

Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 6727

An efficient and sensitive chemosensor based on salicylhydrazide for naked-eye and fluorescent detection of Zn²⁺†

Zhanglin Shi,‡ Yayi Tu ‡ and Shouzhi Pu*[‡]

We reported here the synthesis of a diarylethene with a 2,4-dihydroxybenzoyl hydrazine moiety (**10**) for Zn²⁺ recognition. The compound is easy to prepare with a high yield up to 85%. Compound **10** can act as a highly selective and specific fluorescent sensor for Zn²⁺ without interference by other common metal ions. The LOD for Zn²⁺ detection was determined to be 1.28 × 10⁻⁶ mol L⁻¹. Meanwhile, **10** can be used as a naked-eye detector for the Zn²⁺ ion with an obvious color change from colorless to olive. Based on the fluorescent properties of **10**, we constructed a logic circuit with four inputs of the combinational stimuli of UV/vis light and Zn²⁺/EDTA, and one output of fluorescence intensity.

Received 22nd December 2017
Accepted 2nd February 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra13592k

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

As we all know, zinc(II) is the second most abundant and essential element after iron ions (Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺) in the human body and performs a variety of functions.¹⁻³ It is an integral part of numerous enzymes and plays a critical role in various biological processes, such as protein metabolism, the immune system, gene transcription and regulation.⁴⁻⁷ The research on Zn²⁺ has drawn considerable attention among biologists, chemists, environmentalists and pharmacologists for its chemical and physical properties. The concentration of Zn²⁺ in the human body ranges from nanomolar (nM) to millimolar (mM)⁸ and it is indispensable to living organisms. Depletion of biological Zn²⁺ leads to a decrease in wound health strength as a result of impaired collagen synthesis.⁹ Zn²⁺ is a relatively non-toxic element, while its high level is cytotoxic. Unbalanced metabolism of Zn²⁺ may lead to a series of diseases, such as Alzheimer's disease,¹⁰ Parkinson's disease,¹¹ diabetes,¹² prostate cancer¹³ and immune dysfunction.¹⁴ It is important for us to maintain the balance of Zn²⁺ in human body. Therefore, monitoring the distribution and concentration of Zn²⁺ in environmental or biological samples becomes important.

The demand for chemosensors that are selective and sensitive for specific target ion is continuously increasing. Numerous sensitive detection methods for metal ion recognition have been widely used in the field of analytical chemistry, biology and environmental processes,¹⁵⁻¹⁸ such as mass spectrometry,

atomic absorption spectroscopy and high performance liquid chromatography. However, these methods are laborious and require the use of complex equipment. Fluorescence is a powerful tool to detect target ions for its simplicity, easy implementation, high sensitivity and low detection limit.¹⁹⁻²¹ When a specific fluorescent chemosensor is added to a solution of target metal ion, a color change can be observed accompanied by the changes of fluorescent characteristics. Based on this apparent phenomenon, we can design efficient chemosensors for the recognition of specific ions.

Over the years, many fluorescent chemosensors have been reported for the detection of Zn²⁺. Several Zn²⁺ sensors have been developed based on different fluorophores, such as quinoline,²²⁻²⁴ fluorescein,²⁵⁻²⁸ coumarin,²⁹⁻³² peptide,³³ and pyrene.³⁴ However, most of them lack the smartness in Zn²⁺ selectivity, sensitivity and interference resistance from Cd²⁺ ion,^{35,36} or there is a low yield resulting from complex purification protocols.³⁷⁻³⁹ As shown in Table 1, most of these sensors can not distinguish Zn²⁺ from Cd²⁺.⁴⁰⁻⁴⁴ Zn²⁺ and Cd²⁺ are located in the same group of the periodic table and show similar photo-physical changes in these sensors.⁴⁵ So, an active search of new analytic agents with higher sensitivity and selectivity for Zn²⁺ continues at the present time.

Photo-stimuli responsive materials have attracted much attention due to their potential applications in optical devices, controlled release, clean energy, sensors, *etc.* Until now, plenty of materials based on different photoactive groups have been explored. Spiropyran, spirooxazine, diarylethene and fulgide derivatives are photochromic materials based on photo-induced isomerization involving ring-opening/closing reactions.^{46,47} Photochromism refers to a reversible change in the properties of a molecule in response to light. Among the various photochromic compounds, diarylethenes are gaining increasing attention in the field of photo-electronics, such as optical







Jiangxi Key Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, Jiangxi Science and Technology Normal University, Nanchang, Jiangxi 330013, PR China. E-mail: pushouzhi@tsinghua.org.cn; Fax: +86-791-83831996; Tel: +86-791-83831996


† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra13592k

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.



Table 1 Comparative study of the analytical performance of **10** with other reported sensors

Ref.	Structure	LOD (μM)	Stokes shift (nm)	Solvent concent	Interferents
This Work		1.28	65	THF	None
39		99.1	128	CH_3CN (5%)	Cd^{2+}
40		—	128	CH_3CN	Cd^{2+}
41		0.198	42	CH_3CN (10%)	Cd^{2+}
42		1.85	110	CH_3CN (90%)	Mg^{2+}
43		10	75	DMF	Cd^{2+}

Scheme 1 Synthesis route to diarylethene **10**.

memory media and photo-switching devices, due to their high thermal stability, excellent fatigue resistance, and characteristic bistability.^{48,49}

In the current work, we reported a Zn^{2+} chemosensor with a 2,4-dihydroxybenzoyl hydrazine unit. Salicylhydrazide is one of the important members in Schiff base family because it offers a number of possibilities for different modes of coordination with transition metal ions.^{50,51} On the other side, considering the advantages of fast response and excellent thermal stability for diarylethene derivatives, we designed and synthesized the compound **10**. The chemosensor detected Zn^{2+} with high selectivity and specificity accompanied by obvious color changes by stimuli of lights and metal ions. Besides, addition of Zn^{2+} into the compound **10** resulted in a change in the absorbance spectra, making **10** a naked-eye detector for Zn^{2+} . The photochromic process of the diarylethene derivate was shown in Scheme 1.

Experimental


General methods

All solvents were of analytical purity and were purified by distillation before use. Other reagents were used without further purification. Mass spectra were measured with a Bruker amazon SL Ion Trap Mass spectrometer. ^1H NMR and ^{13}C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV400 (400 MHz) spectrometer with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard.

Infrared spectra (IR) were recorded on a Bruker Vertex-70 spectrometer. Melting point was measured on a WRS-1B melting point apparatus. Fluorescence spectra were measured using a Hitachi F-4600 spectrophotometer. The fluorescence quantum yield was measured with an Absolute PL Quantum Yield Spectrometer QY C11347-11. Absorption spectra were measured using an Agilent 8453 UV/vis spectrophotometer with an MUL-165 UV lamp and a MVL-210 visible lamp as equipments of photoirradiation. The solutions of metal ions (0.1 mol L^{-1}) were prepared by the dissolution of their respective metal nitrates in distilled water, except for K^+ , Ba^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , and Hg^{2+} (all of their counter ions were chloride ions). Necessary dilutions were made according to each experimental set up. All of the measurements were conducted at room temperature unless otherwise stated.

Synthesis of **10**

Diarylethene **10** was synthesized as presented in Scheme 2. Compound **2** was synthesized according to the previous reported similar method.⁵⁸ Compound **2** (0.25 g, 0.5 mmol) and 2,4-dihydroxybenzoyl hydrazine (0.1 g, 0.6 mmol) were dissolved in a round-bottom flask with ethanol (20 mL). After refluxed for 4 h until no compound **2** was detected by the TLC silica gel plate. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated under vacuum. The crude product was purified by recrystallization with ethanol to give compound **10** (0.29 g, 0.46 mmol) as a white solid in 83% yield. Mp 458–459 K;

Scheme 2 Synthesis route to diarylethene **10**.

^1H NMR (400 MHz, $\text{DMSO-}d_6$, TMS), δ (ppm): 2.00 (s, 3H), 2.06 (s, 3H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 6.35 (s, 1H), 6.40 (d, 1H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.01 (d, 1H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.57–7.60 (m, 1H), 7.82 (d, 2H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 8.69 (s, 1H), 10.33 (s, 1H), 11.45 (s, 1H), 11.96 (s, 1H), 12.19 (s, 1H). (Fig. S1, ESI †) ^{13}C NMR ($\text{DMSO-}d_6$, 100 MHz): 10.13, 11.69, 13.98, 102.70, 102.87, 103.93, 107.06, 107.62, 117.29, 119.40, 121.33, 124.05, 124.12, 125.75, 128.57, 129.87, 140.42, 141.81, 147.25, 157.44, 157.06, 162.04, 162.87, 170.16. (Fig. S2, ESI †) IR (KBr, ν , cm^{-1}): 601, 982, 1064, 1136, 1279, 1612, 3255. (Fig. S3, ESI †). HR-MS (ESI, m/z): $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ calcd for $(\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{20}\text{F}_6\text{N}_3\text{O}_5\text{S})^-$, 636.1028; found 636.1034 (Fig. S4, ESI †).

Results and discussion

Photochromic and fluorescent behaviors

The absorption spectrum and fluorescence changes of **10** were measured in tetrahydrofuran (2.0×10^{-5} mol L^{-1}) at room temperature. As shown in Fig. 1, the absorption maximum of **10** was observed at 312 nm ($\epsilon = 4.30 \times 10^4$ mol $^{-1}$ L cm^{-1}), which was resulted from π - π^* transition.⁵² On irradiation with 297 nm light, a new absorption band centered at 565 nm ($\epsilon = 3.29 \times 10^3$ mol $^{-1}$ L cm^{-1}) appeared and the color of **10** solution turned purple due to the formation of closed-ring isomer **1C**. At the same time, the absorption band peaked at 312 nm decreased gradually. Reversely, the purple solution could be completely bleached upon irradiation with visible light ($\lambda > 500$ nm) and its absorption spectrum recovered to that of the opening isomer **10**. Fig. S5 † showed the emission spectral changes of **10** by alternating irradiation with UV and visible light. When excited with 365 nm light, an emission peak of **10** was observed at 580 nm. The fluorescence quantum yield of **10** was determined to be 0.006. On irradiation with 297 nm light, the photocyclization happened and its emission intensity decreased slightly due to the formation of non-fluorescent closed-ring isomer **1C**. The fluorescence quantum yield of **1C** was determined to be 0.004. The emission intensity of **10** was quenched to *ca.* 80% at the photostationary state. This phenomenon indicated that the diarylethene unit exhibited relatively low fluorescent modulation efficiency in tetrahydrofuran and it would provide a low background when act as a Zn^{2+} sensor. The residual fluorescence in the photostationary state might be attributed to the incomplete cyclization reaction and existence of parallel conformations.^{53,54} Back irradiation with appropriate wavelength visible light regenerated its opening isomer and recovered the original emission intensity.




Fig. 1 Absorption spectra and color changes of **10** by photoirradiation.

Absorption changes induced by Zn^{2+} /EDTA and UV/vis light

The absorption property of **10** was investigated in tetrahydrofuran (2.0×10^{-5} mol L^{-1}) at room temperature. As shown in Fig. 2A, when different metal ions (5 equiv. 0.1 mol L^{-1}) were added to the solution of **10**, including Zn^{2+} , Al^{3+} , Fe^{3+} , Cr^{3+} , K^+ , Ba^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Sr^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Pb^{2+} and Ag^+ , the absorption spectral had no obvious changes except Zn^{2+} , Ni^{2+} and Co^{2+} . New absorption bands centered at 408 nm ($\epsilon = 2.09 \times 10^4$ mol $^{-1}$ L cm^{-1}), 438 nm ($\epsilon = 1.25 \times 10^4$ mol $^{-1}$ L cm^{-1}) and 420 nm ($\epsilon = 1.60 \times 10^4$ mol $^{-1}$ L cm^{-1}) were observed respectively. The colorless **10** solution turned olive on addition of Zn^{2+} , Ni^{2+} and Co^{2+} over other metal ions (Fig. 2E). The above results indicated that **10** could be used as a detective colorimetric sensor for Zn^{2+} . But the selectivity is not so good with the interference of Ni^{2+} and Co^{2+} .

Under the same experiment conditions, we studied the optimal algorithm of **10** induced by Zn^{2+} and UV/vis light. As shown in Fig. 2B, with the addition of 26 equiv. of Zn^{2+} (0.1 mol L^{-1}) to the solution of **10**, a new absorption band centered at 408 nm appeared with the concomitant color change from colorless to olive due to the formation of **10**- Zn^{2+} complex (**10'**) with a much steadier rigid construction than **10**. When Zn^{2+} was added to the solution of **1C**, the absorbance at 410 nm ($\epsilon = 1.88 \times 10^4$ mol $^{-1}$ L cm^{-1}) increased, at the same time, the 565 nm absorbance was red-shifted by 35 nm (Fig. 2C). The phenomena might be on account of formation of the **1C**- Zn^{2+} complex (**1C'**). Then, by addition of excess EDTA (0.1 mol L^{-1}) to the solution of **10'** or **1C'**, the absorption band all recovered to **10** or **1C** because EDTA possibly stripped Zn^{2+} away from the cavity by the binding zone. Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 2D, when the maximum absorption band of **10'** was reached, upon irradiation with 297 nm UV light, a new




Fig. 2 Changes in absorption spectra and color of **10** induced by Zn^{2+} /EDTA and light in tetrahydrofuran (2.0×10^{-5} mol L^{-1}): (A) changes in absorption spectra on addition of different metal ions (B) **10** induced by Zn^{2+} /EDTA, (C) **1C** induced by Zn^{2+} /EDTA, (D) **10'** induced by UV/vis light, (E) color changes on addition of different metal ions.

absorption band appeared clearly which centered at 600 nm ($\epsilon = 1.44 \times 10^4 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ L cm}^{-1}$) and the color changed from olive to dark slate gray for the formation of the closed-ring isomer **1C'**. Meanwhile, it could return back to the open-ring isomer **1O'** on irradiation with visible light ($\lambda > 500 \text{ nm}$), indicating that the open-ring isomer **1O'** and the closed-ring isomer **1C'** reaction was reversible.

Fluorescence response to metal ions

Under the same experimental conditions, Fig. 3 showed the emission spectral and fluorescence color changes of **1O** induced by various metal ions (5 equiv. 0.1 mol L^{-1}) such as Zn^{2+} , Al^{3+} , Fe^{3+} , Cr^{3+} , K^+ , Ba^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Sr^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Pb^{2+} and Ag^+ . We found that **1O** can detect Zn^{2+} in tetrahydrofuran ($2.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$). The fluorescence of **1O** was notably changed when Zn^{2+} was added, while the addition of other cations caused no obvious changes (Fig. 3A). When Zn^{2+} was added to the solution of **1O**, the fluorescence intensity was enhanced evidently and the emission peak was blue shifted from 580 nm to 515 nm with a concomitant fluorescent color change from dark to bright alicebblue caused by the formation of **1O'**. The results showed that there was no interference of Cd^{2+} or Mg^{2+} , indicating good selectivity of Zn^{2+} . Therefore, **1O** can be used as an efficient fluorescence chemosensor for Zn^{2+} recognition.

To further evaluate the responsive nature of **1O** induced by Zn^{2+} , a series of fluorescence titration tests were carried out in tetrahydrofuran ($2.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$) at room temperature. When Zn^{2+} was added to the solution of **1O** from 0 to 18 equiv., the fluorescence intensity increased significantly with a blue shift of 65 nm from 580 nm to 515 nm (Fig. 4A). The titration experiment was shown in Fig. S6.† Compared with **1O**, the fluorescence intensity was enhanced by 31 fold. The increased emission intensity and blue shift could be ascribed to the formation of **1O'**. The fluorescent quantum yield of **1O'** was determined to be 0.044. The stable chelation of **1O** with Zn^{2+} inhibited the C=N isomerization and led to a rigid fluorophore structure, causing enhanced fluorescence intensity.^{55,56} The fluorescence spectrum of **1O'**




Fig. 3 Fluorescence changes of **1O** induced by the addition of various metal ions (5.0 equiv.): (A) emission intensity in tetrahydrofuran; (B) emission intensity; (C) photos of fluorescence.




Fig. 4 Changes in fluorescence and color of **1O** induced by Zn^{2+} /EDTA and light in tetrahydrofuran ($2.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$): (A) **1O** induced by Zn^{2+} /EDTA, (B) **1C** induced by Zn^{2+} /EDTA, (C) **1O'** triggered by light.

recovered to that of **1O** by adding an aqueous solution of excess EDTA (0.1 mol L^{-1}) which possibly strips Zn^{2+} away from the cavity by the binding zone, indicating that the complexation–decomplexation reaction between **1O** and Zn^{2+} was reversible. Similar to **1O**, the fluorescence of **1C** could also be effectively modulated by Zn^{2+} in tetrahydrofuran. After adding 8.0 equiv. of Zn^{2+} to the solution of **1C**, its fluorescence intensity was enhanced by 4 folds and the emission peak was blue-shifted from 580 to 530 nm due to the formation of **1C'** (Fig. 4B). We also investigated the photochromism of **1O'**. As shown in Fig. 4C, when the maximum intensity was reached, upon irradiation with 297 nm UV light, the emission intensity of **1O'** was quenched to *ca.* 15% due to the formation of **1C'**, the fluorescent quantum yield of **1C'** was determined to be 0.028. It can come back to that of **1O'** by irradiation with appropriate visible light and followed by a color change from bright alicebblue to powderblue. The irradiation with UV/vis light reaction between **1O'** and **1C'** was also reversible.

To investigate the coordination mode of **1O** with Zn^{2+} , Job's plot analysis was performed according to the reported method.⁵⁷ As shown in Fig. 5A, the maximum value was achieved when the molar fraction of $[\text{1O}]/([\text{1O}] + [\text{Zn}^{2+}])$ was about 0.5, demonstrating a 1 : 1 stoichiometry between **1O** and Zn^{2+} . Based on the 1 : 1 stoichiometry and fluorescence titration data, the association constant (K_a) of **1O** with Zn^{2+} was calculated from the slope and intercept of the linear plot to be $7.17 \times 10^3 \text{ L mol}^{-1}$ ($R = 0.984$) (Fig. 5B). The detection limit was calculated to be $1.28 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ for Zn^{2+} (Fig. 5C). To further confirm the coordination mode of **1O** and Zn^{2+} , ESI mass spectra were recorded. The ESI-MS peak at 699.1031 assigned to $[\text{1O} + \text{Zn}^{2+} - 3\text{H}]^-$ (calcd 699.0241) was observed (Fig. S7†), providing strong evidence for the formation of a 1 : 1 complex between **1O** and Zn^{2+} . The proposed binding mode between **1O** and Zn^{2+} was shown in Scheme 3.

Application in logic circuit

On the basis of the fact that the absorption and fluorescent intensity of the target diarylethene could be effectively





Fig. 5 (A) Job's plot showing the 1 : 1 complex of **1O**– Zn^{2+} in tetrahydrofuran, (B) Hildebrand–Benesi plot based on the 1 : 1 for **1O**, the binding constant of **1O** with Zn^{2+} was calculated to be $7.17 \times 10^3 L mol^{-1}$, (C) the limit of detection (LOD), LOD is $1.28 \times 10^{-6} mol L^{-1}$.



Scheme 3 Photochromism, color and fluorescence changes of **1O** induced by Zn^{2+} /EDTA and lights.

modulated by Zn^{2+} /EDTA and light, a type of logic circuits was constructed by using light irradiation and Zn^{2+} /EDTA as the input signals. The fluorescence at 515 nm was used as an output signal. As shown in Fig. 6, the photochromic behaviors of **1O** could be effectively modulated by Zn^{2+} /EDTA and UV/vis light. Thus, one logic circuit was constructed by using the combination of four input signals (In1: 297 nm UV light, In2: $\lambda > 500$ nm visible light, In3: Zn^{2+} , and In4: EDTA) and an output




Fig. 6 The combinational logic circuits equivalent to the truth table give in Table 1, In1 (297 nm UV light), In2 ($\lambda > 500$ nm light), In3 (Zn^{2+}), In4 (EDTA) and output ($\lambda_{em} = 515$ nm).

Table 2 Truth table for all possible strings of four binary-input data and the corresponding output digit

Inputs				Output
In1 (UV)	In2 (vis)	In3 (Zn^{2+})	In4 (EDTA)	$\lambda_{em} = 515$ nm
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	1	0
1	1	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
0	1	1	0	1
0	1	0	1	0
0	0	1	1	0
1	1	1	0	0
1	1	0	1	0
1	0	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	0
0	1	0	1	0
1	1	1	1	0
1	1	1	1	0

(fluorescence emission at 515 nm). The four inputs and one output could be either “on” or “off” state with different Boolean values. When 297 nm light was employed, In1 was switched to “on” state with a Boolean value of “1”. Similarly, In2 was “1” corresponding to irradiation with appropriate visible light ($\lambda > 500$ nm), In3 was “1” corresponding to the addition of Zn^{2+} , and In4 was “1” corresponding to the addition of EDTA. The emission intensity of **1O** at 515 nm was regarded as the initial value and when the change of fluorescence intensity at 515 nm was 31 fold larger than the initial value, it was regarded as “on” state with a Boolean value of “1”. Otherwise, it was regarded as “off” state with a Boolean value of “0”. Upon the stimuli of different inputs, the diarylethene exhibited an on-off-on photochromic switching behavior. As a result, **1O** could read a string of four inputs and write one output (Table 2).

Conclusions

In summary, a highly sensitive fluorescent “turn-on” sensor based on a photochromic diarylethene derivative with a sali-cylhydrazide unit was developed. It exhibited excellent photochromic properties, high selectivity and specificity toward Zn^{2+} over other metal ions. It could also be used as a naked-eye detector for Zn^{2+} . Furthermore, the diarylethene showed excellent fluorescent switching behaviors with distinctive color changes in response to the combinational inputs of light and Zn^{2+} . Based on these characteristics, a logic circuit was designed by the fluorescence intensity as the output signal with the inputs of UV/vis lights and Zn^{2+} /EDTA. All these results will be helpful for the design and construction of new diarylethene derivatives with multi-addressable states and potential applications in fluorescent sensors for special ions.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Science Funds of Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (20171ACB20025); the Project of the Science Funds of Jiangxi Education Office (GJJ160795); the “5511” Science and Technology Innovation Talent Project of Jiangxi Province (20165BCB18015); the Project of Jiangxi Science and Technology Normal University Advantage Sci-Tech Innovative Team (2015CXTD002).

Notes and references

- 1 C. Andreini, L. Banci, I. Bertini, *et al.*, *J. Proteome Res.*, 2006, **5**, 3173–3178.
- 2 P. S. Hariharan and S. P. Anthony, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2014, **848**, 74–79.
- 3 A. Bhattacharyya, S. Ghosh, S. C. Makhal, *et al.*, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2017, **183**, 306–311.
- 4 S. Enthaler, X. F. Wu, M. Weidauer, *et al.*, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **46**, 320–323.
- 5 Y. Han, C. Fu, J. Kuang, *et al.*, *Postharvest Biol. Technol.*, 2016, **116**, 8–15.
- 6 H. Yang, Z. Y. Huang, J. Li, *et al.*, *Ecol. Indic.*, 2014, **45**, 103–109.
- 7 D. K. Khajuria, C. Disha, R. Vasireddi, *et al.*, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2016, **63**, 78–87.
- 8 C. Andreini, L. Banci, I. Bertini, *et al.*, *J. Proteome Res.*, 2006, **5**, 3173–3178.
- 9 M. Saleem and K. H. Lee, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 72150–72287.
- 10 X. Fang, H. Li, G. Zhao, *et al.*, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, **42**, 308–313.
- 11 M. Hosseini, A. Ghafarloo, M. R. Ganjali, *et al.*, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **198**, 411–415.
- 12 K. Vogt, J. Mellor, G. Tong, *et al.*, *Neuron*, 2000, **26**, 187–196.
- 13 A. Takeda, M. Nakamura, H. Fujii, *et al.*, *Metallomics*, 2013, **5**, 417–423.
- 14 B. Szewczyk, *Front. Aging Neurosci.*, 2013, **5**, 33.
- 15 S. Kumari, S. Joshi, T. C. Cordova-Sintjago, *et al.*, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **229**, 599–608.
- 16 S. Wang, X. Fei, J. Guo, *et al.*, *Talanta*, 2015, **148**, 229–236.
- 17 H. Nie, W. Yang, M. Yang, *et al.*, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2016, **127**, 67–72.
- 18 S. Mukherjee, P. Mal and H. Stoeckli-Evans, *J. Lumin.*, 2016, **172**, 124–130.
- 19 H. N. Kim, W. X. Ren, J. S. Kim, *et al.*, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 3210–3244.
- 20 C. Kar, M. D. Adhikari, A. Ramesh, *et al.*, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 9201–9206.
- 21 Y. L. Pak, K. M. K. Swamy and J. Yoon, *Sensors*, 2015, **15**, 24374–24396.
- 22 M. Sohrabi, M. Amirnasr, H. Farrokhpour, *et al.*, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **250**, 647–658.
- 23 V. K. Gupta, A. K. Singh, L. K. Kumawat, *et al.*, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **222**, 468–482.
- 24 G. Kasirajan, V. Krishnaswamy, N. Raju, *et al.*, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2017, **341**, 136–145.
- 25 B. Vidya, G. Sivaraman, R. V. Sumesh, *et al.*, *ChemistrySelect*, 2016, **1**, 4024–4029.
- 26 W. Wang, Y. N. Lei, Q. L. Liu, *et al.*, *Heterocycles*, 2016, **92**, 1204–1214.
- 27 Q. Li, Y. Zhang, Y. Jin, *et al.*, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 68815–68821.
- 28 J. An, M. Yan, Z. Yang, *et al.*, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2013, **99**, 1–5.
- 29 A. Bhattacharyya, S. Ghosh, S. C. Makhal, *et al.*, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2017, **183**, 306–311.
- 30 N. Roy, A. Dutta, P. Mondal, *et al.*, *J. Fluoresc.*, 2017, 1–15.
- 31 L. Fan, *J. Fluoresc.*, 2017, 1–7.
- 32 T. Liu, J. Yin, Y. Wang, *et al.*, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2016, **783**, 304–307.
- 33 C. Wu, Y. Ikejiri, J. L. Zhao, *et al.*, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **228**, 480–485.
- 34 X. Lu, W. Zhu, Y. Xie, *et al.*, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 8355–8364.
- 35 M. Li, H. Y. Lu, R. L. Liu, *et al.*, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77**, 3670–3673.
- 36 S. Zhu, J. Zhang, J. Janjanam, *et al.*, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2013, **1**, 1722–1728.
- 37 S. C. Burdette, G. K. Walkup, B. Spingler, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 7831–7841.
- 38 L. Wang, W. Qin, X. Tang, *et al.*, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2010, **8**, 3751–3757.
- 39 T. Mistri, M. Dolai, D. Chakraborty, *et al.*, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2012, **10**, 2380–2384.
- 40 E. Hao, T. Meng, M. Zhang, *et al.*, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2011, **115**, 8234–8241.
- 41 X. Zhou, P. Li, Z. Shi, *et al.*, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 9226–9231.
- 42 C. Gao, X. Jin, X. Yan, *et al.*, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2013, **176**, 775–781.
- 43 Y. Li, J. Wu, X. Jin, *et al.*, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 1881–1887.
- 44 N. Roy, A. Dutta, P. Mondal, *et al.*, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **236**, 719–731.
- 45 W. K. Dong, X. L. Li, L. Wang, *et al.*, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **229**, 370–378.
- 46 R. Pardo, M. Zayat and D. Levy, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 672–687.
- 47 F. Liu and K. Morokuma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 10693–10702.
- 48 J. Zhang, Q. Zou and H. Tian, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 378–399.
- 49 A. Uyama, S. Yamazoe, S. Shigematsu, *et al.*, *Langmuir*, 2011, **27**, 6395–6400.
- 50 H. Tian and S. Yang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2004, **33**, 85–97.
- 51 M. Irie, T. Fukaminato, K. Matsuda, *et al.*, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 12174–12277.
- 52 Z. X. Li, L. Y. Liao, W. Sun, *et al.*, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 5190–5196.
- 53 C. Fan, S. Pu, G. Liu, *et al.*, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2008, **197**, 415–425.
- 54 Y. Fu, Y. Tu, C. Fan, *et al.*, *New J. Chem.*, 2016, **40**, 8579–8586.
- 55 J. S. Wu, W. M. Liu, X. Q. Zhuang, *et al.*, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 33–36.
- 56 P. S. Hariharan and S. P. Anthony, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 41565–41571.
- 57 H. A. Benesi and J. H. Hildebrand, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1949, **71**, 2703–2707.
- 58 S. Pu, Z. Tong, G. Liu, *et al.*, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2013, **1**, 4726–4739.