RESEARCH

Open Access

Identification of genomic functional hotspots with copy number alteration in liver cancer

Tzu-Hung Hsiao¹, Hung-I Harry Chen¹, Stephanie Roessler², Xin Wei Wang³ and Yidong Chen^{1,4*}

Abstract

Copy number alterations (CNAs) can be observed in most of cancer patients. Several oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes with CNAs have been identified in different kinds of tumor. However, the systematic survey of CNA-affected functions is still lack. By employing systems biology approaches, instead of examining individual genes, we directly identified the functional hotspots on human genome. A total of 838 hotspots on human genome with 540 enriched Gene Ontology functions were identified. Seventy-six aCGH array data of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) tumors were employed in this study. A total of 150 regions which putatively affected by CNAs and the encoded functions were identified. Our results indicate that two immune related hotspots had copy number alterations in most of patients. In addition, our data implied that these immune-related regions might be involved in HCC oncogenesis. Also, we identified 39 hotspots of which copy number status were associated with patient survival. Our data implied that copy number alterations of the regions may contribute in the dysregulation of the encoded functions. These results further demonstrated that our method enables researchers to survey biological functions of CNAs and to construct regulation hypothesis at pathway and functional levels.

Keywords: Copy number alteration; Gene set enrichment; Pathway analysis; Liver cancer

Introduction

Chromosomal instability is one of the characteristics in cancer [1] and results in the numerical and structural alterations of DNA copy number variations (CNAs). Recently, some literatures have reported the association of CNAs and patient survival in different tumors [2-4]. Several important oncogenes or tumor suppressors were also showed with high frequency of gain or loss status in different cancers. For example, the copy number amplification of gene Her2, which is the addicted oncogene in the HER2+ subtype of breast cancer, was highly correlated with the gene overexpression [5]. However, in addition to focal amplification, most tumors display multiple and broad ranges of copy number change, where large number of genes are involved in and potentially to be induced or suppressed due to copy number amplifications or deletions. Some *in vitro* studies were performed to survey the affected functions of CNAs [6-8]. For example,

 ¹Greehey Children's Cancer Research Institute, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78229, USA
 ⁴Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78229, USA
 Full list of author information is available at the end of the article Nicole et al. utilized the shRNA library to identify the GO and STOP genes which positively and negatively regulate proliferation to evaluate the effect of gene deletions [7], respectively. They also proposed a model called 'Cancer Gene Island', which encompasses high density of genes with the same function within a genomic region [7]. However, the *in vitro* studies were labor intensive if not cost prohibitive. Moreover, it is hard to perform a systematic analysis based on these approaches, thus, leaving the gene island model and their functions unexplored.

In conventional gene expression data analysis, several bioinformatics methods based on the concept of 'gene set enrichment analysis' (GSEA) have been successfully utilized to explore the underlying molecular pathways and Gene Ontology functions [9-12]. The GSEA method assesses the number of overlap genes between two gene sets: the differentially expressed genes of a certain functional annotation and genes from the entire genome with the same annotation, to estimate the probability of the overlapping through the statistical test. The procedure provides a high throughput and systematic analysis to explore the putative activated pathways or functions.



© 2013 Hsiao et al.; licensee Springer. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: cheny8@uthscsa.edu

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the malignant cancers and the third leading cause of cancer death worldwide [13]. Major etiologies associated with HCC are hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection [14]. Previous studies have been reported in which comparative genomic hybridization by microarray (aCGH) was utilized to examine CNAs in HCC. Several regions with frequent copy number gain and loss were identified. The CNA-associated oncogenes and tumor suppressors, such as *MYC*, *JAG1*, *TP53*, and *RB1*, were also found [15-18]. The association between survival and CNAs has been investigated, and ten associated genes were reported [19]. However, the biological functions altered by CNAs remain unknown and thus need to be dissected.

According to the concept of Cancer Gene Island, here, we propose an algorithm to identify the spatial functional hotspots (SFHs) in human genome based on the enrichment analysis. The human genome is divided firstly into segments along the genomic sequence coordinate. Then, the tests of enrichment between the segments and whole genome functional categories are performed. Finally, a method which identifies the optimal regions of enriched functions between the segments was applied to examine putative SFHs. To demonstrate the ability of our method, we applied the method to an aCGH data set of HCC. The result showed several immune-related SFHs which showed gain and loss in HCC samples. Also, survival-associated SFHs were identified. The result also indicated that our system could serve as a useful method to understand the CNAs-affected functions.

Methods

To identify the SFHs in human genome, we proposed a novel enrichment analysis that compares the genes contained within a genomic segment with all genes belonging to the same function categories associated to the genes within the segment under consideration based on the concept of gene set enrichment. As shown in Figure 1A, two matrixes, B and P, were constructed first. The indicator matrix B contains information whether or not a gene belongs to a genomic region (spatial segment) determined by a sliding window along the genomic position of all chromosomes or **B** = $(b_{k,i})_{K \times M}$, where *M* is the number of genes and *K* is the number of genome segments pre-determined and where $b_{k,i} = 1$ when *i*th gene is in the *k*th segment, otherwise 0. The matrix $\mathbf{P} = \{p_{i,l}\}_{M \ge L}$ is also an indicator matrix of functional gene sets, where L is the number of functional gene sets and $p_{i,l} = 1$ when *i*th gene is in the *l*th GO (Gene Ontology) function, otherwise 0. The enrichment is defined as scoring function *C* of the two matrixes **B** and **P**.

 $ES = C(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{P}) \tag{1}$

Here, we use Fisher's exact test as the score function C(**B**, **P**) (Figure 1B). Let $x_k = \sum_{i=1}^{M} b_{k,i}$ is the number of genes in the *k*th segment, $y_l = \sum_{i=1}^{M} p_{i,l}$ is the number of total genes in gene set *l*, and $z_{k,l} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} b_{k,i} p_{i,l}$ is the

number of overlapped genes between the *k*th segment and gene set *l*. The *p* value of Fisher's exact test between the genome segment and the gene set can be calculated by

$$P(x > z_{k,l}) = \sum_{h=z_{k,l}}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{x_k}{h} \binom{M-x_k}{y_l-h}}{\binom{M}{y_l}}$$
(2)

Based on the *p* values, we can determine if the function *l* was enriched at the genome segment *k*. Then, we merge and extend the enriched segments to a merged window to include all genes involved in the function *l* if the segments were located nearby and have position overlapping. As shown in Figure 1C, assuming *q*th to (q + R)th segments have enrichment for the function *l*, the genes involved in the merged windows can be expressed as vector *d*:

$$d_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } s_i > 1\\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}, \tag{3}$$

where $s_i = \sum_{t=q}^{(q+R)} b_{t,i}$. Assuming there are G genes (from

eth to (e + G)th) located in the *q*th to (q + R)th enriched window, we defined the subsets of the *G* genes which exclude out genes gradually from left or right side according to the genome coordinate. Two parameters, *pL* and *pR*, which perform enrichment analysis (Fisher's exact test) between the subsets with the gene set of function *l* were introduced (Figure 1C). *pL* and *pR* are defined as:

$$pR_g = C(\{d_{e+g}, ..., d_{(e+G)}\}, \mathbf{p}_l)$$
(4)

$$pL_g = C(\{d_e, \dots, d_{e+g}\}, \mathbf{p}_l), \tag{5}$$

where g = 1,...,G and C(.) is the enriched score function. Then, the optimal enriched region o of function l can be defined as:

$$o = \{ \operatorname{argmin}(pR), \dots, \operatorname{argmin}(pL) \}.$$
 (6)

If the p value of the region o passed the selection threshold, o was defined as the SFHs of function l.

optimal region of the functional hotspot.



Hsiao et al. EURASIP Journal on Bioinformatics and Systems Biology 2013, **2013**:14 http://bsb.eurasipjournals.com/content/2013/1/14



numbers in the SFHs. (C) The SFH number in each chromosome. (D) The SFH density (numbers of SFHs per million base pair) in each chromosome. (E) The most significant *p* value and (F) the number of SFHs in the position of human genome. In (E) and (F), the chromosomes were separated by red broken lines.

Gene sets of genome segments and biological functions

To define the genome segments, the detection window size was set as one million base pairs (Mbp) after the testing of three different conditions (Additional file 1: Figure S1). The sliding distance was set at 0.25 Mbp. The genomic position of each gene was obtained from Ensembl (version Homo Sapiens 65) [20], or equivalent to NCBI human genome GRCh37. Therefore, a total of 12,098 segments were defined. To construct the functional gene sets, we downloaded all records of Gene Ontology from the BioMart website of Ensembl 65 (http://useast.ensembl.org/info/ data/biomart.html) [20]. A total of 7,654 GO terms were downloaded. After excluding the gene sets containing fewer than 15 genes, 1,091, 404, and 275 gene sets associated to biological process (BP), molecular function (MF), and cellular component (CC) terms were utilized in this study, respectively.

aCGH arrays of hepatocellular carcinoma

To identify the functional effect of CNAs in HCC, the aCGH array data set, GSE14322, was downloaded from GEO/NCBI website. The data set contains 76 HCC samples. The determination of CNAs was through the NEXUS software (BioDiscovery, San Diego, CA, USA). The CBS segmentation algorithm was performed to identify the segments of CNAs [21] using the thresholds of log2 values of fold change larger or smaller than ± 0.2 .

Results

Identification of spatial functional hotspots

By using adjusted p values of Fisher's exact test < 0.05 after Bonferroni adjustment as the criteria, a total of 540 GO gene sets showed the functional enrichment in 838 SFHs. There are 443, 269, and 126 of SFHs belonging to BP, MF, and CC terms, respectively. On average, each chromosome contains 57 SFHs. Chromosome 1 has the largest number (147) of SFHs, and chromosome Y has no SFH (Figure 2A). The averaged SFH density is 0.43 SFHs per million base pairs (Mbp). Chromosome 6 has the highest SFH density (0.48 SFHs/Mbp) (Figure 2B). For the 838 SFHs, the average length of SFHs was 0.56 Mbp (Figure 2C) and the averaged 11.5 genes are in a SFH (Figure 2D). The SFH of 'sugar binding' enrichment, which is located in the 7.88 to 10.6 Mbp region at chromosome 12, has the longest region length. The SFH of 'immune response' enrichment (31.2 to 33 Mbp at chromosome 6), which contains 93 genes, has the largest number of genes. The region located in 29.7 to 31.5 Mbp at chromosome 6 contained the most number of enriched gene sets (16) (Figure 2E and Additional file 1: Table S1). The region includes lots of SFHs which have enrichment of immune-related gene sets, such like MHC class I protein complex, type I interferon-mediated signaling pathway, and immune response. Our finding indicated that the two regions are important for cell immunity.



figure. The top 20 enriched function in numan genome. The top 20 significant SFRS, which contain 18 functions, were showed in the figure. The function of 'intermediate filament' was enriched at both chromosomes 12 and 17. The 'response to stimulus' function was enriched at chromosomes 7 and 14.

Chr	Start pos	End pos	Number of CNVs		Presented gene set	Number gene sets
Gain						
1	153.33	153.59	59	bp	Response to lipopolysaccharide	4
1	153.27	153.43	59	bp	Innate immune response	4
1	153.33	153.36	59	bp	Response to zinc ion	2
1	152.27	153.43	59	bp	Epidermis development	13
1	152.27	153.23	59	mf	Structural molecule activity	8
1	152.88	153.43	58	bp	Keratinocyte differentiation	12
1	152.88	153.23	58	bp	Peptide cross-linking	6
1	152.88	153.23	58	mf	Protein binding, bridging	4
1	153.50	153.60	57	mf	Protein homodimerization activity	5
1	153.50	153.60	57	СС	Perinuclear region of cytoplasm	5
Loss						
8	6.84	6.88	42	bp	Response to virus	3
4	190.39	191.01	40	mf	Sequence-specific DNA binding	6
4	190.39	191.01	40	mf	Sequence-specific DNA binding transcription Factor activity	6
8	6.35	6.91	40	CC	Extracellular space	7
4	90.80	91.76	40	CC	Platelet alpha granule lumen	2
4	90.80	91.76	40	bp	Platelet degranulation	2
8	26.61	27.47	39	bp	Response to stress	3
17	10.35	10.56	38	bp	Actin filament-based movement	2
8	26.37	27.31	37	bp	Response to cocaine	2
8	22.88	23.08	37	mf	Caspase activator activity	2

Table 1 Top ten gain/loss spatial functional hotspots (SFHs) of HCC with enriched functions

Immune-related functions are in italics.

The smallest p values of the enriched gene sets in the genomic positions were showed in Figure 2F. There are nine SFHs which have adjusted p value smaller than 1 \times 10^{-20} . The 'intermediate filament' gene set has the most significant adjusted p value (7.4×10^{-50}) of the enrichment in the SFH of 38.8 to 39.4 Mbp region at chromosome 17. Twenty-nine out of 57 genes in the 'intermediate filament' gene set are located at the hotspots. All of them belong to the keratin family genes, which are components of the cytoskeleton of epithelial cells. The top 20 SFHs were showed in Figure 3. Another SFH which is located at 52.6 to 53.3 Mbp region at chromosome 12 also showed the enrichment of intermediate filament. Other 12 keratin genes were contained. The SFH located at 31.2 to 33 Mbp regions of chromosome 6, which code 16 human leukocyte antigen (HLA) genes, enriched the immune response gene set. In summary, our result indicates that there are several functional hotspots within human genome related to the immune function.

The affected function of copy number variation in liver cancer

To evaluate the effect function of CNAs in liver cancer, the dataset GSE14322, which contains 76 aCGH arrays

of HCC samples, was downloaded and analyzed. The percentage of CNA status of each SFH was calculated. There are 61 and 89 SFHs that contained copy number gain and loss in more than 30% patients (25). The result was showed in Table S2 in Additional file 1, and the top ten SFHs were listed in Table 1. One immune-related gene set had the gain status in most of the samples (innate immune response), and one had loss status (response to virus), since the major etiologies of HCC are the infection of HBV and HCV. We hypothesize that those immune-related SFHs that harbor CNAs may play a role in the HCC carcinogenesis.

We also analyzed the association between disease-free survival and the CNAs of the SFHs through log rank test. Using p < 0.01 as the threshold, a total of 20 and 19 SFHs of which gain and loss status were identified with survival association, respectively (see Table 2). The copy number gain status in the SFH which located at 41.1 to 41.9 Mbp at chromosome 19 had the smallest p value of the survival testing. The SFH had the enrichment of 'oxygen binding'. As shown in Figure 4A, the patients with copy number gain in the SFH had reduced survival comparing with neutral status. Interestingly, all the SFHs with survival-associated gain status were all located at

Gain Instant 41.38 41.63 2.6E-04 mf Oxygen binding 2 19 43.23 44.29 0.001 bp Defense response 4 19 54.72 55.11 0.002 bp Cell surface receptor linked Signaling pathway 6 19 54.72 55.11 0.003 mf Lysophospholipase activity 2 19 40.09 40.23 0.003 mf Carboxylesterase activity 2 19 39.41 39.52 0.004 mf Galycoprotein binding 2 19 39.41 39.52 0.004 mf Sugar binding 2 19 39.41 39.52 0.004 mf Sugar binding 2 19 51.63 52.27 0.007 bp Cell adhesion 11 19 54.78 55.50 0.007 mf Artaigen binding 5 19 54.72 55.55 0.007 c Carboxylesterase exponse
1941.3841.632.6E-04mfOxygen binding21943.2344.290.001bpDefense response41954.7255.110.002bpCell surface receptor linked Signaling pathway61940.0940.230.003mfCarboxylestrase activity21940.0940.230.003mfCarboxylestrase activity21939.4139.520.004ccSCF ubiquitin ligase complex21939.4139.520.004mfSugar binding91951.6352.150.007mfSugar binding91954.7855.380.007mfSugar binding91954.7855.300.007mfCarboxylestrase activity81954.8055.300.007mfTransmembrane receptor activity81954.7855.420.007mfTransmembrane receptor activity81954.7855.400.007mfCellular defense response51954.7855.400.007mfCellular defense response61954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61954.7855.400.007bpViral reproduction71954.7955.500.007bpViral reproduction <t< td=""></t<>
1943.2344.290.001bpDefense response41954.7255.110.002bpCell surface receptor linked Signaling pathway61940.0940.230.003mfLysophospholipase activity21940.0940.230.003mfCarboxylestrase activity21939.4139.520.004ccSCF ubiquitin ligase complex21939.4139.520.007mfGlycoprotein binding91951.6352.150.007mfSugar binding91951.6352.270.007mfSugar binding91951.6352.270.007mfCell adhesion111954.7255.550.007mfTransmembrane receptor activity81954.7255.550.007mfAntigen binding51954.7855.420.007mfCellular defense response51954.7855.400.007pImmune response61954.7855.400.007pImmune response61954.7855.400.007pImmune response61954.7855.400.007pImmune response61954.7855.400.007pImmune response61954.7855.400.007pImmune response61954.78
1954.7255.110.002bpDefense response61954.7255.110.002bpCell surface receptor linked Signaling pathway61940.0940.230.003mfLysophospholipase activity21940.0940.230.003mfCarboxylesterase activity21939.4139.520.004ccSCF ubiquitin ligase complex21939.4139.520.004mfGlycoprotein binding21954.7855.380.007mfSugar binding91954.7855.380.007bpRegulation of immune response81954.7855.300.007ccAnchored to membrane91954.7855.550.007mfTransmembrane receptor activity81954.7855.550.007mfCellular defense response51954.7855.400.007bpViral reproduction71954.7855.550.007krCellular defense response61950.8651.990.008mfPeptidase activity21954.790.008mfLipid transporter activity21954.790.008mfDefense response to bacterium61950.8651.990.008mfDefense response to bacterium4455.1055.990.001mfGrowth
1954.7255.110.002bpCell surface receptor linked Signaling pathway61940.0940.230.003mfLysophospholipase activity21940.0940.230.004ccSCF ubiquitin ligase complex21939.4139.520.004mfGlycoprotein binding21939.4139.520.007mfSugar binding91951.6352.150.007mfRegulation of immune response81951.6352.270.007bpCell adhesion111942.1844.320.007mfTransmembrane receptor activity81954.7855.300.007mfTransmembrane receptor activity81954.7855.420.007mfCellular defense response51954.7855.420.007bpCellular defense response61954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61954.7855.400.008mfLipid transporter activity21954.7955.990.001bpVacular endothelial growth Factor receptor signaling pathway21955.1055.99 </td
1940.0940.230.003mfLysophospholipase activity21940.0940.230.003mfCarboxylesterase activity21939.4139.520.004ccSCF ubiquitin ligase complex21939.4139.520.004mfGlycoprotein binding21951.6352.150.007mfSugar binding91954.7855.380.007bpRegulation of immune response81951.6352.270.007bpCell adhesion111942.1844.320.007ccAnchored to membrane91954.7255.550.007mfTransmembrane receptor activity81954.7255.550.007mfCellular defense response51954.7255.550.007ccIntegral to plasma membrane121954.7855.400.007bpViral reproduction71954.7855.400.008mfLipid transporter activity171954.7855.400.008mfLipid transporter activity21055.300.007bpDefense response61955.410.008mfDefense response61954.7855.400.008mfDefense response61954.790.008mfDefense response to bacterium219 <t< td=""></t<>
1940.0940.230.003mfCarboxylesterase activity21939.4139.520.004ccSCF ubiquitin ligase complex21939.4139.520.004mfGlycoprotein binding21951.6352.150.007mfSugar binding91954.7855.380.007bpRegulation of immune response81951.6352.270.007bpCell adhesion111942.1844.320.007ccAnchored to membrane91954.7255.550.007mfTransmembrane receptor activity81954.8055.300.007mfAntigen binding51954.7255.550.007ccIntegral to plasma membrane121954.7855.420.007bpViral reproduction71954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61950.8651.590.008mfLipid transporte activity171954.7855.400.000bpVacular endothelial growth Factor receptor signaling pathway2211.8312.182.4E-04bpDefense response to bacterium4455.1055.990.001mfGrowth factor binding2451.0055.990.001mfGraspase activator activity2821.8823.080.001
1939.4139.520.004ccSCF ubiquitin ligase complex21939.4139.520.004mfGlycoprotein binding21951.6352.150.007mfSugar binding91954.7855.380.007bpRegulation of immune response81951.6352.270.007bpCell adhesion111942.1844.320.007ccAnchored to membrane91954.7255.550.007mfTransmembrane receptor activity81954.8055.300.007mfAntigen binding51954.7855.420.007bpCellular defense response51954.7855.550.007ccIntegral to plasma membrane121954.7855.400.007bpViral reproduction71954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61950.8651.590.008mfPeptidase activity171954.7855.990.008mfCellear engonse to bacterium2455.1055.990.001mfGraspase activator activity2455.1055.990.001mfGraspase activator activity2821.8823.080.001mfGraspase activator activity2822.8823.080.002bpApoptosis5
1939.4139.520.004mfGlycoprotein binding21951.6352.150.007mfSugar binding91954.7855.380.007bpRegulation of immune response81951.6352.270.007bpCell adhesion111942.1844.320.007ccAnchored to membrane91954.7255.550.007mfTransmembrane receptor activity81954.8055.300.007mfAntigen binding51954.7255.550.007ccIntegral to plasma membrane121954.7255.550.007ccIntegral to plasma membrane71954.7855.400.007bpViral reproduction71954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61950.8651.590.008mfAppetidase activity171954.7445.450.008mfDefense response to bacterium4455.1055.990.001bpVacular endothelial growth Factor receptor signaling pathway2455.1055.990.001mfGraspase activator activity2822.8823.080.001mfCaspase activator activity2822.8023.020.002bpApoptosis5474.6174.970.003bpInflam
1951.6352.150.007mfSugar binding91954.7855.380.007bpRegulation of immune response81951.6352.270.007bpCell adhesion111942.1844.320.007ccAnchored to membrane91954.7255.550.007mfTransmembrane receptor activity81954.8055.300.007mfAntigen binding51954.7255.550.007mfCellular defense response51954.7255.550.007ccIntegral to plasma membrane71954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61950.8651.590.008mfPeptidase activity171954.7855.400.008mfDefense response to bacterium4455.1055.990.001bpVascular endothelial growth Factor receptor signaling pathway2455.1055.990.001mfGrowth factor binding2455.1055.990.002bpApoptosis5474.6174.970.003bpInflammatory response5826.3727.470.004ccGrowth core3 </td
1954.7855.380.007bpRegulation of immune response81951.6352.270.007bpCell adhesion111942.1844.320.007ccAnchored to membrane91954.7255.550.007mfTransmembrane receptor activity81954.7855.420.007mfAntigen binding51954.7855.420.007ccIntegral to plasma membrane121954.7555.550.007ccIntegral to plasma membrane121954.7855.400.007bpViral reproduction71954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61958.5559.080.007bpImmune response61954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61954.7855.400.008mfDefense response71954.7855.400.008mfLipid transporter activity2Lost
1951.6352.270.007bpCell adhesion111942.1844.320.007ccAnchored to membrane91954.7255.550.007mfTransmembrane receptor activity81954.8055.300.007mfAntigen binding51954.7255.550.007ccIntegral to plasma membrane121954.7255.550.007ccIntegral to plasma membrane71954.7855.400.007bpViral reproduction71954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61950.8651.590.008mfPeptidase activity171954.7825.400.008mfLipid transporter activity2LossVVascular endothelial growth Factor receptor signaling pathway2455.1055.990.001mfGrowth factor binding2455.1055.990.001mfGrowth factor binding2455.1055.990.001mfCaspase activator activity2455.1055.990.001mfCaspase activator activity2455.1055.990.001mfGrowth factor binding2455.1055.990.002bpApoptosis5822.3023.020.002bpApoptosis5826.37
1942.1844.320.007ccAnchored to membrane91954.7255.550.007mfTransmembrane receptor activity81954.8055.300.007mfAntigen binding51954.7255.550.007bpCellular defense response51954.7255.550.007ccIntegral to plasma membrane121954.7855.400.007bpViral reproduction71954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61950.8651.590.008mfPeptidase activity171945.4145.450.008mfLipid transporter activity2LossViral reproduction4455.1055.990.001mfDefense response to bacterium4455.1055.990.001mfGrowth Factor receptor signaling pathway2455.1055.990.001mfGrowth factor binding2822.3023.020.002bpApoptosis5474.6174.970.003bpInflammatory response5826.3727.470.004ccGrowth cone3
1954.7255.550.007mfTransmembrane receptor activity81954.8055.300.007mfAntigen binding51954.7255.550.007ccIntegral to plasma membrane121954.7255.550.007ccIntegral to plasma membrane71954.7855.400.007bpViral reproduction71954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61950.8651.590.008mfPeptidase activity171945.4145.450.008mfLipid transporter activity21045.4155.990.001bpDefense response to bacterium4455.1055.990.001mfGrowth factor receptor signaling pathway2455.1055.990.001mfCaspase activator activity2822.8823.080.001mfCaspase activator activity2822.3023.020.002bpApoptosis5474.6174.970.003bpInflammatory response5826.3727.470.004ccGrowth cone3
1954.8055.300.007mfAntigen binding51954.7855.420.007bpCellular defense response51954.7255.550.007ccIntegral to plasma membrane121958.5559.080.007bpViral reproduction71954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61950.8651.590.008mfPeptidase activity171945.4145.450.008mfLipid transporter activity2LossVViral reproduction4455.1055.990.001bpDefense response to bacterium4455.1055.990.001mfGrowth factor binding2811.8312.182.4E-04bpDefense response to bacterium4455.1055.990.001mfGrowth factor binding2822.8823.080.001mfCaspase activator activity2822.3023.020.002bpApoptosis5474.6174.970.003bpInflammatory response5826.3727.470.004ccGrowth cone3
1954.7855.420.007bpCellular defense response51954.7255.550.007ccIntegral to plasma membrane121958.5559.080.007bpViral reproduction71954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61950.8651.590.008mfPeptidase activity171945.4145.450.008mfLipid transporter activity2LossVVVascular endothelial growth Factor receptor signaling pathway2455.1055.990.001mfGrowth factor binding2455.1055.990.001mfCaspase activator activity2822.8823.080.001mfCaspase activator activity2822.3023.020.002bpApoptosis5474.6174.970.003bpInflammatory response5826.3727.470.004ccGrowth cone3
1954.7255.550.007ccIntegral to plasma membrane121958.5559.080.007bpViral reproduction71954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61950.8651.590.008mfPeptidase activity171945.4145.450.008mfLipid transporter activity2LossVVVascular endothelial growth Factor receptor signaling pathway2455.1055.990.001mfGrowth factor binding2822.8823.080.001mfCaspase activator activity2822.3023.020.002bpApoptosis5474.6174.970.003bpInflammatory response5826.3727.470.004ccGrowth cone3
1958.5559.080.007bpViral reproduction71954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61950.8651.590.008mfPeptidase activity171945.4145.450.008mfLipid transporter activity2LossVVVascular endothelial growth Factor receptor signaling pathway2455.1055.990.001mfGrowth factor binding2822.8823.080.001mfCaspase activator activity2822.3023.020.002bpApoptosis5474.6174.970.003bpInflammatory response5826.3727.470.004ccGrowth cone3
1954.7855.400.007bpImmune response61950.8651.590.008mfPeptidase activity171945.4145.450.008mfLipid transporter activity2LossbpDefense response to bacterium4455.1055.990.001bpVascular endothelial growth Factor receptor signaling pathway2455.1055.990.001mfGrowth factor binding2822.8823.080.001mfCaspase activator activity2822.3023.020.002bpApoptosis5474.6174.970.003bpInflammatory response5826.3727.470.004ccGrowth cone3
1950.8651.590.008mfPeptidase activity171945.4145.450.008mfLipid transporter activity2Loss
1945.4145.450.008mfLipid transporter activity2Loss811.8312.182.4E-04bpDefense response to bacterium4455.1055.990.001bpVascular endothelial growth Factor receptor signaling pathway2455.1055.990.001mfGrowth factor binding2822.8823.080.001mfCaspase activator activity2822.3023.020.002bpApoptosis5474.6174.970.003bpInflammatory response5826.3727.470.004ccGrowth cone3
Loss811.8312.182.4E-04bpDefense response to bacterium4455.1055.990.001bpVascular endothelial growth Factor receptor signaling pathway2455.1055.990.001mfGrowth factor binding2822.8823.080.001mfCaspase activator activity2822.3023.020.002bpApoptosis5474.6174.970.003bpInflammatory response5826.3727.470.004ccGrowth cone3
811.8312.182.4E-04bpDefense response to bacterium4455.1055.990.001bpVascular endothelial growth Factor receptor signaling pathway2455.1055.990.001mfGrowth factor binding2822.8823.080.001mfCaspase activator activity2822.3023.020.002bpApoptosis5474.6174.970.003bpInflammatory response5826.3727.470.004ccGrowth cone3
455.1055.990.001bpVascular endothelial growth Factor receptor signaling pathway2455.1055.990.001mfGrowth factor binding2822.8823.080.001mfCaspase activator activity2822.3023.020.002bpApoptosis5474.6174.970.003bpInflammatory response5826.3727.470.004ccGrowth cone3
4 55.10 55.99 0.001 mf Growth factor binding 2 8 22.88 23.08 0.001 mf Caspase activator activity 2 8 22.30 23.02 0.002 bp Apoptosis 5 4 74.61 74.97 0.003 bp Inflammatory response 5 8 26.37 27.47 0.004 cc Growth cone 3
8 22.88 23.08 0.001 mf Caspase activator activity 2 8 22.30 23.02 0.002 bp Apoptosis 5 4 74.61 74.97 0.003 bp Inflammatory response 5 8 26.37 27.47 0.004 cc Growth cone 3
8 22.30 23.02 0.002 bp Apoptosis 5 4 74.61 74.97 0.003 bp Inflammatory response 5 8 26.37 27.47 0.004 cc Growth cone 3
4 74.61 74.97 0.003 bp Inflammatory response 5 8 26.37 27.47 0.004 cc Growth cone 3
8 26.37 27.47 0.004 cc Growth cone 3
8 26.37 27.32 0.004 bp Response to cocaine 2
8 22.01 23.08 0.005 bp Cellular response to mechanical stimulus 3
4 68.69 69.36 0.006 mf Serine-type endopeptidase activity 5
4 68.69 69.36 0.006 bp Proteolysis 5
4 68.69 69.36 0.006 mf Peptidase activity 5
4 70.86 71.40 0.007 bp Biomineral tissue development 5
4 71.06 71.47 0.007 bp Odontogenesis of dentine-containing tooth 3
4 74.26 74.85 0.007 cc Platelet alpha granule lumen 3
4 74.26 74.85 0.007 bp Platelet degranulation 3
4 76.92 76.94 0.008 bp Defense response to virus 2
4 74.70 75.32 0.008 bp Cell-cell signaling 4
8 38.13 38.33 0.008 bp Cell growth 2

Table 2 The SFHs of which copy number status were associated with patient survival

chromosome 19 and ranged from 33.7 M to 59 Mbp. Four immune-related functions, defense response, regulation of immune response, antigen binding, and immune response,

were enriched in the region. The finding indicated that the immune functional island located at the region is sensitive to patient survival. The SFH located at 11.8 to 12.2 Hsiao et al. EURASIP Journal on Bioinformatics and Systems Biology 2013, **2013**:14 http://bsb.eurasipjournals.com/content/2013/1/14



were assigned to two groups, copy number gain (red line) and neutral (blue line). The *p* values was statistically significant (<0.01). **(B)** Kaplan-Meier plots of the copy number status in SFH at 11.8 to 12.2 Mbp at chromosome 8. Samples were assigned to two groups, copy number loss (green line) and neutral (blue line). The SFH had enrichment of 'defense response to bacterium'. The *p* value of test was also significant.

Mbp at chromosome 8, which has enrichment of 'defense response to bacterium,' has the smallest p value of copy number loss status (Figure 4B). For SFHs with survival-associated loss status, 12 of them were located at 55 to 76.9 Mbp region at chromosome 4, and 7 of them were located at 11.1 to 38.3 Mbp at chromosome 8.

Discussion

We introduced a system biology method, motivated by Cancer Gene Island, to identify the spatial functional hotspots in human genome. A statistical assay was presented to estimate the enrichment within genome regions to functional gene sets. By applying the terms of Gene Ontology into our method, the result provided the details of the function encoded in human genome. We set the two parameters of the algorithm, the length of window size and shift distance, as 1 and 0.25 Mbp, respectively. Although the setting of the parameters will affect the p value of enrichment testing for each segment, our algorithm performed an optimal procedure which merge the continual enriched segments and find the region with maximum p values by removing the gene one by one from both sides. Different settings of window size will not affect the results of final optimal regions. However, the detection of continual functional enriched segments could be missed under the condition of small window size because the windows contained no and less genes. To find out the workable parameters, we tested three conditions of window sizes, 0.5, 1, and 1.5 Mbp and found out that the condition of 0.5 Mbp contains large numbers of segments of which the gene number is less than three. The parameters of 1 and 1.5 Mbp contain fewer segments with low numbers of genes. Through the testing, we set the window size as 1 Mbp to analyze the human genome.

We applied the method in HCC data set to estimate the effect of hotspots in the genome. Using the data set GSE14322 as an example, a total of 150 SFHs have been identified with copy number alterations in most of the HCC patients, and the novelty of our analysis is to identify the functional hotspots in human genome. The region we identified is located with high density of genes that share the same biological function, and as we demonstrated in the HCC dataset, these functions may also be sensitive to CNAs. Two immune-related functional regions were identified with gain or loss in most of patients in the HCC dataset. The major carcinogenesis of HCC is the chronic and acute inflammation under HBV or HCV infection; thus, we hypothesize that these two regions we identified may also play a role in HCC oncogenesis.

We also identified 39 SFHs of which the copy number status was associated with patient survival. The result indicates that the copy number alterations in these regions may affect the function of tumor progression and then reflect on patient survival. For example, the patients who have copy number loss in the SFH which was enriched in inflammatory response have shorter survival. The chronic and acute inflammations induced by HBV and HCV infection have been proved to play an important role in HCC tumorgenesis [22,23]. Our data implied that copy number alterations may contribute in the inflammatory response. Also, other enriched functions in survival-related SFHs have been reported, such as regulation of immune response, cell growth, apoptosis, and caspase activator activity. The SFHs and enriched function we identified provided the clues of the association between CNAs and the regulations of the enriched functions. We expected that the SFHs we identified will provide further insight of affected functions of CNAs to uncover the mechanism of cancer.

Conclusions

In this paper, we systematically surveyed human genome and identified 838 functional hotspots based on Gene Ontology classification. To substantiate our findings, 76 HCC tumors and their DNA copy number gain/loss statuses were examined closely. Among the 838 hotspots, a total of 150 regions affected by CNAs, and the encoded enriched functions were identified. Our results indicate that two immune-related hotspots had copy number alterations in most of the patients and might be involved in HCC oncogenesis. In addition, 39 survival-related hotspots were identified. Taken together, our results demonstrated that the method presented in the paper is a powerful tool to survey biological functions of CNAs and to construct regulation hypothesis at pathway and functional levels.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Supplemental materials. This file contains tables and a figure showing the enriched functions, spatial functional hotspots, and histogram of the gene numbers.

Competing interests

All authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the NIH/NCI Cancer Center grant (P30 CA054174-17), NSF grant (NSF CCF-1246073), and Qatar National Research Foundation (NPRP09 -874-3-235). TH is supported by NIH/NCI grant (U54 CA113001) and the Greehey Children Cancer Research Institute (GCCRI) Intramural Research fund. SR and XWW were supported by grants (Z01-BC 010313) from the Intramural Research Program of the Center for Cancer Research, the National Cancer Institute. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Author details

¹Greehey Children's Cancer Research Institute, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78229, USA. ²Institute of Pathology, University Hospital, Im Neuenheimer Feld 224, Room 2.034, Heidelberg 69120, Germany. ³Laboratory of Human Carcinogenesis, National Cancer Institute, NIH, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA. ⁴Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78229, USA.

Received: 31 May 2013 Accepted: 8 October 2013 Published: 25 October 2013

References

 SL Carter, AC Eklund, IS Kohane, LN Harris, Z Szallasi, A signature of chromosomal instability inferred from gene expression profiles predicts clinical outcome in multiple human cancers. Nat. Genet. 38, 1043–1048 (2006)

- HG Russnes, HK Vollan, OC Lingjaerde, A Krasnitz, P Lundin, B Naume, T Sørlie, E Borgen, IH Rye, A Langerød, SF Chin, AE Teschendorff, PJ Stephens, S Månér, E Schlichting, LO Baumbusch, R Kåresen, MP Stratton, M Wigler, C Caldas, A Zetterberg, J Hicks, AL Børresen-Dale, Genomic architecture characterizes tumor progression paths and fate in breast cancer patients. Sci. Transl. Med 2, 38ra47 (2010)
- P Micke, K Edlund, L Holmberg, HG Kultima, L Mansouri, S Ekman, M Bergqvist, L Scheibenflug, K Lamberg, G Myrdal, A Berglund, A Andersson, M Lambe, F Nyberg, A Thomas, A Isaksson, J Botling, Gene copy number aberrations are associated with survival in histologic subgroups of non-small cell lung cancer. J. Thorac. Oncol. 6, 1833–1840 (2011)
- K Kurashina, Y Yamashita, T Ueno, K Koinuma, J Ohashi, H Horie, Y Miyakura, T Hamada, H Haruta, H Hatanaka, M Soda, YL Choi, S Takada, Y Yasuda, H Nagai, H Mano, Chromosome copy number analysis in screening for prognosis-related genomic regions in colorectal carcinoma. Cancer Sci. 99, 1835–1840 (2008)
- M Tan, D Yu, Molecular mechanisms of erbB2-mediated breast cancer chemoresistance. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 608, 119–129 (2007)
- JE Lucas, HN Kung, JT Chi, Latent factor analysis to discover pathwayassociated putative segmental aneuploidies in human cancers. PLoS Comput. Biol. 6, e1000920 (2010)
- NL Solimini, Q Xu, CH Mermel, AC Liang, MR Schlabach, J Luo, AE Burrows, AN Anselmo, AL Bredemeyer, MZ Li, R Beroukhim, M Meyerson, SJ Elledge, Recurrent hemizygous deletions in cancers may optimize proliferative potential. Science 337, 104–109 (2012)
- X Tang, JE Lucas, JL Chen, G LaMonte, J Wu, MC Wang, C Koumenis, JT Chi, Functional interaction between responses to lactic acidosis and hypoxia regulates genomic transcriptional outputs. Cancer Res. 72, 491–502 (2012)
- W da Huang, BT Sherman, RA Lempicki, Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. Nucleic Acids Res 37, 1–13 (2009)
- SA Tomlins, R Mehra, DR Rhodes, X Cao, L Wang, SM Dhanasekaran, S Kalyana-Sundaram, JT Wei, MA Rubin, KJ Pienta, RB Shah, AM Chinnaiyan, Integrative molecular concept modeling of prostate cancer progression. Nat. Genet. 39, 41–51 (2007)
- 11. Q Zheng, XJ Wang, GOEAST: a web-based software toolkit for Gene Ontology enrichment analysis. Nucleic Acids Res **36**, W358–W363 (2008)
- W da Huang, BT Sherman, RA Lempicki, Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat. Protoc. 4, 44–57 (2009)
- DM Parkin, F Bray, J Ferlay, P Pisani, Global cancer statistics, 2002. CA. Cancer. J. Clin. 55, 74–108 (2005)
- 14. PA Farazi, RA DePinho, Hepatocellular carcinoma pathogenesis: from genes to environment. Nat. Rev. Cancer 6, 674–687 (2006)
- C Schlaeger, T Longerich, C Schiller, P Bewerunge, A Mehrabi, G Toedt, J Kleeff, V Ehemann, R Eils, P Lichter, P Schirmacher, B Radlwimmer, Etiologydependent molecular mechanisms in human hepatocarcinogenesis. Hepatology 47, 511–520 (Feb 2008)
- MA Patil, I Gutgemann, J Zhang, C Ho, ST Cheung, D Ginzinger, R Li, KJ Dykema, S So, ST Fan, S Kakar, KA Furge, R Buttner, X Chen, Array-based comparative genomic hybridization reveals recurrent chromosomal aberrations and Jab1 as a potential target for 8q gain in hepatocellular carcinoma. Carcinogenesis 26, 2050–2057 (2005)
- L Zender, MS Spector, W Xue, P Flemming, C Cordon-Cardo, J Silke, ST Fan, JM Luk, M Wigler, GJ Hannon, D Mu, R Lucito, S Powers, SW Lowe, Identification and validation of oncogenes in liver cancer using an integrative oncogenomic approach. Cell **125**, 1253–1267 (2006)
- L Zender, W Xue, J Zuber, CP Semighini, A Krasnitz, B Ma, P Zender, S Kubicka, JM Luk, P Schirmacher, WR McCombie, M Wigler, J Hicks, GJ Hannon, S Powers, SW Lowe, An oncogenomics-based in vivo RNAi screen identifies tumor suppressors in liver cancer. Cell 135, 852–864 (2008)
- S Roessler, EL Long, A Budhu, Y Chen, X Zhao, J Ji, R Walker, HL Jia, QH Ye, LX Qin, ZY Tang, P He, KW Hunter, SS Thorgeirsson, PS Meltzer, XW Wang, Integrative genomic identification of genes on 8p associated with hepatocellular carcinoma progression and patient survival. Gastroenterology 142, 957–966 (2012)
- P Flicek, MR Amode, D Barrell, K Beal, S Brent, D Carvalho-Silva, P Clapham, G Coates, S Fairley, S Fitzgerald, L Gil, L Gordon, M Hendrix, T Hourlier, N Johnson, AK Kähäri, D Keefe, S Keenan, R Kinsella, M Komorowska, G Koscielny, E Kulesha, P Larsson, I Longden, W McLaren, M Muffato, B Overduin, M Pignatelli, B Pritchard, HS Riat, Ensembl 2012. Nucleic Acid Res 40, D84–D90 (2012)

- AB Olshen, ES Venkatraman, R Lucito, M Wigler, Circular binary segmentation for the analysis of array-based DNA copy number data. Biostatistics 5, 557–572 (2004)
- 22. M Levrero, Viral hepatitis and liver cancer: the case of hepatitis C. Oncogene 25, 3834–3847 (2006)
- D Kremsdorf, P Soussan, P Paterlini-Brechot, C Brechot, Hepatitis B virusrelated hepatocellular carcinoma: paradigms for viral-related human carcinogenesis. Oncogene 25, 3823–3833 (2006)

doi:10.1186/1687-4153-2013-14

Cite this article as: Hsiao *et al.*: **Identification of genomic functional hotspots with copy number alteration in liver cancer.** *EURASIP Journal on Bioinformatics and Systems Biology* 2013 **2013**:14.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[™] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com