Variability Between Full-Length Lateral Radiographs and Standard Short Knee Radiographs When Evaluating Posterior Tibial Slope in Revision ACL Patients

Alfred A. Mansour III,^{*†} MD, Joshua Steward,[†] MD, Ryan J. Warth,[†] MD, Layla A. Haidar,[†] MPH, Alexis Aboulafia,[†] BS, and Walter R. Lowe,[†] MD Investigation performed at the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, Texas, USA

Background: Increased posterior tibial slope (PTS) has been identified as a risk factor for failure after anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction. Correction of PTS may improve outcomes after revision ACL reconstruction. There are conflicting reports demonstrating the measurement of the PTS on standard short knee (SSK) radiographs versus full-length lateral (FLL) radiographs including the entire tibia.

Purpose/Hypothesis: To compare PTS measurements between SSK and FLL radiographs in patients who failed primary ACL reconstruction. It was hypothesized that there would be high variability between the SSK and FLL radiographic measurements.

Study Design: Cohort study (diagnosis); Level of evidence, 2.

Methods: The medial and lateral PTS were measured on the SSK and FLL radiographs of 33 patients with failed primary ACL reconstructions. All measurements were performed by 2 trained independent observers (A.A.M., J.S.), and inter- and intraobserver reliability were calculated using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). Measurements recorded by the observer with the higher intraobserver ICC were used for comparison of the PTS on SSK versus FLL radiographs.

Results: Both the inter- and the intraobserver reliability values of the PTS measurements were excellent. There was a significant difference in mean PTS on the medial plateau as measured on the SSK and FLL radiographs ($11.2^{\circ} \pm 5.3^{\circ}$ vs $12.5^{\circ} \pm 4.6^{\circ}$; *P* = .03), with the FLL radiographs demonstrating higher PTS. There was also a significant difference in the mean PTS on the lateral plateau as measured on SSK versus FLL radiographs ($10.7^{\circ} \pm 4.3^{\circ}$ vs $12.2^{\circ} \pm 4^{\circ}$, respectively; *P* = .01), with the FLL radiographs demonstrating higher PTS. Notably, 66.67% of the absolute measurements for PTS on the medial plateau differed by $\geq 2^{\circ}$, with variability as high as 8.5°.

Conclusion: Results indicated that FLL and SSK radiographs are not interchangeable measurements for PTS associated with failed ACL reconstruction. Because FLL radiographs demonstrate less variability than SSK radiographs, we recommend obtaining them to evaluate these complex patients.

Keywords: posterior tibial slope; failed ACL reconstruction; standard short knee radiograph; full-length lateral knee radiograph

Increased posterior tibial slope (PTS) has been identified as a risk factor for both short-term and long-term failure after anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction.[‡] Recent literature has demonstrated correction of PTS

[‡]References 2, 7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19, 22, 24.

may improve outcomes after revision ACL reconstruction by decreasing ACL graft strain and subsequent rerupture.^{4,8,12,20,21} Attention has been given to assessing this single plane deformity; however, conflicting reports exist demonstrating different ways to measure the PTS on standard short knee (SSK) radiographs versus full-length lateral (FLL) radiographs that include the entire distal lower extremity.^{1,16,18-21} PTS has previously been defined as the angle between the tibial plateau and the mechanical axis of the tibia from the midpoint of the plateau to the

The Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine, 12(6), 23259671241241346 DOI: 10.1177/23259671241241346 © The Author(s) 2024

This open-access article is published and distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - No Derivatives License (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits the noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction of the article in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. You may not alter, transform, or build upon this article without the permission of the Author(s). For article reuse guidelines, please visit SAGE's website at http://www.sagepub.com/journals-permissions.

midpoint of the tibiotalar joint.⁶ Others have attempted to measure PTS using the more common knee radiograph using a variety of different anatomic references along the proximal tibia. Dejour et al⁵ used the midpoint between the anterior and posterior cortices just distal to the tibial tubercle and 10 cm below that point. Faschingbauer et al⁶ compared PTS on full-length tibial radiographs to lateral knee radiographs at 3 different lengths up to 20 cm distal to the tibial plateau. Yoo et al²⁵ compared the full-length mechanical axis to 5 different anatomic reference points. Furthermore, some have advocated for the utilization of advanced imaging such as computed tomography (CT)²⁶ or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)^{9,11} to measure PTS, though these are not as routinely available or obtained for all patients.

The purpose of this study was to compare PTS measurements between SSK and FLL radiographs in a failed primary ACL reconstruction cohort. We hypothesized that variability would exist between the PTS measurements obtained using FLL versus SSK radiographs.

METHODS

The protocol for this study received institutional review board approval. All patients who were evaluated with a failed ACL reconstruction by a single, high-volume revision ACL surgeon (W.R.L.) from August 2016 to February 2021 were reviewed. Patients with long-standing anteroposterior hip-knee-ankle (HKA) radiographs, FLL radiographs including the knee and ankle, and standard anteroposterior/SSK radiographs were included. Patients with incomplete imaging, imperfect lateral radiographs (defined as >5-mm posterior femoral condylar obliquity), open physes, prior osteotomy or tibial fracture, or metabolic bone disorder were excluded.

From an initial cohort of 187 patients who had failed ACL reconstruction, 33 complete sets of radiographs in 33 patients were included in the study (Figure 1). There were 21 male and 12 female patients, with a mean age of 30 years (range, 15-55 years).

Radiographic Measurements

Measurements for coronal plane deformity were obtained by drawing the mechanical axis line from the center of the femoral head to the center of the tibial plafond on standing anteroposterior radiographs. A line passing through the center of the knee joint (bisecting the tibial spines) was denoted as neutral, through the medial



Figure 1. Patient Inclusion Process Flowchart.

compartment (varus angulation) as a negative integer, and through the lateral compartment (valgus angulation) as a positive integer. Coronal plane alignment was quantified using a mechanical tibiofemoral axis line by measuring the angle created by a line drawn from the center of the femoral head to the center of the distal femur and a line from the center of the tibial plateau to the center of the tibial plafond. The PTS was measured on the SSK and FLL radiographs using previously published methods.^{6,23} PTS on the radiographs was measured using the technique described by Utzschneider et al,²³ in which the angle created by the tangent to the tibial plateau and a line connecting the anterior and posterior tibial cortices 5 cm and 15 cm distal to the knee joint were then averaged (Figure 2A). PTS on the FLL radiographs was measured as the angle formed by the tangent of the tibial plateau to a line drawn from the center of the tibial plateau and the center of the tibial platond (Figure 2B).⁶ Using picture archiving and communication system imaging archive software (Fujifilm), the PTS values for the medial tibial plateau and lateral tibial plateau were calculated separately.

The measurements were obtained by 2 independent observers (A.A.M., J.S.) who were trained in measuring knee radiograph angles. Both observers performed repeat measurements of a random subset of 20 patients 4 weeks after the first measurements to calculate intra- and interobserver reliability.

Statistical Analysis

The intra- and interobserver reliability of the radiographic measurements were measured with the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). The means and standard deviations of the PTS for the medial and lateral tibial plateaus were calculated using the measurements from the observer

^{*}Address correspondence to Alfred A. Mansour III, MD, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, McGovern Medical School, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, 5420 W Loop South, Suite 2300, Houston, TX 77401, USA (email: alfred.a.mansour@uth.tmc.edu).

[†]Department of Orthopedic Surgery, McGovern Medical School, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, Texas, USA. Final revision submitted August 22, 2023; accepted September 11, 2023.

One or more of the authors has declared the following potential conflict of interest or source of funding: A.A.M. has received education payments from MedInc of Texas. W.R.L. has received consulting fees from Arthrex and MedInc of Texas, nonconsulting fees from Arthrex, royalties from Arthrex, and hospitality payments from Linvatec. AOSSM checks author disclosures against the Open Payments Database (OPD). AOSSM has not conducted an independent investigation on the OPD and disclaims any liability or responsibility relating thereto.

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston (reference No. HSC-MS-20-0891).



Figure 2. Representative posterior tibial slope measurements from (A) a standard short knee radiograph and (B) a full-length lateral knee radiograph of the same patient.

with the highest intraobserver reliability; data were reported in this manner as opposed to averaging the 2 observers' measurements, as we felt this was the more accurate representation. Paired *t* tests were used for the comparison of PTS measurements between the SSK and FLL conditions. All analyses were performed in STATA (Version 17; StataCorp), with an alpha level of .05, indicating statistical significance at P < .05.

RESULTS

The intra- and interobserver reliability for the medial and lateral PTS measurements are shown in Table 1. The interobserver reliability ICC for coronal alignment on HKA was 0.966 (95% CI, 0.933-0.985), indicating excellent reliability. The intraobserver reliability ICCs were 0.941 (95% CI, 0.858-0.977) and 0.967 (95% CI, 0.874-0.989) for observers 1 and 2, respectively, indicating excellent reliability.

Measurements for the medial and lateral PTS according to radiographic view are shown in Table 2. There was a statistically significant difference in the mean PTS on the medial plateau as measured on SSK versus FLL radiographs (11.2° ± 5.3° vs 12.5° ± 4.6°, respectively; P = .03). There was also a statistically significant difference in the mean PTS on the lateral plateau as measured on SSK versus FLL radiographs (10.7° ± 4.3° vs 12.2° ± 4°, respectively; P = .01). Further analysis indicated that 66.67% of patients (22/33) had an absolute difference in PTS of $\geq 2^{\circ}$ between the medial plateau FLL and SSK films, and 63.64% of patients (21/33) had an absolute

difference of $\geq 2^{\circ}$ between the lateral plateau FLL and SSK films (Figure 3). In addition, 33.33% of patients (11/33) had a PTS of $\geq 12^{\circ}$ on lateral plateau SSK compared with 57.58% (19/33) using the lateral FLL. Similarly, 45.46% of patients (15/33) had PTS of $\geq 12^{\circ}$ on medial plateau SSK compared with 54.55% (18/33) using the medial FLL.

DISCUSSION

The most important finding of this study was that twothirds of the study patients had a $\geq 2^{\circ}$ difference in the PTS as measured between the SSK and FLL radiographs. This finding raises concern with interchangeably using PTS measurements from both short-segment and fulllength radiographs.

Several studies have compared short-segment knee radiographs to full-length radiographs with the aim of streamlining clinical practices to avoid obtaining long-leg radiographs and minimizing patient radiation exposure. Yoo et al²⁵ compared the accuracy of various measurement methods on standard knee radiographs to the mechanical axis as defined by the line connecting the midpoints of the tibial plateau and the tibial plafond. They found that the proximal anatomic axis (defined as the line connecting midpoints of outer cortical diameter at 5 cm and 15 cm distal to the knee joint) most closely paralleled the sagittal mechanical axis, with a mean difference of 0.2°. Utzschneider et al²³ introduced a new method of measuring PTS on short-leg films in which the mean of the slopes of anterior and posterior tibial cortices was calculated at 2 points distal to the knee joint. This method was found to have the highest correlation with 0.6 mm-slice 3-dimensional CT scan when using both long (15 cm distal to the joint; r = 1.0) and short (10 cm; r = 0.98) radiographs. The authors²³ suggested that this method could be the most useful for routine clinic short-leg films, as it avoids the increased radiation exposure when obtaining full-length radiographs or CT scans. Our results demonstrated, on average, greater slope measurements when using long-leg radiographs, with significant differences at both the medial and lateral tibial plateau (P = .03 and .01, respectively).

It is unclear whether increased PTS at the lateral or medial plateau plays a greater role in recurrent ACL injury. It has been hypothesized that a flatter medial slope with increased lateral slope may cause increased rotational movement of the knee, resulting in increased strain on the ACL graft.¹⁰ Additionally, a recent study found a significant difference in average PTS using full-length radiographs depending on whether the anatomic or mechanical axis was used.^{3,15} Furthermore, some authors advocate for the use of CT or MRI for the calculation of slope. Naendrup et al¹⁷ compared the mean tibial slope in patients undergoing revision ACL reconstruction and found differences of up to 5.4° between radiographs, CT scans, and MRI. Jahn et al¹⁴ found that although both plain radiographs and MRI showed good-to-excellent intraobserver agreement, the mean PTS was significantly larger on radiographs as compared with MRI. They advised caution when comparing studies measuring PTS

	Intraobserver			
	Observer 1	Observer 2	Interobserver	
SSK radiograph				
Medial PTS	$0.841\ (0.639 - 0.935)$	0.979 (0.947-0.992)	0.970 (0.940-0.987)	
Lateral PTS	$0.941\ (0.854 - 0.977)$	0.982 (0.956-0.993)	$0.987\ (0.974 - 0.995)$	
FLL radiograph				
Medial PTS	$0.962\ (0.907 - 0.985)$	$0.948\ (0.873 - 0.979)$	$0.988\ (0.976 - 0.995)$	
Lateral PTS	$0.941\ (0.853 - 0.977)$	0.983 (0.941-0.994)	$0.989\ (0.978-0.995)$	
HKA	$0.941\ (0.858 - 0.977)$	$0.967\ (0.874 - 0.989)$	$0.966\ (0.933-0.985)$	

 TABLE 1

 Intraobserver and Interobserver Reliability for the PTS Measurements

Data are reported as intraclass correlation coefficient (95% CI). FLL, full-length lateral; HKA, hip-knee-ankle; PTS, posterior tibial slope; SSK, standard short knee.



Figure 3. The absolute difference in the posterior tibial slope (PTS) measurements between the standard short knee (SSK) and full-length lateral (FLL) radiographs according to frequency (number of patients).

TABLE 2 PTS Measurements on SSK and FLL Radiographs

PTS, deg	SSK Radiograph	FLL Radiograph	P
Medial plateau	$11.2 \pm 5.3 \\ 10.7 \pm 4.3$	12.5 ± 4.6	.03
Lateral plateau		12.2 ± 4	.01

Data are reported as mean \pm SD. Boldface P values indicate statistically significant difference between groups (P < .05). PTS, posterior tibial slope; SSK, standard short knee; FLL, full-length lateral.

using different imaging modalities. The results of our study indicate that caution should be used even when using the same imaging modality.

Studies have demonstrated anterior closing-wedge proximal tibial osteotomy to be an effective procedure to correct the deformity in the revision setting.^{4,12,20} The results of our study have altered our practice and recommendations in patients with ACL rupture. We recommend obtaining HKA and FLL radiographs on all patients for baseline. The SSK and FLL can be compared, and if equivalent, SSK can be used in follow-up after osteotomy is performed to determine correction and healing without repeating the FLL. The methods we utilized for PTS evaluation showed excellent intra- and interobserver reliability. Our results supported our hypothesis that variability exists between FLL and SSK radiographs such that the 2 radiographic views cannot be used interchangeably. Our study also demonstrated variability between the 2 views as high as 8.5°. This amount of variability is concerning such that if it is overlooked, it may contribute to undercorrection or even no appreciation of increased PTS as a contributing factor necessitating correction.

Limitations

Our study has several limitations. First, it may be difficult to identify the medial and lateral tibial plateaus accurately and reproducibly on plain radiographs; however, the ICC values we obtained showed excellent agreement. Second, only 33 of the 187 eligible patients had complete imaging including full-length standing anteroposterior and lateral imaging with acceptable rotation. This is likely due to our evolving understanding of not only PTS but also the presence of coronal plane malalignment in patients presenting with a failed ACL reconstruction. Additionally, this was a radiographic analysis study, and it was not designed to conclude which radiographic technique is more relevant to the diagnosis and treatment of ACL patients with elevated PTS. Last, using the measurements from the observer with the highest intraobserver reliability rather than averaging the 2 observers' measurements as done more often may be considered a limitation of this study. We did not use the averaging method because of our concern for its being less likely to reflect the true measurements.

CONCLUSION

Study results indicated that PTS measurements obtained on FLL radiographs were not interchangeable with those obtained on SSK radiographs with respect to analysis associated with failed ACL reconstructions, as two-thirds of the study patients had a $\geq 2^{\circ}$ difference in PTS between the SSK and FLL radiographs. Because full-length radiographs demonstrate less variability than SSK radiographs, we recommend obtaining them to evaluate these complex patients.

REFERENCES

- Akamatsu Y, Sotozawa M, Kobayashi H, Kusayama Y, Kumagai K, Saito T. Usefulness of long tibial axis to measure medial tibial slope for opening wedge high tibial osteotomy. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2016;24(11):3661-3667. doi:10.1007/s00167-014-3403-9
- Brandon ML, Haynes PT, Bonamo JR, Flynn MII, Barrett GR, Sherman MF. The association between posterior-inferior tibial slope and anterior cruciate ligament insufficiency. *Arthroscopy*. 2006;22(8): 894-899. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2006.04.098
- Dean RS, DePhillipo NN, Chahla J, Larson CM, LaPrade RF. Posterior tibial slope measurements using the anatomic axis are significantly increased compared with those that use the mechanical axis. *Arthroscopy*. 2021;37(1):243-249. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2020.09.006
- Dejour D, Saffarini M, Demey G, Baverel L. Tibial slope correction combined with second revision ACL produces good knee stability and prevents graft rupture. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2015;23(10):2846-2852. doi:10.1007/s00167-015-3758-6
- Dejour H, Bonnin M. Tibial translation after anterior cruciate ligament rupture. Two radiological tests compared. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1994;76(5):745-749. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.76B5.8083263
- Faschingbauer M, Sgroi M, Juchems M, Reichel H, Kappe T. Can the tibial slope be measured on lateral knee radiographs? *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2014;22(12):3163-3167. doi:10.1007/ s00167-014-2864-1
- Giffin JR, Vogrin TM, Zantop T, Woo SLY, Harner CD. Effects of increasing tibial slope on the biomechanics of the knee. *Am J Sports Med.* 2004;32(2):376-382. doi:10.1177/036354650325880
- Gupta A, Tejpal T, Shanmugaraj A, et al. Surgical techniques, outcomes, indications and complications of simultaneous high tibial osteotomy and anterior cruciate ligament revision surgery: a systematic review. HSS J. 2019;15(2):176-184. doi:10.1007/s11420-018-9630-8
- Hashemi J, Chandrashekar N, Gill B, et al. The geometry of the tibial plateau and its influence on the biomechanics of the tibiofemoral joint. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008;90(12):2724-2734. doi:10.2106/ JBJS.G.01358

- Hohmann E, Bryant A, Reaburn P, Tetsworth K. Does posterior tibial slope influence knee functionality in the anterior cruciate ligament– deficient and anterior cruciate ligament–reconstructed knee? *Arthroscopy*. 2010;26(11):1496-1502. doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2010.02.024
- Hudek R, Schmutz S, Regenfelder F, Fuchs B, Koch PP. Novel measurement technique of the tibial slope on conventional MRI. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2009;467(8):2066-2072. doi:10.1007/S11999-009-0711-3
- Imhoff FB, Mehl J, Comer BJ, et al. Slope-reducing tibial osteotomy decreases ACL-graft forces and anterior tibial translation under axial load. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2019;27(10):3381-3389. doi:10.1007/s00167-019-05360-2
- Jaecker V, Drouven S, Naendrup JH, Kanakamedala AC, Pfieffer T, Shafizadeh S. Increased medial and lateral tibial posterior slopes are independent risk factors for graft failure following ACL reconstruction. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2018;138(10):1424-1431. doi:10.1007/s00402-018-2968-z
- Jahn R, Cooper JD, Juhan T, et al. Reliability of plain radiographs versus magnetic resonance imaging to measure tibial slope in sports medicine patients: can they be used interchangeably? Orthop J Sports Med. 2021;9(10):23259671211033882. doi:10.1177/2325967 1211033882
- Lee CC, Youm YS, Cho SD, et al. Does posterior tibial slope affect graft rupture following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction? *Arthroscopy*. 2018;34(7):2152-2155. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.01.058
- McLean SG, Oh YK, Palmer ML, et al. The relationship between anterior tibial acceleration, tibial slope, and ACL strain during a simulated jump landing task. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2011;93(14):1310-1317. doi:10.2106/JBJS.J.00259
- Naendrup JH, Drouven SF, Shaikh HS, et al. High variability of tibial slope measurement methods in daily clinical practice: comparisons between measurements on lateral radiograph, magnetic resonance imaging, and computed tomography. *Knee*. 2020;27(3):923-929. doi.10.1016/j.knee.2020.01.013
- Salmon LJ, Heath E, Akrawi H, Roe JP, Linklater J, Pinczewski LA. 20-year outcomes of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with hamstring tendon autograft: the catastrophic effect of age and posterior tibial slope. *Am J Sports Med.* 2018;46(3):531-543. doi:10.1177/ 0363546517741497
- Sonnery-Cottet B, Archbold P, Cucurulo T, et al. The influence of the tibial slope and the size of the intercondylar notch on rupture of the anterior cruciate ligament. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2011;93(11):1475-1478. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.93B11.26905
- Sonnery-Cottet B, Mogos S, Thaunat M, et al. Proximal tibial anterior closing wedge osteotomy in repeat revision of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med.* 2014;42(8):1873-1880. doi:10.1177/0363546514534938
- Tischer T, Paul J, Pape D, et al. The impact of osseous malalignment and realignment procedures in knee ligament surgery: a systematic review of the clinical evidence. *Orthop J Sports Med.* 2017;5(3): 2325967117697287. doi:10.1177/2325967117697287
- Todd MS, Lallis S, Garcia E, Debarardino TM, Cameron KL. The relationship between posterior tibial slope and anterior cruciate ligament injuries. *Am J Sports Med*. 2010;38(1):63-67. doi:10.117/036354650 9343198
- Utzschneider S, Goettinger M, Weber P, et al. Development and validation of a new method for the radiologic measurement of the tibial slope. *Knee Surg Sport Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2011;19(10):1643-1648. doi:10.1007/s00167-011-1414-3
- Wordeman SC, Quatman CE, Kaeding CC, Hewett TE. In vivo evidence for tibial plateau slope as a risk factor for anterior cruciate ligament injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Sports Med.* 2012;40(7):1673-1681. doi:10.1177/0363546512442307
- Yoo JH, Chang CB, Shin KS, Seong SC, Kim TK. Anatomical references to assess the posterior tibial slope in total knee arthroplasty: a comparison of 5 anatomical axes. *J Arthroplasty*. 2008;23(4):586-592. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2007.05.006
- Zhang Y, Chen Y, Qiang M, et al. Comparison between threedimensional CT and conventional radiography in proximal tibia morphology. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2018;97(30):e11632. doi:10.1097/ MD.000000000011632