# SCIENTIFIC DATA

### DATA DESCRIPTOR

Received: 28 March 2019 Accepted: 17 July 2019 Published online: 22 August 2019

## **OPEN** RNA-Seq analysis and *de novo* transcriptome assembly of Cry toxin susceptible and tolerant Achaea janata larvae

Narender K. Dhania<sup>1</sup>, Vinod K. Chauhan<sup>1</sup>, R. K. Chaitanya<sup>2</sup> & Aparna Dutta-Gupta<sup>1</sup>

Larvae of most lepidopteran insect species are known to be voracious feeders and important agricultural pests throughout the world. Achaea janata larvae cause serious damage to Ricinus communis (Castor) in India resulting in significant economic losses. Microbial insecticides based on crystalline (Cry) toxins of Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) have been effective against the pest. Excessive and indiscriminate use of Bt-based biopesticides could be counter-productive and allow susceptible larvae to eventually develop resistance. Further, lack of adequate genome and transcriptome information for the pest limit our ability to determine the molecular mechanisms of altered physiological responses in Bt-exposed susceptible and tolerant insect strains. In order to facilitate biological, biochemical and molecular research of the pest species that would enable more efficient biocontrol, we report the midgut de novo transcriptome assembly and clustering of susceptible Cry toxin-exposed and Cry toxin tolerant Achaea janata larvae with appropriate age-matched and starvation controls.

#### **Background & Summarv**

Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) insecticidal proteins used in sprayable formulations and transgenic crops are the most promising alternatives to synthetic insecticides. However, the evolution of resistance in the field, as well as laboratory insect populations is a serious roadblock to this technology. Achaea janata, a major castor crop pest in India, is controlled using Bt-based formulation<sup>1</sup> comprising of Cry1 (Cry1Aa, Cry1Ab, and Cry1Ac) and Cry2 (Cry2Aa and Cry2Ab) genes<sup>2</sup>. Recent studies from our group reported extensive changes at the cellular and molecular level in the midgut of A. janata exposed to a sublethal dose of the Bt formulation<sup>3-5</sup>. Since a decade, reports of resistance against Bt toxins and their mechanisms have been emerging<sup>6,7</sup>. Long term exposure to Cry toxin formulations promotes tolerance in larvae which eventually leads to resistance<sup>6-8</sup>. Development of Bt resistance could be due to alterations in proteolytic cleavage of the Cry toxin, altered receptor binding or enhanced midgut regeneration responses<sup>9-11</sup>. With the advent of next generation sequencing technology it is now possible to characterize the entire repertoire of transcripts under different conditions and predict pathways involved in various molecular mechanisms. The RNA sequencing study presented here generated the first de novo transcriptome assembly of castor semilooper, Achaea janata (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera), and compared gene expression signatures between toxin-exposed susceptible and tolerant larvae. This article, is a first step in determining the primary basis for Cry tolerance in the pest, which could facilitate new long term management strategies.

#### Methods

**Toxin administration and sample preparation.** Wild population of *A. janata* larvae, unexposed to pesticides, was field collected from the Indian Institute of Oil Seed Research, Hyderabad, India. Further, the larvae were reared and maintained on castor leaves at 27 ± 2 °C, 14:10 h (light: dark) photoperiod and 60-70% relative humidity for three generations at the insectary of School of Life Sciences, University of Hyderabad, India. In the present de novo transcriptome analysis for the sublethal toxin exposure, 1/10 of LD<sub>50</sub> was used (Group ii) (Fig. 1),

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Biology, School of Life Sciences, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, 500046, India. <sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Sciences, School of Basic and Applied Sciences, Central University of Punjab, Bathinda, 151001, India. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.D.-G. (email: aparnaduttagupta@gmail.com) or R.K.C. (email: chaitanyark@cup.ac.in)



Fig. 1 Flow chart showing the methodology used for the present study.

while for the generation of a tolerant population (Group iv) (Fig. 1) an LD<sub>50</sub> dose of DOR Bt-1 formulation was administered<sup>1</sup>. Larval batches (n = 100) designated as Crv-susceptible larvae and control larvae were exposed to toxin-coated and distilled water coated leaves respectively. The midgut was isolated from 15 randomly selected surviving larvae from each batch after every 12h till 48h. In earlier study we noticed that larvae probably sense the toxin and avoid feeding on toxin coated leaves after a short exposure. Hence, to eliminate any effect induced by starvation, an additional batch (Group iv) of 3rd instar larvae was maintained on moist filter paper and collected for the midgut isolation every 12 h till 48 h. All the midgut dissections were carried out in ice-cold insect Ringer solution (130 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM KCl, and 0.1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>). The experiment was performed in duplicates. For the Cry tolerant larval population, larvae (n = 100) in each generation were exposed to LD<sub>50</sub> dose and the surviving insects were maintained for larval development, pupal molting, adult emergence and egg laying. The larvae hatched from the eggs were collected and reared till  $3^{rd}$  larval instar larvae and exposed to  $LD_{50}$  Bt dose once again. This schedule was carried out for fifteen generations. The batch (n = 100) of Cry tolerant larvae thus generated were exposed to toxin-coated leaves and the midguts were isolated from randomly selected fifteen larvae after 24 h. Total RNA was isolated from the midgut samples using Trizol-based method. The RNA was quantified using NanoDrop<sup>TM</sup> 8000 spectrophotometer and the quality was assessed using 1% formaldehyde denaturing agarose gel.

**Library preparation.** Illumina  $2 \times 150$  pair end libraries were prepared as follows. Briefly, mRNA was enriched from isolated total RNA and fragmented. The fragmented mRNA was used for first-strand cDNA synthesis, followed by second-strand generation, A-tailing and adapter ligation. Adapter ligated products were purified and PCR amplification was carried out. PCR amplified cDNA libraries were assessed for quality and quantity using DNA High Sensitivity Assay Kit (Agilent Technologies).

**Quality assessment prior to cluster generation and sequencing.** The amplified libraries were analyzed using Bioanalyzer 2100 and High Sensitivity DNA chip (Agilent Technologies). After obtaining the Qubit concentration for each of the libraries, it was loaded on Illumina platform ( $2 \times 150$  bp chemistry) for cluster generation and sequencing. Data was generated on Illumina HiSeq. 2500 system and paired-end sequencing allowed the template fragments to be sequenced in both the forward and reverse directions. The library molecules bind to complementary adapter oligos on paired-end flow cell. The adapters were designed to allow selective cleavage of the forward strands after re-synthesis of the reverse strand during sequencing. The copied reverse strand was then used to sequence from the opposite end of the fragment. Total RNA was subjected to pair-end library preparation with Illumina TruSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Preparation Kit. The mean size of the libraries was between 357 bp to 567 bp for the 28 samples. The libraries were sequenced and high quality data was generated for ~ 3.05 GB data per sample (Online-only Table 1).

**Sequence analysis.** Illumina  $2 \times 150$  pair end libraries were prepared using the Illumina TruSeq stranded mRNA Library Preparation Kit and as per the firm's protocol (Illumina Inc.). The amplified libraries were analyzed on the Bioanalyzer 2100 with a High Sensitivity DNA chip (Agilent Technologies). The *de novo* master assembly was generated using "SOAP-denovo-Trans (v1.03)" assembler (Short Oligonucleotide Analysis Package)<sup>12</sup>. For each data set, raw quality was assessed and filtered with Trimmomatic (v.0.36)<sup>13</sup>. Transcripts were clustered using the CD-HIT (Cluster Database at High Identity with Tolerance) package<sup>14</sup>. The predicted proteins

Description	Master Assembly
Total number of transcripts	1,74,066
Total transcriptome length in bps	100,247,510
Average transcript length in bps	575
N50	421
Maximum transcript length in bps	25,338
Minimum transcript length in bps	200
Metrics	Master Assembly
Length >=200 & <=300	85429
Length >300 & <=400	32056
Length >400 & <=500	13439
Length >500 & <=600	8124
Length >600 & <=700	5796
Length >700 & <=800	4098
Length >800 & <=900	3028
Length >900 & <=1000	2444
Length >1000 & <=5000	18383
Length >5000	1269

Table 1. Statistics of assembled transcripts and transcript length distribution.

\_\_\_\_\_

Description	Unigenes
Total number of unigenes	1,36,618
Total size of all unigenes in bps	86,577,226
Average unigene length in bps	633
N50	458
Maximum unigene length in bps	25,338
Minimum unigene length in bps	200
Metrics	Unigenes
Length >=200 & <=300	56403
Length >300 & <=400	26874
Length >400 & <=500	12354
Length >500 & <=600	7740
Length >600 & <=700	5647
Length >700 & <=800	3980
Length >800 & <=900	2941
Length >900 & <=1000	2366
Length >1000 & <=5000	17193
Length >5000	1120

 Table 2.
 Statistics of unigenes and length distribution.

of CDS (Coding sequence) were subjected to similarity search against NCBI's non-redundant (nr) database using the BLASTP (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) algorithm.

#### **Data Records**

The total raw sequencing data from 28 samples (14 biological replicates, where the sequencing experiment was performed twice and the replicates are derived from different pool of larvae and they are biologically independent samples) was used for assembly in the present study. They have been deposited in the NCBI SRA database, with identifier SRP18670<sup>15</sup> and accession numbers SRR8617834, SRR8617835, SRR8617836, SRR8617837, SRR8617838, SRR8617839, SRR8617840, SRR8617841, SRR8617842, SRR8617843, SRR8617844, SRR8617845, SRR8617846, SRR8617847, SRR8617848, SRR8617849, SRR8617850, SRR8617851, SRR8617852, SRR8617853, SRR8617854, SRR8617855, SRR8617856, SRR8617857, SRR8617858, SRR8617859, SRR8617860 and SRR8617861, under BioProject PRJNA523326 and BioSample SAMN09241884. This Transcriptome Shotgun Assembly project has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession GHGZ00000000<sup>16</sup>. The version described in this paper is the first version, GHGZ01000000.

Description	Metrics
Total number of cds	35,559
Total size of all cds in bps	25,527,927
Average cds length in bps	717
Maximum cds length in bps	8,595
Metrics	CDS
Length >200 & <=300	761
Length >300 & <=400	9515
Length >400 & <=500	5643
Length >500 & <=600	4127
Length >600 & <=700	3003
Length >700 & <=800	2275
Length >800 & <=900	1867
Length >900 & <=1000	1652
Length >1000 & <=5000	6684
Length >5000	32

#### Table 3. Statistics and length distribution of the predicted CDS.



Fig. 2 Top-hit species distribution of most closely related insect species demonstrated using a horizontal bar graph.

#### **Technical Validation**

SOAPdenovo-Trans assembler was used to generate *de novo* transcriptome assembly from four experimental sets of midgut samples viz. Group (i) susceptible larvae exposed to medium (water), Group (ii) susceptible larvae exposed to 1/10 of LD<sub>50</sub> dosage of DOR Bt-1 formulation, Group (iii) susceptible larvae subjected to starvation and Group (iv) tolerant larvae exposed to LD<sub>50</sub> dosage of DOR Bt-1 formulation (reared for 15 generations) (Fig. 1). A total of 1,74,066 transcripts were generated for master assembly with a transcriptome length of 10,02,47,510 bps (base pairs). A total of 1,36,818 unigenes were reported using CD-HIT and 35,559 coding sequences were predicted by Transdecoder. The top-hit species distribution revealed that majority (23%) of the CDS aligned with *Spodoptera litura* followed by *Helicoverpa armigera* and *Heliothis virescens* all of which belong to family Noctuidae in the Lepidoptera order.

**Transcriptome assembly.** The *de novo* master assembly of high quality reads of 28 processed samples was accomplished using "SOAP-denovo-Trans (v1.03)" assembler<sup>12</sup>. For each data set, raw quality (phred40) was assessed and filtered with Trimmomatic (v.0.36) using the parameters ILLUMINACLIP:adapter.fasta:2:30:8 MINLEN:40 to remove adaptor sequence and filter by quality score<sup>13</sup>. An average of 19 million clean reads were obtained. Statistics of high quality reads with total reads, base count and data size are summarized in Online-only Table 1 and statistics of assembled transcripts as well as length distribution is presented in Table 1.





**Clustering.** To filter the redundancy or the noise, it was required to select one representative transcript for transcripts clusters. Transcripts were clustered using CD-HIT (Cluster Database at High Identity with Tolerance) package<sup>14</sup>. CD-HIT-EST v4.6.1 was used to remove the shorter redundant transcripts when they were 100% covered by other transcripts with more than 90% identity. The non-redundant clustered transcripts were then designated as unigenes (Table 2). CDS were predicted from the unigene sequences with Transdecoder at default parameters which resulted in the identification of 35,559 CDS (Table 3).

**Annotation.** The predicted proteins of CDS were subjected to similarity search against NCBI's non-redundant (nr) database using the BLASTP algorithm. Out of total 35,559 proteins, 32,561 proteins were captured with hits and 2,998 with no hits (Annotation of each transcript of the assembled transcriptome)<sup>17</sup>. The top-hit species distribution revealed that majority of the hits were found to be against the species *Spodoptera litura* followed by *Helicoverpa armigera* and *Heliothis virescens* (Fig. 2). Simultaneously, all protein sequences were searched for similarity against NR, UniProt (Universal Protein Resources), KOG (EuKaryotic Orthologous Groups) and Pfam database using BLASTP with an e-value threshold of 1e<sup>-5</sup>. The BLAST result of four databases has resulted in Fig. 3.

**Differential expression.** In this work we compared the control and Cry toxin tolerant larval transcript map reads for the differential expression analysis. Analysis of count data was done using DESeq. 2 in RStudio platform<sup>18</sup>. Differential expression analysis shows significant differences in the tolerant larval population as compared to the susceptible population (Differential expression analysis)<sup>17</sup>. Out of 35,559 CDS analysed, 320 CDS show significant variation (padj < 0.05). Few of these genes like (i) gi|1131919362| Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding protein, RTX toxin-related, (ii) gi|1199381583| superoxide dismutase [Cu-Zn] 2-like, (iii) gi|315139350| serine protease 63, (iv) gi|1274141826| trypsin, alkaline C-like and (v) gi|1274136486| apolipophorins isoform X2 were shown to be upregulated, while (i) gi|123995301| ribosomal protein SA, (ii) gi|744619941| predicted: 60 S ribosomal protein L8, (iii) gi|45219787| ribosomal protein S3A, (iv) gi|1344818460| alanine aminotransferase 1-like and (v) gi|501300966| ubiquitin were downregulated.

#### **Code Availability**

The following software version/script were used in the current manuscript. The RStudio software packages are available open-source from the repository at https://www.rstudio.com/. SOAPdenovo-Trans (v.1.03)<sup>12</sup>. Trimmomatic (v.0.36)<sup>13</sup>. CD-HIT-EST (v.4.6.1)<sup>14</sup>. BlastP (v.2.2.30). The DESeq. 2 scripts were used for plotting the differential expression data. https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/vignettes/DESeq. 2/inst/doc/DESeq. 2.html. As an input we have used- (1) a table having RAW read counts and (2) metadata, that is, each line contains description of one of the samples. See example below: #SampleName Condition. C1\_raw\_read\_count control. D1\_raw\_read\_count tolerant.

#### References

- Vimala Devi, P. S. & Sudhakar, R. Effectiveness of a local strain of *Bacillus thuringiensis* in the management of castor semilooper, *Achaea janata* on castor (*Ricinus communis*). *Indian J Agr Sci* 156, 447–449 (2006).
- Reddy, V. P., Rao, N. N., Devi, P. V., Narasu, M. L. & Kumar, V. D. PCR-based detection of cry genes in local *Bacillus thuringiensis* DOR Bt-1 isolate. *Pest Technol.* 6, 79–82 (2012).
- Ningshen, T. J., Chauhan, V. K., Dhania, N. K. & Dutta-Gupta, A. Insecticidal effects of hemocoelic delivery of Bacillus *thuringiensis* Cry toxins in *Achaea janata* larvae. Front Physiol. 8(289), 1–10 (2017).
- Chauhan, V. K., Dhania, N. K., Chaitanya, R. K., Senthilkumaran, B. & Dutta-Gupta, A. Larval mid-gut responses to sub-lethal dose of cry toxin in lepidopteran pest Achaea janata. Front Physiol. 8(662), 1–11 (2017).

- Dhania, N. K., Chauhan, V. K., Chaitanya, R. K. & Dutta-Gupta, A. Midgut *de novo* transcriptome analysis and gene expression profiling of *Achaea janata* larvae exposed with *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt)-based biopesticide formulation. *Comp Biochem Physiol Part D Genomics Proteomics* 30, 81–90 (2019).
- Tabashnik, B. E., Mota-Sanchez, D., Whalon, M. E., Hollingworth, R. M. & Carrière, Y. Defining terms for proactive management of resistance to Bt crops and pesticides. *J Econ Entomol* 107, 496–507 (2014).
- Badran, A. H. *et al.* Continuous evolution of *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins overcomes insect resistance. *Nature* 533(7601), 58 (2016).
   Melo, A. L. D. A., Soccol, V. T. & Soccol, C. R. *Bacillus thuringiensis*: mechanism of action, resistance, and new applications: a review. *Crit Rev Biotechnol* 36, 317–326 (2016).
- 9. Bretschneider, A., Heckel, D. G. & Pauchet, Y. Three toxins, two receptors, one mechanism: Mode of action of Cry1A toxins from *Bacillus thuringiensis* in *Heliothis virescens. Insect Biochem Mol Bio* **76**, 109–117 (2016).
- Jurat-Fuentes, J. L. & Crickmore, N. Specificity determinants for Cry insecticidal proteins: Insights from their mode of action. J Invert Path 142, 5–10 (2017).
- 11. Carrière, Y., Fabrick, J. A. & Tabashnik, B. E. Can pyramids and seed mixtures delay resistance to Bt crops? Trends Biotechnol. 34, 291-302 (2016).
- 12. Xie, Y. et al. SOAPdenovo-Trans: de novo transcriptome assembly with short RNA-Seq reads. Bioinformatics 30, 1660–1666 (2014).
- 13. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).
- 14. Fu, L., Niu, B., Zhu, Z., Wu, S. & Li, W. CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 28, 3150–3152 (2012).
- 15. NCBI Sequence Read Archive, https://identifiers.org/insdc.sra:SRP186750 (2019).
- 16. GenBank, https://identifiers.org/ncbi/insdc:GHGZ00000000.1 (2019).
- Dhania, N. K., Chauhan, V. K., Chaitanya, R. K. & Dutta-Gupta, A. RNA-Seq analysis and de novo transcriptome assembly of Cry toxin susceptible and tolerant Achaea janata larvae. *figshare*, https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.4436612 (2019).
- 18. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15(12), 550 (2014).

#### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the grant from the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (Grant No. 37(1709)/18/EMR-11), UGC-BSR Faculty Fellowship to ADG and UGC research grant to RKC. Financial support in form of senior research fellowship to NKD by UGC and VC by DBT, India are acknowledged. Special thanks to Dr. Vivek, Department of System & Computational Biology, School of Life Sciences in the help provided in DESeq analysis.

#### **Author Contributions**

N.K.D., V.K.C. and R.K.C. designed the study and A.D.G. contributed to the project coordination. N.K.D. and V.K.C. performed the experiments and collected sample; N.K.D. and RKC analyzed the data and evaluated; N.K.D. wrote the paper which was critically evaluated and edited by R.K.C. and A.D.G. The research funding was procured by R.K.C. and A.D.G. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

#### **Additional Information**

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

**Publisher's note:** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/ applies to the metadata files associated with this article.

© The Author(s) 2019