

Article

Characterization of the AP2/ERF Transcription Factor Family and Expression Profiling of DREB Subfamily under Cold and Osmotic Stresses in Ammopiptanthus nanus

Shilin Cao, Ying Wang, Xuting Li, Fei Gao *^(D), Jinchao Feng and Yijun Zhou *

College of Life and Environmental Sciences, Minzu University of China, Beijing 100081, China; 18301207@muc.edu.cn (S.C.); wyxm12138@163.com (Y.W.); 15210636339@163.com (X.L.); jchfeng@263.net (J.F.)

* Correspondence: gaofei@muc.edu.cn (F.G.); zhouyijun@muc.edu.cn (Y.Z.);

Tel.: +86-10-68932633 (F.G.); +86-10-689322922 (Y.Z.)

Received: 17 February 2020; Accepted: 2 April 2020; Published: 4 April 2020



Abstract: APETALA2/ethylene-responsive factor (AP2/ERF) is one of the largest transcription factor (TF) families in plants, which play important roles in regulating plant growth, development, and response to environmental stresses. Ammopiptanthus nanus, an unusual evergreen broad-leaved shrub in the arid region in the northern temperate zone, demonstrates a strong tolerance to low temperature and drought stresses, and AP2/ERF transcription factors may contribute to the stress tolerance of A. nanus. In the current study, 174 AP2/ERF family members were identified from the A. nanus genome, and they were divided into five subfamilies, including 92 ERF members, 55 dehydration-responsive element binding (DREB) members, 24 AP2 members, 2 RAV members, and 1 Soloist member. Compared with the other leguminous plants, A. nanus has more members of the DREB subfamily and the B1 group of the ERF subfamily, and gene expansion in the AP2/ERF family is primarily driven by tandem and segmental duplications. Promoter analysis showed that many stress-related *cis*-acting elements existed in promoter regions of the DREB genes, implying that MYB, ICE1, and WRKY transcription factors regulate the expression of DREB genes in A. nanus. Expression profiling revealed that the majority of DREB members were responsive to osmotic and cold stresses, and several DREB genes such as EVM0023336.1 and EVM0013392.1 were highly induced by cold stress, which may play important roles in cold response in A. nanus. This study provided important data for understanding the evolution and functions of AP2/ERF and DREB transcription factors in A. nanus.

Keywords: Ammopiptanthus nanus; AP2/ERF; transcription factor; gene family; osmotic stress; cold stress

1. Introduction

Plants are inevitably subjected to various adverse conditions in their life cycle, such as cold stress, drought stress, and salt stress, which negatively affect their growth, development, and yield [1]. Plants respond to environmental stresses at both cellular and molecular levels by regulating the expression of many genes via a variety of signal transduction pathways, and several transcription factor (TF) families, including APETALA2/ethylene-responsive factor (AP2/ERF), WRKY, NAC (NAM, ATAF1, 2, and CUC2), and MYB (myeloblastosis oncogene), play crucial roles in stress signal transduction [2].

AP2/ERF family is one of the largest families in the plant kingdom, and proteins in this family contain at least one AP2/ERF DNA-binding domain that consists of 60~70 conserved amino acids [3]. The AP2 domain is important for the binding activity to the *cis*-acting elements including the



2 of 20

dehydration-responsive element/C-repeat (DRE/CRT) and the GCC box in the promoter regions of target genes of AP2/ERF TFs [4,5]. The AP2/ERF family is divided into five subfamilies, i.e., ethylene-responsive element binding factors (ERFs), dehydration-responsive element binding (DREB), AP2, related to ABI3/VP1 (RAV), and Soloist, based on the characteristics of their conserved domains. Both the ERF and DREB subfamily members contain a single AP2 domain, but important differences exist in some specific amino acid sites of their AP2 domains [6]. The AP2 subfamily members contain two adjacent AP2 domains, and the RAV subfamily members possess both an AP2 domain and a B3 domain. The Soloist subfamily TFs also contain an AP2 domain, but their AP2 domains exhibit low homology with other AP2/ERF members [7]. AP2/ERF TFs have been shown to play essential roles in plant growth and development [8–10] and abiotic stress responses [2,11]. Genome-wide identification and analysis of the AP2/ERF family have been conducted in several plant species including *Arabidopsis thaliana* and rice [7], *Vitis vinifera* [12], *Glycine max* [13], *Medicago truncatula* [14], *Eucalyptus grandis* [15], poplar [16], cucumber [17], *Ricinus communis* [18], *Brassica rapa* [19], *Setaria italica* [20], *Phaseolus vulgaris* [21], and *Salix arbutifolia* [22], and these works have greatly promoted an understanding of the biological functions of AP2/ERF family TFs.

Many members of the AP2/ERF family, especially the DREB subfamily, have been shown to take part in abiotic stress responses [23,24]. The DREB subfamily, also called the C-repeat binding factor (CBF) family, is composed of six groups (A1 to A6) based on their amino acid sequences. DREB subfamily TFs have unique conserved regions that bind to the DRE/CRT cis-acting elements (core nucleotide sequence: CCGAC) containing genes. Different groups of DREB subfamily TFs may regulate distinct but overlapped sets of downstream genes. In Arabidopsis, DREB A1 group genes were upregulated in response to low-temperature treatment [25], while members of the DREB A2 group such as DREB2A and DREB2B were induced by dehydration, high salinity, and heat shock, but not by cold stress [26]. However, with the expansion of the list of DREB subfamily TFs identified in different plant species, it is found that some DREB subfamily TFs can also be induced by a variety of stresses [27]. DREB subfamily members regulated one of the best documented cold signaling pathways by controlling the expression of a large number of cold-response related genes, including COR (cold regulated), KIN (cold induced), RD (responsive to desiccation), and LEA (late embryogenesis abundant) genes. The cold induction of DREB1s is mediated by their upstreaming transcription factors, inducer of CBF expression 1s (ICE1s), which is stabilized by cold treatment. ICE1s bind to the MYC-recognition sequences (E-box, CANNTG) of DREB1s' promoter and activate their expression [28]. DREB subfamily genes have been intensively investigated as candidate genes in plant genetic engineering for improving tolerance to abiotic stress, and overexpression of some DREB genes in *Arabidopsis* and crop plants has resulted in increased tolerance to cold, drought, and high salinity stresses [29].

Ammopiptanthus nanus is a desert shrub belonging to *Ammopiptanthus*, Leguminosae. As a tertiary relict in Central Asia, *A. nanus* has developed strong tolerance to low- and high-temperature stress, water shortage, strong wind, and strong irradiation. Specifically, *A. nanus* is a rare evergreen broad-leaved shrub in the arid region in Central Asia, attracting many research interests in recent years [30,31]. With the rapid development of high-throughput sequencing technology, the high-quality genome of *A. nanus* obtained using the long-read sequencing technology was released [32], which facilitates the investigation of the molecular mechanism underlying the stress tolerance of *A. nanus*. Considering the roles of the AP2/ERF family, especially the DREB subfamily, in regulating the response to environmental stress in plants, we conjectured that some members of the DREB subfamily TFs might contribute to the strong abiotic stress tolerance in *A. nanus*. In the present study, we performed a comprehensive analysis of the AP2/ERF superfamily and expression profiling of the DREB subfamily genes under osmotic and cold stress in *A. nanus*. The results will be helpful for understanding the biological functions of AP2/ERF family TFs and DREB subfamily TFs.

2. Results

2.1. Identification and Phylogenetic Analysis of AP2/ERF Family Genes in A. nanus

A total of 174 putative full-length AP2/ERF family genes (AnAP2/ERF) were identified in *A. nanus* (Table S1). The total number of AP2/ERF family genes in *A. nanus* is comparable to those of *P. vulgaris* (179 members) [21] and *Cajanus cajan* (176 members) [33], two leguminous plants, but is higher than those of *A. thaliana* (147 members) [13], *Oryza sativa* (164 members) [13], *V. vinifera* (132 members) [14], and several leguminous plants including *G. max* (126 members) [15], *Triticum aestivum* (117 members) [34], *Cicer arietinum* (147 members) [33], and *M. truncatula* (131 members) [14], and is less than that of *E. grandis* (202 members) [15] (Figure 1).



Figure 1. The number of APETALA2/ethylene-responsive factor (AP2/ERF) family members in *Ammopiptanthus nanus* and some other plant species.

The 174 putative AnAP2/ERF genes encode proteins ranging from 135 to 705 aa in length. The amino acid length of most DREB and ERF subfamily members are between 135 and 360, while those of all AP2 and RAV subfamily members are longer than 360. The pI values of the predicted AP2/ERF TFs range from 4.39 to 10.13, with 114 (65.52%) proteins with pI values > 7.

To classify the evolutionary relationships of the AP2/ERF family members in *A. nanus*, the full-length amino acid sequences of the putative proteins were aligned and the phylogenetic trees were constructed (Figures 2–4). Based on the phylogenetic tree of the AnAP2/ERF family members, all AnAP2/ERF family members were classified into five subfamilies: 92 ERF members, 55 DREB members, 24 AP2 members, 2 RAV members, and 1 Soloist member. The DREB and ERF subfamilies were further divided into six groups (A1 to A6, B1 to B6). Groups A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, and A6 contain 8, 8, 2, 18, 10, and 9 members, respectively, and groups B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, and B6 contain 28, 7, 29, 8, 8, and 12 members, respectively [35] (Figures 3 and 4).

2.2. Chromosomal Locations of the AnAP2/ERF Family Genes

A total of 172 AnAP2/ERF genes were located on the 9 chromosomes of *A. nanus* (Figure 5), and the precise locations of 2 AnAP2/ERF genes, *EVM0029153.1* and *EVM0032511.1*, were not determined. All 172 genes were distributed across all 9 chromosomes but not evenly. More AnAP2/ERF genes were located on chromosomes 2 and 4 (37 and 27, respectively), while only 12 AnAP2/ERF genes were distributed on chromosome 1.



Figure 2. Phylogenetic analysis of AP2/ERF superfamily members in *A. nanus*.



Figure 3. Phylogenetic analysis of dehydration-responsive element binding (DREB) subfamily members in *A. nanus* and *Arabidopsis thaliana*.



Figure 4. Phylogenetic analysis of ERF subfamily members in *A. nanus* and *A. thaliana*.



Figure 5. Distribution of the AP2/ERF family genes on the *A. nanus* chromosomes. The black vertical bars to the right of the gene names indicate tandem duplication.

2.3. Gene Structure and Conserved Motifs of the AnAP2/ERF Family Transcription Factors

To analyze the conservation of the predicted AnAP2/ERF family TFs, the deduced amino acid sequences of AP2 domains were aligned with the corresponding sequences in *A. thaliana* AP2/ERF TFs, which have been identified previously [7] (Figures S1–S4). All of the deduced amino acid sequences of the AP2 domain of the DREB subfamily proteins have a WLG motif (W33, L34, G35), except for *EVM0000157.1*, which contains V34. Of the 6 groups of the DREB subfamily, A2 and A6 exhibit a higher level of conservation than other groups (Figure S1).

The sequence alignments of the ERF B1 to B6 groups showed that most of the AP2 domains of ERF members from *A. nanus* and *A. thaliana* contain residues G3, R7, G10, E15, I16, W28, G30, T31, and Y42, and that all the AP2 domains of these ERF members contain residues W28, G30, T31, and Y42. The WLG motif (W28, L29, G30) is also present in almost all the AP2 domains of the ERF subfamily, with the exception of *EVM0025962.1*, *EVM0032511.1*, and *EVM0032149.1*, which contain YLG, WIG, and WIG, respectively. Of the 6 groups of the ERF subfamily, groups B4 and B5 exhibit a high level of conservation, while the AP2 domains of group B6 show a considerable degree of variation (Figure S2).

EVM0002664.1 and EVM0036388.1 contain the AP2/ERF domain and the B3 domain, thus they were assigned to the RAV subfamily. Twenty-four AnAP2/ERF family members contain two AP2/ERF domains, therefore they are classified into the AP2 subfamily. The AP2 domains of *A. nanus* RAV and AP2 subfamily members share many conservative amino acid residues with those of *A. thaliana*. The WLG motif in the RAV subfamily in *A. nanus* is highly conserved, while in the AP2 subfamily the motif is presented as YLG (Figures S3 and S4).

The conserved motifs in AnAP2/ERF family proteins were further investigated using MEME [36], and a total of 20 conserved motifs were found (Figure 6, Figure S5 and S6). Motifs 1, 2, and 5, namely the three motifs located in the AP2 domain regions, were found in nearly all AP2/ERF family members. In the AP2 subfamily of *A. nanus*, motifs 1~5 were mainly located in the region of two consecutive AP2 domains. It is noteworthy that the AnAP2/ERF members in the same subfamily often have the same set of motifs, and many predicted motifs were distributed in the AnAP2/ERF family in a subfamily-specific manner. For example, motif 20 was only detected in group A1 of subfamily DREB, motif 14 was only detected in group A6 of subfamily DREB, motif 6 was found in groups A1, A4, and A5 of subfamily DREB, motifs 11 and 12 were found in group B3 of subfamily ERF, and motif 9 was specifically detected in groups B5 and B6 of subfamily ERF.

Gene structural analyses revealed that most DREB and ERF subfamily members and all members in the RAV subfamily were intronless (Figure 6 and Figure S6). Of the 55 members in the DREB subfamily, 53 contained one or no introns (41 members contained no introns); one member contained two introns; and one member contained six introns, which belonged to group A2 of subfamily DREB. In the ERF subfamily, 87 members contained one or no introns (56 members contained no introns), the rest of the 5 members contained 2~4 introns. In contrast, the AP2 and Soloist subfamilies contained more introns than the DREB, ERF, and RAV subfamilies. All the AP2 members contained at least 7 introns and at most 9, and only one member of the Soloist subfamily contained 5 introns.

2.4. Duplication and Divergence of AP2/ERF Family Gene in A. nanus

Tandem and segmental duplication play important roles in the evolution of large gene families in plants. To investigate the evolution of the AnAP2/ERF gene family, tandem duplication events in the AnAP2/ERF gene family were analyzed. We found 27 AP2/ERF family genes present in tandem duplication in the *A. nanus* genome, including 17 ERF subfamily genes (17/92, 18.48%) and 10 DREB subfamily genes (10/55, 18.18%) (Table S2). These AnAP2/ERF genes were located in 11 tandem duplication clusters on 4 chromosomes, i.e., chromosomes 2, 3, 4, and 8 (Figure 5). Most tandem duplication gene clusters were distributed on chromosomes 2 and 4; specifically, there were 12 AP2/ERF family genes in the 3 tandem duplication gene clusters on chromosome 4 of *A. nanus*. It is noteworthy that all the genes with tandem duplication relationships were members of groups B1 and B3 in subfamily ERF and groups A1 and A4 in subfamily DREB.



Figure 6. Representative motif compositions and gene structures of the AP2/ERF family members in *A. nanus.* One or two members from Soloist, RAV, and AP2 subfamilies and one member from each ERF and DREB subfamilies were used to show the typical motif compositions and gene structures of members in the subfamily or the group. (A) Conserved motif analysis of the AnAP2/ERF family transcription factors. All motifs were identified using the MEME database. (B) Gene structure of AnAP2/ERF family members. Exons and introns are represented by yellow boxes and black lines, respectively, and the untranslated region (UTR) is shown in green.

We also investigated the segmental duplication of the AP2/ERF gene family in the *A. nanus* genome using synteny analysis (Figure 7). A total of 83 AP2/ERF genes were found to be present in tandem duplication in the *A. nanus* genome, including 30 DREB subfamily genes (30/55, 54.55%), 47 ERF subfamily genes (47/92, 51.09%), 4 AP2 subfamily genes (4/24, 16.67%), and RAV subfamily genes (2/2, 100%) (Table S3).

The rate of non-synonymous (Ka) versus synonymous (Ks) is a common index to judge the existence of selection pressure. Ka/Ks = 1 stands for neutral selection, Ka/Ks >1 indicates accelerated evolution with positive selection, and Ka/Ks <1 means purifying selection [37]. Using the reciprocal best BLAST algorithm, a total of 32 paralogous pairs in AnAP2/ERF family were determined and Ka, Ks, and Ka/Ks values were calculated (Table S4). The Ks distribution peak fell in the 0.50-0.60 range in *A. nanus*, and the peak of Ka/Ks values fell in the 0.25-0.30 range (Figure 8). A total of 95 orthologous pairs in the AP2/ERF gene family between *A. nanus* and *G. max* were identified (Table S5) and the peak

of Ks distribution curve was in the 0.4–0.5 range. The peak of Ka/Ks values of the AP2/ERF orthologous pairs between *A. nanus* and *G. max* fell in the 0.20–0.25 range, suggesting a purifying selection between the *A. nanus* and *G. max* genomes.



Figure 7. Distribution of segmentally duplicated AnAP2/ERF genes on *A. nanus* chromosomes. Paralogous pairs in the DREB subfamily are shown in blue, those of the ERF subfamily are shown in red, those of the AP2 subfamily are shown in orange, and those of the RAV subfamily are shown in green.



Figure 8. Ks and Ka/Ks value distributions of the AP2/ERF family genes in the genomes of *A. nanus* and *Glycine max*. Distribution of Ks and Ka/Ks values were calculated from the paralogous gene pairs in the *A. nanus* genome (**A** and **B**), and the orthologous gene pairs between the *A. nanus* and *G. max* genomes (**C** and **D**). Four orthologous gene pairs with Ks > 2.5 were not used for preparing the above figure.

2.5. Analysis of Putative Cis-acting Elements in Promoters of DREB Subfamily Genes

DREB subfamily TFs are believed to play important roles in plant tolerance to cold and water stresses. Cis-acting elements play key roles in regulating gene expression via interacting with the trans-acting element. To understand the functions and regulation network of the DREB subfamily TFs, genomic DNA 2 kb upstream of DREB subfamily genes were used to predict the putative stress-response related *cis*-acting elements (Figure 9) [38,39]. A number of *cis*-acting elements that were involved in stress response and hormone response were found, including 12 dehydration-responsive cis-elements, 5 low-temperature stress-responsive cis-elements, and 22 hormone-responsive cis-acting elements. The cis-acting elements with many copies in promoters of all DREB subfamily genes included ARR1AT (S000454), MYC/ICE1 binding site (S000407), ACGTATERD1 (S000415), and WRKY710S (S000447), which were demonstrated to participate in the response to cytokinin signal, cold, drought, and gibberellic acid (GA) signal, respectively. The large number of MYC/ICE1 binding sites and WRKY710S predicted in promoters of the DREB subfamily genes indicated that DREB genes were probably regulated under the stress environment by ICE1 and WRKY family TFs. In brief, the large amount of stress-related *cis*-acting elements found in promoters of DREB subfamily genes supported their potential biological functions in regulating low-temperature stress and drought stress response in A. nanus.

				1 2	3 4	56	78	9 10) 11 1	2 13	14 15	16 17	18 1	9 20 2	21 22	23 24	25 2	6 27 3	28 29	30 31	32 3	33 34	35 36	37 38	39	40 41	42 43	44 45	46 4	48 4	9 50	51 52	53 54	4 55
ID	Core sequence	Cis-acting element	Putative Function	EVM000779.1	IN EVM0005936.1	E EVM0012539.1 E EVM0016552.1	EVM0026860.1 EVM0023336.1	EVM0009472.1 EVM0013907.1	EVM0005962.1	EVM0023826.1	EVM005020.1 EVM0024679.1	EVM000157.1 EVM0008462.1	EVM003586.1	EVM0013480.1	EVM0032/87.1	EVM0019304.1 EVM0013392.1	EVM002689.1 EVM002689.1	1.300003995.1	EVM0020289.1 EVM0016549.1	EVM0023141.1 EVM0009316.1	1.300000MV3 4	 EVM0035043.2 EVM0026054.1 	EVM0017798.1 EVM0030720.1	EVM0019801.1 EVM0014092.1	EVM0034637.1	C EVM0011666.1 E EVM0010293.1	C EVM0015149.1 C EVM0017315.1	EVM0008956.1 EVM0012385.1	EVM0017791.1 EVM0023142.1	EVM0014569.1	9 EVM0026301.1	92 EVM0034483.1 94 EVM0032643.1	EVM0006606.1 EVM00066777.1	6 EVM0016285.1
S000175	CTAACCA	MYBATRD22	responsive to dehydration					1			1 2			1		2 1				1 1			1		1		1 1	1		1			2	
S000176	CNGTTR	MYBCORE	responsive to dehydration	3 2	6 4	4 3	4 7	13 6	9	3 5	2 4	5 7	1	8 5	2 8	7 6	2 4	4 2	7 4	3 3	6	3 2	6 5	3 5	3	6 3	11 6	7 3	5 3	8 1	7 5	3 5	6 5	5
S000177	TAACTG	MYB2AT	responsive to dehydration			2	2	3	1		1	2 3		4		2			1 1	1 1				1		2	3	1 2	1	3 1	2 1	1 2	1	
S000180	GGATA	MYBST1	responsive to dehydration	4 6	12	12 7	1 7	2 5	7 (5 4	2 2	3 5	1	2 4	9 7	6 1	4 4	4 5	3 5	1 4	1	2 3	4 4	1 1	5	4 5	4	5 6	2 3	3 9	9 3	3 2	2 4	3
S000408	WAACCA	MYB1AT	responsive to dehydration	4	2	2 8	4 5	6 6	1	8	3 5	2 6	5 1	0 3	1 2	6 2	1 1	2	4	6 3	2	2	8 1	2 1	5	3 1	4 8	5 2	2 5	5	1 4	2 1	9 3	3
S000409	YAACKG	MYB2CONSENSUSAT	responsive to dehydration	1 2	5	4 2	4 2	8 2	4 3	2 2	1 2	2 4		4 2	1 3	6 2		1	3 1	2 2	2	1 2	2 2	4	2	2 1	6 2	3 2	3 2	4 3	33	2 4	2 2	1
S000413	CATGTG	MYCATERD1	responsive to dehydration	2	2		1	1 2	1	2		1 1		2	1 2	1		1 2	1	1		2 1	1		1			1	1			2 1	1 2	
S000414	ACGTG	ABRELATERD1	responsive to dehydration	5	2	2	2 3	4 4	1	2 4	5	3 2		2 1	3 1	1	1	5 2	1 2	6 1		4 3	3 3	2			4	4	3 1	1	1 1	5	5 6	2
S000415	ACGT	ACGTATERD1	responsive to dehydration	22 12	20	8	12 8	28 14	4 4	4 10	2 10	28 16	2 1	2 8	8 8	2 6	10 1	0 6	10 10	18 8	18	12 10	6 12	12 8		12	28 8	12 10	6 10	6 (5 12	10	18 22	2 6
S000497	RYCGAC	CBFHV	responsive to dehydration	8 8	2	4	1	5 1		2	1	1 2		2		1	3 1	2	1 3	2 1	3	1 2	2 2	3 1	1	1	2 2		2 1	2	1		6	1
S000418	RCCGAC	DRECRTCOREAT	responsive to dehydration and low temperature	2		2	1	3		2	1	1 1		1				1	1	1 1	1	1	2 1	2 1	1		2				1		2	1
S000407	CANNTG	MYCCONSENSUSAT	responsive to dehydration and low temperature (ICE1)	4 30	12 4	4 14	4 10	12 22	2 22 1	4 8	2 9	12 8	14	6 12	8 8	12 18	6 1	8 12	10 8	4 16	6	24 18	12 2	12 8	16	10	4 8	4 8	16 10	6 1	0 8	20 12	10 12	2 6
S000411	GTCGAC	CRTDREHVCBF2	responsive to low temperature	6 8		2		2									2					2					2		2	2			2	
S000153	CCGAC	LTRECOREATCOR15	responsive to low temperature	2	2	2	2	3		3	1 1	3 1		1		2	1 1	2	1	2 2	2	2	2 1	2 1	3	1	3	2 1	2		1	1	1 2	2
S000250	CCGAAA	LTRE-1	responsive to low temperature		2		1	2		1			1				2	1			3		1			2			1	1 3	3 1	1		1
S000174	CACATG	MYCATRD22	responsive to ABA	2	2		1	1 2	1 1	3		1 1		2	1 2	1		1 2	1	1		2 1	1		1			1	1			2 1	1 2	
S000394	ACGTGKC	ACGTABREMOTIFA2OSEM	responsive to ABA		2		1	2	1	2	2						1	2	1			1		1				2				2	1	
S000403	TATCCA	TATCCAOSAMY	regulation of GA and other hormones	1 2	8	8	4	1	4	1 2	1			2 2	6 1	4 1	3 3	3 2	1 3	1			3 1		1	2 2		4	1	1 :	5 1		1 3	1
S000298	TTTTTTCC	Pyrimidine box	necessity of GA induction					3	1	1 1		1		1 1	2		1	1		1	1	1		2	1	1	1		2	1	2	1		
S000181	TAACAAA	MYBGAHV	response to GA signal	2	2	4		1	2		1	6 1		2		1	1	1 3	1 1	2 1		1		1 1				2			1	1		3
S000259	CCTTTT	PYRIMIDINEBOXOSRAMY14	response to GA signal	2 2	5 4	3 1	4	1 1	3 3	2 4	2	1 1	5	2	2 2	3	2	4	6 1	1 2	3	3 1	2 2		3	1 3	2	2 1	1	3	3	1 1	1 4	1
S000416	TATCCAC	TATCCAC box	response to GA signal											1 1	1	1				1						1 1		1		1	3		1	
S000419	TAACAGA	GARE	response to GA signal						1			1		1		1		1	1				1			1	1		1		1			
S000420	TAACGTA	GARE2OSREP1	response to GA signal	2								3 1				1			1			1				2	1	2						
S000438	ACGTGTC	GADOWNAT	response to GA signal		2		1	2		1							1	2										1				2		
S000439	TAACAAR	GAREAT	response to GA signal	2	2	4		1	2		2	8 1		3 1		4	3 2	2 3	1 1	2 2		1	1	1 1	1		1	2			1	1 2		3
S000447	TGAC	WRKY71OS	response to GA signal, WRKY binding site	4 6	5 10	10 11	14 12	20 6	8 1	1 14	79	7 11	11	5 12	15 18	11 11	6 3	5 8	10 7	9 1	11	9 22	13 16	7 14	12	16 7	13 7	11 12	7 6	6 (5 12	12 8	13 13	3 17
S000454	NGATT	ARR1AT	response to cytokinin signaling	13 18	18 22	18 15	27 13	15 18	3 12 2	1 20	15 21	16 25	14 1	9 19	12 13	19 16	18 2	0 21	18 23	26 21	14	20 20	19 19	9 20	28	30 22	16 19	15 26	21 1	13 1	3 18	25 19	19 23	3 18
S000491	TATTAG	CPBCSPOR	response to cytokinin signaling	2 4	2	2	2	1 3	2	7 1		7 2	3	2 4	1	2 3	2	1 3	1 1	4	1	33	3 1	4 2	2	3 4	2	3 1	3 3	7	1 2	1 3	3	2
S000370	CATATG	CATATGGMSAUR	response to auxin signals	16	4			2	2			2			6	2 2	2 4	4		2		4 4		2					2			2	2 2	
S000270	TGTCTC	ARFAT	response to auxin signals	2	2	2	1	1				1		1		1		1		1 1		1	1 1		2		2	2	1 1	2	1		2 1	
S000499	GAGAC	SURE	response to auxin signals	1	2 2	2	2 3	3		1	2 4	2	3	2 2		2 2	1	3 1	1	1 3	1	1 2	2 2	1 2	3	1	5 1	4	4 3	3 2	2		3 2	
S000024	TGACG	ASF-1 binding site	response to auxin and SA signals		3 2	2	2 3	9 1	1	1 4	2 2		1	3	3	2		1	2	2	3	1 3	3 4	1	2	2 1	4 2	1 2		1	2	1	2 3	2
S000037	AWTTCAAA	ERE	response to ethylene signal							1	1		1		1 1	1		1 3	3	1 1		1	1	1		3 1		3	2		1 1	1	1	
S000458	AACGTG	T/G-box	response to JA signal		1		2	1	1	2 1	1	1 1		1	1	1			1	1 1			1 2	2			2	2	1 1	1	1	2	1 2	. 1
S000292	ACACNNG	DPBFCOREDCDC3	can be induced by abscisic acid	1	4	1	7	2 3	2 3	2 1	1 5	1	2	33	4	2	2 (5 3	1 1	2	5	2 4	3 4	1	1	5 2	2 2	1 4	1	1	1	5 1	1 5	
S000390	TTGAC	WBOXATNPR1	response to SA and other stress signals	4 2	4		6 3	10 2	3	53	3 5	3 5	2	1 4	6 7	1 1	2	4	2 3	2	8	49	3 8	2 4	8	5 1	6 2	7 5	3 4	1 4	4 6	2 3	4	6
S000391	YTGTCWC	SEBF	response to pathogenic signals		2	2	1	1 1			1	1		1		1 2		1	1	1 1		2 1	1 2	1	2	1	1	1	1	2 3	2	1	2 1	
S000453	GAAAAA	GT-1 motif	response to pathogens and high salt stress	1 1	3	2	6 1	3 7	3 (5 5	9 8	2 2	3 .	4 8	75	4 8	4 4	4 6	5 4	6 5	8	2 6	3 1	6 4	5	5 4	4 1	1 7	1 4	6	54	6 6	2 8	6

Figure 9. *Cis*-acting element prediction in the promoter region of the DREB subfamily genes in *A. nanus*. The color of the square depicts the quantity of the predicted *cis*-acting elements in the promoter region.

2.6. Expression Profiles of the DREB Subfamily Genes under Osmotic and Cold Stresses in A. nanus

To evaluate the potential function of DREB subfamily genes, specifically, their roles in environmental stress response, gene expression patterns of DREB subfamily genes in *A. nanus* were investigated using the whole transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq) datasets and quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis. First, RNA-seq datasets (NCBI SRA accession numbers SRR11089024 to SRR11089029 and SRR11087599 to SRR11087604) were used to quantitate the expression level of DREB subfamily genes in leaves of *A. nanus* seedlings grown under normal conditions or exposed to delayed short-term treatment (7d) of cold or osmotic stress (Figure 10). The expression levels of 55 DREB subfamily members in unstressed leaves were highly variable; several genes exhibited high expression levels, such as *EVM0014569.1*, *EVM0034483.1*, *EVM0017315.1*, and *EVM0026860.1*, *EVM0005962.1*, *EVM0013480.1*, *EVM0013907.1*, *EVM0030720.1*, and *EVM0035886.1*.



Figure 10. Expression profiles of the DREB subfamily genes calculated using RNA-seq datasets. Transcript per million TPM values of all AnDREB subfamily genes in *A. nanus* leaves under normal conditions (**A**); fold change values of all AnDREB subfamily genes exposed to delayed short-term osmotic stress or cold stress (7d) in *A. nanus* leaves (**B**). The color scale represents low expression with blue and high expression with red.

After delayed short-term osmotic stress or cold stress (7d), the expression of many DREB subfamily members changed significantly. *EVM0026054.1* and *EVM0014092.1* were upregulated,

and *EVM0003995.1* and *EVM0016549.1* were downregulated under both stresses, whereas genes such as *EVM0023826.1* and *EVM0012847.1* were induced under cold stress, and were downregulated or did not exhibit significant change under osmotic stress.

To reveal the dynamic expression patterns of DREB subfamily genes under short-term osmotic and cold stresses, qRT-PCR analyses were conducted using *A. nanus* seedlings exposed to 3 h, 6 h, 12 h, and 24 h of cold or osmotic stress treatment (Figure 11). A total of 32 pairs of primers were used in qRT-PCR analysis, and we were unable to obtain effective primers for some other DREB genes, including the 6 DREB genes with very low abundance in leaves (i.e., *EVM0000157.1, EVM0005962.1, EVM0013480.1, EVM0013907.1, EVM0030720.1,* and *EVM0035886.1*).

1.00	1.49	0.57	4.16	1.61	1.00	4.30	2.09	1.60	10.99	EVM0000779 A1			
1.00	5.76	4.07	4.45	5.32	1.00	4.66	5.30	3.14	3.38	EVM0026860 A1			
1.00	1.28	0.19	1.00	0.35	1.00	6.77	7.58	1.68	3.49	EVM0007910 A1			
1.00	1.50	0.19	1.80	0.58	1.00	1.80	2.86	1.00	0.91	EVM0005936 A1			
1.00	0.69	0.22	0.87	0.67	1.00	22.43	139.66	15.37	39.42	EVM0023336_A1			
1.00	0.10	0.08	0.92	0.21	1.00	6.68	33.79	16.14	44.22	EVM0023826_A2			
1.00	5.73	6.44	3.29	19.46	1.00	8.62	6.91	7.37	6.08	EVM0005020_A2			
1.00	3.67	4.91	2.58	4.99	1.00	3.60	4.95	3.67	2.58	EVM0012736_A2			
1.00	1.33	0.58	0.25	0.64	1.00	2.16	2.34	4.81	5.98	EVM0024679_A2			
1.00	1.08	1.85	0.24	2.35	1.00	2.74	3.54	5.29	4.52	EVM0009472_A2			
1.00	0.42	2.57	0.09	1.68	1.00	0.80	0.55	0.45	0.71	EVM0023141_A4			
1.00	10.77	1.63	12.00	5.26	1.00	1.19	2.23	1.09	1.05	EVM0032787_A4			
1.00	2.85	3.39	10.52	2.17	1.00	20.21	27.37	20.86	37.91	EVM0013392_A4			
1.00	0.94	0.91	0.57	1.05	1.00	0.99	1.02	0.88	0.39	EVM0020293_A4			
1.00	0.66	1.02	3.02	0.42	1.00	0.95	4.98	2.61	10.63	EVM0026889_A4			
1.00	0.57	0.70	1.44	1.38	1.00	0.62	2.94	0.38	0.99	EVM0027012_A4			
1.00	1.45	1.40	2.07	1.71	1.00	2.62	6.58	7.28	10.00	EVM0003995_A4			
1.00	1.77	1.00	7.79	1.86	1.00	10.20	29.32	8.54	7.22	EVM0016549_A4			
1.00	0.67	0.59	4.81	1.10	1.00	2.72	18.77	11.24	25.06	EVM0035043_A4			
1.00	0.39	0.69	0.91	0.42	1.00	0.89	1.47	1.06	3.87	EVM0026054_A4			
1.00	2.49	1.07	0.89	2.76	1.00	33.27	31.90	7.39	5.15	EVM0017315_A5			
1.00	2.95	1.12	2.55	1.38	1.00	0.70	1.75	3.17	2.82	EVM0019801_A5			
1.00	0.56	0.51	0.56	0.84	1.00	21.07	12.02	3.23	22.40	EVM0012385_A5			
1.00	0.84	0.46	0.39	0.51	1.00	0.22	0.22	0.60	· 1.08	EVM0014092_A5			
1.00	1.52	1.73	2.02	1.71	1.00	1.46	2.83	2.33	4.28	EVM0034637_A5			
1.00	2.26	2.55	3.65	1.98	1.00	6.05	7.82	2.23	8.59	EVM0014569_A6			
1.00	0.85	0.48	1.75	0.73	1.00	0.70	0.77	1.23	1.75	EVM0034483_A6			
1.00	1.34	1.71	2.65	2.43	1.00	6.61	4.55	2.60	5.42	EVM0017791_A6			
1.00	0.07	0.06	0.17	0.46	1.00	1.44	4.71	2.58	3.71	EVM0016285_A6			
1.00	0.97	0.59	0.57	0.76	1.00	2.25	2.59	2.90	5.15	EVM0032643_A6			
1.00	0.67	0.44	1.29	0.46	1.00	1.15	0.68	0.29	0.13	EVM0006606_A6			
1.00	1.30	4.68	4.68	4.66	1.00	27.00	20.57	7.72	47.67	EVM0023142_A6			
Qr.	з,	61	25	241	9r	ж,	8	Sr.	247				
	Osn	notic st	ress		Cold str	stress							

Figure 11. Expression profiles of 32 DREB subfamily genes under 3 h, 6 h, 12 h, and 24 h of osmotic and cold treatment in *A. nanus* leaves. Gene expression levels were quantified through quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis and the experimental values were normalized using eIF1 as the reference gene.

Approximately half of the DREB subfamily genes (56.25%) were upregulated more than 2-fold under short-term osmotic stress, whereas under short-term cold stress, the percentage rose to 84.38%. This phenomenon is also observed in the RNA-seq analysis results, indicating that, in general, the response of DREB subfamily genes to cold stress is significantly greater than that of osmotic stress.

Specifically, EVM0026860.1, EVM0005020.1, EVM0012736.1, EVM0032787.1, EVM0013392.1, EVM0014569.1, and EVM0023142.1 were strongly upregulated by osmotic stress treatment, and EVM0023336.1, EVM0023826.1, EVM0013392.1, EVM0016549.1, EVM0035043.1, EVM0012385.1, and EVM0023142.1 were strongly upregulated by cold stress treatment. We also noted that seven genes were upregulated under both osmotic and cold stress treatment, including EVM0026860.1, EVM0005020.1, EVM0012736.1, EVM0013392.1, EVM0016549.1, EVM0014569.1, and EVM0023142.1.

8.00 7.00 6.00 5.00 4.00 3.00 2.00 1.00 0.00 These DERB family genes may play essential roles in the response to low-temperature and drought stress in *A. nanus*.

3. Discussion

High-quality whole genome sequences of *A. nanus* enabled the genome-wide identification of AP2-ERF family member genes and a comparison with other plant species. Both the total number of AnAP2/ERF families and the quantity of DREB subfamily members in *A. nanus* were similar to those of some leguminous plants such as *P. vulgaris* and *C. cajan*, and a little higher than those of some other leguminous plants including *G. max*, *M. truncatula*, and *C. arietinum* (Figure 1). Of the five subfamilies of the AP2/ERF family in *A. nanus*, the ERF subfamily has the largest number of members, followed by the DREB and AP2 subfamilies. Such a distribution pattern was also observed in other plants such as *P. vulgaris* (Figure 1). It is noteworthy that the number of DREB subfamily members in *A. nanus* is larger than those of the other leguminous plants (Table S6), which may be associated with the high number of group A1, A2, and A6 of the *A. nanus* DREB subfamily. In addition, we observed that the quantity of members in the B1 group is significantly higher than those of the other leguminous plants (Table S6). Whether these observations are associated with the abiotic stress tolerance of *A. nanus* needs further investigation.

Motifs identified in transcription factors can be involved in transcriptional activity, protein–protein interactions, and nuclear localization [7]. In the present study, 20 motifs were identified from the AnAP2/ERF family transcription factors. Motif 1~5 fell in the AP2/ERF domain, motifs 1, 2, and 5 were present in all family members, and motifs 3 and 4 were only found in the AP2 subfamily. The other motifs were located outside the AP2/ERF domain. Of them, the LNFP motif in motifs 1, 6, and 14 was DREB subfamily-specific, which were known to be related to disease resistance [40]. LPR[P/A] motif in motif 6 has been identified as essential signatures for calcineurin B-like (CBL)-interacting serine/threonine-protein kinase 12 [41]. Some motifs were present in a group-specific manner; for example, motif 20 was only detected in the DREB A1 group and motif 14 was only found in the DREB A6 group.

Gene duplications are suggested to be one of the primary driving forces in the evolution of genomes, and segmental duplication, tandem duplication, and transposition events, including retroposition and replicative transposition, are three principal evolutionary pathways to generate duplicated genes. Of the three pathways, segmental and tandem duplications are wide-spread events in plant genomes and play important roles in the evolution of gene families and adaptation to environmental changes in plants [42]. Of the 174 AnAP2/ERF family genes, 63.22% (110/174) were present in segmental or tandem duplication, suggesting that tandem and segmental duplication represent two principal pathways for gene expansion of the AP2/ERF family in A. nanus. Of the 174, 27 (15.52%) AP2/ERF subfamily genes in A. nanus were tandemly duplicated genes, whereas up to 47.70% (83/174) were segmentally duplicated genes. This quantity of segmentally duplicated genes was significantly higher than that of tandem-duplicated genes in the AP2/ERF family in A. nanus, and similar results have been reported in other plant species such as cucumber [43] and common bean [21]. The observation supported the hypothesis that, compared with tandem duplication, segmental duplication made a major contribution to the expansion of the AP2/ERF gene family in *A. nanus*. However, all tandem-duplicated genes in the AP2/ERF family in A. nanus belonged to the DREB and ERF subfamilies, indicating tandem duplication also played significant roles in the expansion of the DREB and ERF subfamilies.

Promoter analysis provided useful information to understand the upstream transcription factors that govern the tightly controlled regulation of DREB genes. In the past two decades, a large number of research studies on the regulation of DREB genes have revealed a complex network of different transcription factors involved in their regulation, and the DREB promoters have been proposed to be the central hubs that integrate multiple environmental and internal developmental signals [44]. Our results showed that promoter regions of AnAP2/ERF genes contained a certain number of MYB,

MYC, and WRKY binding elements, suggesting that certain MYB, MYC, and WRKY transcription factors can regulate the expression of the AP2/ERF family genes in *A. nanus*.

Among the predicted *cis*-acting elements in DREB subfamily genes in *A. nanus*, MYC/ICE1 binding site (CANNTG, the canonical recognition sequence for bHLH family transcription factors) was one of the most frequently occurring ones. Nearly all of the genes carried the MYC/ICE1 binding site except *EVM0011666.1*, and 44 of the DREB genes (80.0%) carried more than six copies of the MYC/ICE1 binding site, indicating that this *cis*-acting element may play an important role in the regulation of DREB genes in *A. nanus*. The expression patterns of DREB genes under cold and osmotic stresses were consistent with the results of promoter analyses, and the large number of MYC/ICE1 binding sites in the promoters of DREB genes may mediate the induction of DREB subfamily genes under low-temperature and drought stress by interacting with ICE1 transcription factors in *A. nanus*. Previous research has shown that the overexpression of ICE1 enhanced the induction of DREB genes and their target genes, consequently increasing the levels of soluble sugars, and late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins, and finally enhancing tolerance to osmotic and cold stresses in tobacco [45]. Although all cold-induced DREB genes contain multiple copies of the MYC/ICE1 binding site, the copy number of MYC/ICE1 binding sites in the promoters of DREB genes was not positively correlated with the fold change of the expression level.

Another frequently occurring *cis*-acting element in promoters of DREB subfamily genes in *A. nanus* is W-box (TTGAC, the binding site of WRKY family transcription factors), which is found in promoters of 50 (90.91%) DREB genes in *A. nanus* (Figure 6). Considering the versatile biological function of WRKY family transcription factors [46], *A. nanus* DREB subfamily genes may respond to environmental signals via regulation by WRKY transcription factors.

Some AP2/ERF family genes are arranged in clusters on the chromosome and they may be amplified via tandem duplication. These proteins have similar protein sequences, but we also want to know the evolutionary relationship between them and whether they have similar expression patterns under stress conditions. One of the largest clusters (cluster 10) contained 4 DREB genes, and their order is *EVM0035043.2, EVM0026054.1, EVM0017798.1*, and *EVM0023336.1*. The first three genes are members of group A4 and the last one is a member of group A1. Phylogenetic analysis showed that DREB A1 and A4 belong to the same large branch, and A1 is a branch of A4 (Figure 3). *EVM0023336.1* is located in the branch of group A1, while *EVM0035043.2, EVM0026054.1*, and *EVM0017798.1* form an independent small branch in branch A4. The multiple sequence alignment of AP2/ERF conservative domains of the DREB subfamily is consistent with the above observations (Figure S1). We further speculate that the four DREB genes in cluster 10 may have evolved from two ancestor genes, and that *EVM0035043.2, EVM0026054.1* and *EVM0017798.1* originated from the same ancestor gene. Among the three genes, *EVM0026054.1* and EVM0017798.1 are more similar in amino acid sequence, which may be derived from an ancestor gene that appears later. In addition, these four genes exhibited a considerable amount of expression in the leaves, and were upregulated under low temperature.

Another large tandem duplication gene cluster is cluster 8, which consists of *EVM0020169.1*, *EVM0004125.1*, *EVM0000808.1*, and *EVM0021771.1*, four genes belonging to the ERF subfamily B3 group. Phylogenetic analysis shows that the ERF subfamily B3 group consists of three branches; *EVM0020169.1* is located in a branch, and *EVM0004125.1*, *EVM0000808.1* and *EVM0021771.1* form a small independent clade in another branch. Although *EVM0020169.1*, *EVM0004125.1*, *EVM0000808.1*, and *EVM0021771.1* form a gene cluster on chromosome 4, *EVM0028015.1*, which is most similar to *EVM0020169.1* in sequence and also belongs to ERF subfamily B3 group, is located on chromosome 9.

Both qRT-PCR analysis and RNA-seq datasets were used to evaluate the gene expression level of DREB genes under cold and osmotic stresses, and a collection of cold stress-responsive or osmotic stress-responsive DREB genes were identified. These highly induced DERB genes under cold and osmotic stresses may contribute greatly to the abiotic stress response of *A. nanus*, and thus deserve to be selected for further functional investigation by ectopic expression in a model plant such as *Arabidopsis*. Although there is no report on the transgenic functional study of DREB genes in *A. nanus*,

the functional analyses of two DREB genes in *A. mongolicus*, a relative plant of *A. nanus*, supported our expression analysis results. *AmDREB2C*, the ortholog of *EVM0017315.1*, and *AmDREB3*, the ortholog of *EVM0023826.1*, were cloned, and their expression patterns and biological functions were studied [47,48]. *AmDREB3* was shown to be induced by drought, salt, heat, cold, and abscisic acid treatments [48], and *AmDREB2C* exhibited the highest expression levels in winter in seedlings in the field, and its expression was induced by cold, heat, and drought stresses in laboratory-cultured seedlings [47]. The orthologs in *A. nanus*, *EVM0017315.1* and *EVM0023826.1*, were also highly induced by cold stress (Figures 10 and 11). The transgenic *Arabidopsis* overexpressing the *AmDREB2C* and *AmDREB3* enhances the tolerance to abiotic stress such as freezing, heat, and drought, indicating that the strategy of mining stress-resistant genes from a stress-resistant plant such as *Ammopiptanthus* is effective. In brief, the expression profiling of the AP2/ERF family genes under low-temperature and drought stress will be helpful in understanding the biological functions of the individual AP2/ERF transcription factors.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Identification of Putative AP2/ERF Transcription Factors in A. nanus

Genome sequence data were obtained from the *A. nanus* genome project [32]. The hidden Markov model (HMM) model of the Apetala 2 (AP2) (PF00847) was downloaded from the Pfam database [49], and the AP2/ERF family TFs in *A. nanus* were identified using HMMER3 (v. 3.0) software [50] with a defined threshold of $E < 1e^{-5}$. The NCBI Conserved Domain Search Service (CD Search) [51] was used to confirm manually the predicted AP2/ERF family TFs.

4.2. Multiple Alignment and Phylogenetic Analysis

Multiple sequence alignment of the AP2/ERF family TFs was conducted using ClustalW [52]. The phylogenetic trees were constructed with MEGA-X software [53] using the neighbor-joining (NJ) method. The phylogenetic trees were tested using bootstrapping with 2000 replicates. The AP2/ERF family databases of *Arabidopsis* were downloaded from the plant transcription factor database [54].

4.3. Identification of Conserved Domains

Conserved domains were predicted using the tool DIALIGN-PFAM [55]. The deduced amino acid sequences of AP2/ERF genes were analyzed with the MEME (Multiple Em for Motif Elicitation) suite 5.0.1 [36] using the following parameters: optimum width 10~200 amino acids of a motif, and the maximum number of motifs set at 20.

4.4. Visualization of AP2/ERF Family Genes on Chromosomes

Diagrams of the chromosome locations of all AP2/ERF genes in *A. nanus* were visualized using MapChart V2.3 [56].

4.5. Prediction of Cis-acting Elements in Promoter Regions of Genes

The software PLACE [57] was used to locate the putative *cis*-acting elements in the promoter regions of the DREB subfamily genes. Additionally, 2000 bp DNA sequence upstream of the start codon of each DREB gene were used for *cis*-acting element prediction.

4.6. Gene Duplication and Divergence Analysis

Tandem duplication means the generation of tandem gene arrays consisting of identical sequences in close genomic proximity. In the present study, the genes present in tandem duplication were identified using a criterion described previously [58], and tandem-duplicated genes were defined as adjacent homologous genes on a single chromosome, with no more than one intervening gene. The paralogous genes of identified AP2/ERF genes of *A. nanus* were investigated using MCScanX software [59] and visualized using the program Circos [60]. The divergence between homologous genes

and the selective pressure on duplicated genes were estimated by calculating synonymous (Ks) and non-synonymous substitutions (Ka) per site between the duplicated gene pairs using KaKsAnalysis in the PlantTribes collection [61].

4.7. Plant Materials and Stress Treatments

The seeds of *A. nanus* were collected from the arid areas in Wuqia county, Xinjiang Autonomous Region, China. After surface sterilization with 70% (v/v) ethanol, the seeds were planted in 30 cm diameter pots containing a 3:1 (v/v) mixture of perlite and vermiculite. *A. nanus* seedlings were grown in a plant incubator under 120 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ photosynthetic photon flux density, with a photoperiod of 16 h, at around 25 °C and a relative humidity of 35%. The seedlings were irrigated every four days with a solution of half-strength Hoagland.

Eight weeks after germination, seedlings with similar height were exposed to cold and osmotic stress treatments. The seedlings were randomly split into nine groups. Four osmotic stress-treated groups were watered with 20% PEG-6000 for 3 h, 6 h, 12 h, or 24 h, four cold stress-treated groups were moved to a growth chamber at 4 °C for 3 h, 6 h, 12 h, or 24 h, and the untreated seedlings were used as the control. Leaf samples were collected from seedlings of the control and stress-treated groups, snap-frozen in nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C until use.

4.8. qRT-PCR Analysis of the DREB Subfamily Genes in A. nanus

RNA extraction was conducted using the Trizol reagent according to the user's guide (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis was performed following a method described earlier [62]. Three biological replicates were collected for each group and at least three technical repeats for each biological replicate were assayed. The relative gene expression was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method [63]. The gene expression levels were normalized against an internal reference gene, eukaryotic translation initiation factor 1 (eIF1). Oligo 7 [64] was used to design the primer pairs for qRT-PCR analysis of the DREB subfamily genes, and all primer pairs used in the current study are listed in Table S7.

4.9. Statistics

Student *t*-tests were used to determine significance, and the probability level of $p \le 0.05$ was set for statistical significance. Genes were deemed to be differentially expressed if they demonstrated a fold change of at least 2 and a *p*-value less than 0.05.

5. Conclusions

In the current study, 174 AP2/ERF family genes were identified from the genome sequence of *A. nanus*, and these genes were further divided into five subfamilies, including ERF, DREB, AP2, RAV, and Soloist. Gene expansion occurred in the DREB subfamily and ERF subfamily B1 group, and the major gene amplification mode was segmental duplication, followed by tandem duplication. Multiple sequence alignment validated the conservation of the AP2 domains of AP2/ERF family TFs and revealed the characteristics of the AP2 domains of different subfamilies. *Cis*-acting element prediction indicated that MYB, ICE1 and WRKY TFs might regulate the expression of DREB genes in *A. nanus*. Gene profiling identified a collection of cold or osmotic stress-induced genes in the AP2/ERF family. The highly stress-induced DREB genes identified in the present study, including *EVM0023336.1*, *EVM0013392.1*, and *EVM0026860.1*, may contribute to the stress tolerance of *A. nanus*.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2223-7747/9/4/455/s1, Figure S1: Comparison of deduced amino acid sequences of the AP2 domain of the DREB subfamily proteins from *A. thaliana* (At) and *A. nanus* (An), Figure S2: Comparison of deduced amino acid sequences of the AP2 domain of the ERF subfamily proteins from *A. thaliana* (At) and *A. nanus* (An), Figure S3: Comparison of deduced amino acid sequences of the AP2 domain of the RAV subfamily proteins from *A. thaliana* (At) and *A. nanus* (An), Figure S3: Comparison of deduced amino acid sequences of the AP2 domain of the RAV subfamily proteins from *A. thaliana* (At) and *A. nanus* (An), Figure S4: Comparison of deduced amino acid sequences of the AP2 subfamily proteins from *A. thaliana* (At) and *A. nanus* (An), Figure S4: Comparison of deduced amino acid sequences of the AP2 subfamily proteins from *A. thaliana* (At) and *A. nanus* (An), Figure S4: Comparison of deduced amino acid sequences of the AP2 subfamily proteins from *A. thaliana* (At) and *A. nanus* (An), Figure S4: Comparison of deduced amino acid sequences of the AP2 subfamily proteins from *A. thaliana* (At) and *A. nanus* (An), Figure S4: Comparison of deduced amino acid sequences of the AP2 domain of the AP2 subfamily proteins from *A. thaliana* (At) and *A. nanus* (An), Figure S4: Comparison of deduced amino acid sequences of the AP2 domain of the AP2 subfamily proteins from *A. thaliana* (At) and *A. nanus* (An), Figure S4: Comparison of deduced amino acid sequences of the AP2 domain of the AP2 subfamily proteins from *A. thaliana* (At) and *A. nanus* (An), Figure S4: Comparison of deduced amino acid sequences of the AP2 domain of the AP2 subfamily proteins from *A. thaliana* (At) and *A. nanus* (An), Figure S4: Comparison of deduced amino acid sequences of the AP2 domain of the AP2 subfamily proteins from *A. thaliana* (At) and *A. nanus* (An), Figure S4: Comparison of deduced amino acid sequences of the AP2 domain of the AP2 subfamily protei

from *A. thaliana* (At) and *A. nanus* (An), Figure S5: The 20 conserved motifs identified in the AP2/ERF family members, Figure S6. Motif compositions and gene structures of the AP2/ERF family members in *A. nanus*, Table S1: Physical and chemical characterization of AP2/ERF family from *A. nanus*, Table S2: The AP2/ERF family genes with tandem duplication relationships in *A. nanus*, Table S3: AP2/ERF family genes with segmental duplication relationships in *A. nanus*, Table S3: AP2/ERF family genes with segmental duplication relationships in *A. nanus*, Table S4: The Ka/Ks ratios for the paralogous gene pairs in the AP2/ERF gene family in *A. nanus*, Table S5: The Ka/Ks ratios for the orthologous gene pairs in the AP2/ERF family between *A. nanus* and *G. max*. Table S6: Member numbers of each subfamily and group in the AP2/ERF family in *A. nanus* and other legume species, Table S7: The primers used in qRT-PCR analysis.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, F.G., J.F., and Y.Z.; Data curation, S.C.; Formal analysis, Y.W. and F.G.; Funding acquisition, F.G. and Y.Z.; Investigation, S.C., X.L., and F.G.; Methodology, S.C. and Y.W.; Project administration, F.G. and J.F.; Writing—original draft, S.C. and F.G.; Writing—review and editing, F.G. and Y.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, grant numbers 31670335 and 31770363, and the Ministry of Education of China through the 111 and "Double First-Class" projects, grant numbers B08044 and Yldxxk201819.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Wang, W.; Vinocur, B.; Altman, A. Plant responses to drought, salinity and extreme temperatures: Towards genetic engineering for stress tolerance. *Planta* **2003**, *218*, 1–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Xu, Z.S.; Chen, M.; Li, L.C.; Ma, Y.Z. Functions and application of the AP2/ERF transcription factor family in crop improvement. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* **2011**, *53*, 570–585. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Wessler, S.R. Homing into the origin of the AP2 DNA binding domain. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2005, *10*, 54–56. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Jofuku, K.D.; Omidyar, P.K.; Gee, Z.; Okamuro, J.K. Control of seed mass and seed yield by the floral homeotic gene APETALA2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2005**, *102*, 3117–3122. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Ohme-Takagi, M.; Shinshi, H. Ethylene-inducible DNA binding proteins that interact with an ethylene-responsive element. *Plant Cell* **1995**, *7*, 173–182.
- 6. Sharma, M.K.; Kumar, R.; Solanke, A.U.; Sharma, R.; Tyagi, A.K.; Sharma, A.K. Identification, phylogeny, and transcript profiling of ERF family genes during development and abiotic stress treatments in tomato. *Mol. Genet. Genom.* **2010**, *284*, 455–475. [CrossRef]
- 7. Nakano, T.; Suzuki, K.; Fujimura, T.; Shinshi, H. Genome-wide analysis of the ERF gene family in *Arabidopsis* and rice. *Plant Physiol.* **2006**, 140, 411–432. [CrossRef]
- 8. Kubo, M.; Kakimoto, T. The CYTOKININ-HYPERSENSITIVE genes of *Arabidopsis* negatively regulate the cytokinin-signaling pathway for cell division and chloroplast development. *Plant J.* **2000**, *23*, 385–394. [CrossRef]
- 9. Rashotte, A.M.; Mason, M.G.; Hutchison, C.E.; Ferreira, F.J.; Schaller, G.E.; Kieber, J.J. A subset of *Arabidopsis* AP2 transcription factors mediates cytokinin responses in concert with a two-component pathway. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2006**, *103*, 11081–11085. [CrossRef]
- 10. Dietz, K.J.; Vogel, M.O.; Viehhauser, A. AP2/EREBP transcription factors are part of gene regulatory networks and integrate metabolic, hormonal and environmental signals in stress acclimation and retrograde signalling. *Protoplasma* **2010**, 245, 3–14. [CrossRef]
- 11. Liu, Q.; Kasuga, M.; Sakuma, Y.; Abe, H.; Miura, S.; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K.; Shinozaki, K. Two transcription factors, DREB1 and DREB2, with an EREBP/AP2 DNA binding domain separate two cellular signal transduction pathways in drought-and low-temperature-responsive gene expression, respectively, in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **1998**, *10*, 1391–1406. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Zhuang, J.; Peng, R.H.; Cheng, Z.M.M.; Zhang, J.; Cai, B.; Zhang, Z.; Chen, J.M. Genome-wide analysis of the putative AP2/ERF family genes in *Vitis vinifera*. *Sci. Hortic.* **2009**, *123*, 73–81. [CrossRef]
- 13. Zhang, G.; Chen, M.; Chen, X.; Xu, Z.; Guan, S.; Li, L.C.; Ma, Y. Phylogeny, gene structures, and expression patterns of the ERF gene family in soybean (*Glycine max* L.). *J. Exp. Bot.* **2008**, *59*, 4095–4107. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Shu, Y.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, J.; Song, L.; Guo, C. Genome-wide analysis of the AP2/ERF superfamily genes and their responses to abiotic stress in *Medicago truncatula*. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, *6*, 1247. [CrossRef]

- 15. Cao, P.B.; Azar, S.; SanClemente, H.; Mounet, F.; Dunand, C.; Marque, G.; Teulières, C. Genome-wide analysis of the AP2/ERF family in *Eucalyptus grandis*: An intriguing over-representation of stress-responsive *DREB1/CBF* genes. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0121041. [CrossRef]
- 16. Zhuang, J.; Cai, B.; Peng, R.H.; Zhu, B.; Jin, X.F.; Xue, Y.; Qiao, Y.S. Genome-wide analysis of the AP2/ERF gene family in *Populus trichocarpa*. *Biochem*. *Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2008**, *371*, 468–474. [CrossRef]
- 17. Hu, L.F.; Liu, S.Q. Genome-wide identification and phylogenetic analysis of the ERF gene family in cucumbers. *Genet. Mol. Biol.* **2011**, *34*, 624–633. [CrossRef]
- 18. Xu, W.; Li, F.; Ling, L.; Liu, A. Genome-wide survey and expression profiles of the AP2/ERF family in castor bean (*Ricinus communis* L.). *BMC Genom.* **2013**, *14*, 785. [CrossRef]
- 19. Song, X.; Li, Y.; Hou, X. Genome-wide analysis of the AP2/ERF transcription factor superfamily in Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* ssp. pekinensis). *BMC Genom.* **2013**, *14*, 573. [CrossRef]
- 20. Lata, C.; Mishra, A.K.; Muthamilarasan, M.; Bonthala, V.S.; Khan, Y.; Prasad, M. Genome-wide investigation and expression profiling of AP2/ERF transcription factor superfamily in foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* L.). *PloS ONE* **2014**, *9*, 0113092. [CrossRef]
- 21. Kavas, M.; Kizildogan, A.; Gökdemir, G.; Baloglu, M.C. Genome-wide investigation and expression analysis of AP2-ERF gene family in salt tolerant common bean. *EXCLI J.* **2015**, *14*, 1187. [PubMed]
- 22. Rao, G.; Sui, J.; Zeng, Y.; He, C.; Zhang, J. Genome-wide analysis of the AP2/ERF gene family in *Salix arbutifolia*. *FEBS Open Bio* **2015**, *5*, 132–137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Mizoi, J.; Shinozaki, K.; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. AP2/ERF family transcription factors in plant abiotic stress responses. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2012, *1819*, 86–96. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Licausi, F.; Ohme-Takagi, M.; Perata, P. APETALA2/Ethylene Responsive Factor (AP2/ERF) transcription factors: Mediators of stress responses and developmental programs. *New Phytol.* 2013, 199, 639–649. [CrossRef]
- 25. Medina, J.; Bargues, M.; Terol, J.; Pérez-Alonso, M.; Salinas, J. The *Arabidopsis* CBF gene family is composed of three genes encoding AP2 domain-containing proteins whose expression is regulated by low temperature but not by abscisic acid or dehydration. *Plant Physiol.* **1999**, *119*, 463–470. [CrossRef]
- 26. Sakuma, Y.; Maruyama, K.; Osakabe, Y.; Qin, F.; Seki, M.; Shinozaki, K.; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. Functional analysis of an Arabidopsis transcription factor, DREB2A, involved in drought-responsive gene expression. *Plant Cell* **2006**, *18*, 1292–1309. [CrossRef]
- 27. Li, X.; Zhang, D.; Li, H.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wood, A.J. EsDREB2B, a novel truncated DREB2-type transcription factor in the desert legume *Eremosparton songoricum*, enhances tolerance to multiple abiotic stresses in yeast and transgenic tobacco. *BMC Plant Biol.* **2014**, *14*, 44. [CrossRef]
- Kim, Y.S.; Lee, M.; Lee, J.H.; Lee, H.J.; Park, C.M. The unified ICE-CBF pathway provides a transcriptional feedback control of freezing tolerance during cold acclimation in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 2015, 89, 187–201. [CrossRef]
- 29. Dong, C.; Ma, Y.; Wisniewski, M.; Cheng, Z.M. Meta-analysis of the effect of overexpression of CBF/DREB family genes on drought stress response. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **2017**, *142*, 1–14. [CrossRef]
- 30. Gao, F.; Li, H.; Xiao, Z.; Wei, C.; Feng, J.; Zhou, Y. De novo transcriptome analysis of *Ammopiptanthus nanus* and its comparative analysis with *A. mongolicus*. *Trees* **2018**, *32*, 287–300. [CrossRef]
- 31. Cao, S.; Wang, Y.; Li, Z.; Shi, W.; Gao, F.; Zhou, Y.; Zhang, G.; Feng, J. Genome-wide identification and expression analyses of the chitinases under cold and osmotic stress in *Ammopiptanthus nanus*. *Genes* **2019**, 10, 472. [CrossRef]
- Gao, F.; Wang, X.; Li, X.; Xu, M.; Li, H.; Abla, M.; Sun, H.; Wei, S.; Feng, J.; Zhou, Y. Long-read sequencing and de novo genome assembly of *Ammopiptanthus nanus*, a desert shrub. *GigaScience* 2018, 7, giy074. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Agarwal, G.; Garg, V.; Kudapa, H.; Doddamani, D.; Pazhamala, L.T.; Khan, A.W.; Varshney, R.K. Genome-wide dissection of AP2/ERF and HSP90 gene families in five legumes and expression profiles in chickpea and pigeonpea. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **2016**, *14*, 1563–1577. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhuang, J.; Chen, J.M.; Yao, Q.H.; Xiong, F.; Sun, C.C.; Zhou, X.R.; Xiong, A.S. Discovery and expression profile analysis of AP2/ERF family genes from *Triticum aestivum*. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 2011, 38, 745–753. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Sakuma, Y.; Liu, Q.; Dubouzet, J.G.; Abe, H.; Shinozaki, K.; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. DNA-binding specificity of the ERF/AP2 domain of Arabidopsis DREBs, transcription factors involved in dehydration-and cold-inducible gene expression. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2002, 290, 998–1009. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bailey, T.L.; Boden, M.; Buske, F.A.; Frith, M.; Grant, C.E.; Clementi, L.; Noble, W.S. MEME SUITE: Tools for motif discovery and searching. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2009, *37*, W202–W208. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Lynch, M.; Conery, J.S. The evolutionary fate and consequences of duplicate genes. *Science* **2000**, *290*, 1151–1155. [CrossRef]
- Fang, Y.; You, J.; Xie, K.; Xie, W.; Xiong, L. Systematic sequence analysis and identification of tissue-specific or stress-responsive genes of NAC transcription factor family in rice. *Mol. Genet. Genom.* 2008, 280, 547–563. [CrossRef]
- 39. Wu, J.; Peng, Z.; Liu, S.; He, Y.; Cheng, L.; Kong, F.; Lu, G. Genome-wide analysis of Aux/IAA gene family in Solanaceae species using tomato as a model. *Mol. Genet. Genom.* **2012**, *287*, 295–311. [CrossRef]
- 40. Manosalva, P.M.; Davidson, R.M.; Liu, B.; Zhu, X.; Hulbert, S.H.; Leung, H.; Leach, J.E. A germin-like protein gene family functions as a complex quantitative trait locus conferring broad-spectrum disease resistance in rice. *Plant Physiol.* **2009**, *149*, 286–296. [CrossRef]
- Albrecht, V.; Ritz, O.; Linder, S.; Harter, K.; Kudla, J. The NAF domain defines a novel protein–protein interaction module conserved in Ca²⁺-regulated kinases. *EMBO J.* 2001, 20, 1051–1063. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cannon, S.B.; Mitra, A.; Baumgarten, A.; Young, N.D.; May, G. The roles of segmental and tandem gene duplication in the evolution of large gene families in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2004, *4*, 10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Baloglu, M.C. Genome-wide in silico identification and comparison of Growth Regulating Factor (GRF) genes in Cucurbitaceae family. *Plant Omics* **2014**, *7*, 260–270.
- 44. Barrero-Gil, J.; Julio, S. Gene regulatory networks mediating cold acclimation: The CBF pathway. In *Survival Strategies in Extreme Cold and Desiccation;* Springer: Singapore, 2018; pp. 3–22.
- 45. Feng, H.L.; Ma, N.N.; Meng, X.; Zhang, S.; Wang, J.R.; Chai, S.; Meng, Q.W. A novel tomato MYC-type ICE1-like transcription factor, SIICE1a, confers cold, osmotic and salt tolerance in transgenic tobacco. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2013**, *73*, 309–320. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Bao, F.; Ding, A.; Cheng, T.; Wang, J.; Zhang, Q. Genome-wide analysis of members of the WRKY gene family and their cold stress response in *Prunus mume. Genes* **2019**, *10*, 911. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Yin, Y.; Jiang, X.; Ren, M.; Xue, M.; Nan, D.; Wang, Z.; Wang, M. AmDREB2C, from *Ammopiptanthus mongolicus*, enhances abiotic stress tolerance and regulates fatty acid composition in transgenic Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2018**, *130*, 517–528. [CrossRef]
- Ren, M.; Wang, Z.; Xue, M.; Wang, X.; Zhang, F.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, W.; Wang, M. Constitutive expression of an A-5 subgroup member in the DREB transcription factor subfamily from *Ammopiptanthus mongolicus* enhanced abiotic stress tolerance and anthocyanin accumulation in transgenic Arabidopsis. *PLoS ONE* 2019, 14, e0224296.
- El-Gebali, S.; Mistry, J.; Bateman, A.; Eddy, S.R.; Luciani, A.; Potter, S.C.; Qureshi, M.; Richardson, L.J.; Salazar, G.A.; Smart, A.; et al. The Pfam protein families database in 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019, 47, D427–D432. [CrossRef]
- 50. Eddy, S.R. Accelerated profile HMM searches. PLoS Comput. Biol. 2011, 7, e1002195. [CrossRef]
- Marchler-Bauer, A.; Bo, Y.; Han, L.; He, J.; Lanczycki, C.J.; Lu, S.; Gwadz, M. CDD/SPARCLE: Functional classification of proteins via subfamily domain architectures. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016, 45, D200–D203. [CrossRef]
- 52. Thompson, J.D.; Higgins, D.G.; Gibson, T.J. CLUSTAL W: Improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1994**, 22, 4673–4680. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Kumar, S.; Stecher, G.; Li, M.; Knyaz, C.; Tamura, K. MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2018**, *35*, 1547–1549. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Tian, F.; Yang, D.C.; Meng, Y.Q.; Jin, J.; Gao, G. PlantRegMap: Charting functional regulatory maps in plants. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2019**, *48*, D1104–D1113. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Al Ait, L.; Yamak, Z.; Morgenstern, B. DIALIGN at GOBICS—Multiple sequence alignment using various sources of external information. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2013**, *41*, W3–W7. [CrossRef]

- 56. Voorrips, R.E. MapChart: Software for the graphical presentation of linkage maps and QTLs. *J. Hered.* **2002**, 93, 77–78. [CrossRef]
- 57. Higo, K.; Ugawa, Y.; Iwamoto, M.; Korenaga, T. Plant *cis*-acting regulatory DNA elements (PLACE) database: 1999. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1999**, *27*, 297–300. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, Y.; Wu, N.; Song, W.; Yin, G.; Qin, Y.; Yan, Y.; Hu, Y. Soybean (*Glycine max*) expansin gene superfamily origins: Segmental and tandem duplication events followed by divergent selection among subfamilies. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2014, 14, 93. [CrossRef]
- 59. Wang, Y.; Tang, H.; DeBarry, J.D.; Tan, X.; Li, J.; Wang, X.; Kissinger, J.C. MCScanX: A toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and collinearity. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2012**, *40*, e49. [CrossRef]
- 60. Krzywinski, M.; Schein, J.; Birol, I.; Connors, J.; Gascoyne, R.; Horsman, D.; Marra, M.A. Circos: An information aesthetic for comparative genomics. *Genome Res.* **2009**, *19*, 1639–1645. [CrossRef]
- 61. Wall, P.K.; Leebens-Mack, J.; Müller, K.F.; Field, D.; Altman, N.S.; Depamphilis, C.W. PlantTribes: A gene and gene family resource for comparative genomics in plants. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2008**, *36* (Suppl. 1), D970–D976. [CrossRef]
- Gao, F.; Wang, J.; Wei, S.; Li, Z.; Wang, N.; Li, H.; Zhang, F. Transcriptomic analysis of drought stress responses in *Ammopiptanthus mongolicus* leaves using the RNA-Seq technique. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0124382. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Livak, K.J.; Schmittgen, T.D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2^{-ΔΔCT} method. *Methods* 2001, 25, 402–408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Rychlik, W. OLIGO 7 primer analysis software. In *PCR Primer Design*; Humana Press: Totowa, NJ, USA, 2007; pp. 35–59.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).