lusztyk, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 805.
- [17] a) M.-A. Tehfe, J. Monot, M. Makhlouf Brahmi, H. Bonin-Dubarle, D. P. Curran, M. Malacria, L. Fensterbank, E. Lacote, J.

Laleveé, J.-P. Fouassier, *Polym. Chem.* **2011**, *2*, 625; b) X. Pan, E. Lacôte, J. Lalevée, D. P. Curran, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 5669; review: c) D. P. Curran, A. Solovyev, M. Makhlouf Brahmi, L. Fensterbank, M. Malacria, E. Lacote, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 10294; *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 10476.

Manuscript received: September 19, 2018 Accepted manuscript online: October 17, 2018 Version of record online: November 12, 2018