# JKMS

## Opinion Infectious Diseases, Microbiology & Parasitology

Check for updates

# Understanding and Interpretation of Case Fatality Rate of Coronavirus Disease 2019

#### Dong-Hyun Kim ,<sup>1,2</sup> Young June Choe ,<sup>1</sup> and Jin-Young Jeong <sup>0</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, Hallym University College of Medicine, Chuncheon, Korea <sup>2</sup>Research Institute of Clinical Epidemiology, Hallym University, Chuncheon, Korea

As we have entered several months in the influence of coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19), there are ongoing surge of cases in many parts of the world.<sup>1</sup> Amongst, Republic of Korea was one of the countries with the largest number of cases in the early phase of this outbreak and has tested far more than most countries.<sup>1,2</sup>

Case fatality rate (CFR) is the proportion of the number of deaths divided by the number of confirmed patients of a disease, which has been used to assess and compare the severity of the epidemic between countries. The rates can also be used to assess the healthcare capacity in response to the outbreak. To date, there appear to be wide variations in CFR of COVID-19 among countries (**Fig. 1**). The current CFR in Korea is 1.3% (120 deaths out of 9,037 confirmed cases), as of March 25, 2020,<sup>2</sup> while the CFRs are 9.5% in Italy, 7.9% in Iran, 6.6% in Spain, and 4.0% in China.<sup>1</sup>

The crude rate from aggregated data (the total number of deaths divided by the total number of cases) does not give a timely estimate during the course of the outbreak. The final CFR will be different from the early outbreak as the report of affected person's outcome will be delayed inherently. Change in CFR in the progress of an epidemic has been observed in other outbreaks of novel viruses. In the earlier phase of severe acute respiratory syndrome epidemic in 2003, the CFR was reported to be less than 4%, which was ended up to be 9.6%.<sup>3</sup> Reports on the COVID-19's CFR also varied by timeline that started from 5.8% to 1.4% in Wuhan, China.<sup>4</sup> The exact CFR from COVID-19 that reflects the true disease severity will likely be unveiled when the dust settles down after weeks or months.

Moreover, the current CFRs place 'reported' number of deaths in the numerator and 'reported' number of confirmed cases in the denominator in each country. It may not reflect the true number of deaths (numerator) and COVID-19 cases (denominator) occurred in each country. Given the difference of testing capabilities and public health response policy for detection of COVID-19 cases between countries, it is imperative to check the completeness of death reports following COVID-19, before making a comparison between the countries. It is also dependent on each country's surveillance performance to detect cases of COVID-19. It is speculated that most of asymptomatic or presymptomatic cases would not be tested for COVID-19 in many places, resulting in higher CFR by smaller denominator.

OPEN ACCESS

Received: Mar 20, 2020 Accepted: Mar 26, 2020

#### Address for Correspondence: Dong-Hyun Kim, MD, PhD

Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, Hallym University College of Medicine, 1 Hallymdaehak-gil, Chuncheon 24252, Republic of Korea. E-mail: dhkims@hallym.ac.kr

© 2020 The Korean Academy of Medical Sciences.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### **ORCID** iDs

Dong-Hyun Kim D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1492-5253 Young June Choe D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2733-0715 Jin-Young Jeong D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2381-1135

#### Disclosure

The authors have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Kim DH. Writing - original draft: Kim DH, Choi YJ. Writing - review & editing: Kim DH, Jeong JY.

Generated by 🛟 xmlinkpress



No.	Countries	Cases, No.	Deaths, No.		Case fatality rates, %
1	Italy	63,927	6,077	9.51	
2	Iran	23,049	1,812	7.86	
3	Spain	33,089	2,182	6.59	
4	UK	6,654	335	5.03	
5	Netherlands	4,749	213	4.49	
6	France	19,615	860	4.38	
7	China	81,747	3,283	4.02	
8	Japan	1,128	42	3.72	
9	Turkey	1,529	37	2.42	
10	Belgium	3,743	88	2.35	
11	Denmark	1,460	24	1.64	
12	Brazil	1,546	25	1.62	
13	Canada	1,432	20	1.40	
14	South Korea	9,037	120	1.33	
15	Sweden	2,016	25	1.24	
16	USA	42,164	471	1.12	
17	Portugal	2,060	23	1.12	
18	Malaysia	1,518	14	0.92	
19	Switzerland	8,015	66	0.82	
20	Austria	4,486	25	0.56	
21	Ireland	1,125	6	0.53	
22	Germany	29,212	126	0.43	
23	Australia	1,709	7	0.41	
24	Norway	2,371	8	0.34	
25	Czechia	1,236	1	0.08	
26	Israel	1,238	1	0.08	

Fig. 1. Case fatality rates in selected countries with confirmed cases and death from coronavirus disease 2019, as of March 25, 2020.

Reproduced from: World Health Organization. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report-64. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020.

The age structure of affected population should be also accounted for a fair comparison. In Korea, the low CFR, 1.3% as of March 25, 2020, could have resulted from the larger proportion of younger confirmed cases resulting larger denominator of low-risk population. Difference in age structure of affected population between countries may guide to the true burden of COVID-19 in terms of disease severity and fatality proportions. Age-specific or agestratified CFR will be useful in comparing between the countries with different affected age groups. **Table 1** shows number of deaths and age-specific CFRs of Korea, Italy, and China.<sup>2,5</sup> Crude CFR in Korea appears to be one fifth lower than that of Italy (1.3% vs. 7.2%), but the

Age groups, yr	Korea, as of Ma	arch 24, 2020	Italy, as of Ma	rch 17, 2020	China, as of February 11, 2020	
	No. of death	CFR, %	No. of death	CFR, %	No. of death	CFR, %
0-9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
10–19	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.2
20-29	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	0.2
30-39	1	0.1	4	0.3	18	0.2
40-49	1	0.1	10	0.4	38	0.4
50-59	8	0.6	43	1.0	130	1.3
60-69	20	1.7	139	3.5	309	3.6
70-79	38	6.4	578	12.8	312	8.0
80+	52	13.6	850	20.2	208	14.8
Total	120	1.3	1,624	7.2	1,023	2.3
Age adi, CFR <sup>♭</sup>		2.4		4.2		3.2

Table 1. Age-specific and adjusted CFR in Korea, Italy, and  $\mathsf{China}^\mathsf{a}$ 

CFR = case fatality rate.

<sup>a</sup>Ministry of Health and Welfare, Republic of Korea, March 25, 2020<sup>5</sup>; <sup>b</sup>Adjusted to the age distribution of total confirmed cases of three countries combined.

difference between the two countries sharply decreases among the individuals older than 70. Thus, taking the age structure of confirmed cases into account is crucial for a fair comparison as it shows the difference in the age-adjusted CFR between the two countries is considerably reduced (2.4% vs. 4.2%).

Lastly, CFR should be interpreted cautiously given the time difference between disease onset and death. Fatal cases used to be ascertained as COVID-19 cases much earlier, and thus the denominator of the fatality rate should be the total number of cases confirmed at the same time as those who died in the numerator. That is, the denominator and the numerator of CFR should be composed of patients infected at the same time as those who died in order to accurately represent the actual CFR.<sup>6</sup> Most of the recently diagnosed patients in many countries are still likely to be in the care-state. That is, the cross-sectional comparison of these figures may be biased since the time span for illness is different among countries during the course of the ongoing epidemic. To avoid this bias, time-delay adjusted estimates between symptom onset and death need to be calculated for a fair comparison of CFRs among different countries.<sup>7</sup> Russell et al.<sup>8</sup> proposed a delay-adjusted CFR to correct the delay between confirmation-and-death, using the distribution of the delay from hospitalization-todeath for cases that are fatal from Wuhan, China.

In conclusion, estimating the CFR is a high priority in response to COVID-19 pandemic. Yet, its interpretation must be made cautiously during the middle of outbreak as such biases should be considered before drawing causal inferences from observational data. Collection and in-depth analysis of fatality data in systematic manner should be in place in near future.

### REFERENCES

- World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report–67. https://www. who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports. Updated 2020. Accessed March 25, 2020.
- Ministry of Health and Welfare. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Http://ncov.mohw.go.kr/ tcmBoardView.do?contSeq=353632. Updated 2020. Accessed March 25, 2020.
- Venkatesh S, Memish ZA. SARS: the new challenge to international health and travel medicine. *East Mediterr Health J* 2004;10(4-5):655-62.
- World Health Organization. Report of the WHO–China joint mission on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), 16–24 February 2020. https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/who-chinajoint-mission-on-covid-19-final-report.pdf. Updated 2020. Accessed March 25, 2020.
- Onder G, Rezza G, Brusaferro S. Case-fatality rate and characteristics of patients dying in relation to COVID-19 in Italy. *JAMA*. Forthcoming 2020.
  PUBMED I CROSSREF
- Baud D, Qi X, Nielsen-Saines K, Musso D, Pomar L, Favre G. Real estimates of mortality following COVID-19 infection. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020;S1473-3099(20)30195-X.
- Wu JT, Leung K, Bushman M, Kishore N, Niehus R, de Salazar PM, et al. Estimating clinical severity of COVID-19 from the transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China. *Nature Med.* Forthcoming 2020. CROSSREF
- Russell TW, Hellewell J, Abbott S, Jarvis CI, van Zandvoort K, et al. Using a delay-adjusted case fatality ratio to estimate under-reporting. https://cmmid.github.io/topics/covid19/severity/global\_cfr\_estimates. html. Updated 2020. Accessed March 25, 2020.