

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

The dynamic interactome of human Aha1 upon Y223 phosphorylation

Donald Wolfgeher^a, Diana M. Dunn^{b,c,d}, Mark R. Woodford^{b,d}, Dimitra Bourboulia^{b,c,d}, Gennady Bratslavsky^{b,d}, Mehdi Mollapour^{b,c,d}, Stephen J. Kron^a, Andrew W. Truman^{a,e,*}

^a Department of Molecular Genetics and Cell Biology, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

^b Department of Urology, SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY 13210, USA

^c Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY 13210, USA

^d Cancer Research Institute, SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY 13210, USA

^e Department of Biological Sciences, University of North Carolina at Charlotte, Charlotte, NC 28223, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 August 2015 Received in revised form 15 October 2015 Accepted 19 October 2015 Available online 6 November 2015

Keywords: Cancer Hsp90 Interactome Proteomics Phosphorylation Chaperones Aha1 Co-chaperones

ABSTRACT

Heat Shock Protein 90 (Hsp90) is an essential chaperone that supports the function of a wide range of signaling molecules. Hsp90 binds to a suite of co-chaperone proteins that regulate Hsp90 function through alteration of intrinsic ATPase activity. Several studies have determined Aha1 to be an important co-chaperone whose binding to Hsp90 is modulated by phosphorylation, acetylation and SUMOylation of Hsp90 [1,2]. In this study, we applied quantitative affinity-purification mass spectrometry (AP-MS) proteomics to understand how phosphorylation of hAha1 at Y223 altered global client/co-chaperone interaction [3]. Specifically, we characterized and compared the interactomes of Aha1-Y223F (phospho-mutant form) and Aha1-Y223E (phospho-mimic form). We identified 99 statistically significant interactors of hAha1, a high proportion of which (84%) demonstrated preferential binding to the phospho-mimic form of hAha1.

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium (http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) via the PRIDE partner repository [4] with the dataset identifier PXD001737.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2015.10.028

2352-3409/© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Biological Sciences, University of North Carolina at Charlotte, Charlotte, NC 28223, USA.

E-mail address: atruman1@uncc.edu (A.W. Truman).

Specifications table

Subject area	Biology
More specific sub- ject area	Molecular chaperones, Mass spectrometry, phosphorylation
Type of data	¹⁸ O Quant LC-MS/MS Mass spectrometry data
How data was acquired	Mass spectrometry. Thermo Q-Exactive Orbitrap
Data format	*.Raw
Experimental factors	HEK293 cells expressing either FLAG-hAha1-Y223E or FLAG-Aha1-Y223F
Experimental features	FLAG-hAha1-Y223E or FLAG-Aha1-Y223F complexes were purified by magnetic bead immunoprecipitation and processed by mass spectrometry
Data source location	The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA
Data accessibility	The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the Pro- teomeXchange Consortium (http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD001737.

Value of the data

- This data provides a comprehensive interactome of human Aha1.
- This study examines the Aha1 interactome quantitatively \pm Y223 phosphorylation.
- Identifies important client and co-chaperone proteins that are specifically altered by Y223 phosphorylation.
- Demonstrates a novel method for regulating Hsp90 function, a key molecule in cancer proliferation.

1. Experimental design, materials and methods

HEK293 cell lines expressing either hAha1–Y223F–FLAG or Y223E–FLAG were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's minimal essential medium (DMEM, Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum [1–4]. All cell lines were propagated at 37 °C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. Protein extraction from both HEK293 cells was carried out using methods previously described [5]. For immunoprecipitation, mammalian cell lysates were incubated with anti-FLAG antibody conjugated magnetic beads (Sigma) for 2 h at 4 °C and washed 4 times with fresh lysis buffer (20 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% NP40, Protease and Phosphatase inhibitor mini tablet, EDTA-free (Pierce). hAha1 complexes were eluted with FLAG peptide (Apex Bio).

2. LC-MS/MS data acquisition

2.1. Trypsin digestion of hAha1-FLAG complexes from SDS-PAGE gels

After hAha1 complexes were obtained, samples were processed as in [6,7]. Purified hAha1–Y223F– FLAG or Y223E–FLAG complexes were loaded onto a 12% MOPS buffered SDS-PAGE gel (Invitrogen) and run for 10 min at 200 v resulting in a \sim 2 cm "gel plug". The gel was stained with 25 mL Imperial Stain (Pierce) at room temperature, and destained overnight in dH₂O at 4 °C. The gel plugs for each sample to be analyzed were excised by sterile razor blade, divided into 2 sections \sim 1 cm each, and chopped into \sim 1 mm³ pieces. Each section was washed in dH₂O and destained using 100 mM NH₄HCO₃ pH 7.5 in 50% acetonitrile. A reduction step was performed by addition of 100 μ l 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ pH 7.5 and 10 μ l of 200 mM tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphine HCl at 37 °C for 30 min. The proteins were alkylated by addition of 100 μ l of 50 mM iodoacetamide prepared fresh in 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ pH 7.5 buffer, and allowed to react in the dark at 20 °C for 30 min. Gel sections were washed in water, then acetonitrile, and vacuum dried. Trypsin digestion was carried out overnight at 37 °C with 1:50–1:100 enzyme–protein ratio of sequencing grade-modified trypsin (Promega) in 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ pH 7.5, and 20 mM CaCl₂. Peptides were extracted with 5% formic acid and vacuum dried.

2.2. Isotopic labeling of trypsin-digested hAha1-FLAG complexes

Peptide digests were reconstituted with 70 µl of Tris-HCl buffer solution (10 mM of Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM CaCl₂, pH 7.6), vortexed for at least 20 min to reconstitute the peptide mixture, then split into two vials of 30 μ l each (¹⁶O vial and ¹⁸O vial), and 10 μ l was retained unlabeled and stored in -80 °C. In a separate vial Mag-Trypsin beads (Clontech) were prepared as follows. 30 μ l Mag-trypsin beads per rxn (¹⁶O or ¹⁸O) were pooled and washed 3 times with 800 µl of Tris-HCl Buffer Solution, then brought back up in 30 μl/sample of Tris-HCl buffer, and aliquoted to a new 1.5 ml vial in the quantity of $30 \,\mu$ l, vortexing lightly after each aliquot to keep the Mag-trypsin beads in suspension. Using a magnetic rack, the Tris–HCl buffer was removed from the beads, and the $30 \,\mu$ l of sample digest from above was added to the beads and vacuum dried. 30 μ l of either ¹⁶O H₂O or 97% 18 O H₂O (Cambridge Isotopes Laboratories) was added to the respective 16 O or 18 O prepared Mag-Trypsin bead vial and vortexed for 20 min to reconstitute the peptide mixture, and allowed to exchange overnight at 37 °C. After ¹⁸O exchange, the solution was transferred to a new vial and any free trypsin in solution was inactivated with 1 mM PMSF for 30 min at 4 °C. For each sample section, the AHA-1 (E/F) digests were combined 1:1 as follows: Forward (FWD) Sample Set: (F)-¹⁶O:(E)-¹⁸O and Reversed (REV) Sample Set: (E)-¹⁶O:(F)-¹⁸O, dried and stored at -80 °C until analysis. Three biological replicate experiments were performed.

2.3. HPLC for mass spectrometry

All samples were re-suspended in Burdick & Jackson HPLC-grade water containing 0.2% formic acid (Fluka), 0.1% TFA (Pierce), and 0.002% Zwittergent 3–16 (Calbiochem), a sulfobetaine detergent that contributes the following distinct peaks at the end of chromatograms: MH^+ at 392, and in-source dimer [$2M+H^+$] at 783, and some minor impurities of Zwittergent 3–12 seen as MH^+ at 336. The peptide samples were loaded to a 0.25 µl C₈ OptiPak trapping cartridge custom-packed with Michrom Magic (Optimize Technologies) C8, washed, then switched in-line with a 20 cm by 75 µm C₁₈ packed spray tip nanocolumn packed with Michrom Magic C18AQ, for a 2-step gradient. Mobile phase A was water/acetonitrile/formic acid (98/2/0.2) and mobile phase B was acetonitrile/isopropanol/water/ formic acid (80/10/10/0.2). Using a flow rate of 350 nl/min, a 90 min, 2-step LC gradient was run from 5% B to 50% B in 60 min, followed by 50–95% B over the next 10 min, hold 10 min at 95% B, back to starting conditions and re-equilibrated.

2.4. LC-MS/MS analysis

The samples were analyzed via electrospray tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) on a Thermo Q-Exactive Orbitrap mass spectrometer, using a 70,000 RP survey scan in profile mode, m/z 360–2000 Da, with lockmasses, followed by 10 MSMS HCD fragmentation scans at 17,500 resolution on doubly and triply charged precursors. Single charged ions were excluded, and ions selected for MS/MS were placed on an exclusion list for 60 s.

2.5. LC-MS/MS data analysis, statistical analysis

All LC–MS/MS *.raw Data files were analyzed with MaxQuant version 1.2.2, searching against the SPROT Human database using the following criteria: ¹⁸O heavy label was selected for quantitation with a min of 1 high confidence peptide to assign quantitation H/L ratio. Trypsin was selected as the

755

protease with max miss cleavage set to 2. Carbamiodomethyl (C) was selected as a fixed modification. Variable modifications were set to Oxidization (M), Formylation (*n*-term), and Phosphorylation (STY). Orbitrap mass spectrometer was selected using an MS error of 20 ppm and a MS/MS error of 0.5 Da. 1% FDR cutoff was selected for peptide, protein, and site identifications.

Ratios were reported based on the MS level light and heavy peak areas determined by MaxQuant and reported in the proteinGroups.txt file as heavy/light or (hAha1-Y223E/hAha1-Y223F mutant). Proteins were removed from this results file if they were flagged by MaxQuant as "Contaminants", "Reverse" or "Only identified by site". Complete three biological replicates were performed, with each biological replicate split into two technical replicates (¹⁸O forward (FWD) labeling, and ¹⁸O reverse (REV) labeling). The abundance data from each biological replicate were normalized to the ratio of the bait protein in that run (e.g. normalized to the hAHA1–FLAG ratio). Light and Heavy peak intensities were analyzed in each run to determine protein hits that fell into the category of either hAha1– Y223E-only hits or hAha1–Y223F-only hits and retained if they confirmed to this state across all 6 runs. In the case of hAha1–Y223E-only or hAha1–Y223F-only protein hits, spectra counts can be used as a proxy for abundance as these would not of been assigned a quantitation ratio. This produced a list of hAha1 interactors and their respective quantitated changes between hAha1–Y223F and hAha1–Y223E.

Further statistical analysis was performed using the R statistical package (http://www.r-project. org/). Proteins with three out of the six observations were retained. Missing values were imputed using row mean imputation. An ANOVA test was then performed to identify proteins that indicate significant variability (*P*-value < 0.05) between biological replicates within each group. The mass spectrometry proteomic data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium (http://pro teomecentral.proteomexchange.org) via the PRIDE partner repository (REF A) with the dataset identifier PXD001737.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported with funds from NCI R01 CA164492 (A.W.T., D.W., and S.J.K.), Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft SFB638 (M.P.M.), Wellcome Trust 095605/Z11/Z (C.P.), and the SUNY Upstate Medical University and One Square Mile of Hope Foundation (M.M. and D.B.).

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.dib.2015.10.028.

References

- M. Mollapour, et al., Asymmetric Hsp90 N domain SUMOylation recruits Aha1 and ATP-competitive inhibitors, Mol. Cell 53 (2) (2014) 317–329.
- [2] W. Xu, et al., Dynamic tyrosine phosphorylation modulates cycling of the HSP90-P50(CDC37)-AHA1 chaperone machine, Mol. Cell 47 (3) (2012) 434–443.
- [3] D.M. Dunn, et al., c-Abl Mediated tyrosine phosphorylation of Aha1 activates its co-chaperone function in cancer cells, Cell Rep. 12 (6) (2015) 1006–1018.
- [4] J.A. Vizcaino, et al., The PRoteomics IDEntifications (PRIDE) database and associated tools: status in 2013, Nucleic Acids Res. 41 (Database issue) (2013) D1063–D1069.
- [5] M. Mollapour, L. Neckers, Detecting HSP90 phosphorylation, Methods Mol. Biol. 787 (2011) 67-74.
- [6] A.W. Truman, et al., Quantitative proteomics of the yeast Hsp70/Hsp90 interactomes during DNA damage reveal chaperonedependent regulation of ribonucleotide reductase, J. Proteomics 112 (2015) 285–300.
- [7] A.W. Truman, et al., CDK-dependent Hsp70 Phosphorylation controls G1 cyclin abundance and cell-cycle progression, Cell 151 (6) (2012) 1308–1318.