Review Article

Pyrazolo Derivatives as Potent Adenosine Receptor Antagonists: An Overview on the Structure-Activity Relationships

Siew Lee Cheong,¹ Gopalakrishnan Venkatesan,¹ Priyankar Paira,¹ Ramasamy Jothibasu,¹ Alexander Laurence Mandel,¹ Stephanie Federico,² Giampiero Spalluto,² and Giorgia Pastorin¹

¹ Department of Pharmacy, National University of Singapore, 3 Science Drive 2, Singapore 117543 ² Dipartimento di Scienze Farmaceutiche, Università degli Studi di Trieste, Piazzale Europa 1, 34127 Trieste, Italy

Correspondence should be addressed to Giorgia Pastorin, phapg@nus.edu.sg

Received 2 November 2010; Accepted 10 February 2011

Academic Editor: Rosaria Volpini

Copyright © 2011 Siew Lee Cheong et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In the past few decades, medicinal chemistry research towards potent and selective antagonists of human adenosine receptors (namely, A_1 , A_{2A} , A_{2B} , and A_3) has been evolving rapidly. These antagonists are deemed therapeutically beneficial in several pathological conditions including neurological and renal disorders, cancer, inflammation, and glaucoma. Up to this point, many classes of compounds have been successfully synthesized and identified as potent human adenosine receptor antagonists. In this paper, an overview of the structure-activity relationship (SAR) profiles of promising nonxanthine pyrazolo derivatives is reported and discussed. We have emphasized the SAR for some representative structures such as pyrazolo-[4,3-e]-1,2,4-triazolo-[1,5-c]pyrimidines; pyrazolo-[3,4-c] or -[4,3-c]quinolines; pyrazolo-[4,3-d]pyrimidinoes; pyrazolo-[3,4-d]pyrimidines and pyrazolo-[1,5-a]pyridines. This overview not only clarifies the structural requirements deemed essential for affinity towards individual adenosine receptor subtypes, but it also sheds light on the rational design and optimization of existing structural templates to allow us to conceive new, more potent adenosine receptor antagonists.

1. Introduction

Adenosine is an endogenous nucleoside that mediates a wide range of physiological responses through interaction with specific adenosine receptors (ARs), which are G-proteincoupled receptors (GPCRs) comprising the characteristic seven transmembrane domains connected by three extracellular and three intracellular loops. There are four basic types of ARs that have been cloned and pharmacologically characterized, namely, A₁, A_{2A}, A_{2B}, and A₃ ARs [1]. Each of these ARs is associated with its own distinct biochemical pathways. Typically, the activation of A₁ and A₃ receptors mediates adenylyl cyclase inhibition through an interaction with G_i protein, followed by a subsequent decrease in the level of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP); conversely, the A_{2A} and A_{2B} receptors stimulate the adenylyl cyclase activity via the G_s protein thereby increasing the level of cAMP [2]. In addition, other signaling pathways involving phospholipases C and D, and Ca²⁺ and mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) have also been described [1]. Pharmacologically, the inhibition of A_1 receptors has led to implications in the renal system disorders through regulation of diuresis and neurological disorders such as Alzheimer's disease [3, 4]; on the other hand, A₃ receptor antagonists are primarily related to the treatment of glaucoma, renal protection, inflammatory disorders like asthma, as well as cancer [5-7]. Studies have also found that A_{2A} receptor antagonists can reverse Parkinsonian motor deficits in preclinical models of Parkinson's disease, and they do so without inducing or exacerbating dyskinesias in nonhuman primate models [8, 9]. As for the A_{2B} receptor, its antagonists seem to be suitable for the treatment of certain forms of inflammatory processes such as asthma via modulation of mast cell degranulation [10, 11].

In the last 15 years, intensive efforts in medicinal chemistry to design and synthesize new AR antagonists have led to the discovery of potent and selective ligands (with either agonistic or antagonistic properties) for the A₁, A_{2A}, A_{2B}, and A₃ ARs. These new derivatives have resulted in a better understanding of the pathophysiological role of these receptors; more precisely, among the AR antagonists, several different types of xanthine-derived and nonxanthine-based a chain (pref seemed essen receptors). In fact, tw and SCH 582 tive A_{2A} AR at models [15–3]

receptors; more precisely, among the AR antagonists, several different types of xanthine-derived and nonxanthine-based polyheterocyclic structures have been identified as potent AR antagonists. Some of them are shown to possess good affinity exclusively towards a particular AR subtype with concomitant improvements in their selectivity profiles. On the other hand, some scaffolds demonstrate good binding affinity across more than one AR subtype, with relatively lower selectivity profile. Among these diverse classes of compounds, nonxanthine pyrazolo derivatives have been reported to show good potency towards ARs, together with a broad range of selectivity. The aim of this review is to briefly summarize the structure-activity relationship profiles of various nonxanthine derivatives containing the pyrazole moiety as AR antagonists to the A₁, A_{2A}, A_{2B}, and A₃ receptor subtypes.

2. Pyrazolo Derivatives as Potent AR Antagonists

In general, nonxanthine AR antagonists are represented by polyheterocyclic derivatives which are categorized as monocyclic, bicyclic, or tricyclic structures [12]. In this review, we emphasized the structure-activity relationships for some of the representative nonxanthine pyrazolo derivatives (i.e., derivatives with a fused pyrazole ring in their respective core nuclei), which have been identified as potent AR antagonists at the A₁, A_{2A}, A_{2B}, or A₃ receptor subtypes. These derivatives are pyrazolo-[4,3-*e*]1,2,4-triazolo-[1,5-*c*]pyrimidines, pyrazolo-[3,4-*c*] or -[4,3-*c*] quinolines, pyrazolo-[4,3*d*]pyrimidinones, pyrazolo-[3,4-*d*]pyrimidines, and pyrazolo-[1,5-*a*]pyridines. The binding data of the most representative AR antagonists belonging to these series are reported in Table 1.

2.1. Pyrazolo-[4,3-e]-1,2,4-triazolo-[1,5-c]pyrimidine

2.1.1. A_{2A} AR Antagonists. The pyrazolo-triazolo-pyrimidine derivatives were first described as AR antagonists by Gatta and coworkers [13], who identified a compound named 8FB-PTP (1 in Figure 1), which demonstrated good binding to the A_{2A} AR but lacked selectivity towards the A_1 receptor subtype. Structure-affinity relationship studies showed that the free amino group at 5-position and the effect of the substituents on the pyrazole ring seemed important for both high affinity and selectivity for the A_{2A} AR subtype. From further studies, substitutions at the 7-position were shown to improve the selectivity for the A_{2A} receptor while the same substitutions at the 8-position increased affinity to the A_1 and A_{2A} receptors with low levels of selectivity, as indicated by the N⁷-*n*-butyl (2) and the N⁸-*n*-butyl (3) derivatives [14, 15]. This again indicated that the presence of a chain (preferably a long (ar)alkyl one) at the N^7 position seemed essential for both affinity and selectivity for the A_{2A} receptors.

In fact, two selected compounds named SCH 63390 (4) and SCH 58261 (5) proved to be the most potent and selective A_{2A} AR antagonists ever reported, both in rat and human models [15–17]. The latter was further developed into an A_{2A} antagonist radioligand, [³H]SCH 58261 (5a) with a K_D value of about 1 nM. Further studies have suggested that it could be a useful tool for characterization of A2A receptor subtypes in platelets, autoradiography assays, and labeling of striatal A_{2A} receptors for studying A_{2A} receptor occupancy of various antagonists [18, 50, 51]. Nevertheless, this class of compounds presents a significant problem because of poor water solubility. To overcome this drawback, several polar moieties on the side chain of the pyrazole nucleus have been introduced. In particular, the introduction of a hydroxyl function at the *para* position of the phenyl ring of compounds (4) and (5) led to derivatives (5-amino-7-[3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propyl]-2-(2-furyl)pyrazolo[4,3-e]1,2,4triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine) (6) and (5-amino-7- $[\beta-(4$ hydroxyphenyl)ethyl]-2-(2-furyl)pyrazolo[4,3-e]1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine) (7), which not only showed a better hydrophilic character but also a significant increase of both affinity and selectivity for the A_{2A} AR subtype, suggesting that most probably, a hydrogen bond is involved in receptor recognition via this part of the ligand [16].

To understand the nature of such a hypothetical hydrogen bond, compound SCH 442416 (8) was synthesized. This derivative showed even higher affinity and selectivity for the A_{2A} receptor, thus representing a suitable candidate for positron emission tomography (PET) studies in its ¹¹Clabeled form [19]. Moreover, it was developed into novel fluorescent tracer MRS5346 (9), which was conjugated to the fluorescent dye Alexa Fluor-488. It has a K_D value of 16.5 \pm 4.7 nM and could be used in fluorescence polarization competition binding experiments as well as high-throughput screening [20]. On top of that, this SCH 442416 derivative also confirmed the role of a hydrogen bond via the pyrazolo side chain. Nonetheless, the introduction of oxygenated groups could not be considered sufficient to confer water solubility. Hence, carboxylic (10) and sulfonic (11) moieties were introduced, and such structural modifications (the sulfonic moiety in particular) improved water solubility. However, in some cases, a loss of affinity with respect to reference compounds (6, 7) for the A_{2A} AR was observed. On the other hand, the introduction of an amino group at the para position of the phenyl ring (12) improved both affinity and selectivity towards the A2A receptor, although with low water solubility [17]. Despite these observations, it was found that the N^7 derivative (such as compound 5) was totally inactive to the human A_{2B} and A_3 receptors. The N⁸ regioisomer (13), however, showed a slight affinity profile for these two receptor subtypes [21, 22].

A recent series of pyrazolo-triazolo-pyrimidine derivatives was obtained by modifying the phenylethyl substituent of **5** with substituted phenylpiperazine ethyl groups [23]. Introduction of fluorine atoms in the phenyl ring (14) enhanced the affinity to subnanomolar values and

			Pyrazolo derivative	S					
Type of scaffold	Compounds	K_{i} affinity (nM) or % of inhibition							
	Compounds	A_1^a	A24 ^b	A _{2B} ^c	A ₃ ^d	Refs.			
	Pyrazolo-[4,3-e]triazolo-[1,5-c]pyrimidine								
	A_{2A} AR antagonists								
	1, 8FB-PTP	3.3 ^e	1.2^{f}	ND	ND	[13]			
	2	236 ^e	8.9 ^f	ND	ND	[14, 15]			
	3	30.4 ^e	2.4^{f}	ND	ND	[14, 15]			
	4, SCH 63390	504 ^e	2.4^{f}	ND	>10,000	[15–17]			
	5, SCH 58261	121 ^e	2.3 ^f	ND	>10,000	[15–17]			
	5a , [³ H]SCH 58261	_	$K_D = 1 \text{ nM}$	_	_	[18]			
	6	741 ^e	0.94^{f}	ND	>10,000	[16]			
	7	444 ^e	1.7^{f}	ND	>10,000	[16]			
	8, SCH 442416	1,111	0.048	>10,000	>10,000	[19]			
	9 , MRS5346	_	$K_D = 16.5 \mathrm{nM}$	_	_	[20]			
	10	4,927	4.63	>10,000	>10,000	[17]			
	11	190	100	>10,000	>10,000	[17]			
	12	2,160	0.22	>10,000	>10,000	[17]			
	13	1	0.34	5.1	280	[21, 22]			
	14	>960	0.6	ND	ND	[23]			
	15, SCH 420814	>1,000	1.1	>1,700	>1,000	[23]			
Tricyclic			$A_{2B} AR$ antag	gonists					
scaffold	16	2	0.8	9	700	[24]			
	17	1.6	54	27	65	[24]			
	18	702	423	165	0.81	[25]			
	19	1,100	800	20	300	[25]			
			A_3 AR antag	onists					
	21	1,026	1,040	245	0.6	[21]			
	22, [³ H]MRE-3008-F20	—	—	_	$K_D = 0.8 \text{ nM}$	[26]			
	23	594	381	222	0.16	[22, 27–29]			
	24	350	100	250	0.01	[30]			
	25	235	>1,000	>1,000	>1,000	[31]			
	26	562	778	>10,000 ^g	0.108	[32]			
	27	38 ^h	120 ⁱ	1,500 ^j	4.1^{k}	[6, 33]			
	28	610 ^h	>10,000 ⁱ	9,400 ^j	1.9^{k}	[6, 33]			
	29	150	21	37	17	[31]			
			Pyrazoloqui	noline					
	A ₃ AR antagonists								
	30	32%	21% ^m	ND	0.6	[34–38]			
	31	45%	24%	>1,000	1	[37]			
	32	464 ¹	35% ^m	ND	2.9	[39, 40]			
	33	40	1,060	ND	90.2	[39, 40]			
	34	0%	9%	ND	2.1	[39, 40]			
	35	>1,000	>1,000	>1,000 ⁿ	9.0	[41]			

TABLE 1: Binding affinities of pyrazolo derivatives at A_1 , A_{2A} , A_{2B} , and A_3 ARs.

Pyrazolo derivatives									
Type of scaffold	Compounds	K_i , affinity (nM) or % of inhibition							
		A_1^{a}	A _{2A} ^b	$A_{2B}{}^{c}$	A_3^d	Refs.			
			Pyrazolo-[4,3-d]p	yrimidinone					
			$A_3 AR$ anta	ogonist					
	36	5%	1%	2% ^g	1.2	[42]			
			Pyrazolo-[3,4- <i>d</i>]	pyrimidine					
A ₁ AR antagonists									
	37	370 ^e	ND	ND	ND	[43, 44]			
	38	0.939 ^e	88.3 ^f	ND	ND	[44]			
	39	0.745 ^e	247 ^f	ND	ND	[44]			
Bicvclic		A _{2A} AR antagonists							
scaffold	40	647	48	ND	ND	[45]			
	41	468	3	ND	ND	[45]			
	42	206	1	ND	ND	[45]			
		A ₃ AR antagonists							
	43	334	728.1	49.8 ⁿ	0.60	[46]			
	44	1,037	3,179	53.9 ⁿ	0.18	[46]			
	Pyrazolo-[1,5- <i>a</i>]pyridine								
	A ₁ AR antagonists								
	45, FK453	17º	11,000 ^p	ND	ND	[47]			
	46 , FK838	120°	5900 ^p	ND	ND	[48]			
	47, FR166124	15°	6200 ^p	ND	ND	[49]			

TABLE 1: Continued.

ND: Not determined.

a, b, c, d: binding affinity assay determined using recombinant cells expressing human A1 AR, A2A AR, A2B AR, and A3 AR, respectively, unless noted.

e: binding affinity assay determined at A1 AR in rat brain membranes.

f: binding affinity assay determined at A2A AR in rat striatal membranes.

^g: adenylyl cyclase assay determined using recombinant cells expressing human A_{2B} AR.

h, i, j, k: IC₅₀ value from binding affinity assay determined with human A1 AR, A2A AR, A2B AR, and A3 AR, respectively.

¹: binding affinity assay determined at A1 AR in bovine cerebral cortical membranes.

^m: binding affinity assay determined at A_{2A} AR in bovine striatal membranes.

ⁿ: IC₅₀ value from adenylyl cyclase assay determined at human A_{2B} AR.

°: IC₅₀ value from binding affinity assay determined at A1 AR in rat brain membranes.

^p: IC₅₀ value from binding affinity assay determined at A_{2A} AR in rat striatal membranes.

the compounds displayed potent oral activity, but their solubilities still remained poor. Further introduction of ether substituents led to derivatives with high affinities and selectivities for A_{2A} receptors and improvements in water solubility. In particular, one of these compounds (SCH 420814, Privadenant, **15**) exhibited high affinity for both rat and human A_{2A} receptors, with K_i values of 2.5 and 1.1 nM, respectively. In addition, the compound was very selective for human A_{2A} receptors over A_1 , A_{2B} , and A_3 receptors. Interestingly, the compound did not show significant binding against a panel of 59 unrelated receptors, enzymes and ion channels. Privadenant is now in Phase II Clinical Trials for the treatment of dyskinesia in Parkinson's disease.

2.1.2. A_{2B} AR Antagonists. The binding data obtained from parallel studies on A_{2A} receptor antagonists have indicated that the N⁵-unsubstituted pyrazolo-triazolo-pyrimidine derivatives (**13** in Figure 1, **16** in Figure 2) possessed high affinity to the human A_{2B} receptors but completely lacked selectivity. Subsequently, introduction of a polar γ amino-butyryl amide (**17**) at the N⁵-position decreased affinity towards the A_{2B} receptors but was found to be slightly selective against the A_{2A} subtype [24]. An improvement of this class of compounds was further achieved by an optimized pattern of substitutions at the N⁵- and N⁸positions. In fact, in parallel studies on human A_3 receptor antagonists (to be elaborated in the following section), it was observed that replacement of the phenylcarbamoyl moiety









5









6



FIGURE 1: Continued.



FIGURE 1: Structures of pyrazolo-triazolo-pyrimidines as A2A AR antagonists.

at the N⁵-position with a phenylacetyl group (compound **18**) produced a decrease in affinity to the human A_3 AR and a retention or improvement towards the A_{2B} subtype. A combination of a naphthyl acetyl moiety at the N⁵-position and a phenyl propyl group (characteristic of A_{2A} antagonists) at the N⁸ position led to a compound (**19**), which was found to be quite potent and selective towards the A_{2B} ARs [25]. These findings indicated that bulky substituents at both the N⁵- and N⁸-positions could lead to potent and selective A_{2B} AR antagonists, thus suggesting the presence of a larger pocket in the receptor binding site.

2.1.3. A_3 AR Antagonists. The optimization approach to obtain potent A_3 AR antagonists in the series of pyrazolotriazolo-pyrimidines was a hybrid molecule between a human A_{2A} receptor antagonist [15, 16] and an agonist of the A_3 subtype [52, 53]. The tricyclic scaffold of a known human A_{2A} antagonist was substituted at the N⁵ position with an aryl carbamoyl moiety. Specifically, this *para*-methoxyphenyl was demonstrated to be optimal for A_3 affinity when introduced at the N⁶-position of the A_3 agonist NECA (as represented by compound **20**; Figure 3). Such rational design led to compound **21**, which is one of the most potent and selective human A_3 AR antagonists

[21]. Subsequent collation of binding data and molecular modeling studies indicated that small substituents, such as a methyl group at the N⁸-position, the phenyl ring on the N⁵-carbamoyl moiety, and a furyl ring at 2-position, were important (although not crucial, as indicated in the following paragraphs) for A₃ affinity (e.g., compound 23) [22, 27–29]. Only small substituents at the para position of the phenyl ring, including fluoro (F), chloro (Cl), and methoxy (OCH₃) were tolerated. At the meta-position, only hydrogen was tolerated, while the *ortho*-position could be substituted by a chlorine atom. Introduction of an allyl chain at N⁸-position, followed by reduction with tritium afforded ^{[3}H]MRE-3008-F20 (22), which was the first selective and tritiated human A3 receptor antagonist radioligand [26]. It showed a K_D value of 0.8 nM and exhibited ca. 25% of nonspecific binding at that concentration. Since its discovery, it has been used for the identification of A₃ receptors on various cells, including Jurkat T cells, HL60 cells, and human neutrophils [54, 55]. Later, the N⁵-phenyl ring of the tricyclic scaffold was substituted with a pyridinium salt, as represented by compound 24, which not only showed good solubility (15 mM) but also significantly improved hA3 affinity [30]. In previous studies, substitution of the N⁵pyridine moiety with various N5-heteroaryl rings resulted in a general loss of hA₃ affinity and selectivity [28].



FIGURE 2: Structures of pyrazolo-triazolo-pyrimidines as A2B AR antagonists.

Substitution at position C² of the tricyclic system has not been deeply explored, being essentially limited to a furyl group. The furan ring had been considered to be an essential structural requirement for the binding of antagonists to all of the AR subtypes, since its removal from the tricyclic system was associated with an irreversible loss of affinity and selectivity, regardless of the receptor under investigation. In fact, Baraldi and coworkers [31] found that the substitution of the furan ring in PTPs with phenyl (25) or alkoxyphenyl rings led to a loss of affinity to A_{2A}, A_{2B}, and A₃ receptors, while the A1 subtype in some cases displayed a high nanomolar binding profile. Similarly, the functionalization of the furan ring with polar substituents led to completely inactive derivatives, clearly indicating that an unsubstituted furan ring at the C² position played a fundamental role in ligand-receptor recognition [31]. Notably, in most cases, substitution at the pyrazole ring occurred at the N7-rather than at the N⁸-position. Recently, a new series of 2-aryl pyrazolo-triazolo-pyrimidines was reported by Cheong et al., in which the previously conserved furan at C² was substituted with a 2-aryl ring while substitutions on pyrazole ring were maintained at the N⁸-position [32]. Such bioisosteric replacement at C² resulted in improved human A₃ affinity and remarkably enhanced selectivity over other AR subtypes. The para substituents at the 2-phenyl ring were generally well tolerated, except for a para-nitro group, which caused detrimental effects on hA3 affinity. Particularly, the para-OCH₃ and para-F groups conferred better affinities and selectivities towards the hA₃ receptor. The most potent compound in this series (26) had a methyl group at the N⁸position, a phenylacetamide at the N⁵-position, and a phenyl ring at the C²-position. Interestingly, Okamura et al. also described a series of pyrazolo-triazolo-pyrimidine analogues with a para-(un)substituted-phenyl ring and an alkyl chain at the C²- and C⁵-positions, respectively, that was shown to possess good hA₃ affinity. The selectivity against other AR subtypes was significantly improved in this group of derivatives, especially when a para-substituted-2-phenyl ring was present (as illustrated by compounds 27, 28) [6, 33]. It was also observed that the introduction of a substituent



R









27





29



 $\label{eq:Figure 3: Structures of (a) N^6-(substituted arylcarbamoyl) adenosine-5'-uronamide as A_3 AR agonist; (b) pyrazolo-triazolo-pyrimidines as A_3 AR antagonists.$

28



FIGURE 4: Structures of pyrazoloquinolines as A3 AR antagonists.

(e.g., NHCH₂CH₃ (**29**) and SCH₃) at the C⁹-position, induced a loss of both affinity and selectivity towards the A₃ receptor. It was postulated that the introduction of these substituents caused a repulsive effect due to steric hindrance, which hampered the interaction with the binding site of the A₃ AR [31].

2.2. Pyrazolo-[3,4-c] or -[4,3-c]quinolines

2.2.1. A3 AR Antagonists. The series of pyrazoloquinolin-4-ones and pyrazolo[3,4-c]quinolines, 4-oxo and 4-amino substituted, shared a similar central scaffold as that of the triazoloquinoxalinones (30, 31) [34-38], and they were found to be potent and selective A₃ AR antagonists (Figure 4) [39, 40]. The substituent on the appended 2-phenyl ring was crucial to modulate A3 affinity while a nuclear (e.g., oxo group) or extranuclear (e.g., amide group) C=O proton acceptor at the 4-position gave rise to potent and selective A₃ antagonists. At the 2-position, the presence of 4-Cl, 4-OCH₃, 4-CH₃, and 3-CH₃ on the 2-phenyl ring resulted in enhancement of A_3 affinities in both the 4-ones (32) and 4-amino (33) series. Conversely, the substituents on the 2-phenyl ring of the 4-amido derivatives generally maintained but did not ameliorate the high A3 affinities in comparison with the 2-phenyl parent derivatives. At the 4-position, the introduction of 4-benzoylamido (34),

4-phenylacetylamido, and 4-carbamoyl residues resulted in improved human A₃ affinities and selectivities, confirming the importance of the C=O group at this position towards A₃ receptor-ligand interaction. Among the 4-amido derivatives, the 4-acetylamido group showed lower human A₃ affinity in comparison to the other bulkier 4-acyl moieties, thus implying not only the presence of a roomy receptor pocket around this region, but also the importance of hydrophobic interactions between the 4-substituents and the receptor site. Another series of 2-phenyl-2,5-dihydro-pyrazolo[4,3c]quinolin-4-ones, which are the structural isomers of the parent 2-arylpyrazolo[3,4-c]quinoline derivatives, have also been reported by Baraldi et al. [41]. Some of the synthesized compounds showed good A₃ affinities (nanomolar ranges) and excellent selectivities. Particularly, the substitution of methyl, methoxy, or chlorine at the para-position of the 2phenyl ring, together with the presence of a 4-oxo functionality gave good A₃ affinity and selectivity (35).

2.3. Pyrazolo-[4,3-d]pyrimidinones

2.3.1. A_3 AR Antagonists. The pyrazolo-[4,3-d] pyrimidin-7ones, which were a molecular simplification of the tricyclic scaffold of pyrazolo-[3,4-c] quinolin-4-one, have recently been shown to possess good affinity and selectivity profiles for the hA₃ receptor [42]. According to the structure-activity



FIGURE 5: Structure of pyrazolo-[4,3-*d*]pyrimidinone as an A₃ AR antagonist.

relationship (SAR) analysis, both the substituents at the C⁵and N²-positions of the bicyclic nucleus were crucial for the human A₃ affinity and selectivity. The concomitant presence of small alkyl chains, such as methyl group at the C⁵position and a *para*-methoxy-substituted phenyl ring at the N² position (as demonstrated by compound **36** in Figure 5) gave rise to the most potent and selective A₃ AR antagonist in this series of derivatives.

2.4. Pyrazolo-[3,4-d]pyrimidines

2.4.1. A1 AR Antagonists. A series of pyrazolo-[3,4-d]pyrimidines was identified that contains novel A1 AR antagonists [43]. The lead compound, 4,6-Bis[α -carbamoylethyl)thio]-1-phenylpyrazolo-[3,4-d]pyrimidine (37 in Figure 6), served as a starting template for the optimization of A₁ affinity and selectivity in this series of compounds. 1-phenyl-pyrazolo-[3,4-d] pyrimidine was modified at C⁴ with mercapto, methylthio, and amino groups in order to investigate the hydrogen-bonding and steric tolerance at this position [44]. At C⁶, thioesters containing distal amides with varying lengths of linear and branched alkyl groups extending from the α -carbon were evaluated for steric and hydrophobic tolerance [44]. From the binding data at A₁ receptor, it was found that the simultaneous presence of an amino at C⁴ and α -butyl side chain at C⁶ gave rise to the most potent compound of the series (38); the least potent compound contained a mercapto and an α -isopropyl side chain at C⁴ and C⁶, respectively. These observations suggested that the superiority of the C⁴-amino group was most likely due to a hydrogen-bonding interaction with the receptor binding sites. Although a C⁴-methylthio group was less preferable than the amino species, its presence was still tolerable, thus indicating the existence of a hydrophobic pocket in the A1 binding site able to accommodate the methyl group. As for the C^6 position, the increase in length of the linear carbon side chain (from ethyl to butyl) was favorably tolerated at the A₁ receptor for each C^4 -substituent. Similarly, the hydrophobic tolerance at C^6 position seemed crucial for the A₁ binding affinity as well. In an attempt to test for the hypothesis mentioned above, a methyl-amino and an α -butyl side chain were concurrently introduced at the C⁴ and C⁶ positions, respectively [44]. Accordingly, the derivative **39** displayed improved A₁ affinity and increased A1 selectivity, which further supported the proposed structural requirements at both the C⁴ and C⁶ positions.

2.4.2. A_{2A} AR Antagonists. Pyrazolo-[3,4-d]pyrimidines were also explored by Gillespie and collaborators as A_{2A} AR antagonists [45]. In particular, the 4-(furan-2-yl)pyrazolo-[3,4-*d*]pyrimidine (compound **40** in Figure 7) was identified as a starting point for further investigation. It showed a good affinity for the A2A receptor subtype and was 13-fold more selective over A1. The following introduction of 1-phenyl substitution (41) increased potency at A_{2A} while either incorporation of heteroatoms or ring saturation did not improve affinity significantly. Extension of spatial linker between the phenyl ring and pyrazole by more than one methylene group was found to provide an hA_{2A} affinity profile similar to the 1-phenyl derivative. Furthermore, subsequent substitution on the *meta*-position of phenyl ring with electron-rich and deficient groups was tolerated, with the 3-chlorobenzyl derivative (42) demonstrating the best hA_{2A} affinity and selectivity in the series. Moreover, compounds 40-42 have also shown in vivo activity in a mouse haloperidol-induced hypolocomotion model of Parkinson's disease. Due to the fact that the 4-(furan-2-yl) moiety in this series of compounds could be easily converted into reactive species under oxidative metabolism, further studies were undertaken to replace such group with other nonfuran-containing heterocycles. Unfortunately, the resulting compounds have showed reduced affinity for the A_{2A} receptor.

2.4.3. A₃ AR Antagonists. Pyrazolo-[3,4-d]pyrimidines represent a novel series of bicyclic scaffold-derived A₃ antagonists [46] isosterically related to the imidazole-[1,2a [1,3,5] triazine (43; Figure 8), which have shown a certain degree of binding affinity at both A₁ and A₃ receptors [56]. Such pyrazolo-pyrimidine analogues displayed improved A₃ affinity and selectivity profiles in comparison to the parent imidazole-triazines. From the binding affinity results, it was suggested that the 6-phenyl substituent at the bicyclic scaffold was a key pharmacophoric element for recognition at the ARs, since its removal led to poor affinity to all the ARs. Besides that, small alkyl groups at the N²-position, such as a methyl moiety were found to be more favourable than bulky groups for conferring good human A3 affinity. The introduction of N4-acyl substituents generally resulted in improved human A₃ affinity relative to unsubstituted derivatives. In particular, the presence of a methyl group at N², together with para-methoxy benzoyl substituent at N⁴ (44) dramatically increased the potency and selectivity to the A₃ AR. Compound 44 was subsequently tested on human glioma U87MG cells, and it was able to counteract the proliferation of glioma cells mediated by A3 AR agonists Cl-IB-MECA and IB-MECA through the inhibition of A₃ AR agonist-mediated ERK 1/2 activation. This finding implied that this class of derivatives might represent promising lead compounds for the development of adjuvants for glioma chemotherapy [46].



FIGURE 6: Structures of pyrazolo-[3,4-d]pyrimidines as A1 AR antagonists.



FIGURE 7: Structures of pyrazolo-[3,4-d] pyrimidines as A2A AR antagonists.

2.5. Pyrazolo-[1,5-a]pyridines

2.5.1. A_1 AR Antagonists. Akahane and coworkers reported a series of pyrazolo-[1,5-*a*]pyridine derivatives as potent and selective A_1 AR antagonists. FK453 (**45** in Figure 9) [47] and FK838 (**46**) [48] were the typical examples of such derivatives, and they also showed diuretic activity both *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Nevertheless, there were some limitations in these two compounds. For FK453, photochemical *transcis* isomerization at the acryloyl amide moiety and low water solubility (11.9 μ g/mL) were two main problems in this type of structure. In FK838, photochemical stability

was achieved through the substitution of the acryloyl amide with a pyridazinone ring while water solubility (10 mg/mL) was enhanced by the introduction of the butyric acid group. Nevertheless, this derivative had lower binding affinity and poorer selectivity for A₁ receptor than FK453. Subsequently, further structural modifications to FK838 led to the synthesis of FR166124 (47) [49], which is the most potent and selective A₁ AR antagonist of this series, and it shows high water solubility (>200 mg/mL). In fact, it was designed based on the hypothesis that the high affinity and selectivity of FK453 for the A₁ receptor was due to the presence of the (2*R*)-2-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperidine ring of



FIGURE 8: Structures of (a) parent scaffold, imidazole [1,2-a][1,3,5] triazine as an A₁ and A₃ AR antagonist; (b) pyrazolo-[3,4-d] pyrimidine as an A₃ AR antagonist.



FIGURE 9: Structures of pyrazolo-[1,5-*a*]pyridine as A₁ AR antagonists.

the acryloyl amide as a conformationally limiting factor. The pyridazinone ring of FK838 was maintained in the structure of FR166124, with the introduction of a ring structure joining the C^3 and C^4 positions of the butyric acid group to limit possible conformations. Overall, the close resemblance of X-ray crystal structures of FR166124 and FK453 to each other, together with the experimental binding assay data, suggested that the presence of a double bond in the cyclohexenyl acetic acid group was essential for high selectivity to the A₁ receptor, with good A₁ affinity and water solubility.

3. Conclusion

Pyrazolo-containing polyheterocyclic scaffolds have given rise to a group of potent and selective antagonists for the A_1 , A_{2A} , A_{2B} , and A_3 AR subtypes. An overview of the structureactivity relationships of each class of derivatives not only clarifies the structural requirements deemed essential for the affinity towards the individual AR subtypes, but it also lends insight into the rational design and optimization of existing structural templates to obtain other new, potent AR antagonists.

Abbreviations

AR(s):	adenosine receptor(s)
cAMP:	cyclic adenosine monophosphate
Cl-IB- MECA:	2-chloro-N ⁶ -(3-iodobenzyl)-5'-N-methylcar- boxamidoadenosine
ERK 1/2:	extracellular signal-regulated kinase
8FB-PTP:	5-amino-8-(4-fluorobenzyl)-2-(2-furyl)-pyra- zolo[4,3- <i>e</i>]-1,2,4-triazolo[1,5- <i>c</i>]pyrimidine
FK453:	2-phenylpyrazolo[1,5- <i>a</i>]pyridine-3-((2 <i>R</i>)-2-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperidyl)acryloylamides
FK838:	3-(2-butyric acid-3-oxo-2,3-dihydropyridazin- 6-yl)-2-phenylpyrazolo[1,5- <i>a</i>]pyridine
FR166124:	3-(2-cyclohexenyl acetic
	acid-3-oxo-2,3-dihydropyridazin-6-yl)-2- phenylpyrazolo[1,5- <i>a</i>]pyridine
GPCR:	G protein-coupled receptor
[³ H]MRE-	[³ H]-5-N-(4-methoxyphenylcarbamoyl)amino-
3008-F20:	8-propyl-2-(2-furyl)pyrazolo[4,3- <i>e</i>]-1,2,4-tria- zolo[1,5- <i>c</i>]pyrimidine
IB-MECA:	N^{6} -(3-iodobenzyl)-5' - N-methylcarboxamido- adenosine

MAPK:	mitogen-activated protein kinase
MRS5346:	5-((2-(2-(4-(3-(5-amino-2-(furan-2-yl)-7H-
	pyrazolo[4,3- <i>e</i>][1, 2, 4]triazolo[1,5- <i>c</i>]pyrim-
	idin-7-yl)propyl)phenoxy)acetamido)ethyl)-
	carbamoyl)-2-(6-amino-3-iminio-4,5-disul-
	fonato-3H-xanthen-9-yl)benzoate
NECA:	N-ethylcarboxamidoadenosine
PET:	positron emission tomography
COLL COORD	

- SCH 63390: 5-amino-7-(3-phenyl propyl)-2-(2-furyl)pyrazolo[4,3-*e*]1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-*c*]pyrimidine
- SCH 58261: 5-amino-7-(β-phenylethyl)-2-(2-furyl)pyrazolo[4,3-*e*]1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-*c*]pyrimidine
- SCH 442416: 5-amino-7-[3-(4-methoxyphenyl)propyl]-2-(2-furyl)pyrazolo[4,3-*e*]-1,2,4-triazolo[1,5*c*]pyrimidine
- SCH 420814: 5-amino-7-[(2-(4-methoxyethoxyphenyl)piperazin-1-yl]ethyl-2-(2-furyl)-pyrazolo [4,3-e]1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine.

Acknowledgments

This paper was supported by the National Medical Research Council, Singapore (Grant no. NMRC/NIG/0020/2008) and the National University of Singapore (FRC Grant no. R-148-000-129-112).

References

- K. A. Jacobson and Z. G. Gao, "Adenosine receptors as therapeutic targets," *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 247–264, 2006.
- [2] S. Moro, Z. G. Gao, K. A. Jacobson, and G. Spalluto, "Progress in the pursuit of therapeutic adenosine receptor antagonists," *Medicinal Research Reviews*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 131–159, 2006.
- [3] W. J. Welch, "Adenosine A₁ receptor antagonists in the kidney: effects in fluid-retaining disorders," *Current Opinion in Pharmacology*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 165–170, 2002.
- [4] T. V. Dunwiddie and S. A. Masino, "The role and regulation of adenosine in the central nervous system," *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, vol. 24, pp. 31–55, 2001.
- [5] K. A. Jacobson, "Adenosine A₃ receptors: novel ligands and paradoxical effects," *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 184–191, 1998.
- [6] T. Okamura, Y. Kurogi, K. Hashimoto, H. Nishikawa, and Y. Nagao, "Facile synthesis of fused 1,2,4-triazolo[1,5c]pyrimidine derivatives as human adenosine A₃ receptor ligands," *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. 2443–2446, 2004.
- [7] S. Merighi, P. Mirandola, K. Varani et al., "Pyrazolotriazolopyrimidine derivatives sensitize melanoma cells to the chemotherapic drugs: taxol and vindesine," *Biochemical Pharmacology*, vol. 66, no. 5, pp. 739–748, 2003.
- [8] R. Grondin, P. J. Bédard, A. H. Tahar, L. Grégoire, A. Mori, and H. Kase, "Antiparkinsonian effect of a new selective adenosine A_{2A} receptor antagonist in MPTP-treated monkeys," *Neurol*ogy, vol. 52, no. 8, pp. 1673–1677, 1999.
- [9] P. Jenner, "Istradefylline, a novel adenosine A_{2A} receptor antagonist, for the treatment of Parkinson's disease," *Expert Opinion on Investigational Drugs*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 729–738, 2005.

- [10] I. Feoktistov, I. Biaggioni, R. Polosa, and S. T. Holgate, "Adenosine A_{2B} receptors: a novel therapeutic target in asthma?" *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 148–153, 1998.
- [11] S. T. Holgate, "The identification of the adenosine A_{2B} receptor as a novel therapeutic target in asthma," *British Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 145, no. 8, pp. 1009–1015, 2005.
- [12] S. Schenone, M. Radi, and M. Botta, "Pyazolopyrimidines: old molecules, new targets," *Targets in Heterocyclic Systems-Chemistry and Properties*, vol. 11, pp. 44–69, 2007.
- [13] F. Gatta, M. R. Del Giudice, A. Borioni, P. A. Borea, S. Dionisotti, and E. Ongini, "Synthesis of imidazo[1,2c]pyrazolo[4,3-e]pyrimidines, pyrazolo[4,3-e]1,2,4triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidines and 1,2,4-triazolo[5,1-i]purines: new potent adenosine A₂ receptor antagonists," *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 28, no. 7-8, pp. 569–576, 1993.
- [14] P. G. Baraldi, S. Manfredini, D. Simoni et al., "Sythesis of new pyrazolo[4,3-e]1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-c] pyrimidine and 1,2,3-triazolo[4,5-e]1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-c] pyrimidine displaying potent and selective activity as A_{2A} adenosine receptor antagonists," *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, vol. 4, no. 21, pp. 2539–2544, 1994.
- [15] P. G. Baraldi, B. Cacciari, G. Spalluto et al., "Pyrazolo[4,3-e]-1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine derivatives: potent and selective A_{2A} adenosine antagonists," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 1164–1171, 1996.
- [16] P. G. Baraldi, B. Cacciari, G. Spalluto et al., "Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of a second generation of pyrazolo[4,3-e]-1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidines as potent and selective A_{2A} adenosine receptor antagonists," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 41, no. 12, pp. 2126–2133, 1998.
- [17] P. G. Baraldi, B. Cacciari, R. Romagnoli et al., "7-substituted 5-amino-2-(2-furyl)pyrazolo[4,3-*e*]-1,2,4-triazolo[1,5*c*]pyrimidines as A_{2A} adenosine receptor antagonists: a study on the importance of modifications at the side chain on the activity and solubility," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 115–126, 2002.
- [18] S. Dionisotti, S. Ferrara, C. Molta, C. Zocchi, and E. Ongini, "Labeling of A_{2A} adenosine receptors in human platelets by use of the new nonxanthine antagonist radioligand [³H]SCH 58261," *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, vol. 278, no. 3, pp. 1209–1214, 1996.
- [19] S. Todde, R. M. Moresco, P. Simonelli et al., "Design, radiosynthesis, and biodistribution of a new potent and selective ligand for in vivo imaging of the adenosine A_{2A} receptor system using positron emission tomography," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 43, no. 23, pp. 4359–4362, 2000.
- [20] M. Kecskés, T. S. Kumar, L. Yoo, Z. G. Gao, and K. A. Jacobson, "Novel Alexa Fluor-488 labeled antagonist of the A_{2A} adenosine receptor: application to a fluorescence polarization-based receptor binding assay," *Biochemical Pharmacology*, vol. 80, no. 4, pp. 506–511, 2010.
- [21] P. G. Baraldi, B. Cacciari, R. Romagnoli et al., "Pyrazolo[4,3e]-1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine derivatives as highly potent and selective human A₃ adenosine receptor antagonists," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 42, no. 22, pp. 4473–4478, 1999.
- [22] P. G. Baraldi, B. Cacciari, R. Romagnoli et al., "Pyrazolo[4,3e]1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine derivatives as highly potent and selective human A₃ adenosine receptor antagonists: influence of the chain at the N⁸ pyrazole nitrogen," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 43, no. 25, pp. 4768–4780, 2000.

- [23] B. R. Neustadt, J. Hao, N. Lindo et al., "Potent, selective, and orally active adenosine A_{2A} receptor antagonists: arylpiperazine derivatives of pyrazolo[4,3-e]-1,2,4-triazolo[1,5c]pyrimidines," *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 1376–1380, 2007.
- [24] P. G. Baraldi, B. Cacciari, R. Romagnoli et al., "Pyrazolo[4,3e]1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine derivatives as adenosine receptor ligands: a starting point for searching A_{2B} adenosine receptor antagonists," *Drug Development Research*, vol. 53, no. 2-3, pp. 225–235, 2001.
- [25] G. Pastorin, T. Da Ros, G. Spalluto et al., "Pyrazolo[4,3e]-1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine derivatives as adenosine receptor antagonists. Influence of the N⁵ substituent on the affinity at the human A₃ and A_{2B} adenosine receptor subtypes: a molecular modeling investigation," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 46, no. 20, pp. 4287–4296, 2003.
- [26] K. Varani, S. Merighi, S. Gessi et al., "[³H]MRE 3008F20: a novel antagonist radioligand for the pharmacological and biochemical characterization of human A₃ adenosine receptors," *Molecular Pharmacology*, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 968–975, 2000.
- [27] P. G. Baraldi, B. Cacciari, S. Moro et al., "Synthesis, biological activity, and molecular modeling investigation of new pyrazolo[4,3-e]-1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine derivatives as human A₃ adenosine receptor antagonists," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 770–780, 2002.
- [28] G. Pastorin, T. Da Ros, C. Bolcato et al., "Synthesis and biological studies of a new series of 5-heteroarylcarbamoylaminopyrazolo[4,3-e]1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidines as human A₃ adenosine receptor antagonists. Influence of the heteroaryl substituent on binding affinity and molecular modeling investigations," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 1720–1729, 2006.
- [29] S. Moro, P. Braiuca, F. Deflorian et al., "Combined targetbased and ligand-based drug design approach as a tool to define a novel 3D-pharmacophore model of human A₃ adenosine receptor antagonists: pyrazolo[4,3-e]1,2,4-triazolo[1,5c]pyrimidine derivatives as a key study," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 152–162, 2005.
- [30] A. Maconi, G. Pastorin, T. Da Ros et al., "Synthesis, biological properties, and molecular modeling investigation of the first potent, selective, and water-soluble human A₃ adenosine receptor antagonist," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 45, no. 17, pp. 3579–3582, 2002.
- [31] P. G. Baraldi, F. Fruttarolo, M. A. Tabrizi et al., "Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of C⁹- and C²-substituted pyrazolo[4,3-e]-1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidines as new A_{2A} and A₃ adenosine receptors antagonists," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 46, no. 7, pp. 1229–1241, 2003.
- [32] S. L. Cheong, A. Dolzhenko, S. Kachler et al., "The significance of 2-furyl ring substitution with a 2-(*para*-substituted) aryl group in a new series of pyrazolo-triazolo-pyrimidines as potent and highly selective hA₃ adenosine receptors antagonists: new insights into structure-affinity relationship and receptor-antagonist recognition," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 53, no. 8, pp. 3361–3375, 2010.
- [33] T. Okamura, Y. Kurogi, K. Hashimoto et al., "Structureactivity relationships of adenosine A₃ receptor ligands: new potential therapy for the treatment of glaucoma," *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, vol. 14, no. 14, pp. 3775– 3779, 2004.
- [34] V. Colotta, D. Catarzi, F. Varano et al., "1,2,4-triazolo[4,3a]quinoxalin-1-one: a versatile tool for the synthesis of potent and selective adenosine receptor antagonists," *Journal* of Medicinal Chemistry, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 1158–1164, 2000.

- [35] V. Colotta, D. Catarzi, F. Varano et al., "Synthesis and structure-activity relationships of a new set of 1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one derivatives as adenosine receptor antagonists," *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 11, no. 16, pp. 3541–3550, 2003.
- [36] V. Colotta, D. Catarzi, F. Varano et al., "1,2,4-triazolo[4,3a]quinoxalin-1-one moiety as an attractive scaffold to develop new potent and selective human A₃ adenosine receptor antagonists: synthesis, pharmacological, and ligand-receptor modeling studies," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 47, no. 14, pp. 3580–3590, 2004.
- [37] O. Lenzi, V. Colotta, D. Catarzi et al., "4-amido-2-aryl-1,2,4triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-ones as new potent and selective human A₃ adenosine receptor antagonists. Synthesis, pharmacological evaluation, and ligand-receptor modeling studies," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 49, no. 13, pp. 3916–3925, 2006.
- [38] V. Colotta, D. Catarzi, F. Varano et al., "Synthesis, ligandreceptor modeling studies and pharmacological evaluation of novel 4-modified-2-aryl-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1one derivatives as potent and selective human A₃ adenosine receptor antagonists," *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 16, no. 11, pp. 6086–6102, 2008.
- [39] V. Colotta, D. Catarzi, F. Varano et al., "Synthesis and structure-activity relationships of a new set of 2-arylpyrazolo[3,4-c]quinoline derivatives as adenosine receptor antagonists," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 43, no. 16, pp. 3118–3124, 2000.
- [40] V. Colotta, D. Catarzi, F. Varano et al., "New 2-arylpyrazolo[3,4-c]quinoline derivatives as potent and selective human A₃ adenosine receptor antagonists. Synthesis, pharmacological evaluation, and ligand-receptor modeling studies," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 50, no. 17, pp. 4061–4074, 2007.
- [41] P. G. Baraldi, M. A. Tabrizi, D. Preti et al., "New 2-arylpyrazolo[4,3-c]quinoline derivatives as potent and selective human A₃ adenosine receptor antagonists," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 48, no. 15, pp. 5001–5008, 2005.
- [42] O. Lenzi, V. Colotta, D. Catarzi et al., "2-phenylpyrazolo[4,3d]pyrimidin-7-one as a new scaffold to obtain potent and selective human a adenosine receptor antagonists: new insights into the receptor-antagonist recognition," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 52, no. 23, pp. 7640–7652, 2009.
- [43] L. P. Davies, S. C. Chow, and J. H. Skerritt, "Pyrazolo[3,4d]pyrimidines as adenosine antagonists," *Life Sciences*, vol. 34, no. 22, pp. 2117–2128, 1984.
- [44] S. A. Poulsen and R. J. Quinn, "Synthesis and structureactivity relationship of pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidines: potent and selective adenosine A₁ receptor antagonists," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 39, no. 21, pp. 4156–4161, 1996.
- [45] R. J. Gillespie, I. A. Cliffe, C. E. Dawson et al., "Antagonists of the human adenosine A_{2A} receptor. Part 3: design and synthesis of pyrazolo[3,4-*d*]pyrimidines, pyrrolo[2,3*d*]pyrimidines and 6-arylpurines," *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 2924–2929, 2008.
- [46] S. Taliani, C. La Motta, L. Mugnaini et al., "Novel N -Substituted pyrazolo[3,4- d]pyrimidine adenosine A receptor antagonists: inhibition of A-Mediated human glioblastoma cell proliferation," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 53, no. 10, pp. 3954–3963, 2010.
- [47] A. Akahane, H. Katayama, T. Mitsunaga et al., "Discovery of FK453, a novel non-xanthine adenosine A₁ receptor antagonist," *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, vol. 6, no. 17, pp. 2059–2062, 1996.

- [48] A. Akahane, H. Katayama, T. Mitsunaga et al., "Discovery of 6-oxo-3 (2-phenylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridin-3-yl) 1(6H)pyridazinebutanoic acid (FK 838): a novel non-xanthine adenosine A₁ receptor antagonist with potent diuretic activity," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 779–783, 1999.
- [49] S. Kuroda, A. Akahane, H. Itani et al., "Discovery of FR166124, a novel water-soluble pyrazolo[1,5-*a*]pyridine adenosine A₁ receptor antagonist," *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, vol. 9, no. 14, pp. 1979–1984, 1999.
- [50] B. B. Fredholm, K. Lindström, S. Dionisotti, and E. Ongini, "[³H]SCH 58261, a selective adenosine A_{2A} receptor antagonist, is a useful ligand in autoradiographic studies," *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 1210–1216, 1998.
- [51] M. El Yacoubi, C. Ledent, M. Parmentier, E. Ongini, J. Costentin, and J. M. Vaugeois, "In vivo labelling of the adenosine A_{2A} receptor in mouse brain using the selective antagonist [³H]SCH 58261," *European Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 1567–1570, 2001.
- [52] P. G. Baraldi, B. Cacciari, G. Spalluto et al., "Novel N⁶-(substituted-phenylcarbamoyl)adenosine-5'-uronamides as potent agonists for A₃ adenosine receptors," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 802–806, 1996.
- [53] P. G. Baraldi, B. Cacciari, M. J. P. De Las Infantas et al., "Synthesis and biological activity of a new series of N⁶-arylcarbamoyl, 2-(Ar)alkynyl-N⁶-arylcarbamoyl, and N⁶carboxamido derivatives of adenosine-5'-N-ethyluronamide as A₁ and A₃ adenosine receptor agonists," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 41, no. 17, pp. 3174–3185, 1998.
- [54] S. Gessi, K. Varani, S. Merighi et al., "Pharmacological and biochemical characterization of A₃ adenosine receptors in Jurkat T cells," *British Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 134, no. 1, pp. 116–126, 2001.
- [55] S. Gessi, K. Varani, S. Merighi et al., "A₃ adenosine receptors in human neutrophils and promyelocytic HL60 cells: a pharmacological and biochemical study," *Molecular Pharmacology*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 415–424, 2002.
- [56] E. Novellino, E. Abignente, B. Cosimelli et al., "Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of novel N-alkyl- and Nacyl-(7-substituted-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a][1,3,5]triazin-4yl)amines (ITAs) as novel A1 adenosine receptor antagonists," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 45, no. 23, pp. 5030–5036, 2002.