J. Phys. Ther. Sci. 27: 3843-3846, 2015

Original Article

The effect of lumbar stabilization exercises and thoracic mobilization and exercises on chronic low back pain patients

Min-Yeong Heo, PT, $MS^{1)}$, Kyoung Kim, PT, Ph $D^{1)}$, Beom-Young Hur, $MS^{2)}$, Chan-Woo Nam, PT, $MS^{1)*}$

Abstract. [Purpose] To investigate whether pain, balance, and stabilization of the lumbar region can be improved through thoracic mobilization in addition to lumbar stabilizating exercises. [Subjects and Methods] This study recruited 36 subjects with chronic low back pain lasting more than 12 weeks. The subjects recruited for this study participated voluntarily, and provided their signed consent to participation. [Results] Improvement in balance was largest in the lumbar stabilization exercise group, followed by the thoracic mobilization and exercise group, and the traditional physical therapy group, in decreasing order of effect. [Conclusion] In conclusion, lumbar stabilization exercises combined with thoracic mobilization had greater effects on stabilization of the lumbar region pain relief, and improvement of the function of the patients with chronic low back pain.

Key words: Lumbar stabilization exercises, Thoracic mobilization exercises, Chronic low back pain

(This article was submitted Aug. 17, 2015, and was accepted Sep. 18, 2015)

INTRODUCTION

Low back pain is one of the most common diseases and about 70–80% of the population experience it once or more in modern society¹⁾, and its incidence, and social expenditures related to it are increasing²⁾. There are various causes of back pain, and among them, herniation of intervertebral discs, and muscle weakness caused by muscle damage are major causes of lower back pain³⁾.

Low back pain is caused by loss of segmental stabilization and balance ability, and limits range of motion⁴⁾. Patients are designated as having chronic low back pain, when lumbar pain with non-specific causes lasts more than 12 weeks⁵⁾. In recent years, mechanical causes of pain account for the greatest number of cases. After analyzing the motion of each segment of the spine of degenerated disc patients, our study group reported the most common cause of back pain is spinal instability⁶⁾.

In human movement in a multi-component model, the movement of the most flexible segment generats the largest angle. Therefore, most spinal dysfunction is caused by excessive flexibility of a particular segment rather than poor flexibility⁷⁾.

*Corresponding author. Chan-Woo Nam (E-mail: somamir99@naver.com)

©2015 The Society of Physical Therapy Science. Published by IPEC Inc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives (by-nc-nd) License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>.

When conducting functional activities, the mobilization of the joints adjacent to an unstable segment is relatively increased, and decreasing the hypermobility through stabilization of the hypermobile segment can promote healing⁸⁾. Thus, thoracic mobilization may help to promote stabilization of the lumbar region.

According to a recent study, instability of the lumbar spine is the most important cause of chronic low back pain⁹). If this instability increases, it can elicit abnormal posture reactions in static balance and result in inability to perform normal activities due to a loss of sense balance in patients with chronic low back pain. Loss of balance can also be caused by the loss of the muscular strength or neurologic imbalances.

Many studies have reported that lumbar stabilization exercises provide stabilization by strengthening the lumbar deep muscles of patients with chronic low back pain, but few studies have investigated lumbar mechanical stabilization through thoracic mobilization. Therefore, this study investigated whether pain, balance, and stabilization of the lumbar can be improved through thoracic mobilization in addition to lumbar stabilization exercises.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

This study recruited subjects with chronic low back pain lasting more than 12 weeks. Forty-five subjects satisfied the selection criteria, and 36 them participated in the study. They were divided into 3 groups; a traditional physiotherapy group (TPG), a lumbar stabilization exercise group (LSEG), and a thoracic mobilization exercise group (TMEG).

¹⁾ Department of Physical Therapy, College of Rehabilitation Science, Daegu University: 201 Daegudae-ro, Gyeongsan-si, Gyeongsangbuk-do, Republic of Korea

²⁾ Department of Physical Therapy, Catholic University of Pusan, Republic of Korea

Traditional physiotherapy, lumbar stabilization exercises, or thoracic mobilization and exercise were performed three times a week for 12 weeks. The outcome measures were a visual analog scale assessment of pain, the balance index, and lumbar translation length. Selection criteria were: experience of low back pain over 12 weeks, and participants who couldn't sit long in one position, who felt pain every time they changed their position, and also frequently changed their sitting posture. The subjects chosen for this study participated voluntarily, and provided their written informed consent form in accordance with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All the subjects received traditional physiotherapy comprised of hot pack treatment for 20 minutes, ultrasound therapy for 5 minutes, and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for 15 minutes, a total of 40 minutes.

The lumbar stabilization exercise group, additionally performed exercise for stabilizing the multifidus muscles, and transversus abdominis, after receiving traditional physiotherapy. The lumbar stabilization exercise program consisted of three exercises. Each exercise was repeated 12 times for 1 set, and 5 sets, with a break of 3 minutes between sets, were performed in one therapy session. The 3 exercises were: a semi-situp, holding the legs in a cross-legged position lying face downwards, and lying on the side keeping one leg raised.

The thoracic mobilization and exercise group additionally performed two exercise programs and received passive thoracic soft tissue mobilization to increase the thoracic mobility after traditional physiotherapy. The thoracic mobility exercise program consisted of 2 exercises. Each exercise was repeated 12 times for 1 set, and a total of 5 sets, with a break of three minutes between sets, were performed in one therapy session.

Thoracic soft tissue mobilization was carried out for 5 minutes after performance of the thoracic soft tissue exercises. These involved moving the upper body, only putting a pillow on the thoracic area, moving the thoracic area, and mobilization with the upper body and lower body crossed. Pain levels were assessed using a visual analog scale (VAS). In this study, balance was assessed as the best score of 3 trials in a standing posture on a balance measuring instrument (MICROSWIMG 5.0, HAIDER BIOSWING, Germany) connected to a computer using Posturomed software.

The lumbar translation length test is an objective test of lumbar instability. If any segment of the functional spine is in an unstable state during measurement of the translation of the functional spine under a physical force, it translates more than stable segment in the physical forces at that time. In case sagittal plane displacement was transferred more than at least 3 mm in any one segment the curve or expansion when conducting the X-ray inspection, we called it an instability of the lumbar when more than 9% of adjacent vertebral body diameter was transferred¹⁰.

The translation length of the unstable segments was measured in the same way before and after the intervention to test the translation distance of the segments of the patients with chronic low back pain. To measure the distance, a line was drawn on the upper surface of the lower vertebrae of the segment to be measured in the sagittal plane of the X-ray, together with a vertical line. Then, the lower surface of the upper vertebrae and the end part of end plate of the upper surface of the lower vertebrae were connected to this line vertically, and the distance between the two lines was measured.

All data obtained in this study were processed using SPSS for 20.0 Windows. After the intervention, the differences in lumbar stability, balance, and pain between the groups were examined using the paired t test. The statistical significance level, α , used was 0.05.

RESULTS

The general characteristics of the subject are shown in Table 1.

The lumbar stability values as measured on X-rays are shown in Table 2. The thoracic mobilization and exercise group and the lumbar stabilization exercise group showed significant improvements in the lumbar stabilization test.

The balance index scores are shown in Table 2. Balance improvement was largest in the lumbar stabilization exercise group, followed by the thoracic mobilization and exercise group, and traditional physical therapy, in decreasing order of effect. VAS scores of pain are also shown in Table 2. Pain showed improvements in all of the groups.

Table 1. The general characteristics of the subjects

Variables	TPG (N=12)	LSEG (N=12)	TMEG (N=12)	
LBP duration (years)	3.3±1.1	2.8±2.2	2.9±1.3	
Gender: Male (%)	11 (91.7)	10 (83.3)	8 (66.7)	
Age (years)	45.4±6.4	43.0±6.3	43.0±5.4	

Table 2. Comparison of lumbar stabilization, balance index, pain among the three groups

	Lumbar stabilization (N=12) Unit (mm)		Balance index (N=12) Unit (score)		Pain (N=12) Unit (score)	
Group						
	pre	post	Pre	post	pre	post
LSEG	3.7±0.6	1.2±0.5*	179.7±62.3	597.5±40.4*	6.9 ± 0.6	3.1±0.3*
TMEG	3.7 ± 0.7	1.54±0.5*	167.9±47.6	450.6±50.5*	7.1 ± 0.6	3.0±0.4*
TPG	3.5±0.4	3.29 ± 0.4	179.5±41.0	261.9±41.1	7.1±0.5	3.2±0.2*

^{*}p<0.05, paired t-test

LSEG: lumbar stabilization exercise group, TMEG: thoracic mobilization exercise group, TPG: traditional physiotherapy group

DISCUSSION

Today, most people experience back pain caused by lumbar damage at least once in their lifetimes. It lasts from 2 months to 3 months, and it has a probability recurrence¹¹⁾.

Currently, passive treatments for patients with low back pain are losing favor, and exercise treatments, active treatments which are effective at promoting a normal life, improving capacity, and preventing recurrence, are being used for the rehabilitation of patients with chronic low back pain¹²).

It has often reported that lumbar stabilization exercise, which has been extensively studied among the treatment programs including the exercise, is an effective treatment method¹³⁾. We conducted the standing on one leg check targeting the patients with low back pain, and the result is reported that they were not good at maintaining a balance compared to normal people because the muscles ability was bad. It has also been reported that patients with chronic low back pain have poorer one-leg standing ability on an unstable surface than healthy people, and there was a difference in their weight distributions in two-legged standing between the presence and absence of sight. Musculoskeletal disorders such as low back pain are said to limit the ability to maintain balance¹⁴⁾.

Chronic low back pain patients need to maintain the posture of the trunk, and generate lumbar stability by contracting muscles such as the transverus abdominis and multifidus which are responsible for the stability of the lumbar region. Therefore, lumbar stabilization exercises involving the multifidus and transverus abdominis result in improvements in postural balance in patients with chronic low back pain¹⁵).

Only a few studies have investigated other treatment programs for lumbar instability. Recently, a method of treatment that can relieve pain and improve functional limitations has been introduced for back pain. This method reduces the stress caused by excessive movement of segments displaying lumbar instability through mobilization of the segments which have restricted motion¹⁶.

The present study shows that lumbar stabilization exercise was most effective for lumbar stabilization, followed by thoracic mobilization exercise, and traditional physiotherapy, in decreasing order of effect. In other words, lumbar stabilization exercises and thoracic mobilization exercises improved lumbar stability.

Considering this result, it seems to be closely related between abnormal movements of lumbar segments and lumbar stabilization exercises, and a reduction in the abnormal movements of segments that have lumbar segmental instability was achieved by performance of lumbar stabilization exercises or thoracic mobilization exercises, resulting in improvement of low back pain. After the intervention, the balance index scores showed significant improvements in all three groups.

This study demonstrated that lumbar stabilization exercises and thoracic mobilization exercise improved the balance of patients with chronic low back pain, and chronic low back pain caused lumbar injury is closely related to the balance of the trunk. Pain assessed by the visual analogue scale showed significant reductions between before and

after the intervention on all of the group, and there was no statistically significant difference among the groups after the intervention.

According to the results traditional physiotherapy didn't reduce the abnormal movements of the unstable segments or weakened deep muscles of the patients with chronic low back pain caused by lumbar instability. Therefore, there was a reduction in pain and an improvement in balance, but there was the possibility of recurrence of low back pain because of the lack of correction of lumbar instability. Lumbar stabilization exercises improved lumbar stabilization exercises improved lumbar region by strengthening the multifidus and transverus abdominis, which are lumbar deep muscles.

This result is consistent with the significant reduction in pain and functional improvement after the intervention of lumbar stabilization exercises which were conducted to strengthen the multifidus and transverus abdominis, which are lumbar deep muscles¹⁷⁾. The intervention to improve the thoracic mobilization of the thoracic mobilization exercise group increased thoracic mobility, improved lumbar stability by decreasing the abnormal excessive motion of the unstable lumbar segments, and improved the physical disability by reducing pain and improving balance.

In conclusion, existing studies have mostly investigated lumbar stabilization exercises known as treatment for lumbar instability in patient with chronic low back pain. In this study, an indirect treatment method for the treatment of unstable segments in patients with chronic low back pain was investigated. Lumbar stability improved and the stress caused by excessive movements of the relatively unstable lumbar segments was reduced by increasing the mobilization of thoracic segments which showed restricted movements. Therefore, it is our opinion that the clinical treatment of lumbar stabilization exercises combined with thoracic mobilization has a greater effect on the stability of the lumbar region, relieving pain, and improving the function of patients with chronic low back pain.

REFERENCES

- Grabiner MD, Jeziorowski JJ, Divekar AD: Isokinetic measurements of trunk extension and flexion performance collected with the biodex clinical data station. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther, 1990, 11: 590–598. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- O'Sullivan PB: Lumbar segmental 'instability': clinical presentation and specific stabilizing exercise management. Man Ther, 2000, 5: 2–12. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Balagué F, Mannion AF, Pellisé F, et al.: Non-specific low back pain. Lancet, 2012, 379: 482–491. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Alexander KM, LaPier TL: Differences in static balance and weight distribution between normal subjects and subjects with chronic unilateral low back pain. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther, 1998, 28: 378–383. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Wheeler AH: Diagnosis and management of low back pain and sciatica. Am Fam Physician, 1995, 52: 1333–1341, 1347–1348. [Medline]
- Seligman JV, Gertzbein SD, Tile M, et al.: Computer analysis of spinal segment motion in degenerative disc disease with and without axial loading. Spine, 1984, 9: 566–573. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Sahrmann SA: Diagnosis and Treatment of Movement Impairment Syndromes. Mosby, 2002, pp 51–120.
- Johansson F: Interexaminer reliability of lumbar segmental mobility tests Man Ther, 2006, 11: 331–336. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Panjabi MM: The stabilizing system of the spine. Part I. Function, dysfunction, adaptation, and enhancement. J Spinal Disord, 1992, 5: 383–389,

- discussion 397. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Panjabi MM: Clinical spinal instability and low back pain. J Electromyogr Kinesiol, 2003, 13: 371–379. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Hides JA, Richardson CA, Jull GA: Multifidus muscle recovery is not automatic after resolution of acute, first-episode low back pain. Spine, 1996, 21: 2763–2769. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 12) Deyo RA: Acute low back pain: a new paradigm for management. BMJ, 1996, 313: 1343–1344. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Luoto S, Aalto H, Taimela S, et al.: One-footed and externally disturbed two-footed postural control in patients with chronic low back pain and healthy control subjects. A controlled study with follow-up. Spine, 1998, 23: 2081–2089, discussion 2089–2090. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 14) Nies N, Sinnott PL: Variations in balance and body sway in middle-aged

- adults. Subjects with healthy backs compared with subjects with low-back dysfunction. Spine, 1991, 16: 325–330. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 15) Hodges PW, Richardson CA: Contraction of the abdominal muscles associated with movement of the lower limb. Phys Ther, 1997, 77: 132–142, discussion 142–144. [Medline]
- 16) Sung YB, Lee JH, Park YH: Effects of thoracic mobilization and manipulation on function and mental state in chronic lower back pain. J Phys Ther Sci, 2014, 26: 1711–1714. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- O'Sullivan PB, Phyty GD, Twomey LT, et al.: Evaluation of specific stabilizing exercise in the treatment of chronic low back pain with radiologic diagnosis of spondylolysis or spondylolisthesis. Spine, 1997, 22: 2959–2967.
 [Medline] [CrossRef]