

Synaptophysin controls synaptobrevin-II retrieval via a cryptic C-terminal interaction site

Received for publication, March 17, 2020, and in revised form, January 3, 2021 Published, Papers in Press, January 8, 2021, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2021.100266

Callista B. Harper^{1,2}, Eva-Maria Blumrich^{1,2}, and Michael A. Cousin^{1,2,3,*}

From the ¹Centre for Discovery Brain Sciences, ²Muir Maxwell Epilepsy Centre, ³Simons Initiative for the Developing Brain, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, EH8 9XD, UK

Edited by Roger Colbran

The accurate retrieval of synaptic vesicle (SV) proteins during endocytosis is essential for the maintenance of neurotransmission. Synaptophysin (Syp) and synaptobrevin-II (SybII) are the most abundant proteins on SVs. Neurons lacking Syp display defects in the activity-dependent retrieval of SybII and a general slowing of SV endocytosis. To determine the role of the cytoplasmic C terminus of Syp in the control of these two events, we performed molecular replacement studies in primary cultures of Syp knockout neurons using genetically encoded reporters of SV cargo trafficking at physiological temperatures. Under these conditions, we discovered, 1) no slowing in SV endocytosis in Syp knockout neurons, and 2) a continued defect in SybII retrieval in knockout neurons expressing a form of Syp lacking its C terminus. Sequential truncations of the Syp C-terminus revealed a cryptic interaction site for the SNARE motif of SybII that was concealed in the full-length form. This suggests that a conformational change within the Syp C terminus is key to permitting SybII binding and thus its accurate retrieval. Furthermore, this study reveals that the sole presynaptic role of Syp is the control of SybII retrieval, since no defect in SV endocytosis kinetics was observed at physiological temperatures.

The correct formation of synaptic vesicles (SVs) by endocytosis after their activity-dependent fusion is essential for the maintenance of neurotransmission. To be functionally competent, SVs must be packaged with a specific complement of lipids and proteins in a defined stoichiometry (1, 2). Most SV proteins contain peptide motifs enabling clustering by adaptor protein complexes such as AP-2 (3). Furthermore, monomeric adaptor proteins facilitate the incorporation of specific SV proteins such as synaptobrevin-II (SybII) and synaptotagmin-1 respectively into SVs (4, 5). Finally, SV protein interactions themselves are important for efficient retrieval. In particular, synaptophysin (Syp) and SV2A facilitate the accurate trafficking of SybII and synaptotagmin-1 during SV endocytosis (6-9). These proteins are termed intrinsic trafficking partners, and this cotrafficking may provide a molecular explanation for protein stoichiometry on SVs (10, 11).

Syp associates with SybII both in vitro and in vivo (12–16). They are proposed to interact via their transmembrane

SASBMB

domains, since binding is retained on deletion of one or more of their cytoplasmic regions (16-19). However, a definitive interaction site for either protein has not been identified.

Syp knockout neurons display impaired SybII retrieval from the plasma membrane (7, 20-22) and slowed SV endocytosis (7, 20, 23, 24). However, the molecular mechanism that underpins these defects remains unclear. The major potential protein-protein interaction interface on Syp is its cytoplasmic C terminus (approximately 90 amino acids), previously proposed to control SV endocytosis kinetics during stimulation (23). The C terminus is also implicated in SybII retrieval, since a disease-associated frame-shift mutation within the C terminus disrupts this process when expressed in Syp knockout neurons (20). We therefore set out to establish whether the Syp C terminus has distinct molecular roles in SybII retrieval and SV endocytosis kinetics.

We reveal that the only physiologically relevant role for Syp is the activity-dependent trafficking of SybII, with its cytoplasmic C terminus essential for this process. Furthermore, we discovered a cryptic interaction site for the SybII SNARE motif within the Syp C terminus, suggesting an intramolecular conformational change within Syp permits the SybII interaction.

Results

The Syp C terminus is essential for accurate sybII retrieval

We examined SybII retrieval using a molecular replacement strategy in primary hippocampal cultures of Syp knockout neurons. Two Syp mutants were investigated, in addition to either wild-type Syp tagged with the fluorescent protein mCerulean (mCer-Syp) or the empty mCer vector. The first mutant was truncated at amino acid K242, retaining 22% of Cterminal amino acids (mCer-Syp-T22, Fig. 1B). This mutant is almost identical to one that failed to rescue SV endocytosis kinetics during stimulation in Syp knockout neurons (23). The second mutant was truncated at amino acid P276, retaining 60% of the C terminus (mCer-Syp-T60, Fig. 1B). This truncation is at the position of a disease-related frame-shift mutation in Syp, which rescued SV endocytosis kinetics but not SybII retrieval (20).

SybII retrieval was monitored using the genetically encoded reporter SybII-pHluorin, which indicates the pH of its immediate environment due to a pH-sensitive GFP (pHluorin) fused to its intraluminal C terminus (25). At rest, SybII-pHluorin

^{*} For correspondence: Michael A. Cousin, M.Cousin@ed.ac.uk.



Figure 1. The Syp C terminus is essential for accurate SybII retrieval. Primary cultures of Syp knockout hippocampal neurons were transfected with SybII-pHluorin and mCer-Syp, mCer-Syp-T22, mCer-Syp-T60, or mCer between 7 and 8 DIV. At 13 to 16 DIV, at 37 °C, neurons were stimulated with action potentials (10 Hz, 30 s). Neurons were pulsed with NH₄Cl imaging buffer after 180 s. *A*, representative images of neurons transfected with mCer-Syp and SybII-pH are displayed at Rest (t = 0 s), 10 Hz, Recovery (t = 150 s) and NH₄Cl. *Arrows* indicate nerve terminals; scale bar = 10 µm. Truncations are displayed in *B*. *C*, average fluorescent SybII-pHluorin response (F/F₀ ± SEM) normalized to the stimulation peak (indicated by bar, n = 10 mCer, mCer-Syp, n = 13 T22, n = 9 T60). *D*, SybII-pHluorin response at 150 s (F/F₀ ± SEM). *E*, evoked SybII-pHluorin response normalized to the NH₄Cl (F/F₀ ± SEM). *D* and *E*, one-way ANOVA, all conditions compared with significant differences shown by **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001.

fluorescence is quenched in the acidic SV lumen. During neuronal activity, arrival at the plasma membrane (and exposure to the neutral extracellular environment) is detected as an increase in fluorescence (Fig. 1*A*). Following stimulation, the kinetics of the fluorescence decay reflects the speed of SybIIpHluorin retrieval, since endocytosis is rate limiting when compared with SV acidification ((26, 27) but also see (28)). Syp knockout neurons were cotransfected with SybIIpHluorin and mCer-Syp mutants, with SV recycling evoked *via* 300 action potentials delivered at 10 Hz. Experiments were performed at 37 °C, to ensure that any observed effects were physiologically relevant. Stimulation of Syp knockout neurons expressing wild-type mCer-Syp resulted in an increase in SybII-pHluorin fluorescence due to SV exocytosis, which



Figure 2. The C terminus is dispensable for Syp trafficking. Primary cultures of Syp knockout hippocampal neurons were transfected with either Syp-pHluorin (WT) or T22 Syp-pHluorin between 7 and 8 DIV. At 13 to 16 DIV, at 37 °C, neurons were stimulated with action potentials (10 Hz, 30 s). Neurons were pulsed with NH₄Cl imaging buffer after 180 s. *A*, average fluorescent Syp-pHluorin response (F/F₀ ± SEM) normalized to the stimulation peak (indicated by bar, n = 13 WT, n = 11 T22) (*B*) Syp-pHluorin response at 150 s (F/F₀ ± SEM). *C*, evoked Syp-pHluorin response normalized to the NH₄Cl (F/F₀ ± SEM). Student's *t*-test, *B*, *p* = 0.74, *C*, *p* = 0.63.

returned to baseline after termination of the stimulus (7) (Fig. 1, C and D). In contrast, the SybII-pHluorin response failed to return to baseline in Syp knockout neurons (mCer), indicating impaired retrieval (7, 20) (Fig. 1, C and D). Furthermore, these neurons displayed a significantly larger evoked SybII-pHluorin peak, due to perturbed SybII retrieval during stimulation (23) (Fig. 1*E*). Expression of mCer-Syp-T22 failed to rescue the increase in evoked peak height (Fig. 1E), consistent with previous work (23). Surprisingly, this mutant also failed to rescue the poststimulation SybII-pHluorin response (Fig. 1D), suggesting a role for the Syp C-terminus in SybII retrieval both during and after neuronal activity. In contrast, expression of mCer-Syp-T60 fully rescued both the evoked peak height and retrieval kinetics of SybII-pHluorin (Fig. 1, D and E). Therefore, the Syp C terminus performs a key role in the activity-dependent trafficking of SybII with a region between K242 and P276 essential for this function.

The failure of mCer-Syp-T22 to rescue SybII-pHluorin trafficking could be due to the truncation altering the trafficking of Syp. To address this, we examined the activity-dependent trafficking of Syp-pHluorin in Syp knockout neurons either with or without this truncation. These experiments revealed that Syp-pHluorin with a T22 truncation displayed identical trafficking to wild-type (Fig. 2). Therefore, the failure of the T22 truncation to rescue defects in the activity-dependent SybII trafficking was not due to altered Syp trafficking.

The Syp C terminus is dispensable for SV endocytosis kinetics

The fact that Syp-pHluorin-T22 displayed unaltered activitydependent trafficking suggests that SV recycling is also unaffected by loss of the C terminus. To confirm this, we monitored SV recycling using the reporter, vGLUT-pHluorin (29), which was coexpressed with mCer, mCer-Syp, or mCer-Syp-T22. There was no difference in either the evoked peak height or the kinetics of vGLUT-pHluorin retrieval between Syp knockout neurons and those expressing either wild-type mCer-Syp or mCer-Syp-T22 (Fig. 3, A–C). Therefore, deletion of the Syp C terminus has no impact on SV recycling kinetics. This result was surprising, since a slowing in SV endocytosis has been observed in Syp knockout neurons (7, 20, 23, 24). We reasoned that the absence of an effect might be a consequence of performing experiments at physiological temperature. We therefore repeated these experiments at room temperature. Under these conditions, a defect in both the evoked peak height and poststimulation recovery of vGLUT-pHluorin fluorescence was apparent in the absence of Syp (Fig. 3, D-F), even though the recovery kinetics were surprisingly faster at room temperature. Furthermore, mCer-Syp-T22 was unable to rescue either parameter (Fig. 3, D-F). Since these defects were absent at physiological temperatures, it suggests that the only role for Syp at central nerve terminals is the control of SybII retrieval during SV endocytosis.

The Syp C terminus contains a cryptic interaction site for SybII

The ability of mCer-Syp-T60, but not mCer-Syp-T22, to rescue activity-dependent SybII-pHluorin trafficking suggests that the region between T22 and T60 contains a SybII interaction site. Therefore, we determined whether the Syp C terminus with these truncations could bind to SybII. To achieve this, the Syp C terminus was fused to glutathione-S-transferase (GST) to generate affinity columns, which were then incubated with nerve terminal lysates (Fig. 4*A*). The extent of SybII binding was examined by western blotting. GST-Syp-C-T22 displayed no SybII binding over background GST levels (Fig. 4, *B* and *C*), as predicted from its inability to rescue SybII-pHluorin trafficking. In contrast, GST-Syp-C-T60 displayed strong binding to SybII (Fig. 4, *B* and *C*), in agreement with the rescue of SybII-pHluorin retrieval.

We next determined whether the region between the T22 and T60 truncations was sufficient to bind SybII by generating a fusion protein encompassing this sequence (GST-Syp-C-22-60). This fusion protein did not bind to SybII (Fig. 4, *B* and *C*), indicating that GST-Syp-C-T22 must contain part of the SybII interaction site. Surprisingly, full-length Syp C terminus (GST-Syp-C-FL) displayed no binding to SybII over background levels (Fig. 4, *B* and *C*). Therefore, SybII only interacts with Syp if the distal portion of the C terminus is removed.



Figure 3. Syp does not control endocytosis kinetics at 37 °**C.** Primary cultures of Syp knockout hippocampal neurons were transfected with vGLUTpHluorin and either mCer-Syp, mCer-Syp-T22, or mCer alone between 7 and 8 DIV. At 13 to 16 DIV, neurons were stimulated with action potentials (10 Hz, 30 s). Neurons were pulsed with NH₄Cl imaging buffer after 180 s. Experiments were performed at either 37 °C (*A*–*C*), or 24 °C (*D*–*F*). *A* and *D*, average fluorescent vGLUT-pHluorin response (F/F₀ ± SEM) normalized to the stimulation peak (indicated by bar, *A*; n = 9 mCer, mCer-Syp, n = 12 T22 *D*; n = 14 mCer, n = 8 mCer-Syp, n = 15 T22). *B* and *E*, vGLUT-pHluorin response at 150 s (F/F₀ ± SEM). *C* and *F* evoked vGLUT-pHluorin response normalized to NH₄CI (F/F₀ ± SEM). *B*, *C*, *E* and *F*, one-way ANOVA, all conditions compared with significant differences shown by ****p* < 0.001, **p* < 0.05.

Further truncation studies (Fig. 4*D*) revealed that removal of seven amino acids C terminal to T22 (QPAPGDA) was sufficient to ablate SybII binding, suggesting this region is essential for the interaction (Fig. 4, *E* and *F*). Therefore, a cryptic SybII interaction site resides within the first 26 amino acids of the Syp C terminus (residues 219–244). This site is occluded by the full sequence, suggesting sybII interactions

are controlled by the distal Syp C-terminus. To test this, we synthesized a peptide identical to this distal region (Syp_{270–308}) and examined its ability to modulate SybII binding to either GST-Syp-C-FL or GST-Syp-C-T60. In the absence of peptide, GST-Syp-C-T60 bound SybII while GST-Syp-C-FL did not, as observed previously (Fig. 4, *G* and *H*). In the presence of Syp_{270–308}, SybII binding to GST-Syp-C-T60



Figure 4. The Syp C terminus contains a cryptic SybII interaction site. *A* and *D*, Syp C-terminal GST-fusion proteins and Syp₂₇₀₋₃₀₈ peptide. *B–F*, GST-fusion proteins were incubated with nerve terminal lysates and SybII binding determined by western blot. *B* and *E*, representative SybII blot and Ponceau stain (to reveal GST fusion proteins). *C* and *F*, quantification of SybII binding, normalized to GST fusion protein (\pm SEM, all n = 4, ****p* < 0.001 one-way ANOVA to GST). *G*, GST-Syp-C-FL or T60 were incubated with Syp₂₇₀₋₃₀₈ peptide for 1 h, before washing and addition of nerve terminal lysate. Representative SybII blot is displayed. *H*, quantification of SybII binding, normalized to GST fusion protein (\pm SEM all n = 3, Student's *t*-test, FL *p* = 0.07, T60 *p* = 0.026). *I*, SybII structure. *J*, GST-Syp-C-FL0 was incubated with bacterial lysates expressing full-length His-SybII (1–116), 1 to 90 or 1 to 30 truncations. Representative His blots and Ponceau stain are displayed. *K*, quantification of His-SybII binding, normalized to His expression and GST fusion protein (\pm SEM, all n = 3, ****p* < 0.001 one-way ANOVA to FL).

was retained, suggesting it did not interfere with the interaction. Interestingly, $Syp_{270-308}$ facilitated an interaction between GST-Syp-C-FL and SybII (Fig. 4, *G* and *H*), significantly increasing binding of SybII. This suggests that the distal region of Syp is key to revealing a cryptic SybII interaction site within the C terminus. To determine the region of SybII that interacts with the Syp cryptic interaction site, sequential truncations of His-tagged SybII were performed and their ability to be extracted from bacterial lysates by GST-Syp-C-T60 was determined (Fig. 4*I*). Both full-length (residues 1–116) and the cytoplasmic domain (1–90) of His-SybII bound to GST-Syp-C-T60 (Fig. 4, *J* and *K*). However, deletion of the SybII SNARE motif (1–30) resulted in a loss of binding (Fig. 4, *J* and *K*). Therefore, the SybII SNARE motif is the interaction interface for the cryptic Syp binding domain.

Discussion

Syp is reported to control both the activity-dependent trafficking of SybII and SV endocytosis kinetics (7, 20, 23, 24). Here we reveal that the only physiologically relevant role for Syp in SV recycling is the control of SybII retrieval. In addition, we discovered a key role for the Syp C-terminus, with SybII retrieval controlled *via* a cryptic interaction site.

Two mutants were chosen for this study. The T22 mutant mimics a truncation that slowed SV endocytosis kinetics during stimulation in Syp knockout neurons (23), whereas the T60 is truncated at the site of a disease-associated frame-shift mutation that perturbed SybII retrieval (20). The full rescue of SybII-pHluorin trafficking by T60 suggests that the reported defects were due to the additional amino acids added after the frame shift.

Syp and SybII form a complex in nerve terminals (12–14). Subsequent work characterized how this complex was regulated by neuronal activity, development, intracellular calcium and the lipid microenvironment (15, 16, 30-34). In spite of this, a definitive explanation of how these SV proteins interact is still absent. Previous studies have hinted that they interact via their transmembrane domains, since removal of the Syp C terminus in either yeast two-hybrid assays (19) or a heterologous expression system (18) had small effects on binding. Here, we reveal a clear functional role for the C terminus in the retrieval of SybII during SV endocytosis. The interaction site is within the first 26 amino acids of the C terminus (219-244), with residues 238-244 being essential. The remainder of the C terminus is intrinsically disordered, consisting of multiple tyrosine-based pentapeptide repeats (35, 36). Recent studies examining a similarly disordered region of synapsin-1, demonstrated it could form a liquid phase at a sufficiently high concentration (37). This may explain why SybII is excluded from binding by the full-length Syp C terminus and why this interaction has not been previously observed. Tyrosine phosphorylation of the Syp C terminus does not appear to modulate SybII binding, since phospho-mimetic and null substitutions had no modulatory effect (data not shown).

The interaction with SybII was revealed *via* the addition of a peptide sequence corresponding to the final 40% of the Syp C terminus. This peptide may prevent the accretion of the Syp C terminus described above, permitting SybII binding. Alternatively, it may displace an independent interaction partner. The Syp C terminus interacts with AP-1 *via* its pentapeptide repeats (38) and Siah-1A/Siah-2 *via* its extreme C terminus (39).

However, a key point to note is that the $Syp_{270-308}$ peptide facilitates SybII binding when preincubated with the Syp C terminus and is then removed before addition of nerve terminal lysate. This strongly suggests that $Syp_{270-308}$ is disrupting an intramolecular interaction within the C terminus, allowing SybII to bind.

We revealed that SybII interacts with Syp *via* its SNARE motif, with no contribution from its transmembrane domain. The C-terminal region of the SNARE motif may be essential for this, since recombinant SybII encompassing residues 68–116 extracts Syp from SV lysates (17). This is attractive, since the monomeric adaptor AP180 interacts with the N-terminal SNARE region to mediate SybII retrieval (40). Thus both Syp and AP180 may act in concert to facilitate SybII retrieval (10).

How could this interaction occur *in vivo*? The structure of Syp/SybII complexes immunoprecipitated from brain has been revealed using negative stain electron microscopy (41). In this structure, 12 copies of SybII intercalate between six Syp molecules in a rosette-like structure. Whether SybII enters a preassembled Syp rosette after SV fusion or whether this structure spontaneously assembles in the plasma membrane is still unclear. This structure may enable clustering of Syp and SybII molecules for retrieval in the correct stoichiometry to that observed on SVs (1, 2). Furthermore, Syp binding to the SybII SNARE motif may permit upstream binding by AP180 (10).

This study reveals that Syp has a single physiological role in SV recycling, the accurate trafficking, and retrieval of SybII. We propose that after SV fusion, the *cis*-SNARE complex is cleared from the active zone *via* an interaction between SybII and intersectin (42). The SNARE complex is broken apart through the action of NSF (43, 44), before SybII is captured by Syp (10). Syp restricts the entry of SybII into futile *cis*-SNARE complexes by interacting with its SNARE domain, while presenting it in the correct configuration for its retrieval by AP180 (4, 40).

Experimental procedures

Materials

Tissue culture reagents were from Invitrogen (Paisley, UK), except foetal bovine serum (Biosera, France) and papain (Worthington, USA). Nitrocellulose membranes and molecular weight markers were from BioRad (Perth, UK). Primary antibodies were from Abcam (Cambridge, UK) unless specified. All other reagents were from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, UK).

Syp-pHluorin was from Prof. Leon Lagnado (University of Sussex), vGLUT-pHluorin from Prof. Robert Edwards (University of California), SybII-pHluorin from Prof. Gero Miesenboeck (University of Oxford), and mCer-Syp was generated as described (20). Truncations were generated using sitedirected mutagenesis by adding a stop codon after amino acids K242 (T22) and P276 (T60). T22 truncated rat SyppHluorin was generated by adding a stop codon after K237. Mouse Syp C-terminus (residues 219–308) was ligated into a PGEX-KG vector (from Dr Colin Rickman, Heriot-Watt University) using XhoI and HindIII enzymes (forward primer CTCGAGTCAAGGAGACAGGCTGGGCCGCCCC; reverse primer AAGCTTTTACATCTGATTGGAGAAGGAGGTG (restriction sites underlined). The Syp C terminus was truncated by adding a stop codon after amino acids K237 (T22) A244 (T29), G249 (T35), and G268 (T60). GST-Syp-C-22-60 was generated using the forward primer TAAGCACTC-GAGCAACCGGCACCCGGGGGACGCCTACG and reverse primer TGCTTAAAGCTTAAGGCTGGTAGCCGCCCT-GAGGCCC. Syp₂₇₀₋₃₀₈ (mouse residues 270-308) was generated by BioServUK Ltd (Sheffield, UK). Mouse SybII (residues 1-116) was cloned into a pQE-30 vector (Quiagen, UK) using BamHI and SalI enzymes (forward primer GGATCCATGTCGGCTACCGCTGCCACCGTCC; reverse primer GTCGACCTAAGTGCTGAAGTAAACGATGAT-GATG. His-SybII (1-30) and (1-90) were generated by adding a stop codon after amino acids R30 and W90.

Animal maintenance

All animal work was performed in accordance with the UK Animal (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, under Project and Personal Licence authority approved by the University of Edinburgh Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body (Home Office project licence—7008878). Animals were killed by schedule 1 procedures in accordance with UK Home Office Guidelines; adults were killed by cervical dislocation followed by exsanguination, embryos were killed by decapitation followed by destruction of the brain. Syp knockout mice (45) were maintained as heterozygotes on a C57BL/6J background and timed mated as homozygous pairs.

Primary neuronal culture and transfection

Dissociated primary hippocampal-enriched neuronal cultures were prepared from E16.5 to 18.5 embryos from Syp knockout mice of both sexes (8, 21). Neurons were plated at 3 to 5×10^4 cells on poly-D-lysine and laminin-coated 25 mm coverslips. Cells were transfected on 7 to 8 days *in vitro* (DIV) with Lipofectamine 2000 (20).

Fluorescence imaging

Primary cultures were used at 13-16 DIV. Live fluorescence imaging was performed on a Zeiss Axio Observer D1 or Z1 inverted epifluorescence microscope (Cambridge, UK) with a Zeiss EC Plan Neofluar 40x/1.30 oil immersion objective. Cultures were mounted in an imaging chamber with embedded parallel platinum wires (RC-21BRFS, Warner Instruments, USA) and stimulated with 300 action potentials delivered at 10 Hz (100 mA, 1 ms pulse width). Imaging buffer (in mM: 119 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 2 MgCl₂, 30 D-glucose, 25 HEPES, pH 7.4 supplemented with 10 µM 6-cyano-7nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione and 50 µM DL-2-Amino-5phosphonopentanoic acid) was continuously perfused at either 37 °C or 24 °C (VC66-CS system, Warner Instruments, USA). After 180 s cultures were perfused with alkaline imaging buffer (50 mM NH₄Cl substituted for 50 mM NaCl) to reveal total pHluorin fluorescence. Images were captured using an AxioCam 506 mono camera (Zeiss), with pHluorin or mCer vectors visualized at either 500 nm or 430 nm excitation (long-pass emission filter >520 nm). Each experimental condition was sampled on the same day, within the same set of primary cultures.

Offline data processing was performed using Fiji is just ImageJ software (46). A background thresholding script was used to select nerve terminals responding to stimulation. Average fluorescent intensity was measured using the Time Series Analyzer plugin. Subsequent data analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel, Matlab (Cambridge, UK) and GraphPad Prism 6.0 (CA, USA) software. The activitydependent pHluorin fluorescence change was calculated as F/F_0 and normalized to fluorescence at either the stimulation peak or in the presence of NH₄Cl.

Protein expression and GST pull-downs

Isolated nerve terminals were prepared from rat brains of both sexes (47). GST fusion proteins were expressed and coupled to glutathione-Sepharose beads (48). Nerve terminals were solubilized for 5 min at 4 °C in 25 mM Tris, pH 7.4, with 1% Triton X-100, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, and protease inhibitor cocktail. Bacteria expressing His-SybII proteins were lysed in 20 mM HEPES, 200 mM KCl, 50 mM imidazole, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 10% v/v glycerol, 1% v/v Triton X-100, pH 7. Synaptosome or bacterial lysates were centrifuged at 20,442g for 5 min at 4 °C with the subsequent supernatant incubated with GST-fusion proteins for 1 h at 4 °C unless otherwise indicated. After washing in lysis buffer (including a 500 mM NaCl wash), beads were washed in 20 mM Tris (pH 7.4) and boiled in SDS sample buffer. The released proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE for western blotting analysis (anti-SybII, ab3347, 1:1000; anti-His, H1029, 1:3000). IRDye secondary antibodies (800CW anti-rabbit IgG, #925-32213, 1:10,000) and Odyssey blocking PBS buffer were from LI-COR Biosciences (Nebraska, USA). Blots were visualized using a LiCOR Odyssey fluorescent imaging system, with band densities quantified using either LiCOR Image Studio Lite software (version 5.2) or Image J (version 1.52). The SybII band was normalized to the GST fusion protein band revealed by Ponceau-S staining (His-SybII was also normalized to bacterial expression). Where indicated, Syp₂₇₀₋₃₀₈ was incubated with GST fusion proteins for 1 h, before washing and addition of nerve terminal lysate.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed in Graph Pad Prism 6.0. Sample size (n) for neuronal cultures was individual coverslips and for synaptosomes, individual experiments. All data are presented as mean values \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). For comparisons between two groups, a Student's *t*-test was used, for more than two groups, a one-way ANOVA was performed with a post-hoc Tukey test when comparing all conditions and a Dunnett test when comparing to one condition (both corrected for multiple comparisons).

ACCELERATED COMMUNICATION: Syp controls SybII retrieval via a cryptic C-terminal site

Data availability

All relevant data are contained within the article.

Acknowledgments—Dr Alexander Johnson generated the T22 plasmids used in this study.

Author contributions—C. B. H. and M. A. C. conceptualization, writing – original draft; C. B. H. and E. B. formal analysis, methodology; C. B. H., E. B., and M. A. C., investigation; C. B. H., E. B., and M. A. C. writing – review & editing; M. A. C. funding acquisition.

Funding and additional information—Work funded by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BB/L019329/1) and The Wellcome Trust (204954/Z/16/Z).

Conflicts of interest—The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

Abbreviations—The abbreviations used are: DIV, days *in vitro*; mCer, mCerulean; GST, glutathione-S-transferase; SV, synaptic vesicle; Syp, Synaptophysin; SybII, Synaptobrevin-II.

References

- Takamori, S., Holt, M., Stenius, K., Lemke, E. A., Gronborg, M., Riedel, D., Urlaub, H., Schenck, S., Brugger, B., Ringler, P., Muller, S. A., Rammner, B., Grater, F., Hub, J. S., De Groot, B. L., *et al.* (2006) Molecular anatomy of a trafficking organelle. *Cell* **127**, 831–846
- Wilhelm, B. G., Mandad, S., Truckenbrodt, S., Krohnert, K., Schafer, C., Rammner, B., Koo, S. J., Classen, G. A., Krauss, M., Haucke, V., Urlaub, H., and Rizzoli, S. O. (2014) Composition of isolated synaptic boutons reveals the amounts of vesicle trafficking proteins. *Science* 344, 1023–1028
- Kelly, B. T., and Owen, D. J. (2011) Endocytic sorting of transmembrane protein cargo. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 23, 404–412
- Koo, S. J., Kochlamazashvili, G., Rost, B., Puchkov, D., Gimber, N., Lehmann, M., Tadeus, G., Schmoranzer, J., Rosenmund, C., Haucke, V., and Maritzen, T. (2015) Vesicular synaptobrevin/VAMP2 levels guarded by AP180 control efficient neurotransmission. *Neuron* 88, 330–344
- Kononenko, N. L., Diril, M. K., Puchkov, D., Kintscher, M., Koo, S. J., Pfuhl, G., Winter, Y., Wienisch, M., Klingauf, J., Breustedt, J., Schmitz, D., Maritzen, T., and Haucke, V. (2013) Compromised fidelity of endocytic synaptic vesicle protein sorting in the absence of stonin 2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, E526–535
- Kaempf, N., Kochlamazashvili, G., Puchkov, D., Maritzen, T., Bajjalieh, S. M., Kononenko, N. L., and Haucke, V. (2015) Overlapping functions of stonin 2 and SV2 in sorting of the calcium sensor synaptotagmin 1 to synaptic vesicles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, 7297–7302
- Gordon, S. L., Leube, R. E., and Cousin, M. A. (2011) Synaptophysin is required for synaptobrevin retrieval during synaptic vesicle endocytosis. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 14032–14036
- Zhang, N., Gordon, S. L., Fritsch, M. J., Esoof, N., Campbell, D. G., Gourlay, R., Velupillai, S., Macartney, T., Peggie, M., van Aalten, D. M., Cousin, M. A., and Alessi, D. R. (2015) Phosphorylation of synaptic vesicle protein 2A at Thr84 by casein kinase 1 family kinases controls the specific retrieval of synaptotagmin-1. *J. Neurosci.* 35, 2492–2507
- Yao, J., Nowack, A., Kensel-Hammes, P., Gardner, R. G., and Bajjalieh, S. M. (2010) Cotrafficking of SV2 and synaptotagmin at the synapse. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 5569–5578
- Gordon, S. L., and Cousin, M. A. (2016) The iTRAPs: Guardians of synaptic vesicle cargo retrieval during endocytosis. *Front. Synaptic Neurosci.* 8, 1
- Gordon, S. L., Harper, C. B., Smillie, K. J., and Cousin, M. A. (2016) A fine balance of synaptophysin levels underlies efficient retrieval of synaptobrevin II to synaptic vesicles. *PLoS One* 11, e0149457

- Edelmann, L., Hanson, P. I., Chapman, E. R., and Jahn, R. (1995) Synaptobrevin binding to synaptophysin: A potential mechanism for controlling the exocytotic fusion machine. *EMBO J.* 14, 224–231
- Washbourne, P., Schiavo, G., and Montecucco, C. (1995) Vesicle-associated membrane protein-2 (synaptobrevin-2) forms a complex with synaptophysin. *Biochem. J.* 305(Pt 3), 721–724
- Calakos, N., and Scheller, R. H. (1994) Vesicle-associated membrane protein and synaptophysin are associated on the synaptic vesicle. *J. Biol. Chem.* 269, 24534–24537
- Khvotchev, M. V., and Sudhof, T. C. (2004) Stimulus-dependent dynamic homo- and heteromultimerization of synaptobrevin/VAMP and synaptophysin. *Biochemistry* 43, 15037–15043
- Becher, A., Drenckhahn, A., Pahner, I., Margittai, M., Jahn, R., and Ahnert-Hilger, G. (1999) The synaptophysin-synaptobrevin complex: A hallmark of synaptic vesicle maturation. *J. Neurosci.* 19, 1922–1931
- Yelamanchili, S. V., Reisinger, C., Becher, A., Sikorra, S., Bigalke, H., Binz, T., and Ahnert-Hilger, G. (2005) The C-terminal transmembrane region of synaptobrevin binds synaptophysin from adult synaptic vesicles. *Eur. J. Cell Biol.* 84, 467–475
- Bonanomi, D., Rusconi, L., Colombo, C. A., Benfenati, F., and Valtorta, F. (2007) Synaptophysin I selectively specifies the exocytic pathway of synaptobrevin 2/VAMP2. *Biochem. J.* 404, 525–534
- Felkl, M., and Leube, R. E. (2008) Interaction assays in yeast and cultured cells confirm known and identify novel partners of the synaptic vesicle protein synaptophysin. *Neuroscience* 156, 344–352
- Gordon, S. L., and Cousin, M. A. (2013) X-linked intellectual disabilityassociated mutations in synaptophysin disrupt synaptobrevin II retrieval. *J. Neurosci.* 33, 13695–13700
- Harper, C. B., Mancini, G. M. S., van Slegtenhorst, M., and Cousin, M. A. (2017) Altered synaptobrevin-II trafficking in neurons expressing a synaptophysin mutation associated with a severe neurodevelopmental disorder. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 108, 298–306
- Kokotos, A. C., Harper, C. B., Marland, J. R. K., Smillie, K. J., Cousin, M. A., and Gordon, S. L. (2019) Synaptophysin sustains presynaptic performance by preserving vesicular synaptobrevin-II levels. *J. Neurochem.* 151, 28–37
- Kwon, S. E., and Chapman, E. R. (2011) Synaptophysin regulates the kinetics of synaptic vesicle endocytosis in central neurons. *Neuron* 70, 847–854
- 24. Rajappa, R., Gauthier-Kemper, A., Boning, D., Huve, J., and Klingauf, J. (2016) Synaptophysin 1 clears synaptobrevin 2 from the presynaptic active zone to prevent short-term depression. *Cell Rep.* 14, 1369–1381
- **25.** Miesenbock, G., De Angelis, D. A., and Rothman, J. E. (1998) Visualizing secretion and synaptic transmission with pH-sensitive green fluorescent proteins. *Nature* **394**, 192–195
- Atluri, P. P., and Ryan, T. A. (2006) The kinetics of synaptic vesicle reacidification at hippocampal nerve terminals. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 2313– 2320
- Granseth, B., Odermatt, B., Royle, S. J., and Lagnado, L. (2006) Clathrinmediated endocytosis is the dominant mechanism of vesicle retrieval at hippocampal synapses. *Neuron* 51, 773–786
- Egashira, Y., Takase, M., and Takamori, S. (2015) Monitoring of vacuolartype H+ ATPase-mediated proton influx into synaptic vesicles. *J. Neurosci.* 35, 3701–3710
- Voglmaier, S. M., Kam, K., Yang, H., Fortin, D. L., Hua, Z., Nicoll, R. A., and Edwards, R. H. (2006) Distinct endocytic pathways control the rate and extent of synaptic vesicle protein recycling. *Neuron* 51, 71–84
- Reisinger, C., Yelamanchili, S. V., Hinz, B., Mitter, D., Becher, A., Bigalke, H., and Ahnert-Hilger, G. (2004) The synaptophysin/synaptobrevin complex dissociates independently of neuroexocytosis. *J. Neurochem.* 90, 1–8
- Hinz, B., Becher, A., Mitter, D., Schulze, K., Heinemann, U., Draguhn, A., and Ahnert-Hilger, G. (2001) Activity-dependent changes of the presynaptic synaptophysin-synaptobrevin complex in adult rat brain. *Eur. J. Cell Biol.* 80, 615–619
- 32. Mitter, D., Reisinger, C., Hinz, B., Hollmann, S., Yelamanchili, S. V., Treiber-Held, S., Ohm, T. G., Herrmann, A., and Ahnert-Hilger, G. (2003) The synaptophysin/synaptobrevin interaction critically depends on the cholesterol content. *J. Neurochem.* 84, 35–42



- Treppmann, P., Brunk, I., Afube, T., Richter, K., and Ahnert-Hilger, G. (2011) Neurotoxic phospholipases directly affect synaptic vesicle function. J. Neurochem. 117, 757–764
- Daly, C., and Ziff, E. B. (2002) Ca2+-dependent formation of a dynaminsynaptophysin complex: Potential role in synaptic vesicle endocytosis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 9010–9015
- 35. Leube, R. E., Kaiser, P., Seiter, A., Zimbelmann, R., Franke, W. W., Rehm, H., Knaus, P., Prior, P., Betz, H., and Reinke, H. (1987) Synaptophysin: Molecular organization and mRNA expression as determined from cloned cDNA. *EMBO J.* 6, 3261–3268
- 36. Sudhof, T. C., Lottspeich, F., Greengard, P., Mehl, E., and Jahn, R. (1987) A synaptic vesicle protein with a novel cytoplasmic domain and four transmembrane regions. *Science* 238, 1142–1144
- Milovanovic, D., Wu, Y., Bian, X., and De Camilli, P. (2018) A liquid phase of synapsin and lipid vesicles. *Science* 361, 604–607
- Horikawa, H. P., Kneussel, M., El Far, O., and Betz, H. (2002) Interaction of synaptophysin with the AP-1 adaptor protein gamma-adaptin. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 21, 454–462
- Wheeler, T. C., Chin, L. S., Li, Y., Roudabush, F. L., and Li, L. (2002) Regulation of synaptophysin degradation by mammalian homologues of seven in absentia. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 10273–10282
- 40. Koo, S. J., Markovic, S., Puchkov, D., Mahrenholz, C. C., Beceren-Braun, F., Maritzen, T., Dernedde, J., Volkmer, R., Oschkinat, H., and Haucke, V. (2011) SNARE motif-mediated sorting of synaptobrevin by the endocytic adaptors clathrin assembly lymphoid myeloid leukemia

(CALM) and AP180 at synapses. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108, 13540-13545

- Adams, D. J., Arthur, C. P., and Stowell, M. H. (2015) Architecture of the synaptophysin/synaptobrevin complex: Structural evidence for an entropic clustering function at the synapse. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 13659
- 42. Japel, M., Gerth, F., Sakaba, T., Bacetic, J., Yao, L., Koo, S. J., Maritzen, T., Freund, C., and Haucke, V. (2020) Intersectin-Mediated clearance of SNARE complexes is required for fast neurotransmission. *Cell Rep.* **30**, 409–420.e406
- Brunger, A. T., Choi, U. B., Lai, Y., Leitz, J., and Zhou, Q. (2018) Molecular mechanisms of fast neurotransmitter release. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* 47, 469–497
- Rizo, J. (2018) Mechanism of neurotransmitter release coming into focus. *Protein Sci.* 27, 1364–1391
- 45. Eshkind, L. G., and Leube, R. E. (1995) Mice lacking synaptophysin reproduce and form typical synaptic vesicles. *Cell Tissue Res.* 282, 423–433
- 46. Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J. Y., White, D. J., Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri, K., Tomancak, P., et al. (2012) Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods* 9, 676–682
- Cousin, M. A., and Robinson, P. J. (2000) Ca(2+) influx inhibits dynamin and arrests synaptic vesicle endocytosis at the active zone. *J. Neurosci.* 20, 949–957
- 48. Anggono, V., Smillie, K. J., Graham, M. E., Valova, V. A., Cousin, M. A., and Robinson, P. J. (2006) Syndapin I is the phosphorylation-regulated dynamin I partner in synaptic vesicle endocytosis. *Nat. Neurosci.* 9, 752–760