RESEARCH



Open Access

Lentiviral gene transfer into the dorsal root ganglion of adult rats

Hongwei Yu¹, Greg Fischer¹, Guangfu Jia², Jakob Reiser³, Frank Park^{2,4*} and Quinn H Hogan^{1,5*}

Abstract

Background: Lentivector-mediated gene delivery into the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) is a promising method for exploring pain pathophysiology and for genetic treatment of chronic neuropathic pain. In this study, a series of modified lentivector particles with different cellular promoters, envelope glycoproteins, and viral accessory proteins were generated to evaluate the requirements for efficient transduction into neuronal cells *in vitro* and adult rat DRG *in vivo*.

Results: In vitro, lentivectors expressing enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) under control of the human elongation factor 1α (EF1 α) promoter and pseudotyped with the conventional vesicular stomatitis virus G protein (VSV-G) envelope exhibited the best performance in the transfer of EGFP into an immortalized DRG sensory neuron cell line at low multiplicities of infection (MOIs), and into primary cultured DRG neurons at higher MOIs. In vivo, injection of either first or second-generation EF1 α -EGFP lentivectors directly into adult rat DRGs led to transduction rates of 19 ± 9% and 20 ± 8% EGFP-positive DRG neurons, respectively, detected at 4 weeks post injection. Transduced cells included a full range of neuronal phenotypes, including myelinated neurons as well as both non-peptidergic and peptidergic nociceptive unmyelinated neurons.

Conclusion: VSV-G pseudotyped lentivectors containing the human elongation factor 1α (EF1 α)-EGFP expression cassette demonstrated relatively efficient transduction to sensory neurons following direct injection into the DRG. These results clearly show the potential of lentivectors as a viable system for delivering target genes into DRGs to explore basic mechanisms of neuropathic pain, with the potential for future clinical use in treating chronic pain.

Background

Chronic neuropathic pain may accompany numerous disease states, but current treatments remain inadequate [1]. There is increasing evidence that the primary sensory neurons and their somata in the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) are critically important sites in the generation of neuropathic pain [2]. Development of pain management therapies to selectively target one or more DRGs would have the clear benefits of directing therapy at the specific involved anatomic pathway while limiting effects on other neuronal populations.

The use of gene transfer vectors based on viruses has emerged as an attractive molecular approach to therapeutically modify the genetic profiles of mammalian

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Medical College of Wisconsin, 8100

Watertown Plank Rd, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53226, USA

²Department of Medicine, Medical College of Wisconsin, 8100 Watertown Plank Rd, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53226, USA cells, including those of the central nervous system [3]. Pre-clinical and clinical studies have begun to investigate the potential use of non-integrating viral vectors, such as herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) and various serotypes of adeno-associated viral (AAV) vectors, for neuropathic disorders [4-6], but their temporal persistence within neuronal cells following cellular transduction *in vivo* is unproven. Moreover, humoral and innate immune responses may also lead to a diminished biological effect for either of these vector systems [7].

Towards this end, replication-defective lentiviral vectors have been extensively studied for gene therapy applications due to their intrinsic ability to integrate into the host genome, allowing for stable and long-term expression (up to or greater than 6 months) in terminally differentiated neuronal tissue in many mammalian species [8-10]. The need for a long-term therapy is an important feature for any vector that is developed to treat chronic pain. In addition, lentiviral vector



© 2011 Yu et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: fpark@mcw.eu; qhogan@mcw.edu

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

integration does not disrupt normal neuronal electrophysiological function [11-13]. Although there are several studies demonstrating successful genetic modification of cultured DRG neurons using lentiviral vectors [14-16] there remains a paucity of data documenting efficient transduction of lentiviral vectors into sensory neurons *in vivo*.

The present study was designed to investigate the vectorological factors that may promote efficient DRG transduction in vivo. This is a critical challenge, since it is known that the efficiency of viral vector transduction can be markedly diminished in vivo despite optimal performance under in vitro conditions [17]. Our experiments examined whether alterations in the lentiviral vector system in terms of envelope coat proteins, viral accessory genes, and internal promoter activity would enhance transduction efficiency and the level of transgene expression in DRG neurons in vitro and more importantly, in vivo. In vivo delivery of vectors to the DRG has been attempted by either direct or remote injection. Specifically, a standard technique exists for intra-DRG injection in human clinical subjects [18], and we have recently devised a direct microinjection technique to reliably and safely deliver high titers of rAAV vector into the targeted DRG region [19]. Alternatively, others have used remote muscle injection for rabies G pseudotyped, but not VSV-G, lentivector deposition [20], although this route preferentially transduced spinal cord motor neurons with limited DRG transduction. In the work reported here, we have compared these approaches. On the basis of our findings, we have concluded that lentiviral vector gene delivery holds great promise for anatomically targeted manipulation of specific neuronal processes that contribute to pain.

Results

Optimizing in vitro performance of lentivectors

A panel of modified lentivectors was generated by altering several components in our system, specifically: 1) internal cellular promoters, 2) viral accessory proteins, and 3) envelope coat proteins (in a process known as pseudotyping).

Constitutively active cellular promoters

As a first step in optimizing lentiviral vector-mediated transduction of DRG neurons, we analyzed various cellular promoters in the context of the lentivector. Because of the inherent variability of primary culture preparations, a cell line was used to initially compare the vectorological performance of the lentivector system. In these initial experiments, we used 50B11 cells, an immortalized rat DRG sensory neuron cell line that mimics the small-diameter subpopulation of DRG neurons *in vivo* by expressing capsaicin-sensitive transient receptor potential vanilloid-1 (TRPV1), and α -calcitonin

gene-related peptide (CGRP), binding isolectin IB4, developing currents through TRPV1 channels, generating action potentials, and extending long neurites [21,22]. We have found that these cells expressed TRPV1 and binding IB4 in undifferentiating culture. As reported, neuronal differentiation after forskolin induction were demonstrated in 50B11 cells and β 3-tubulin and CGRP were also positive in forskolin-induced differentiated cells (data shown in Additional File 1).

Lentiviral vectors (Figure 1A) containing enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) as a marker gene were cloned with four different cellular promoters, including the human elongation factor 1α (EF1 α) promoter, the composite CAG promoter consisting of the CMV immediate early enhancer and the chicken β -actin promoter, the human ubiquitin C (UbC) promoter, and the murine phosphoglycerate kinase 1 (PGK) promoter. These cellular promoters are believed to be ubiquitously active and have a range of promoter strength [23,24]. Viral promoters were not specifically analyzed in these experiments due to their intrinsic propensity to down-regulate following long-term transduction using various viral and non-viral vector systems [25].

Preliminary experiments applying moderate viral doses (MOI = 5 and 10) onto 50B11 cells resulted in hightransduction efficiency and EGFP fluorescent intensity, but with low levels of cell survival, presumably due to the overt toxicity associated with the vectors. In order to improve both cell survival and overall transduction efficiency, the subsequent experiments examining transduction efficiencies were performed using serial transduction steps at low MOI (MOI = 1) on two consecutive days (one application per day), by which toxicity of the lentivectors was minimized. Using this approach, lentivectors containing EF1a-EGFP expression cassette and packaged with the second-generation system produced the most efficient transduction (95 \pm 1%; n = 4; P < 0.001) four days after the initial application, compared to other lentivectors containing either the CAG (65 \pm 2%; n = 4), PGK (58 \pm 2%; n = 4), or UbC $(40 \pm 10\%; n = 4)$ promoters (Figure 1B). These findings suggest that the EF1 α promoter in the context of the lentivector may be the most appropriate for expressing transgenes of interest in DRG neurons.

Viral accessory proteins

To determine whether viral accessory proteins that are normally found in wild-type lentiviruses, specifically *vpr*, *vpu*, *vif*, and *nef*, can promote increased transduction efficiency in DRG cells, we compared different versions of the lentivector system in which accessory proteins were either present or absent during vector production. EF1 α -EGFP vectors complexed with the first-generation packaging system (LV1-EF1 α -EGFP), which includes the full complement of viral accessory proteins, produced



Figure 1 Lentiviral transduction of immortalized sensory neuronal cells (50B11) in vitro. A. Schematic representation of the lentivectors. EGFP-expressing lentivector (LV) plasmids carrying a panel of various recombinant promoters were generated. Cis-acting sequences included were cPPT (central polypurine tract) to enhance transduction efficiency, and WPRE (woodchuck posttranscriptional regulatory element) to improve transgene expression. B, C and D. LV transduction efficiencies in 50B11 cells (MOI = 2) were assessed by FACS analysis. B. Transduction efficiencies were compared between vectors with different constitutively active cellular promoters (n = 4 experiments/promoter), including: 1) human elongation factor 1α (EF1 α); 2) hybrid promoter containing a human CMV enhancer element 5' to the chicken β -actin promoter (CAG); 3) human ubiquitin C (UbC); and 4) murine phosphoglycerate kinase gene (PGK). C. Transduction efficiencies using LVs with (firstgeneration, LV1-EF1 α -EGFP) or without viral accessory proteins (second-generation, LV2-EF1 α -EGFP) were compared (n = 8 experiments/packaging system). D. Alternative pseudotyping of LVs was performed to determine its effects on transduction efficiency (n = 4 experiments/pseudotype). The envelope coat proteins analyzed were as follows: 1) vesicular stomatitis virus G protein (VSV-G); 2) rabies SAD glycoproteins (RABSAD); 3) glycoprotein from rabies virus PV strain (RABPV); and 4) lymphochoriomeningitis virus envelope (LCMV). Post hoc differences between vectors are represented by bars; * p < 0.001.

high transduction efficiency (94 \pm 2%, n = 8; Figure 1C). However, vectors generated using the second-generation system (LV2-EF1 α -EGFP), which is relatively devoid of the viral accessory proteins found in the first-generation system, produced equally high transduction (93 \pm 3%; n = 8). These experiments suggest that viral accessory proteins are not essential for high-efficiency transduction of sensory neurons.

Alternate pseudotypes

In the next set of experiments, we swapped the VSV-G envelope with other glycoproteins in a process known as pseudotyping, in order to determine whether changes in the coat protein would alter the transduction efficiency. Lentivectors containing the EF1α-EGFP expression cassette were alternatively pseudotyped with various rhabdoviruses (VSV-G: rabies virus Pasteur vaccine strain, RABPV; and rabies virus SAD strain, RABSAD) or arenavirus (lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus, LCMV) glycoproteins, all packaged using the second-generation system, to determine the transduction efficiency in cultured 50B11 cells. The MOIs for each vector used on the 50B11 cells were adjusted based on functional titers (transducing units, TU) obtained from HeLa cells. As shown in Figure 1D, the VSV-G pseudotyped lentivector was the most efficient in transducing the 50B11 cells $(92 \pm 2\%; n = 4)$, which was significantly higher (P < 0.001) than the other pseudotypes tested in our experiment, including RABPV (78 \pm 4%; n = 4), RABSAD (23 \pm 2%; n = 4), and LCMV (35 \pm 2%; n = 4). EGFP expression images of 50B11 cells after transduction are shown in Additional File 1.

Transduction of dissociated DRG cell cultures with lentivectors

To confirm our findings from the 50B11 cell line, the transduction efficiency of lentivectors into DRG neurons was further tested in the primary cultures of DRG cells dissociated from adult rats. Initially, the transduction time course (1-10 days in vitro, DIV) and dose response (increasing MOI from 1, 10, 20, 30, and 50) were optimized using VSV-G pseudotyped second-generation lentivectors expressing EGFP driven by the EF1 α promoter (LV2-EF1 α -EGFP), which exhibited highest transduction rate in 50B11 cells. Unlike the 50B11 cells, primary adult DRG cells were tolerant of the VSV-G pseudotyped lentivector at high MOI (MOI > 20). Overall, the transduction events appeared as a function of time in culture and virus does (data not shown). When cultures were exposed to LV2-EF1 α -EGFP at MOI = 1, only an occasional transduction event was observed. At elevated viral doses from MOI 10 to 50, EGFP expression became evident in the cell body of neurons within 48 hr after exposure to LV2-EF1a-EGFP. Increased transduction events were evident as a result of higher MOIs, and EGFP expression appeared maximal after five days in

vitro, showing positive neurons with axonal projections. In addition, EGFP expression in DRG cultures was also noted in small non-neuronal cells that appeared spindleshaped with long processes, typical of cultured glia cells, as well as in a few cells typical of fibroblasts [26]. Expression of EGFP remained stable during the lifespan of the cultures, typically more than two weeks.

On the basis of these initial observations, quantitative comparison of in vitro vector performance on dissociated DRG neurons was analyzed using a set of Opti-Prep-purified and titer-adjusted lentivectors at MOI = 20 for 5-DIV after the initial exposure. Specifically, transduction activities of different cellular promoters were tested, including the EF1a promoter (VSV-G $EF1\alpha$), the CAG promoter (VSV-G CAG), and the UbC promoter (VSV-G UbC). These promoters had exhibited strong (EF1 α), medium (CAG), and weak (UbC) activity in driving EGFP expression in 50B11 cells, respectively. Additionally, the relative transduction efficiency of two pseudotypes, VSV-G and RABPV, were also compared using LV2-EF1 α -EGFP, since these showed the best (VSV-G) and the second best (RABPV) performance in transducing 50B11 cells. The results (Figure 2) demonstrated that VSV-G EF1a vector produced the highest neuronal transduction rate (70 \pm 7%, n = 4), followed by RABPV EF1 α (58 ± 6%, n = 4), VSV-G CAG (48 ± 7%, n = 4), and VSV-G UbC (29 ± 10%, n = 4). Differences between vectors reached significance in all groups (p < 0.001, Figure 3). These results in primary DRG cultures verified a similar variability in transgene expression directed by these promoters as in the test using 50B11 cells (Figure 1). These findings suggest that VSV-G pseudotyped lentivector incorporating human EF1a promoter would be the optimal candidate among the tested vectors for in vivo gene transfer to the relatively quiescent cells in the DRG of adult rat.

In addition to neuronal transduction, all these vectors supported robust transgene expression in non-neuronal cells in DRG cultures. Interestingly, although there was no significant difference in glial transduction rates between the various lentivectors ($12 \pm 2\%$, $9 \pm 2\%$, $12 \pm 7\%$, and $10 \pm 4\%$ for VSV-G UbC, VSV-G CAG, VSV-G EF1 α , and RABPV EF1 α , respectively), all four tested lentivectors showed significantly lower transduction rates for glia compared to neuronal cells (p < 0.001, Figure 3). This is despite the fact that neurons represent only ~5% of the total cellular population under our culture conditions at 5-DIV.

In vivo assessment of lentivector-mediated gene transfer into DRG in adult rats

Successful transgene expression *in vivo* will be required to take maximal advantage of research and therapeutic applications of vector technology. We therefore

investigated factors that might influence *in vivo* DRG transduction, including accessory genes, vector pseudo-typing, and site of injection.

Influence of accessory genes on in vivo transduction after DRG injection

Because VSV-G pseudotyped EF1a-EGFP was demonstrated to be a good candidate vector by in vitro studies, we therefore focused on this vector for our *in vivo* studies of EGFP transgene expression after delivery through direct injection into DRGs. An average total of 1.68×10^6 TU for purified VSV-G pseudotyped EF1a-EGFP prepared using the second-generation packaging construct (LV2-EF1 α -EGFP), or 1.44 × 10⁶ TU for purified EF1 α -EGFP prepared using the first-generation packaging construct (LV1-EF1 α -EGFP), were injected in a volume of 2 µl into the fifth lumbar (L5) DRGs. Two out of 24 DRGs harvested at 2-weeks following exposure to the VSV-G pseudotyped LV2-EF1α-EGFP showed only very dim and scattered EGFP signals in sections (data not shown). However, transduction performance assessed four weeks after vector application (n = 10 of 12 ipsilateral DRGs injected by VSV-G pseudotyped LV2-EF1a-EGFP and n = 8 of 10 ipsilateral DRGs injected by VSV-G pseudotyped LV1-EF1 α -EGFP) revealed EGFP fluorescence of neuronal somata and axons. Representative images (Figure 4A), photographed from a section of DRG injected by VSV-G pseudotyped LV2-EF1α-EGFP, shows immunostained EGFP expression, which are colocalized with β 3-tubulin (Figure 4B), whereas EGFP signal is absent in contralateral DRGs (n = 6, Figure 4E), demonstrating that VSV-G pseudotyped EF1 α -EGFP is capable of transducing DRG cells in vivo with anatomic selectivity. Fluorescence was also evident in axons both among somata and also in axon fascicles. In ~50% of the injections, weak EGFP signals were detected in nerve roots, variably both ventral and dorsal, proximal to the injection (Figure 4C), and in the spinal nerve distal to the injection (Figure 4D). Identification of EGFP by immunohistochemistry did not reveal any signals in the corresponding spinal cord segment (n = 4, data not shown), indicating anatomic selectivity of transduction with lentivector administered directly to an individual DRG. The transduction levels appeared to be independent of the generation of the lentivector packaging system. Specifically, using either the first- or second-generation packaging system resulted in $19 \pm 9\%$ in the VSV-G pseudotyped LV1-EF1 α -EGFP and 20 ± 8% in the VSV-G pseudotyped LV2-EF1 α -EGFP (P > 0.05) of EGFP expressing profiles per total neurons within the field, respectively (Figure 4F). As confirmation of the IHC data, EGFP expression in DRG homogenates was also detected by immunoblots (Figure 5). There was no structural change or infiltrate evident in the DRG apparent by hematoxylin/eosin staining (n = 3 DRGs, data not shown).



Figure 2 EGFP expression in dissociated adult DRG cultures after acute exposure to lentivectors. Primary cultures of DRG cells dissociated from adult rats were transduced at MOI = 20 using VSV-G pseudotyped lentivectors encoding EGFP driven by UbC, CAG, and EF1 α promoters, or using RABPV pseudotyped EF1 α -EGFP, all packaged by the second-generation system. Cultures were maintained 5-DIV before colocalization immunofluorescence (IF), cell identification, and quantitative analysis. Representative images of the EGFP-expressing dissociated DRG cultures (A, B, C, and D) and their correspondent β 3-tubulin IF images (**E**, **F**, **G**, and **H**) revealing neuronal patterns, merged data for identification of EGFP-positive neurons (**I**, **J**, **K**, and **L**), phase-contrast images captured in the same fields (**M**, **N**, **O** and **P**), and higher magnification images showing neuronal somata and neurite projections in transduced neurons (**Q**, **R**, **S** and **T**), as well as transduced non-neuronal cells colocalized with glutamine synthetase (**U**, **V**, **W** and **X**), are shown in the panels of far-left column for VSV-G UbC, the second-left column for VSV-G CAG, the second-right column for VSV-G EF1 α , and the far-right column for RABPV EF1 α . Filled arrows point to transduced neurons, empty arrows to non-transduced neurons, filled arrowheads to transduced neuronal projections, and empty arrowheads to transduced glia. Scale bars = 100 µm.



Transduction of identified sensory neuron subpopulations after DRG injection

Immunofluorescent double labeling was next performed to characterize sensory neuron subpopulations expressing EGFP in DRGs. L5 ipsilateral DRG sections from VSV-G pseudotyped LV2-EF1 α -EGFP injection were labeled with antibodies against relevant markers of neuronal subpopulations and glia, and assessed for colocalization with EGFP (Figure 6). EGFP-positive cells were co-localized with β 3-tubulin, a general marker for neurons (Figure 6C). Co-localization was not observed with glutamine synthetase, a marker for satellite glial cells (Figure 6R). Thus, our findings indicate that although the VSV-G-pseudotyped vectors have a broad capacity to transduce a variety of cell types *in vitro*, there is preferential transduction of neuronal phenotypes *in vivo*.

EGFP-transduced neurons included co-labeling with markers that identify various neuronal subpopulations. Isolectin B4 (IB4), which recognizes small (23 ± 4 µm diameter in our samples), nonmyelinated non-peptider-gic nociceptive neurons (Figure 6I), is bound by 36 ± 16% of transduced neurons expressing EGFP. α -Calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP), which recognizes small (23 ± 5 µm), nonmyelinated peptidergic nociceptive neurons (Figure 6L), is expressed by 34 ± 16% of transduced neurons. Transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1), which selectively labels nociceptive neurons (diameter 23 ± 6 µm) that are either myelinated or nonmyelinated (Figure 6O), was detected in 27 ± 8% of

transduced neurons. Neurofilament 200 (NF200; Figure 6F), which identifies myelinated neurons, was detected in 40 ± 4% of EGFP-expressing neurons. The transduced population of NF200-positive neurons had diameters (28 ± 12 µm) that were not significantly less than those that were EGFP-negative (32 ± 12 µm; P = 0.1), and included large as well as small neurons. In general, the lentivectors showed an ability to transduce a broad population of DRG neuronal phenotypes. Transduction efficiencies within the different subpopulations did not differ (TRPV1: 16 ± 9%; IB4: 25 ± 8%; CGRP: 29 ± 12%; NF200: 17 ± 7%).

DRG injection of RABPV-pseudotyped lentivector for in vivo transduction

We also studied DRG sensory neuron gene transfer by RABPV pseudotyped LV2-EF1 α -EGFP vector directly injected into the DRG. Results showed that RABPV lentivector (2.16 × 10⁶ TU of purified vector) resulted in similar transduction patterns to those of VSV-G vector, with EGFP expression predominantly in 15 ± 3% (N = 3) DRG neurons and in the nearby nerve roots and spinal nerve (Figure 7).

RABPV-pseudotyped lentivector injection into distal muscle for DRG transduction

Lentivectors pseudotyped by Rabies G have demonstrated particular efficacy in transducing spinal cord motor neurons via retrograde transport after peripheral injection into muscle [20]. We therefore examined whether RABPV pseudotyped LV2-EF1a-EGFP might transduce DRG neurons following such injections. Four weeks after gastrocnemius muscle injection of $2.08 \times$ 10^7 TU of RABPV EF1 α vector, EGFP signal was detectable only in the ipsilateral gastrocnemius fibers (Data not shown), and no EGFP expression was observed in either ipsilateral or contralateral DRGs (Figure 7). This suggests that vector access to peripheral sensory neurons is limited after intramuscular injection of lentivectors, and that intramuscular injection, although effective in delivery to spinal cord motor neurons [20], is not a desirable route for DRG gene transfer by lentivectors.

Behavioral evaluation after injection and transduction

Inflammatory changes in the DRG are associated with hyperalgesia in various models of neuropathic pain. Although lentivectors are unlikely to initiate a substantial inflammatory response, we nonetheless examined sensory behavior following injection to identify if viral infection can itself produce manifestation of animal pain. We gauged hyperalgesia by the incidence of a characteristic behavior not seen in normal animals, specifically sustained, complex foot withdrawal with lifting, shaking, or grooming following a noxious punctate mechanical stimulation (a pin touch) to the plantar surface of the hind paw. This method has been validated in neuropathic pain [27], and has been shown to represent



an aversive experience and cause conditioned place avoidance [28]. DRG saline injections produced a mild hyperalgesic state (Figure 8) in which up to $14 \pm 11\%$ of touches produced a hyperalgesic response, which resolved by 42 days. VSV-G pseudotyped second-generation lentivectors and RABPV pseudotyped secondgeneration lentivectors produced a mild but sustained increase in hyperalgesia behavior, whereas VSV-G pseudotyped first-generation lentivectors caused no difference in behavior compared to baseline. These patterns were statistically different (repeated measures ANOVA P < 0.05 for interaction of injection Group x Time).

Discussion

Chronic pain, particularly neuropathic pain, has proved resistant to conventional pharmacological approaches. Systemic administration of agents that reverse neuronal

KDa M 30 < GFP 20 60 < tubulin 50 2 3 4 5 1 Figure 5 Immunoblotting analysis of EGFP expression in ipsilateral DRG. Results in two ipsilateral DRGs (Inj. DRG in lanes 4 and 5) following VSV-G coated LV2 EF1 α -EGFP vector, as well as a non-injected DRG (lane 3). Lane 2 is the homogenate from HeLa cells transduced by EF1 α -EGFP vector as an EGFP positive control, and lane 1 shows protein standards (MagicMark, Invitrogen). Arrowheads point to the expected size bands for EGFP (top panel), and α -tubulin (bottom panel) as loading control. The additional band in the bottom panel (asterisk) indicates an additional tubulin isoform in DRG

hyperactivity frequently produces limiting side effects by their actions on normal neuronal function [29]. Even neuraxial infusions result in widespread distribution in the central nervous system, and also require implantation of complex hardware and ongoing specialized care. Many segmental neuropathic conditions such as radiculopathy, post-herpetic neuralgia, and those related to tumor involvement or trauma, could be addressed by anatomically targeted therapy. By this approach, specific sensory pathways might be subjected to intensive functional modulation while avoiding systemic side effects. The DRG is an appealing therapeutic target. Unlike peripheral nerves or the spinal cord, the DRG is tolerant of needle penetration and injections [18], perhaps in part due to its generous vascular supply [30]. Well-defined clinical injection techniques are available for injecting solutions within or adjacent to the DRG [18]. Furthermore, altered neuronal and glial function within the DRG is a critical component of pain pathogenesis following injury or inflammation of peripheral nerves [31].

Advances in molecular therapies provide new opportunities for highly specific treatment of pain conditions. For instance, therapeutic genes have been introduced into DRGs by administration of viral vectors into the cerebrospinal fluid of the spinal intrathecal space. Although this mode of delivery would be easy to employ clinically, clear limitations include unintended transduction of CNS structures [32,33], low efficiency rates for DRG transduction [34], and uncertain penetration of vectors to the distal pole of the DRG, which could be a particular constraint in clinical settings considering the large diffusion distances in a human DRG. In contrast, direct injection into the DRG has achieved transduction restricted to the DRG and high efficiency in experimental animals [34,35]. Although paresis has also been noted [36], it is not known whether this is vectorrelated.

Regarding the optimal vector for DRG transduction, it is important to note that the primary types of viral vectors used in previous studies for treating experimental neuropathic pain have been largely episomal in nature, namely adenovirus, herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) and various serotypes of adeno-associated viruses (AAV). The main drawback in the use of episomal viral vectors, other than the specific vector-related problems, is the potential for limited temporal expression pattern for the transferred gene of interest. For adenoviral vectors, transient vector persistence and transgene expression is largely attributed to the host immune activation due to the presence of early viral gene products in the vector system [37]. However, investigators have designed a helper-dependent or "gutless" adenoviral vectors in which all of the immunogenic viral genes are removed [38-40]. The application of these newer versions of the adenoviral vector to the DRG is now emerging [41]. One of the classic viral vector systems employed to transduce DRG neurons is based on HSV-1 [5,42]. The administration of HSV-1 vectors has typically been through peripheral inoculation, which takes advantage of the natural tropism of HSV-1 for the sensory neurons. Although this vector has shown promise for efficiently transducing these types of neurons, there are specific limitations, which include the difficult and complex nature of manipulating and generating this vector system, vector-related toxicity [43], and potential for conversion of the replication-defective virus into a competent one, depending on the serum status of the infected patients who may have been previously exposed to wild-type HSV.

There is emerging new data investigating the utility of AAV vectors as a therapeutic vehicle to the DRG. These studies document highly efficient transduction of DRG neurons following direct AAV injection into the rat DRG [34,44]. Unlike the other episomal vectors, such as adenovirus and HSV-1, AAV suffers from a restricted packaging capacity (less than 5 kb), potential induction of host immune responses depending on the serotype in humans, and problematic scalability in vector production [4].

To circumvent some of the issues related to the earlier described episomal vector systems, lentivectors have been studied as one of the primary gene therapy vehicles, since its innate ability to integrate into the host genome



Figure 6 EGFP expression in subpopulations of primary sensory neurons following VSV-G pseudotyped EF1α-EGFP vector injection into DRG. 4 weeks after VSV-G LV2-EF1α-EGFP vector injection ipsilateral DRG sections were immunostained with EGFP antibody (green, **A**, **D**, **G**, **J**, **M**, **P**) to detect EGFP expressing cells. Neurons were identified by staining for β3-tubulin (β3-Tub, red, **B**). Neurofilament 200 (NF200, red, **E**) labeled myelinated neurons, isolectin IB4 (IB4, red, **H**) labeled non-peptidergic small neurons, α-calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP, red, **K**) labeled peptidergic small neurons, and transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1, red, **N**) labeled nociceptive neurons. Satellite glia were labeled with glutamine synthetase (GS, red, **Q**). Arrowheads are examples of EGFP-immunoreactive cells double labeled for a given neuronal marker, evident in the overlays (yellow, **C**, **F**, **I**, **L**, **O**). In **P**, **Q**, and **R**, the arrowheads denote EGFP-immunoreactive neurons negative for GS, while the arrows indicates rings of satellite glial cells. Scale bar: 100 µm.



Figure 7 Direct DRG injection (but not intramuscular injection) of RABPV pseudotyped EF1 α lentivector induces EGFP expression in the ipsilateral DRG. A, B. Representative images of EGFP expression in neurons and fibers (A, arrows point to neurons and filled arrowheads to fibers) revealed by EGFP IHC and EGFP-expressing neurons and fibers co-labeled with β 3-tubulin (B, arrows point to neurons and filled arrowheads to fibers) 4 weeks after direct DRG injection of the RABPV coated LV2-EF1 α -EGFP. **C**, **D**. Arrows point to EGFP expression in spinal nerve distal to the injection (**C**) and in nerve roots proximal to the injection (**D**). High magnification shows predominant expression of EGFP in neurons, and no colocalization to glutamine synthetase, a marker for satellite glial cells (**E** and **F**, arrows point to transduced neurons, filled arrowheads to EGFP positive fibers, and empty arrows to glial cells). **G**, **H**. No EGFP expression was detected in the ipsilateral DRG 4 weeks after gastrocnemius muscle injection of RABPV pseudotyped LV2-EF1 α -EGFP, shown in the representative image of EGFP IHC (**G**) and corresponding phase-contrast image (**H**). Scale bars = 200 µm for A, B, = 100 µm for C, D, G, H, and = 50 µm for E and F.

Yu *et al. Molecular Pain* 2011, **7**:63 http://www.molecularpain.com/content/7/1/63





following cell entry provides the potential for persistent, long-term expression following a single administration [8,45]. Moreover, lentivectors have shown an enhanced propensity to transduce terminally differentiated tissues from neuronal origin [46,47] as demonstrated in the initial discovery by Naldini *et al.* [8], with negligible inflammation [8,20]. The packaging capacity of the lentivector system is relative large (~12-15 kb) [48] and would be suitable for cloning a large majority, if not all, of the currently transferred genes currently undergoing testing in pre-clinical and clinical trials.

In our hands, we successfully transduced DRG neurons using replication-defective lentivectors at ~20% efficiency, which is in contrast with a previous study by Mason et al. [35], who estimated much lower levels of transduction (1-2%). The reasons for the higher transduction efficiencies in our study are not clear. Although there are several similarities between the methodologies, such as the same packaging plasmids (pCMV Δ R8.74), envelope coat protein, and injection methods in the DRG, a major difference was the time point used to analyze the tissue following lentivector administration. Our study analyzed the DRG tissues at 4 weeks, whereas Mason *et al.* [35] examined their tissues at 2 weeks following lentivector injection. In earlier unpublished experiments in our lab, we observed little to no EGFP expression in the DRG 1-2 weeks after injection, which is consistent with the Mason et al. study [35]. It is not clear why EGFP expression following lentivector transduction in the DRG is slow in onset, in contrast to many observations in other mammalian organ systems that demonstrate rapid expression from integrated lentivectors [45,49]. A second explanation for differences between our findings and those by Mason *et al.* [35] could be the difference in the internal promoter used within the lentivector system. We used an EF1 α promoter whereas Mason *et al.* used a CMV promoter, which may drive transgene expression in DRG neurons with different strength and temporal activity. Finally, semi-purification of lentiviral particles via OptiPrep ultracentrifugation in our study may also impact the transduction efficiency for *in vivo* applications by removing factors that negatively affect the functionality of the vector [50].

To assess whether a loss of viral accessory genes would be one of the rate-limiting factors delaying the transgene expression by the integrated lentivectors or negatively affect the transduction efficiency to the DRG, we investigated whether including the full complement of viral accessory genes, specifically vif, vpr, vpu, nef, tat and rev, would have a beneficial role. However, no positive effect on the count of EGFP(+) DRG neurons was calculated following the 4 week injection period by the early-generation lentivectors. The lack of a requirement for viral accessory proteins is consistent with previous in vivo studies demonstrating equally efficient transduction using first- or second-generation lentivectors in mouse hepatocytes [17] or rat brain [8]. However, our preliminary behavioral findings suggest that hyperalgesia may be diminished after injection of first-generation vector. This suggests that including the accessory genes may have immune suppressing effects in addition to their role in viral production [17], although the possible added safety of the second generation vector may make this a more desirable choice for translational development.

Although the effectiveness of the lentivector system in our study has equal, or even greater, efficiency than previously described episomal viral systems, it is naïve to believe that the lentivector system does not have its own inherent challenges. First, it is not clear whether insertional mutagenesis will arise following integration, as has been observed in previous clinical trials using simple retroviral vectors based on murine leukemia virus (MLV) [51-53]. However, numerous studies have been published demonstrating that the integration pattern of lentivectors differs from that of the MLV-based vectors [54], so this may not end up becoming a major issue. Other vector systems considered to be largely episomal, including AAV, are also susceptible to the risk of insertional mutagenesis. Specifically, Nakai et al. [55] showed that this vector system is also promiscuous in its integration pattern, which may lead to oncogenesis [56]. Second, the role of the host immune system in diminishing lentiviral transduction and/or transgene expression needs further investigation [37]. Finally, since in vivo gene transfer into the DRG using lentivectors by our approach only allows for injection of very small

volumes, highly concentrated (i.e. several log number higher titer than used in this study) and purified preparations may required for improved gene transfer [57].

In the clinical setting, many clinical neuropathic pain conditions have a segmental presentation, such as nerve trauma, herpes zoster, and tumor involvement, making anatomically focused treatment an appealing option. Standard techniques for delivering agents into the immediate vicinity of the DRG are well established [58], and injection within the DRG is well tolerated [18]. Numerous applications may be envisioned for gene transfer into the DRG. In the area of experimental neuropathic pain research, a myriad of pathogenic triggers and downstream effectors have been proposed [31], often based on imperfect pharmacology in ex vivo models. Modulating genetic events at the level of the DRG in behaving animals would provide a new level of anatomic and molecular specificity that may clarify which cellular events are causative in neuropathic pain. In clinical applications, expression in the DRG of secretable analgesic peptides such as neurotrophic factors (e.g. GDNF, VEGF), anti-inflammatory peptides (e.g. IL-4, IL-10, IL-14, fractalkine), inhibitory neurotransmitters (e.g. enkephalin, endomorphin-2), or soluble TNF- α receptor may be most effective, since transduction of all neurons is not a prerequisite for effective treatment. Specifically, the approximately 20% transduction efficiency we demonstrate in our experimental model may be sufficient for providing adequate peptide levels to modulate the performance of all neurons within a ganglion. Alternative approaches may include modulation of intracellular or neuronal membrane peptides through RNAi knockdown of nociceptive-specific targets (e.g. CGRP, NaV1.7, NaV1.8, NF- κ B) or overexpression of a naturally analgesic peptide (µ opioid receptor), although the efficacy of this approach would be tightly tied to transduction efficiency.

In conclusion, our study clearly demonstrates that the VSV-G pseudotyped lentivector system can be effectively utilized for genetic modification of sensory neurons *in vivo*. It remains to be explained why the lentivector system expresses the transgene in a delayed manner in comparison to previously published observations in other organs. Nonetheless, our findings suggest that lentivectors delivered to the DRG could be readily employed not only in the study of basic pain mechanisms, but potentially in the treatment of clinical neuropathogenic pain.

Methods

Animals

approved by the Zablocki VA Medical Center Animal Studies Subcommittee and Medical College of Wisconsin IACUC. All rats were allowed *ad libitum* access to food and water prior to and throughout the experimental protocol.

Lentivector production and purification Lentivector transfer plasmids

The lentiviral transfer vector plasmids used in this study expressed the enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) and was modified to include cis-acting DNA elements that would promote increased transduction efficiency and transgene expression. Each of the transfer plasmids contained central polypurine tract sequences 5' to the promoter and woodchuck post-regulatory elements 3' to the transgene. In addition, the transfer plasmids had 3' LTR deletion to minimize promoter activity and lead to self-inactivation following integration. These changes to the transfer plasmids are described in the references for each construct: 1) pEF1a-EGFP (Addgene plasmid 12255) has the elongation factor 1a promoter; 2) p156CAG-EGFP (provided by Dr. Inder Verma, Salk Institute) was described previously [59] and contains the composite hybrid chicken β -actin promoter fused with CMV enhancer elements; 3) pHR(+).c.UbC.EGFP.R(-)W (+) contains the human Ubiquitin C promoter [60]; and 4) pHR(+).c.mPGK.EGFP.R(-)W(+) contains the mouse phosphoglycerate kinase 1 (PGK) promoter and was cloned using standard cloning techniques.

Packaging and envelope plasmids

The packaging plasmids used in this study were either first-generation (pCMV Δ R8.2) [8] or second-generation (pCMV Δ R8.74) [61]. The envelope plasmids are as follows: 1) pVSV-G contains the vesicular stomatitis virus G protein; 2) pLCMV-GP contains the lymphochoriomeningitis virus envelope [62]; 3) pCEF-rabies PV contains the glycoprotein from rabies virus PV strain (RABPV) [63]; and 4) pCEF-rabies SAD (B19) contains the rabies SAD glycoprotein (RABSAD) [64].

Lentivector production, purification and titering

Briefly, human embryonic kidney 293T cells (ATCC) were triple-plasmid transfected into 100 mm dishes using the calcium phosphate method previously described by us [17,60,65] using chloroquine (25 μ M). The three plasmids transfection protocol consisted of a combination of a transfer plasmid (10 μ g), packaging plasmid (6.5 μ g) and envelope plasmid (3.5 μ g) per dish. The media was replaced 12-16 hours after the initial transfection, and then 24-48 hours later, the supernatants were collected, pooled when applicable, and cleared by slow speed centrifugation at 1,500 rpm for 5 minutes. Subsequently, the conditioned media was filtered through a 0.45- μ m pore, and either frozen in aliquots at -80°C or if concentration was necessary, the

Sprague Dawley rats (5-6 weeks old; 125-150 g body weight) were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA). All animal procedures were

filtered conditioned media was centrifuged at 23,000 rpm for 2 h in a swinging bucket rotor (SW28 Beckman, Fullerton, CA). The final pellet was resuspended in Ca² ⁺/Mg²⁺ free phosphate buffered saline (PBS). For the *in* vitro primary DRG dissociated cell cultures and in vivo injections, the lentiviral particles were further purified by a modified OptiPrep (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) density gradient ultracentrifugation [50]. OptiPrep was used due to its demonstrated safety in human clinical trials [66]. Briefly, the concentrated lentivector preparations in 5 ml PBS were mixed with equal volume of 60% OptiPrep to yield a 30% OptiPrep solution, and then 2 ml of 5% OptiPrep (in PBS) was laid on top. The gradient was spun at 37,000 rpm in a Beckman ultracentrifuge using a SW 41Ti rotor for 2 h at 4°C. Lentiviral particles formed a buoyant dense band at 5%/30% interface and were collected. The collected fraction was applied to a Centricon (100-cutoff) filter, centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000 × g until ~100 μ l remained. The final product was stored in aliquots at -80°C or used immediately for injections. Functional titers were determined by limiting dilution on HeLa cell $(2 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/well})$ in the presence of polybrene (8 µg/ml). The transduced HeLa cells were compared to a reference naïve HeLa cell line and analyzed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis. The titers were calculated as transduction units (TU) per ml. Each of the lentivector batches utilized in our experiments had comparable titers ranging from 7.6 \times 10⁵ to 1.6 x10⁶ TU/ml (conditioned media) for in vitro experiments using 50B11 cells and from 3.43×10^8 to 2.65×10^9 TU/ml (purified concentrated vectors) for in vitro primary DRG dissociated cell cultures and in vivo injections. The lentivector suspensions were briefly centrifuged and kept on ice immediately before exposing to cells and injection to the rats.

Transduction of cultured DRG neurons Immortalized dorsal root ganglion sensory neuronal cell (50B11) cultures

50B11 cells are immortalized DRG neuronal lines from embryonic day 14.5 rats, and were kindly provided by Dr. Ahmet Höke (Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University). The 50B11 cells have been reported to phenotypically exhibit characteristics similar to that of nociceptive neurons [21,22]. 50B11 cells were grown to 70% confluence and maintained in DMEM/F12 medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/ml penicillin-100 μ g/ml streptomycin, 0.2% glucose, 0.5 mM L-Glutamine, 1X B-27 supplement (Invitrogen). Neuronal differentiation of 50B11 cells were induced by addition of 50 μ M of forskolin (Sigma-Aldrich) in the culture medium [21]. Cell monolayers were fixed in 10 min with 4% paraformaldehyde, permeablized for 1 h with 0.5% Triton X-100, and then stained for DRG neuronal markers by a standard immunofluorescence protocol as described below using antibodies against CGRP, TRPV1, and β 3-tubulin, or isolectin IB4 binding. For lentiviral transduction, cells were plated at a density of 1×10^5 cells per well onto 24-well plates for 24 h. Cultures were serially transduced over a two day period (one transduction per day) with conditioned media containing the various modified lentivector systems at a MOI of 1 at each infection in the presence of polybrene (8 µg/ml of culture medium). After four days *in vitro*, cells were collected, and the transduction efficiency by the lentivectors in the 50B11 cells was analyzed by FACS.

Dissociated DRG cell culture and lentivirus transduction

Cultures of rat DRG neurons were prepared as described previously [67] with some minor modifications. In brief, DRGs from the lumbar segments of male Sprague Dawley rats were obtained under sterile conditions. DRGs were cut into approximately 2 mm sections, and digested in a collagenase mixture (0.5 mg/ml; Liberase Blendzyme 2; Roche, Indianapolis, IN) dissolved in serum-free DMEM at 37°C for 30 min. Digested ganglia were collected by centrifugation at $1,000 \times g$ for 5 min and dissociated in 0.25% trypsin mixed with serum-free DMEM for 30 minutes under the same conditions described earlier. At the end of the 30 minutes, the trypsin was inactivated by adding soybean trypsin inhibitor (5 mg/ml). The dissociated-cell suspension was centrifuged at 1,000 \times g for 5 min, and the resulting pellet was resuspended in pre-warmed serum-free growth medium (Neurobasal A; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with B27, 100 U/ml penicillin-100 µg/ml streptomycin, 5 mM glutamine and 30 ng/ml NGF- β). A single cell suspension was achieved by gentle titration and plating onto poly-L-lysine coated glass coverslips. Cultures were transduced with OptiPrep-purified and various modified lentivectors in the presence of polybrene (4 µg/ml of culture medium) 10 h after cultures were established. Eighteen hours after the onset of transduction, the viruses were removed and were replaced with supplemented neurobasal medium. After five days in vitro, direct EGFP fluorescence was examined and photographed under an inverted Nikon TE2000-S epifluorescence microscope attached to an Imaging camera MicroFire Picture Frame imaging software (Optronics Microfire, Santa Barbara, CA). Images were analyzed and processed in Adobe Photoshop CS3.

To accurately determine the transduction efficiency of various lentivectors on neurons or glial cells in dissociated adult rat DRG cultures, four independent vectortreated cultures were stained using either neuron-specific β 3-tubulin monoclonal antibody or rabbit anti-glutamine synthetase (GS) antibody, a ubiquitous satellite glial cell marker. In order to derive the percentage of transduced neurons, the total number of EGFP-positive neuronal cells visible in 5 randomly fields (10×) per culture well was counted, cells showing colocalization with β3-tubulin were determined using color overlay on individual images, and the mean percentages of EGFP/ β 3tubulin positive neuronal cells were calculated. For counting glial cells following GS immunostaining, the fluorescent dye Hoechst 33342 (0.25 µg/ml, Invitrogen) was used for nuclear staining to correctly distinguish the glial cells based on their smaller nuclear bodies and high-density staining by Hoechst from the other cells (neurons and fibroblasts with larger but lightly stained nuclei) in the culture wells, EGFP positive glial cells colocalized with GS in the merged pictures were counted in 5 randomly fields (20×) per culture well, and results represented by average percentage of colocalization with GS.

Injection of lentivectors in vivo

The DRG microinjection of lentivectors was performed as previously described [19]. In brief, male Sprague Dawley rats were anesthetized under isoflurane, and subsequently a lower lumbar incision was made a few millimeters to the right of the midline. The paraspinal muscles were separated to expose the lateral aspect of the L4 and L5 vertebrae and their transverse processes, exposing the L4-L5 intervertebral foramen for injections of the L5 DRGs. After exposure, the intervertebral foramen was enlarged by removal of laminar bone, exposing the distal half of the ganglion. A micropipette was advanced approximately 100 µm into the ganglion and held in position for 3-5 min. Injections contained either lentivectors $(2 \mu l)$ or saline $(2 \mu l)$, in both cases containing polybrene (100 ng), which has been used as a standard reagent to improve in vivo transduction with lentivectors [68-70]. Injection was performed over a 5 min period using a Nanoliter 2000 microprocessor-controlled injector (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL). The pipette remained in place for 5 min to allow the pressure within the ganglion to equalize, and then the pipette was slowly removed. Muscles and fascia were closed using 4-0 chromic gut suture and the skin was closed with staples. Unilaterally left gastrocnemius muscle injection was performed in rats using a microsyringe fitted with a 30-gauge needle (Hamilton) [20], inserted through the skin. Injected solution consisted of 20 µl of RABPV pseudotyped EF1a-EGFP lentivector containing a total of 2.08×10^7 viral particles (TU) over 90s.

Characterization of EGFP expression Immunohistochemistry (IHC) and Imaging

Four weeks after injection, animals were terminally anesthetized and transcardially perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS. DRGs and spinal cord segments, as well as gastrocnemius muscle (RABPV EF1 α -EGFP injection) were dissected, post-fixed in 4% PFA, and processed for paraffin embedding and sectioning. Detection of EGFP in tissue sections was performed by EGFP immunohistology in order to achieve an improved signal-to-noise resolution compared to direct detection of EGFP fluorescence. The EGFP signal on DRG sections was detected using a primary mouse anti-EGFP antibody (1:400, Santa Cruz Biotechnology (SCB), Santa Cruz, CA), and subsequent incubation with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated donkey anti-mouse second antibody (1:2,000, Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA). IHC enhanced EGFP signals were co-labeled using the following antibodies: mouse anti- β 3-tubulin (1:400, SCB), mouse anti- α -calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP, 1:100, SCB), rabbit anti-vanilloid receptor subtype 1 (TRPV1, 1:200, Thermo-Fisher scientific, Pittsburgh, PA), mouse anti-neurofilament 200 (NF200, 1:1,000, Sigma-Aldrich), and rabbit anti-glutamine synthetase (GS, 1:600, SCB). For co-localization, primary antibodies were revealed by the appropriate 549-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:2,000, Jackson ImmunoResearch). Non-peptidergic neurons were labeled using biotinylated griffonia simplicifolia Isolectin B4 (IB4) (10 µg/ml, Invitrogen) coupled to Alexa Fluor 549-conjugated Streptavidin (1:6,000; Jackson ImmunoResearch). Standard fluorescent immunohistochemistry techniques were used for all protocols as described previously [71,72], with BSA replacement of first antibody as the negative control. Briefly, paraffin sections (5 µm thick) were deparaffinized in xylene, rehydrated through graded alcohol, and processed for antigen retrieval by heating the sections at 95°C in 10 mM sodium citrate (pH 6.0) for an initial 5 min and for two successive 5 min periods. Endogenous autofluorescence was suppressed by dipping of slides in 2% picric acid for 10 min. After washing, sections were blocked with 3% BSA for 1 h at room temperature, and then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibody or biotinylated IB4 diluted in 3% BSA. Sections were then washed in PBS, incubated for 1 h at room temperature (RT) with corresponding 549-conjugated secondary antibody, or 549-conjugated streptavidin, diluted in 1% BSA, and then dehydrated through an ethanol series to xylene and mounted. The sections were examined and images captured under a Nikon TE2000-S fluorescence microscope with filters suitable for selectively detecting the fluorescence of 488 (green) and 549 (red) or were examined under a light microscope. For colocalization, images from the same section but showing different antigen signals were overlaid.

Immunoblotting of EGFP protein in transduced DRG samples

DRG tissue was harvested following transduction with VSV-G lentivectors carrying the EF1 α -EGFP cassette

after 4-week following injection. Protein lysates were extracted using 1X RIPA buffer (150 mm NaCl, 2 mm EDTA, 1% Nonidet P-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 mm NaF, 10 µg/ml aprotinin, 10 µg/ml leupeptin, 1 mm phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 20 mm Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.4). As a positive control for EGFP expression, HeLa cells transduced with the same lentivector was extracted at the same time. Protein concentration determined by using the BCA kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL). DRG and HeLa protein lysates (20 µg) were size separated using a 4-12% gradient SDS-PAGE gel, transferred to 0.22 µm nitrocellulose membrane, and blocked in 5% skim milk. The blots were subsequently incubated overnight at 4°C with a polyclonal rabbit anti-GFP antibody (1:1,000; Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA) or mouse monoclonal anti- α -tubulin antibody (1:1,000; SCB). Immunoreactive proteins were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (Pierce, Rockford, IL) after incubation with either HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (1:2000, SCB) or anti-mouse IgG (1:5,000; SCB).

Histological quantification

L5 DRGs from three to four animals were analyzed for quantification. To evaluate transduction rates, every fifth DRG section was selected from the consecutive serial sections (7-10 sections for each DRG), and in each selected section, the number of EGFP fluorescent cells was counted and transduction efficiency was expressed as the percentage of EGFP immunopositive cells in the total neuronal profiles revealed by β 3-tubulin [33,73]. Every section was photographed at fixed exposure settings of 10× magnification in which most of neurons (100~300 neuron profiles) in each section were covered, by use of a Nikon TE2000-S epifluorescence microscope. When counting, image contrast was adjusted (Adobe Photoshop CS3) such that background levels became inapparent, and the same cutoff level was used for all images [74,75]. Rates for EGFP expression in neuronal subpopulations were determined in a similar fashion using specific antibodies in at least 3 sections. All counting was done using a masked protocol and the average from two observers was used for calculation. Diameters were derived from the neuronal area $(= 2\sqrt{(area/\pi)})$ measured only in profiles for which a nucleus was evident.

Behavioral analysis

Noxious punctate mechanical stimulation was performed using the point of a 22 g spinal anesthesia needle, which was applied to the center of the paw with enough force to indent the skin but not puncture it. This was applied for 5 applications separated by at least 10s, which was repeated after 2 min, making a total of 10 touches [27]. For each application, the induced behavior was either a very brisk simple withdrawal with immediate return of the foot to the cage floor, or a sustained elevation with grooming that included licking and chewing, and possibly shaking, which lasted at least 1s, characteristic of hyperalgesic behavior [27]. The degree of hyperalgesia was recorded as the percentage of total touches that were of this second complex and sustained type, which is also associated with aversion in a conditioned place avoidance paradigm [28].

Data analysis

Data are expressed as means \pm SD. The statistical significance of differences for the transduction efficiencies on 50B11 cells and primary DRG cell cultures by different modifications was assessed by ANOVA, and significance of *in vivo* transduction rates between LV1-EF1 α -EGFP and LV-EF1 α -EGFP were analyzed by the unpaired Student's t-test, using Statistica (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK). Behavioral changes over time in each group were analyzed by repeated measures ANOVA.

Additional material

Additional file 1: Characterization and lentivector transduction of immortalized DRG neuronal cells (50B11 cells). Phase images of undifferentiated (**A**) and differentiated (**B**) 50B11 cells show neuronal-like morphology with extension of axons after differentiation with forskolin. Immunofluorescence images exhibit 50B11 cells stained with IB4 (**C**, red) and TRPV1 (**D**, red) in undifferentiation, and 3-tubulin (**E**, green) and CGRP (**F**, green) after differentiation. EGFP expression images of 50B11 cells 72 h after lentivector transduction (MOI = 2) show the relative transduction activity of VSV-G pseudotyped lentivectors incorporating various cellular promoters including EF1 (**G**), UbC (**H**), PGK (**I**), and CAG (**J**), or lentivectors containing same EF1 promoter but pseudotyped with different envelope glycoproteins including VSV-G (**K**), RABSAD (**L**), RABPV (**M**), and LCMV (**N**). Scale bars = 100 µm.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Luigi Naldini (University of Torino, Italy) for the lentivector packaging plasmids, Dr. D. von Laer (Goethe-University, Frankfurt, Germany) for providing the pLCMV-GP plasmid, and Dr. Ahmet Höke (Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University) for providing the 50B11 cells. This study was funded in part by the VA Rehabilitation Research and Development grant 3690-03.

Author details

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Medical College of Wisconsin, 8100 Watertown Plank Rd, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53226, USA. ²Department of Medicine, Medical College of Wisconsin, 8100 Watertown Plank Rd, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53226, USA. ³U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, Division of Cellular and Gene Therapies, 1401 Rockville Pike Suite 200N/HFM-47 Rockville, Maryland, 20852-1448, USA. ⁴Department of Physiology, Medical College of Wisconsin, 8100 Watertown Plank Rd, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53226, USA. ⁵Milwaukee VA Medical Center, 5000 West National Ave, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53226, USA.

Authors' contributions

QH, FP and HY conceived of the study and designed the experiments. HY, GF, GJ, FP, and QH carried out the experiments. JR provided plasmids to generate the alternative pseudotyped lentivectors and provided expertise in their production. GF and QH performed statistical analyses. FP, QH, and HY

prepared the manuscript. QH and FP obtained grant support for this study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 18 October 2010 Accepted: 23 August 2011 Published: 23 August 2011

References

- Backonja M, Woolf CJ: Future directions in neuropathic pain therapy: closing the translational loop. Oncologist 2010, 15(Suppl 2):24-29.
- Devor M, Seltzer Z: Pathology of damaged nerves in relation to chronic pain. In *Textbook of Pain.*. 4 edition. Edited by: Wall PD, Melzack R. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 1999:129-164.
- Hermens WT, Verhaagen J: Viral vectors, tools for gene transfer in the nervous system. Prog Neurobiol 1998, 55(4):399-432.
- 4. Beutler AS, Reinhardt M: AAV for pain: steps towards clinical translation. *Gene therapy* 2009, 16(4):461-469.
- Glorioso JC, Fink DJ: Herpes vector-mediated gene transfer in the treatment of chronic pain. Mol Ther 2009, 17(1):13-18.
- Storek B, Reinhardt M, Wang C, Janssen WG, Harder NM, Banck MS, Morrison JH, Beutler AS: Sensory neuron targeting by self-complementary AAV8 via lumbar puncture for chronic pain. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2008, 105(3):1055-1060.
- Huang X, Yang Y: Innate Immune Recognition of Viruses and Viral Vectors. Human gene therapy 2009.
- Naldini L, Blomer U, Gallay P, Ory D, Mulligan R, Gage FH, Verma IM, Trono D: In vivo gene delivery and stable transduction of nondividing cells by a lentiviral vector. *Science* 1996, 272(5259):263-267.
- 9. Georgievska B, Kirik D, Bjorklund A: **Overexpression of glial cell line**derived neurotrophic factor using a lentiviral vector induces time- and dose-dependent downregulation of tyrosine hydroxylase in the intact nigrostriatal dopamine system. *J Neurosci* 2004, **24(29)**:6437-6445.
- Pezet S, Krzyzanowska A, Wong LF, Grist J, Mazarakis ND, Georgievska B, McMahon SB: Reversal of neurochemical changes and pain-related behavior in a model of neuropathic pain using modified lentiviral vectors expressing GDNF. *Mol Ther* 2006, 13(6):1101-1109.
- Dittgen T, Nimmerjahn A, Komai S, Licznerski P, Waters J, Margrie TW, Helmchen F, Denk W, Brecht M, Osten P: Lentivirus-based genetic manipulations of cortical neurons and their optical and electrophysiological monitoring in vivo. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2004, 101(52):18206-18211.
- Ehrengruber MU, Hennou S, Bueler H, Naim HY, Deglon N, Lundstrom K: Gene transfer into neurons from hippocampal slices: comparison of recombinant Semliki Forest Virus, adenovirus, adeno-associated virus, lentivirus, and measles virus. *Molecular and cellular neurosciences* 2001, 17(5):855-871.
- Torashima T, Okoyama S, Nishizaki T, Hirai H: In vivo transduction of murine cerebellar Purkinje cells by HIV-derived lentiviral vectors. *Brain* research 2006, 1082(1):11-22.
- Eggers R, Hendriks WT, Tannemaat MR, van Heerikhuize JJ, Pool CW, Carlstedt TP, Zaldumbide A, Hoeben RC, Boer GJ, Verhaagen J: Neuroregenerative effects of lentiviral vector-mediated GDNF expression in reimplanted ventral roots. *Molecular and cellular neurosciences* 2008, 39(1):105-117.
- Fleming J, Ginn SL, Weinberger RP, Trahair TN, Smythe JA, Alexander IE: Adeno-associated virus and lentivirus vectors mediate efficient and sustained transduction of cultured mouse and human dorsal root ganglia sensory neurons. *Human gene therapy* 2001, 12(1):77-86.
- Mikami M, Yang J: Short hairpin RNA-mediated selective knockdown of NaV1.8 tetrodotoxin-resistant voltage-gated sodium channel in dorsal root ganglion neurons. *Anesthesiology* 2005, 103(4):828-836.
- Park F, Ohashi K, Chiu W, Naldini L, Kay MA: Efficient lentiviral transduction of liver requires cell cycling in vivo. *Nat Genet* 2000, 24(1):49-52.
- Pfirmann CW, Oberholzer PA, Zanetti M, Boos N, Trudell DJ, Resnick D, Hodler J: Selective nerve root blocks for the treatment of sciatica: evaluation of injection site and effectiveness–a study with patients and cadavers. *Radiology* 2001, 221(3):704-711.

- 19. Fischer G, Kostic S, Nakai H, Park F, Sapunar D, Yu H, Hogan Q: Direct injection into the dorsal root ganglion: Technical, behavioral, and histological observations. *Journal of neuroscience methods*.
- Mazarakis ND, Azzouz M, Rohll JB, Ellard FM, Wilkes FJ, Olsen AL, Carter EE, Barber RD, Baban DF, Kingsman SM, et al: Rabies virus glycoprotein pseudotyping of lentiviral vectors enables retrograde axonal transport and access to the nervous system after peripheral delivery. *Hum Mol Genet* 2001, 10(19):2109-2121.
- Chen W, Mi R, Haughey N, Oz M, Hoke A: Immortalization and characterization of a nociceptive dorsal root ganglion sensory neuronal line. J Peripher Nerv Syst 2007, 12(2):121-130.
- 22. Vetter I, Lewis RJ: Characterization of endogenous calcium responses in neuronal cell lines. *Biochem Pharmacol* **79(6)**:908-920.
- Kostic C, Chiodini F, Salmon P, Wiznerowicz M, Deglon N, Hornfeld D, Trono D, Aebischer P, Schorderet DF, Munier FL, et al: Activity analysis of housekeeping promoters using self-inactivating lentiviral vector delivery into the mouse retina. *Gene therapy* 2003, 10(9):818-821.
- Li M, Husic N, Lin Y, Christensen H, Malik I, McIver S, Daniels CM, Harris DA, Kotzbauer PT, Goldberg MP, et al: Optimal promoter usage for lentiviral vector-mediated transduction of cultured central nervous system cells. Journal of neuroscience methods 2010, 189(1):56-64.
- 25. Papadakis ED, Nicklin SA, Baker AH, White SJ: **Promoters and control** elements: designing expression cassettes for gene therapy. *Current gene therapy* 2004, **4(1)**:89-113.
- Haastert K, Mauritz C, Chaturvedi S, Grothe C: Human and rat adult Schwann cell cultures: fast and efficient enrichment and highly effective non-viral transfection protocol. *Nat Protoc* 2007, 2(1):99-104.
- Hogan Q, Sapunar D, Modric-Jednacak K, McCallum JB: Detection of neuropathic pain in a rat model of peripheral nerve injury. *Anesthesiology* 2004, 101(2):476-487.
- 28. Wu HE, Gemes G, Zoga V, Kawano T, Hogan QH: Learned avoidance from noxious mechanical simulation but not threshold semmes weinstein filament stimulation after nerve injury in rats. *J Pain* 2010, **11(3)**:280-286.
- Finnerup NB, Otto M, McQuay HJ, Jensen TS, Sindrup SH: Algorithm for neuropathic pain treatment: an evidence based proposal. *Pain* 2005, 118(3):289-305.
- Jimenez-Andrade JM, Herrera MB, Ghilardi JR, Vardanyan M, Melemedjian OK, Mantyh PW: Vascularization of the dorsal root ganglia and peripheral nerve of the mouse: implications for chemical-induced peripheral sensory neuropathies. *Mol Pain* 2008, 4:10.
- 31. Costigan M, Scholz J, Woolf CJ: Neuropathic pain: a maladaptive response of the nervous system to damage. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2009, **32**:1-32.
- 32. Iwamoto N, Watanabe A, Yamamoto M, Miyake N, Kurai T, Teramoto A, Shimada T: Global diffuse distribution in the brain and efficient gene delivery to the dorsal root ganglia by intrathecal injection of adenoassociated viral vector serotype 1. J Gene Med 2009, 11(6):498-505.
- Towne C, Pertin M, Beggah AT, Aebischer P, Decosterd I: Recombinant adeno-associated virus serotype 6 (rAAV2/6)-mediated gene transfer to nociceptive neurons through different routes of delivery. *Mol Pain* 2009, 5:52.
- Xu Y, Gu Y, Wu P, Li GW, Huang LY: Efficiencies of transgene expression in nociceptive neurons through different routes of delivery of adenoassociated viral vectors. *Human gene therapy* 2003, 14(9):897-906.
- Mason MR, Ehlert EM, Eggers R, Pool CW, Hermening S, Huseinovic A, Timmermans E, Blits B, Verhaagen J: Comparison of AAV serotypes for gene delivery to dorsal root ganglion neurons. *Molecular therapy: the journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy* 2010, 18(4):715-724.
- Glatzel M, Flechsig E, Navarro B, Klein MA, Paterna JC, Bueler H, Aguzzi A: Adenoviral and adeno-associated viral transfer of genes to the peripheral nervous system. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2000, 97(1):442-447.
- 37. Nayak S, Herzog RW: Progress and prospects: immune responses to viral vectors. *Gene Ther* 2010, **17(3)**:295-304.
- Ehrhardt A, Kay MA: A new adenoviral helper-dependent vector results in long-term therapeutic levels of human coagulation factor IX at low doses in vivo. *Blood* 2002, 99(11):3923-3930.
- Ehrhardt A, Xu H, Dillow AM, Bellinger DA, Nichols TC, Kay MA: A genedeleted adenoviral vector results in phenotypic correction of canine hemophilia B without liver toxicity or thrombocytopenia. *Blood* 2003, 102(7):2403-2411.

- Kochanek S, Schiedner G, Volpers C: High-capacity 'gutless' adenoviral vectors. Curr Opin Mol Ther 2001, 3(5):454-463.
- Terashima T, Oka K, Kritz AB, Kojima H, Baker AH, Chan L: DRG-targeted helper-dependent adenoviruses mediate selective gene delivery for therapeutic rescue of sensory neuronopathies in mice. *The Journal of clinical investigation* 2009, 119(7):2100-2112.
- 42. Glorioso JC, Fink DJ: Gene therapy for pain: introduction to the special issue. Gene Ther 2009, 16(4):453-454.
- Monville C, Torres E, Thomas E, Scarpini CG, Muhith J, Lewis J, Finn J, Smith C, Cai S, Efstathiou S, et al: HSV vector-delivery of GDNF in a rat model of PD: partial efficacy obscured by vector toxicity. Brain research 2004, 1024(12):1-15.
- Mason MR, Ehlert EM, Eggers R, Pool CW, Hermening S, Huseinovic A, Timmermans E, Blits B, Verhaagen J: Comparison of AAV Serotypes for Gene Delivery to Dorsal Root Ganglion Neurons. *Mol Ther* 2010.
- Park F, Ohashi K, Kay MA: Therapeutic levels of human factor VIII and IX using HIV-1-based lentiviral vectors in mouse liver. *Blood* 2000, 96(3):1173-1176.
- 46. Escors D, Breckpot K: Lentiviral Vectors in Gene Therapy: Their Current Status and Future Potential. Arch Immunol Ther Exp (Warsz) 2010.
- 47. Meunier A, Pohl M: Lentiviral vectors for gene transfer into the spinal cord glial cells. *Gene therapy* 2009, **16(4)**:476-482.
- Kumar M, Keller B, Makalou N, Sutton RE: Systematic determination of the packaging limit of lentiviral vectors. *Hum Gene Ther* 2001, 12(15):1893-1905
- Oh T, Peister A, Ohashi K, Park F: Transplantation of murine bone marrow stromal cells under the kidney capsule to secrete coagulation factor VIII. *Cell Transplant* 2006, 15(7):637-645.
- Dettenhofer M, Yu XF: Highly purified human immunodeficiency virus type 1 reveals a virtual absence of Vif in virions. *J Virol* 1999, 73(2):1460-1467.
- Hacein-Bey-Abina S, Garrigue A, Wang GP, Soulier J, Lim A, Morillon E, Clappier E, Caccavelli L, Delabesse E, Beldjord K, *et al*: Insertional oncogenesis in 4 patients after retrovirus-mediated gene therapy of SCID-X1. J Clin Invest 2008, 118(9):3132-3142.
- Hacein-Bey-Abina S, von Kalle C, Schmidt M, Le Deist F, Wulffraat N, McIntyre E, Radford I, Villeval JL, Fraser CC, Cavazzana-Calvo M, et al: A serious adverse event after successful gene therapy for X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency. N Engl J Med 2003, 348(3):255-256.
- Hacein-Bey-Abina S, Von Kalle C, Schmidt M, McCormack MP, Wulffraat N, Leboulch P, Lim A, Osborne CS, Pawliuk R, Morillon E, *et al*: LMO2associated clonal T cell proliferation in two patients after gene therapy for SCID-X1. *Science* 2003, 302(5644):415-419.
- Beard BC, Dickerson D, Beebe K, Gooch C, Fletcher J, Okbinoglu T, Miller DG, Jacobs MA, Kaul R, Kiem HP, *et al*: Comparison of HIV-derived lentiviral and MLV-based gammaretroviral vector integration sites in primate repopulating cells. *Mol Ther* 2007, 15(7):1356-1365.
- Nakai H, Montini E, Fuess S, Storm TA, Grompe M, Kay MA: AAV serotype 2 vectors preferentially integrate into active genes in mice. *Nat Genet* 2003, 34(3):297-302.
- Donsante A, Miller DG, Li Y, Vogler C, Brunt EM, Russell DW, Sands MS: AAV vector integration sites in mouse hepatocellular carcinoma. *Science* 2007, 317(5837):477.
- Scherr M, Battmer K, Eder M, Schule S, Hohenberg H, Ganser A, Grez M, Blomer U: Efficient gene transfer into the CNS by lentiviral vectors purified by anion exchange chromatography. *Gene therapy* 2002, 9(24):1708-1714.
- Hogan Q: Injection for diagnosis and therapy of back disease, Principles and Techniques of Spine Surgery. Edited by: An H. Baltimore (MD): Williams and Willkins; 1998:707-729.
- Pfeifer A, Ikawa M, Dayn Y, Verma IM: Transgenesis by lentiviral vectors: lack of gene silencing in mammalian embryonic stem cells and preimplantation embryos. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002, 99(4):2140-2145.
- Park F, Sweeney WE, Jia G, Roman RJ, Avner ED: 20-HETE mediates proliferation of renal epithelial cells in polycystic kidney disease. J Am Soc Nephrol 2008, 19(10):1929-1939.
- Dull T, Zufferey R, Kelly M, Mandel RJ, Nguyen M, Trono D, Naldini L: A third-generation lentivirus vector with a conditional packaging system. J Virol 1998, 72(11):8463-8471.
- 62. Beyer WR, Westphal M, Ostertag W, von Laer D: **Oncoretrovirus and lentivirus vectors pseudotyped with lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus**

Page 17 of 17

glycoprotein: generation, concentration, and broad host range. J Virol 2002, 76(3):1488-1495.

- Federici T, Kutner R, Zhang XY, Kuroda H, Tordo N, Boulis NM, Reiser J: Comparative analysis of HIV-1-based lentiviral vectors bearing lyssavirus glycoproteins for neuronal gene transfer. *Genetic vaccines and therapy* 2009, 7:1.
- Conzelmann KK, Cox JH, Schneider LG, Thiel HJ: Molecular cloning and complete nucleotide sequence of the attenuated rabies virus SAD B19. *Virology* 1990, 175(2):485-499.
- Park F, Kay MA: Modified HIV-1 based lentiviral vectors have an effect on viral transduction efficiency and gene expression in vitro and in vivo. *Mol Ther* 2001, 4(3):164-173.
- Jorgensen NP, Nossen JO, Borch KW, Kristiansen AB, Kristoffersen DT, Lundby B, Theodorsen L: Safety and tolerability of iodixanol in healthy volunteers with reference to two monomeric X-ray contrast media. Eur J Radiol 1992, 15(3):252-257.
- 67. Malin SA, Davis BM, Molliver DC: Production of dissociated sensory neuron cultures and considerations for their use in studying neuronal function and plasticity. *Nat Protoc* 2007, **2(1)**:152-160.
- Baekelandt V, Claeys A, Eggermont K, Lauwers E, De Strooper B, Nuttin B, Debyser Z: Characterization of lentiviral vector-mediated gene transfer in adult mouse brain. *Human gene therapy* 2002, 13(7):841-853.
- Carbonaro DA, Jin X, Petersen D, Wang X, Dorey F, Kil KS, Aldrich M, Blackburn MR, Kellems RE, Kohn DB: In vivo transduction by intravenous injection of a lentiviral vector expressing human ADA into neonatal ADA gene knockout mice: a novel form of enzyme replacement therapy for ADA deficiency. *Mol Ther* 2006, **13(6)**:1110-1120.
- Lobbestael E, Reumers V, Ibrahimi A, Paesen K, Thiry I, Gijsbers R, Van den Haute C, Debyser Z, Baekelandt V, Taymans JM: Immunohistochemical detection of transgene expression in the brain using small epitope tags. BMC biotechnology 10:16.
- Yu H, Wessels A, Chen J, Phelps AL, Oatis J, Tint GS, Patel SB: Late gestational lung hypoplasia in a mouse model of the Smith-Lemli-Opitz syndrome. *BMC Dev Biol* 2004, 4:1.
- Yu H, Wessels A, Tint GS, Patel SB: Partial rescue of neonatal lethality of Dhcr7 null mice by a nestin promoter-driven DHCR7 transgene expression. Brain Res Dev Brain Res 2005, 156(1):46-60.
- 73. Jin SX, Zhuang ZY, Woolf CJ, Ji RR: p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase is activated after a spinal nerve ligation in spinal cord microglia and dorsal root ganglion neurons and contributes to the generation of neuropathic pain. *J Neurosci* 2003, 23(10):4017-4022.
- Molliver DC, Wright DE, Leitner ML, Parsadanian AS, Doster K, Wen D, Yan Q, Snider WD: IB4-binding DRG neurons switch from NGF to GDNF dependence in early postnatal life. *Neuron* 1997, 19(4):849-861.
- 75. White FA, Sun J, Waters SM, Ma C, Ren D, Ripsch M, Steflik J, Cortright DN, Lamotte RH, Miller RJ: Excitatory monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 signaling is up-regulated in sensory neurons after chronic compression of the dorsal root ganglion. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2005, 102(39):14092-14097.

doi:10.1186/1744-8069-7-63

Cite this article as: Yu *et al.*: Lentiviral gene transfer into the dorsal root ganglion of adult rats. *Molecular Pain* 2011 7:63.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

BioMed Central