

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Cardiology Cases

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jccase

Editorial

Editorial: Endovascular treatment for acute proximal deep vein thrombosis



Deep vein thrombosis (DVT) can cause both pulmonary thromboembolism (PTE) and post-thrombotic syndrome (PTS). DVT treatment aims to relieve the acute symptoms of limb swelling and pain, reduce the risk of PTE, and prevent long-term disability from chronic venous insufficiency including persistent leg pain and swelling, pigmentation, venous claudication, and skin ulceration. Standard anticoagulation can decrease PTE and thrombus propagation but cannot gain early reduction of thrombus burden. Approximately half of the patients with iliofemoral DVT treated by anticoagulation alone develop PTS [1,2]. Early thrombus removal appears to be important to gain rapid symptom relief, preserve valvular function, and prevent PTS [3–5]. Systemic thrombolysis is more effective than heparinization [6], but was discouraged by high rates of incomplete clot lysis and bleeding complications [7].

Catheter-directed thrombolysis (CDT) offers significant advantages over systemic thrombolysis, which can fail to reach and penetrate an occluded venous thrombus [8–10]. With CDT, clot lysis rate can be improved, and treatment duration and bleeding complication rate can be reduced by delivery of higher concentrations of drug to thrombus.

In this issue of the Journal, Okabe et al. reported that a 24-yearold woman with acute iliofemoral DVT and submassive PTE was successfully treated with CDT using monteplase after catheter aspiration and fragmentation for DVT in conjunction with retrievable inferior vena cava (IVC) filter which was removed after clot lysis [11]. The efficacy of endovascular treatment for acute iliofemoral DVT was also demonstrated in this case.

The CaVenT (Catheter-directed Venous Thrombolysis in Acute lliofemoral Vein Thrombosis) study provided good quality evidence that venous patency rate and venous valvular function were better preserved in patients with acute iliofemoral DVT treated with CDT than anticoagulation alone [12]. This study was an open-label, randomized controlled trial which enrolled 209 patients with first-time iliofemoral DVT within 21 days from symptom onset. It demonstrated that iliofemoral patency after 6 months was significantly higher on CDT than anticoagulation

DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jccase.2014.05.011

alone (65.9% vs 47.4%, p = 0.012) and PTS assessed by Villalta score at 24 months was significantly lower on CDT than anticoagulation alone (41.1% vs 55.6%, p = 0.047).

0

CrossMark

JOURNAL of CARDIOLOGY CASES

The ATTRACT (Acute Venous Thrombosis: Thrombus Removal with Adjunctive Catheter-Directed Thrombolysis) study is an ongoing US National Institutes of Health-sponsored, phase III, multicenter, randomized, open-label, assessor-blinded, parallel two-arm, controlled clinical trial [13]. Approximately 692 patients with acute proximal DVT involving the femoral, common femoral, and/or iliac vein are being randomized to receive pharmacomechanical catheter-directed thrombolysis (PCDT) [using the Trellis Peripheral Infusion System (Covidien, Inc., Mansfield, MA, USA) or the AngioJet Rheolytic Thrombectomy System (MEDRAD Interventional - Bayer, Minneapolis, MN, USA)] + standard therapy versus standard therapy alone. The primary study hypothesis is that PCDT will reduce the proportion of patients who develop PTS within 2 years. Secondary outcomes include safety, general and venous disease-specific quality of life, relief of early pain and swelling, and cost-effectiveness. This study will provide further evidence regarding the clinical utility of these techniques.

Supplementary stent implantation for persistent significant stenosis or obstruction in iliac vein following CDT or PCDT, especially left side so-called iliac compression syndrome, should be performed to gain outflow tract venous flow. Balloon venoplasty alone is often ineffective due to recoil. Venous stenting appears to improve the iliofemoral patency and clinical outcome [14].

The careful selection of patients is important to the success of these techniques, e.g. duration of symptoms, anatomic distribution and form of thrombus, and the risk of complications (Fig. 1). A scientific statement from the American Heart Association recommended the following: CDT or PCDT is reasonable as first-line treatment of patients with acute iliofemoral DVT to prevent PTS in selected patients at low risk of bleeding complications (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B). CDT or PCDT should not be given to most patients with chronic DVT symptoms (>21 days) or patients who are at high risk for bleeding complications (Class III; Level of Evidence B). Systemic fibrinolysis should not be given routinely to patients with iliofemoral DVT (Class III; Level of Evidence A) [15].

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jccase.2015.01.003

1878-5409/© 2015 Japanese College of Cardiology. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.



There are a lot of unresolved issues such as the optimal dose of thrombolytic agents, appropriate duration from the onset for this treatment, necessity of non-permanent IVC filter for PTE protection during this procedure, and cost effectiveness of this treatment. Further evidence regarding this treatment should be evaluated in the future.

References

- Kahn SR, Ginsberg JS. Relationship between deep venous thrombosis and the postthrombotic syndrome. Arch Intern Med 2004;164:17–26.
- [2] Kahn SR, Shrier I, Julian JA, Ducruet T, Arsenault L, Miron MJ, Roussin A, Desmarais S, Joyal F, Kassis J, Solymoss S, Desjardins L, Lamping DL, Johri M, Ginsberg JS. Determinants and time course of the postthrombotic syndrome after acute deep venous thrombosis. Ann Intern Med 2008;149:698–707.
- [3] Schweizer J, Kirsh W, Koch R, Elix H, Hellner G, Forkmann L, Graf A. Short- and long-term results after thrombolytic treatment of deep vein thrombosis. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000;36:1336–43.
- [4] Meissner MH, Manzo RA, Bergelin RO, Markel A, Strandness Jr DE. Deep venous insufficiency: the relationship between lysis and subsequent reflux. J Vasc Surg 1993;18:596–608.
- [5] Meissner MH, Gloviczki P, Comerota AJ, Dalsing MC, Eklof BG, Gillespie DL, Lohr JM, MCLafferty RB, Murad MH, Padberg F, Pappas P, Raffetto JD, Wakefield TW. Early thrombus removal strategies for acute deep venous thrombosis: clinical practice guidelines of the Society for Vascular Surgery and the American Venous Forum. J Vasc Surg 2012;55:1449–62.
- [6] Comerota AJ, Aldridge SC. Thrombolytic therapy for acute deep vein thrombosis. Semin Vasc Surg 1992;5:76–81.
- [7] Goldhaber SZ, Buring JE, Lipnick RJ, Hennekens CH. Pooled analyses of randomized trials of streptokinase and heparin in phlebographically documented acute deep venous thrombosis. Am J Med 1984;76:393–7.
- [8] Semba CP, Dake MD. Iliofemoral deep venous thrombosis: aggressive therapy using catheter-directed thrombolysis. Radiology 1994;191:487–94.
- [9] Mewissen MW. Thrombolysis for lower-extremity deep vein thrombosis. Semin Vasc Surg 2010;23:228–34.
- [10] Yamada N, Ishikura K, Ota S, Tsuji A, Nakamura M, Ito M, Isaka N, Nakano T. Pulse-spray pharmacomechanical thrombolysis for proximal deep vein thrombosis. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2006;31:204–11.

- [11] Okabe T, Araki H, Yakushiji T, Ebara S, Yamashita K, Yamamoto MH, Saito S, Hoshimoto K, Amemiya K, Isomura N, Obara C, Ochiai M. Local injection of tissue-plasminogen activator using a pulse spray catheter as a treatment option for proximal deep vein thrombosis: a case report. JC Cases 2014;10:163–6.
- [12] Enden T, Haig Y, Kløw NE, Slagsvold CE, Sandvik L, Ghanima W, Hafsahl G, Holme PA, Holmen LO, Njaastad AM, Sandbæk G, Sandset PM, CaVenT Study Group. Long-term outcome after additional catheter-directed thrombolysis versus standard treatment for acute illofemoral deep vein thrombosis (the CaVenT study): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2012;379:31–8.
- [13] Vedantham S, Goldhaber SZ, Kahn SR, Julian J, Magnuson E, Jaff MR, Murphy TP, Cohen DJ, Comerota AJ, Gornik HL, Razavi MK, Lewis L, Kearon C. Rationale and design of the ATTRACT Study: a multicenter randomized trial to evaluate pharmacomechanical catheter-directed thrombolysis for the prevention of postthrombotic syndrome in patients with proximal deep vein thrombosis. Am Heart J 2013;165:523–30.
- [14] Matsuda A, Yamada N, Ogihara Y, Tsuji A, Ota S, Ishikura K, Nakamura M, Ito M. Early and long-term outcomes of venous stent implantation for iliac venous stenosis after catheter-directed thrombolysis for acute deep vein thrombosis. Circ J 2014;78:1234–9.
- [15] Jaff MR, McMurtry MS, Archer SL, Cushman M, Goldenberg N, Goldhaber SZ, Jenkins JS, Kline JA, Michaels AD, Thistlethwaite P, Vedantham S, White RJ, Zierler BK. Management of massive and submassive pulmonary embolism, iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis, and chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2011;123:1788–830.

Norikazu Yamada (MD, PhD, FJCC)*

Department of Cardiology and Nephrology, Mie University Graduate School of Medicine, Tsu, Mie, Japan

*Corresponding author at: Department of Cardiology and Nephrology, Mie University Graduate School of Medicine, 2-174 Edobashi, Tsu, Mie 514-8507, Japan. Tel.: +81 59 231 5015; fax: +81 59 231 5201 *E-mail address:* n-yamada@clin.medic.mie-u.ac.jp (N. Yamada).

9 January 2015